

The quest for comfort: Exploring the effectiveness of and patient satisfaction with needleless anesthesia in dental procedures

In the domain of endodontic treatment, effective anesthesia is the cornerstone of patient comfort and successful outcomes. Traditional needle injection, though efficacious in achieving profound anesthesia, is often associated with apprehension and discomfort among patients. The pain experienced during local anesthetic administration itself can act as a deterrent for seeking or continuing dental treatment. As a result, dental researchers continue to explore and develop alternate, more patient-friendly techniques of achieving anesthesia.^[1,2]

Originally developed for bulk vaccinations, jet injections have evolved into an innovative means for administering local anesthetics during dental treatments.^[3] The absence of a needle in jet injections can result in a more comfortable experience, as it eliminates the most painful steps during traditional anesthesia.

In a needleless system, the plunger of an ampoule is propelled by a spring, which generates sufficient force to push the anesthetic solution swiftly through a micro-orifice. This creates a high-pressure stream of fluid that penetrates the submucosa and diffuses rapidly to achieve anesthesia.^[4]

Compared to conventional approaches, this approach uses less anesthetic volume to effectively give local anesthesia. The amount of liquid that can be administered with the pressured device is restricted to 0.5 ml. As a result, procedures requiring profound anesthesia or nerve blocks may necessitate more than one jet injection, with a successful inferior alveolar nerve block requiring up to three shots prior to commencing endodontic treatment.^[5]

In terms of benefits, needleless jet anesthesia technique has reduced pain perception during administration, lowered anxiety, and better patient acceptance. Jet injection may also offer more accurate anesthetic distribution, reducing the possibility of tissue damage and injection-related discomfort. On the other hand, traditional needle anesthesia is more affordable, acts for a longer period of time, and provides superior pain management during dental extractions and surgeries. It is prudent to be aware that jet anesthetic may have some drawbacks, including its bulky appearance, the popping noise that it makes during administration (which may cause patients to become anxious), and its shorter duration of action.^[2]

In children, jet injectors have been reported to render effective pain management for various clinical procedures such as extraction, pulp therapy and tooth preparation.^[6] Though earlier observations gave sceptical results about jet anesthesia, more recent studies suggest comparable success rates between the two methods, and some also indicate potential superiority of jet injection in achieving rapid onset of anesthesia in adult patients.^[3,7] The two approaches had equivalent onset of action and anesthetic efficacy for restorative procedures; however, conventional anesthesia lasted for a longer duration.^[8] In patients with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis receiving root canal therapy, needle-free anesthesia proved to be just as effective during endodontic treatment as the traditional syringe method. Nevertheless, during the anesthetic solution injection, patients felt more at ease using needle-free anesthesia devices.^[4,9]

Despite these promising results, further research is warranted to elucidate the full extent of jet injection's efficacy in the context of endodontic treatment. Patient perception plays a crucial role in the selection of anesthesia delivery methods. An effective substitute for the traditional infiltration anesthetic approach, is the jet anesthetic technique which provides a quicker onset of action and has been demonstrated to be somewhat well-tolerated by individuals who are afraid of needles.^[2] However, individual preferences and experiences may vary, and clinician–patient communication is essential in guiding treatment decisions.

In conclusion, the choice between traditional needle injection and jet injection for dental local anesthesia in endodontic procedures should be informed by considerations of efficacy, patient perception, and clinical context. While both methods offer advantages and challenges, the ultimate goal remains the delivery of effective anesthesia while prioritizing patient comfort and satisfaction.

Shishir Singh, Minu Koshy¹

Editor in Chief-Journal of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Dean, Professor and Head of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics Department, Terna Dental College, Nerul, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, ¹Section Editor-Journal of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Professor and HOD, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Sri Ramakrishna Dental College and Hospital, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Shishir Singh,
Editor in Chief-Journal of Conservative Dentistry and
Endodontics, Dean, Professor and Head of Conservative
Dentistry and Endodontics Department, Terna Dental College,
Nerul, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.
E-mail: drshishirs@gmail.com

Date of submission : 27.02.2025

Date of acceptance : 27.02.2025

Published : 03.04.2025

REFERENCES

1. Milgrom P, Coldwell SE, Getz T, Weinstein P, Ramsay DS. Four dimensions of fear of dental injections. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1997;128:756-66.
2. Alameeri AA, AlShamsi HA, Murad A, Alhammadi MM, Alketbi MH, AlHamwi A, *et al.* The feasibility of needleless jet injection versus conventional needle local anesthesia during dental procedures: A systematic review. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2022;48:331-41.
3. Dabarakis NN, Alexander V, Tsirlis AT, Parissis NA, Nikolaos M. Needle-less local anesthesia: Clinical evaluation of the effectiveness of the jet anesthesia Injex in local anesthesia in dentistry. *Quintessence Int* 2007;38:E572-6.
4. Jaju KK, Nasim I, Choudhari S, Sandeep AH. Comparative evaluation of the anesthetic efficacy of needle-free anesthesia and conventional anesthesia in patients with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis: A randomized clinical trial. *Cureus* 2024;16:e54661.
5. Belevcikli M, Altan H, Demir O. Effect of the new needle-free injection system on pain perception and dental anxiety during anesthesia: Randomized controlled split-mouth study. *J Dent Anesth Pain Med* 2023;23:1-8.
6. Angelo Z, Polyvios C. Alternative practices of achieving anaesthesia for dental procedures: A review. *J Dent Anesth Pain Med* 2018;18:79-88.
7. Makade CS, Shenoi PR, Gunwal MK. Comparison of acceptance,

preference and efficacy between pressure anesthesia and classical needle infiltration anesthesia for dental restorative procedures in adult patients. *J Conserv Dent* 2014;17:169-74.

8. Oliveira AC, Amorim KS, Nascimento Júnior EM, Duarte AC, Groppo FC, Takeshita WM, *et al.* Assessment of anesthetic properties and pain during needleless jet injection anesthesia: A randomized clinical trial. *J Appl Oral Sci* 2019;27:e20180195.
9. Hameed MS, Kumar S, Charanya C, Muthalagu M, Anitha M. Comparison of jet injection technique with conventional injection technique in patients undergoing endodontic therapy. *Contemp Clin Dent* 2021;12:28-31.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code: 	Website: https://journals.lww.com/jcde
	DOI: 10.4103/JCDE.JCDE_152_25

How to cite this article: Singh S, Koshy M. The quest for comfort: Exploring the effectiveness of and patient satisfaction with needleless anesthesia in dental procedures. *J Conserv Dent Endod* 2025;28:307-8.