# Hepatitis B virus prevalence, immunization and immune response in people living with HIV/AIDS in Istanbul, Turkey: a 21-year data analysis

Esra Zerdali<sup>1</sup>, Inci Yilmaz Nakir<sup>1</sup>, Serkan Surme<sup>1</sup>, Mustafa Yildirim<sup>1</sup>

1. Haseki Education Research Hospital, Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, İstanbul, Turkey

## Abstract

**Objective:** We aimed to determine Hepatitis B virus (HBV) prevalence, immune status, and the prevalence of antibody response in people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) in Istanbul, Turkey.

**Methods:** The study includes PLWHA aged 18 years and older who were followed-up for at least 6 months from 1997 to 2018.

**Results:** Of the 653 patients with PLWHA, 99 (15.2%) were both antiHBc-IgG and antiHBs positive, 120 (18.3%) were antiHBc-IgG positive/antiHBs negative. HBsAg was positive in 40 (6.1%) patients. HBsAg positive coinfection ( $\leq$ 40 years 4.6% vs. >40 years 21.7%, p<0.001) and antiHBc-IgG positivity/antiHBs negativity ( $\leq$ 40 years 14.0% vs. >40 years 26.5, p<0.001) were higher in PLWHA older than 40 years. The prevalence of HIV/ HBV coinfection reached a peak level of 22.2% in 2004, and it decreased to 3.3% in 2018. The prevalence of immunization before HIV diagnosis was low (15.6%). The prevalence of antibody response (anti-HBs>10 IU/L) after immunization for HBV was 50%. A higher protective response was associated with CD4+ $\geq$ 350 cell/mm3 (59.3%, p=0.014).

**Conclusion:** HBV coexistence in PLWHA remains an imperatively important problem. The most conclusive methods in solving this problem are to prevent transmission by immunization and control measures. Also, HBV screening should in no manner be neglected in PLWHA.

Keywords: HIV; Hepatitis B; prevalence.

**DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v21i4.16

**Cite as:** Zerdali E, Nakir IY, Surme S, Yildirim M. Hepatitis B virus prevalence, immunization and immune response in people living with HIV/AIDS in Istanbul, Turkey: a 21-year data analysis. Afri Health Sci. 2021;21(4):1621-8. https://dx.doi. org/10.4314/ahs.v21i4.16

## Introduction

According to the World Health Organization, 38 million people are living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA), and HIV and Hepatitis B virus (HBV) coinfection occurs in 7.4 % of individuals <sup>1,2</sup>. Although HBV and HIV have similar transmission routes, such as blood, sexual intercourse, and mother-to-child transmission, HBV

## Corresponding author:

Serkan Surme, Haseki Education Research Hospital, Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology. 34098 Istanbul, Turkey. Phone number: 90 555 857 85 17 E-mail: serkansurme@hotmail.com is approximately 100-fold more contagious than HIV<sup>3</sup>. HBV, on the other hand, is more likely to cause acute hepatitis in PLWHA, and these individuals are at increased risk for developing chronic hepatitis<sup>4</sup>.

There is an increased risk of mortality and morbidity in HIV/HBV coinfected individuals due to the negative effects of HBV on the liver. In PLWHA, active HBV replication increases liver damage, accelerates progression to fibrosis, and facilitates the development of liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular cancer (HCC)<sup>5</sup>. HIV is also associated with increased occult HBV and low spontaneous clearance rates <sup>6,7,8</sup>. It is also known that HBV can cause immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in individuals living with HIV<sup>9</sup>. Because of these, treatment approaches for PLWHA are planned according to the presence of HBV coinfection <sup>5,10,11</sup>. As a result,

Health Sciences

© 2021 Zerdali E et al. Licensee African Health Sciences. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

it is vital to determine HBV coinfection in PLWHA as it shapes therapeutic approaches and prognostic evaluation.

When studies conducted in the world and especially in Turkey are evaluated, it is seen that there are not enough contemporary epidemiological studies about HIV and HBV coexistence. In this study, we aimed to determine HBV prevalence, immune status, and the prevalence of antibody response after immunization in PLWHA in Istanbul, Turkey. Therefore, we will contribute to epidemiological data in Turkey by determining the frequency of HBV, immune status and antibody formation prevalence after HBV vaccination in PLWHA who admitted to our hospital.

### Methods

The study included PLWHA at the age of 18 years and older who were followed-up for at least 6 months from 1997 to 2018 in Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology Department of Haseki Training and Research Hospital. Seven hundred-seventy six patients met eligibility criteria. Of whom, 123 were excluded because of insufficient data. A total of 653 PLWHA were included in this study. HBsAg, anti-HBcIgG, anti-HBs, HBeAg, delta antibody positivity status, HBV DNA and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels were retrospectively examined and recorded in the data recording form. Also, the antibody responses of the patients who were vaccinated for hepatitis B were evaluated.

HBsAg loss has observed more frequently in PLWHA compared to the general population, and occult hepatitis B has frequently encountered in repeated examinations <sup>7,8</sup>. Although acute HBV is more likely to develop into a chronic infection in PLWHA than in HBV monoinfected patients, conventional serological markers may be insufficient to detect chronic HBV. The use of HBV active antiretroviral treatment (ART) may cause seroconversion that manifest as loss of HBsAg. Because of that, individuals with AntiHBc IgG positive/AntiHBs negative were also evaluated. Patients with chronic hepatitis B were divided into four groups in terms of the presence of HBV e antigen (HBeAg) and chronic hepatitis/chronic infection according to the updated version in the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) 2017 Clinical Practice Guidelines of hepatitis B virus infection<sup>11</sup>. These four groups were defined as HBeAg(+) chronic infection, HBeAg(+) hepatititis, HBeAg(-) chronic infection, and HBeAg(-) hepatitis according to the positivity of HBeAg, as well as the presence of HBV DNA (reference range: 31.6-20,000,000 IU/ml, the clinically relevant cut-off values: >107 IU/ml, 104-107 IU/ml, <2000 IU/ml, and >2000 IU/ml respectively) and ALT levels (reference range:0-41 IU/L, the clinically relevant cut-off value: 41 IU/L). Additionally, occult HBV infection was defined as HBV DNA detection in serum in patients who tested negative for HBsAg.

Each dose of HBV vaccine was 20 mcg of HBsAg. The minimum interval between the first and second doses of the HBV vaccine was four weeks. The minimum interval between the first and third doses of the vaccine was six months. The threshold for protective anti-HBs positivity was a quantitative anti-HBs titer of greater than 10 IU/L. Antibody response was evaluated 1-2 months after the last dose of the vaccine. Additionally, we evaluated factors (including age, gender, the duration of ART, viral load, and CD4+ T lymphocyte count at the time of vaccination) associated with the protective antibody response to HBV vaccine.

Median, minimum and maximum values were presented for continuous data without normal distribution. Chi-square test was used to analyze categorical data. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test for a normal distribution. Independent Samples t-test and Mann-Whitney U test were used for comparison of continuous variables between two independent groups. A p value below 0.05 within the 95% Confidence Interval was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 22.0 (tatistical Package for the Social Sciences, Chicago, IL, USA). This study was carried out by following relevant laws, guidelines and the World Medical Association, Helsinki Declaration, Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects standards. Ethics committee approval was received from the Ministry of Health University Istanbul Training and Research Hospital Clinical Research Ethics Committee (Approval no: 1561 date: 07.12.2018).

### Results

There were 653 PLWHA included in the study. Of whom, 120 (18.3%) were antiHBc-IgG positive/anti-HBs negative (HBsAg positive or negative), 99 (15.2%) were both antiHBc-IgG and antiHBs positive, 40 (6.1%) were HBsAg positive.

The mean age of the patients with antiHBc-IgG positive/antiHBs negative (n=120) was  $40.3 \pm 10.9$  (17-74) years and 106 (88.3%) of them were male. The age range with the highest frequency of antiHBc-IgG positive/antiHBs negative patients was 41-50. The mean age of HBsAg positive coinfected patients (n=40) was 37.4  $\pm$ 9.5 (17-59) years. The age range with the highest frequency of HBsAg positive coinfected patients was 31-40. The distribution of patients according to age and gender is given in Table 1.

	Anti HBc IgG (+)/AntiHBs (-)			
	HBsAg (+/-)		HBsAg (+)	
	n	%	n	%
Number of patients	120	100	40	100
Gender				
Female	14	11.7	3	7.5
Male	106	88.3	37	92.5
Age				
Mean ±sd	40.3 ±10.9		37.4 ±9.5	
Median	40.5		37	
(minimum-maximum)	17-74		17-59	
18-30	27	22.5	11	27.5
31-40	33	27.5	14	35.0
41-50	41	34.2	13	32.5
51-60	15	12.5	2	5.0
>60	4	3.3	0	0

While there was no relationship between HBV coinfection and gender in PLWHA (p=0.665), a statistically significant relationship was found between HBV coinfections and age (p<0.001). Moreover, HBsAg positive coinfection ( $\leq$ 40 years 4.6% and >40 years 21.7%, p<0.001) and antiHBc-IgG positivity/antiHBs negativity prevalence ( $\leq$ 40 years 14.0% and >40 years 26.5% p<0.001) were found to be significantly higher in the individuals older than 40 years. The prevalance of antiHBc-IgG positivity was 33.5% (n=219), while the HBsAg positive coinfection prevalence was 6.1% (n=40). HBsAg positivity was 18.3% (40/219) among patients with antiHBc-IgG positive. Occult HBV infection was detected in three patients (0.5%), while only one patient (0.2%) had Delta hepatitis. The distribution of patients with hepatitis B coinfection according to the EASL 2017 Clinical Practice Guideline and presence of antiHBc-IgG is presented in Table 2.

	n	%
Number of patients	653	100
AntiHBc-IgG (-) (n=434)		
AntiHBs (-)	332	50.8
AntiHBs (+)	102	15.6
AntiHBc-IgG (+) (n=219)	219	33.5
HBsAg (-) AntiHBs (+)	99	15.2
HBsAg (-) AntiHBs (-)	80	12.2
HBsAg (+) AntiHBs (-)	40	6.1
HBeAg(+) Chronic Infection	2	0.3
HBeAg(+) Hepatitis	2	0.3
HBeAg(-) Chronic Infection	15	2.3
HBeAg(-) Hepatitis	19	2.9

**Table 2.** The distribution of patients with hepatitis B coinfection according to the EASL 2017 Clinical Practice Guideline<sup>11</sup> and presence of anti-HBV IgG

There were 102 patients (15.6%) vaccinated before HIV diagnosis. The status of hepatitis B vaccination prevalence and the occurrence of adequate antibody levels after vaccination in PLWHA are presented in Table 3. Age (p=0.586), gender (p=0.844), duration of ART (p=0.383), and viral load (p=0.773) at the time of

vaccination were not associated with a poor antibody response. HBV vaccine response was significantly lower in PLWHA vaccinated at CD4+ T lymphocyte count <350 cell/ mm3 than in PLWHA vaccinated at CD4+ T lymphocyte count  $\geq$ 350 cell/ mm<sup>3</sup> (n=44, 41.9% vs. n=54, 59.3%, p=0.014) (Table 4).

Table 3. Hepatitis B	vaccination s	status in people	living with HIV/A	IDS
······································		r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r		

			Antibody response	
	n	%	n	%
Total number	653	100	-	-
Vaccinated before HIV	102	15.6	-	-
diagnosis				
Vaccinated after HIV	196	30.0	98	50.0
diagnosis				
Isolated AntiHBc IgG (+)	29	4.4	13	44.8
AntiHBc IgG (-)	167	25.6	85	50.9

Protective antibody response (anti-HBs>10 IU/L)			
Total (n=196)	Presence (n=98)	Absence (n=98)	р
Age (years)			0.586
Mean ±sd	37.9 ±11.0	36.9 ±10.7	
Gender			0.844
Male n (%)	83 (84.7%)	82 (83.7%)	
Female n (%)	15 (15.3%)	16 (16.3%)	
Duration of ART before vaccination (weeks)			0.383
<8 weeks n (%)	55 (56.1%)	61 (62.2%)	
≥8 weeks n (%)	43 (43.9%)	37 (37.8%)	
Viral load at the time of vaccination (IU/ml)			0.773
<100,000 n (%)	41 (41.8%)	43 (43.9%)	
≥100,000 n (%)	57 (58.2%)	55 (56.1%)	
CD4 <sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte count at the time of vaccination (cell/ mm <sup>3</sup> )			0.014
<350 n (%)	44 (44.9%)	61 (62.2%)	
≥350 n (%)	54 (55.1%)	37 (37.8%)	

ART= Antiretroviral treatment



in 2018 (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Hepatitis B prevalence in people living with HIV/AIDS by years

#### Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated a high prevalence of exposure to HBV and reduced antibody response to immunization in PLWHA. HBsAg positive coinfection ( $\leq$ 40 years 4.6% vs. >40 years 21.7%, p<0.001) and antiHBc-IgG positivity/antiHBs negativity prevalence ( $\leq$ 40 years 14.0% vs. >40 years 26.5, p<0.001) were found to be higher in the individuals older than 40 years. We also showed that while the prevalence of HIV/HBV coinfection reached a peak level of 22.2% in 2004, and it decreased to 3.3% in 2018.

Turkey is accepted as the moderate epidemic of hepatitis B by the World Health Organization. In the TURHEP study, which included 5460 patients representing the general population conducted by Tozun et al., HBsAg, antiHBs and antiHBc were found in 4.0%, 31.9% and 30.6%, respectively<sup>12</sup>. In our study, the prevalences of HBsAg, antiHBs, and antiHBc-IgG were determined as 6.1%, 30.8% and 33.5% for HBsAg, antiHBs, and anti-HBc-IgG, respectively. As detected in our study, there was no significant difference in HBV serology in PL-WHA compared to the general population of Turkey. In the study of Tozun et al., many factors such as living in the Southeastern region, male gender, low educational status and history of dental operation were identified as risk factors for HBsAg positivity, but age ( $\geq$ 50) was identified as the only risk factor for anti-HCV positivity. Also, in the same study, HBeAg positivity was lower among HBsAg positive individuals (4.1%) compared to our data, while the frequency of hepatitis D (2.8%) was similar with our data<sup>12</sup>.

Frequency of hepatitis B differs according to geographical regions in the world as well as in Turkey<sup>11, 12,</sup> <sup>13</sup>. Moreover, studies are showing that the frequency of hepatitis B decreases over the years. Emekdas et al.11, in a study involving approximately 6 million blood donors, observed that HBsAg positivity prevalence decreased from 5.2% to 2.1%. Similar rate (2.6%) was shown in the study of Dilek et al. 14. In the study of Tanriverdi et al., which included 35,295 pregnant women, HBsAg positivity prevalence decreased from 1.4% in 2013 to 0.8% in 2016, and antiHBs positivity prevalence increased from 25.4% to 30.2%<sup>15</sup>. It can be thought that the decrease in the frequency of HBV seen in Turkey is achieved by increasing infection control measures, vaccination policy and providing free healthcare services. Thanks to similar applications, it can be suggested that coinfection prevalence in our centre decreased from 22.2% to 3.3% in 2018. With ageing, antibody levels may decrease and protection provided by vaccine may

decrease. On the other hand, we think that the age factor is not an independent factor that increases the risk of coinfection in our study, especially because the HBV vaccination has been made a compulsory measure for young people.

In the study of Karaosmanoğlu et al.<sup>16</sup>, they included 71 patients living with HIV between 1998-2008, HBsAg, antiHBs and antiHBc-IgG positivity were found as 4.2%, 11.1% and 33.8%, respectively. In another study of Karaosmanoğlu et al.17, covering the years 2006-2011, antiHBc positivity among individuals living with HIV was found to be 19.1%. Also in the same study, occult hepatitis B was found to be 7.5% (n = 3/40) among isolated antiHBc positive individuals. In a study conducted in Italy, the HIV/HBV coinfection ratio was found to be 4.1%. In the same study, HIV/HBV coinfection showed worse clinical and immunological features than HIV/HCV coinfection<sup>18</sup>. In the study conducted by Silva et al.19 in Brazil, the HIV/HBV coinfection prevalence was 3.1%. Although there was no statistically significant difference in CD4+ T lymphocyte ratio compared to those who were monoinfected with HIV, a significantly increased CD4+ T lymphocyte levels in the HIV/HBV coinfected group after 24 months of antiretroviral therapy was detected. While occult hepatitis B was not evaluated in the study of Silva et al.<sup>19</sup>, in another study conducted in Brazil, the prevalence of occult hepatitis B was found 14% (n = 6/43) in PLWHA with antiHBc-IgG positive <sup>20</sup>. Since occult HBV infection may play a role in HBV reactivation, transmission of HBV, HCC development, and liver disease progression, screening occult HBV is is an important issue in the management of PLWHA<sup>21</sup>. In our study, the prevalence of occult hepatitis B was found 2.5% (n = 3/120) in PLWHA with antiHBc-IgG positive. In the study of Hofer et al., on the other hand, at least one HBV DNA positivity was detected in 89.5% of patients in repeated HBV DNA measurements in isolated HIV positive individuals with isolated antiH-Bc-IgG positivity<sup>7</sup>.

In the study conducted by Matthews et al. In Sub-Saharan Africa, HBsAg positivity prevalence was found to be 7% among 950 women living with HIV/AIDS, but no hepatitis Delta was detected.<sup>22</sup>. In our study, the frequency of hepatitis D was found to be 0.2% among PLWHA and 2.5% among HIV/HBV coinfected individuals. In a study conducted in Turkey, the frequency of hepatitis D was found to be 8.8% among patients with chronic HBV<sup>23</sup>. We think that the significant difference observed in the frequency of Delta hepatitis is because Hepatitis D, which is considered endemic in Turkey, is affected by geographical differences.

Another issue in our study was that HBV vaccination prevalence (n=298/653, 45.6%) and post-vaccination antibody response (n=98/196, 50.0%) in PLWHA were low. This weak response may be due to three single-dose (20 µg intramuscular at day 0, 1 month and <=>6 months) administration of HBV vaccine. Additionally, our results showed that HBV vaccine response was significantly lower in PLWHA vaccinated at CD4+ T lymphocyte count <350 cell/mm<sup>3</sup>. This result was consistent with other studies <sup>24, 25</sup>. Fonseca et al. showed that CD4+ T lymphocyte counts  $\geq$  350 cells/ mm3 were associated with a higher seroconversion rate in PLWHA vaccinated with double dose <sup>25</sup>. In a randomized controlled study, Launey et al., reported that four intramuscular double doses or four intradermal low doses significantly increased antibody response<sup>26</sup>. Therefore, if antiHBs level is lower than <10 IU/L, re-vaccination with double-dose should be considered. Re-vaccination may be deferred until CD4+ T lymphocyte count reaches  $\geq$  350 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>. Although antibody levels are lower than the general population, HBV vaccination is important to prevent the development of coinfecton, to prevent acute and chronic liver damage, and also to reduce treatment costs 27. Besides, the vaccine prevents the transmission of HBV to other individuals in the community<sup>28</sup>.

Strengths of our study are that the study was conducted in a centre that has been following PLWHA for years and has a high number of patients. The limitations of our study are that various factors, except for age and gender, such as transmission routes, socio-economic status, and chronic liver inflammation and fibrosis by liver biopsy were not evaluated. Besides, the fact that the study was conducted in a single-centre is another limitation.

## Conclusion

Antibody response to immunization is poor, while the prevalence of HBV among PLWHA has been high. Therefore, the presence of HBV coexistence in PL-WHA remains an imperatively important problem. The most conclusive methods in solving this problems are to mitigate transmission by immunization and control measures. Also, HBV screening should in no manner be neglected in PLWHA. We recommend that all PLWHA in Turkey should be routinely screened for HBsAg, antiHBC-IgG and antiHBs before being vaccinated.

## References

1.https://apps.who.int/gho/data/view. main.22100WHO?lang=en (Last accessed 16 November 2020)

2. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hepatitis-b (Last accessed 16 November 2020)

3. Tarantola A, Abiteboul D, Rachline A. Infection risks following accidental exposure to blood or body fluids in health care workers: A review of pathogens transmitted in published cases. *Am J Infect Control.* 2006;34(6):367–75.

4. Sarmati L, Malagnino V. HBV infection in HIV-driven immune suppression. *Viruses*. 2019;11(11).

5. Singh KP, Crane M, Audsley J, Avihingsanon A, Sasadeusz J, Lewin SR. HIV-hepatitis B virus coinfection: Epidemiology, pathogenesis, and treatment. *Aids*. 2017;31(15):2035–52.

6. Gilson RJC, Hawkins AE, Beecham MR, Ross E, Waite J, Briggs M, et al. Interactions between HIV and hepatitis B virus in homosexual men. *Aids*. 1997;11(5):597–606.

7. Hofer M, Joller-Jemelka HI, Grob PJ, Lüthy R, Opravil M. Frequent chronic hepatitis B virus infection in HIV-infected patients positive for antibody to hepatitis B core antigen only. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 1998;17(1):6–13.

8. Saha D, Pal A, Sarkar N, Das D, Blackard JT, Guha SK, et al. Occult hepatitis B virus infection in HIV positive patients at a tertiary healthcare unit in eastern India. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(6):1–18.

9. Shelburne SA, Hamill RJ, Rodriguez-Barradas MC, Greenberg SB, Atmar RL, Musher DM, et al. Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome: Emergence of a unique syndrome during highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Medicine* (Baltimore). 2002;81(3):213–27.

10. Ganesan M, Poluektova LY, Kharbanda KK, Osna NA. Human immunodeficiency virus and hepatotropic viruses comorbidities as the inducers of liver injury progression. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2019;25(4):398–410.

11. Lampertico P, Agarwal K, Berg T, Buti M, Janssen HLA, Papatheodoridis G, et al. EASL 2017 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the management of hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol.* 2017;67(2):370–98.

12. Tozun N, Ozdogan O, Cakaloglu Y, Idilman R, Karasu Z, Akarca U, et al. Seroprevalence of hepatitis B and C virus infections and risk factors in Turkey: A fieldwork TURHEP study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* [Internet]. 2015;21(11):1020–6. Available from: http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2015.06.028

13. Gurol E, Saban C, Oral O, Cigdem A, Armagan A. Trends in hepatitis B and hepatitis C virus among

blood donors over 16 years in Turkey. Eur J Epidemiol. 2006;21(4):299–305.

14. Dilek I, Demir C, Bay A, Akdeniz H, Öner AF. Seropositivity rates of HBsAg, anti-HCV, anti-HIV and VDRL in blood donors in Eastern Turkey. *Turkish J Hematol.* 2007;24(1):4–7.

15. Tanrıverdi EÇ, Özkurt Z, Kadıoğlu BG, Alay H, Çalıkoğlu O, Koca Ö, et al. Seroprevalence of hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV in pregnant women from Eastern Turkey. *Turkish J Gastroenterol.* 2019;30(3):260–5.

16. Karaosmanoğlu HK, Aydin ÖA, İnce ER. HIV / AIDS Hastalarında Hepatit B ve Hepatit C Seropre-valansı \*. 2009;56(2):53–6.

17. Karaosmanoglu H, Aydin O, Nazlican O. Isolated anti-HBc among HIV-infected patients in Istanbul, Turkey. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2013;14(1):17–20.

18. Puglia M, Stasi C, Da Frè M, Voller F. Prevalence and characteristics of HIV/HBV and HIV/HCV coinfections in Tuscany. *Brazilian J Infect Dis* [Internet]. 2016;20(4):330–4. Available from: http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.bjid.2015.11.007

19. Da Silva CM, De Peder LD, Guelere AM, Horvath JD, Silva ES, Teixeira JJV, et al. Seroprevalence of hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected patients in an HBV endemic area in Brazil. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(9):1–13.

20. Araujo NM, Branco-Vieira M, Silva ACM, Pilotto JH, Grinsztejn B, de Almeida AJ, et al. Occult hepatitis B virus infection in HIV-infected patients: Evaluation of biochemical, virological and molecular parameters. *Hepatol Res.* 2008;38(12):1194–203.

21. Squadrito G, Spinella R, Raimondo G. The clinical significance of occult HBV infection. *Ann Gastroenterol.* 2014;27(1):15-19.

22. Matthews PC, Beloukas A, Malik A, Carlson JM, Jooste P, Ogwu A, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of hepatitis B virus (HBV) coinfection among HIV-Positive women in South Africa and Botswana. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(7):1–11.

23. Eser-Karlıdağ G. Prevalence of hepatitis delta in chronic hepatitis B patients. *Klimik Derg.* 2019; 32(3):281–4.

24. Veiga AP, Casseb J, Duarte AJ. Humoral response to hepatitis B vaccination and its relationship with T CD45RA+ (naïve) and CD45RO+ (memory) subsets in HIV-1-infected subjects. *Vaccine*. 2006;24(49-50):7124-8. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2006.06.079.

25. Fonseca MO, Pang LW, de Paula Cavalheiro N, Barone AA, Heloisa Lopes M. Randomized trial of recombinant hepatitis B vaccine in HIV-infected adult patients comparing a standard dose to a double dose. *Vaccine*. 2005;23(22):2902-8. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2004.11.057.

26. Launay O, van der Vliet D, Rosenberg AR, Michel ML, Piroth L, Rey D, et al. ANRS HB03 VIHVAC-B Trial. Safety and immunogenicity of 4 intramuscular double doses and 4 intradermal low doses vs standard hepatitis B vaccine regimen in adults with HIV-1: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2011;305(14):1432-40. doi: 10.1001/jama.2011.351. PMID: 21486976.

27. Abara WE, Qaseem A, Schillie S, McMahon BJ, Harris AM. Hepatitis B vaccination, screening, and linkage to care: Best practice advice from the American College of Physicians and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017;167(11):794–804.

28. Rech-Medeiros AF, Marcon P dos S, Tovo C do V., de Mattos AA. Evaluation of response to hepatitis B virus vaccine in adults with human immunodeficiency virus. *Ann Hepatol* [Internet]. 2019;18(5):725–9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aohep.2019.03.012