

The effect of whole-body vibration training on lean mass

A PRISMA-compliant meta-analysis

Hengting Chen, MD^{a,b}, Jianxiong Ma, PhD^c, Bin Lu, MD^c, Xin-long Ma, MD^{c,*}

Abstract

Background: Whole-body vibration training (WBVT) confers a continuous vibration stimuli to the body. Although some reports have discussed the effects of whole-body vibration (WBV) on bone mineral density and muscle strength, study of WBV effects on lean mass have not been determined. The purpose of the present meta-analysis was to evaluate published, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that investigated the effects of WBVT on lean mass.

Methods: We identified only RCTs by searching databases, including Web of Science, PubMed, Scopus, Embase, and the Cochrane Library from inception to March 2017. Data extraction, quality assessment, and meta-analysis were performed.

Results: Ten RCTs with 5 RCTs concentrating on older people, 3 on young adults, and 2 on children and adolescents were included. We additionally explored the effect of WBVT on postmenopausal women (6 trials from the 10 trials). Significant improvements in lean mass with WBVT were merely found in young adults (P = .02) but not in other populations compared to control group.

Conclusion: The effect of WBVT found in the present meta-analysis may be used in counteracting the loss of muscle mass in younger adults. Moreover, optimal WBVT protocols for greater muscle hypertrophy are expected to be investigated.

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval, ES = effect size, RCT = randomized controlled trial, SMD = standardized mean difference, WBV = whole-body vibration, WBVT = whole-body vibration training.

Keywords: lean mass, meta-analysis, muscle mass, WBV

1. Introduction

As average life expectancy has shown to prolong over the years in both developed and less developed countries, there is also a concurrent increase in health-related problems.^[1] Sarcopenia is a term derived from Greek words sarx (flesh) and penia (loss), which was firstly used by Rosenberg in 1989 to describe the decline in muscle mass among older people.^[2] The total decline in the muscle mass between age 40 and 80 years ranges from 30% to 50% and the annual decline in functional capacity is reported to be 1% to 2% after the age of 50 increasing to as much as 3% after the age of 60.^[3,4] To our knowledge, there are a crowd of reasons could result in muscle mass loss, such as physical inactivity, reduced protein intake, and many acute or chronic diseases,

The authors have no funding and conflicts of interest to disclose.

^a Tianjin Hospital, ^b Tianjin Medical University, ^c Biomechanics Labs of

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000008390

which could not merely happen in older people but in younger population. Muscle mass loss/sarcopenia is highly associated with osteopenia/osteoporosis, fracture, and many other diseases, and it will increase the risk for disability, hospitalization, and death in older adults.^[5–7] The ultimate remedy for sarcopenia yet has to be found, some interventions have proven their merits and might be of practical use in clinical practice, among which different types of physical exercise (eg, resistance training, highimpact aerobic activity, and Ving Tsun Chinese martial art) have been used to alleviate age-related muscular deterioration.^[8,9] However, the traditional impact exercise approach is strenuous and may increase the risk of fall, particularly when the exercise is unsupervised.^[10,11] Furthermore, some patients may have illnesses that prevent them from participating in vigorous impact exercise training.^[12,13] Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop safe and effective alternative methods to maintain muscle mass and to prevent sarcopenia.

Whole-body vibration (WBV) is a new way of exercise which uses mechanical stimuli generated by a vibrating platform and transmitted through the body where they load the body and stimulate sensory receptors.^[14] Previous studies have showed the obvious effect of WBV on improving muscle strength in mice and older adults.^[15,16] Muscle strength and lean mass are highly interrelated.^[17] Therefore, whole-body vibration training (WBVT) is expected to play a positive role on muscle mass. So far, numerous intervention studies have used WBVT to increase muscle mass of human body.^[18] However, some of them have shown controversial results. Moreover, the most effective vibration training protocols for clinical use in the treatment of sarcopenia are yet to be identified, which especially refer to

Editor: Dennis Enix.

These authors contributed equally to this work.

Orthopaedics Institute, Tianjin Hospital, Tianjin, People's Republic of China.

^{*} Correspondence: Xin-long Ma, Biomechanics Labs of Orthopaedics Institute, Tianjin Hospital, Tianjin 300050, People's Republic of China (e-mail: 2011023223@tmu.edu.cn).

Copyright © 2017 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Medicine (2017) 96:45(e8390)

Received: 22 May 2017 / Received in final form: 14 August 2017 / Accepted: 24 August 2017



From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097 Figure 1. Flow chart of the selection of studies.

amplitude, frequency, acceleration, and cumulative volume. Therefore, to more objectively advance our knowledge of its role in clinical practice, we conducted a meta-analysis of WBV effect on lean mass or muscle mass in humans.

2. Methods

2.1. Systematic literature search

The following electronic databases were searched from inception to March 2017: Web of Science, PubMed, Embase, Scopus, and the Cochrane Library. The following keyword combinations were used "whole body vibration" OR "WBV" AND "muscle mass" OR "lean mass." Figure 1 shows a flow diagram of the results from the entire search process.

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Since this study was a meta-analysis of published studies, ethical approval was not required. Inclusion criteria were: randomized controlled trials (RCTs); outcomes relating to lean mass or muscle mass; subjects were human who were not restricted based on age, sex, race, or physical activity levels; vibration was applied to the whole body via a platform with no restriction on training position, the frequency (hertz), amplitude (millimeters), magnitude (vibration acceleration due to gravity, g), study duration and

sessions of WBV; acceptable control interventions types including no treatment, sham vibration (audible sound with no mechanical vibration), and exercise interventions; and articles were published and in full length.

Exclusionary criteria were: non-English language papers; papers where only animal data were included; literature or systematic reviews; and non-RCTs.

2.3. Data extraction and risk of bias assessment

A standard data extraction form was designed to extract the relevant data from the included articles. Two reviewers used this form to collect the information from studies independently. The extracted data included any relevant information regarding the trials' characteristics, lean mass or muscle mass outcomes, and methodological quality. Data were extracted separately for 65 years of age or older, younger adults (between 18 and 65 years old), children, and adolescents, so that separate analyses can be performed for each population. Moreover, postmenopausal women were solely extracted from the included studies. Pooling these populations would introduce unwanted clinical heterogeneity, because physiologically different muscle metabolic processes occur in these populations. In children and adolescents, muscle is being developing, and their muscle mass typically increases over time and in response to effective therapies. In other groups, muscle mass generally plateaus and would be expected to



increase in response to effective interventions. Seven aspects of the studies related to the risk of bias were assessed, following the instructions in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Fig. 2).

2.4. Statistical methods

Review Manager Software for Windows (Version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Center, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014) was used to analyze study results. A random-effects model was used for all analyses, as clinical heterogeneity was assumed to exist because of differences in study methods. The effect size (ES) of the intervention was the difference in muscle mass or lean mass after the WBVT and in control participants. On account of that the protocols for WBVT are fairly various among the respective studies, the inverse variance method was used to standardize the mean differences by dividing the values with their corresponding SD. The standardized mean difference (SMD) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to weigh the ESs.^[19] The SMD values of 0.2, 0.5, and 0.8 were considered as small, medium, and large ESs of WBVT. *P* values less than .05 were considered to be statistically significant in our metaanalysis.

The Cochrane Q test for heterogeneity was performed and considered statistically significant if $P \le 0.10$. Heterogeneity was also quantified with the I^2 statistic. Studies with an I^2 statistic of 25% to 50% were recognized as having low heterogeneity.^[20] In cases of moderate or high heterogeneity, each study was rereviewed to identify whether any discrepancy could be identified, and subgroup analysis was performed. Priori specified sources of clinical heterogeneity were analyzed in the following subgroup analyses control intervention type (no treatment or sham vibration vs exercise interventions), frequency of WBV, magnitude of WBV, acceleration of WBV, cumulative dose of WBV, and measuring lean mass by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA, whole body or lower limbs). Median values of continuous variables were used as cutoff values to group the trials with frequency, magnitude, acceleration, and cumulative volume.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of study

From 130 potentially relevant titles and abstracts identified, 10 RCTs were determined eligible.^[21–30] The majority of identified studies were excluded because they were not RCTs. The remaining RCTs were then primarily excluded because they did not obtain lean mass or muscle mass measurements. Figure 1 shows the search results and selection procedure. The RCTs included in our systematic review involved the following study population types: older people, younger adults, postmenopausal women, adolescents, and children. The details of each study are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

3.2. Main analysis

3.2.1. Older people. There were 4 trials in aged population.^[21,23,25,29,30] Study participants included 239 healthy nonathletic European population aged over 65 years old. The results from the SMD between experimental and control groups showed no significant differences (SMD = -0.04, 95% CI: -0.28, 0.21; Fig. 3A). Moreover, in all subgroup analyses of whole-body vibration effect on muscle mass or lean mass in older people, our results remained nonsignificant (see Table 3).

3.2.2. Young adults. We extracted the effects of WBVT on muscle mass or lean mass in adults from 4 studies involving 103 adults aged under 65, which includes cyclists and nonathletic participants.^[24,26,28] Significant difference was found between experimental and control groups (SMD=0.35, 95%CI: 0.05, 0.64; Fig. 3B). However, in all subgroup analyses of whole-body vibration effect on muscle mass or lean mass in young adults, our results turned nonsignificant (see Table 3).

3.2.3. Postmenopausal women. There were 6 trials including 279 healthy nonathletic European women to evaluate the effects of WBV on muscle mass or lean mass.^[21,24,25,28–30] The results from the SMD between experimental and control groups showed no significant differences (SMD=0.15, 95%CI: -0.06, 0.36; Fig. 3C). In all subgroup analyses of whole-body vibration effect on muscle mass or lean mass in older people, our results remained unchanged (see Table 3).

3.2.4. Children and adolescents. There were only 2 trials including 43 children and adolescents to assess the influence of WBV on muscle mass or lean mass.^[22,27] Study participants

| Table 1 | | |
|------------|---------------|--------|
| Descrintio | n of included | studie |

| Study | Year | Women percentage | Age (mean + SD)I/C | Participant | Control intervention | |
|--------------------------------------|------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Older people | | | | | | |
| Gómez-Cabello ^[21] | 2013 | 59% | 75.2±4.7/74.8±4.9 | Healthy | No treatment | |
| Beck (A) ^[19] | 2010 | 100% | 68.5±8.6/74.2±8.1 | Healthy | No treatment | |
| Beck (B) ^[19] | 2010 | 100% | 68.9±7.0/74.2±8.1 | Healthy | No treatment | |
| Marín-Cascales (A) ^[23] | 2015 | 100% | $60.1 \pm 5.8/62.4 \pm 5.1$ | Healthy | No treatment | |
| Marín-Cascales (B) ^[23] | 2015 | 100% | $60.1 \pm 5.8/57.7 \pm 7.1$ | Healthy | Exercise | |
| Stengel ^[28] | 2010 | 100% | 68.8±3.6/68.6±3.0 | Healthy | Exercise | |
| Verschueren ^[27] | 2004 | 100% | 64.6+3.3/64.2+3.1 | Healthy | No treatment | |
| Young adults | | | | | | |
| Oosthuysev ^[24] | 2013 | 29% | 43.7+8.6/37.0+9.4 | Cyclists | Exercise | |
| Liphardt (A) (B) (C) ^[22] | 2015 | 100% | $59.1 \pm 4.6/58.5 \pm 3.3$ | Healthy | No treatment | |
| Tapp (A) ^[26] | 2013 | 100% | $53.2 \pm 2.1/54.1 \pm 5.3$ | Healthy | Exercise | |
| Tapp (B) ^[26] | 2013 | 100% | $53.2 \pm 2.1/55.2 \pm 6.4$ | Healthy | Exercise | |
| Adolscents and children | | | | | | |
| Edionwe (A) (B) ^[20] | 2015 | 32% | 11.7±3.7/13.1±4.0 | Thermally injured children | Exercise | |
| Shaughnessy ^[25] | 2013 | 29% | $15.3 \pm 2.6/15.8 \pm 3.0$ | Down syndrome adolescents | No treatment | |

included boys and girls aged 12 to 18 years with Down syndrome^[27] and thermally injured children.^[22] The results indicated that there were no significant differences of lean mass or muscle mass between experimental and control groups (SMD = -0.31, 95%CI: -2.51, 1.89; Fig. 3D). Moreover, when solely taking the muscle mass of lower limbs instead of the lean mass of whole body into account, the results stayed the same (SMD = 0.16, 95%CI -2.62, 2.95).

4. Discussion

The aim of this meta-analysis was to evaluate the existing literature regarding the effects of WBVT on lean mass or muscle mass in human. Our systematic evaluation of WBV found statistically significant improvement in lean mass or muscle mass in young adults (SMD=0.35, 95%CI: 0.05, 0.64, P=.05).

Although statistically significant, the effect size in adults was small (z=2.32). No significant effects were found in other populations. Hence, it may be not proper to take WBVT for the purpose of maintaining muscle mass in the population of children, adolescents, older people, and postmenopausal women.

There were various protocols for testing WBVT which included different methods of application, vibration characteristics (eg, frequency, amplitude, and acceleration), training duration, and study population. After WBVT in older people and postmenopausal women, changes in muscle mass observed in some of the included studies seem to be independent of vibration characteristics. The use of different frequencies (>30 Hz or less) or different amplitudes (>4 mm or less) or different acceleration (>3.2 g or less) found similar effects on muscle mass. Studies with different cumulative volume of WBVT (>480 minutes or less) obtained similar results. Besides, when making a subgroup

Table 2

```
Characteristics of WBVT intervention and muscle mass or lean mass assessment
```

| | Frequency, | Magnitude, | Acceleration, | | Cumulative | Measuring part |
|--|------------|------------|---------------|--|-------------|----------------|
| Study | Hz | mm | g | Protocol | volume, min | by DXA |
| Older people | | | | | | |
| Gómez-Cabello ^[21] | 40 | 2 | 6.4 | 11 wk * 3 times/wk, 6 min/per time | 198 | Whole body |
| Beck (A) ^[19] | 30 | 0-14 | 0.3 | 32 wk * 2 times/wk, 15 min/per session | 960 | Whole body |
| Beck (B) ^[19] | 12.5 | 0–14 | 1 | 32 wk * 2 times/wk, 6 min/per session | 384 | Whole body |
| Marín-Cascales (A) (B) ^[23] | 30 | 4 | 9.9 | 12 wk * 3 times/wk, 4 min/per session in the first 2 wk, 8 min/per session in remaining weeks | 264 | Whole body |
| Stengel ^[28] | 25-35 | 1.7–2 | 2.1-4.9 | 72 wk*2 times/wk, 15 min/per time | 2160 | Whole body |
| Verschueren ^[27] | 35–40 | 1.7-2.5 | 2.8-5 | 24 wk * 3 times/wk | None | Whole body |
| Young adults | | | | | | |
| Oosthuyse ^[24] | 30 | 4 | 5 | 10 wk * 3 times/wk, 6 min/per time | 180 | Whole body |
| Liphardt (A) ^[22] | 20 | 3–4 | 2.4-3.2 | 4 mo * 11 times/mo, 10 min/per time | 440 | Whole body |
| Liphardt (B) ^[22] | 20 | 3–4 | 2.4-3.2 | 8 mo * 11 times/mo, 10 min/per time | 880 | Whole body |
| Liphardt (C) ^[22] | 20 | 3–4 | 2.4-3.2 | 12 mo * 11 times/mo, 10 min/per time | 1320 | Whole body |
| Tapp (A) ^[26] | 35-40 | None | None | 8wk*3times/wk, 17–20min/per time | 408-480 | Whole body |
| Tapp (B) ^[26] | 35-40 | None | None | 8wk*3times/wk, 17-20min/per time | 408-480 | Whole body |
| Adolescents and children | | | | | | |
| Edionwe (A) ^[20] | 30-40 | 2–4 | 3.6-12.9 | 6wk*5times/wk, 12–15min/per time | 360-450 | Leg |
| Edionwe (B) ^[20] | 30-40 | 2–4 | 3.6-12.9 | 6 wk *5 times/wk, 12–15 min/per time | 360-450 | Trunk |
| Shaughnessy (A) ^[25] | 25-30 | 2 | 1.8-2.6 | 20 wk * 3 times/wk, 15–20 min/per time | 900-1200 | Whole body |
| Shaughnessy (B) ^[25] | 25–30 | 2 | 1.8-2.6 | 20 wk * 3 times/wk, 15-20 min/per time | 900-1200 | Lower limbs |

DXA = dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, WBVT = whole-body vibration training.



Figure 3. Primary analyses of whole-body vibration training (WBVT) effect on lean mass in different populations, (A) aged people, (B) young adults, (C) postmenopausal women, and (D) adolescents and children.

analysis grouping by control intervention type (no treatment or sham vibration vs exercise interventions) in older people, the results remained unchanged. In addition, we could discover that neither the lean mass in whole body nor in lower limbs measured by DXA have significant differences after WBVT in children and adolescents. In other words, there may be no different effects of WBV on different parts of body in children and adolescents. To sum up, we could draw our conclusion with more certainty that WBVT is not effective in improving muscle mass or lean mass in older people, postmenopausal women, adolescents, and children.

The older population may show greater increases in lower limb muscle activity during WBV exercise than their young counterparts, which means that they might benefit more from WBV exercises.^[31] Our analysis however shows that compared to the elderly, statistically significant improvement in lean mass or muscle mass was found in younger adults. Furthermore, excluding the athletic participants did not change the result, which may support the application of WBVT in young adults for the sake of maintaining or augmenting muscle mass. In accordance with older people and postmenopausal women, we could not find close relationships between the WBVT characteristics and the effects on muscle either. However, previous literatures show that different diameters of vibration may have diverse effects on muscle both in mice and human. High-frequency and high-acceleration WBVT may be more effective than low frequency in ameliorating aged-induced muscle loss in people and middle-aged mice.^[31,32] In various vibration frequencies, average electromyography root mean square activity of vastus lateralis was higher than in the novibration condition, and the electromyography root mean

Table 3

Subgroup analyses of WBVT effect on lean mass.

| Group | Studies | Lean mass SMD | 2 | | D difference |
|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------|------|--------|--------------|
| Group | reierences | (90%) | 1 | r | P unierence |
| Aged people | | | | | |
| >30 | Beck et al (2010) A. B. ^[21] Marín-Cascales et al (2015) A. | 0.06 (-0.32.0.44) | 30% | .24 | .76 |
| 200 | B ^[25] | 0.00 (0.02,0.11) | 0070 | | |
| ≤30 | Gómez-Cabello et al (2013), ^[23] Verschueren et al (2004), ^[29] Stengel et al (2012) ^[30] | 0.07 (-1.31,1.46) | 0% | .89 | .92 |
| Magnitude of WBV, mm | | | | | |
| >4 | Beck et al (2010) A, B ^[21] | -0.19 (-0.98,0.60) | 54% | .14 | .64 |
| ≤ 4 | Marín-Cascales et al (2015) A, B, ^[25] Verschueren et al (2004), ^[29] Stengel et al (2012) ^[30] | 0.08 (-0.19,0.36) | 0% | .68 | .55 |
| Acceleration of WBV, g | | | | | |
| >3.2 | Marín-Cascales et al (2015) A, B, ^[25] Verschueren et al (2004), ^[29] Stengel et al (2012), ^[30] Gómez-Cabello et al (2013) ^[23] | 0.07 (-0.18,0.32) | 0% | .82 | .56 |
| ≤3.2 | Beck et al (2010) A, B ^[21] | -0.19 (-0.98,0.60) | 54% | .14 | .64 |
| Cumulative dose of WBV, min | | | | | |
| >480 | Beck et al (2010) A, ^[21] Stengel et al (2012) ^[30] | 0.00 (-0.35,0.35) | 0% | .54 | .99 |
| ≤480 | Beck et al (2010) B, ^[21] Gómez-Cabello et al (2013), ^[23] Marín-Cascales et al (2015) A, B ^[25] | 0.01 (-0.33,0.37) | 26% | .26 | .93 |
| Type of control group | | | | | |
| No treatment | Beck et al (2010) A, B, ^{I2+1} Gomez-Cabello et al (2013), ^[23] | 0.00 (-0.29,0.30) | 0% | .55 | .99 |
| | Marin-Cascales et al (2015) A, ¹²³ Verschueren et al (2004) ^[29] | | | | |
| exercise | Marín-Cascales et al (2015) B, ^[25] Stengel et al (2012) ^[30] | 0.06 (-0.29,0.41) | 31% | .23 | .75 |
| Young adults WBV frequency, Hz | | | | | |
| >30 | Tapp et al (2014) A, B ^[28] | 0.30 (-0.50,1.09) | 0% | .79 | .46 |
| ≤30 | Liphardt et al (2015) A, B, C, ^[24] Oosthuyse et al (2013) ^[26] | 0.36 (-0.02,0.74) | 0% | .6 | .06 |
| Cumulative dose of WBV, min | | | | | |
| >480 | Liphardt et al (2015) B, C ^[24] | 0.49 (-0.02,1.00) | 0% | .82 | .06 |
| ≤480 | Liphardt et al (2015) A, ^[24] Oosthuyse et al (2013), ^[26] Tapp et al (2014) A, B ^[28] | 0.24 (-0.23,0.70) | 0% | .7 | .32 |
| Adolescent and children | | | | | |
| Measuring parts | 1001 | | | | |
| Lower limbs | Edionwe et al (2016) A, ^[22] Shaughnessy et al (2013) B ^[27] | 0.16 (-2.62,2.95) | 94% | <.0001 | .91 |
| Postmenopausal women | | | | | |
| WBV frequency, Hz | T | | | | |
| >30 | lapp et al (2014) A, B, ^[20] Stengel et al (2012), ^[30] Verschueren et al (2004) ^[29] | -0.02 (-0.28,0.25) | 0% | .86 | .91 |
| ≤30 | Beck et al (2010) A, B, ^[21] Liphardt et al (2015) A, B, C. ^[24] Marin-Cascales et al (2015) A, B ^[25] | 0.25 (-0.03,0.53) | 7% | .38 | .08 |
| Magnitude of WBV, mm | | | | | |
| >4 | Beck et al (2010) A,B ^[21] | -0.19 (-0.98,0.60) | 54% | .14 | .64 |
| ≤4 | Tapp et al (2014) A, B,^[28] Stengel et al (2012),^[30] Verschueren et al (2004),^[29] Liphardt et al (2015) A, B, C,^[24] Marín-Cascales et al (2015) A, B^[25] | 0.21 (-0.01,0.44) | 0% | .85 | .06 |
| Acceleration of WBV, g | | | | | |
| >3.2 | Marín-Cascales et al (2015) A, B, ^[25] Stengel et al (2012) ^[30] | 0.08 (-0.19,0.36) | 0% | .68 | .55 |
| ≤3.2 | Beck et al (2010) A, B, ^[21] Liphardt et al (2015) A, B, C ^[24] | 0.22 (-0.10,0.55) | 34% | .2 | .18 |
| Cumulative dose of WBV, min | | | | | |
| >480 | Beck et al (2010) A, ^[21] Liphardt et al (2015) B, C, ^[24] Stengel et al (2012) ^[30] | 0.16 (-0.13,0.45) | 0% | .42 | .28 |
| <u>≤</u> 480 | Beck et al (2010) B, ^[21] Liphardt et al (2015) A, ^[24] Marín-Cascales et al (2015) A, B, ^[25] Tapp et al (2014) A, B ^[28] | 0.16 (-0.18,0.50) | 6% | .38 | .35 |

SMD = standardized mean difference, WBV = whole-body vibration, WBVT = whole-body vibration training.

square was different in vastus lateralis muscle during WBVT conducted with diverse protocols.^[33] Therefore, further studies are required to explore the optimal protocol of WBV during clinical practice.

Although not fully understood, it has been proposed that neural and endocrine reactions may both mediate the training effect. Various neural mechanisms have been implicated in WBV-induced increased muscle activity. The most frequently cited mechanism underpinning the WBV response is a reflex muscular contraction termed the tonic vibration reflex, which refers to a sequence of rapid muscle stretching that occurs when applying vibration and that triggers muscle spindles.^[34,35] Other mechanisms of improved muscle function following vibration include enhanced corticospinal excitability and intracortical processes.^[36] Furthermore, it has been found that exposure to vibration produces a sharp increase in blood levels of hormones such as testosterone and growth hormone.^[37] These endocrine changes would partly explain the alteration in lean mass after vibration training.

There were significantly different effects of WBVT among different age groups in this meta-analysis, which suggests that different stage of age may be a vital factor in observed changes in muscle mass after WBVT. Considering the precise mechanisms that may underpin the relationship between age and the effects of WBVT are yet to be fully elucidated, another important future research is expected to be conducted.

4.1. Limitation

There are several limitations to our study: the small number of included studies due to the few publications in the existing literature that focused on the effect of WBVT intervention on lean mass, especially the children and adolescents (2 RCTs, n=43) populations; the number and percentage of males are too low in the population of older people and young adult in the study we included; the included studies used DXA scans to obtain lean mass which do not purely measure skeletal muscle mass; and the training attendance was not completely accordant with the designed plans.

5. Conclusion

This is the first meta-analysis of the effects of WBV on lean mass or muscle mass in humans with no restrictions on age and sex. Our evaluation demonstrated that WBVT could lead to improvement in lean mass or muscle mass in younger adults but not in other populations, which seemed not to depend on the vibratory parameters (frequency, amplitude, and acceleration), dose and control intervention type (no treatment or sham vibration vs exercise interventions). Rigorous and well-planned trials with larger samples should be conducted to determine the effectiveness of WBV in human and to explore the valid and optimal project in respective population.

References

- Bongaarts J. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, Sex Differentials in Childhood Mortality. Popul Dev Rev 2014;40:380–1380.
- [2] Rosenberg IH. Sarcopenia: origins and clinical relevance. J Nutr 2011;27:337–9.
- [3] Faulkner JA, Larkin LM, Claffin DR, et al. Age-related changes in the structure and function of skeletal muscles. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 2007;34:1091–6.

- [4] Masanes F, Culla A, Navarrogonzalez M, et al. Prevalence of sarcopenia in healthy community-dwelling elderly in an urban area of Barcelona (Spain). J Nutr Health Aging 2012;16:184–7.
- [5] Tarantino U, Piccirilli E, Fantini M, et al. Sarcopenia and fragility fractures: molecular and clinical evidence of the bone-muscle interaction. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2015;97:429.
- [6] Kalyani RR, Corriere M, Ferrucci L. Age-related and disease-related muscle loss: the effect of diabetes, obesity, and other diseases. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2014;2:819–29.
- [7] Fielding RA, Vellas B, Evans WJ, et al. Sarcopenia: an undiagnosed condition in older adults. Current consensus definition: prevalence, etiology, and consequences. International working group on sarcopenia. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2011;12:249–56.
- [8] Fiatarone MA, O'Neill EF, Doyle N, et al. Exercise training and nutritional supplementation for physical frailty in very elderly people. N Engl J Med 1994;330:1769–75.
- [9] Fong SS, Chan JS, Bae YH, et al. Musculoskeletal profile of middle-aged Ving Tsun Chinese martial art practitioners: a cross-sectional study. Medicine 2017;96:e5961.
- [10] Howe TE, Rochester L, Jackson A, et al. Exercise for improving balance in older people. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007;4:CD004963.
- [11] Liu CJ, Latham NK. Progressive resistance strength training for improving physical function in older adults. Int J Older People Nurs 2009;6:CD002759.
- [12] Pang MY, Eng JJ, Dawson AS, et al. A community-based fitness and mobility exercise (FAME) program for older adults with chronic stroke: a randomized controlled trial. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005;53:1667.
- [13] Marigold DS, Eng JJ, Dawson AS, et al. Exercise leads to faster postural reflexes, improved balance and mobility, and fewer falls in older persons with chronic stroke. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005;53:416–23.
- [14] Cardim AB, Marinho PE, Nascimento JFJr, et al. Does whole-body vibration improve the functional exercise capacity of subjects with COPD? A meta-analysis. Respir Care 2016;61:1552–9.
- [15] Mikhael M, Orr R, Amsen F, et al. Effect of standing posture during whole body vibration training on muscle morphology and function in older adults: a randomised controlled trial. BMC Geriatr 2010;10:74.
- [16] Mettlach G, Poloparada L, Peca L, et al. Enhancement of neuromuscular dynamics and strength behavior using extremely low magnitude mechanical signals in mice. J Biomech 2014;47:162–7.
- [17] Cawthon PM, Fox KM, Gandra SR, et al. Clustering of strength, physical function, muscle, and adiposity characteristics and risk of disability in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 2011;59:781–7.
- [18] Roelants M, Delecluse C, Goris M, et al. Effects of 24 weeks of whole body vibration training on body composition and muscle strength in untrained females. Int J Sports Med 2004;25:1.
- [19] Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? Control Clin Trials 1996;17:1–2.
- [20] Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003;327:557–60.
- [21] Beck BR, Norling TL. The effect of 8 mos of twice-weekly low- or higher intensity whole body vibration on risk factors for postmenopausal hip fracture. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2010;89:997–1009.
- [22] Edionwe J, Hess C, Fernandez-Rio J, et al. Effects of whole-body vibration exercise on bone mineral content and density in thermally injured children. Burns 2016;42:605.
- [23] Gómez-Cabello A, González-Agüero A, Ara I, et al. Effects of a shortterm whole body vibration intervention on physical fitness in elderly people. Maturitas 2013;74:1255–8.
- [24] Liphardt AM, Schipilow J, Hanley DA, et al. Bone quality in osteopenic postmenopausal women is not improved after 12 months of whole-body vibration training. Osteoporos Int 2015;26:911–20.
- [25] Marín-Cascales E, Rubio-Arias JA, Romero-Arenas S, et al. Effect of 12 weeks of whole-body vibration versus multi-component training in postmenopausal women. Rejuvenation Res 2015;18:508.
- [26] Oosthuyse T, Viedge A, Mcveigh J, et al. Anaerobic power in road cyclists is improved after 10 weeks of whole-body vibration training. J Strength Cond Res 2013;27:485–94.
- [27] Shaughnessy HJ, Levinson SO. Effects of whole body vibration training on body composition in adolescentss with Down syndrome. Res Dev Disabil 2013;34:1426–33.
- [28] Tapp LR, Signorile JF. Efficacy of WBV as a modality for inducing changes in body composition, aerobic fitness, and muscular strength: a pilot study. Clin Interv Aging 2014;9:63–72.
- [29] Verschueren SM, Roelants M, Delecluse C, et al. Effect of 6-month whole body vibration training on hip density, muscle strength, and postural

- [30] Von SS, Kemmler W, Engelke K, et al. Effect of whole-body vibration on neuromuscular performance and body composition for females 65 years and older: a randomized-controlled trial. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2012;22:119–27.
- [31] Lienhard K, Vienneau J, Nigg S, et al. Older adults show higher increases in lower-limb muscle activity during whole-body vibration exercise. J Biomech 2017;52:55–60.
- [32] Lin CI, Huang WC, Chen WC, et al. Effect of whole-body vibration training on body composition, exercise performance and biochemical responses in middle-aged mice. Metab Clin Exp 2015;64: 1146–56.
- [33] Cardinale M, Lim J. Electromyography activity of vastus lateralis muscle during whole-body vibrations of different frequencies. J Strength Cond Res 2003;17:621–4.
- [34] Couto BP, Silva HR, Filho AG, et al. Acute effects of resistance training with local vibration. Int J Sports Med 2013;34:814–9.
- [35] Zaidell LN, Mileva KN, Sumners DP, et al. Experimental evidence of the tonic vibration reflex during whole-body vibration of the loaded and unloaded leg. PloS One 2013;8:e85247.
- [36] Pamukoff DN, Ryan ED, Blackburn JT. The acute effects of local muscle vibration frequency on peak torque, rate of torque development, and EMG activity. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2014;24:888–94.
- [37] Bosco C, Iacovelli M, Tsarpela O, et al. Hormonal responses to wholebody vibration in men. Eur J Appl Physiol 2000;81:449.