# Research Article Dendrobium officinale Endophytes May Colonize the Intestinal Tract and Regulate Gut Microbiota in Mice

# Wenhua Chen 🕞, Lilong Yu 🕞, Bo Zhu 🕞, and Luping Qin 🕒

School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou 310053, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Bo Zhu; zhubo@zcmu.edu.cn and Luping Qin; lpqin@zcmu.edu.cn

Received 31 May 2022; Revised 11 July 2022; Accepted 19 July 2022; Published 11 August 2022

Academic Editor: Niranjan Parajuli

Copyright © 2022 Wenhua Chen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Dendrobium officinale* is a traditional Chinese medicine for treating gastrointestinal diseases by nourishing "Yin" and thickening the stomach lining. To study whether *D. officinale* endophytes can colonize the intestinal tract and regulate gut microbiota in mice, we used autoclave steam sterilizing and <sup>60</sup>Co- $\gamma$  radiation to eliminate *D. officinale* endophytes from its juice. Then, high-throughput ITS1-ITS2 rDNA and 16S rRNA gene amplicons were sequenced to analyze the microbial community of *D. officinale* endophytes and fecal samples of mice after administration of fresh *D. officinale* juice. Sterilization of *D. officinale* juice by autoclaving for 40 min (ASDO40) could more effectively eliminate the *D. officinale* endophytes and decrease their interference on the gut microbiota. *D. officinale* juice could increase beneficial gut microbiota and metabolites including short-chain fatty acids. *D. officinale* endophytes *Pseudomonas mosselii, Trichocladium asperum, Titata maxilliformis, Clonostachys epichloe*, and *Rho-dotorula babjevae* could colonize the intestinal tract of mice and modulate gut microbiota after oral administration of the juice for 28 days. Thus, the regulatory effect of *D. officinale* juice on gut microbiota was observed, which provides a basis for inferring that *D. officinale* endophytes might colonize the intestinal tract and participate in regulating gut microbiota to treat diseases. Thus, this study further provides a new approach for the treatment of diseases by colonizing plant endophytes in the intestinal tract and regulating gut microbiota.

# 1. Introduction

Dendrobium officinale Kimura et Migo (D. officinale), recorded in Chinese Pharmacopoeia, is used as herbal medicine and novel food material in China [1, 2]. It is widely used as a traditional medicine to strengthen "Yin" ("Yin" is one of the two complementary opposite forces of nature, per the ancient Chinese construct of the universe. "Yin" is characterized as slow, soft, yielding, diffuse, cold, wet, or tranquil, and it is associated with water, earth, the moon, femininity, and nighttime.), which can tonify the five viscera, relieve fatigue, thicken stomach lining, lighten the body, and prolong life span [3]. According to modern pharmacological studies, D. officinale exhibits various biological functions, including balancing gut microbiota [4], immune modulation [5], antitumor [6], gastrointestinal protective [7], cardioprotective [8], and antidiabetes effects [9]. Based on currently available phytochemical investigations, polysaccharides, bibenzyls, phenanthrenes, flavonoids, and alkaloids are the major bioactive constituents of *D. officinale* [3]. Several recent studies have shown the role of gut microbiota in mediating the health and disease of the host [10]. Hence, balancing the effect of gut microbiota should be paid more attention to in the prevention and treatment of diseases [4].

The gut microbiota consists of trillions of bacteria and fungi and is profoundly important in maintaining human health because of its role in nutrient acquisition and energy regulation [11]. Microbes that colonize inner plant tissues are designated endophytes. Endophytes exist widely in host plants and are important components of plant microecosystems [12]. Endophytes have increasingly become the research hotspot of scholars worldwide because they can produce active components, promote host plant growth, and enhance the host plant resistance against biotic and abiotic stresses [13, 14]. Recent research has unearthed a network of endophytic-enteric-soil-endophytic microbes that process animal feces to serve as natural microbial inoculants for plants. These function to serve as bacterial sources for animal gut systems [15]. Gut microbiota contains hundreds to thousands of bacteria obtained from a specific diet [16]. Li et al. (2012) found that *Paenibacillus* sp. strain Aloe-11 had excellent intestine colonization ability and could significantly promote forage fiber degradation, thus producing antibiotic activity against many pathogenic bacteria and fungi [17]. Likewise, Zheng et al. (2020) found that a proportion of the intestinal microbes of potato tuber moth might be derived from bacterial endophytes in potatoes [18]. In another report, it was shown that fungal endophytes in grass eaten by sheep could reach the gut and reduces fecal degradation rates [19].

D. officinale can act as a prebiotic agent to promote short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs)-producing genera and avoid gut dysbiosis in dogs [11]. D. officinale can also inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria by increasing the SCFAs-producing beneficial bacteria, showing anti-inflammatory activity, and improving the human intestinal environment [20]. While potato endophytic bacteria can colonize and transform into intestinal microbes in potato tuber moths [18], little is known about whether D. officinale endophytes can colonize and transform the intestinal tract and play a similar role in regulating gut microbiota in mice. In this study, we investigated the endophytic microbes (fungi and bacteria) of D. officinale that can colonize the intestinal tract and regulate gut microbiota in mice. This work will provide an important basis for studying the colonization of D. officinale endophyte in the intestinal tract of mice.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Material. D. officinale plant material was artificially cultivated in the base of Lin'an  $(30^{\circ}23' \text{ N}, 119^{\circ}72' \text{ E})$ , and was identified as D. officinale Kimura and Migo by Professor Qiaoyan Zhang of the Zhejiang Chinese Medicine University. The collected samples of D. officinale were packed in sterile plastic bags and brought back to the laboratory.

The collected samples of fresh *D. officinale* stems (DO) were cleaned under running water, surface-sterilized with 75% ethanol for 3 min, 5% NaClO for 3 min, 75% ethanol for 30 s, and finally washed five times with sterile distilled water [21]. Fresh DOs were treated with doses of 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 kGy  $^{60}$ Co- $\gamma$  irradiation (CIDO5, CIDO10, CIDO15, CIDO20, and CIDO25, respectively). Fresh DOs were treated in autoclaving steam sterilizers under set conditions and autoclaved at 121°C for 20 min (ASDO20) and 40 min (ASDO40). Three parallel copies of each sample were prepared to use 2 g of each sample and placed in a DNA-Be-Locked reagent (Majorbio Bio-Pharm, Shanghai, China) to immobilize DNA to study the endophytic bacteria and fungi.

Chemical reagents including acetic acid (99.7% purity), propionic acid (99.5% purity), butanoic acid (99.5% purity), isobutyric acid (99.0% purity), valeric acid (99.0% purity), isovaleric acid (99.0% purity), hexanoic acid (99.0%), and isohexanoic acid (99.0% purity) purchased from Sigma Corporation (St. Louis, MO, USA) were used as SCFAs standards. All other reagents were of analytical grade and purchased from Shanghai Chemicals and Reagents Co. (Shanghai, China).

2.2. Animal Experiments. All animal experiments were performed following the guidelines approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University (SYXK—2018—0012). Eighteen 6-week-old C57BL/6 male mice were purchased from Shanghai Laboratory Animal Center (Shanghai, China). The animals were kept in three individual cages with free access to food and sterile drinking water in a temperature-controlled room ( $22 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C), relative humidity ( $50 \pm 10^{\circ}$ ), and 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle.

After an adaption period of one week, the mice were randomly categorized into three groups, with six mice in each group. The mouse in DO and ASDO groups were administrated orally with fresh *D. officinale* juice and autoclaved fresh *D. officinale* juice (121°C for 40 min), respectively, at a dose of 1 g/kg daily for 28 days [22], whereas the control groups were orally administered sterile drinking water.

Fresh fecal samples of control (sterile water), DO, and ASDO (121°C for 40 min) groups were collected in sterile cryovial tubes for 0 (C0, DO0, ASDO0) and 28 days (C28, DO28, ASDO28). After 28 days, feces were stored at -80°C for the analysis of SCFAs and high-throughput sequencing.

2.3. Determination of SCFAs by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS). The SCFAs were analyzed by GC-MS as previously described [23]. The analysis was carried out using an Agilent 8890B-5977 B system equipped with HP FFAP capillary column ( $30 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm} \times 0.25 \mu \text{m}$ ; Agilent J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA). The initial oven temperature was kept at 80°C for 0.5 min and then raised to 120°C at the rate of 40°C/min and 200°C at the rate of 10°C/ min. The temperature of injection was 230°C for 3 min. Nitrogen was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/ min. The injected sample volume for GC analysis was  $1 \,\mu$ L. Electron bombardment ion source (EI) was used at a temperature of 230°C, four-stage rod temperature of 150°C, transmission line temperature of 230°C, and electron energy of 70 eV. The scanning mode was ion scanning mode (SIM). Calibration curves were constructed in the range of 0.2-400 µg/mL (0.2, 1, 2, 10, 20, 100, 200, 400 µg/mL) for acetic acid, propionic acid, and n-butyric acid, and 0.1-200 µg/mL (0.1, 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 50, 100, 200 µg/mL) for isobutyric acid, valeric acid, isovaleric acid, hexanoic acid, and isohexanoic acid (three replicates for each level), by adding known amounts of the analytes to the blank.

2.4. Genomic DNA Extraction, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) Amplification, and Sequencing. Two plant samples (DO and ASDO40) and six fecal samples (C0, DO0, ASDO0, C28, DO28, and ASDO28) were selected to prepare the DNA of *D. officinale* endophytes and mice intestinal microorganisms [21]. In brief, total genomic DNA was extracted using the FastDNA 2 mL SPIN Kit for Soil (50 preps., Cat. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

No. 116560200, MP Biomedicals GmbH, Eschwege, Germany) and evaluated using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer Qubit2.0.

The V5-V7 regions of the 16S rRNA genes of plants and bacteria in fecal samples were PCR amplified using universal primers for Illumina deep sequencing [18]. Primers 799 F (5'-AACMGGATTAGATACCCKG-3') and 1193R (5'-ACGTCATCCCCACCTTCC-3') outperform all other primer pairs in our study in the elimination of nontarget DNA and retrieval of bacterial OTUs [24]. In the plant and fecal samples, fungal internal transcribed spacer region 1 (ITS1 region) of ribosomal RNA was amplified using ITS1F (CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA) ITS2R and (GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC) primers [12]. The PCR was carried out according to a protocol as described in our previous publication [21]. Library construction and sequencing were performed by Shanghai Majorbio Bio-pharm Technology Co., Ltd, (Shanghai, China).

2.5. Bioinformatic Analysis. The plant endophytic bacterial and fungal communities and fecal bacteria and fungi were subjected to the same analytical procedures. First, pairedend Illumina MiSeq sequences were merged using FLASH (v1.2.11, https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/FLASH/index.shtml) to obtain the raw tags [25]. These raw tags were then filtered and clustered using the Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) software v1.9.1 (http://qiime.org/install/ index.html) [26]. Primers, short reads, low complexity reads, and low-quality sequences were removed using PRINSEQ v0.20.4 [27]. Sequencing and PCR-induced errors were corrected with the precluster function of the software Mothur v1.30.2 (https://www.mothur.org/wiki/Downloadmothur) [28]. High qualified tags with  $\geq$ 97% similarity were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on using software USEARCH v7 to the Greengenes v135 database for endophytic bacteria and fungi [29, 30]. Bacterial and fungal OTUs were classified by searching against the SILVA databases (Release 119, http://www.arbsilva.de), using the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) classifier v2.11 (https://sourceforge.net/projects/rdpclassifier/) within QIIME [31, 32]. The analysis of variance (ANOVA), Multidimension, and Venn diagram with R software v3.3.1 based on OTUs was applied to compare the bacterial and fungal communities of each sample [33].

2.6. Statistical Analysis. All results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey's post hoc test using the SPSS 19.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). *P* values less than 0.05 were considered of statistical significance.

A heatmap was employed to exhibit the relative abundances of the 50 predominant genera in each sample. The distance matrices of community composition of endophytic fungi and bacteria were evaluated by calculating dissimilarities using the Bray–Curtis method [34]. Beta diversity was estimated by calculating the weighted UniFrac distances between samples [35] using beta diversity by a program in QIIME. The data analysis for all correlations was finished in the online "i-sanger" (http://www.-majorbio.com/) developed by Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co. Ltd [36].

## 3. Results

3.1. Autoclave Steam Sterilizing and <sup>60</sup>Co-y Treatment Eliminated the D. officinale Endophytes. To eliminate the D. officinale endophytes and decrease their interference on the gut microbiota in mice, D. officinale was treated with autoclave steam sterilizing and 60Co-y. After quality control, denoising, and removal of chimera sequences, 265,440 and 961,560 highquality 16S and ITS1-ITS2 sequences were obtained. A total of 1,339 and 1,083 OTUs with 97% identity cutoffs of bacteria and fungi of D. officinale were found (Figure 1). The number of bacterial and fungal OTUs of D. officinale was reduced significantly after <sup>60</sup>Co-y irradiation and autoclave steam sterilizing treatment; autoclave steam sterilizing treatment (121°C for 40 min) decreased the OTUs most. Concurrently, the number of the phylum, class, order, family, genus, and species of bacteria (Supplement Figure 1(a)) and fungi (Supplement Figure 1(b)) of DO and ASDO40 decreased by 53.33%, 65.38%, 61.02%, 61.36%, 70.78%, and 64.97%, and 33.33%, 42.86%, 51.35%, 46.77%, 49.35%, and 44.68%, respectively. Overall, the sorts of D. officinale endophytic fungi and bacteria decreased the most significantly after 40 min autoclave steam sterilizing treatment.

The larger the Chao, the higher the richness while the smaller the Shannon, the higher the diversity. Analysis of alpha diversity indicated that the community richness (Chao) and community diversity (Shannon) of endophytic bacteria and fungi in samples under autoclave steam sterilizing treatment (ASDO40) was lower than DO (Supplement Figures 2(a)-2(d)). However, ASDO40 treatment did not change the dominant bacterial genera compared to DO samples (Figure 2(a)). The top three dominant bacterial genera (Pseudomonas, Ochrobactrum, and Rhodococcus) in DO and ASDO40 samples were accounting for 69.45% and 95.48% of the relative abundance, respectively. However, in ASDO40, there was a decrease in the relative abundance of Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia, Alloprevotella, Prevotella, Neisseria, and Streptococcus, compared with those in DO (Figure 2(a)). In addition, ASDO40 treatment changed the dominant fungal genera. The top three dominant fungal genera in DO were Fusarium, unclassified-f-Didymellaceae, and Occultifur, accounting for 62.68% of the relative abundance, while those in ASDO were Fusarium, Cutaneotrichosporon, and Simplicillium, accounting for 63.65% of the relative abundance. Furthermore, ASDO reduced the relative abundance of Occultifur, Rhodotorula, Pyrenochaetopsis, Sporidiobolus, and Sordaria, compared with those in DO (Figure 2(b)). Thus, ASDO40 treatment reduced the diversity and richness of D. officinale endophytes and participated in eliminating the interference of D. officinale endophytes.

3.2. Change in the Gut Microbiota Structure and Metabolite SCFAs after the Intake of D. officinale Juice. To analyze how D. officinale juice modulates the gut microbiota structure in



FIGURE 1: Venn diagram analysis for unique and shared operational taxonomic units among (a) bacteria and (b) fungi in *D. officinale* under different <sup>60</sup>Co- $\gamma$  irradiation and autoclave steam sterilizing treatments.

mice, we carried out 16S and ITS sequencing on fecal samples of mice administered with sterile water (C), D. officinale juice (DO), and autoclaved D. officinale juice (ASDO) on days 0 and 28. In all fecal samples, Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, and Desulfovibrio were the three top dominant bacterial genera, while Aspergillus, Penicillium, and Acaulium were the three top dominant fungal genera. Our experiments showed that oral administration of D. officinale juice for 28 days (DO28) could effectively increase the diversity of gut microbiota and the relative abundance of beneficial endophytes and decrease the relative abundance of harmful endophytes. The results indicated that the number of bacterial and fungal OTUs in the C0 group and C28 group was not different but increased in the DO28 group in contrast to the DO0 group (Supplement Figure 3(a)-3(b)). Meanwhile, the number of bacterial OTUs in the ASDO28 group increased compared with the ASDO0 in bacteria, but that of fungi decreased (Supplement Figure 3(b)). According to the Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum test, there was no significant difference in the relative abundance of Lactobacillus, Ruminococcus, Alistipes, Aerococcus, Bacteroides, Lachnoclostridium, Anaerostipes, Parasutterella, Pyxidiophora, Cladosporium, Talaromyces, Rhodotorula, Filobasidium, Aspergillus, Mortierella, Penicillium, Cutaneotrichosporon, and Candida in CO, ASDO0 and DO0 groups (P < 0.05); in addition, the relative abundance of *Bifido*bacterium of DO0 was significantly lower than that of C0 and ASDO0 groups (P > 0.05). However, DO28 increased the relative abundance of bacterial genera, including Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Ruminococcus, and Alistipes, whereas decreased Aerococcus, Bacteroides, Lachnoclostridium, Anaerostipes, and Parasutterella compared with those in C28 and ASDO28 (Figure 3(a)). DO28 increased the relative abundance of fungal genera, including Pyxidiophora, Cladosporium, Talaromyces, Rhodotorula, Filobasidium, and decreased Aspergillus, Mortierella, Penicillium, Cutaneotrichosporon, Candida, compared with those in C28 and ASDO28 groups (Figure 3(b)). Our results also indicated that DO28 altered the gut microbiota structure in mice by increasing the beneficial bacteria Lactobacillus murinus [37], Lactobacillus johnsonii [38], Bifidobacterium pseudolongum [39], and Lactobacillus reuteri [40], and reducing harmful bacteria Ochrobactrum anthropi [41], Aerococcus urinaeequi [42], and Clostridium sp. cTPY-12 [43], compared with those in C28 and ASDO28 groups (Supplement Figure 4(a)).

SCFAs are one of the index components to evaluate gastrointestinal function [7]. We found that the *D. officinale* juice could effectively increase the content of SCFAs in mouse guts. Compared with the C28 and ASDO28 groups, the concentration of total SCFAs in the DO28 group was increased (Figure 4). Among them, acetic acid, propanoic acid, and butanoic acid contents of the DO28 group improved remarkably (P < 0.05), suggesting that DO28 may affect the intestinal environment to some extent by increasing SCFAs-producing bacteria, compared with those in C28 and ASDO28 groups. Taken together, *D. officinale* juice can effectively increase the contents of SCFAs.



FIGURE 2: Relative abundance of the (a) bacterial and (b) fungal genus present in *D. officinale* with different  $^{60}$ Co- $\gamma$  irradiation and autoclave steam sterilizing.



FIGURE 3: Community heatmap analysis of bacteria (a) and fungi (b) at the genus levels in fecal samples of mice.



FIGURE 4: The concentration of eight SCFAs in fecal samples.  $*P \le 0.05$ .

These findings cumulatively suggest that *D. officinale* juice can effectively regulate gut microbiota by improving their diversity, increasing the relative abundance of beneficial endophytes and the contents of SCFAs, and reducing the relative abundance of harmful endophytes while these were not observed in sterilized (autoclaved) *D. officinale* juice.

3.3. D. officinale Endophytes May Colonize the Intestinal Tract of Mice and Modulate Gut Microbiota. To examine which D. officinale endophytes may colonize in the intestinal tract of mice, we compared the microbiological community in the control mice and those administered with D. officinale juice and autoclaved D. officinale juice. We found three bacterial and 22 fungal genera in DO28 that were not found in DO0, C28, and ASDO28 groups. In addition, seven endophytic bacterial species were shared both in the DO and gut microbiota of normal mice. In addition, 24 kinds of endophytic fungal species were shared by DO and gut microbiota in normal mice. Among them, Pseudomonas mosselii, Trichocladium asperum, Titaea maxilliformis, Clonostachys epichloe, and Rhodotorula babjevae were found only in DO and DO28 groups while not in ASDO40, DO0, C0, C28, ASDO0, ASDO28 mice (Figure 5). Therefore, we hypothesize that the increase in beneficial gut microbiota after the administration of D. officinale fresh juice may be related to the D. officinale endophytes, including Pseudomonas mosselii, Trichocladium asperum, Titaea maxilliformis, Clonostachys epichloe, and Rhodotorula babjevae. These strains may colonize in the intestinal tract of mice and modulate gut microbiota.



FIGURE 5: *D. officinale* endophytes may colonize in the intestinal tract of mice and modulate gut microbiota.

## 4. Discussion

Autoclave steam sterilizing and 60Co-y treatment could effectively reduce the OTU number and diversity of D. officinale endophytes and played a role in eliminating the interference of D. officinale endophytes. However, the results indicated that the relative abundance of Ochrobactrum anthropi, Dokmaia monthadangi, Sporidiobolus pararoseus, Cladosporium delicatulum, Papiliotrema flavescens, and Rhodotorula babjevae from CIDO25 increased, while that of Ochrobactrum anthropi, Rhodococcus erythropolis, Lycoperdon utriforme, Cutaneotrichosporon cutaneum, Monascus pilosus, and Vishniacozyma sp from ASDO40 increased. Previous studies have reported the radioresistance of Ochrobactrum anthropi and Rhodotorula babjevae [44, 45] and heat resistance of Ochrobactrum anthropi and Rhodococcus erythropolis [46, 47]. Therefore, we suggest that Ochrobactrum anthropi and Rhodotorula babjevae are potentially radiation-resistant while *Ochrobactrum anthropi* and *Rhodococcus erythropolis* are heat-resistant.

D. officinale can regulate gut microbiota and is closely related to the treatment of diseases [3]. Previous studies suggest that D. officinale can balance gut microbiota by improving the relative abundance of intestinal bacteria, such as Ruminococcus, Clostridium, and Parabacteroides, and decreasing the relative abundance of Prevotella and Bacteroides [11]. At the phylum level, Bacteroidota and Firmicutes were the predominant bacterial phyla, and Ascomycota was the predominant fungal phylum in all fecal samples. The predominant bacterial phyla were the same as those reported in a previous study [20]. Lactobacillus was the dominant bacterial genus in all the fecal samples, but the percent of relative abundance in DO28 was significantly higher than in ASDO28 and C28. Previous studies have reported the probiotic, exhibited immunomodulating [48], gastrointestinal protection [49], and antitumor [50] activities of Lactobacillus. The results of this study suggest that mice might produce more Lactobacillus to exert immunological, gastrointestinal protective, and antitumor effects after intragastric administration of DO. Interestingly, the relative abundance of Lactobacillus johnsonii from DO28 increased significantly compared with that in other samples. Lactobacillus johnsonii can promote T cell differentiation into T helper (Th)1/Th2/regulatory T (Treg) cells and play an important role in improving the balance between these cells [51]. Therefore, we suggest that Lactobacillus johnsonii might improve the bioaccessibility and bioavailability of functional components of D. officinale through the microbial-host metabolic pathway, thus maximizing its anti-immune function. However, future research is needed to determine whether these dominant gut microbial species can promote the utilization of effective components in D. officinale.

Gut microbes are closely related to SCFA utilization. SCFAs are key bacteria metabolites, in particularly acetic acid, propanoic acid and butanoic acid [4]. Interestingly, butanoic acid induces the differentiation of colonic Treg cells [52]. In our study, the SCFAs from the feces of mice were detected by GC-MS. As expected, DO-treated mice produced more butyrate (P < 0.05) and acetic acids (P > 0.05) than the control and ASDO groups. To know which are the primary butyrate-producing bacteria, the synthase that is responsible for butyrate synthesis should be investigated. This study indicated that DO cause an increase in the relative abundance of some SCFAs-producing bacteria Lactobacillus johnsonii [38], Bifidobacterium pseudolongum [39], and Lactobacillus reuteri [40], and decreased some pathobionts Ochrobactrum anthropi [41], Aerococcus urinaeequi [42], Clostridium sp. cTPY-12 [43]. Therefore, we suggest that the increase in the relative abundance of Lactobacillus johnsonii, Bifidobacterium Pseudolongum, and Lactobacillus reuteri might be closely related to the utilization of SCFAs by the DO endophytes. The increase in the relative abundance of fungi of Rhodotorula babjevae exhibited antimicrobial activity against different bacteria species [53], and Lycoperdon utriforme performed antioxidant activities [54]. However, there are no reports on SCFAs-producing fungal species, including Rhodotorula Babjevae, Lycoperdon utriforme,

Aspergillus Minisclerotigenes, Pyxidiophora arvernensis, and other fungi.

Our results showed that the dominant bacterial and fungal genera of D. officinale were significantly different from gut microbiota in mice. However, Fusarium was a common dominant fungi genus identified both in D. officinale and fecal samples. Therefore, we provide evidence that a portion of gut microbiota in mice may be derived from D. officinale endophytes. Intriguingly, Pseudomonas mosselii, Trichocladium asperum, Titaea maxilliformis, Clonostachys epichloe, and Rhodotorula babjevae were found only in DO and DO28 and not in ASDO40, C0, DO0, C28, ASDO0, ASDO28 groups. Therefore, we speculated that these strains might colonize in the intestinal tract of mice and modulate gut microbiota after oral administration of D. officinale fresh juice for 28 days. These findings have important implications for understanding the increase in beneficial gut microbiota and metabolite SCFAs after the intake of D. officinale fresh juice, which may be attributed to the D. officinale endophytes. Paenibacillus sp. strain Aloe-11 exhibits intestine colonization ability and can improve forage fiber degradation, thus producing antibiotic activity against many pathogenic bacteria and fungi [17]. Zheng et al. (2020) reported that a portion of the intestinal microbes of the potato tuber moth might be derived from potato endophytic bacteria [18]. In addition, grass endophytic fungi could arrive at sheep guts and lower the fecal degradation rates [19]. However, the roles of Pseudomonas mosselii, Trichocladium asperum, Titaea maxilliformis, Clonostachys epichloe, and Rhodotorula babjevae were mainly reported as antifungal [55], plant disease resistance [56-58], while there have been only a few studies on the ability of D. officinale endophytes to colonize and transform host intestinal tract and a similar role in regulating gut microbiota in mice. According to this research, D. officinale juice could increase beneficial gut microbiota and metabolize SCFAs and may be related to D. officinale endophytes. Although highthroughput sequencing in this study has limitations, it can further study the colonization of plant endophytes in gut microbiota by macrogene and isolation and culture methods, but it provides a reference for this research idea. In addition, the red fluorescent protein can be applied to encode target strains through CRISPR/cas9 and instill gastric bacterial or fungal suspension into specific pathogen-freeinduced pseudosterile mice, and then the colonization of the target strains can be verified by in vivo imaging [59-62].

### 5. Conclusions

This study showed that ASDO40 was more suitable for eliminating the interference of *D. officinale* endophytes. *D. officinale* juice could increase beneficial gut microbiota and metabolite SCFAs, which might be related to *D. officinale* endophytes. Additionally, the *D. officinale* endophytes, *Pseudomonas mosselii*, *Trichocladium asperum*, *Titaea maxilliformis*, *Clonostachys epichloe*, and *Rhodotorula babjevae* of might colonize in the intestinal tract of mice and modulate gut microbiota after oral administration with DO for 28 days. Whether these strains can colonize in the mouse intestine and participate in the regulation of gut microbiota in the treatment of diseases, need experimental verification, and our results provide a new approach for the treatment of diseases by colonizing and transforming plant endophytes into gut microbiota.

## **Data Availability**

The raw sequence data have been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under accession nos. PRJNA819231 and PRJNA819223.

# **Ethical Approval**

The animal-specific procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of Animal Experiment Center, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, China (approval no. SYXK—2018—0012, approval date: 1 December 2018).

### Disclosure

The preprint (Version 1) is available at Research Square (https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1643874/v1).

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## **Authors' Contributions**

Wenhua Chen, Bo Zhu, and Luping Qin organized the project and conducted the experiments and lab works. Wenhua Chen worked on the primary acquisition of the data and bioinformatics analysis and wrote the manuscript's first draft. Lilong Yu helped to perform the arrangement of pictures. Wenhua Chen, Bo Zhu, and Luping Qin wrote the final manuscript. All authors read, edited, and approved the final manuscript.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82003896) and the Diversity and distribution pattern of orchid resources in Baishanzu National Park (2021KFLY04).

## **Supplementary Materials**

Figure S1: distribution of (A) bacteria and (B) fungi in phylum, class, order, family, genus, and species in *D. officinale* samples. Figure S2: alpha indices of bacteria samples at the operational taxonomic unit level. (A) Shannon; (B) Chao; alpha indices of fungi samples; (C) Shannon; (D) Chao ( $P < 0.05^*$ ;  $P \le 0.01^{**}$ ;  $P \le 0.001^{***}$ ). Figure S3: Venn diagram analysis for unique and shared operational taxonomic units among (A) bacteria and (B) fungi in *D. officinale* and fecal samples of experimental mice. Figure S4: community heatmap analysis of bacteria (A) and fungi (B) at the species levels. (*Supplementary Materials*)

## References

- W. H. Chen, L. L. Yu, B. Zhu, and L. P. Qin, "Dendrobium officinale endophytes may colonize the intestinal tract and regulate gut microbiota in mice," 2022, https://www. researchsquare.com/article/rs-1643874/v1.
- [2] Editorial Board of Chinese Pharmacopoeia, *Chinese Pharmacopoeia*, China Medical Science Press, Beijing, China, 2020.
- [3] W. H. Chen, J. M. Lu, J. H. Zhang et al., "Traditional uses, phytochemistry, pharmacology, and quality control of *Dendrobium officinale* Kimura et. Migo," *Frontiers in pharmacology*, vol. 12, pp. 726528–726551, 2021.
- [4] M. X. Li, H. Yue, Y. Q. Wang et al., "Intestinal microbes derived butyrate is related to the immunomodulatory activities of *Dendrobium officinale* polysaccharide," *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, vol. 149, pp. 717–723, 2020.
- [5] Y. P. Huang, T. B. He, X. D. Cuan, X. J. Wang, J. M. Hu, and J. Sheng, "1, 4-β-D-Glucomannan from *Dendrobium officinale* activates NF-κB via TLR4 to regulate the immune response," *Molecules*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 2658–2672, 2018.
- [6] Y. Wei, L. W. Wang, D. J. Wang et al., "Characterization and anti-tumor activity of a polysaccharide isolated from *Dendrobium officinale* grown in the Huoshan County," *Chinese Medicine*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 47–57, 2018.
- [7] X. D. Shi, J. Y. Yin, S. W. Cui, Q. Wang, S. Y. Wang, and S. P. Nie, "Comparative study on glucomannans with different structural characteristics: functional properties and intestinal production of short chain fatty acids," *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, vol. 164, pp. 826–835, 2020.
- [8] X. C. Xiao, W. H. Chen, Y. Y. Cao et al., "Protective effect of *Dendrobium officinale* on isoproterenol induced cardiac hypertrophy in rats," *China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica*, vol. 43, pp. 800–804, 2018.
- [9] H. Zheng, L. L. Pan, P. T. Xu et al., "An NMR-based metabolomic approach to unravel the preventive effect of water-soluble extract from *Dendrobium officinale* Kimura & Migo on streptozotocin-induced diabetes in mice," *Molecules*, vol. 22, no. 9, pp. 1543–1556, 2017.
- [10] Y. Lai, R. Dhingra, Z. Zhang, L. M. Ball, M. J. Zylka, and K. Lu, "Toward elucidating the human gut microbiota-brain axis: molecules, biochemistry, and implications for health and diseases," *Biochemistry*, Article ID 34910469, 2021.
- [11] C. Z. Liu, W. Chen, M. X. Wang et al., "Dendrobium officinale Kimura et Migo and American ginseng mixture: a Chinese herbal formulation for gut microbiota modulation," Chinese Journal of Natural Medicines, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 446–459, 2020.
- [12] J. Zhu, X. Sun, Z. D. Zhang et al., "Effect of ionizing radiation on the bacterial and fungal endophytes of the halophytic plant *Kalidium schrenkianum*," *Microorganisms*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 1050–1067, 2021.
- [13] M. Jia, L. Chen, H. L. Xin et al., "A friendly relationship between endophytic fungi and medicinal plants: a systematic review," *Frontiers in Microbiology*, vol. 7, pp. 906–950, 2016.
- [14] W. Wu, W. H. Chen, S. Y. Liu et al., "Beneficial relationships between endophytic bacteria and medicinal plants," *Frontiers* of *Plant Science*, vol. 12, pp. 646146–646158, 2021.
- [15] E. Martínez-Romero, J. L. Aguirre-Noyola, R. Bustamante-Brito et al., "We and herbivores eat endophytes," *Microbial Biotechnology*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 1282–1299, 2021.
- [16] D. Rothschild, O. Weissbrod, E. Barkan et al., "Environment dominates over host genetics in shaping human gut microbiota," *Nature*, vol. 555, no. 7695, pp. 210–215, 2018.

- [17] N. Z. Li, T. Xia, Y. L. Xu et al., "Genome sequence of *Pae-nibacillus* sp. "Strain Aloe-11, an endophytic bacterium with broad antimicrobial activity and intestinal colonization ability," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 194, no. 8, pp. 2117-2118, 2012.
- [18] Y. Zheng, G. Xiao, W. Zhou et al., "Midgut microbiota diversity of potato tuber moth associated with potato tissue consumed," *BMC Microbiology*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 58–73, 2020.
- [19] M. G. Cripps, G. R. Edwards, and S. L. McKenzie, "Grass species and their fungal symbionts affect subsequent forage growth," *Basic and Applied Ecology*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 225–234, 2013.
- [20] K. Qin, W. Chen, M. X. Wang, L -Q. Chen, and H.-J Liu, "Effects of Tin maple crystal on human intestinal microorganism," *Chinese Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 35, pp. 1718–1723, 2020.
- [21] W. H. Chen, S. J. Wu, X. L. Sun et al., "High-throughput sequencing analysis of endophytic fungal diversity in *Cynanchum* sp," *South African Journal of Botany*, vol. 134, pp. 349–358, 2020.
- [22] C. Y. Liang, Y. M. Liang, H. Z. Liu et al., "Effect of Dendrobium officinale on D-galactose-induced aging mice," Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine, pp. 1–9, 2017.
- [23] Y. Zhang, Z. J. Wu, J. X. Liu et al., "Identification of the core active structure of a *Dendrobium officinale* polysaccharide and its protective effect against dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis via alleviating gut microbiota dysbiosis," *Food Research International*, vol. 137, pp. 109641–109652, 2020.
- [24] B. Beckers, M. Op De Beeck, S. Thijs et al., "Performance of 16s rDNA primer pairs in the study of rhizosphere and endosphere bacterial microbiomes in metabarcoding studies," *Frontiers in Microbiology*, vol. 7, pp. 650–664, 2016.
- [25] T. Magoc and S. L. Salzberg, "FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 27, no. 21, pp. 2957–2963, 2011.
- [26] J. G. Caporaso, J. Kuczynski, J. Stombaugh et al., "QIIME allows analysis of highthroughput community sequencing data," *Nature Methods*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 335-336, 2010.
- [27] R. Schmieder and R. Edwards, "Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 863-864, 2011.
- [28] P. D. Schloss, S. L. Westcott, T. Ryabin et al., "Introducing mothur: opensource, platform-independent, communitysupported software for describing and comparing microbial communities," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 75, no. 23, pp. 7537–7541, 2009.
- [29] R. C. Edgar, "Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 26, no. 19, pp. 2460-2461, 2010.
- [30] U. Köljalg, R. H. Nilsson, K. Abarenkov et al., "Towards a unified paradigm for sequence-based identification of fungi," *Molecular Ecology*, vol. 22, no. 21, pp. 5271–5277, 2013.
- [31] C. Quast, E. Pruesse, P. Yilmaz et al., "The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 41, no. D1, pp. 590–D596, 2012.
- [32] Q. Wang, G. M. Garrity, J. M. Tiedje, and J. R. Cole, "Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 73, no. 16, pp. 5261–5267, 2007.
- [33] A. M. Veach, R. Morris, D. Z. Yip et al., "Rhizosphere microbiomes diverge among *Populus trichocarpa* plant-host genotypes and chemotypes, but it depends on soil origin," *Microbiome*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 76–90, 2019.

- [34] D. P. Faith, P. R. Minchin, and L. Belbin, "Compositional dissimilarity as a robust measure of ecological distance," *Vegetatio*, vol. 69, no. 1-3, pp. 57–68, 1987.
- [35] J. Chen, K. Bittinger, E. S. Charlson et al., "Associating microbiome composition with environmental covariates using generalized UniFrac distances," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 28, no. 16, pp. 2106–2113, 2012.
- [36] B. Zhu, J. J. Wu, Q. Y. Ji et al., "Diversity of rhizosphere and endophytic fungi in *Atractylodes macrocephala* during continuous cropping," *PeerJ*, vol. 8, pp. 8905–8922, 2020.
- [37] W. Y. Wu, P. L. Chou, J. C. Yang, and C. T. Chien, "Siliconcontaining water intake confers antioxidant effect, gastrointestinal protection, and gut microbiota modulation in the rodents," *PLoS One*, vol. 16, no. 3, Article ID 0248524, 2021.
- [38] C. G. Olnood, S. S. M. Beski, M. Choct, and P. A. Iji, "Novel probiotics: their effects on growth performance, gut development, microbial community and activity of broiler chickens," *Animal Nutrition*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 184–191, 2015.
- [39] M. Tatsuoka, Y. Osaki, F. Ohsaka et al., "Consumption of indigestible saccharides and administration of *Bifidobacterium pseudolongum* reduce mucosal serotonin in murine colonic mucosa," *British Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 127, no. 4, pp. 513–525, 2021.
- [40] M. Singh, S. Kumar, P. S. Banakar et al., "Synbiotic formulation of *Cichorium intybus* root powder with *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCDC15 and *Lactobacillus reuteri* BFE7 improves growth performance in Murrah buffalo calves via altering selective gut health indices," *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 291–300, 2021.
- [41] J. Grabowska-Markowska, I. Pawłowska, G. Ziółkowski, and J. Wójkowska-Mach, "Bacteria caused by ochrobactrum anthropi– unusual behavior," *Wiadomosci Lekarskie*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 489–492, 2019.
- [42] R. Heidemann Olsen, H. Christensen, S. Kabell, and M. Bisgaard, "Characterization of prevalent bacterial pathogens associated with pododermatitis in table egg layers," *Avian Pathology*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 281–285, 2018.
- [43] S. Wang, R. Martins, M. C. Sullivan et al., "Diet-induced remission in chronic enteropathy is associated with altered microbial community structure and synthesis of secondary bile acids," *Microbiome*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 126–145, 2019.
- [44] H. M. M. Ibrahim, "Biodegradation of used engine oil by novel strains of Ochrobactrum anthropi HM-1 and Citrobacter freundii HM-2 isolated from oil-contaminated soil," 3 Biotech, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 226–238, 2016.
- [45] V. J. Pereira, J. Ricardo, R. Galinha, M. J. Benoliel, and M. T. Barreto Crespo, "Occurrence and low pressure ultraviolet inactivation of yeasts in real water sources," *Photochemistry and Photobiology Science*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 626–630, 2013.
- [46] M. Varano, M. Gaspari, A. Quirino, G. Cuda, M. C. Liberto, and A. Focà, "Temperature-dependent regulation of the Ochrobactrum anthropi proteome," Proteomics, vol. 16, no. 23, pp. 3019–3024, 2016.
- [47] X. Wu, H. Kobori, I. Orita et al., "Application of a novel thermostable NAD (P) H oxidase from hyperthermophilic archaeon for the regeneration of both NAD+ and NADP+," *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, vol. 109, no. 1, pp. 53–62, 2012.
- [48] D. F. Zegarra-Ruiz, A. El Beidaq, A. J. Iniguez et al., "A Dietsensitive commensal *Lactobacillus* strain mediates TLR7dependent systemic autoimmunity," *Cell Host & Microbe*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 113–127.e6, 2019.

- [49] M. Hasannejad-Bibalan, A. Mojtahedi, M. Eshaghi, M. Rohani, M. R. Pourshafie, and M. Talebi, "The effect of selected *Lactobacillus* strains on dextran sulfate sodium-induced mouse colitis model," *Acta Microbiologica et Immunologica Hungarica*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 138–142, 2020.
- [50] G. Chen, Z. Cao, Z. J. Shi et al., "Microbiome analysis combined with targeted metabolomics reveal immunological anti-tumor activity of icariside I in a melanoma mouse model," *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 140, pp. 111542–111555, 2021.
- [51] D. Z. Zheng, Z. R. Wang, L. Sui et al., "Lactobacillus johnsonii activates porcine monocyte derived dendritic cells maturation to modulate Th cellular immune response," Cytokine, vol. 144, pp. 155581–155588, 2021.
- [52] N. Arpaia, C. Campbell, X. Fan et al., "Metabolites produced by commensal bacteria promote peripheral regulatory T-cell generation," *Nature*, vol. 504, no. 7480, pp. 451–455, 2013.
- [53] S. Sen, S. N. Borah, A. Bora, and S. Deka, "Production, characterization, and antifungal activity of a biosurfactant produced by *Rhodotorula babjevae* YS3," *Microbial Cell Factories*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 95–108, 2017.
- [54] S. Sezgin, A. Dalar, and Y. Uzun, "Determination of antioxidant activities and chemical composition of sequential fractions of five edible mushrooms from Turkey," *Journal of Food Science & Technology*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 1866–1876, 2020.
- [55] S. Sen, S. N. Borah, R. Kandimalla, A. Bora, and S. Deka, "Sophorolipid biosurfactant can control cutaneous dermatophytosis caused by *Trichophyton mentagrophytes*," *Frontiers In Microbiology*, vol. 11, pp. 329–342, 2020.
- [56] L. Wu, W. Xiao, G. Chen et al., "Identification of *Pseudo-monas mosselii* BS011 gene clusters required for suppression of rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*," *Journal of Biotechnology*, vol. 282, pp. 1–9, 2018.
- [57] R. Yang, S. Li, Y. Li et al., "Bactericidal effect of *Pseudomonas* oryziphila sp. nov., a novel *Pseudomonas* species against *Xanthomonas oryzae* reduces disease severity of bacterial leaf streak of rice," *Frontiers In Microbiology*, vol. 12, pp. 759536–759550, 2021.
- [58] J. Z. Wei, J. O'Rear, U. Schellenberger et al., "A selective insecticidal protein from *Pseudomonas mosselii* for corn rootworm control," *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 649–659, 2018.
- [59] S. Pareek, T. Kurakawa, B. Das et al., "Comparison of Japanese and Indian intestinal microbiota shows diet-dependent interaction between bacteria and fungi," NPJ. Biofilms. Microbiomes, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 37–49, 2019.
- [60] T. R. Sampson, J. W. Debelius, T. Thron et al., "Gut microbiota regulate motor deficits and neuroinflammation in a model of Parkinson's disease," *Cell*, vol. 167, no. 6, pp. 1469–1480, 2016.
- [61] S. Dollive, Y. Y. Chen, S. Grunberg et al., "Fungi of the murine gut: episodic variation and proliferation during antibiotic treatment," *PLoS One*, vol. 8, Article ID e71806, 2013.
- [62] F. Bayer, S. Ascher, G. Pontarollo, and C. Reinhardt, "Antibiotic treatment protocols and germ-free mouse models in vascular research," *Frontiers in Immunology*, vol. 10, pp. 2174–2180, 2019.