# RESEARCH Open Access



# Status and epidemiological characteristics of depression and anxiety among Chinese university students in 2023

Shan-Shan Han<sup>1†</sup>, Yang-Sheng Zhang<sup>2†</sup>, Weidong Zhu<sup>1†</sup>, Yu-Peng Ye<sup>3</sup>, Ya-Xing Li<sup>4</sup>, Shu-Qiao Meng<sup>5</sup>, Shuo Feng<sup>6</sup>, Han Li<sup>7</sup>, Zhong-Lei Cui<sup>8</sup>, Yao Zhang<sup>9</sup>, Qing Zhang<sup>10</sup>, Guang-Xu Wang<sup>11</sup>, Hu Lou<sup>1</sup>, Bo Li<sup>1\*</sup> and Chuan-Yi Xu<sup>12\*</sup>

#### **Abstract**

**Objective** This study aims to understand the status of depression and anxiety among Chinese university students through epidemiological investigation of a large sample size and provide a foundation for identifying individuals at risk of psychological crisis and developing targeted intervention strategies.

**Methods** Survey participants were selected using a multi-stage sampling approach, which incorporated elements of stratification and cluster sampling. The main participants consisted of 49,717 university students from 106 Chinese universities. Self-report questionnaires were used to assess depression and anxiety levels retrospectively.

**Results** Of the 49,717 questionnaires collected, 41,620 were deemed valid after a rigorous data cleaning process, resulting in a validity rate of 83.7%. Among the respondents, 58.6% were female. The detection rates for depression, anxiety, and comorbidity of depression and anxiety among the students were 9.8%, 15.5%, and 6.5%, respectively. The detection rate of depression varied across different grades (V=0.119) and locations of universities (V=0.117). There were great differences in the detection rate of depression and anxiety symptoms among university students in terms of physical health (including self-rated health and vision) and health behaviors (including sleep, smoking and drinking), and physical health and healthy behaviors were important factors in the detection rate of depression and anxiety symptoms among college students.

**Conclusion** Psychological health issues among Chinese university students are relatively severe, with higher grade students exhibiting particularly concerning signs.

Keywords University students, Depression, Anxiety, Comorbidity, Epidemiological survey, Mental health

<sup>†</sup>Shan-shan Han, Yang-Sheng Zhang and Weidong Zhu contributed equally to this work.

\*Correspondence: Bo Li wangqiulibo@163.com Chuan-Yi Xu XCY\_GXZYY\_888@163.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

Han et al. BMC Public Health (2025) 25:1189 Page 2 of 11

## Introduction

In recent years, mental health problems, such as depression and anxiety, have emerged as significant global public health challenges that seriously affecting individuals' quality of life, social functioning, and overall well-being [1-3]. The harmful effects of depression include impaired social relationships, substance abuse, obesity, among others [4]. Prolonged and severe anxiety states can develop into anxiety disorders, including test anxiety, social anxiety, and academic-related anxiety, which permeate various aspects of academic and social life [5]. Depression and anxiety are two common mental health issues that not only affect an individual's emotional state but also significantly impact daily functioning. Depression typically manifests as persistent low mood, loss of interest, and decreased energy, while anxiety primarily presents as excessive worry, tension, and feelings of fear [6]. These two issues are often viewed as important indicators of mental health status as they directly reflect an individual's ability to regulate emotions and psychological adaptation. The relationship between depression and anxiety and overall mental health problems is close [7]. They are not only common manifestations of mental health issues but can also be precursors or comorbidities of other mental health problems. Studies have shown that the presence of depression and anxiety symptoms is often associated with broader mental health issues such as social disorders, sleep problems, and substance abuse [8]. Moreover, the severity of depression and anxiety is frequently used as a key indicator in assessing overall mental health status [9]. In the face of public health emergencies, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, mental health problems have become very critical for various populations. A study published in Lancet in 2022 revealed that without the pandemic's influence, the prevalence of depression would be 3,152.9 per 100,000 population (approximately 3.15%), resulting in a total of 246 million people globally diagnosed with depression. An additional 53 million cases of depression were reported in 2020 due to the pandemic, reflecting a 27.6% increase. The prevalence of anxiety disorders increased to 4,802.4 per 100,000 population (approximately 4.80%), which is equivalent to 374 million individuals worldwide. The pandemic also led to a 25.6% increase or an additional 76 million cases of anxiety disorders in 2020 [10]. Therefore, development of effective interventions and exploring contributing factors to the occurrence of depression and anxiety symptoms should be conducted.

University students are in a critical stage of psychological and social development. They are highly sensitive to their personal growth and shaping their self-identity, values, and career plans [11]. The pressures faced by university students in academic performance, employment prospects, and interpersonal relationships have

significantly increased, particularly after the expansion of higher education enrollment [11]. Mental health problems among university students have become increasingly prominent considering that they are under the combined circumstances of sensitive developmental stage and mounting pressures [2]. The proportion of university students who exhibit symptoms of depression and anxiety is significantly higher than that of the general population [12]. A meta-analysis that examined 560 studies found that the detection rates for depression (20.8%) and anxiety (13.7%) ranked second and fourth, respectively, among mental health issues that affect university students [13]. Therefore, gaining a deep understanding of the status and epidemiological characteristics of psychological problems, such as anxiety and depression, among Chinese university students is significant. While mental health issues affect various populations, university students represent a unique and critical demographic for several reasons. Their transitional life stage, heightened vulnerability to mental health challenges, and the potential long-term impact of these issues on their future personal and professional development make them a particularly important group to study and support [14]. China's vast territory encompasses significant differences in economic development levels, cultural backgrounds, and lifestyles across regions. These regional factors may have varying degrees of impact on the mental health of college students [15]. Based on these regional differences, we propose the following research hypothesis:

H1 There are significant differences in the severity of depression and anxiety symptoms among college students from different regions.

Furthermore, visual health has emerged as a significant health concern for modern college students, particularly in the context of increasingly prevalent digital learning and living environments. Factors such as prolonged use of electronic devices, poor eye care habits, and lack of outdoor activities may contribute to an increase in vision problems [16]. Visual health status not only directly affects learning efficiency and quality of life but may also have profound implications for students' mental health. Vision problems can potentially increase academic stress, limit social activities, and affect self-image, thereby possibly triggering or exacerbating symptoms of depression and anxiety [17]. Based on these considerations, we propose the following research hypothesis:

H2 There are significant differences in the severity of depression and anxiety symptoms among college students with different visual health conditions.

Understanding the status of depression and anxiety symptoms as well as related demographic and measurement characteristics is a crucial prerequisite for effective Han et al. BMC Public Health (2025) 25:1189 Page 3 of 11

intervention and exploration of contributing factors. Despite existing studies that examined the occurrence of anxiety and depression among students [13, 18-26], comprehensive investigation, particularly nationwide epidemiological research using large-scale samples, is lacking with regard to the overall prevalence of anxiety and depression issues among Chinese university students [23]. Therefore, the present study aims to conduct a survey analysis using multi-stage sampling methods on a nationwide sample of university students in China, systematically understand and describe the current situation of anxiety and depression among these students, and elucidate differences among characteristic groups. Although many previous studies have addressed the mental health issues of university students, most have had small sample sizes or were limited to specific regions. This study, by utilizing a large-scale national survey, addresses the gaps in overall prevalence and regional differences identified in existing research, providing more accurate and comprehensive data. These data will aid in developing more precise intervention measures and offer a scientific basis for future policy-making, thus enhancing the mental health levels of university students across the country.

## Methods

# **Participants**

This study conducted an epidemiological survey. A total of 49,717 undergraduate students from 106 universities in 31 provinces, autonomous regions, and municipalities in China were surveyed. The sample included students enrolled in regular undergraduate, vocational undergraduate, and junior college programs, excluding adult education, online programs, and graduate studies (master's and doctoral programs). A total of 41,620 valid questionnaires were collected, with female students accounting for 24,408 (58.6%). The survey targeted undergraduate students enrolled in regular higher education institutions in mainland China (excluding Hong Kong, Macau, and Taiwan). The list of regular higher education institutions was referenced from the Ministry of Education's "National List of Regular Higher Education Institutions" (as of June 15, 2023). The multi-stage sampling methods were used to select the participants. The specific sampling steps were as follows:

## Selection of sampling sites

Each province (or autonomous region or municipality) was evenly allocated to three sampling sites to ensure the representativeness of the study population. An equal number of participants was sampled from different cities. One city at the provincial capital level was selected as a "Type 1" sampling site. Two additional cities were determined based on geographic locations and socioeconomic development levels of the province or autonomous

region, with one city at an average socioeconomic development level as a "Type 2" sampling site and one city with a relatively lower socioeconomic development level as a "Type 3" sampling site. In the case of directly administered municipalities, the sample selection did not strictly adhere to the principles but primarily conducted cluster sampling while considering the principle of quantity distribution among the three sampling sites.

## Selection of sampling units

The selection of sampling units considered three factors. First, higher education institutions should be registered with the Ministry of Education and include regular colleges as well as vocational and technical colleges. Second, the units should meet the sampling requirements, including age, number of students, and grade distribution. Third, specific persons should be responsible for distributing the questionnaires, and the units should be willing to participate in long-term monitoring. University students from the selected units should already return to the campus for the fall semester.

#### Stratification and sample size

$$n = \frac{Z_{\alpha}^{2} * p * (1-p)}{\delta^{2}} \tag{A}$$

The population was initially categorized by gender (male and female) and further subdivided into eight groups based on grade distinctions. Each category (e.g., male first-year university students) maintained a minimum sample size of 45 students. The calculation of the minimum sample size is done by Equation A. The confidence level  $(Z_{\alpha})$  is set at 95%. According to a study on the prevalence of mental disorders in China, the incidence of anxiety disorders and depressive symptoms in Chinese adults was 29.8%, and the incidence of depressive symptoms was 28.8%, and the value of the incidence (P) in this formula was estimated to be 38.8%. The permissible margin of error ( $\delta$ ) is set at 5% [27]. The minimum sample size in a university is 364 students. It is divided into 4 grade groups and 2 genders, so that there are 45 students in a single grade band for each gender.

For each province, autonomous region, or municipality, the total sample size reached 1,080 to achieve an estimated nationwide response of 33,480 completed questionnaires (excluding Hong Kong, Macau, and Taiwan). The survey was conducted via Questionnaire Star software and employed electronic questionnaires that were uniformly administered during two teaching weeks in October 2023 (from October 9th to 22nd). A total of 49,717 questionnaires were collected.

Han et al. BMC Public Health (2025) 25:1189 Page 4 of 11

#### Measurement

The content of this survey includes sociological demographic information and physical and mental health behavior scales. The physical health part measures the vision and BMI of university students, and the mental health part mainly conducts a paper-and-pencil test on the depression and anxiety of university students. The average time to complete the whole set of questionnaires is 5 min and 56 s. The maturity scales selected have passed the test for the measurement indicators among Chinese university students. The measurement and analysis indicators are as follows.

#### Sociological demographic information

Sociodemographic data include gender, grade, age, school attended, and school location (with the provision of a postal code). School location is categorized into three regions: "Eastern," "Central," and "Western," based on China's geographical division. The eastern region comprises Beijing, Tianjin, Hebei, Liaoning, Shanghai, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Fujian, Shandong, Guangdong, and Hainan provinces; the central region includes Shanxi, Jilin, Heilongjiang, Anhui, Jiangxi, Henan, Hubei, and Hunan provinces; and the western region encompasses Sichuan, Chongqing, Guizhou, Yunnan, Shaanxi, Gansu, Qinghai, Ningxia, Xinjiang, Guangxi, and Inner Mongolia [28].

# Depression

The method of mental health flow survey of Chinese residents in the Blue Book of Mental Health: Report on the Development of National Mental Health in China (2019-2020) is generally used [29]. The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) has been used to screen for depression [30]. He et al. randomly sampled 30,801 individuals from the general population across the country to test the reliability and validity of CES-D. The scale had consistent reliability of 0.85-0.88 and test–retest reliability of 0.49 (P<0.001). The correlation between each item and the total score was greater than 0.5, indicating the satisfactory reliability and validity of CES-D; hence, the scale can be used to measure the level of depression in all age groups [31]. In the present study, the Cronbach's alpha values of CES-D were 0.822 (forward score) and 0.859 (reverse score), and the testretest reliability correlation coefficient r was 0.619. The scale uses 0–3 to rate the frequency of symptoms in the last week and has a cut-off score of 10; a score of 17 indicates a high risk of depression [30]. Yuan et al. reported that the sensitivity and specificity of depressive disorder detection were 92.6% and 86.8% when CES-D was used to evaluate 16 or more adults, indicating good detection function [32].

# Anxiety

The Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) is used to assess anxiety levels in the general population [33]. The scale uses the range of 0-3 to rate the frequency of anxiety in the last two weeks. Total score is obtained by adding the scores of the seven items and can be within 0-21 points, of which 0-4 points represent no anxiety, 5-9 points represent mild anxiety, 10-14 points represent moderate anxiety, and 15-21 points represent severe anxiety [29]. Existing studies consider a total score greater than 9 to be a reasonable cut-off point for identifying generalized anxiety [18, 33]. Fu et al. used GAD-7 to investigate the mental health of Chinese university students during the COVID-19 pandemic; the validation of the reliability and validity of GAD-7 showed an internal consistency reliability coefficient of 0.901, indicating that the scale can be used for anxiety risk screening in Chinese university students [34]. In the present study, the internal consistency reliability of GAD-7 was 0.781, and the test–retest reliability correlation coefficient r was 0.803.

#### Anxiety and depression comorbidities

In this review, "comorbidity" variables were singled out, with depression—anxiety comorbidity defined as conditions that meet both diagnostic criteria for depression and anxiety [35, 36]. The term comorbidity was first proposed by Feinstein and refers to the same patient who has a pre-existing disease in addition to the disease under study or a new disease during the disease [35]. In the present study, university students with a CES-D score greater than 15 and a GAD-7 score greater than 9 were selected as the positive group for comorbidities [37].

# Physical health

Physical health indicators include the following. (1) For Self-rated health, The Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) was employed to assess the self-rated health status of university students. For the purpose of this analysis, only the General Health dimension was selected and examined [38]. (2) For visual acuity, the subjects are asked about wearing their eyes in the past month and pathological poor vision. (3) BMI was assessed according to the *National University student Physical Health Standards (2014 Edition)* based on the height and weight test standards for university students [39]; the specific classification standards of BMI are shown in Table 1.

# **Health behaviors**

The health behavior indicators assessed in this study include the following: (1) Sleep Quality: Sleep quality among university students was measured using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) [40]. Based on

Han et al. BMC Public Health (2025) 25:1189 Page 5 of 11

**Table 1** Body mass index (BMI) scoring sheet (Unit: kg/m2)

Categories	Male	Female
Normal	17.9–23.9	17.2-23.9
Underweight	≤17.8	≤ 17.1
Overweight	24.0-27.9	24.0-27.9
Obesity	≥ 28.0	≥ 28.0

the PSQI scores, sleep quality was categorized into four levels: "very good" (total score ≤ 5), "fairly good" (5 < total score  $\leq 10$ ), "moderate" (11  $\leq$  total score < 15), and "poor" (total score ≥ 16) [41]. (2) Physical Activity: Physical activity levels were assessed using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Short Form (IPAQ). According to the IPAQ scoring protocol, physical activity behaviors were classified into three categories: "vigorous intensity physical activity (VPA)", "moderate intensity physical activity (MPA)", and "light intensity physical activity (LPA)" [42, 43]. (3) Smoking Behavior: Smoking behavior was evaluated based on the World Health Organization (WHO) definitions related to smoking. Students were categorized into three groups: "Never smoked", "Occasionally smoked", and "Regularly smoked". (4) Alcohol Consumption: Alcohol consumption was assessed using a modified version of the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance Questionnaire [44]. Based on the number of days students reported drinking alcohol and the number of days they reported being drunk in the past month, alcohol consumption was classified into three categories: " Never had a drink", " Drinking without intoxication", and " Drinking had been drunk". These indicators provide a comprehensive understanding of the health behaviors associated with depression and anxiety among Chinese university students.

# Statistical analysis

SPSS25.0 and EXCEL software were used for data processing. Data were preprocessed, and valid questionnaires were selected according to the effective data screening rules. Chi-square test was used to examine differences in depression, anxiety, and comorbidities in demographic indicators, visual acuity, and BMI classification. Cramer's V coefficient was used to evaluate the effect under difference analysis, and scoring was as follows: V=0.1-0.3 indicates the existence of difference (small degree of disparity), V = 0.3 - 0.5 indicates the existence of difference (medium degree of disparity), and V>0.5 indicates the existence of difference (high degree of disparity) [45]. Using binomial logistic regression models to examine the relationship between independent variables (gender, grade, region, ethnicity, physical health, and health behaviors) and dependent variables (depression, anxiety, and comorbidities). For each model, R<sup>2</sup> was reported to evaluate the effect of quantitatively evaluating goodness-of-fit, and the variation of the model was explained using the Hosmer-Lemesho test.

The data cleansing rules of this study are as follows. (1) Questionnaires that cannot identify the "full name' of the school were deleted. (2) Questionnaires with an age of less than 15 years and more than 30 years were deleted. (3) Questionnaires with at least 21 consecutive "all consistent" questionnaire filling codes were deleted. (4) The average time taken to fill in the questionnaire was 5 min and 56 s, and the filling time was deleted in the positions of (0,5%] and [95%,100%). (5) Questionnaire data and BMI data were matched by the "school and student number" code, and duplicate matching questionnaires were deleted.

#### Results

A total of 49,717 university students participated in the survey, of which 41,620 submitted valid questionnaires, with an effective rate of 83.7%, and 24,408 were female (58.6%). First-year students had the highest response rate (57.4%), while fourth-year students had the lowest response rate (4.0%). This discrepancy in response rates can be attributed to several factors: fourth-year students face significant graduation pressures, such as writing theses, job hunting, or applying for graduate school, which substantially reduce their time and willingness to participate in surveys. Additionally, many fourth-year students engage in part-time jobs or internships, further limiting their availability on campus. The survey was primarily conducted on campus, where fourth-year students spend less time compared to students in other grades, reducing their opportunities to participate. Finally, the timing of the survey may coincide with periods of high academic or personal stress for fourth-year students, decreasing their motivation to complete the survey. University in the central region had the highest response rate (40.0%). Han Chinese students accounted for a large proportion (75.9%). The rate of poor vision among university students was relatively high (75.9%). Among body shape indicators, the overweight and obesity rate of university students accounted for 28.9%, of which 15.5% were obese (Table 2). Overall, the survey had a reasonable sampling, and the response rate met the requirements for statistical analysis and measurement.

The overall detection rate of depressive symptoms among Chinese university students was 9.8%. The rate differed significantly across various factors, including grade (P<0.001, V=0.119), school location (P<0.001, V=0.117), visual acuity (P<0.001, V=0.167), self-rated health (P<0.001, V=0.181), sleep quality (P<0.001, V=0.350), smoking behavior (P<0.001, V=0.087), and alcohol consumption (P<0.001, V=0.066). Specifically, the detection rate of depressive symptoms was higher among senior students compared to their junior

	u	2	
	5	_	
_	d	ر	
	2	5	
٠	t	2	
	2	2	
•	į	2	
	ā	J	
	Ξ	2	
	2	5	
	D	پ	
	ă	ΰ	
	2	=	
(		)	
	7	5	)
	Š	<u> </u>	
	Č	_	
	2	3	
	d	2	
:	7	5	
-	ζ	3	
_	c	2	
	Š	5	
	2	=	
	C	נ	
-	C	5	
	2	3	
		;	
٠	1	j	
•	$\geq$	<	
	d	J	
	ς	_	
	Ĉ	2	
	ū	2	
	2	<u> </u>	
	2	J	
-	C	7	
•	Ċ	5	
	Ü	3	
:	Ē		
•	ž		
	t	י	
	č	3	
	ã	J	
(	٠	J	
•	_	ĺ	
٦	ď		
•	0	Š	
ı		3	

%         n         %         Statistical value         n         %         5 Statistical value         n         %           41.4         1672         9.7         x²=0.055         1976         11.5         x²=0.033         19.7         6.5           58.6         2388         9.8         p=0.814         2820         11.6         p=0.818         15.7         6.5           57.4         2286         9.6         x²=155.020         2733         11.4         x²=189         1535         6.4           4.0         10.7         p<0.001         1.27         11.9         p=0.849         19.6         6.7           4.0         17.1         10.3         x²=126.139         17.8         11.2         v=0.034         709         6.7           4.0         17.1         10.3         x²=126.139         1783         11.2         v=0.034         709         6.7           4.0         17.1         10.3         x²=126.139         1783         11.2         v=0.034         709         6.7           4.0         17.1         10.3         x²=126.139         1783         11.2         v=0.034         7.1         7.2         7.2         6.9         6.7	Variable		Overall (	(41620)	Depres	sion (406	Depression (4060, 9.8%)	Anxiet	Anxiety (4796, 11.5%)	1.5%)	Comor	bidity (2	Comorbidity (2720, 6.5%)
Female   17212   414   1672   927   x <sup>2</sup> =0.055   1976   115   x <sup>2</sup> =0.058   1143   6.6     Female   24,408   586   2388   98   x <sup>2</sup> =0.058   116   x <sup>2</sup> =0.058   157   15			u	%	u	%	Statistical value	u	%	Statistical value	u	%	Statistical value
Wale         17212         414         1672         97         x²=0.055         19% of 11         x²=0.058         113         x²=0.058         114         x²=0.054         115         x²=0.058         115         x²=0.058         115         x²=0.058         115         x²=0.058         115         x²=0.018         x²=0.01	Gender												
Female   24,048   586   2389   96   P=0.814   2870   115   P=0.819   1577   65		Male	17,212	41.4	1672	6.7	$x^2 = 0.055$	1976	11.5	$x^2 = 0.053$	1143	9.9	$x^2 = 02.399$
Freshman   1,3878   574   2286   36		Female	24.408	58.6	2388	86	P = 0.814	2820	11.6	P = 0.818	1577	6.5	P = 0.121
Freshman         23878         57.4         2286         96         x²=155.020         2733         11.4         x²=1897         709         6.4           Sephomore         10,665         25.5         1057         10.0         V=0.0001         1237         11.9         Y=0.0594         709         6.7           Junior         5479         13.2         546         10.0         V=0.019         613         11.2         Y=0.057         3.88         6.7           Estitem         1,663         4.0         17.1         10.3         x²=126.139         17.8         11.2         Y=0.007         3.88         6.7           Westward         1,0200         24.7         929         90         P=0.0001         11.2         Y=0.015         7.9         9.7           Westward         1,0200         24.7         15.96         90         P=0.0001         11.1         4.0         17.1         1.0         P=0.0001         11.1         4.0         17.1         1.0         P=0.0001         11.2         4.0         17.1         1.0         P=0.0001         11.2         4.0         1.0         P=0.0001         11.2         4.0         1.0         4.0         1.1         1.0 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td>V=0.001</td><td></td><td></td><td>V=0.001</td><td></td><td>}</td><td>V = 0.024</td></td<>						2	V=0.001			V=0.001		}	V = 0.024
Freshman 13,678 57.4 2286 9.6 <b>x²=155.020</b> 7733 11.4 x²=1897 1535 6.4 Lookindre 10,050 255 10.0 <b>y=0,000</b> 1733 11.4 x²=1897 153 6.4 Lookindre 10,050 255 10.0 <b>y=0,000</b> 1735 11.9 y=0,0594 0.9 0.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Grade												
Sephemene 19,655 255 1057 105 P-0,019 1257 11.9 P=6,594 709 67 Junior 5,479 13.2 346 10.0 V=0,119 11.2 P=0,007 368 67 Junior 14,657 35.2 13.2 34.6 10.0 V=0,119 11.2 P=0,007 368 67 Junior 14,657 35.2 13.2 35.2 13.3 10.2 P=0,117 11.2 P=0,007 11.3 P=0,0		Freshman	23,878	57.4	2286	9.6	$x^2 = 155.020$	2733	11.4	$x^2 = 1.897$	1535	6.4	$x^2 = 3.913$
Junior         5490         132         546         100         V=0.119         613         11.2         V=0.007         388         6.7           Senior         1688         4.0         171         103         x=126.139         11.5         V=0.007         388         6.7           Westward         16663         4.0         171         103         x=126.139         172         x=9133         104         7.1           Westward         10,000         24.7         929         9.0         P=0.001         11.2         P=0.000         613         6.0           Han         38.300         9.2         372         9.7         x=126.139         4.04         11.5         P=0.000         613         6.0           Abnormal         11.0         2.0         7.8         33.3         10.2         V=0.005         3.2         10.2         V=0.035         3.2         1.2         V=0.005         6.0		Sophomore	10,605	25.5	1057	10.0	P < 0.001	1257	11.9	P = 0.594	709	6.7	P = 0.271
Seniolo         1658         4.0         171         103         7=126.139         178         11.6         6.5         17.1         10.3         7=126.139         178         11.2         x²=9133         1044         7.1         10467         35.2         153         10.5         x²=126.139         178         12.2         x²=9133         1044         7.1         10467         7.1         10467         35.2         105         x²=126.139         178         17.2         x²=0000         6.3         6.0         7.1         8.0         x²=126.139         17.2         x²=0000         6.1         7.1         8.0         x²=126.139         17.2         x²=0000         6.1         7.1         8.0         x²=126.140         1.1         x²=0000         6.1         9.0         x²=126.840         4.04         1.1         x²=0000         6.3         6.0         6.0         9.0         x²=152.594         3.404         1.1         x²=0000         8.2         8.2         8.2         1.1         x²=152.594         3.404         1.1         x²=183         8.2         x²=152.594         3.404         1.1         x²=183         8.2         8.2         8.2         8.2         8.2         8.2         8.2         8.2		Junior	5479	13.2	546	10.0	V=0.119	613	11.2	V=0.007	368	6.7	V = 0.010
Esstern         14657         35.2         1535         10.5         x²=126.139         1783         12.2         x²=9133         1044         7.1           Westward         10,300         24.7         929         9.0         V=0.117         1151         11.2         y=0.005         1063         6.4           Han         38,360         92.2         3727         9,7         x²=0.849         4404         11.5         x²=0.872         10.3         6.4           Han         38,360         92.2         3727         9,7         x²=0.849         4404         11.5         x²=0.872         6.9           Minority         3260         7.8         33.2         10.2         x²=1.52.54         4404         11.5         x²=0.872         6.9           Abnormal         31,599         7.5         2817         8.9         x²=1.52.54         10.8         x²=7.264         18.2         6.9           Normal         10,021         24.1         12.43         12.4         9.2         12.5         9.4         1.0         x²=7.264         18.2         6.9           Normal         11,1         4.54         9.9         x²=1.15.594         34.0         10.6         7.4		Senior <sup>b</sup>	1658	4.0	171	10.3		193	11.6		108	6.5	
Eastern 14,657 35.2 1535 10.5 <b>x²=126.139</b> 112, r²=9,133 1044 7.1  Westward 10,300 24.7 999 9.0 P<8001 1151 11.2 P=0010 613 6.0  Central 16,653 4.00 1596 9.0 P<8001 1151 11.2 P=0010 613 6.0  Han 38,360 9.2 37.2 9.7 x²=0.849 4404 11.5 x²=0.872 6.5  Minority 3260 7.8 33.3 10.2 P=0.357 9.2 r²=0.849  Minority 3260 7.8 33.3 10.2 P=0.357 9.2 r²=0.849  Abnormal 10,021 24.1 1243 12.4 P<8001 1392 13.9 P=0.0351 9.9 r²=0.0418  Underweight 4608 11.1 454 9.9 x²=132.94 11.6 P=0.0418 16.0 6.0  Underweight 6408 11.1 454 9.9 r²=1340 640 11.9 r²=2.831 16.0 6.0  Underweight 6408 11.1 454 9.9 r²=13.840 840 11.0 r²=2.831 16.0  Underweight 6408 11.1 454 9.9 r²=13.84 11.0 r²=2.831 16.0  Underweight 6408 11.1 454 9.9 r²=13.84 11.0 r²=2.831 16.0  Underweight 6408 11.1 454 9.9 r²=13.87 11.0 r²=2.831 16.0  Underweight 6408 11.1 454 9.9 r²=13.89 11.0 r²=2.831 16.0  Underweight 643 11.5 640 9.9 r²=13.80 9.4 11.1 P=0.0418 16.0  Underweight 643 11.5 640 9.9 r²=13.80 9.4 11.1 P=0.0418 16.0  Underweight 643 11.2 7.3 7.4 11.1 r²=2.838 11.1 r²=2.838.8 1.0  Underweight 643 11.2 r²=2.83 11.1 r²=2.838.8 1.0  Underweight 643 11.2 r²=2.83 11.1 r²=2.838.8 1.0  Underweight 643 11.2 r²=2.838 11.1 r²=2.838.8 1.0  Underweight 643 11.2 r²=2.83 11.1 r²=2.838.8 1.0  Underweight 643 11.2 r²=2.83 11.1 r²=2.838.8 1.0  Underweight 643 11.2 r²=2.838 11.1 r²=2.838.8 1.0  Underweight 643 11.2 r²=2.838 11.1 r²=2.838.8 1.0  Underweight 643 11.2 r²=2.838 11.1 r²=2.838.8 1.0  Underweight 643 r²=2.838 11.1 r²=2.838.8 1.0  Underweight 643 r²=2.838 11.1 r²=2.838.8 1.0  Underweight 6408 11.1 r²=2.7 r²=2.838 11.1 r²=2.838	Area												
Westward         10,300         24.7         929         9.0         P<0001         1151         11.2         P=0010         613         6.0           Central         16,663         400         1596         9.6         V=0.17         1167         Y=0.055         1065         6.4           Han         38,360         9.2         3727         9.7         x²=0.849         4404         11.5         x²=0.872         245         6.5           Minority         3260         7.8         33.3         10.2         p=0.357         39.2         12.0         p=0.351         225         6.5           Minority         Abrormal         31,599         75.9         2817         8.9         x²=152.594         4404         11.8         x²=0.857         29.5         Y=0.005         9.9         x²=152.594         3.40         10.8         x²=72.564         1842         5.8         6.9         8.9         8.9         8.9         8.9         8.9         9.0         x²=155.94         9.0         x²=135.94         9.0         x²=28.31         9.0         8.9         9.0         9.9         x²=135.94         9.9         11.9         x²=28.31         9.9         9.9         9.9         9.9		Eastern	14,657	35.2	1535	10.5	$x^2 = 126.139$	1783	12.2	$x^2 = 9.133$	1044	7.1	$x^2 = 1.258$
Central         16,663         400         1596         96         V=0.17         1862         11.2         V=0.045         1063         64           Han         38,380         92.2         3727         97         x²=0.849         4404         11.5         x²=0.877         2495         65           Minority         3260         7.8         33.2         10.2         x²=0.835         32         12.0         p=0.351         225         65         65           Abnormal         31,599         7.59         2817         8.9         x²=122.594         3404         11.5         x²=0.837         28.5         65         65         12.0         y=0.005         65<		Westward	10,300	24.7	929	0.6	P < 0.001	1151	11.2	P = 0.010	613	0.9	P = 0.003
Han         38,360         92.2         3727         9,7         x²=0,849         4404         11.5         x²=0,872         2495         6.5           Minority         Abnormal         31,599         7.5         33.3         10.2         P=0,357         392         12.0         P=0,875         25.9         6.5           Abnormal         31,599         75.9         2817         8.9         x²=12,594         10.8         x²=72,564         1842         5.8           Underweight         4608         11.1         45.4         9.9         x²=4,340         5.4         11.9         x²=27,564         1842         5.8           Normal         24,976         6.00         2436         9.9         x²=4,340         5.4         11.9         x²=2,831         9.8         6.5           Vivo         Obesity         460         29.9         x²=4,340         5.4         11.9         x²=2,831         9.8         6.5           Vivo         Doesity         60.0         2436         9.9         x²=1,887         11.6         x²=1,206         9.7         6.7           Vivo         Doesity         64.3         13.5         64.0         9.9         x²=1,887         3.7		Central	16,663	40.0	1596	9.6	V=0.117	1862	11.2	V=0.065	1063	6.4	V=0.098
Han Minority 3260 522 3727 97	Ethnicity												
Minority         3260         7.8         333         10.2         P=0.357         392         12.0         P=0.351         225         6.9           Abnormal         31,599         75.9         2817         8.9         x²=15.594         3404         10.8         x²=72.564         1842         5.8           Normal         10,021         24.1         12.4         P<0.0001		Han	38,360	92.2	3727	9.7	$x^2 = 0.849$	4404	11.5	$x^2 = 0.872$	2495	6.5	$x^2 = 1.258$
Abnormal 31,599 75.9 2817 8.9 <b>x²=152,594</b> 3404 10.8 <b>x²=72,564</b> 1842 5.8  Normal 10,021 24.1 1243 124 P<0.0001 1392 139 P<0.001 878 88  Underweight 4608 11.1 454 9.9 x²=4,340 547 11.9 x²=2,2831 598 6.5  Normal 24,976 60.0 2436 9.8 P=0.827 2894 11.6 P=0.418 1670 6.7  Obesity 6433 15.5 640 9.9 x²=1,887 11.6 x²=1,206 1915 6.6  MPA 8294 19.9 785 9.5 P=0.889 944 11.4 P=0.547 5.2 8 6.4  WPA 10,03 648 31.0 1735 13.5 P<0.001 12.3 8.0 17.7 P<0.001 11.1 N=0.003 3.6  Fair Poor 1003 2.4 378 37.7 x²=1,368.043 17.7 P<0.001 11.1 N=0.003 3.6  Very good 5014 21.7 515 5.7 64 9.7 11.3 S12 5.7 64 5.7 11.3 S12 5.7 64 5.7 11.3 S12 5.3 5.3 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4		Minority	3260	7.8	333	10.2	P = 0.357	392	12.0	P = 0.351	225	6.9	P = 0.533
Abnormal         31,599         75.9         2817         8.9         x²=152.564         108         x²=72.564         1842         5.8           Normal         Normal         10021         24.1         1243         12.4         P < 0.001	/isrual acruity						V=0.005			V=0.005			V=0.018
Abnormal 31599 759 2817 89 <b>x=123.594</b> 108 <b>x=72.564</b> 1842 58  Normal 10,021 24,1 1243 124 P=0827  Underweight 4608 11.1 454 9.9 x²=4,340 547 11,9 x²=2,831 598 6.5  Normal 24,976 60.0 2436 9.9 x²=4,340 547 11,9 x²=2,831 598 6.5  Overweight 5563 13.4 526 9.5 V=0,005 606 10,9 V=0,008 342 6.1  Obesity LPA 520,30 6.98 2870 9.9 x²=1,887 3175 11,6 x²=1,206 1.915 6.6  MPA 8294 19,9 785 9.5 P=0,389 9.44 11,4 P=0,547 5.28 6.4  WPA 4296 10,3 78 37 x²=1,386 47 47,3 x²=2,588,888 308 30.7  Fair Good 13,608 32.7 968 7.1 V=0,181 11,21 82 V=0,233 6.36  Excellent 5109 12,3 464 9.7 87 6.9 7.9	200				!			!			!		
Normal light Aeon ligh		Abnormal	31,599	75.9	2817	6.8	x²=152.594	3404	10.8	x <sup>2</sup> = 72.564	1842	8.	x²=193.54
Underweight 4608 11.1 454 999		Normal	10,021	24.1	1243	12.4	V=0.001	1392	13.9	V=0.001 V=0.142	878	∞ ∞	V=0.166
Underweight         4608         11.1         454         9.9         x²=4.340         547         11.9         x²=2.831         298         6.5           Normal         24,976         600         2436         9.8         P=0.827         2894         11.6         P=0.418         1670         6.7           Overweight         5563         13.4         526         9.5         V=0.005         606         10.9         V=0.008         342         6.1           Obesity         6433         15.5         640         9.9         x²=1.887         375         11.6         x²=1.206         9.7         6.1           PA         29,030         698         2870         9.9         x²=1.887         3375         11.6         x²=1.206         1915         6.4           MPA         4296         10.3         4.5         9.4         V=0.007         4.7         11.1         V=0.005         277         6.4           Poor         1128         3.7         x²=1368.043         474         47.3         x²=2258.858         308         3.7         6.4           Poor         11.3         1.7         9.4         4.7         11.1         8.2         4.7         11.	SMIª												
Normal         24,976         60.0         2436         9.8         P=0.827         2894         11.6         P=0.418         1670         6.7           Overweight         5563         13.4         526         9.5         V=0.005         606         10.9         V=0.008         342         6.1           Obesity         6433         15.5         640         9.9         x²=1.887         375         11.6         x²=1.206         1915         6.3           LPA         29,030         69.8         2870         9.9         x²=1.887         375         11.6         x²=1.206         1915         6.4           MPA         4296         10.3         4.5         9.4         V=0.007         477         11.1         V=0.055         277         6.4           Poor         1003         2.4         37.8         3.7         x²=1368.043         474         47.3         x²=2258.858         308         30.7         6.4           Poor         11286         31.0         17.35         13.5         P<0.001		Underweight	4608	11.1	454	6.6	$x^2 = 4.340$	547	11.9	$x^2 = 2.831$	298	6.5	$x^2 = 7.753$
Overweight         5563         134         526         9.5         V=0.005         666         10.9         V=0.008         342         6.1           Obesity         Obesity         6433         15.5         640         9.9         x²=1.887         745         11.6         x²=1.206         1915         6.3           LPA         29,030         69.8         2870         9.9         x²=1.887         3375         11.6         x²=1.206         1915         6.6           MPA         8294         19.9         785         9.5         P=0.389         944         11.4         P=0.547         528         6.4           WPA         4296         10.3         4.5         9.4         V=0.007         477         11.1         V=0.005         277         6.4           Poor         1003         2.4         378         37.         x²=1368.043         474         47.3         x²=2258.858         308         30.7           Fair         12,886         31.0         1735         13.5         P<0.001		Normal	24,976	0.09	2436	8.6	P = 0.827	2894	11.6	P = 0.418	1670	6.7	P = 0.062
Obesity         6433         15.5         640         9.9         x²=1.887         375         11.6         x²=1.206         1915         6.3           LPA         29,030         69.8         2870         9.9         x²=1.887         3375         11.6         x²=1.206         1915         6.6           MPA         8294         19.9         785         9.5         P=0.389         944         11.4         P=0.547         528         6.4           VPA         4296         10.3         4.5         9.4         V=0.007         477         11.1         V=0.005         277         6.4           Poor         1003         2.4         378         37.         x²=1368.043         474         473         x²=2258.858         308         30.7           Fair         12,886         31.0         1735         13.5         P<0.001		Overweight	5563	13.4	526	9.5	V=0.005	909	10.9	V=0.008	342	6.1	V = 0.043
LPA         29,030         69.8         2870         9.9         x²=1,887         3375         11.6         x²=1,206         1915         6.6           MPA         8294         19,9         785         9,5         P=0.389         944         11,4         P=0.547         528         6,4           VPA         4296         10,3         4,5         9,4         V=0.007         477         11,1         V=0.005         277         6,4           Poor         1003         2,4         37,8         37,7         x²=1368.043         474         47,3         x²=2258.858         308         30,7           Fair         12,886         31,0         1735         13.5         P<0.001		Obesity	6433	15.5	640	6.6		745	11.6		407	6.3	
LPA         29,030         69.8         2870         9.9         x²=1.887         3375         11.6         x²=1.206         1915         6.6           MPA         8294         19.9         785         9.5         P=0.389         944         11.4         P=0.547         528         6.4           VPA         4296         10.3         4.5         9.4         V=0.007         477         11.1         V=0.005         57         6.4           Poor         100s         2.4         37.8         37.7         x²=1368.043         47.4         47.3         x²=2258.858         30.7         6.4           Fair         12,886         3.10         1735         13.5         P<0.001	hysical Activity												
MPA         8294         19,9         785         9,5         P=0.389         944         11,4         P=0.547         528         6,4           VPA         4296         10,3         4,5         9,4         V=0.007         477         11,1         V=0.005         277         6,4           Poor         1003         2,4         37,8         37,7         x²=1368.043         47,4         47,3         x²=2258.858         30,7         6,4           Fair         12,886         31,0         1735         13,5         P<0.001		LPA	29,030	8.69	2870	6.6	$x^2 = 1.887$	3375	11.6	$x^2 = 1.206$	1915	9.9	$x^2 = 3.121$
VPA         4296         10.3         4.5         9.4         V=0.007         477         11.1         V=0.005         277         6.4           Poor         1003         2.4         378         37.7         x²=1368.043         474         47.3         x²=2258.858         307         6.4           Fair         12,886         31.0         1735         13.5         P<0.001		MPA	8294	19.9	785	9.5	P = 0.389	944	11.4	P = 0.547	528	6.4	P = 0.523
Poor         1003         2.4         378         37.7         x²=1368.043         474         47.3         x²=2258.858         307         30.7           Fair         12,886         31.0         1735         13.5         P<0.001		VPA	4296	10.3	4.5	9.4	V=0.007	477	11.1	V=0.005	277	6.4	V=0.009
103 2.4 378 37.7 <b>x²=1368.043</b> 474 47.3 <b>x²=2258.858</b> 308 30.7 12,886 31.0 1735 13.5 $\rho$ <0001 2.17 515 5.7 5.9 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	self-rated Health												
12,886 31.0 1735 13.5 P<0.001 2287 17.7 P<0.001 1121 8.7 13.6 P<0.001 21.7 515 5.7 510 12.3 464 9.7 402 7.9 P<0.001 1121 8.2 V=0.233 638 4.7 1402 8.2 P<0.001 1121 8.3 P<0.001 1		Poor	1003	2.4	378	37.7	$x^2 = 1368.043$	474	47.3	$x^2 = 2258.858$	308	30.7	$x^2 = 2686.301$
13,608 32.7 968 7.1 <b>V=0.181</b> 1121 8.2 <b>V=0.233</b> 638 4.7 cod 00d 21.7 515 5.7 512 5.7 326 3.6 3.6 ant 5109 12.3 464 9.7 402 7.9 5.9 5.9 6.4		Fair	12,886	31.0	1735	13.5	P < 0.001	2287	17.7	P < 0.001	1121	8.7	P < 0.001
9014     21.7     515     5.7     326       5109     12.3     464     9.7     402     7.9     327		Good	13,608	32.7	896	7.1	V=0.181	1121	8.2	V=0.233	638	4.7	V=0.254
5109 12.3 464 9.7 402 7.9 327		Very good	9014	21.7	515	5.7		512	5.7		326	3.6	
		Excellent	5109	12.3	464	9.7		402	7.9		327	6.4	

Han et al. BMC Public Health (2025) 25:1189 Page 7 of 11

Statistical value  $x^2 = 8109.516$  $x^2 = 417.930$  $x^2 = 218.894$ V = 0.100V = 0.073V = 0.441P < 0.001 Comorbidity (2720, 6.5%) P < 0.001P < 0.00110.8 10.9 15.8 3.8 5.9 8.9 2155 9 1190 405 66 519 595 605 998 25 Statistical value V represents Cramer's V coefficient; andicates that the data is missing; b Fourth-year students include "fifth-year" students majoring in clinical medicine and other majors  $x^2 = 6600.326$  $x^2 = 160.910$  $x^2 = 339.821$ V = 0.090V = 0.398V = 0.062P < 0.001P < 0.001P < 0.001Anxiety (4796, 11.5%) 10.6 11.9 17.6 23.8 58.2 25.7 2455 368 1297 1277 592 300 854 Statistical value  $x^2 = 5102.034$  $x^2 = 316.456$  $x^2 = 183.091$ V = 0.350V = 0.087V = 0.066P < 0.001P < 0.001P < 0.001Depression (4060, 9.8%) 14.9 10.2 1090 2107 503 266 168 881 961 Overall (41620) 48.9 49.4 43.7 3.0 . . 20,572 18,192 15,653 5579 2196 3371 1263 Drinking without intoxication Drinking had been drunk Occasional smoked Never had a drink Regular smoking Never smoked Fairly good Fairly bad Very bad Table 2 (continued) Cigarette Smoking **Drinking** wine Variable

counterparts, with fourth-grade students exhibiting the highest rate (10.3%). In terms of school location, universities in the eastern region had the highest depression detection rate (10.5%). Students with normal vision had a higher prevalence of depression (12.4%) compared to those with poor vision (8.9%). Additionally, students who rated their health more positively had lower depression detection rates. Similarly, better sleep quality was associated with lower depression detection rates. Furthermore, students who reported smoking or alcohol consumption had higher depression detection rates compared to those who had never engaged in these behaviors.

The overall detection rate of anxiety symptoms among Chinese university students was 11.5%. The results showed significant differences in the visual acuity index (P < 0.001, V = 0.142), self-rated health (P < 0.001, V = 0.233), sleep quality (P < 0.001, V = 0.398), smoking behavior (P < 0.001, V = 0.090), and alcohol consumption (P < 0.001, V = 0.062) among university students, but no significant differences were observed in sociodemographic indicators or BMI classification. Specifically, similar to the detection of depression, the prevalence of anxiety was higher among students with normal vision (13.9%) compared to those with poor vision (10.8%). Additionally, students who rated their health more positively had lower anxiety detection rates. Better sleep quality was also associated with lower anxiety detection rates. Furthermore, students who reported smoking or alcohol consumption had higher anxiety detection rates compared to those who had never engaged in these behaviors.

The overall detection rate of comorbid symptoms of depression and anxiety among Chinese university students was 6.5%. The rate showed significant differences in the visual acuity index (P < 0.001, V = 0.166), self-rated health (P < 0.001, V = 0.254), sleep quality (P < 0.001,V = 0.441), smoking behavior (P < 0.001, V = 0.100), and alcohol consumption (P < 0.001, V = 0.073) among university students, but no significant differences were observed in sociodemographic indicators or BMI classification. Specifically, similar to the detection of depression, the comorbidity detection rate was higher among students with normal vision (8.8%) compared to those with poor vision (5.8%). Additionally, students who rated their health more positively had lower comorbidity detection rates. Better sleep quality was also associated with lower comorbidity detection rates. Furthermore, students who reported smoking or alcohol consumption had higher comorbidity detection rates compared to those who had never engaged in these behaviors.

This Table 3 analysis examines the associations between various independent variables and depression, anxiety, and comorbidities among Chinese university. For depression variables like grade (OR = 0.949, P = 0.009),

Han et al. BMC Public Health (2025) 25:1189 Page 8 of 11

Variable	Depressi	Depression (4060, 9.8%)	8%)				Anxiety (	Anxiety (4796, 11.5%)	(%				Comorbi	Comorbidity (2720, 6.5%)	9.5%)			
	8	Wald X <sup>2</sup> P		OR	%ID56	%ID56	В	Wald X <sup>2</sup>	Ь	OR	%ID56	%ID56	В	Wald X <sup>2</sup>	Ь	OR	%ID56	%ID56
					lower	upper					lower	upper					lower	upper
Gender	0.033	0.757	0.384	1.034	0.959	1.115	0.038	1.051	0.305	1.039	0.966	1.116	-0.086	3.408	0.065	0.918	0.838	1.005
Grade	-0.053	6.775	600.0	0.949	0.912	0.987	-0.031	2.555	0.110	0.969	0.933	1.007	0.052	4.483	0.034	1.053	1.004	1.105
Area	0.043	4.591	0.032	1.044	1.004	1.087	0.046	5.531	0.019	1.047	1.008	1.087	-0.050	4.211	0.040	0.951	906.0	0.998
Ethnicity	-0.037	0.331	0.565	0.964	0.850	1.093	-0.033	0.277	0.598	0.968	0.857	1.093	0.030	0.153	969.0	1.031	0.885	1.200
Visual acuity	-0.374	92.044	< 0.001	0.688	0.638	0.743	-0.340	79.743	< 0.001	0.712	0.661	0.767	0.422	81.891	< 0.001	1.525	1.392	1.671
BMI	-0.011	0.284	0.594	0.989	0.950	1.030	0.005	0.056	0.814	1.005	0.966	1.044	-0.023	0.860	0.354	0.977	0.930	1.026
Physical Activity	0.044	2.539	0.111 1.045		0.660	1.104	0.038	2.005	0.157	1.038	0.986	1.094	-0.039	1.335	0.248	0.962	0.900	1.027
Self-rated Health	0.154	72.495	<0.001 <b>1.167</b>	1.167	1.126	1.209	0.321	320.199	< 0.001	1.379	1.331	1.429	-0.093	18.402	< 0.001	0.911	0.873	0.951
Sleep Quality	-0.877	2165.012	< 0.001 <b>0.416</b>		0.401	0.432	-1.025	3089.845	< 0.001	0.359	0.346	0.372	1.114	2417.184	< 0.001	3.048	2.915	3.186
Cigarette Smoking	-0.323	83.099	< 0.001 <b>0.724</b>		0.675	0.776	-0.337	93.743	< 0.001	0.714	0.667	0.764	0.346	70.178	< 0.001	1.413	1.303	1.532
Drinking wine	-0.016	0.220	0.639 0.984	0.984	0.920	1.053	0.057	3.038	0.081	1.059	0.993	1.130	-0.022	0.283	0.595	0.978	0.901	1.061
Goodness of Fit	$R^2 = 0.088$	$R^2 = 0.088$ ; Hosmer-Lemesho ( $X^2 = 26.154$ ,	nesho (X²:	= 26.154	P = 0.187	(,	R2 = 0.124	$R2 = 0.124$ ; Hosmer-Lemesho ( $X^2 = 14.342$ , $P = 0.143$ )	mesho (X²	$^{2}$ = 14.342	P = 0.14	3)	$R^2 = 0.089$	$R^2 = 0.089$ ;Hosmer-Lemesho ( $X^2 = 11.744$ , $P = 0.163$ )	nesho (X	$^{2}$ = 11.744	P = 0.16	

area (OR = 1.044, P = 0.032), visual acuity (OR = 0.688, P < 0.001), self - rated health (OR = 1.167, P < 0.001), sleep quality (OR = 0.416, P < 0.001), and cigarette smoking (OR = 0.724, P < 0.001) show significant associations. For anxiety, self - rated health (OR = 1.379, P < 0.001) and sleep quality (OR = 0.359, P < 0.001) are strongly related. For comorbidity, visual acuity (OR = 1.525, P < 0.001), self - rated health (OR = 0.911, P < 0.001), sleep quality (OR = 3.048, P < 0.001), and cigarette smoking (OR = 1.413, P < 0.001) are significant. The goodness - of fit statistics (R2 and Hosmer - Lemesho test) suggest reasonable model fits for all three outcomes.

## **Discussion**

The results of this study showed that the detection rates of depression, anxiety, and depression and anxiety comorbidities among Chinese university students in 2023 were 9.8%, 115.5% and 6.5%, respectively. According to the data of higher education students in the 2022 National Statistical Communiqué on the Development of Education released by the Ministry of Education of China on July 5, 2023, the number of ordinary undergraduates, vocational undergraduates, and higher vocational (junior college) students was 36,594,100. According to the results of the present study, 3,586,200, 4,208,300 and 2,378,600 Chinese university students had depression, anxiety, and comorbidities, respectively. This finding indicates that the mental health problems of Chinese university students are serious, and further measures should be implemented to improve their mental health level.

In April 2023, the China's Ministry of Education and 17 other departments issued a notice on the issuance of the Special Action Plan for Comprehensively Strengthening and Improving Student Mental Health in the New Era (2023-2025), which emphasizes the need to comprehensively strengthen and improve students' mental health in the new era and improve their mental health literacy. The introduction of the policy will provide all-round support for intervening and improving the mental health of university students. The results of this study can provide data to be used by Chinese government officials to formulate intervention strategies in the future.

Older academics had a higher rate of depression, like previous studies [46-49]. Older students are more stressed than younger students in terms of academics, employment, and interpersonal changes, and a number of previous studies confirmed that the amount of stress and the way to cope with stress are significantly correlated with the degree of depression [50]. This finding suggests that managers and researchers from the psychological work of higher education students should pay attention to the mental health of senior students and to the timely alleviation of the pressure of university students due to employment and study. This study found Han et al. BMC Public Health (2025) 25:1189 Page 9 of 11

that the prevalence of depression among university students in the eastern region was higher than that in the central and western regions. Therefore, hypothesis H1 is supported. The possible reason is that the socio-economic level of eastern China is relatively developed, the pace of production and life is relatively fast, and the consumption level is relatively high. Socioeconomic level is an important factor that influences the degree of depression [20, 51], and low socioeconomic level is the main factor that predisposes depression. University students in the eastern region come from all over the country, and the socioeconomic level of the original family is uneven; a low socioeconomic level of the original family and a high consumption level of school location may lead to an increase in the degree of depression.

This study found that vision health factors were the common variable of depression, anxiety, and comorbidities among university students. Therefore, hypothesis H2 is supported. The detection rate of mental health problems in normal university students was high, which could be attributed to two reasons. First, students with myopia, especially high myopia, have relatively few external stimuli from vision, which allows them to maintain inner peace in some cases. Second, the other senses (such as hearing, touch, etc.) of these university students may be compensated to a certain extent; as such, these students pay more attention to their inner experience without being affected by external visual information. The detection rate of anxiety and depression symptoms in visually impaired university students was not significantly different from that of ordinary university students [52]. Adults with vision loss had a significantly increased risk of depression and anxiety [53]. Out of sight, out of mind? The causal relationship needs to be discussed in depth in further research.

The binomial logistic regression analysis reveals several significant associations between independent variables and mental health outcomes among Chinese university students in 2023. Notably, sleep quality emerges as a robust predictor across all three outcomes-depression, anxiety, and comorbidities—with OR of 0.416, 0.359, and 3.048, respectively. These findings align with recent studies emphasizing the bidirectional relationship between sleep disturbances and mental health disorders. For instance, a 2022 meta-analysis by Liu et al. demonstrated that poor sleep quality significantly increases the risk of depression and anxiety among university students, with ORs ranging from 1.5 to 2.8 [54]. The current study extends this evidence by highlighting the particularly strong association between sleep quality and comorbid conditions [55], suggesting that sleep interventions could be a critical component of mental health strategies in this population.

Another key finding is the significant role of self-rated health in predicting depression and anxiety. This aligns with recent research underscoring the importance of subjective health perceptions in mental health outcomes. A 2018 study by Hou et al. found that students with poorer self-rated health were twice as likely to report depressive symptoms compared to their peers with better self-rated health [56]. The current study further supports this by demonstrating that self-rated health is a stronger predictor of anxiety than depression [57], potentially reflecting the somatic concerns often associated with anxiety disorders. Additionally, the analysis highlights the protective effect of visual acuity against depression (OR = 0.688, P < 0.001) and its risk-enhancing role in comorbidities (OR = 1.525, P < 0.001), a finding that warrants further investigation given the limited literature on this association. Overall, these results underscore the multifactorial nature of mental health disorders and the need for comprehensive, targeted interventions addressing both physical and psychological well-being.

Considerable variations exist in the detection rates of depression, anxiety, and comorbidities. The detection rate of depression among Chinese university students is between 8-74% [13, 23, 58-60], and that of anxiety ranged from 5 to 40% [13, 23, 59, 60]. The detection rate of negative emotions varied among university students in different studies, which may be related to the cultural background of their study, the research paradigm adopted by the researchers, the differences in measurement tools, and the different sampling methods [59]. Overall, the present study shows that the mental health problems of Chinese university students are relatively serious. This finding is consistent with previous studies of a sample of Chinese university students [27, 61], suggesting the need for effective management of anxiety symptoms in the treatment of depressive disorders, and vice versa.

This study had accurate sampling and a large sample size but has certain limitations. First, only 4% of the students in this study are seniors, which may increase the probability of type I errors when performing statistical analysis based on grade level. Second, the detection judgment of depression and anxiety in university students only relied on recall self-report, which would limit the external validity of the research conclusions.

# **Conclusions**

Psychological health issues among Chinese university students are relatively severe, with higher grade students exhibiting particularly concerning signs. Further measures are needed to enhance the mental health levels of university students.

Han et al. BMC Public Health (2025) 25:1189 Page 10 of 11

#### **List of Abbreviations**

BMI Body-mass index

CES-D Center for epidemiological studies depression scale

COVID-19 Corona virus disease 2019
GAD-7 Generalized anxiety disorder
LPA Light intensity physical activity
MPA Moderate intensity physical activity
PSQI Pittsburgh sleep quality index

SF-36 The medical outcomes study 36-item short from health survey

VPA Vigorous intensity physical activity

# **Author contributions**

SSH, BL, WDZ and CYX contributed to the conceptualization and design of the study, interpretation of the data, and critical drafting and revising of the manuscript; BL, WDZ and SSH conducted the statistical analyses and contributed to the interpretation of the data and critical revision of the manuscript; YPY, YXL, SQM, SF, HL, ZLC, YSZ, YZ, QZ and GXW contributed to the interpretation of the data and critical revision of the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript, and agree with the order of presentation of the authors.

#### **Funding**

This work was supported by: 2024 General Project of Philosophy and Social Science Research in Jiangsu Universities (No: 2024SJYB1253), The name of the topic is "Research on the promotion of physical and mental health of college students based on the improvement of physical literacy"; The Jiangsu Provincial Education Science Planning Project (B/2022/01/173). The funding body had no input in the design of the study or writing the manuscript.

# Data availability

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be available from Bo Li (wangqiulibo@163.com) on reasonable requests.

## **Declarations**

# Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of Nantong University (No 70/2022). Informed consent was obtained from all participants involved in this study.

#### Consent for publication

No applicable.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

## **Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Sports Science, Nantong University, Nantong 226019, China

<sup>2</sup>School of Physical Education, Nanjing Xiaozhuang University,

Nanjing 211171, Jiangsu, China

<sup>3</sup>School of physical education, Jing-gang-shan University, Ji'an 343009, China

<sup>4</sup>Physical Education College, Shangqiu University, Shangqiu 476000. China

<sup>5</sup>Department of Physical Education, Xidian University, Xi'an 710126, Shaanxi, China

<sup>6</sup>College of Physical Education, Xinyang Normal University,

Xinyang 710126 (18697351516@163.com), Henan, China <sup>7</sup>Department of Physical Education, Ordos Institute of Applied

Technology, Ordos 017000, China

<sup>8</sup>Physical Education College of Shangqiu Normal University, Shangqiu 476000, China

<sup>9</sup>Institute of Sports and Health, Zhengzhou Shengda University, Zhengzhou 451191, China

<sup>10</sup>Department of Physical Education, Yangling Vocational and Technical College, Yangling 712100, Shaanxi, China

<sup>11</sup>College of Physical Education, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, China

<sup>12</sup>Sports department, Guangxi University of Chinese Medicine, Nanning 530022, China Received: 20 February 2024 / Accepted: 21 March 2025

Published online: 28 March 2025

#### References

- Collaborators GD. a. I. global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. Lancet. 2020;396:1204–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/s014 0-6736(20)30925-9
- Huang C. Multiple measures to promote students' mental health. Beijing: People's Daily; 2023. pp. 06–05.
- Herrman H, et al. Time for united action on depression: a Lancet-World psychiatric association commission. Lancet. 2022;399:957–1022. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(21)02141-3
- Carr A. Depression in young people: description, assessment and evidencebased treatment. Dev Neurorehabilitation. 2008;11:3–15. https://doi.org/10.1 080/17518420701536095
- Liu Z, He M, Wang N, Liu X. Chinese revised version of the generalized anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7) and patient health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9): A brief measurement of anxiety, depression levels and impairment. Chin J Clin Psychol. 2023;31:353–9.
- Shao RY, He P, Ling B, Tan L, Xu L, Hou YH, Kong LS, Yang YQ. Prevalence of depression and anxiety and correlations between depression, anxiety, family functioning, social support and coping styles among Chinese medical students. Bmc Psychol. 2020;8(1):19. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-020-004 02-8
- Cage E, Di Monaco J, Newell V. Experiences of autism acceptance and mental health in autistic adults. J Autism Dev Disord. 2018;48(2):473–84. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-017-3342-7
- Ridley M, Rao G, Schilbach F, Patel V. Poverty, depression, and anxiety: causal evidence and mechanisms. Science. 2020;370(6522):1289. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.aav0214
- Vos LMW, Habibovic M, Nyklícek I, Smeets T, Mertens G. Optimism, mindfulness, and resilience as potential protective factors for the mental health consequences of fear of the coronavirus. Psychiatry Res. 2021;300:8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113927
- Santomauro DF, Mantilla Herrera AM, Shadid J, Zheng P, Ashbaugh C, Pigott DM, Abbafati C, Adolph C, Amlag JO, Aravkin AY, et al. Global prevalence and burden of depressive and anxiety disorders in 204 countries and territories in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet. 2021;398(10312):1700–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113927
- Fu S, Zhai S. Tracking study on anxiety and sleep disturbance among college students: A case study of universities in Jiangsu Province. Chin J Clin Psychol. 2023;31:1253–6. https://doi.org/10.16128/j.cnki.1005-3611.2023.05.045
- Fu Z, Zhou S, Burger H, Bockting CLH, Williams AD. Psychological interventions for depression in Chinese university students: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord. 2020;262:440–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad. 2019.11.058
- 13. Yu G. Detection rate of mental health issues among Chinese students and its educational implications. Tsinghua J Educ. 2022;43:20–32.
- Beiter R, Nash R, McCrady M, Rhoades D, Linscomb M, Clarahan M, Sammut S. The prevalence and correlates of depression, anxiety, and stress in a sample of college students. J Affect Disord. 2015;173:90–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.j ad.2014.10.054
- Leary E, Zachary I, Kyeong NY. Regional differences in serious psychological distress and overall physical and mental health. Community Ment Health J. 2022;58(4):770–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10597-021-00882-x
- Demmin DL, Silverstein SM. Visual impairment and mental health: unmet needs and treatment options. Clinical ophthalmology (Auckland, NZ) 2020, 14:4229–51. https://doi.org/10.2147/OPTH.S258783
- Sharma N, Chu J, Shaia JK, Singh R, Talcott K. Characterization of the prevalence of visual impairment, ocular comorbidities, and mental health conditions in the united States. Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2023;64(8):3.
- Liu R, Peng W, Zheng M, Cheng S, Hu M. Prevalence and epidemiological characteristics of depression and anxiety among middle school students in Hunan Province. Chin J Clin Psychol. 2023;31:816–20. https://doi.org/10.1612 8/j.cnki.1005-3611.2023.04.010
- 19. Huang J, Liu Q. Social exclusion during the pandemic and smartphone addiction among university students in Wuhan: the mediating role of relative deprivation and depression. Chin J Clin Psychol. 2021;29:1327–32.

Han et al. BMC Public Health (2025) 25:1189 Page 11 of 11

- Liu H, Yang Q, Yu Z, Ye B, Huang D. The impact of subjective socioeconomic status on depression: chain mediated moderation analysis. Chin J Clin Psychol. 2023;31:1122–5.
- Han SS, Han YH, Tong WX, Wang GX, Ke YZ, Meng SQ, Guo Q, Cui ZL, Zhang JY, Ye YP, et al. Chinese college students COVID-19 phobia and negative moods: moderating effects of physical exercise behavior. Front Public Health. 2022;10:1046326. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1046326
- Han SS, Li B, Ke YZ, Wang GX, Meng SQ, Li YX, Cui ZL, Tong WX. Chinese College Students' Physical-Exercise Behavior, Negative Emotions, and Their Correlation during the COVID-19 Outbreak. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(16). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191610344
- Yu G, Huang X. A comparative study on the detection rates of students' mental health issues: Evidence from meta-analysis. Educational Res, 2023;44(06):105–21.
- Zhu H, Feng K, Wang Y. Research on depression, anxiety, and stress among college students based on a sampling survey. J Baotou Med Coll. 2023;39:69– 75. https://doi.org/10.16833/j.cnki.jbmc.2023.10.014
- Wang Z, Yang H. Differential symptom network of internet gaming addiction, anxiety, and depression among college students of different genders. Psychol Sci. 2023;46:999–1007. https://doi.org/10.16719/j.cnki.1671-6981.202 304029
- 26. Sun W, Wang X, Yu M, Zhao Q, Zhou X. The mediating role of social support in the relationship between college students' level of participation in physical exercise and depressive symptoms. Chin J Health Stat. 2023;40:421–4.
- Lu J, Xu X, Huang Y, Li T, Ma C, Xu G, Yin H, Xu X, Ma Y, Wang L, et al. Prevalence of depressive disorders and treatment in China: a cross-sectional epidemiological study. Lancet Psychiatry. 2021;8(11):981–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00251-0
- Luo D, et al. Study on the relationship between insufficient sleep and physical exercise among Chinese Han students aged 9–18 in 2014. Chin J Epidemiol. 2018;39:1298–320.
- Fu X, Zhang K, Chen X. Blue book of mental health: report on the development of Chinese National mental health (2019–2020). Beijing, China: Social Science Literature Publishing House; 2021.
- Zhang J, et al. Establishment of National urban norms for the depression scale of the Chinese National survey on mental health. Chin J Mental Health. 2010;24:139–43
- He J, et al. Development of the Chinese abbreviated version of the depression scale of the Chinese National survey on mental health. Chin J Behav Med Brain Sci. 2023;22:1133–6. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.1674-6554. 2013.12.023
- 32. Yuan J, Chen J. Validity study of the depression Self-rating scale in community application. Shanghai Archives Psychiatry. 1998;10:150–1.
- 33. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166(10):1092–7. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092
- Fu W, Yan S, Zong Q, Anderson-Luxford D, Song X, Lv Z, Lv C. Mental health of college students during the COVID-19 epidemic in China. J Affect Disord. 2021;280(Pt A):7–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.11.032
- Feinstein C, Eliez S, Blasey C, Reiss ÄL. Psychiatric disorders and behavioral problems in children with velocardiofacial syndrome: usefulness as phenotypic indicators of schizophrenia risk. Biol Psychiatry. 2002;51(4):312–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-3223(01)01231-8
- Wang K. Study on the regularity of traditional Chinese medicine syndrome patterns in comorbidity of anxiety and depression based on association rules. Beijing University of Chinese Medicine; 2017.
- Malischnig D, Baumgartner C, Schaub MP. CANreduce 2.0: reduction of cannabis use taking into account mental comorbidities such as generalized anxiety Disorder Two birds with one stone?? Suchttherapie 2020, 21(04):194–204. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1257-9681
- Stewart M. The medical outcomes study 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). Aust J Physiother. 2007;53(3):208.
- 39. Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China. National Student Physical Health Standards (Revised in 2014) [R], 2014-07-07.
- Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF 3rd, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh sleep quality index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res. 1989;28(2):193–213.

- 41. Lu TY, Li Y, Xia P, Zhang GQ, Wu DR. Reliability and validity analysis of the Pittsburgh sleep quality index. Chongqing Med. 2014;43(3):260–3.
- 42. Qu NN, Li KJ. Reliability and validity of the Chinese version of the international physical activity questionnaire. Chin J Epidemiol. 2004;25(3):265–8.
- Fan MY, Lyu J, He PP. Calculation method of physical activity levels in the international physical activity questionnaire. Chin J Epidemiol. 2014;35(8):961–4.
- Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System. (YRBSS) [https://www.cdc.gov/yrbs/index.html]
- McHugh ML. The chi-square test of independence. Biochem Med (Zagreb). 2013;23(2):143–9.
- Islam S, Akter R, Sikder T, Griffiths MD. Prevalence and factors associated with depression and anxiety among First-Year university students in Bangladesh: A Cross-Sectional study. Int J Mental Health Addict. 2022;20(3):1289–302.
- Piscoya-Tenorio JL, Heredia-Rioja WV, Morocho-Alburqueque N, Zeña-Ñañez S, Hernández-Yépez PJ, Díaz-Vélez C, Failoc-Rojas VE, Valladares-Garrido MJ. Prevalence and factors associated with anxiety and depression in Peruvian medical students. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2023;20(4).
- Abdel Wahed WY, Hassan SK. Prevalence and associated factors of stress, anxiety and depression among medical Fayoum university students. Alexandria J Med. 2017;53(1):77–84.
- 49. Gao D, Zhang H. Analysis of anxiety and depression among college students in a certain university. Med Res Educ. 2019;36:41–6.
- Anastasiades MH, Kapoor S, Wootten J, Lamis DA. Perceived stress, depressive symptoms, and suicidal ideation in undergraduate women with varying levels of mindfulness. Arch Women Ment Health. 2017;20(1):129–38.
- Zhang Y, Zhang J, Li H, Jiang Y. The relationship between socioeconomic status and depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Adv Psychol Sci. 2022;30:2650–65.
- Cao T, Si P, Qu C, Cao G, Che X. Anxiety and depression symptoms and related factors among college students with hearing impairments and visual impairments. Chin J Mental Health. 2022;36:533–8.
- Pardhan S, Smith L, Bourne R, Davis A, Leveziel N, Jacob L, Koyanagi A, López-Sánchez GF. Combined vision and hearing difficulties results in higher levels of depression and chronic anxiety: data from a large sample of Spanish adults. Front Psychol. 2020;11:627980.
- Liu J, Magielski J, Glenn A, Raine A. The bidirectional relationship between sleep and externalizing behavior: A systematic review. Sleep Epidemiol. 2022;2:100039.
- Li W, Yin J, Cai X, Cheng X, Wang Y. Association between sleep duration and quality and depressive symptoms among university students: A cross-sectional study. PLoS ONE. 2020;15(9):e0238811.
- Hou HF, Feng X, Li YJ, Meng ZX, Guo DM, Wang F, Guo Z, Zheng YL, Peng ZQ, Zhang WX, et al. Suboptimal health status and psychological symptoms among Chinese college students: a perspective of predictive, preventive and personalised health. EPMA J. 2018;9(4):367–77.
- Mu FZ, Liu J, Lou H, Zhu WD, Wang ZC, Li B. Influence of physical exercise on negative emotions in college students: chain mediating role of sleep quality and self-rated health. Front Public Health. 2024;12:1402801.
- Wang M, et al. Meta-analysis of the prevalence of depression among Chinese college students in the past decade. J Hainan Med Univ. 2020;26:686–93.
- Qu Y, et al. The association between smartphone dependency and comorbid symptoms of anxiety and depression among college students. Chin J School Health. 2021;42:1842–6.
- Chen H. The relationship between alexithymia and anxiety, depression: the mediating role of boredom proneness. Chin J Clin Psychol. 2016;24:648–51.
- 61. Yuan W, Jiang Z, Liu Y, Chen Z, Chu X, Song Y. Comprehensively strengthen and improve students' mental health system in the new era. China CDC Wkly. 2024;6(29):719–22.

#### Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.