Letter to the Editor

Clinical Microbiology



Ann Lab Med 2017;37:174-176 https://doi.org/10.3343/alm.2017.37.2.174 ISSN 2234-3806 eISSN 2234-3814

ANNALS OF LABORATORY MEDICINE

Susceptibility of Ceftolozane-Tazobactam and Ceftazidime-Avibactam Against a Collection of β-Lactam-Resistant Gram-Negative Bacteria

Mark D. Gonzalez, Ph.D.^{1,2}, Allison R. McMullen, Ph.D.¹, Meghan A. Wallace, B.S.¹, Matthew P. Crotty, Pharm.D.^{3,4}, David J. Ritchie, Pharm.D.^{3,5}, and Carey-Ann D. Burnham, Ph.D.¹

Department of Pathology and Immunology¹, Division of Laboratory and Genomic Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO; Department of Laboratory Medicine², Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, GA; Pharmacy Department³, Barnes-Jewish Hospital, St. Louis, MO; Department of Pharmacy⁴, Methodist Dallas Medical Center, Dallas, TX; Pharmacy Practice Department⁵, St. Louis College of Pharmacy, St. Louis, MO, USA

Dear Editor,

Ceftolozane-tazobactam (C/T) and ceftazidime-avibactam (CZA) were recently approved for the treatment of complicated intraabdominal infections and complicated urinary tract infections (http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm427534.htm, http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm435629.htm, both accessed February 24, 2016). To date, only one study has simultaneously evaluated the activities of C/T and CZA *in vitro* against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and few studies have evaluated the effects of these antibiotics on multi-drug resistant (MDR) gram-negative bacteria [1-3]. This study aimed to examine the activities of C/T and CZA against β -lactam-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* and *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolates.

The isolates were recovered from clinical specimens at Barnes-Jewish Hospital (St. Louis, MO, USA) from September to December 2014. Specimen sources included respiratory, blood, urine, and wound samples. Isolates of *Enterobacteriaceae* were included if they tested non-susceptible to cefepime and/or had an extendedspectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing phenotype. *P. aeruginosa* isolates were included if they tested non-susceptible to meropenem. We included carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteria-ceae* isolates recovered from August 2012 to December 2014; these strains were tested for the bla_{KPC} and bla_{NDM} genes by real-time PCR [4, 5]. All isolates were negative for the bla_{NDM} gene.

Frozen stocks of all isolates were subcultured twice consecutively on 5% sheep's blood agar (Hardy Diagnostics, Santa Maria, CA, USA) prior to antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST). Species-level identification was confirmed by using the VITEK MS system (IVD v2.3.3, bioMérieux, Durham, NC, USA) [6, 7]. AST was performed by using gradient diffusion (Etest, bioMérieux). In brief, a 0.5 McFarland standard suspension of each isolate was inoculated onto Mueller-Hinton agar (Hardy Diagnostics), and Etest strips were applied. Plates were incubated overnight at 35°C in ambient air. Each day, QC strains (Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 and ATCC 35218, and P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853) were tested. C/T and CZA results and QC were interpreted by using Food and Drug Administration breakpoints. The categorical interpretation and QC ranges for all other antibiotics (BD BBL and Co., Sparks, MD, USA) were based on standardized disk diffusion criteria [8].

We evaluated 120 clinical isolates comprising 45 P. aeruginosa

Received: March 14, 2016 Revision received: August 10, 2016 Accepted: November 17, 2016

Corresponding author: Mark D. Gonzalez Department of Laboratory Medicine, Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, 1405 Clifton Road, NE, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA Tel: +1-404-785-2553, Fax: +1-404-785-6342 E-mail: mark.gonzalez@choa.org

© Korean Society for Laboratory Medicine.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Postorial group (N)		% Susceptible*								
Bacterial group (N)	Ceftazidime	Pip-Tazo	Cefepime	Meropenem	Ertapenem	Imipenem				
Pseudomonas aeruginosa (45)	73	67	71	13	NA	22				
BLR <i>P. aeruginosa</i> [†] (10)	10	0	0	0	NA	0				
Enterobacteriaceae (75)	27	40	21	64	57	73				
Enterobacter spp. (17)	6	6	12	35	12	47				
Escherichia coli (29)	59	86	28	100	100	100				
Klebsiella pneumoniae (24)	8	17	8	42	42	63				
ESBL K. pneumoniae (8)	13	50	25	100	100	100				
CRE K. pneumoniae [‡] (16)	6	0	0	13	13	44				
Remaining isolates [§] (5)	0	0	80	60	40	60				
<i>Enterobacteriaceae bla</i> kPc status ^{II}										
<i>bla</i> _{KPC} positive [¶] (10)	0	0	10	0	10	0				
<i>bla</i> _{KPC} negative** (65)	31	46	23	74	65	85				

Table 1. β -Lactam susceptibility profiles of the study isolates (N = 120)

*Based on CLSI M100-S25 antibiotic disk diffusion criteria; [†]BLR *P. aeruginosa* isolates were not susceptible to piperacillin-tazobactam, cefepime, meropenem, and imipenem; [†]CRE *K. pneumoniae*, carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates that either tested positive for bl_{AKPC} (n=8) or were negative for bl_{AKPC} and bl_{NDM} (n=8) by real-time PCR; [§]Remaining isolates, including *Citrobacter freundii* complex (n=3), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (n=1), and *Morganella morganii* (n=1); ^{II}*Enterobacteriaceae bla*_{KPC} status, all of the above *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates identified only by *bla*_{KPC} status; [¶]*bla*_{KPC}-positive, isolates that tested positive for *bla*_{KPC} by real-time PCR; ***bla*_{KPC}-negative, isolates that either tested negative for *bla*_{KPC} and *bla*_{NDM} by real-time PCR (n=20) or lacked a phenotype (n=45) that is consistent with a *bla*_{KPC}-positive organism (i.e., lack of resistance to meropenem).

Abbreviations: C/T, ceftolozane-tazobactam; CZA, ceftazidime-avibactam; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; NA, not applicable; ESBL, extended spectrum β-lactamase; CRE, carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*.

Bacterial group (N)	C/T MIC Range µg/mL	C/T MIC ₅₀	C/T MIC ₉₀	C/T % Sus*	CZA MIC Range µg/mL	CZA MIC ₅₀	CZA MIC ₉₀	CZA % Sus*
Pseudomonas aeruginosa (45)	0.25–16	1	8	87	0.5–64	2	16	82
BLR <i>P. aeruginosa</i> [†] (10)	2–16	4	8	60	2–64	8	64	50
Enterobacteriaceae (75)	$0.125 \rightarrow 256$	2	32	56	0.032-32	0.5	2	99
Enterobacter spp. (17)	0.5–64	4	64	18	0.125-32	1	4	94
Escherichia coli (29)	0.125–4	0.25	0.5	97	0.032-2	0.125	0.5	100
Klebsiella pneumoniae (24)	$0.25 - \ge 256$	4	128	42	0.125-8	1	2	100
ESBL K. pneumoniae (8)	0.25–4	0.25	4	88	0.125–1	0.25	1	100
CRE <i>K. pneumoniae</i> ‡ (16)	$1 - \ge 256$	8	≥256	19	1–8	2	4	100
Remaining isolates [§] (5)	2–16	16	16	20	0.5–2	1	2	100
<i>Enterobacteriaceae bla</i> kPC status ^{II}								
<i>bla</i> _{KPC} positive [¶] (10)	2–128	8	128	20	0.5–4	1	2	100
<i>bla</i> kec negative** (65)	0.125-≥256	0.5	16	62	0.032-32	0.25	2	99

Table 2. Ceftolozane-tazobactam (C/T) and ceftazidime-avibactam (CZA) activity against BLR gram-negative bacteria

*% Sus, % Susceptible based on Food and Drug Administration interpretative criteria for ceftolozane-tazobactam and ceftazidime-avibactam; ¹BLR *P. aerugi-nosa* isolates that were not susceptible to piperacillin-tazobactam, cefepime, meropenem, and imipenem; ¹CRE *K. pneumoniae*, carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates that either tested positive for *bla*_{KPC} (n=8) or were negative for *bla*_{KPC} and *bla*_{NDM} (n=8) by real-time PCR; [§]Remaining isolates, including *Citrobacter freundii* complex (n=3), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (n=1), and *Morganella morganii* (n=1); [¶]*Enterobacteriaceae bla*_{KPC} status, all of the above *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates identified only by *bla*_{KPC} status; [¶]*bla*_{KPC}-positive, isolates that tested positive for *bla*_{KPC} by real-time PCR; ^{**}*bla*_{KPC}-negative, isolates that either tested negative for *bla*_{KPC} and *bla*_{NDM} by real-time PCR (n=20) or lacked a phenotype (n=45) that is consistent with a *bla*_{KPC}-positive organism (i.e., lack of resistance to meropenem).

Abbreviations: C/T, ceftolozane-tazobactam; CZA, ceftazidime-avibactam; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; ESBL, extended spectrum β-lactamase; CRE, carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*.

strains and 75 *Enterobacteriaceae* strains. Table 1 shows the overall β -lactam susceptibility profile. A subset of *P. aeruginosa* isolates (n=10, 22%), termed " β -lactam-resistant (BLR)", were resistant to piperacillin/tazobactam, cefepime, meropenem, and imipenem.

The 50% minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC₅₀, 1 µg/mL) and 90% minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC₉₀, 8 µg/mL) of C/T were lower for the *P. aeruginosa* isolates than for the *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates (2 µg/mL and 32 µg/mL, respectively; Table 2). Furthermore, 87% (n=39) of all *P. aeruginosa* isolates and 60% (n=6) of the BLR *P. aeruginosa* isolates were C/T-susceptible (Table 2).

Within the *Enterobacteriaceae*, the C/T data showed groupdependent differences. For example, the *E. coli* isolates had a low MIC₉₀ (0.5 µg/mL), whereas the *Enterobacter* spp. had a higher MIC₉₀ (64 µg/mL). Overall, 56% (n=42) of all *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates were C/T-susceptible (Table 2).

In contrast to the C/T results, the *Enterobacteriaceae* had lower MIC₅₀ (0.5 µg/mL) and MIC₉₀ (2 µg/mL) values for CZA compared to the *P. aeruginosa* isolates (2 µg/mL and 16 µg/mL, respectively; Table 2). Notably, 82% (n=37) of all *P. aeruginosa* isolates were CZA-susceptible (Table 2), whereas 99% (n=74) of *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates were CZA-susceptible. An *Enterobacter* spp. isolate was CZA-resistant (MIC of 32 µg/mL), but was found to be negative for the bl_{AKPC} and bl_{ANDM} genes by real-time PCR.

Comparison of the *P. aeruginosa* C/T and CZA results showed 87% (n=39) concordance with 35 isolates testing susceptible and four isolates testing non-susceptible to both antibiotics. For the *Enterobacteriaceae*, there was only a 57% concordance between the C/T and CZA results; 42 isolates tested susceptible and one isolate tested non-susceptible to both antibiotics. All remaining isolates were only CZA-susceptible.

The availability of new agents with anti-gram-negative activity holds promise for treating MDR organisms. CZA provides an alternative treatment for *bla*_{KPC}-positive organisms, which are otherwise treated by agents with less desirable safety or efficacy profiles. Ceftolozane is a new cephalosporin with activity against *P. aeruginosa*, and in combination with tazobactam shows activity against ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* [9]. Notably, although two of the *bla*_{KPC}-positive isolates were C/T-susceptible, we would not expect C/T to be clinically effective.

In summary, we report the activities of C/T and CZA against a collection of BLR *Enterobacteriaceae* and *P. aeruginosa* isolates. Our results suggest that C/T and CZA are active against and rep-

resent possible therapeutic options for infections with BLR gramnegative bacteria.

Acknowledgments

Cubist supplied the C/T Etest strips, and Actavis supplied the CZA Etest strips. There was no funding for this study.

Authors' Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest

MDG has none to declare. CAB has received research support from bioMerieux, Cepheid, and Accelerate Diagnostics.

REFERENCES

- Estabrook M, Bussell B, Clugston SL, Bush K. *In vitro* activity of ceftolozane-tazobactam as determined by broth dilution and agar diffusion assays against recent U.S. *Escherichia coli* isolates from 2010 to 2011 carrying CTX-M-type extended-spectrum β-lactamases. J Clin Microbiol 2014;52:4049-52.
- Sader HS, Castanheira M, Mendes RE, Flamm RK, Farrell DJ, Jones RN. Ceftazidime-avibactam activity against multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated in U.S. medical centers in 2012 and 2013. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2015;59:3656-9.
- Buehrle DJ, Shields RK, Chen L, Hao B, Press EG, Alkrouk A, et al. Evaluation of the *in vitro* activity of ceftazidime-avibactam and ceftolozanetazobactam against meropenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2016;60:3227-31.
- Doern CD, Dunne WM Jr, Burnham CA. Detection of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC) production in non-*Klebsiella pneumoniae Enterobacteriaceae* isolates by use of the Phoenix, Vitek 2, and disk diffusion methods. J Clin Microbiol 2011;49:1143-7.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Multiplex real-time PCR detection of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC) and New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase (NDM-1). http://www.cdc.gov/hai/pdfs/labsettings/ kpc-ndm-protocol-2011.pdf. 2010.
- Manji R, Bythrow M, Branda JA, Burnham CA, Ferraro MJ, Garner OB, et al. Multi-center evaluation of the Vitek[®] MS system for mass spectrometric identification of non-*Enterobacteriaceae* Gram-negative bacilli. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2014;33:337-46.
- Richter SS, Sercia L, Branda JA, Burnham CA, Bythrow M, Ferraro MJ, et al. Identification of *Enterobacteriaceae* by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry using the Vitek MS system. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2013;32:1571-8.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 25th Informational supplement, M100-S25. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2015.
- 9. Sucher AJ, Chahine EB, Cogan P, Fete M. Ceftolozane/tazobactam: A new cephalosporin and β -lactamase inhibitor combination. Ann Pharmacother 2015;49:1046-56.