ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Reproductive Medicine and Biology

WILEY

Sperm immobilization test and quantitative sperm immobilization test using frozen-thawed sperm preparation applied with computer-aided sperm analysis

Yu Wakimoto¹ | Atsushi Fukui¹ | Teruhito Kojima^{1,2} | Goh Wakimoto^{1,2} | Naoya Okamura¹ | Hidetake Kamei¹ | Yukiko Sugiyama¹ | Toru Kato¹ | Akiko Hasegawa¹ | Hiroaki Shibahara¹

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hyogo College of Medicine, Nishinomiya, Japan

²Wakimoto Ob&Gyn Clinic, Osaka, Japan

Correspondence

Yu Wakimoto, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hyogo College of Medicine, 1-1 Mukogawa-cho, Nishinomiya, Hyogo 663-8501, Japan. Email: yuuwakimoto@gmail.com

Funding information

Grant-in-Aid for Researchers, Hyogo College of Medicine

Abstract

Purpose: In a previous study, a new method was described using the sperm immobilization test (SIT) with computer-aided sperm analysis (CASA). However, obtaining high-quality sperm as needed was a known issue. Here, we compared the results of using frozen-thawed sperm and fresh sperm for the SIT using the CASA method.

Methods: For the frozen-thawed preparation, 500 μ L of condensed semen and 500 μ L of Sperm Freeze were mixed in a cryovial and cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen. Density gradient centrifugation was used for the collection of motile sperm in both the fresh and frozen-thawed sperm preparations. A total of 50 serum samples were prepared for both the fresh and frozen-thawed sperm with each sample tested containing 10 μ L of serum, 1 μ L of either fresh or frozen motile sperm suspension, and 2 μ L of complement. Sperm motilities were measured using CASA after a 1-hour incubation period for both fresh and frozen-thawed sperm.

Results: Both fresh and frozen-thawed sperm reacted similarly when exposed to serum containing sperm-immobilizing antibodies asserting the use of frozen-thawed sperm for the diagnosis of immunological infertility.

Conclusion: These results suggest the possibility of using cryopreserved sperm for the SIT when fresh sperm is unavailable.

KEYWORDS

antisperm antibody, computer-aided sperm analysis, frozen-thawed sperm, sperm immobilization test, sperm-immobilizing antibody

1 | INTRODUCTION

Antisperm antibodies (ASAs) are associated with both male and female subfertility.¹⁻³ Sperm are foreign bodies for women and upon exposure, are sometimes recognized as antigens, which can

cause an immunological reaction in the woman.^{4,5} This response could lead to the production of ASAs as allogeneic antibodies that can attenuate the sperm's effectiveness.¹ In men, ASAs could be produced as sperm surface autoantibodies due to an imbalance or dysregulation of the control mechanisms by means of

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2021 The Authors. Reproductive Medicine and Biology published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Japan Society for Reproductive Medicine.

an anatomic, physiologic, or immunological barrier. In this case, sperm motility is also impaired.^{2,3}

The sperm-immobilizing (SI) antibodies in female serum, which is an ASAs, are secreted into the cervical mucus, follicle fluid, and fallopian tube. SI antibodies prevent the sperm from penetrating progressively into the cervical mucus and do not allow sperm to pass into the uterus.⁶ SI antibodies are also known to inhibit fertilization even if sperm are able to enter the uterus through the cervix and reach the fallopian tubes.^{7,8} The sperm immobilization test (SIT) and quantitative SIT have been developed as an useful tool for detecting SI antibodies and determining the SI antibody titers (SI₅₀: 50% sperm immobilization unit), respectively.^{9,10} These tests have been traditionally calculated by eye estimation using a microscope to determine the proportion of motile sperm, after the number of motile and immotile sperm were counted manually by a highly trained laboratory embryologist.⁹ Recently, we outlined a new method for SIT and quantitative SIT using computer-aided sperm analysis (CASA), which aimed to make the SIT and quantitative SIT more convenient and objective.¹¹ However, in our previous study describing the new method using CASA, we only used fresh sperm when validating its effectiveness. In a practical setting, obtaining high-quality fresh sperm from a donor is not always readily available. Therefore, in this study, we compared frozen-thawed sperm with fresh sperm by using the new CASA method for SIT and quantitative SIT.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Subjects

The study has been approved by the ethical committee (Hyogo College of Medicine College Hospital, Nishinomiya, Japan. No. 2865).

2.1.1 | Test serum

Fifty serum samples were collected from Japanese infertile women who received infertility treatment in our hospital from the year 2000 to 2020 and stored at -80° C. An opt-out consent method was provided. The serum samples were prepared by centrifugation at 1500 g for 5 minutes, and inactivation of endogenous complement activities was treated by heating the sera at 56°C for 30 minutes.

2.1.2 | Complement

The complement (Low-Tox guinea pig complement) was purchased from CADARLANE Lab. Lit. In some experiments, inactivation of the complement was treated at 56°C for 30 minutes to obtain a negative control.

2.1.3 | Sperm suspension

Sperm samples

Sixteen freshly ejaculated normal semen samples from five healthy men were obtained by masturbation from volunteers under informed consent. The motility assay was evaluated using CASA. Sperm samples with motility above 68% were included in this study. The mean \pm SD of the sperm concentration and sperm motility was 88.4 \pm 55.9 \times 10⁶/mL (range: 34.3-215.9) and 83.5 \pm 7.3% (range: 68.1-95.3), respectively. In both the fresh and the frozen-thawed sperm, motile sperm for experimentation was collected using density gradient centrifugation. Sperm samples at a concentration of 100 \times 10⁶/mL were prepared for experimentation.

Sperm cryopreservation

After liquefaction, the fresh semen samples were condensed by centrifugation at 540g for 5 minutes. Subsequently, 500 μ L of condensed semen and 500 μ L of Sperm Freeze[®] (Kitazato Co.) were mixed well in a cryovial. Subsequently, the cryovial with the mixture was frozen in liquid nitrogen (LN₂) vapor for 5 minutes and submerged into LN₂ for cryopreservation. Afterward, the cryovial was immediately transferred to a storage tank with the LN₂.

Sperm thawing

For thawing, the cryovial was taken out of the storage tank with the LN_2 . After warming for 1 minute at room temperature, the frozen sperm in the cryovials were thawed by placing them in a warming solution at 37°C for 5 minutes.

2.2 | Sperm immobilization test

Two wells in one Terasaki microplate were used for the SIT. Mixtures of 10 μ L of serum, 1 μ L of sperm suspension, and 2 μ L of complement (one well contains the activated complement and another contains the inactive complement) were mixed in each of the two wells of the Terasaki microplate, and the mixtures were immediately applied to the chamber slides of 12- μ m thickness (LEJA) with the active complement on one side, and the inactive complement on the other. The mixtures were then incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. The same steps were followed using both the fresh and the frozen-thawed sperm. Sperm motilities were measured using CASA (Ditect Co.) after the 1-hour incubation for both fresh and frozen-thawed sperm. The percentages of motile sperm with active and inactivated complement were calculated for T% and C%. C/T was designated as the sperm immobilization value (SIV). A SIV-positive result for SI antibodies was defined as a value of 2 or more.

2.3 | Quantitative sperm immobilization test

Ten wells in one Terasaki microplate were used for quantitative SIT. Figure 1 shows that 10 μL of heat-inactivated SIT-positive serum was





FIGURE 1 Procedure for the quantitative SIT. Ten wells in one Terasaki microplate were used. The 10 μ L of heated-inactivated SIT-positive serum was added to the well of the ×1 and ×2. Subsequently, 10 μ L of heated-inactivated SIT-negative serum was added to the well of the ×4, ×8, ×16, ×32, ×64, ×128, ×256, and control. Subsequently, after 10 μ L of the heated-inactivated SIT-positive serum was mixed to well ×2, the solution of the well of the ×4 was diluted twofold with 10 μ L of the solution of the well of the ×2. Similarly, the solution containing the antisperm antibody was serially diluted twofold with the SI-negative serum until the well of the ×256 [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

added to the well of the ×1 and ×2. Subsequently, 10 μ L of the heatinactivated SIT-negative serum was added to the well of the ×4, ×8, ×16, ×32, ×64, ×128, ×256, and control. Afterward, after 10 μ L of heatinactivated SIT-positive serum was mixed into the well of the ×2, the solution of the ×4 well was diluted twofold with 10 μ L of the solution of the well of the ×2. Similarly, the solution containing the antisperm antibody was serially diluted twofold with the SIT-positive serum diluted by SIT-negative serum until the well of ×256. Subsequently, 1 μ L of the sperm suspension (100 × 10⁶/mL) and 2 μ L of complement were mixed into each well. The mixtures were immediately applied to chamber slides with the mixture with fresh sperm on one side and the mixture with frozen-thawed sperm on the other and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. Sperm motility was analyzed using CASA after 1-hour incubation for both fresh and frozen-thawed sperm.

Sperm motility in the SIT-positive serum and each of the diluted SIT-positive and control sera was determined using T% and C%, respectively. Sperm immobilization activity was defined as the value of (C – T)/C × 100. Sigmoid curve was obtained by plotting the value of the sperm immobilization activity against the serum dilution. The serum dilution with 50% sperm immobilization activity was defined as the quantitative titer of SI antibody (SI₅₀), indicating that the dilution time needed to recover 50% sperm motilities is defined as SI₅₀.

The mixtures of the fresh and the frozen-thawed sperm were incubated simultaneously, and motile sperm in both mixtures were counted at the same time using the same serum sample and complement.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

The correlation between the values of SI_{50} obtained by both fresh and frozen-thawed sperm was analyzed using JMP Pro 14 software

(SAS Institute Inc). The correlation analysis was performed using Pearson's correlation coefficient. The differences were considered significant with a probability value of <.05.

3 | RESULTS

Table 1 shows the results of the SIT. The SIV between fresh and frozen sperm was compared. In the SIT-negative category, the SIV of fresh sperm had a mean value of 1.02 ± 0.14 (range: 0.76-1.58) and frozen-thawed sperm had a mean value of 1.03 ± 0.14 (range: 0.88-1.68); the correlation of SIV between fresh and frozen-thawed samples was 0.73. In the SIT-positive category, the SIV of fresh sperm had a mean value of 32.87 ± 31.38 (range: 2.5-141) and frozen-thawed sperm had a mean value of 43.74 ± 37.73 (range: 3.6-143.9). The correlation of the SIV between fresh and frozen-thawed samples was 0.15 (note: sample NO.31 was considered an outlier and not included in the analysis). The results of SIT were identical; 21 of 50 samples tested positive and 29 samples were negative for SI antibodies, based on both fresh and frozen-thawed sperm.

Figure 2 shows that the values of SI_{50} obtained by both fresh and frozen-thawed sperm were closely correlated with high coefficiency (r = .68, P < .01).

4 | DISCUSSION

Sperm are foreign antigens for women, and therefore, women might produce ASAs impairing sperm motility.^{4,5} If women are exposed to sperm, ASAs might cause refractory infertility.¹ Sperm function is adversely affected by ASAs, including inhibitory effects on sperm penetration through cervical mucus and inhibitory

 TABLE 1
 Comparison of the SIV between fresh and frozen sperm

SIT negative		
Sample	ample SIV	
No.	Fresh	Frozen
1	0.99	0.93
2	0.96	0.88
3	1.04	0.88
4	1.00	0.90
5	1.06	0.97
6	1.04	1.10
7	0.98	0.95
8	0.90	1.11
9	1.01	0.96
10	0.98	1.02
11	0.98	1.13
12	1.01	0.98
13	0.98	1.01
14	1.02	0.93
15	1.00	1.03
16	1.01	1.03
17	1.02	1.12
18	1.08	1.06
19	0.96	0.97
20	0.76	1.00
21	0.99	1.04
22	0.93	1.02
23	0.99	0.92
24	0.90	0.94
25	1.03	1.17
26	1.38	1.13
27	1.07	1.05
28	1.04	1.04
29	1.58	1.68
SIT positive		
Sample	SIV	
No.	Fresh	Frozen
30	141.0	60.2
31	00	26.8
32	44.5	52.7
33	29.9	125.4
34	97.0	12.2
35	15.6	8.8
36	23.9	22.4
37	14.7	50.1
38	33.3	70.0

(Continues)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

SIT positive			
Sample	SIV	SIV	
No.	Fresh	Frozen	
39	19.5	8.7	
40	31.3	36.3	
41	15.5	24.1	
42	37.1	143.9	
43	2.5	6.3	
44	34.2	13.5	
45	5.5	3.6	
46	21.4	72.6	
47	26.2	69.0	
48	35.3	21.6	
49	16.1	50.1	
50	12.8	23.3	

Note: Table 1 shows that the results were identical, and 21 of 50 samples tested were positive, and 29 samples were negative for sperm-immobilizing (SI) antibodies based on both fresh and frozen-thawed sperm.

Abbreviations: ∞ , infinity; SIT, Sperm immobilization test; SIV, Sperm immobilization value.

effects on fertilization even if sperm enters the uterine cavity and reaches the fallopian tubes.^{6,7} However, not all ASAs interfere with sperm motility or fertilization at the same level.¹² Women with a high titer of SI antibodies have difficulty in getting pregnant due to less responsiveness to infertility treatments by means of timed intercourse (TI) or intrauterine insemination (IUI).¹³ Once a woman is determined to have a high titer of SI antibodies, other means of fertilization should be recommended, such as in vitro fertilization (IVF).

Our results suggest that IVF is suitable as a treatment choice in patients with a high titer of SI antibodies. Patients with a high titer of SI antibodies have similar fertilization rate as patients with non-SI antibodies if IVF is used.¹⁴ Therefore, it is important that the presence of SI antibodies is determined along with other standard tests for a clinical diagnosis of subfertility in women. It has been proposed that infertile patients should receive earlier examinations for SIT as a means of reducing treatment time so as not to consume their reproductive time using methods with a low chance of success such as TI or intrauterine IUI.¹¹

However, routine screening of female serum for SI antibodies is performed in only few fertility clinics although SIT can be performed conveniently by obtaining the complement and sperm. One reason for this is that the screening of all patients undergoing assisted reproductive technology (ART) for sperm-immobilizing antibodies in the patient's serum is ineffective because it may not change the management and prognosis of the patient undergoing ART.¹⁴ However, detecting the presence of ASA early in treatment regimens for infertile patients could reduce the amount of time wasted by treating



FIGURE 2 Values of SI_{50} obtained by both fresh and frozenthawed sperm. The values of SI_{50} obtained by both fresh and frozen-thawed sperm were closely correlated with high coefficiency (r = .68, P < .01)

subfertility with TI or IUI without knowing the presence of ASAs. Thus, SIT should be performed early during medical treatments for infertility in order to reduce treatment time. Another reason SIT is not routinely used in clinical diagnosis is that the test lacks standardization and objectivity because the method of counting sperm is done by eye estimation by a highly skilled embryologist.⁹ Although generally accurate enough, this method is still prone to human error. To manage this, we recently outlined a new method using CASA to make SIT evaluation more convenient and objective as compared to the traditional method.¹¹ We found the assay results for detecting the SI antibodies and estimating the quantitative titer of SI antibodies to be significantly correlated between the traditional method of manually counting sperm by eye estimation by a highly skilled embryologist and the new method of counting sperm through the chamber slides using CASA.¹¹ Moreover, this study provided evidence that a highly skilled embryologist is not necessarily required in order to perform this test. Another reason this test is not commonly done is that it is sometimes difficult to obtain high-quality fresh semen from a donor when required. For this reason, in this study, we examined whether cryopreserved sperm could be used for SIT using the CASA method.

The antigens involved in agglutination responses are thought to be surface antigen.¹⁵ In case sperm antigens are recognized by a female patient's serum antisperm antibodies, this could affect the frozen-thawing process. The frozen-thawing process might affect the measurement of the SIT. Freezing and thawing have been reported to cause substantial protein changes.¹⁶ The study conducted by Alexander et al¹⁵ using the indirect immunofluorescence method demonstrated that the cryogenic freezing process caused the change of antigenicity of human sperm. They compared frozen-thawed sperm with the fresh sperm using indirect Reproductive Medicine and Biology

immunofluorescence tests for antisperm antibodies and showed that the frozen-thawing process decreased the presence of certain surface antigens. Therefore, frozen-thawing process is thought to result in removal of spermatozoa membrane antigens. However, in the study conducted by Phillip et al,¹⁷ the antigenicity involved in immobilization of frozen-thawed sperm has been reported to be unchanged and remained intact during the frozen-thawing process. Using SIT, the immunoperoxidase assay, and separation of proteins by gel electrophoreses, they found there was no difference in the membrane antigens before and after frozen-thawing process.¹² Our results showed a significant correlation between fresh and frozenthawed sperm when detecting the presence of ASAs, thus supporting the findings that frozen-thawed sperm remain unchanged throughout the freezing and thawing process. Moreover, a significant correlation was found in SI_{50} titer between fresh and frozenthawed sperm. Taken together, these results indicate that SIT and quantitative SIT can be performed using frozen-thawed sperm instead of using fresh sperm.

Cryopreservation of human spermatozoa is widely used for infertility therapy, the improvement of ART, and male fertility preservation before cancer therapy.^{18,19} Ice crystallization during the cooling process causes increased toxicity and deleterious effects to the sperm plasma membrane covering the sperm head.¹⁹ As such, the process of thawing sperm after cryopreservation leads to a significant decrease in spermatozoa viability, which decreases the number of motile normal sperm.²⁰ However, high-quality sperm must be obtained for SIT, and therefore, motile spermatozoa were collected using a Percoll density gradient method for SIT in our study.²¹ Based on our findings, the possibility of using cryopreserved sperm for detecting SI antibodies using the CASA method when fresh sperm is unavailable is both practical and recommended for clinical practice. However, there are possible limitations when using this test clinically. In particular, it is important to acknowledge the comparative sensitivity of the test in relation to the availability of high-quality sperm. High-quality sperm with good motility (in our case, above 68%) may be required to see clinically relevant results. Also, finding similar quality sperm in an amount necessary for clinical testing could be difficult.

In conclusion, we found that the method using CASA with frozen-thawed sperm enables SIT to be more a more convenient and practical test as a clinical indicator.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Researchers, Hyogo College of Medicine, 2019.

DISCLOSURES

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. Human rights statements and informed consent: The protocol for the research project was approved by the Hyogo College of Medicine Ethics Committee, Nishinomiya, Japan. All the procedures were followed in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committees on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with Reproductive Medicine and Biology

the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and its later amendments. Informed consent was obtained from all the patients for being included in the study. *Animal studies*: This article does not contain any studies with animal participants performed by any of the authors.

ORCID

Yu Wakimoto D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8989-6998 Atsushi Fukui D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9059-7458

REFERENCES

- Isojima S, Tsuchiya K, Koyama K, Tanaka C, Naka O, Adachi H. Further studies on sperm-immobilizing antibody found in sera of unexplained cases of sterility in women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1972;112:199-207.
- Vazquez-Levin MH, Marín-Briggiler CI, Veaute C. Antisperm antibodies: invaluable tools toward the identification of sperm proteins involved in fertilization. Am J Reprod Immunol. 2014;72:206-218.
- Verón GL, Molina RI, Tissera AD, Estofan GM, Marín-Briggiler CI, Vazquez-Levin MH. Incidence of sperm surface autoantibodies and relationship with routine semen parameters and sperm kinematics. *Am J Reprod Immunol*. 2016;76:59-69.
- Johansson M, Bromfield JJ, Jasper MJ, Robertson SA. Semen activates the female immune response during early pregnancy in mice. *Immunology*. 2004;112:290-300.
- Naz RK, Menge AC. Antisperm antibodies: origin, regulation, and sperm reactivity in human infertility. *Fertil Steril*. 1994;61:1001-1013.
- Shibahara H, Shiraishi Y, Hirano Y, Kasumi H, Koyama K, Suzuki M. Relationship between level of serum sperm immobilizing antibody and its inhibitory effect on sperm migration through cervical mucus in immunologically infertile women. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 2007;57:142-146.
- Shibahara H, Shigeta M, Toji H, Koyama K. Sperm immobilizing antibodies interfere with sperm migration from the uterine cavity through the fallopian tubes. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 1995;34: 120-124.
- Taneichi A, Shibahara H, Hirano Y, et al. Sperm immobilizing antibodies in the sera of infertile women cause low fertilization rates and poor embryo quality in vitro. Am J Reprod Immunol. 2002;47:46-51.
- 9. Isojima S, Koyama K. Techniques for sperm immobilization test. *Arch Androl.* 1989;23:185-199.
- Koyama K, Kubota K, Ikuma K, Shigeta M, Isojima S. Application of the quantitative sperm immobilization test for follow-up study of sperm-immobilizing antibody in the sera of sterile women. *Int J Fertil.* 1988;33:201-206.

- Wakimoto Y, Fukui A, Kojima T, Hasegawa A, Shigeta M, Shibahara H. Application of computer-aided sperm analysis (CASA) for detecting sperm-immobilizing antibody. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 2018;79:e12814.
- 12. Feng HL, Han YB, Sparks AE, Sandlow JI. Characterization of human sperm antigens reacting with anti-sperm antibodies from an infertile female patient's serum. J Androl. 2008;29:440-448.
- Kobayashi S, Bessho T, Shigeta M, Koyama K, Isojima S. Correlation between quantitative antibody titers of sperm immobilizing antibodies and pregnancy rates by treatments. *Fertil Steril*. 1990;54:1107-1113.
- Hershlag A, Napolitano B, Cangemi C, Scholl G, Rosenfeld D. The value of routine screening of female serum for antisperm antibodies in assisted reproductive technology cycles. *Fertil Steril.* 1994;61:867-871.
- 15. Alexander NJ, Kay R. Antigenicity of frozen and fresh spermatozoa. *Fertil Steril.* 1977;28:1234-1237.
- Bogle OA, Kumar K, Attardo-Parrinello C, et al. Identification of protein changes in human spermatozoa throughout the cryopreservation process. *Andrology*. 2017;5:10-22.
- 17. Phillip M, Kleinman D, Potashnik G, Insler V. Antigenicity of sperm cells after freezing and thawing. *Fertil Steril*. 1984;41:615-619.
- Ukita Y, Wakimoto Y, Sugiyama Y, et al. Fertility preservation and pregnancy outcomes in adolescent and young adult male patients with cancer. *Reprod Med Biol.* 2018;17:449-453.
- Riva NS, Ruhlmann C, Iaizzo RS, López CAM, Martínez AG. Comparative analysis between slow freezing and ultra-rapid freezing for human sperm cryopreservation. JBRA Assist Reprod. 2018;22:331-337.
- Horokhovatskyi Y, Dietrich MA, Lebeda I, Fedorov P, Rodina M, Dzyuba B. Cryopreservation effects on a viable sperm sterlet (*Acipenser ruthenus*) subpopulation obtained by a Percoll density gradient method. *PLoS One*. 2018;13:e0202514.
- Mortimer D, Mortimer ST. Density gradient separation of sperm for artificial insemination. In: Carrell D, Aston K, eds. Spermatogenesis. Methods in Molecular Biology (Methods and Protocols). Vol 927. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press; 2013;217-226.

How to cite this article: Wakimoto Y, Fukui A, Kojima T, et al. Sperm immobilization test and quantitative sperm immobilization test using frozen-thawed sperm preparation applied with computer-aided sperm analysis. *Reprod Med Biol.* 2021;20:321–326. https://doi.org/10.1002/rmb2.12387