### Open Access Full Text Article

### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# RASSFIA hypermethylation is associated with ASXLI mutation and indicates an adverse outcome in non-M3 acute myeloid leukemia

Fang Liu<sup>1,\*</sup> Ming Gong<sup>2,\*</sup> Li Gao<sup>2,\*</sup> Xiaoping Cai<sup>3</sup> Hui Zhang<sup>2</sup> Yigai Ma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oncology, Chinese PLA General Hospital, <sup>2</sup>Department of Hematology, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, <sup>3</sup>Department of Geriatric Medicine, Army General Hospital, Beijing, People's Republic of China

\*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Yigai Ma Department of Hematology, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, East Yinghua Road, Beijing, People's Republic of China Tel +86 139 1028 6029 Fax +86 10 8420 5522 Email dr\_myg@163.com

Fang Liu

Department of Oncology, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Fuxing Road, Beijing, People's Republic of China Tel +86 135 2046 9875 Fax +86 10 6693 7005 Email liufangfsq@163.com



**Objective:** The purpose of this study was to evaluate the frequency of *RASSF1A* hypermethylation in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML), in an attempt to modify the current molecular model for disease prognosis.

**Materials and methods:** Aberrant *RASSF1A* promoter methylation levels were assessed in 226 newly diagnosed non-M3 AML patients and 30 apparently healthy controls, by quantitative methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction. Meanwhile, *RASSF1A* mRNA levels were detected by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction. Furthermore, hematological characteristics, cytogenetic abnormalities, and genetic aberrations were assessed. Finally, associations of *RASSF1A* hypermethylation with clinical outcomes were evaluated.

**Results:** *RASSF1A* hypermethylation was observed in 23.0% of patients with non-M3 AML (52/226), but not in controls. Meanwhile, hypermethylation of the *RASSF1A* promoter was significantly associated with *ASXL1* mutation. Furthermore, the log-rank test revealed that *RASSF1A* hypermethylation indicated decreased relapse-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) in patients with non-M3 AML (*P*=0.012 and *P*=0.014, respectively). In multivariate analysis, *RASSF1A* hypermethylation was an independent prognostic factor for RFS (*P*=0.040), but not for OS (*P*=0.060).

**Conclusion:** Hypermethylation of the *RASSF1A* promoter is associated with *ASXL1* mutation in non-M3 AML patients, likely indicating poor outcome. These findings provide a molecular basis for stratified diagnosis and prognostic evaluation.

Keywords: RASSF1A, hypermethylation, acute myeloid leukemia, clinical outcome, survival

### Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML), a clonal oncohematological disorder, is characterized by disrupted maturation and programmed cell death (apoptosis), accompanied by uncontrolled proliferation of immature hematopoietic progenitor cells and subsequent suppression of functionally normal hematopoiesis.<sup>1,2</sup> Recent advances in genetics have greatly improved our understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying leukemic transformation.<sup>3,4</sup> DNA methylation of CpG islands within gene promoter regions, the most extensively and systematically studied epigenetic mechanism, is crucial for gene regulation during normal hematological cell development.<sup>5</sup> Hypermethylation within the promoters of anti-oncogenes appears to be especially common in some or all types of human hematopoietic neoplasms.<sup>6–8</sup> To date, many genes have been shown to contribute to leukemogenesis via epigenetic silencing. Our previous reports indicated aberrant hypermethylation of *CTNNA1*, *CHFR1*, and miR-193a in several myeloid malignancies.<sup>9–11</sup>

OncoTargets and Therapy 2017:10 4143-4151

© 2017 Liu et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Artibution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/license/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). *RASSF1A*, considered an important tumor suppressor gene, is located on chromosome 3p21.<sup>12</sup> *RASSF1A* represents potential Ras effectors and plays vital biological roles in cancer progression.<sup>13</sup> Several studies have shown that *RASSF1A* is expressed in normal tissues, including hematopoietic cells; however, its expression is significantly lower in human cancer.<sup>14</sup>

The current study aimed to assess the methylation levels of the *RASSF1A* promoter by quantitative methylationspecific polymerase chain reaction (qMS-PCR) in bone marrow (BM) biopsy specimens from non-M3 AML patients. The overarching objective was to identify a subset of patients who might harbor aberrant methylation levels, and in a complementary approach, to comparatively examine the clinical characteristics of these patients. In addition, chromosomal abnormalities and gene mutations known to be associated with AML were assessed for their associations with *RASSF1A* hypermethylation. Furthermore, to predict the clinical impact of our findings, we evaluated relapse-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) in relation to *RASSF1A* methylation levels in the study population.

### Materials and methods Patients

This study included 226 newly diagnosed patients with non-M3 AML visiting the Chinese PLA General Hospital and China-Japan Friendship Hospital, from July 2006 to March 2015, and 30 healthy controls. Written informed consent was obtained from each subject for sample preservation and genetic assays. The study was approved by the ethics committees of the Chinese PLA General Hospital and

Table I Analysis of clinical characteristics and outcome in two groups

China-Japan Friendship Hospital. BM samples were collected during routine clinical examination, and those with more than 50% blastocysts, identified by morphologic assessment, were selected. The clinical characteristics of patients are described in Table 1. All patients with non-M3 AML received intensive induction therapy with high-dose cytarabine-based regimens or monotherapy with decitabine (demethylating treatment) followed by consolidation therapy. Twenty-nine patients underwent allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, and 26 received autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation.

### Clinical end points

Complete remission (CR) was defined as no anemia, bleeding, infection, leukemic cell infiltration, circulating leukemic blastocysts, or evidence of extramedullary leukemia, with a recovery of morphologically normal BM and blood cell amounts. In addition, BM cells and primitive promyelocytic-stage cells (or immature cells) were <5%, with normal erythroid–megakaryocyte system. Relapse was defined as  $\geq$ 5% BM blastocysts, circulating leukemic blastocysts, or the emergence of extramedullary leukemic cells. OS was determined from leukemia diagnosis to death, censoring patients alive at the last follow-up. RFS was determined from the date of CR to relapse or death from any cause, censoring patients alive at the last follow-up.

# DNA isolation, bisulfite modification, and qMS-PCR

Genomic DNA was extracted and purified from BM specimens with Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega,

Variables	Total	Hypermethylation (n)	Non-methylation (n)	P-value	
Patients (N)	226	52	174	NS	
Age	43 (12–94)	38 (14–87)	43 (12–94)	0.229	
Sex	137 (M)/89 (F)	35 (M)/17 (F)	102 (M)/72 (F)	0.261	
M2	54	11	43	0.597	
M4	43	15	32	0.103	
M5	60	14	46	0.944	
Unclassified AML	41	8	33	0.557	
WBC (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	21.74 (1.9–76.3)	19.95 (1.98–76.3)	22.32 (1.9–74)	0.443	
Hemoglobin (g/L)	72 (26–131)	73 (34–131)	71 (26–126)	0.529	
Platelets (×10%/L)	41 (1–146)	43 (1–141)	40 (4–146)	0.414	
Marrow blasts (%)	70 (26–98)	68 (39–95)	71 (26–98)	0.179	
Induction therapy					
IA	70	15	55	0.705	
DA	84	24	60	0.126	
MA	72	13	59	0.226	
Allo-HSCT	29	8	21	0.524	
Auto-HSCT	26	5	21	0.627	

Abbreviations: NS, nonsignificant; AML, acute myeloid leukemia; WBC, white blood cell; IA, idarubicin and cytarabine; DA, daunorubicin and cytarabine; MA, mitoxantrone and cytarabine; allo-HSCT, allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; auto-HSCT, autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation.

Madison, WI, USA). Then, 1 µg of genomic DNA was treated with sodium bisulfate using EpiTect Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Bisulfite-treated DNA was amplified by qMS-PCR using primers and probes specific for RASSF1A and MYOD1 (reference gene) (shown in Table S1). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out in a 40 µL volume with 20 µL MethyLight Master Mix (Qiagen), 0.25 µM of each primer, RASSF1A or MYOD1 gene probes, and 20 ng bisulfite-treated DNA. PCR conditions consisted of an initial denaturation step of 95°C for 5 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation for 15 seconds at 95°C and annealing for 60 seconds at 61°C. Standard curves were established for RASSF1A and MYOD1 using 10-fold serial dilutions of five different plasmid concentrations. Relative methylation levels of RASSF1A were calculated by the ratio of RASSF1A copies to that of MYOD1.

# Karyotype analysis and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

A total of 226 patients were submitted to cytogenetic analysis of BM samples at diagnosis by the direct method or 24-hour culture. The cytogenetic assays were performed independently by at least two cytogenetic technicians or pathologists. Metaphase chromosomes were assessed by G-banding, with chromosomal abnormalities presented according to the International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature.<sup>15</sup> Simultaneous presentation of at least three unrelated cytogenetic abnormalities. Cytogenetic abnormalities were divided into favorable, intermediate, and unfavorable karyotype groups, based on published criteria accepted by the Southwest Oncology Group (SWOG).<sup>16</sup> Besides, -5/5q-, -7/7q-, inv(16)/t(16;16), and 11q23 rearrangement abnormalities were confirmed by FISH.

# Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)

Total RNA was isolated from BM samples from patients with non-M3 AML at diagnosis, with the Qiazol isolation reagent (Qiagen). Then, cDNA was obtained using a reverse transcription kit (Promega). Quantification of *RASSF1A* and *ABL1* transcripts was performed by RT-qPCR with specific primers and probes (Table S2). The PCR volume was 40  $\mu$ L, including 20  $\mu$ L TaqMan Universal Master Mix (Life Technologies), 0.25  $\mu$ M of each primer, *RASSF1A* and *ABL1* gene probes, and 20 ng cDNA. The PCR program comprised 40 cycles of denaturation for 15 seconds at 95°C and annealing for 60 seconds at 60°C. Standard curves were

generated for the *RASSF1A* and *ABL1* genes by 10-fold serial dilutions of five different plasmid concentrations. Relative expression of *RASSF1A* was determined as the ratio of *RASSF1A* copies to that of *ABL1*.

### Detection of gene mutations

To assess the associations of gene mutations occurring in AML patients with the methylation status of the *RASSF1A* promoter region, DNA sequencing was conducted to detect *NPM1*, *FLT3-ITD*, *ASXL1*, *IDH1*, *DNMT3A*, *RUNX1*, *U2AF1*, *TET2*, *SRSF2*, *NRAS*, *CEBPA*, *KIT*, and *SF3B1* with hyperfrequency-mutation sequences, as previously reported.<sup>9,10,17-22</sup> The primers used for sequencing are shown in Table S3.

### Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS 18.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Data were presented as median and range. Pearson chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were adopted to compare the patient groups. The associations of the methylation status of the *RASSF1A* promoter with clinical parameters were assessed by Pearson's and Spearman's rank correlations. Patients were followed up for a median time of 36 months (range, 5–100 months). The Kaplan–Meier method was used to estimate survival, and differences between groups were analyzed by log-rank test. To adjust for clinical and molecular prognostic variables, a multivariate Cox model was utilized to assess the associations of survival with age, chromosomal abnormalities, *RASSF1A* methylation level, and mutation status. For all analyses, P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

# DNA methylation status and RASSFIA gene expression in AML patients

*RASSF1A* gene promoter methylation levels were assessed in BM samples from 226 AML patients and 30 healthy donors. *RASSF1A* hypermethylation was found in 23% (52/226) of AML patients, but not in healthy donors. Among the 52 patients, median *RASSF1A* hypermethylation level was 1.0279, ranging from 0.1967 to 5.336. Gene expression analysis showed significantly decreased *RASSF1A* levels in patients with *RASSF1A* hypermethylation compared with the non-methylation group (Figure 1A, P < 0.001). Moreover, there was a significant negative association of *RASSF1A* mRNA levels with hypermethylation in both patient groups (Figure 1B, P=0.028, R=-0.364).



Figure I (A) Relative expression of the RASSF/A gene was detected in the patients with RASSF/A hypermethylation and the cases with non-methylation, and significant difference was found between the two groups. \*Singular value. (B) There was a negative correlation between RASSF/A methylation levels and RASSF/A transcript levels (R=-0.464, P=0.028).

## RASSFIA hypermethylation is associated with chromosomal abnormalities

To further assess the cytogenetic abnormalities in both patient groups (with or without *RASSF1A* gene hypermethylation), various karyotypes were compared between the two groups.

As shown in Table 2, *RASSF1A* hypermethylation was highly associated with unfavorable chromosomal abnormalities (P=0.049) and complex karyotype (P=0.016). There were no significant differences in other karyotypes between the *RASSF1A* hypermethylation and non-methylation groups.

 Table 2 Comparison of genetic alterations between patients with acute myeloid leukemia with or without hypermethylation of the

 RASSF/A promoter

Variant	Total (n)	Hypermethylation (n)	Non-methylation (n)	P-value
Cytogenetic risk <sup>a</sup>				
Favorable	20	4	16	0.955
Intermediate	151	30	121	0.111
Unfavorable	55	18	37	0.049
Cytogenetic characteristics <sup>b</sup>				
t(8;21)	12	I	11	0.374
inv(16)/t(16;16)	8	3	5	0.573
IIq23 abnormalities	15	6	9	0.193
Complex karyotype	33	13	20	0.016
Normal karyotype	122	23	99	0.108
Gene mutations <sup>c</sup>				
IDHI	23	7	16	0.372
ASXLI	22	9	13	0.036
FLT3	25	4	21	0.377
KIT	8	I	7	0.771
TET2	16	4	12	0.846
SF3B1	9	I	8	0.645
CEBPA	11	I	10	0.449
DNMT3A	19	7	12	0.225
NPMI	49	10	39	0.625
NRAS	13	2	11	0.739
SRSF2	13	3	10	0.995
U2AFI	18	5	13	0.834
RUNXI	16	5	11	0.162

**Notes:** Cytogenetic abnormalities were grouped according to published criteria adopted by the Southwest Oncology Group as favorable, intermediate, and unfavorable. Favorable: inv(16)/t(16;16)/del(16q), t(15;17) with/without secondary aberrations, t(8;21) lacking del(9q), or complex karyotypes; unfavorable: del(5q)/-5, del(7q)/-7, abnormalities of 3q, 9q, 11q, 20q, and 17p, t(6;9), t(9;22), and complex karyotypes; intermediate: normal karyotype and other abnormalities. Patients may be counted more than once because of coexistence of more than one cytogenetic abnormality in the leukemic clone. Patients may be counted more than once because of coexistence of more than one mutation in the leukemic clone.



Figure 2 Spectrum of gene mutations in 226 non-M3 AML patients with hypermethylation and non-methylation of the RASSFIA gene.

# Patients with aberrant RASSF1A methylation show higher ASXL1 mutation frequencies

In the present study, *ASXL1*, *CEBPA*, *DNMT3A*, *FLT3*, *IDH1*, *KIT*, *NPM1*, *NRAS*, *RUNX1*, *SF3B1*, *SRSF2*, *U2AF1*, and *TET2* mutations were assessed in all 226 patients with non-M3 AML. The mutation spectra in both hypermethylation and non-methylation patient groups are shown in Figure 2. As shown in Table 2, cases with aberrant *RASSF1A* methylation levels displayed a higher probability of *ASXL1* mutation (*P*=0.036). In the present work, a total of 22 patients showed *ASXL1* mutations, including 9 and 13 in the hypermethylation and non-hypermethylation groups, respectively.

### Patients displaying aberrant RASSFIA methylation levels have adverse outcome

In the present study, we evaluated RFS and OS in both patient groups (with or without *RASSF1A* hypermethylation) (Figure 3A and B). All 226 patients with AML were enrolled, with a median follow-up of 41 months (mean, 5–80 months). Interestingly, non-M3 AML patients with *RASSF1A* hypermethylation exhibited reduced RFS (P=0.012) and OS (P=0.014) compared with the non-hypermethylation group. To further assess the prognostic value of *RASSF1A* methylation levels, the patients were divided into two groups according to the 75th percentile of the initial transcript levels (Figure 3C and D). Consequently, 13 patients were assigned to the high methylation group, and the remaining to the low methylation levels exhibited similar RFS (P=0.968) and OS (P=0.798) compared to the low methylation group.

Hypermethylation of the *RASSF1A* gene was entered into a multivariate model with variables significantly associated with prognosis in univariate analysis in the present cohort. Interestingly, *RASSF1A* hypermethylation and *U2AF1* mutation were independent prognostic factors for RFS, but not for OS (Table 3). Meanwhile, age  $\geq 60$  years, unfavorable karyotype, *RUNX1* mutation, *FLT3-ITD*, and *DNMT3A* mutation showed reduced RFS and OS.

### Discussion

Recent studies have revealed that leukemic cells exhibit various genetic and epigenetic abnormalities that contribute not only to cell transformation but also to disease progression. These novel insights not only provide clues for diagnostic stratification and prognostic evaluation but also play a key role in the appropriate selection of individuals for suitable targeted therapy.<sup>23–25</sup> DNA hypermethylation, which causes transcriptional repression, has recently emerged as one of the most frequent changes occurring in cancers, including hematopoietic tumors, and is associated with malignant transformation, making it an intriguing novel target for therapeutic targeting of leukemia.26 The use of irreversible DNA methyltransferase inhibitors, including 5-azacytidine (5-aza) and decitabine, appears to be a promising option for treating myeloid malignancies, including AML.<sup>27-29</sup> RASSF1A is considered a candidate leukemia-suppressor gene;<sup>12,13</sup> however, determining its exact effects on clinical outcome using BM samples from patients has been challenging. In addition, aberrant methylation levels of RASSF1A in a subpopulation of myeloid malignant patients were recently reported, but with no associations with gene mutations often detected in myeloid malignancies.<sup>30</sup> Hence, in the present study, the associations of RASSF1A methylation with hematological findings, cytogenetic and genetic aberrations, and clinical outcomes in AML patients were assessed.

As shown above, DNA hypermethylation of the *RASSF1A* promoter was a frequent genetic event in patients with non-M3 AML. Johan et al demonstrated that *RASSF1A* promoter methylation is found in AML and myelodys-plastic syndromes, by methylation-specific PCR.<sup>31</sup> Meanwhile, Avramouli et al found that *RASSF1AA* methylation does not frequently occur in chronic myeloid leukemia.<sup>32</sup>



Figure 3 (A and B) Among non-M3 AML patients, those with RASSF1A hypermethylation (n=52) had inferior relapse-free survival and overall survival compared to those with no hypermethylation (n=174) (P=0.012 and P=0.014, respectively). (**C** and **D**) Patients with higher RASSF1A methylation levels (n=13) did not show different relapse-free survival and overall survival compared to individuals with lower methylation levels (n=39) (P=0.968 and P=0.798, respectively).

Table 3 Univariate and multivariate anal	ysis of clinical and	molecular variables for	• RFS and OS in non-M3	AML patients
--	----------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	--------------

Variables	Univariate analysis				Multivariate analysis			
	RFS		OS		RFS		OS	
	P- value	OR (95% CI)	P- value	OR (95% CI)	P- value	OR (95% CI)	P- value	OR (95% CI)
Ageª	0.006	2.730 (1.739-4.286)	0.009	2.720 (1.734-4.267)	0.002	2.540 (1.522-4.238)	0.005	2.536 (1.516-4.242)
Unfavorable karyotype <sup>b</sup>	0.041	1.617 (1.021-2.562)	0.058	1.60 (0.985-2.473)	0.033	1.701 (1.045–2.768)	0.041	1.663 (1.022-2.706)
RASSFIA hypermethylation	0.012	1.782 (1.125–2.822)	0.014	1.758 (1.110-2.785)	0.040	1.622 (0.979–2.687)	0.060	1.593 (0.962-2.637)
ASXL1 mutation	0.008	2.238 (1.237-4.052)	0.007	2.277 (1.257-4.123)	0.058	1.863 (0.978–3.547)	0.083	1.780 (0.927-3.417)
FLT3-ITD	0.004	3.009 (1.735-5.533)	0.004	3.078 (1.725–5.493)	0.021	3.518 (1.921-6.442)	0.025	3.510 (1.907-6.460)
RUNX1 mutation	0.002	3.278 (1.563–6.877)	0.001	3.341 (1.592–7.010)	0.005	3.078 (1.407–6.733)	0.004	3.179 (1.461-6.995)
DNMT3A mutation	0.006	2.306 (1.275-4.172)	0.007	2.244 (1.241-4.058)	0.033	2.577 (1.380-4.812)	0.034	2.505 (1.340-4.684)
U2AF1 mutation	0.011	2.295 (1.214-4.338)	0.011	2.273 (1.203-4.295)	0.036	2.122 (1.051-4.286)	0.052	2.018 (0.994-4.097)

Notes: "Patients aged >60 years vs others. "Unfavorable cytogenetics vs others.

Abbreviations: RFS, relapse-free survival; OS, overall survival; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

However, whether *RASSF1A* methylation is associated with other genetic aberrations of myeloid malignancies remains unclear. In this study, the qMS-PCR approach was employed to detect *RASSF1A* gene methylation levels. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report assessing *RASSF1A* gene methylation levels.

Besides, *RASSF1A* methylation was evaluated in all French–American–British subtypes included in the current study, with no specific phenotype found to be highly associated. In addition, patients with aberrant methylation levels showed no decreased CR rate or one-year OS (data not shown).

In the present study, cytogenetic aberrations and gene mutations associated with hematopoietic malignancies were assessed in the RASSF1A hypermethylation and nonhypermethylation groups. Close associations were found of RASSF1A hypermethylation with unfavorable chromosomal abnormalities and complex karyotype, which are considered poor cytogenetic markers in AML.<sup>16</sup> These findings suggested that RASSF1A hypermethylation could be considered a novel prognostic marker for AML. However, the molecular mechanism underlying the association of ASXL1 mutation with RASSF1A hypermethylation remains unknown and requires deeper fundamental research. It is worth noting that ASXL1 gene mutations are more frequent in patients with RASSF1A hypermethylation. Recent studies demonstrated that ASXL1 mutation is a reliable marker of poor outcome in AML.<sup>33-35</sup> However, such a finding was not obtained in this study, likely because only the high-frequency target sequence of ASXL1 was detected.

We also evaluated patient survival curves in association with *RASSF1A* hypermethylation. Interestingly, patients with *RASSF1A* hypermethylation had reduced RFS compared with the non-methylation group, providing a theoretical basis for specific molecularly targeted therapy using demethylating agents.

In recent years, great progress has been made in understanding epigenetic changes in leukemia, providing a solid theoretical basis for molecular detection and diagnostic stratification, and shedding light on the development of hematologic disorders.<sup>36–38</sup> *RASSF1A* was shown to act as a leukemia-associated gene, probably playing a vital role in the occurrence of AML and other hematopoietic malignancies.

### Conclusion

In the current study, our analysis of *RASSF1A* promoter methylation status and its potential association with cytogenetic and molecular characteristics and clinical outcomes

revealed vital points into the involvement of the *RASSF1A* gene in the pathogenesis of leukemia.

### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No 81300425 and 81300450) and the Key Program of Capital Development Foundation (No 2007-2040).

### Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

### References

- Ferrara F, Schiffer CA. Acute myeloid leukaemia in adults. *Lancet*. 2013;381(9865):484–495.
- Levine RL. Molecular pathogenesis of AML: translating insights to the clinic. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol.* 2013;26(3):245–248.
- Khaled S, Al Malki M, Marcucci G. Acute myeloid leukemia: biologic, prognostic and therapeutic insights. *Oncology (Williston Park)*. 2016; 30(4):318–329.
- Jabbour E, Cortes J, Ravandi F, O'Brien S, Kantarjian H. Targeted therapies in hematology and their impact on patient care: chronic and acute myeloid leukemia. *Semin Hematol.* 2013;50(4):271–283.
- Pastore F, Levine RL. Epigenetic regulators and their impact on therapy in acute myeloid leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2016;101(3):269–278.
- Conway O'Brien E, Prideaux S, Chevassut T. The epigenetic landscape of acute myeloid leukemia. *Adv Hematol.* 2014;2014:103175.
- Hennessy BT, Garcia-Manero G, Kantarjian HM, Giles FJ. DNA methylation in haematological malignancies: the role of decitabine. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*. 2003;12(12):1985–1993.
- Schoofs T, Müller-Tidow C. DNA methylation as a pathogenic event and as a therapeutic target in AML. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2011;37 Suppl 1: S13–S18.
- Li M, Gao L, Li Z, et al. CTNNA1 hypermethylation, a frequent event in acute myeloid leukemia, is independently associated with an adverse outcome. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(21):31454–31465.
- Gao L, Liu F, Zhang H, Sun J, Ma Y. CHFR hypermethylation, a frequent event in acute myeloid leukemia, is independently associated with an adverse outcome. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 2016;55(2): 158–168.
- Li Y, Gao L, Luo X, et al. Epigenetic silencing of microRNA-193a contributes to leukemogenesis in t(8;21) acute myeloid leukemia by activating the PTEN/PI3K signal pathway. *Blood.* 2013;121(3): 499–509.
- van der Weyden L, Adams DJ. The Ras-association domain family (RASSF) members and their role in human tumourigenesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2007;1776(1):58–85.
- Donninger H, Vos MD, Clark GJ. The RASSF1A tumor suppressor. J Cell Sci. 2007;120(Pt 18):3163–3172.
- Hesson LB, Cooper WN, Latif F. The role of RASSF1A methylation in cancer. *Dis Markers*. 2007;23(1–2):73–87.
- Simons A, Shaffer LG, Hastings RJ. Cytogenetic nomenclature: changes in the ISCN 2013 compared to the 2009 edition. *Cytogenet Genome Res.* 2013;141(1):1–6.
- Slovak ML, Kopecky KJ, Cassileth PA, et al. Karyotypic analysis predicts outcome of preremission and postremission therapy in adult acute myeloid leukemia: a Southwest Oncology Group/Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Study. *Blood*. 2000;96(13):4075–4083.
- Liu F, Gao L, Jing Y, et al. Detection and clinical significance of gene rearrangements in Chinese patients with adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2013;54(7):1521–1526.

- Shen Y, Zhu YM, Fan X, et al. Gene mutation patterns and their prognostic impact in a cohort of 1185 patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood.* 2011;118(20):5593–5603.
- Guan L, Gao L, Wang L, et al. The frequency and clinical significance of IDH1 mutations in Chinese acute myeloid leukemia patients. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(12):e83334.
- Chen TC, Hou HA, Chou WC, et al. Dynamics of ASXL1 mutation and other associated genetic alterations during disease progression in patients with primary myelodysplastic syndrome. *Blood Cancer J.* 2014;4(1):e177.
- Haferlach T, Nagata Y, Grossmann V, et al. Landscape of genetic lesions in 944 patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. *Leukemia*. 2014;28(2):241–247.
- Itzykson R, Kosmider O, Renneville A, et al. Prognostic score including gene mutations in chronic myelomonocytic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(19):2428–2436.
- Yang J, Schiffer CA. Genetic biomarkers in acute myeloid leukemia: will the promise of improving treatment outcomes be realized? *Expert Rev Hematol*. 2012;5(4):395–407.
- Murati A, Brecqueville M, Devillier R, Mozziconacci MJ, Gelsi-Boyer V, Birnbaum D. Myeloid malignancies: mutations, models and management. *BMC Cancer*. 2012;12:304.
- Shih AH, Abdel-Wahab O, Patel JP, Levine RL. The role of mutations in epigenetic regulators in myeloid malignancies. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2012;12(9):599–612.
- Jasielec J, Saloura V, Godley LA. The mechanistic role of DNA methylation in myeloid leukemogenesis. *Leukemia*. 2014;28(9):1765–1773.
- 27. Smith BD, Beach CL, Mahmoud D, Weber L, Henk HJ. Survival and hospitalization among patients with acute myeloid leukemia treated with azacitidine or decitabine in a large managed care population: a real-world, retrospective, claims-based, comparative analysis. *Exp Hematol Oncol.* 2014;3(1):10.
- Yun S, Vincelette ND, Abraham I, Robertson KD, Fernandez-Zapico ME, Patnaik MM. Targeting epigenetic pathways in acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome: a systematic review of hypomethylating agents trials. *Clin Epigenetics*. 2016;8:68.

- Ding K, Fu R, Liu H, Nachnani DA, Shao ZH. Effects of decitabine on megakaryocyte maturation in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. *Oncol Lett.* 2016;11(4):2347–2352.
- Griffiths EA, Gore SD, Hooker C, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia is characterized by Wnt pathway inhibitor promoter hypermethylation. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2010;51(9):1711–1719.
- Johan MF, Bowen DT, Frew ME, Goodeve AC, Reilly JT. Aberrant methylation of the negative regulators RASSFIA, SHP-1 and SOCS-1 in myelodysplastic syndromes and acute myeloid leukaemia. *Br J Haematol*. 2005;129(1):60–65.
- Avramouli A, Tsochas S, Mandala E, et al. Methylation status of RASSF1A in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia. *Leuk Res.* 2009; 33(8):1130–1132.
- Paschka P, Schlenk RF, Gaidzik VI, et al. ASXL1 mutations in younger adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia: a study by the German-Austrian Acute Myeloid Leukemia Study Group. *Haematologica*. 2015; 100(3):324–330.
- 34. Shivarov V, Gueorguieva R, Ivanova M, Tiu RV. ASXL1 mutations define a subgroup of patients with acute myeloid leukemia with distinct gene expression profile and poor prognosis: a meta-analysis of 3311 adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2015;56(6): 1881–1883.
- Schnittger S, Eder C, Jeromin S, et al. ASXL1 exon 12 mutations are frequent in AML with intermediate risk karyotype and are independently associated with an adverse outcome. *Leukemia*. 2013;27(1):82–91.
- Odenike O, Thirman MJ, Artz AS, Godley LA, Larson RA, Stock W. Gene mutations, epigenetic dysregulation, and personalized therapy in myeloid neoplasia: are we there yet? *Semin Oncol.* 2011;38(2): 196–214.
- Takahashi S. Current findings for recurring mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. J Hematol Oncol. 2011;4:36.
- Gill H, Leung AY, Kwong YL. Molecular targeted therapy in acute myeloid leukemia. *Future Oncol.* 2016;12(6):827–838.

### Supplementary materials

Gene	Designation	Sequence (5'-3') and labeling
MYODI	Forward primer	GTGATAAAATATTAAATGTGTTTGGTAAGTTTA
MYODI	Reverse primer	ATTTTTCTAAAAACTTCCTCAAAACTATCATC
MYODI	FAM-MGB probe	ATTGTAAAGGTAATTTGATGATAG
RASSFIA	Forward primer	TGGTTTTTAGAAATACGGGTATTTTCGCGTG
RASSFIA	Reverse primer	AAAACCCGAAAACGAAACTAAACGCGCT
RASSFIA	FAM-MGB probe	CGTGGTGTTTTGCGGTCGTCGTCGT

Table SI Primers and probes for detection of MYOD1 and RASSF1A methylation levels

Table S2 Primers and probes for detection of ABL1 and RASSF1A mRNA levels

Gene	Designation	Sequence $(5'-3')$ and labeling
ABLI	Forward primer	AGGCTGCCCAGAGAAGGTCTA
ABLI	Reverse primer	TGTTTCAAAGGCTTGGTGGAT
ABLI	FAM-MGB probe	TGGAATCCCTCTGACCGG
RASSFIA	Forward primer	CCTGCATGTGCTGTCACGCACAAGG
RASSFIA	Reverse primer	CTCATCATCCAACAGCTTCCGCAAGTACAC
RASSFIA	FAM-MGB probe	CACGTGAAGTCATTGAGGCCCTGCTG

#### Table S3 Primers of gene mutations for sequencing

Gene	Primer F (5′–3′)	Primer R (5′–3′)
ASXLI	TGATGCTGCCTCGAGTTGTC	TTGGTCAAACCCAGCTTCTGT
	AGAAGCTGGGTTTGACCAAAGA	GTGGCTTTTCGGTGTGAACA
	CCCCGGCTTGAAGATCGT	GTGAGTCCAACTGTAGCCCTCTGT
	GGCACCACTTCCTGGGAAA	TGCTTCAGAGTCTCCGTTGATTT
	GAGGCCACTAACCCACTTGTG	CCCTTGGCCTGTAACATTGC
DNTM3A	GAAGACCCCTGGAACTGCTACA	GAAGTAGCGGGCCCTGTGT
	TCTACCGCCTCCTGCATGAT	TGGGTGCTGATACTTCTCTCCAT
FLT3	TCCTGTTTCTCGGATGGATACC	TGGGTCATCATCTTGAGTTCTGA
NRAS	GCCGCATGACTCGTGGTT	GGCGTATTTCTCTTACCAGTGTGTAA
SF3B1	TCAACACTTAGTCCAGAAGAGCAAA	ACTTTCTGCTGCTCATCCACAA
	GCCATCTTGCCACATCTTAGAAGT	CCATCAATCAGTTGTTCTTCAAGTTT
	TCTTCCTCCCTTTTTTAAACACTTCT	GTGGAGTCATCTTATGCATACCTATGA
SRSF2	CGACGCTGAGGACGCTATG	AAGACCTACCCCAAATCCCATT
TET2	ATCACTCACCCATCGCATACC	TCATTGTCCCTGCAGTCTGTATGT
	ATGACATACAGACTGCAGGGACAA	TGTTGCAAAAGGTGTGAGTTTGA
	CCAGTGTTGAAACAGCACTTGAA	GGCACAGGAAAAACATTTGCA
KIT	TACATGGACATGAAACCTGGAGTT	AATGGTCTACCACGGGCTTCT
NPMI	AGGAGGAGGATGTGAAACTCTTAAGTAT	AACACGGTAGGGAAAGTTCTCACT
CEBPA	AAGAAGTCGGTGGACAAGAACAG	GCAGGCGGTCATTGTCACT
RUNXI	GTCATTTCCTTCGTACCCACAGT	GTGTGGGCTGACCCTCATG
U2AF1	TGTGGAGATGCAGGAACACT	AATGGCATGGCTCAGAATCG
IDHI	CCAAGTCACCAAGGATGCTG	CCATGTCGTCGATGAGCCTA

### **OncoTargets and Therapy**

### Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic agents and protocols on

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal

### **Dove**press

patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.