Food Science of Animal Resources

Food Sci. Anim. Resour. 2022 March 42(2):225~239 DOI https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2021.e74





Profiles of Non-*aureus* Staphylococci in Retail Pork and Slaughterhouse Carcasses: Prevalence, Antimicrobial Resistance, and Genetic Determinant of Fusidic Acid Resistance

Yu Jin Yang¹, Gi Yong Lee², Sun Do Kim², Ji Heon Park², Soo In Lee², Geun-Bae Kim², and Soo-Jin Yang^{1,*}

¹Department of Veterinary Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine and Research Institute for Veterinary Science, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

²Department of Animal Science and Technology, School of Bioresources and Bioscience, Chung-Ang University, Anseong 17546, Korea

Abstract As commensal colonizers in livestock, there has been little attention on staphylococci, especially non-aureus staphylococci (NAS), contaminating meat production chain. To assess prevalence of staphylococci in retail pork and slaughterhouse carcass samples in Korea, we collected 578 samples from Korean slaughterhouses (n=311) and retail markets (n=267) for isolation of staphylococci and determined antimicrobial resistance phenotypes in all the isolates. The presence of and prevalence of *fusB*-family genes (fusB, fusC, fusD, and fusF) and mutations in fusA genes were examined in fusidic acid resistant isolates. A total of 47 staphylococcal isolates of 4 different species (Staphylococcus aureus, n=4; S. hyicus, n=1; S. epidermidis, n=10; Mammaliicoccus sciuri, n=32) were isolated. Fusidic acid resistance were confirmed in 9/10 S. epidermidis and all of the 32 M. sciuri (previously S. sciuri) isolates. Acquired fusidic acid resistance genes were detected in all the resistant strains; fusB and fusC in S. epidermidis and fusB/C in M. sciuri. Multi-locus sequence type analysis revealed that ST63 (n=10, 31%) and ST30 (n=8, 25%) genotypes were most prevalent among fusidic acid resistant M. sciuri isolates. In conclusion, the high prevalence of fusB-family genes in S. epidermidis and M. sciuri strains isolated from pork indicated that NAS might act as a reservoir for fusidic acid resistance gene transmissions in pork production chains.

Keywords non-*aureus* staphylococci, antimicrobial resistance, retail pork, slaughterhouse carcass

Introduction

Staphylococci are frequent inhabitants of the normal microbiota of skin and mucous surfaces in humans and animals (Davis, 1996). Although less frequent than the

© Korean Society for Food Science of Animal Resources. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

OPEN ACCESS

Received	September 22, 2021
Revised	December 10, 2021
Accepted	December 20, 2021

*Corresponding author : Soo-Jin Yang Department of Veterinary Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine and Research Institute for Veterinary Science, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea Tel: +82-2-880-1185 Fax: +82-2-885-0263 E-mail: soojinjj@snu.ac.kr

*ORCID

Yu Jin Yang https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9832-2539 Gi Yong Lee https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5308-0065 Sun Do Kim https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1394-3844 Ji Heon Park https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4558-5981 Geun-Bae Kim https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8531-1104 Soo-Jin Yang https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3253-8190 coagulase-positive staphylococci such as *S. aureus*, coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) can cause many nosocomial and community-associated infections including skin and soft tissue infections, urinary tract infections, endocarditis, and blood stream infections (Piette and Verschraegen, 2009). Moreover, several recent studies have reported the potential role of non-*aureus* staphylococci (NAS) in transmission of antimicrobial resistance by acting as a reservoir for antimicrobial resistance genes (Archer and Niemeyer, 1994; Nemeghaire et al., 2014a).

Fusidic acid (FA) is a bacteriostatic steroid antibiotic originated from *Fusidium coccineum*, previously used to treat staphylococcal skin infections since the early 1960s (Godtfredsen et al., 1962). It targets elongation factor G (EF-G) that functions as ribosomal translocase and interact with ribosomal recycling factor to release ribosomal complexes (Fernandes, 2016). However, FA resistance can occur due to spontaneous mutations in *fusA*, which encodes EF-G (Turnidge and Collignon, 1999). Point mutations in *fusE*, encoding ribosomal protein L6, are also associated with FA resistance in staphylococci (Norström et al., 2007). In addition, FusB-family proteins bind to EF-G and protect them from FA binding (O'Neill and Chopra, 2006). The FusB-family proteins are produced by the *fusB*, *fusC*, *fusD*, and *fusF* genes and frequently mediate low-level resistance to FA (Fernandes, 2016). These *fusB*-family genes have been reported in *S. aureus* and NAS isolates, either carried on a plasmid, phage-associated resistance islands, or staphylococcal cassette chromosome (SCC) (Chen et al., 2015; O'Neill and Chopra, 2006; O'Neill et al., 2007). It has been well known that *S. saprophyticus* and *S. cohnii* subsp. *urealyticus* possess *fusD* and *fusF* genes for their intrinsic FA resistance (Chen et al., 2015; O'Neill et al., 2007).

The significance of food-producing animals as carriers of foodborne zoonotic pathogens and antimicrobial resistance genes has been demonstrated in many countries including Korea (Hung et al., 2015; Nam et al., 2011; Nemeghaire et al., 2014a). In contrast to coagulase-positive *S. aureus*, well recognized as a major causative pathogen of staphylococcal food poisoning and antimicrobial resistance, occurrence of NAS in foods of animal origin, their antimicrobial resistance phenotypes, and the genetic factors associated with the resistant phenotypes have not been well investigated. Therefore, we aimed to investigate i) the profiles of NAS in pork and carcass samples collected from retail markets and slaughterhouses in Korea, ii) the antimicrobial resistance phenotypes of the staphylococcal isolates, and iii) the occurrence and distribution of *fusB*-family genes (*fusB*, *fusC*, *fusD*, and *fusF*), and iv) the point mutations in *fusA* genes by sequencing analyses.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection and isolation of staphylococci

We obtained a total of 578 swab or pork samples from seven slaughterhouses (311 carcass samples) and 35 retail markets (267 pork samples) across eight Korean provinces in 2018. Slaughterhouse carcass samples were obtained from a single visit at seven different slaughterhouses: Gyeonggi (46 swabs), Gangwon (46 swabs), Chuncheong (46 swabs), Jeolla (two slaughterhouses, 40 and 42 swabs), and Gyeongsang (two slaughterhouses, 45 and 46 swabs). Each carcass swab was prepared on an area of (10×10 cm) per site on the back and chest of pig carcasses within 8 h of slaughter. Fresh pork samples were collected from four to five retail markets in each province. All samples were kept at 4°C and processed for isolation of staphylococci within 24 h of collection.

Swab samples from slaughterhouses were inoculated into 6 mL of tryptic soy broth (TSB; Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA) containing 10% sodium chloride (NaCl) for enrichment at 37°C. Each pork sample (25 g) was homogenized in 225 mL of 10% NaCl-TSB. After overnight incubation, 15 µL aliquots of the pre-enriched NaCl-TSB cultures were streaked onto Baired-Parker agar (BPA; Difco Laboratories) supplemented with potassium tellurite and egg yolk, and then grown at 37°C

for up to 48 h. Next, up to two presumptive staphylococcal colonies from each plate were re-streaked on BPA plate for identification. For genomic DNA isolation, individual isolates were inoculated into fresh TSB, cultured at 37°C for 18–24 h, and bacterial cell pellets were subjected to the Genmed DNA extraction kit (Genmed, Seoul, Korea) according to the manufacturer's protocols. Identification of staphylococcal species was performed using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/MS; Bruker Daltonics GmbH, Bremen, Germany) and 16S rRNA sequencing. For bacterial identification using MALDI-Biotyper Realtime Classification system, presumptive staphylococci were placed on a target plate coated with specific energy-absorbent agent, the matrix. The sample within the matrix was then ionized in an automated mode with a laser beam as recommended by the manufacturer. Next, peptides in bacterial sample converted into protonated ions and the peptide mass fingerprints were used to identify bacterial species based on the spectral database (MALDI Biotyper 3.1, Bruker Daltonics GmbH). Score values \geq 2.0 were used for an identification of staphylococcal species. For 16S rRNA sequencing analyses, 16S rRNA genes were PCR-amplified using a universal primer set (16S_27F: 5'-AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG-3' and 16S_1492R: 5'-TAC GGY TAC CTT GTT ACG ACT T-3') (Mendoza et al., 1998). PCR amplifications were performed as follows: Denaturation at 94°C for 30 s followed by 28 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 52°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min. After a final 10 min extension at 72°C, the samples were purified using PCR purification kit (Bionics, Seoul, Korea), and then sequenced at Bionics.

Antimicrobial susceptibility assays

Standard disc diffusion methods were used to determine the antimicrobial susceptibility of each isolate according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute's (CLSI) recommendations for the following antimicrobial agents: penicillin (PEN, 10 µg), ampicillin (AMP, 10 µg), cefoxitin (FOX, 30 µg), chloramphenicol (CHL, 30 µg), clindamycin (CLI, 2 µg), erythromycin (ERY, 15 µg), FA (10 µg), ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 µg), mupirocin (MUP, 200 µg), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (SXT, 1.25/23.75 µg), tetracycline (TET, 30 µg), rifampicin (RIF, 5 µg), gentamycin (GEN, 10 µg), quinupristin/dalfopristin (SYN, 15 µg). The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) to FA (Liofilchem, Roseto degli Abruzzi, Italy) and oxacillin (OXA; bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) were determined by standard E-test. OXA E-test was performed on MH BBL II agar (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA) supplemented with 2% NaCl. The breakpoint for FA resistance (>1 µg/mL) was based on the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) (EUCAST, 2021) guidelines. The *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 strain was included as a reference for the antimicrobial susceptibility analyses.

mecA detection and staphylococcal cassette chromosome (SCC)mec type determination

All the strains showing resistance to OXA or FOX were examined for the presence of *mecA* gene using the PCR method as described previously (Geha et al., 1994). The *mecA* positive staphylococcal strains were subjected to SCC*mec* typing as previously described (Kondo et al., 2007). A series of multiplex PCR reactions were employed to amplify *mec* regulatory elements (*mec*) and chromosomal cassette recombinase (*ccr*) genes. The combinations *mec* complexes and *ccr* types were used to determine the SCC*mec* types of the staphylococcal strains. The PCR was preceded as previously described (Kondo et al., 2007).

Detection of fusidic acid (FA) resistance determinants

The carriage of acquired FA resistance genes *fusB*, *fusC*, *fusD*, and *fusF* were detected by PCR methods as described before (Chen et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2015; McLaws et al., 2008). The primers used to amplify *fusB*-family genes are listed in Table 1.

Primer name	Sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Product size (bp)	Target gene	References
BF	CTA TAA TGA TAT TAA TGA GAT TTT TGG	431	fusB	(McLaws et al., 2008)
BR	TTT TTA CAT ATT GAC CAT CCG AAT TGG			
CF	TTA AAG AAA AAG ATA TTG ATA TCT CGG	332	(McLaws et al., 2008)	
CR	TTT ACA GAA TCC TTT TAC TTT ATT TGG			
B/C-F	CTT AAA AGC TAC GTC GTC CCA	299	fusB/C	This study
B/C-R	CCA TCA CCT TTA GAT TTC GTC GTA			
AF	GCT CAT TAC CGT TGG TAA GAT AGA A	2.5k	fusA	This study
AR	TTG GCA TGT GTT TTT GAG CGA			
A-S1	TTA ATT GAA GCT GTT GCT GA		Sequencing only	
A-S2	TGC ACA AGT TCA AGG TAA ATT CTC		Sequencing only	
DF	AAT TCG GTC AAC GAT CCC	465	fusD	(Chen et al., 2010)
DR	GCC ATC ATT GCC AGT ACG			
FF	CTA AAA TAG ACA TTT ATC AGC AG	427	fusF	(Chen et al., 2015)
FR	GGT ATA TTG TCC ATC ACC AG			

Table 1. Primers used to detect fusidic acid resistance determinants in this study

For detection of *fusB*-family gene homologues in *M. sciuri* (previously *S. sciuri*) strains, a specific primer set was designed based on the published sequences of 7 *M. sciuri* strains (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) carrying the *fusB/C*-family genes (Table 1). The PCR conditions for detecting *fusB/C* in *M. sciuri* were as follows: Denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 28 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 35 s, annealing at 53°C for 40 s, extension at 72°C for 45 s, and final extension at 72°C for 10 min.

To detect point mutations within *fusA* genes in FA resistant isolates, DNA sequencing analyses were performed. Using the specific primer set (AF and AR) as shown in Table 1, *fusA* gene was amplified from genomic DNA samples purified from *S. epidermidis* and *M. sciuri* strains. The PCR amplicons were sequenced with AF and two additional primers A-S1 and A-S2 at Bionics (Seoul, Korea). The *fusA* sequence data were then compared with the published sequences of *S. epidermidis* (GenBank: NZ_CP035288.1) and *M. sciuri* (GenBank: CP071138.1).

Multi-locus sequence type (MLST) analysis

Except one *S. hyicus* strain, whose MLST scheme has not yet been developed, MLST was performed on all *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis*, and *M. sciuri* isolates as described previously (Enright et al., 2000; Schauer et al., 2021; Thomas et al., 2007). For MLST analyses, internal fragments of seven housekeeping genes from each strain were PCR amplified and sequenced. The seven genes amplified from each species of staphylococci are: *arcC*, *aroE*, *gmk*, *glpF*, *pta*, *tpi*, and *yqiL* for *S. aureus* (Enright et al., 2000); *arcC*, *aroE*, *gtr*, *pyrR*, *mutS*, *yqiL*, and *tpiA* for *S. epidermidis* (Thomas et al., 2007); *ack*, *aroE*, *glpK*, *ftsZ*, *gmk*, *pta1*, and *tpiA* for *M. sciuri* (Schauer et al., 2021). The alleles and sequence types (STs) of each staphylococcal species were assigned according to the MLST databases (https://www.pubmlst.org/).

Results

Profiles of staphylococci isolated from pork meat and carcass samples

As presented in Table 2, 44 staphylococci (44/311, 14.1%) of four different species were isolated from slaughterhouse

Bacterial species	No. of methicillin-resistant strains	SCCmec type (No. of strains)		
Staphylococci from slaughterhouses (44/311 samples)				
Staphylococcus aureus (n=4/311, 1.29%)	2	SCCmec IV (1), SCCmec V (1)		
Staphylococcus hyicus (n=1/311, 0.32%)	0	-		
Staphylococcus epidermidis (n=10/311, 3.22%)	5	SCCmec IV (4), SCCmec V (1)		
Mammaliicoccus sciuri (n=29/311, 9.32%)	-	-		
Staphylococci from retail markets (3/267 samples)	0	-		
Mammaliicoccus sciuri (n=3/267, 1.12%)	0	-		
Total 47 strains	7			

Table 2. Profiles of staphylococci isolated from pork meat and carcass samples

SCC, staphylococcal cassette chromosome.

carcass samples, and only three strains of *M. sciuri* (3/267, 1.1%) were isolated from retail pork samples obtained over the 10-month study period. The most frequent staphylococci identified in the carcasses were *M. sciuri* (previously *S. sciuri*), comprising ~66% (29/44) of the staphylococcal isolates from slaughterhouse samples. While four different species (*S. aureus, S. hyicus, S. epidermidis,* and *M. sciuri*) were identified in the slaughterhouse samples, only three strains of *M. sciuri* were cultured from retail pork meat samples. All of the 47 isolates used in this investigation were obtained from different carcass or meat samples.

MLST analyses of the *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* isolates revealed four and six different STs, respectively, with four non-typeable *S. epidermidis* strains (Fig. 1). The 32 strains of *M. sciuri* were assigned to three different STs: ST63 (n=10, 31.3%), ST30 (n=8, 3%), and ST96 (n=1, 3.1%) with 13 non-typeable strains under the current *M. sciuri* MLST scheme.



Fig. 1. MLST profiles of staphylococci isolated from retail pork and carcass samples in Korea. SA, Staphylococcus aureus; SE, Staphylococcus epidermidis; MS, Mammaliicoccus sciuri; MLST, multi-locus sequence type.

Methicillin-resistant staphylococci in retail pork and pig carcass

Seven methicillin-resistant staphylococci, two methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) and five methicillin-resistant *S. epidermidis* (MRSE) strains, were identified among the 47 staphylococcal isolates, indicating 14.9% methicillin resistance prevalence (Table 2). All seven methicillin-resistant staphylococcal strains were *mecA* positive and exhibited resistant phenotype to OXA, OXA MIC \geq 4 µg/mL (*S. aureus*), OXA MIC \geq 0.5 µg/mL (*S. epidermidis*) (Table 3). Each of the five MRSE strains were assigned to five different STs of ST172, ST130, ST80, ST173, and ST768. The two MRSA strains were ST398 with SCC*mec* V and ST2084 with SCC*mec* IV, respectively (Table 2). Although all 32 *M. sciuri* strains showed OXA MICs of \geq 0.5 µg/mL, none of the strains were FOX-resistant (Table 3). Furthermore, all the *M. sciuri* strains were negative for the *mecA* gene.

Table 3. Genotypes, antimicrobial resistance phenotypes, and fusidic acid resistance determinants of staphylococci isolated from pork meat and carcass samples

				MICs to			SCCmaa	FA	fund		Saora
ID	Species	Resistance profiles	OXA (µg/mL)	TET (µg/mL)	FA (µg/mL)	mecA	type	resistance genes	mutation	MLST	value ¹⁾
SSA1	MRSA	OXA-AMP-FOX-PEN- CHL-CIP-OXA-TET	6	64	0.25	+	V	-	NA	ST398	2.304
SSA2	MRSA	OXA-AMP-FOX-PEN	192	0.5	0.125	+	IV	-	NA	ST2084	2.144
SSA3	MSSA	AMP-PEN	0.5	1	0.19	-	NA	-	NA	ST5	2.204
SSA4	MSSA	AMP-PEN-CHL-MUP	0.5	4	0.125	-	NA	-	NA	ST9	2.279
SSE1	S epidermidis	OXA-AMP-FOX-PEN- ERY-FA	64	1	24	+	IV	fusB	-	ST172	2.362
SSE2	S. epidermidis	OXA-AMP-PEN-FA	64	4	24	+	IV	fusB	-	ST130	2.32
SSE3	S. epidermidis	OXA-AMP-FOX-PEN-FA	32	0.5	8	+	IV	fusB, fusC	-	ST80	2.293
SSE4	S. epidermidis	OXA-AMP-PEN-CHL- ERY-FA-MUP-SXT	8	4	32	+	V	fusB	-	ST173	2.436
SSE5	S. epidermidis	AMP-PEN-FA	0.25	2	8	-	NA	fusB	-	NT	2.375
SSE6	S. epidermidis	AMP-PEN-FA	0.25	4	8	-	NA	fusB	-	NT	2.249
SSE7	S. epidermidis	OXA-FA-MUP-TET	16	32	24	+	IV	fusB	-	ST768	2.293
SSE8	S. epidermidis	AMP-PEN-FA	0.25	4	12	-	NA	fusB	-	NT	2.376
SSE9	S. epidermidis	AMP-PEN-FA	0.25	2	24	-	NA	fusB	V599I (GTT→ATT)	NT	2.264
SSE10	S. epidermidis	AMP-PEN	0.25	0.5	0.25	-	NA	fusB	-	ST1017	2.247
SSH1	S. hyicus	AMP-PEN-CLI-SXT-TET	0.25	16	0.19	-	NA	-	NA	NA	2.138
RMS1	M. sciuri	OXA-CLI-FA	2	0.5	12	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST63	2.273
RMS2	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	0.5	0.25	16	-	NA	fusB/C	-	NT	2.377
RMS3	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	1	0.25	16	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST30	2.274
SMS4	M. sciuri	OXA-FA-TET	1	16	8	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST63	2.227
SMS5	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	1	1	16	-	NA	fusB/C	-	NT	2.433
SMS6	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	1	0.5	12	-	NA	fusB/C	-	NT	2.324
SMS7	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	1	0.5	16	-	NA	fusB/C	-	NT	2.212

				MICs to			SCCmar	FA	frig 1		Saama
ID	Species	Resistance profiles	OXA (µg/mL)	TET (µg/mL)	FA (µg/mL)	mecA	type	resistance genes	mutation	MLST	value ¹⁾
SMS8	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	1	0.5	24	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST63	2.012
SMS9	M. sciuri	OXA-CLI-FA	2	0.5	16	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST63	2.01
SMS10	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	0.5	0.25	12	-	NA	fusB/C	-	NT	2.343
SMS11	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	2	1	8	-	NA	fusB/C	-	NT	2.257
SMS12	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	1	1	12	-	NA	fusB/C	-	NT	2.13
SMS13	M. sciuri	OXA-CLI-FA	0.5	0.25	16	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST63	2.248
SMS14	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	0.5	0.25	8	-	NA	fusB/C	-	NT	2.17
SMS15	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	0.5	0.25	12	-	NA	fusB/C	-	NT	2.028
SMS16	M. sciuri	OXA-CLI-FA	0.5	0.25	6	-	NA	fusB/C	-	NT	2.077
SMS17	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	1	0.25	8	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST63	2.214
SMS18	M. sciuri	OXA-PEN-FA	2	0.25	8	-	NA	fusB/C	-	NT	2.056
SMS19	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	0.5	0.25	12	-	NA	fusB/C	-	NT	2.203
SMS20	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	1	8	12	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST63	2.29
SMS21	M. sciuri	OXA-FA-TET	1	16	8	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST63	2.185
SMS22	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	1	0.5	12	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST63	2.203
SMS23	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	1	0.25	8	-	NA	fusB/C	-	NT	2.336
SMS24	M. sciuri	OXA-FA-TET	1	16	8	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST30	2.218
SMS25	M. sciuri	OXA-FA-TET	1	16	12	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST30	2.277
SMS26	M. sciuri	OXA-FA-TET	1	16	8	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST30	2.272
SMS27	M. sciuri	OXA-FA-TET	1	16	12	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST30	2.085
SMS28	M. sciuri	OXA-FA-TET	1	16	12	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST30	2.081
SMS29	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	1	0.25	12	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST30	2.398
SMS30	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	1	0.25	8	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST30	2.325
SMS31	M. sciuri	OXA-FA	1	0.5	12	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST63	2.295
SMS32	M. sciuri	OXA-PEN-FA	1	8	8	-	NA	fusB/C	-	ST96	2.282

Table 3. Genotypes, antimicrobial resistance phenotypes, and fusidic acid resistance determinants of staphylococci isolated from pork meat and carcass samples (continued)

¹⁾ Strains with score value of ≥ 2.000 were used in this study.

MICs, minimum inhibitory concentrations; OXA, oxacillin; TET, tetracycline; FA, fusidic acid; SCC, staphylococcal cassette chromosome; MLST, multi-locus sequence type; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*; AMP, ampicillin; FOX, cefoxitin; PEN, penicillin; CHL, chloramphenicol; CIP, ciprofloxacin; TET, tetracycline; NA, not applicable; MSSA, methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus*; MUP, mupirocin; ERY, erythromycin; SXT, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole; NT, not-typable stain; CLI, clindamycin; *S. epidermidis, Staphylococcus epidermidis; M. sciuri, Mammaliicoccus sciuri*.

Antimicrobial resistance profiles

All 47 isolates were susceptible to rifampin, gentamicin and SYN (Table 4). Multidrug resistance was observed in 17 staphylococcal isolates (36.2%), which showed resistance to \geq 3 different antimicrobials agent classes. As shown in Table 4, 41/47 (87.2%) isolates were resistant to FA, displaying the highest frequency of resistance for FA. Among the 47 isolates, 9/10 (90%) *S. epidermidis* strains and all 32 *M. sciuri* strains were FA-resistant. However, all the FA resistant *S. epidermidis* and *M. sciuri* strains displayed FA MICs ranging from 6–24 µg/mL, indicating low-level resistance (Fernandes, 2016).

	Frequency of resistance								
	MRSA (n=2)	MSSA (n=2)	Staphylococcus hyicus (n=1)	Staphylococcus epidermidis (n=10)	Mammaliicoccus sciuri (n=32)	(n=47, %)			
OXA	2	-	-	5	32	39 (83.0)			
AMP	2	2	1	9	-	14 (29.8)			
FOX	2	-	-	2	-	4 (8.5)			
PEN	2	2	1	9	2	16 (34.0)			
CHL	1	1	-	1	-	3 (6.4)			
CIP	1	-	-	-	-	1 (2.1)			
CLI	-	-	1	1	4	6 (12.8)			
ERY	-	-	-	2	-	2 (4.3)			
FA	-	-	-	9	32	41 (87.2)			
MUP	-	1	-	2	-	3 (6.4)			
SXT	-	-	1	1	-	2 (4.3)			
TET	1	-	1	1	7	10 (21.3)			
RIF	-	-	-	-	-	-			
GEN	-	-	-	-	-	-			
SYN	-	-	-	-	-	-			

Table 4. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in staphylococci isolated from pork meat and carcass samples

MRSA, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*; MSSA, methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus*; OXA, oxacillin; AMP, ampicillin; FOX, cefoxitin; PEN, penicillin; CHL, chloramphenicol; CIP, ciprofloxacin; CLI, clindamycin; ERY, erythromycin; FA, fusidic acid; MUP, mupirocin; SXT, trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole; TET, tetracycline; RIF, rifampicin; GEN, gentamycin; SYN, quinupristin/dalfopristin.

Genetic determinants of fusidic acid (FA) resistance

All *S. epidermidis* and *M. sciuri* isolates showing resistance phenotype to FA were examined for the presence of *fusB*, *fusC*, *fusD*, and *fusF*. All nine FA-resistant *S. epidermidis* strains were *fusB* positive, with one carrying the *fusC* gene (Table 3). Similarly, all 32 *M. sciuri* isolates were positive for a *fusB*-family homolog, *fusB/C*. None of the FA resistant isolates were carrying *fusD* nor *fusF*.

Besides the *fusB*-family genes, point mutations within the *fusA* open reading frame encoding EF-G have been associated with FA resistance (Turnidge and Collignon, 1999). As shown in Table 3, a V599I mutation was confirmed in the *fusA* in only one of the *S. epidermidis* (SSE9) isolates. No mutation was identified in the *fusA* gene of *M. sciuri* isolates from the sequencing analyses.

Discussion

High prevalence of antimicrobial resistance is a significant threat to public health as it undermines treatment options for bacterial infections (Sugden et al., 2016). Since staphylococci are frequently associated with commensal microbiota of skin and mucous surface of various food-producing animals, the development and spread of antimicrobial resistance among staphylococci in the food chain is considered an important threat to food safety (Founou et al., 2016). While coagulase-positive *S. aureus* has been well investigated for its ability to develop antimicrobial resistance and zoonotic potentials to infect human and animal hosts, relatively few studies have focused on the role of NAS, such as CoNS, in antimicrobial

resistance development and transmission. Indeed, several recent studies demonstrated that the antimicrobial resistance in CoNS has been increasing over the past decades (Piette and Verschraegen, 2009), and they act as reservoir for resistance genes that can be transferred to other bacteria (von Wintersdorff et al., 2016).

In this study, we assessed prevalence of staphylococci in retail pork meat and slaughterhouse carcass samples collected from eight provinces of Korea. Overall, the prevalence of staphylococci in the retail pork and slaughterhouse carcass samples was 1% and 16.5%, respectively. As shown in Table 2, only 4/44 (9.1%) staphylococci from slaughterhouse carcass samples were *S. aureus*, and this high proportion of NAS over *S. aureus* was similar to previous report (Fijałkowski et al., 2016). Among the three different species of NAS from slaughterhouses, *M. sciuri* displayed the highest prevalence (65.9%) followed by *S. epidermidis* (22.7%). While previous studies reported much higher levels of *S. aureus* prevalence in retail pork meat samples in China (18.6%; Wu et al., 2018), Denmark (60%; Tang et al., 2017), and USA (16%–66%; Hanson et al., 2011; O'Brien et al., 2012), no *S. aureus* was detected from retail pork meat samples in this study. At least several factors such as sample treatment, enrichment/isolation method, and geographical location may have affected the differences in prevalence of *S. aureus* and other staphylococci. It should also be noted that the use of different sampling methodology (swab samples on ~100 cm² surface versus 25 g of pork meats) to isolate staphylococci from slaughterhouse carcass samples and retail pork meat samples would have affected the overall prevalence and proportion of each species presented in this investigation.

The occurrence and prevalence of antimicrobial-resistant NAS in retail pork and slaughterhouse carcass samples have not been well investigated in Korea. Recent reports of methicillin-resistant CoNS from food-producing animals have raised concerns regarding transmission of these antimicrobial-resistant staphylococci through the meat production chain (Huber et al., 2011; Nemeghaire et al., 2014b). In this study, 7/44 (15.9%) staphylococci from slaughterhouse carcass samples were methicillin-resistant staphylococci (two MRSA and five MRSE strains) (Table 2). Out of the two MRSA strains, only one strain of *S. aureus* (SSA1) was ST398 carrying SCC*mec* V, which has been frequently reported *S. aureus* genotype in pigs and pork meat worldwide (Chuang and Huang, 2015; Golding et al., 2010; Lozano et al., 2009). Consistent with previous reports (Garza-González et al., 2010; Ruppé et al., 2009), 4/5 MRSE carried SCC*mec* IV for methicillin resistance. As presented in Table 3, all the *M. sciuri* isolates displayed a low-level of the OXA resistance phenotype (0.5–2 μ g/mL). However, all of these OXA-resistant isolates were susceptible to FOX (Tables 3 and 4), and none of them were positive for the *mecA* gene. Previously, it has been reported that CoNS isolates other than *S. epidermidis* strains that displays OXA MICs of 0.5–2 μ g/mL may lack *mecA* (Feßler et al., 2010), and have been defined as methicillin-susceptible strains (CLSI, 2015). It has been suggested that the *mecA*-negative OXA-resistant CoNS may overexpress penicillinase (Kolbert et al., 1995).

For the last ten years, over a two-fold increase (from 15% to 34%) in FA resistance in clinical isolates of *S. aureus* has been reported in Korea (Hong et al., 2016). More recently, it has been reported that ~27% of *S. pseudintermedius* isolates from canine pyoderma and otitis were resistant to FA (Lim et al., 2020). In the current study, as shown in Table 4, 9/10 *S. epidermidis* strains and the 32 *M. sciuri* strains displayed FA resistance. Similarly, the high rates of resistance to FA in *S. saprophyticus*, *S. xylosus*, and *M. sciuri* isolates collected from ready-to-eat foods have been reported in Taiwan (Wang et al., 2019). CoNS isolated from meat products also displayed FA resistance rates of 79.2% and 43% in Nigeria (Okoli et al., 2018) and Poland (Fijałkowski et al., 2016) respectively. Recent studies from Taiwan and the UK reported 14% and 46% prevalence, respectively, of FA resistance in clinical isolates of *S. epidermidis* (Chen et al., 2011; McLaws et al., 2008). The widespread occurrence of FA resistance in non-*aureus* staphylococcal isolates indicate that NAS such as *S. epidermidis* and *M. sciuri* could become a significant public health concern, serving as a reservoir of antimicrobial resistance through food chains. In line with previous reports (Chen et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2018; McLaws et al., 2008), FA resistance in *S. epidermidis* isolates from slaughterhouse carcasses in this study was mediated by the *fusB* gene (Table 3). Although one strain of *S.*

epidermidis (SSE3) was double positive for *fusB* and *fusC* genes, this strain showed a FA MIC of 8 µg/mL, indicating that the presence of the two *fusB*-family genes does not confer a high-level FA resistance phenotype (\geq MIC of 128 µg/mL). None of the *S. epidermidis* isolates displaying FA resistance were positive for *fusD* nor *fusF* (Table 3). Sequencing analyses of *fusA* in *S. epidermidis* revealed that the SSE9 strain had V599I mutation within the linker site between domain IV and V of EF-G. Amino acid sequence substitutions in EF-G have frequently been associated with high-levels of FA resistance (Fernandes, 2016). However, the location of V599I mutation within EF-G and the relatively low-level FA resistance (MIC of 24 µg/mL) indicate that the point mutation in V599I is not causing FA resistance in the *S. epidermidis* strain.

The high prevalence of FA resistance in *M. sciuri* isolated from ready-to-eat-foods (99%) (Wang et al., 2019), healthy chickens (100%) (Nemeghaire et al., 2014b), and livestock (100%) (Bagcigil et al., 2007) has recently been reported. Similar to these reports, all of the *M. sciuri* isolates from retail pork (n=29) and slaughterhouse carcasses (n=3) exhibited FA resistance (Tables 3 and 4). To determine genetic factors involved in the FA resistance in *M. sciuri* isolates, a specific primer set detecting homologues of *fusB*-family genes (*fusB/C*) was designed in the current study using the published sequences of seven *M. sciuri* strains in NCBI databases. All the *M. sciuri* isolates carried the *fusB/C* gene for the FA resistance phenotype (Table 3), and the sequencing analyses of the amplified PCR products confirmed the sequences of *fusB/C* genes (data not shown). As shown in Table 5 and Fig. 2, FusB/C protein from *M. sciuri* displayed 42%–47% of similarity to amino acid sequences of previously characterized FusB-family proteins. None of the 32 *M. sciuri* strains. These results combined with the MLST analyses suggests that various genetic lineages of *S. epidermidis* and *M. sciuri* strains contribute to the high prevalence of FA resistance in NAS isolated from retail pork and slaughterhouse carcass samples in Korea.

In summary, our results suggest that i) a relatively higher level of NAS than *S. aureus* are present in pork production chains, particularly in slaughterhouse carcass samples, ii) there is a high prevalence of FA resistance in NAS isolates, especially in *S. epidermidis* and *M. sciuri* isolates, and iii) *fusB*-family genes, rather than *fusA* mutations, caused the high occurrence of FA-resistant *S. epidermidis* and *M. sciuri*. Our results demonstrate a high prevalence of FA-resistant NAS in pork meat production chains, which may act as reservoirs for FA resistance. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report the genetic determinants and prevalence of FA resistance in NAS collected from pork meat production chains in Korea.

FucD family		Accession	Amino agid	A	larity			
proteins	Species	number	size	FusB (%)	FusC (%)	FusD (%)	FusF (%)	MS-FusB/C (%)
FusB	Staphylococcus aureus	CAL23838.1	213	-	45	47	53	44
FusC	Staphylococcus aureus	WP_001033157.1	212	45	-	41	42	47
FusD	Staphylococcus saprophyticus	BAE19310.1	213	47	41	-	68	42
FusF	Staphylococcus cohnii	AVL76727.1	214	53	42	68	-	46
MS-FusB/C	Mammaliicoccus sciuri	QYG31551.1	214	44	47	42	46	-
MF-FusB/C	Mammaliicoccus fleurettii	WP_078357269.1	214	44	46	43	47	92
MV-FusB/C	Mammaliicoccus vitulinus	QQT15456.1	214	44	44	43	48	87
ML-FusB/C	Mammaliicoccus lentus	QMU10254.1	214	41	43	41	46	81

Table 5. Similarities of FusB-family protein amino acid sequences among staphylococci and mammaliicocci

MS-FU	1	MNNYIKPYQFVSIKEKSEQLFNVYKSV ND IKTIDTFQAITYDH I SQLFEEKHSEIEDFTK = 60	
Fus B	1	.KTM.Y.H.YNY.RSVILR.KT. <mark>ND</mark> KE.VKVI.SEND <mark>I</mark> NEI.GHIDDDESL. 60	
Fus C	1	M.K.EV.KKV.QLVYIKL.RT- <mark>ND</mark> MNSHK.QKDFLLNE <mark>I</mark> NDI.KDID.SIT 58	
Fus D	1	.EKQLYNYRVAH.V.A.NNDPNASIKDV.R.E <mark>I</mark> LST.NSRNTT.RSNVE 60	
Fus F	1	.EKQ.YNYRIAH.L.A <mark>ND</mark> LNASIKET.KID <mark>I</mark> Y.Q.HQIDDTLTEAIE 60	
MF-FU	1		
MV-FU	1	QQSNDL	
ML-FU	1	F.QYHKNDPN <mark>I</mark> ETYVDN.IN 60	
MS-FU	61	IIMNKKISRAQIEKL <mark>L</mark> FGLKSYVIP <mark>R</mark> EQPSSKQLEKI <mark>R</mark> K <mark>KTKK</mark> L <mark>K</mark> H <mark>P</mark> EWDNIDLKESSYI 120	
Fus B	61	VLIRL.NKEAI <mark>L</mark> NKFLEV. <mark>F</mark> .LPQK.Q.V <mark>F.K</mark> V <mark>KK</mark> IKI <mark>P</mark> QFEEYVFV 120	
Fus C	59	S.DDV.LTKKKA.H. <mark>I</mark> NEV.IQD <mark>F</mark> .IS F R <mark>K</mark> V <mark>KK.K</mark> R <mark>P</mark> DINLTI. 118	
Fus D	61	KLVQLTKE.AQ.I <mark>L</mark> TTIQMK. <mark>F</mark> .HNVTNL <mark>F.K</mark> V <mark>KK</mark> .KT <mark>P</mark> LISDEV.QT.T 120	
Fus F	61	KLIR.TKV.VD.I <mark>L</mark> ET.QT <mark>F</mark> .HKVT <mark>F</mark> RK <mark>IKK.K</mark> SPLISDEI.LT 120	
MF-FU	61	R L N F L F I K . KK .KQ P D	
MV-FU	61	RVV <mark>I</mark> <mark>F</mark> .ML <mark>F.K.KK.K</mark> Q P D.ES 120	
ML-FU	61	PN.SV <mark>L</mark> LV <mark>F</mark> QIV <mark>F.K.KK</mark> .KQ <mark>P</mark> DT 120	
MS-FU 1	121	GWNDSGKQKKFIVLY-E-D-DK-LIGVSGDLSPTIKPG <u>I</u> CS <mark>I</mark> CKKESNVSM <mark>F</mark> LSTTK-SKGDGTY	180
Fus B 1	121	GWNELASNR.Y.IYD-E-K.Q.KGLYGEI.NQVVK. <u>F</u> .T <mark>I</mark> .NLFMKKSTNS.GQY	181
Fus C 1	119	GWN.NSSNR.YYK-NLFEGIYGEINKVK.F.K <mark>I</mark> .NQDT.L <mark>F</mark> .NKHN.SSG.Y	180
Fus D 1	121	GWN.IASNRIYD-NFGNG.YG.I.NQTVKS <u>F</u> <mark>I</mark> .NR.AL <mark>F</mark> MRK.R-TGN.GQY	181
Fus F 1	121	GWN.IASNRIY.NQ-GTTGFYG.IANQTVK.Y.A <mark>I</mark> .NAL <mark>F</mark> MRK.R-TSGQY	181
MF-FU 1	121	GWNIMNTGGEP. <u>F</u> <mark>I</mark> FFG .Y	180
MV-FU 1	121	GWNIMN-GIT <mark>GG</mark> P. <u>F</u> <mark>I</mark> FFG .Y	180
ML-FU 1	121	GWNY.IMTG.VGTP.YT	180
MC ETT	101		
MS-FU	101		
Fus B	101	V.KGUYI.RDSINKTDI.QF.NF. 209	
Fus C 1	100		
Fus D	182		
Fus F 1	182	<u>KGUYI</u> .F <mark>US</mark> IK.NSUITQF.Q <u>F</u> V 209	
MF-FU 1	181	<u>Ku</u> , <u>Yu</u> , D S, R. N,, D,, <u>F</u> , 214	
MV-FU 1	181	<u>Kg.Y1</u> D SQR.NDD <u>F</u> A. 214	
ML-FU 1	181	<u>K</u> ⊈.⊻⊥⊅SIR. <mark>N</mark> ED <u>F</u> .RM. 214	

Fig. 2. Amino acid sequence alignment of FusB/C from mammaliicocci and FusB-family proteins. GenBank accession numbers are FusB/C, (in *Mammaliicoccus sciuri*, QYG31551.1; *Mammaliicoccus fleurettii*, WP_078357269.1; *Mammaliicoccus vitulinus*, QQT15456.1; *Mammaliicoccus lentus*, QMU10254.1); FusB, CAL23838.1; FusC, WP_001033157.1; and FusD, BAE19310.1. Amino acids highlighted are conserved sequences. (The key residues associated with the interaction with EF-G are underlined.) Sequences were pairwised with dots for identities.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the New Faculty Startup Fund from Seoul National University and Research of Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Project No. 2020ER540500).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Yang SJ. Data curation: Yang YJ, Yang SJ. Formal analysis: Yang SJ. Methodology: Lee GY, Kim SD, Park JH, Lee SI. Investigation: Yang YJ, Lee GY, Yang SJ. Writing - original draft: Yang YJ, Yang SJ. Writing - review & editing: Yang YJ, Lee GY, Kim SD, Park JH, Lee SI, Kim GB, Yang SJ.

Ethics Approval

This article does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.

References

- Archer GL, Niemeyer DM. 1994. Origin and evolution of DNA associated with resistance to methicillin in staphylococci. Trends Microbiol 2:343-347.
- Bagcigil FA, Moodley A, Baptiste KE, Jensen VF, Guardabassi L. 2007. Occurrence, species distribution, antimicrobial resistance and clonality of methicillin- and erythromycin-resistant staphylococci in the nasal cavity of domestic animals. Vet Microbiol 121:307-315.
- Chen HJ, Hung WC, Lin YT, Tsai JC, Chiu HC, Hsueh PR, Teng LJ. 2015. A novel fusidic acid resistance determinant, *fusF*, in *Staphylococcus cohnii*. J Antimicrob Chemother 70:416-419.
- Chen HJ, Hung WC, Tseng SP, Tsai JC, Hsueh PR, Teng LJ. 2010. Fusidic acid resistance determinants in *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:4985-4991.
- Chen HJ, Tsai JC, Hung WC, Tseng SP, Hsueh PR, Teng LJ. 2011. Identification of *fusB*-mediated fusidic acid resistance islands in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:5842-5849.
- Chuang YY, Huang YC. 2015. Livestock-associated meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Asia: An emerging issue? Int J Antimicrob Agents 45:334-340.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [CLSI]. 2015. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals. 3rd ed. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA, USA.
- Davis CP. 1996. Chapter 6 Normal flora. In Medical microbiology. 4th ed. Baron S (ed). University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, Galveston, TX, USA.
- Enright MC, Day NPJ, Davies CE, Peacock SJ, Spratt BG. 2000. Multilocus sequence typing for characterization of

methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible clones of Staphylococcus aureus. J Clin Microbiol 38:1008-1015.

- EUCAST. 2021. European committee on antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Available from: https://www.eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints/. Accessed at Aug 2, 2021.
- Feßler AT, Billerbeck C, Kadlec K, Schwarz S. 2010. Identification and characterization of methicillin-resistant coagulasenegative staphylococci from bovine mastitis. J Antimicrob Chemother 65:1576-1582.
- Fernandes P. 2016. Fusidic acid: A bacterial elongation factor inhibitor for the oral treatment of acute and chronic staphylococcal infections. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 6:a025437.
- Fijałkowski K, Peitler D, Karakulska J. 2016. Staphylococci isolated from ready-to-eat meat Identification, antibiotic resistance and toxin gene profile. Int J Food Microbiol 238:113-120.
- Founou LL, Founou RC, Essack SY. 2016. Antibiotic resistance in the food chain: A developing country-perspective. Front Microbiol 7:1881.
- Garza-González E, López D, Pezina C, Muruet W, Bocanegra-García V, Muñoz I, Ramírez C, LLaca-Díaz JM. 2010. Diversity of staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* structures in coagulase-negative staphylococci and relationship to drug resistance. J Med Microbiol 59:323-329.
- Geha DJ, Uhl JR, Gustaferro CA, Persing DH. 1994. Multiplex PCR for identification of methicillin-resistant staphylococci in the clinical laboratory. J Clin Microbiol 32:1768-1772.
- Godtfredsen WO, Jahnsen S, Lorck H, Roholt K, Tybring L. 1962. Fusidic acid: A new antibiotic. Nature 193:987.
- Golding GR, Bryden L, Levett PN, McDonald RR, Wong A, Wylie J, Graham MR, Tyler S, van Domselaar G, Simor AE, Gravel D, Mulvey MR. 2010. Livestock-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* sequence type 398 in humans, Canada. Emerg Infect Dis 16:587-594.
- Hanson BM, Dressler AE, Harper AL, Scheibel RP, Wardyn SE, Roberts LK, Kroeger JS, Smith TC. 2011. Prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) on retail meat in Iowa. J Infect Public Health 4:169-174.
- Hong SN, Kim J, Sung HH. 2016. A study on changes in antimicrobial resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from wound isolates in a South Korean University Hospital for the past 10 years (2006, 2016). Korean J Clin Lab Sci 48:335-342.
- Huber H, Ziegler D, Pflüger V, Vogel G, Zweifel C, Stephan R. 2011. Prevalence and characteristics of methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci from livestock, chicken carcasses, bulk tank milk, minced meat, and contact persons. BMC Vet Res 7:6.
- Hung WC, Chen HJ, Lin YT, Tsai JC, Chen CW, Lu HH, Tseng SP, Jheng YY, Leong KH, Teng LJ. 2015. Skin commensal staphylococci may act as reservoir for fusidic acid resistance genes. PLOS ONE 10:e0143106.
- Kolbert CP, Connolly JE, Lee MJ, Persing DH. 1995. Detection of the staphylococcal *mecA* gene by chemiluminescent DNA hybridization. J Clin Microbiol 33:2179-2182.
- Kondo Y, Ito T, Ma XX, Watanabe S, Kreiswirth BN, Etienne J, Hiramatsu K. 2007. Combination of multiplex PCRs for staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* type assignment: Rapid identification system for *mec*, *ccr*, and major differences in junkyard regions. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51:264-274.
- Lee JYH, Monk IR, Gonçalves da Silva A, Seemann T, Chua KYL, Kearns A, Hill R, Woodford N, Bartels MD, Strommenger B, Laurent F, Dodémont M, Deplano A, Patel R, Larsen AR, Korman TM, Stinear TP, Howden BP. 2018. Global spread of three multidrug-resistant lineages of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. Nat Microbiol 3:1175-1185.

Lim YJ, Hyun JE, Hwang CY. 2020. Identification of fusidic acid resistance in clinical isolates of Staphylococcus pseudintermedius

from dogs in Korea. Vet Dermatol 31:267-e62.

- Lozano C, López M, Gómez-Sanz E, Ruiz-Larrea F, Torres C, Zarazaga M. 2009. Detection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* ST398 in food samples of animal origin in Spain. J Antimicrob Chemother 64:1325-1326.
- McLaws F, Chopra I, O'Neill AJ. 2008. High prevalence of resistance to fusidic acid in clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. J Antimicrob Chemother 61:1040-1043.
- Mendoza M, Meugnier H, Bes M, Etienne J, Freney J. 1998. Identification of *Staphylococcus* species by 16S-23S rDNA intergenic spacer PCR analysis. Int J Syst Bacteriol 48:1049-1055.
- Nam HM, Lee AL, Jung SC, Kim MN, Jang GC, Wee SH, Lim SK. 2011. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* and characterization of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine mastitis in Korea. Foodborne Pathog Dis 8:231-238.
- Nemeghaire S, Argudín MA, Feßler AT, Hauschild T, Schwarz S, Butaye P. 2014a. The ecological importance of the *Staphylococcus sciuri* species group as a reservoir for resistance and virulence genes. Vet Microbiol 171:342-356.
- Nemeghaire S, Argudín MA, Haesebrouck F, Butaye P. 2014b. Molecular epidemiology of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus sciuri* in healthy chickens. Vet Microbiol 171:357-363.
- Norström T, Lannergård J, Hughes D. 2007. Genetic and phenotypic identification of fusidic acid-resistant mutants with the small-colony-variant phenotype in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51:4438-4446.
- O'Brien AM, Hanson BM, Farina SA, Wu JY, Simmering JE, Wardyn SE, Forshey BM, Kulick ME, Wallinga DB, Smith TC. 2012. MRSA in conventional and alternative retail pork products. PLOS ONE 7:e30092.
- Okoli CE, Njoga EO, Enem SI, Godwin EE, Nwanta JA, Chah KF. 2018. Prevalence, toxigenic potential and antimicrobial susceptibility profile of *Staphylococcus* isolated from ready-to-eat meats. Vet World 11:1214-1221.
- O'Neill AJ, Chopra I. 2006. Molecular basis of *fusB*-mediated resistance to fusidic acid in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Mol Microbiol 59:664-676.
- O'Neill AJ, McLaws F, Kahlmeter G, Henriksen AS, Chopra I. 2007. Genetic basis of resistance to fusidic acid in staphylococci. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51:1737-1740.
- Piette A, Verschraegen G. 2009. Role of coagulase-negative staphylococci in human disease. Vet Microbiol 134:45-54.
- Ruppé E, Barbier F, Mesli Y, Maiga A, Cojocaru R, Benkhalfat M, Benchouk S, Hassaine H, Maiga I, Diallo A, Koumaré AK, Ouattara K, Soumaré S, Dufourcq JB, Nareth C, Sarthou JL, Andremont A, Ruimy R. 2009. Diversity of staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* structures in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* strains among outpatients from four countries. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:442-449.
- Schauer B, Szostak MP, Ehricht R, Monecke S, Feßler AT, Schwarz S, Spergser J, Krametter-Frötscher R, Loncaric I. 2021. Diversity of methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* spp. and methicillin-resistant *Mammaliicoccus* spp. isolated from ruminants and New World camelids. Vet Microbiol 254:109005.
- Sugden R, Kelly R, Davies S. 2016. Combatting antimicrobial resistance globally. Nat Microbiol 1:16187.
- Tang Y, Larsen J, Kjeldgaard J, Andersen PS, Skov R, Ingmer H. 2017. Methicillin-resistant and -susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* from retail meat in Denmark. Int J Food Microbiol 249:72-76.
- Thomas JC, Vargas MR, Miragaia M, Peacock SJ, Archer GL, Enright MC. 2007. Improved multilocus sequence typing scheme for *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. J Clin Microbiol 45:616-619.

Turnidge J, Collignon P. 1999. Resistance to fusidic acid. Int J Antimicrob Agents 12: Suppl 2:S35-S44.

von Wintersdorff CJH, Penders J, van Niekerk JM, Mills ND, Majumder S, van Alphen LB, Savelkoul PHM, Wolffs PFG.

2016. Dissemination of antimicrobial resistance in microbial ecosystems through horizontal gene transfer. Front Microbiol 7:173.

- Wang YT, Lin YT, Wan TW, Wang DY, Lin HY, Lin CY, Chen YC, Teng LJ. 2019. Distribution of antibiotic resistance genes among *Staphylococcus* species isolated from ready-to-eat foods. J Food Drug Anal 27:841-848.
- Wu S, Huang J, Wu Q, Zhang J, Zhang F, Yang X, Wu H, Zeng H, Chen M, Ding Y, Wang J, Lei T, Zhang S, Xue L. 2018. *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from retail meat and meat products in China: Incidence, antibiotic resistance and genetic diversity. Front Microbiol 9:1-14.