

## Suppressive Modulation of the Chick Forebrain Network for Imprinting by Thyroid Hormone: An *in Vitro* Study

Yuriko Saheki<sup>1</sup>, Naoya Aoki<sup>2</sup>, Koichi J. Homma<sup>2</sup> and Toshiya Matsushima<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular Biology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Teikyo University, Tokyo, Japan

The thyroid hormone 3,5,3'-triiodothyronine (T<sub>3</sub>) is considered to act acutely in the chick forebrain because focal infusion of T<sub>3</sub> to the intermediate medial mesopallium (IMM) causes 4 to 6-day-old hatchlings to become imprintable approximately 30 min after the infusion. To understand the mechanism of this acute T<sub>3</sub> action, we examined synaptic responses of IMM neurons in slice preparations in vitro. Extracellular field potential responses to local electrical stimulation were pharmacologically dissociated to synaptic components mediated by AMPA and NMDA receptors, as well as GABA-A and -B receptors. Bathapplied  $T_3$  (20–40  $\mu$ M) enhanced the positive peak amplitude of the field potential, which represented the GABA-A component. Bicuculline induced spontaneous epileptic bursts by NMDA receptor activation, and subsequent application of T<sub>3</sub> suppressed the bursting frequency. Pretreatment of slices with  $T_3$  failed to influence the synaptic potentiation caused by tetanic stimulation. Intracellular whole-cell recording using a patch electrode confirmed the  $T_3$  actions on the GABA-A and NMDA components.  $T_3$  enhanced the GABA-A response and suppressed the NMDA plateau potential without changes in the resting membrane potential or the threshold of action potentials. Contrary to our initial expectation, T<sub>3</sub> suppressed the synaptic drives of IMM neurons, and did not influence activity-dependent synaptic potentiation. Imprinting-associated T<sub>3</sub> influx may act as an acute suppressor of the IMM network.

OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Krystyna Pierzchala-Koziec, University of Agriculture in Krakow, Poland

#### Reviewed by:

Revaz Solomonia, Ilia State University, Georgia Brian McCabe, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

#### \*Correspondence:

Toshiya Matsushima matsushimatoshiya@gmail.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Avian Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physiology

Received: 23 February 2022 Accepted: 04 April 2022 Published: 20 April 2022

#### Citation:

Saheki Y, Aoki N, Homma KJ and Matsushima T (2022) Suppressive Modulation of the Chick Forebrain Network for Imprinting by Thyroid Hormone: An in Vitro Study. Front. Physiol. 13:881947. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.881947 Keywords: imprinting, thyroid hormone, sensitive period, NMDA receptor, GABA-A receptor

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Since being coined by Lorenz (1937), imprinting has amassed a long history of ethological and neuroscientific investigation as a unique form of behavioral development toward formation of social attachment in precocial animals such as domestic chicks and ducklings (Bolhuis et al., 1985; Bolhoius 1999). Beside the cellular and molecular bases of imprinting memory formation (Horn, 1998, Horn, 2004; Horn et al., 2001), considerable efforts have addressed the sensitive (or critical) period, one of the core characteristics of imprinting (Hess, 1958, Hess, 1959; Bateson, 1978). However, the mechanisms underlying this aspect of imprinting remained vague until recently.

Thyroid hormone (3,5,3'-triiodothyronine,  $T_3$ ) is the critical molecule determining the sensitive period (Yamaguchi et al., 2012). Imprinting enhances expression of the converting enzyme (type2 iodothyronine deiodinase, Dio2) in the vascular endothelial cells of the dorsal pallium (including the intermediate medial mesopallium, IMM), leading to a rapid influx of  $T_3$  to the neuronal network involved in imprinting. Two distinct modes of  $T_3$  action occur, an acute and a chronic effect. Usually,

1

4 to 6-day-old hatchlings cannot be imprinted, but injection of exogenous  $T_3$  (either systemically or focally into the IMM that is responsible for imprinting) makes them imprintable approximately 30 min after the injection;  $T_3$  acutely re-opens the previously-closed sensitive period. Systemic  $T_3$  application also increases the imprinting score in 1-day-old chicks, indicating that thyroid hormone is functional at hatching or earlier. Furthermore, imprinting on day 1, or a single injection of exogenous  $T_3$ , makes the treated chicks imprintable to an object of novel color at 4–6-days post-hatching, when the sensitive period is normally closed;  $T_3$  elongates the sensitive period so that the imprinted chicks remain imprintable.

Recently, Batista et al. (2018) revealed that the mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) is critically involved in the acute effect of thyroid hormone; its blockade by rapamycin injection impaired imprinting, and its activation re-opened the sensitive period at 4-days post-hatching, similar to thyroxine injection. Furthermore, we have found that development of GABA receptor-mediated transmission in the IMM is also critical in the control of the sensitive period (Aoki et al., 2018); systemic intravenous injection of GABA-B agonist (baclofen) made 4-day-old hatchlings imprintable, whereas its selective blockade impaired imprinting in 1-day-old hatchlings. Thyroid hormone can act on the mTORC1 kinase cascade and GABA receptors to affect behavior.

Despite these clear-cut pharmacological findings, the assumed biochemical cascades have not been causally linked to the network properties of the IMM. It is important to determine how thyroid hormone affects in the IMM neurons and synapses, and how these cellular/synaptic events change the neural network dynamics. Furthermore, which of these changes lead to the behavioral expression of social attachment in hatchlings needs to be determined.

In addition to detailed Golgi cytoarchitecture (Tömböl et al., 1988), ample histochemical and connectivity data are available for the IMM (Bradley et al., 1985; Csillag, 1999). A neurophysiological study reported activity-dependent synaptic potentiation in the IMM (Bradley et al., 1991), although the synaptic transmission responsible was not specified. We (Yanagihara et al., 1998) then showed that a DNQX-sensitive excitatory component (namely AMPA receptor mediated) is potentiated by locally applied tetanic electrical stimulation, but the plastic change was not linked to immediate early gene (*c-fos*) expression, which was clearly associated with the imprinting score at the individual level (McCabe and Horn, 1994). Since the single-unit characterization of visual objects in the IMM (Horn et al., 2001), little progress has been made and our understanding of imprinting mechanisms remains elusive at the neuron level.

In this study, inspired by the recent findings concerning thyroid hormone, we investigated the neuronal/synaptic target of the hormonal action using IMM slice preparation *in vitro*. If  $T_3$  activated the IMM network, exogenous bath application of  $T_3$  should enhance the neuronal excitability, excitatory synaptic drive or facilitate synaptic potentiation. Alternatively,  $T_3$  might not modulate IMM excitability, but might act via other aspects of the neural network. As reported for cultured mammalian

hippocampal neurons (Losi et al., 2008; Puia and Losi 2011),  $T_3$  can suppress the synaptic transmission mediated by GABA and glutamate receptors. This also seems to be the case in chicks, as we show here.

### 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Slice Preparation

Domestic chicks of a white leghorn strain (called "Julia" by the local name of hatchery; 2-5 days post-hatching, mostly 3 days old) were used. Fertilized eggs were purchased from a local supplier (Sankyo Labo Service Co., Sapporo, Japan; Iwamura Poultry Co., Yubari, Japan; Nippon White Farm Co., Shiretoko Farm, Abashiri, Japan) and incubated in the laboratory. To avoid possible complication due to uncontrolled learning experiences, hatchlings were individually housed in cages placed in a dark incubator at 37°C until used in experiments. Chicks were decapitated under a deep anesthesia by i.m. injection of 0.8 ml ketamine-xylazine cocktail; a 1:1 mixture of 10 mg/ml ketamine hydrochloride (Daiichi-Sankyo Propharma Co., Tokyo, Japan) and 2 mg/ml xylazine (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, Missouri, United States ). The left hemisphere of telencephalon was dissected out, and quickly immersed in ice-chilled Krebs solution composed of (in mM): NaCl, 113; NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 25.0; KCl, 4.7; KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1.2; CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 2.5; MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 1.2, glucose, 11.1; pH was adjusted to 7.2-7.4 by bubbling the solution with 95% O<sub>2</sub>—5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Using a vibrating microslicer (DTK-1000, Dosaka EM Co., Kyoto, Japan), two to three 400 µm-thick coronal slices were cut from the brain and stored for recovery (>1 h) in an interface-type slice chamber at room temperature (ca. 26-28 °C) supplied with a continuous flow of humid  $O_2/CO_2$  gas. Thereafter, slices were placed in a submersion-type recording chamber (ca. 2 ml in volume), which was continuously perfused with Krebs solution held at 30 °C at a flow rate of 1.5 ml/min. The following drugs were applied to the perfusing solution: 3,5,3'-triiodo-L-thyronine (T<sub>3</sub>, Sigma Co.); 6,7dinitroquinoxaline-2,3-dion (DNQX, Tocris Bioscience Co.); 1(S),9(R)-(-)-bicuculline methiodide (bicuculline, Sigma Co.); D (-)-2-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid (D-AP5, Wako Co.) [3-[[(3,4-dichlorophenyl)methyl] amino]propyl](diethoxymethyl)-phosphinic acid (CGP52432, Tocris Bioscience Co.). Concentration of receptor blockers in the perfusing bath solution was fixed at: DNQX (10  $\mu$ M), bicuculline (10  $\mu$ M), D-AP5 (50  $\mu$ M), and CGP52432 (1 µM). When Ca<sup>2+</sup> was removed, no divalent cation was substituted.

### 2.2 Electrical Stimulation and Recording of Extracellular Field Potential

Electrical stimulation was delivered via a concentric metal electrode (o.d. =  $300 \,\mu\text{m}$ ; UB-9007, Unique Medical Co., Tokyo Japan) placed on the slice surface. The center (or sleeve) of the electrode was connected to the cathode (or

anode) of the isolating unit (SS-203 J) and pulse generator (SEN-3301, Nihon Koden Co., Tokyo, Japan) in the constant current mode. Field potential was recorded using a pair of Krebssolution-filled glass micropipettes (o.d. = 1.2 mm, i. d. = 0.69 mm, borosilicate glass; #BF120-69-10, Sutter Instruments Co., Novato, California, United States ) with fine tips made by pulling and cutting (o.d. = ca. 50  $\mu$ m). One pipette (plus pole) was placed in the slice tissue, and another (minus pole) was submerged in the perfusing Krebs solution as the reference electrode. These pipettes were connected through inserted silver wires to a differential amplifier (DAM-50, World Precision Instruments Inc. Sarasota, Florida, United States ) set at frequency range of 1 to 1,000 Hz and gain of x1,000. The amplified signals were stored in a computer via an A/D interface and sampling software (Power 1401 and Signal ver 5, Cambridge Electronic Design Ltd. Cambridge, United Kingdom) after digitizing at 5 kHz.

# 2.3 Whole-Cell Intracellular Recording by Nystatin-Perforation Patch Recording

To characterize components of the recorded field potential responses, intracellular voltage recordings were obtained using Nystatin-perforation patch recording. For details of the methods, see Matsushima and Aoki (1995). Briefly, patch glass pipettes (tip size: 1-2 µm) were filled with a solution containing (in mM: K-gluconate, 123; KCl, 18; NaCl, 9; MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1; EGTA, 0.2; HEPES, 10; pH adjusted to 7.3 with KOH) with a DC-resistance of  $3-6 M\Omega$ . Nystatin (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the solution at 100 µg/ml just before recording. To load chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>) intracellularly, K gluconate was substituted by equimolar KCl, 141 mM. Membrane potential was recorded with a single-electrode voltage-clamp amplifier (CEZ-3100, Nihon Kohden Co., with bridge balance mode or single electrode current clamp mode, sampling clock rate = 30 kHz, high-cut filter = 2 kHz) and stored in a computer. Data were discarded when resting membrane potential was above -50 mV or stable responses lasted for less than 30 min.

# 2.4 Histological Verification of Stimulating and Recording Sites

After recording, slices were fixated in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffer (0.1 M PB, pH = 7.2) for ca. 30 min at room temperature, embedded in gelatin and post-fixed in the same fixative for 1 day at 4°C. Sections, 50 µm thick, were cut from the slices using a microslicer (DTK-3000, Dosaka EM Co.), and then mounted on slide glasses, air dried, and stained with cresyl violet. Data were discarded when holes made by the recording electrodes were found outside of the mesopallium. The recording sites were superimposed on a representative intact section after adjusting the location of the ventricle and the boundary between the mesopallium and the nidopallium. Histological judgements were based on the chick brain atlas by Kuenzel and Masson (1988) and terminology followed nomenclature reform (Reiner et al., 2004).

### 3 RESULTS

### **3.1 Local Synaptic Transmission and Network Dynamics of the Pallial Neural Network**

## 3.1.1 Excitatory and Inhibitory Synaptic Transmission in the IMM

As reported previously (Matsushima and Aoki 1995), field potential responses to local electrical stimulation are composed of an initial negative spike-like component (S-waves) followed by slower positive waves (P-waves); Figure 1Aa shows an averaged trace (~30 successive responses at 10 s intervals). Histological examination confirmed that stimulation electrodes were located at ca. 500 µm dorsal to the recording sites in the IMM (Figure 1C). The S-wave contained pre-synaptic action potentials that were unmasked in Ca<sup>2+</sup>-free Krebs solution (Figure 1Ab), whereas the later component of the S-wave (indicated by \*) was of post-synaptic origin because this and the following P-waves (indicated by #) were almost completely diminished in Ca<sup>2+</sup>-free conditions. In other words, the postsynaptic response was composed of the initial negative (\*) and the late positive wave (#) components. Subsequent successive addition of blockers to the bath (DNQX for AMPA receptor blockade, Figure 1Bb; bicuculline for GABA-A receptor blockade, Bb; CGP52432 for GABA-B receptor blockade, 1Bc; D-AP5 for NMDA receptor blockade, 1Bd) confirmed that the P-waves represents a compound of early excitatory (glutamatergic) and late inhibitory (GABA) transmission; all traces in Ba-d are non-averaged single traces. The blue trace represents a typical single response trace in normal Krebs; inlet traces show the time expansion. DNQX blocked the initial P-waves component (blue arrow in Figure 1Ba) and the late component (brown arrow). The GABA-A blocker unmasked a lasting barrage of unsynchronized spikes (green arrow in Figure 1Bb, late P-waves). Blockade of GABA-B transmission augmented the spiking barrages (black arrow in Bc), which were abolished by D-AP5 (Bd) leaving the pre-synaptic action potentials as in Figure 1Ab.

## 3.1.2 Epileptiform Excitatory Bursts After GABA-A Blockade

In normal Krebs, without electrical stimulation, field potential recording did not show any spontaneous activities. Bath-applied bicuculline induced DNQX-sensitive epileptiform bursts (indicated by %) that emerged spontaneously at varying intervals of ca. 5–15 s (**Figure 1Db**). The activities were not localized but spread ventrally from the dorsomedial pallium. Field potentials were simultaneously recorded dorso-ventrally in medial forebrain regions, with the reference recording site set in the IMM (**Figure 1F**); experiments were repeated in eight slices. The intermediate medial hyperpallium apicale (IMHA) was 500 µm dorsal to the IMM, and the medial nidopallium (MN) was 500–1,000 µm ventral. **Figure 1E** shows three occasions, in which simultaneous IMHA (thick line traces, onset indicated by downward arrow) and IMM (thin line



FIGURE 1 | (A–C) Field potential responses to local electrical stimulation of the IMM. (A) Averaged field potentials recorded in normal Krebs and in Ca<sup>2+</sup>-free Krebs; ~30 successive responses at 10 s intervals were averaged. Superimposed traces reveal a pre-synaptic component (S-wave) and post-synaptic responses (P-waves). (B) Bath-applied blockers dissociated components of the P-waves; single traces (not averaged). The trace shown in blue indicates the control recorded in normal Krebs. DNOX-sensitive fast excitatory transmission is represented by the early rising phase of the P-wave, whereas the late component (late P-waves) is NMDA-receptormediated (D-AP5-sensitive) slow excitation that was unmasked by GABA-A and–B blockers (bicuculine and CGP52432, respectively). (C) Sites of electrical stimulation and field potential recording plotted on a representative photomicrograph of a frontal section (see inlet). (D–F) Field potential recording of spontaneous epileptiform activities induced by bath-applied bicuculline. (D) Spontaneous epileptiform discharges (%) with bicuculline, which disappeared after DNOX was added to the bath. (E) Epileptiform activities simultaneously recorded from two sites, one from a point in the IMM (shown by grey traces) and another from a point 500 µm dorsal to the reference site (shown by black traces). Three examples are shown where the dorsal activity led (Ea), followed (Eb) or occurred simultaneously (Ec). Downward arrows indicate onset of the negative component, and asterisks denote the initial positive component recorded in the IMM, or the reference point. (F) Proportion of the leading (blue), following (orange) and simultaneous (grey) activities. Recording sites in eight slices are plotted on the same photomicrograph as C; number of events (*n*) indicates the total events obtained from these eight slices.

reference traces, onset indicated by asterisk) recordings were superimposed. The IMHA bursts led (**Figure 1Ea**) or followed (Eb) the IMM bursts in almost equal frequency, indicating that the bursts could emerge from epileptic focal spots scattered around IMHA-IMM regions. However, bursts in the MN almost always followed the IMM bursts. Within the frontal plane of the pallial network, IMHA-IMM could be the most excitable hotspot, from which bursts spread ventrally to the MN.

As described below, we then examined the effects of exogenously applied  $T_3$  on local synaptic transmissions and epileptiform bursts in the IMM.

# **3.2 Acute Neurophysiological Effects of T**<sub>3</sub> 3.2.1 T<sub>3</sub> Enhanced the Bicuculline-Sensitive Synaptic Transmissions, Field Potential Analysis

Bath-applied  $T_3$  gradually enhanced the peak amplitude of the P-wave (**Figure 2A**); averaged traces (30 successive records at 10s intervals) obtained from one control slice with vehicle (Aa) and another slice with  $T_3$  (40 µM) (Ab) are compared. Responses recorded at 25–30 min after the onset of drug application (black traces) were superimposed on those during a 5-min period just before the application (grey traces with red upward arrows). Effects were not found on the onset slope of the initial S-wave (indicated by dashed blue lines), indicating that the neuronal



excitability to the applied electrical stimulation remained unchanged.

**Figure 2B** shows the time course of changes in the vehicle control (upper) and the  $T_3$  experimental (lower) slices. Recording was composed of pre-application (0–30 min), application (30–60 min) and post-application wash-out (60–90 min). Peak amplitude of the P-wave was plotted every 10 s after normalization against the responses during the last 5 min of the pre-application. Red traces indicate the population average of the superimposed recordings (13 slices for vehicle and 10 slices for  $T_3$ ). The P-wave amplitude started to rise as soon as  $T_3$  was introduced to the bath and rose continuously during the application period. After  $T_3$  was removed from the bath, the P-wave dropped immediately, but the amplitude stayed higher than 1.0 at 90 min, at 30 min after washout.

The  $T_3$  effects survived after AMPA receptor-mediated excitatory transmission was blocked by DNQX (**Figure 2Ca**) but disappeared when GABA-A receptor was also blocked (Cb).

Also note that DNQX strongly suppressed the P-wave; when compared with the control traces (gray traces in Aa,b), P-wave was much smaller in DNQX (blue traces in Ca). Population data are shown in Figure 2D for the normalized slope of the S-wave (the initial drop phase; dashed blue lines) and the peak amplitude of the early P-wave (normalized against the pre-T<sub>3</sub> perfusion; red arrows). One-way ANOVA revealed no significant difference in the S-wave (p = 0.28), but the differences were significant in the early P-wave (p = 0.0016); further post-hoc multiple comparisons were made by Tukey's method and revealed a significant difference between vehicle and  $T_3$  (40  $\mu$ M). The dose response relationship of  $T_3$  effects was examined in an additional 20 slice preparations as shown in Figure 2E. Comparisons with the duplicated data of Figure 2D revealed a significant effect of dose (ANOVA, p < 0.001), although post-hoc multiple comparisons showed only a suggestive level of difference (p = 0.057) for T<sub>3</sub> (20  $\mu$ M) over vehicle.



between two groups, but no significant difference was apparent.

These findings indicated that  $T_3$  enhances GABA-A-ergic inhibitory synaptic transmission without changes in the presynaptic action potentials, namely the neuronal excitability. The early P-waves are considered to be composed of GABA-Aergic synaptic inhibition. At this post-hatch stage, GABA-A receptor mediated synaptic current is hyperpolarizing by Cl<sup>-</sup> influx (see below and **Figure 5Bb** for the reversal potential of the Cl<sup>-</sup> ion), giving rise to positive waves when recorded extracellularly as field potential response. However, these results do not reject the possibility that the AMPA receptor-mediated fast synaptic excitation is also modulated by  $T_3$ .

# 3.2.2 $T_3$ Failed to Facilitate Synaptic Potentiation by Low-Frequency Tetanic Stimulation, Field Potential Analysis

To examine if T<sub>3</sub> could also modulate activity-dependent synaptic plasticity, effects of low frequency tetanic stimulation were

compared between two groups of slice preparations, one with  $T_3$  bath application for 30min (with an additional interval of 30min before tetanus) and another without  $T_3$  (control). In both groups, slice preparations were maintained in the recording chamber with test stimulation applied at 10-s intervals. As shown in **Figure 3A** (averaged traces for 5 min from two examples) and **Figure 3B** (population data), pre-treatment by  $T_3$  yielded no significant differences; S-wave (p = 0.71; Mann-Whitney's *U*-test) and early P-wave (p = 0.49), respectively. As the slice preparations were obtained from naïve inexperienced chicks (see 2.1. in Methods), we assume that non-specific saturation of synaptic plasticity did not occur as reported by Bradley et al. (1999).

3.2.3 T<sub>3</sub> Suppressed the Frequency of the Epileptiform Bursts Induced by Bicuculline, Field Potential Analysis The spontaneous epileptic bursts induced by bicuculline are composed of two negative components. The first peak (Figure 4Aa and Figure 4b; also see Figure 1E) represents the highly synchronized compound action potentials due to fast excitatory transmission, and the second peak coincides with the lasting strong depolarization of the NMDA receptor mediated plateau potential (Yanagihara et al., 1998). The bursts repeated for several hours, and the amplitude (both 1st and 2nd peaks) gradually became smaller (Figure 4Aa); superimposed traces recorded at 30 min (grey trace) and 60 min (black trace). The gradual decrease in amplitude was accompanied by gradual increase in frequency (number of bursts in 5 min, Figure 4Ca). Further blockade of GABA-B-ergic transmission by CGP52432 (Figure 4Ac) strongly enhanced the 2nd peak, on which barrages of epileptiform bursts were superimposed for several seconds. These barrages were suppressed by D-AP5 (data not shown), indicating that GABA-B transmission potently masked the NMDA receptor mediated long-lasting excitation of the network. However, CGP52432 failed to change the first component (1st peak in Figure 4B), which is the fast compound action potentials.

T<sub>3</sub> increased the amplitude and decreased the frequency of the epileptic bursts. Population data (**Figure 4B**) revealed that both components were enhanced by T<sub>3</sub>; one-way ANOVA revealed significant differences among the three groups (p = 0.015 for the 1st peak, p = 0.038 for the 2nd peak), with both peaks showing significant pair-wise differences between control and T<sub>3</sub> (post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparisons). In the control condition in which only bicuculline was applied, the frequency gradually increased (**Figure 4Ca**). When T<sub>3</sub> (40 µM) was added, the frequency increase was arrested (Cb), and the slope of the frequency change during the latter half of the recording session (30 min) was significantly lower (**Figure 4D**) (p = 0.003; Tukey's multiple comparison). CGP52432 caused a much stronger suppression (p < 0.001) compared with the control and T<sub>3</sub>.

These  $T_3$  effects on epileptiform bursts can be accounted for either by 1) enhanced NMDA receptor mediated depolarization or 2) reduced GABA-B receptor mediated inhibition. Furthermore,  $T_3$  could modulate the resting membrane



potentials, spiking threshold and other factors involved in spike generation, rather than synaptic transmissions. We have therefore examined  $T_3$  effects by intracellular recordings from IMM neurons.

#### 3.2.4 T<sub>3</sub> Suppressed the NMDA Plateau Potential and Enhanced GABA-A Responses, Intracellular Whole-Cell Recording Analysis

NMDA receptor activation produces a bistabile property in the membrane potential by negative resistance because of depolarization-induced release of the  $Mg^{2+}$  blockade. When disinhibited by bicuculline, local electrical stimulation reliably elicited a plateau potential for a considerable period without any additional synaptic drive. **Figure 5A** shows an example recorded in single-electrode current clamp mode using a normal (low Cl<sup>-</sup> concentration) pipette solution. After a depolarizing current injection through the recording electrode (duration = 100 msec, indicated by a rectangle above the traces), four repetitive electric shocks were applied at 40 msec intervals

(dots); six consecutive responses recorded at 10 s intervals were superimposed. The depolarizing current intensity was adjusted so that one action potential was induced at the peak of the depolarization. In control conditions (normal Krebs in the bath), each shock generated quickly decaying excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) with action potentials on the peak of the first and/or the second shocks. When bicuculline was added (Ab), a depolarizing plateau (indicated by \* at the shoulder) appeared and lasted for ca. 400 msec after the electric shocks; notice that the depolarization muted the neuron and action potentials failed to occur during the plateau. Bath applied T<sub>3</sub> (40  $\mu$ M, Ac and Ad) gradually reduced the duration of the plateau (3 and 10 min after  $T_3$  reached the chamber) without suppressing the initial component (indicated by #); fast EPSPs were thus supposed to be insensitive to T<sub>3</sub>. D-AP5 completely suppressed the plateau (Ae), confirming its NMDA-receptor origin. Notice also that the resting membrane potential, the depolarization by the current injection, and the threshold membrane potential for generating spikes remained unchanged.



The NMDA-plateau potential was induced by bicuculline application in all 15 neurons recorded from eight slice preparations, with resting membrane potentials ranging from -50 to -67 mV (mean  $\pm$  s. e.m. = -59.5  $\pm$  1.6 mV) and stable recording maintained for 40–84 min. T<sub>3</sub> effects (40  $\mu$ M, >15 min bath application) were successfully examined in 11 neurons, and the NMDA plateau was suppressed by T<sub>3</sub> in 10 out of these 11 neurons; no changes were detected in the remaining one neuron. Vehicle control was tested in other four neurons, and none of them showed changes in the plateau.

The GABA-A receptor-mediated inhibitory synaptic response was examined after glutamatergic (AMPA- and NMDA-R mediated) and GABA-B ergic transmission was blocked (**Figure 5B**, bridge balance mode). In normal Krebs (Ba), tonic current injections at three levels (two depolarizing and one hyperpolarizing) set the membrane potential at ca. -45 mV, -50 mV and -70 mV. Single

shocks of local electrical stimulation elicited fast EPSPs, and single action potentials followed by a hyperpolarization at -45 mV (indicated by vertical dashed red line). The hyperpolarization phase turned to depolarization if held at -70 mV, indicating the reversal of the GABA-A response at approximately -50 mV. After glutamatergic and GABA-Bergic transmission were blocked by DNQX, D-AP5 and CGP52432 (Bb), the resting membrane potential was hyperpolarized by 5 mV. Synaptic responses were examined by adjusting the tonic current injection levels. GABA-A ergic depolarization was clear at -70 mV (upward blue arrow). When  $T_3$  was added (40  $\mu$ M, Bc), the depolarizing GABA-A response increased without changes in the reversal potential, resting potential, or threshold of spike generation. The bicuculline-induced lasting depolarization was further augmented by baclofen (20 µM) (Figure 5Ca,b); note that the synaptically driven initial depolarization (indicated by #) was suppressed, thus allowing slow depolarization of varying

latency and amplitude (indicated by \*). The GABA-B receptor activation paradoxically facilitated the spontaneous bursting caused by NMDA receptor activation. Actually, the delayed depolarization was not accompanied by synaptic drives if measured in voltage clamp mode and disappeared with the addition of D-AP5 (data not shown). Most probably, the hyperpolarizing effect of baclofen via GABA-B receptor activation set the membrane potential within an appropriate range for the NMDA-induced plateau to spontaneously arise.

The GABA-A responses were examined in nine neurons from four slices, with resting membrane potentials ranging from -55 to -69 mV (mean  $\pm$  s. e.m. =  $-63.9 \pm 1.5$  mV), and T<sub>3</sub> was tested in eight neurons and vehicle in 1 neuron. Of these eight neurons, enhancement by T<sub>3</sub> occurred in six, suppression in one and no detectable effect was found in another. The effect of baclofen on the NMDA plateau was reproduced in all of the other three neurons tested. Because the reversal potential of the GABA-A response was close to the resting potential (usually ~5mV above than the resting level), a small accidental change in the resting level had a big influence on the GABA-A response amplitude. We therefore re-examined the effects of T<sub>3</sub> using high-Cl<sup>-</sup> pipette solution.

Increase in the GABA-A response by T<sub>3</sub> was confirmed (Figures 5D,E). At the resting membrane potential, the GABA-A response quickly turned depolarizing after poking the neuron, and reached equilibrium within ~10 min. In normal Krebs, two pulse electric shocks (100 msec interval) induced initial spiking followed by lasting depolarization (Da). The depolarizing response remained after DNQX, D-AP5 and CGP52432 were added (Db). The unclamped resting membrane potential was slightly hyperpolarized (from -65 to -69 mV) but the spiking threshold potential (-47mV) remained unchanged. In another neuron (Ea), T<sub>3</sub> enhanced the depolarizing response (Eb). The depolarization was suppressed by bicuculline (Ec) although not completely. We therefore argue that GABA-A receptor mediated responses was enhanced by T<sub>3</sub>. T<sub>3</sub> application caused no changes in the spiking threshold ( $\theta$  = -45 mV) in response to a rectangular depolarizing current injection through the recording electrode.

The reversed GABA-A potential was reliably recorded from six neurons from five slice preparations, with resting membrane potentials ranging from -59 to -77 mV (mean  $\pm$  s. e.m. =  $-68.7 \pm$  3.1 mV), and T<sub>3</sub> effects (>15 min of bath application) successfully examined in all six neurons. Enhancement by T<sub>3</sub> occurred in five out of these six neurons, whereas suppression occurred in the other neuron.

The possibility that  $T_3$  enhances the NMDA-receptor mediated response (see **Section 3.2.3**) should therefore be rejected, and an alternative hypothesis must be considered such that GABA-B mediated inhibition was suppressed. Taken together,  $T_3$  could have opposite effects on the GABA-mediated responses, namely, enhancement of the fast GABA-A-mediated inhibition and suppression of the slow GABA-B-mediated inhibition of the IMM network.

### **4 DISCUSSIONS**

# 4.1 Thyroid Hormone, the Issue of the Effective Dose

Our results showed that bath application of  $T_3$  at 20–40  $\mu$ M reliably modified synaptic transmission, namely it enhanced GABA-A and suppressed NMDA responses. In our previous behavioral pharmacology study (Yamaguchi et al., 2012), we reported that single injection of a small amount of  $T_3$  (10  $\mu$ M x 100 µl, intravenous injection) effectively re-opened the sensitive period. The low dose effects of thyroid hormones have been confirmed by Batista et al. (2018) for the  $T_4$  effect on imprinting, Miura et al. (2018) for the T<sub>3</sub> effect on biological motion preference, and Lorenzi et al. (2021) for the T<sub>3</sub> effect on animacy preference. We must consider two possible explanations for the discrepancy. Systemically injected T<sub>3</sub> could be diluted to submicromolar level in the circulation and brain tissue, and the high dose effects found in this study are not supposed to be physiological. Alternatively, the thyroid hormone transporter system in the dorsal telencephalon could accumulate T<sub>3</sub> in the peri-vascular neural tissue, and the concentration could reach a supra-micromolar level in the peri-neuronal space.

In favor of the latter possibility, localized infusion of the monocarboxylate transporter inhibitor (bromsulphthalein) to IMM effectively nullified the effects of systemically injected T<sub>3</sub> (Yamaguchi et al., 2012). The transport and accumulation mechanism may not function in the slice preparations and the actual effective dose may be supra-micromolar. Accordingly, in rat primary hippocampal neuron culture (Losi et al., 2008), T<sub>3</sub> inhibited the NMDA current at IC<sub>50</sub> ~ 15  $\mu$ M. Although the opposite T<sub>3</sub> action to that in our present study, these authors reported that T<sub>3</sub> inhibited GABA current at IC<sub>50</sub> ~ 13  $\mu$ M (Puia and Losi, 2011). When systemically applied in vivo, however, repeated i. p. injection of levothyroxine was effective at a low dose of 20 µg (~26 nano mole)/kg/day in rescuing the hypothyroidism-induced cognitive impairment (Alzoubi et al., 2009). This dosage was several magnitudes lower than that applied to cultured neurons. Changes in any of the following processes could contribute to the effectiveness of thyroid hormone action: synthesis and release of thyroxine  $(T_4)$  by the thyroid gland, conversion of  $T_4$  to  $T_3$  at the target organ (vascular endothelial cells) and transportation to the peri-neuronal space.

It may also be interesting to examine the  $T_4$  action *in vitro*, even though  $T_3$  is supposed to be critical player as argued above. Because we do not yet fully understand the mechanism of the  $T_3$ action, several interesting possibilities arise.  $T_4$  may act as  $T_3$  at similar dose *in vitro* but has no functional significance because the brain content of  $T_4$  is nearly at the detectable level (Yamaguchi et al., 2012, **Figure 3A**). Alternatively, we may assume that  $T_4$  acts differently, so that the  $T_3$  action is modulated by  $T_4$  at the extremely low concentration. Further studies are needed to address these possibilities, but only after we are quite sure about the  $T_3$  action.

# 4.2 Opposing Roles of GABA-A and B Receptors

Our recent qPCR analysis revealed that GABA-A receptor expression increases from day1-5, whereas GABA-B expression gradually decreases during this early post-hatching period (Aoki et al., 2018). Therefore, the ratio of GABA-A to -B receptor (referred to as the A/B ratio below) gradually increases. We have also found that direct infusion of CGP-52432 (GABA-B antagonist, thus increasing the A/B ratio) into the IMM suppressed imprinting on day 1. However, infusion of baclofen (GABA-B agonist) or bicuculline (GABA-A antagonist) decreased the A/B ratio and made 4-day old chicks imprintable as on day 1. Based on these effects, we hypothesized that a low A/B ratio could be one of the neurophysiological phenotypes of the sensitive period of imprinting. Importantly, the GABA-A and B receptors could have opposing roles in the regulation of the sensitive period. One possible explanation is that activation of GABA-B receptors on pre-synaptic terminals leads to suppression of GABA release from inhibitory interneurons (Gassmann and Bettler, 2012; Aoki et al., 2018), thus excitability of the IMM network is enhanced through GABA-B-mediated suppression of the Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx into presynaptic terminals, which is responsible for GABA release (Deisz and Prince, 1989).

The A/B ratio increase during the days 1-5 post-hatching means a monotonic decrease in network excitability. The present finding that the GABA-B agonist, baclofen, induced the NMDA-plateau (Figure 5Cb) supports this possibility. An appropriate decreased in the A/B ratio seems to be necessary for spontaneous NMDA bursting to occur in the IMM network. GABA-A blockade alone (Ca) strongly depolarizes neurons, thereby muting action potentials, whereas additional activation of GABA-B lowers the membrane potential to around the NMDA plateau, so that short barrages of synaptic inputs can cause lasting spiking responses after transient synaptic drives. Alternatively, as GABA also acts on somatic receptors extra-synaptically via volume transmission (Matsushima et al., 1993; Tegnér et al., 1993), activation of GABA-B receptors and the associated G-proteins may have directly enhanced the NMDA-plateau of the recorded neurons. Further analyses are needed to fully understand how GABA controls the dynamics of the IMM network.

Contrary to our initial expectation,  $T_3$  was a potent enhancer of the GABA-A response (**Figures 5B,E**), thereby increasing the A/B ratio. As  $T_3$  partially mimicked the effect of CGP52432 on the bicuculline-induced spontaneous bursts (2<sup>nd</sup> peak amplitude and frequency; **Figure 4Ab** and **Figure 4B**),  $T_3$  may suppress GABA-B so that the A/B ratio is further decreased. Blockade of GABA-B receptors on pre-synaptic terminals of inhibitory interneurons would disinhibit GABA release, so that the post-synaptic GABA-A response would be enhanced. However, the neuronal cascades through which  $T_3$  facilitates imprinting remain to be clarified.

Why  $T_3$  target on GABA? We have yet no clear idea about the functional link between the central thyroid hormone effect and the imprinting memory formation. Beside the link discussed above, we may assume that immediate early genes (such as *c-fos*) could be linked, as imprinting is reported learning-

related increase in Fos-immunoreactivity specifically in GABA neurons (Ambalavanar et al., 1999). The thyroid hormone influx to IMM might lead to the enhanced GABA release through expression of those genes selectively in the GABA-ergic inhibitory interneurons, and the GABA release mechanism is downstream to the c-fos.

### 4.3 NMDA-Plateau Potential and Activity-dependent Synaptic Potentiation

McCabe et al. (1992) reported that blockade of NMDA receptors in the dorsomedial pallium impairs imprinting, while imprinting upregulates the glutamatergic receptors in the IMM (McCabe and Horn, 1988), subsequently leading to morphological and functional changes in IMM synapses (Horn, 1998). Accordingly, DNQX-sensitive fast excitatory transmission was potentiated by a low-frequency tetanic stimulation (Matsushima and Aoki, 1995), which turned out not to be linked to the immediate early gene expression associated with memory (Yanagihara et al., 1998). Two decades later, our understanding of imprinting remains limited, mostly because we do not know what activities occur in the IMM network during imprinting *in vivo*, nor which activities are critical for imprintingrelated memory formation.

Dynamic behaviors of the IMM neurons *in vitro* could give us a hint about their *in vivo* behaviors. During the lowfrequency tetanic stimulation for 1 min (5 Hz  $\times$  300 pulses), GABA-A mediated synaptic inhibition quickly fades away, and is replaced by NMDA-receptor mediated slow depolarization (Matsushima and Aoki, 1995). If the bicuculline-induced bursts observed in slice preparations paralleled the activities assumed *in vivo*, facilitatory modulation of the 1st and 2nd spike by T<sub>3</sub> (Figure 4Ab, Figure 4B) would underlie the initial phase of memory formation, rather than the subsequent potentiation of the post-synaptic responses (Figure 3).

In this study, we could not specify whether  $T_3$  action was genomic or nongenomic. At the behavioral level,  $T_3$  facilitated imprinting within a few hours after injection. In slices, bath applied  $T_3$  changed the synaptic transmission immediately (within a few min; **Figure 2B**), in favor of non-genomic effects. Naturally, the late phase of the  $T_3$  actions could be genomically caused. At present, we are unable to dissociate these two processes.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusion of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

### **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Experiments were conducted under the guidelines and approval of the

Committee on Animal Experiments of Hokkaido University (approval number 18-0167).

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

TM and KH conceived the study. YS and TM designed the experiments. YS, NA and TM carried out the experiments and analyzed the data. TM wrote the manuscript. All the authors gave final approval for publication.

### REFERENCES

- Alzoubi, K. H., Gerges, N. Z., Aleisa, A. M., and Alkadhi, K. A. (2009). Levothyroxin Restores Hypothyroidism-Induced Impairment of Hippocampus-dependent Learning and Memory: Behavioral, Electrophysiological, and Molecular Studies. *Hippocampus* 19, 66–78. doi:10. 1002/hipo.20476
- Ambalavanar, R., McCabe, B. J., Potter, K. N., and Horn, G. (1999). Learningrelated Fos-like Immunoreactivity in the Chick Brain: Time-Course and Colocalization with GABA and Parvalbumin. *Neuroscience* 93, 1515–1524. doi:10. 1016/s0306-4522(99)00217-1
- Aoki, N., Yamaguchi, S., Fujita, T., Mori, C., Fujita, E., Matsushima, T., et al. (2018). GABA-A and GABA-B Receptors in Filial Imprinting Linked with Opening and Closing of the Sensitive Period in Domestic Chicks (*Gallus gallus Domesticus*). Front. Physiol. 9, 1837. doi:10.3389/fphys. 2018.01837
- Bateson, P. (1978). How Do Sensitive Periods Arise and what Are They for? *Anim. Behav.* 27, 470–486.
- Batista, G., Johnson, J. L., Dominguez, E., Costa-Mattioli, M., and Pena, J. L. (2018). Regulation of Filial Imprinting and Structural Plasticity by mTORC1 in Newborn Chickens. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 8044. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-26479-1
- Bolhoius, J. J. (1999). Early Learning and the Development of Filial Preference in the Chick. *Behav. Brain Res.* 98, 245–252.
- Bolhuis, J. J., Johnson, M. H., and Horn, G. (1985). Effects of Early Experience on the Development of Filial Preferences in the Domestic Chick. *Dev. Psychobiol.* 18, 299–308. doi:10.1002/dev.420180403
- Bradley, P., Davies, D. C., and Horn, G. (1985). Connections of the Hyperstriatum Ventrale of the Domestic Chick (*Gallus domesticus*). J. Anat. 140 (Pt 4), 577–589.
- Bradley, P. M., Burns, B. D., and Webb, A. C. (1991). Potentiation of Synaptic Responses in Slices from the Chick Forebrain. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 243, 19–24. doi:10. 1098/rspb.1991.0004
- Bradley, P. M., Burns, B. D., King, T. M., and Webb, A. C. (1999). Electrophysiological Correlates of Past History: *In Vitro* Studies of the IMHV of the Domestic Chick. *Behav. Brain Res.* 98, 261–265. doi:10.1016/ s0166-4328(98)00092-8
- Csillag, A. (1999). Striato-telencephalic and Striato-Tegmental Circuits: Relevance to Learning in Domestic Chicks. *Behav. Brain Res.* 98, 227–236. doi:10.1016/ s0166-4328(98)00088-6
- Deisz, R. A., and Prince, D. A. (1989). Frequency-dependent Depression of Inhibition in guinea-pig Neocortex *In Vitro* by GABAB Receptor Feed-Back on GABA Release. *J. Physiol.* 412, 513–541. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1989.sp017629
- Gassmann, M., and Bettler, B. (2012). Regulation of Neuronal GABAB Receptor Functions by Subunit Composition. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 13, 380–394. doi:10. 1038/nrn3249
- Hess, E. H. (1958). "Imprinting" in Animals. Sci. Am. 198, 81–90. doi:10.1038/ scientificamerican0358-81
- Hess, E. H. (1959). Imprinting. Science 130, 133-141. doi:10.1126/science.130. 3368.133
- Horn, G., Nicol, A. U., and Brown, M. W. (2001). Tracking Memory's Trace. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 98, 5282–5287. doi:10.1073/pnas.091094798

### FUNDING

The present study was supported by grants funded to TM by the Japan Society for Promotion of Science (JSPS, Kakenhi; Grants-in-aid for Scientific Research #25291071, #26650114, #18K07351, #19KK0211).

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jeremy Allen, PhD, from Edanz (https://jp.edanz.com/ ac), for editing a draft of this manuscript.

- Horn, G. (2004). Pathways of the Past: the Imprint of Memory. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 5, 108–120. doi:10.1038/nrn1324
- Horn, G. (1998). Visual Imprinting and the Neural Mechanisms of Recognition Memory. *Trends Neurosciences* 21, 300–305. doi:10.1016/s0166-2236(97) 01219-8
- Kuenzel, W. J., and Masson, M. (1988). A Stereotaxic Atlas of the Brain of the Chick (Gallus domesticus). Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Lorenz, K. Z. (1937). The Companion in the Bird's World. *The Auk* 54, 245–273. doi:10.2307/4078077
- Lorenzi, E., Lemaire, B. S., Versace, E., Matsushima, T., and Vallortigara, G. (2021). Resurgence of an Inborn Attraction for Animate Objects via Thyroid Hormone T3. Front. Behav. Neurosci. 15, 675994. doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2021. 675994
- Losi, G., Garzon, G., and Puia, G. (2008). Nongenomic Regulation of Glutamatergic Neurotransmission in hippocampus by Thyroid Hormones. *Neuroscience* 151, 155–163. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2007. 09.064
- Matsushima, T., and Aoki, K. (1995). Potentiation and Depotentiation of DNQX-Sensitive Fast Excitatory Synaptic Transmission in Telencephalone of the Quail Chick. *Neurosci. Lett.* 185, 179–182. doi:10.1016/0304-3940(95) 11255-u
- Matsushima, T., Tegner, J., Hill, R. H., and Grillner, S. (1993). GABAB Receptor Activation Causes a Depression of Low- and High-Voltage-Activated Ca2+ Currents, Postinhibitory Rebound, and Postspike Afterhyperpolarization in Lamprey Neurons. J. Neurophysiol. 70, 2606–2619. doi:10.1152/jn.1993.70.6. 2606
- McCabe, B. J., Davey, J. E., and Horn, G. (1992). Impairment of Learning by Localized Injection of an N-Methyl-D-Aspartate Receptor Antagonist into the Hyperstriatum Ventrale of the Domestic Chick. *Behav. Neurosci.* 106, 947–953. doi:10.1037/0735-7044.106.6.947
- McCabe, B. J., and Horn, G. (1988). Learning and Memory: Regional Changes in N-Methyl-D-Aspartate Receptors in the Chick Brain after Imprinting. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 85, 2849–2853. doi:10.1073/pnas. 85.8.2849
- McCabe, B. J., and Horn, G. (1994). Learning-related Changes in Fos-like Immunoreactivity in the Chick Forebrain after Imprinting. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 91, 11417–11421. doi:10.1073/pnas.91.24.11417
- Miura, M., Aoki, N., Yamaguchi, S., Homma, K. J., and Matsushima, T. (2018). Thyroid Hormone Sensitizes the Imprinting-Associated Induction of Biological Motion Preference in Domestic Chicks. *Front. Physiol.* 9, 1740. doi:10.3389/ fphys.2018.01740
- Puia, G., and Losi, G. (2011). Thyroid Hormones Modulate GABAA Receptor-Mediated Currents in Hippocampal Neurons. *Neuropharmacology* 60, 1254–1261. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2010.12.013
- Reiner, A., Perkel, D. J., Bruce, L. L., Butler, A. B., Csillag, A., Kuenzel, W., et al. (2004). Revised Nomenclature for Avian Telencephalon and Some Related Brainstem Nuclei. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 473, 377–414. doi:10.1002/cne. 20118
- Tegnér, J., Matsushima, T., El Manira, A., and Grillner, S. (1993). The Spinal GABA System Modulates Burst Frequency and Intersegmental Coordination in the Lamprey: Differential Effects of GABAA and GABAB Receptors. J. Neurophysiol. 69, 647–657. doi:10.1152/jn.1993.69. 3.647

- Tömböl, T., Csillag, A., and Stewart, M. G. (1988). Cell Types of the Hyperstriatum Ventral of the Domestic Chicken (Gallus domesticus): a Golgi Study. J. für Hirrtforschung 29, 319–334.
- Yamaguchi, S., Aoki, N., Kitajima, T., Iikubo, E., Katagiri, S., Matsushima, T., et al. (2012). Thyroid Hormone Determines the Start of the Sensitive Period of Imprinting and Primes Later Learning. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 1081. doi:10.1038/ncomms2088
- Yanagihara, S., Yagi, T., and Matsushima, T. (1998). Distinct Mechanisms for Expression of Fos-like Immunoreactivity and Synaptic Potentiation in Telencephalic Hyperstriatum of the Quail Chick. *Brain Res.* 779, 240–253. doi:10.1016/s0006-8993(97)01129-3

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Saheki, Aoki, Homma and Matsushima. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.