



Analysis of Intestinal Microflora and Metabolites From Mice With DSS-Induced IBD Treated With Schistosoma Soluble Egg Antigen

Tianyu Zhu^{1,2}, Qingkai Xue^{1,2}, Yiyun Liu^{1,2}, Yongliang Xu^{1,2}, Chunrong Xiong^{1,2}, Jin Lu^{1,2}, Haitao Yang^{1,2}, Quan Zhang^{3,4} and Yuzheng Huang^{1,2}*

¹National Health Commission Key Laboratory of Parasitic Disease Control and Prevention, Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory on Parasite and Vector Control Technology, Jiangsu Institute of Parasitic Diseases, Wuxi, China, ²Center for Global Health, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, ³Institute of Comparative Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, China, ⁴Jiangsu Co-innovation Center for Prevention and Control of Important Animal Infectious Diseases and Zoonoses, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Gaoqian Feng, Burnet Institute, Australia

Reviewed by:

Hong You, The University of Queensland, Australia Si-Yang Huang, Yangzhou University, China

> *Correspondence: Yuzheng Huang yzhyz01@163.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Molecular and Cellular Pathology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology

Received: 15 September 2021 Accepted: 25 October 2021 Published: 09 November 2021

Citation:

Zhu T, Xue Q, Liu Y, Xu Y, Xiong C, Lu J, Yang H, Zhang Q and Huang Y (2021) Analysis of Intestinal Microflora and Metabolites From Mice With DSS-Induced IBD Treated With Schistosoma Soluble Egg Antigen. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 9:777218. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.777218 **Objective:** This study aimed to analyze the changes in intestinal flora and metabolites in the intestinal contents of mice with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) to preliminarily clarify the mechanism of action of *Schistosoma* soluble egg antigen (SEA) on IBD, thus, laying a research foundation for the subsequent treatment of IBD.

Methods: A total of 40 Institute of Cancer Research (ICR) mice were divided into four groups: control, SEA 50 µg, dextran sulfate sodium salt (DSS), and SEA 50 µg + DSS. The overall state of the animals was observed continuously during modeling. The colonic length was measured after 10 days of modeling. The degree of colonic inflammation was observed hematoxylin and eosin staining. 16srRNA and liauid bv chromatography-mass spectrometry sequencing techniques were used to determine the abundance of bacteria and metabolites in the intestinal contents of mice in the DSS and SEA 50 µg + DSS groups, and the differences were further analyzed.

Results: After SEA intervention, the disease activity index score of mice with IBD decreased and the colon shortening was reduced. Microscopically, the lymphocyte aggregation, glandular atrophy, goblet cell disappearance, and colonic inflammation were less in the SEA 50 μ g + DSS group than in the DSS group (p < 0.0001). After SEA intervention, the abundance of beneficial bacteria prevotellaceae UCG-001 was upregulated, while the abundance of the harmful bacteria Helicobacter, Lachnoclostridium, and Enterococcus was downregulated in the intestinal tract of mice with IBD. The intestinal metabolite analysis showed that SEA intervention decreased the intestinal contents of glycerophospholipids (lysophosphatidylcholine, lysophosphatidylethanolamine, phatidylcholine, and phatidylethanolamine) and carboxylic acids (L-alloisoleucine and L-glutamate), whereas increased bile acids and their derivatives (3B,7A,12a-trihydroxy-5A-cholanoic acid and 3A,4B, 12a-trihydroxy-5bcholanoic acid). Combined microbiota-metabolite analysis revealed a correlation between these differential microbiota and differential metabolites. At the same time, the changes in the contents of metabolites and differential metabolites in the two groups also correlated with the abundance of the gut microbiome.

Conclusions: The study showed that SEA reduced DSS-induced inflammation in IBD and improved the symptoms of IBD in mice through the combined regulation of intestinal flora and intestinal metabolism. It suggested a potential possibility for the use of SEA in treating and regulating intestinal flora and metabolism in patients with IBD.

Keywords: flora, inflammatory bowel disease, metabolism, schistosoma soluble egg antigen, dextran sulfate sodium salt (DXT)

INTRODUCTION

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic, recurrent inflammatory bowel disease characterized by abdominal pain, diarrhea, rectal bleeding, and weight loss (Kaplan, 2015). In recent decades, the incidence of IBD has increased globally, and to date, it has affected millions of people and caused huge economic losses (Ben-Ami Shor et al., 2013). Currently, IBD therapy relies on frequent high doses of 5-aminosalicylic acid, corticosteroids, immunomodulators, and anti-tumor necrosis factor-α monoclonal antibodies (Damião et al., 2019; Jeong et al., 2019). However, these therapies are effective only in the early stage of IBD and relieve only the inflammatory symptoms of IBD, often with certain side effects and limitations, including immunosuppression, drug resistance, and huge costs (Mao and Hu, 2016). These drawbacks pose challenges to the treatment of IBD.

Although the pathogenesis of IBD remains to be further explored, most studies believe that IBD is related to immune imbalance (Nanini et al., 2018; Neurath, 2019; Mitsialis et al., 2020). As a common chronic parasitic worm (Huang et al., 2016a; Huang et al., 2016b; Deol et al., 2019), a schistosome develops a variety of mechanisms to manipulate the adaptive immune system of the host while infecting the host (Huang et al., 2016a; Huang et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2020a; Huang et al., 2020b; Buck et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020). Studies have shown that the host immune system gradually shifts from its own invasive T helper 1 (Th1) cell response to antiinflammatory Th2 cell response 4-6 weeks after cercariae penetrated the skin of the host (Dunne and Cooke, 2005). In recent years, the use of Schistosoma soluble egg antigen (SEA) or its derivatives for autoimmune diseases is not uncommon (Driss et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020). It has been suggested that SEA can reduce the intestinal inflammatory symptoms of IBD and reduce the susceptibility to colitis (Floudas et al., 2019; Cleenewerk et al., 2020). However, the specific mechanism of action needs further exploration.

A growing body of evidence highlights the complexity, importance, and interactions between symbiotic bacteria and the host immune system in health and disease (Goto et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2020). IBD is closely associated with changes in intestinal microbiota diversity and the disruption of the balance between symbiotic microbiota and potentially pathogenic microbiota components (Ni et al., 2017). Metabolites act as a bridge between the intestinal microbiome and the host (Dong et al., 2019; Lavelle and Sokol, 2020). Metabolites are small molecules produced as intermediates or end products of microbial metabolism, which transmit signals of intestinal microorganisms and affect immune maturation, immune homeostasis, host energy metabolism, and maintenance of mucosal integrity (Wilson and Nicholson, 2017). In addition, studies have shown that the metabolic profile of patients with IBD is different from that of normal people (Franzosa et al., 2019). Specific types of metabolites, especially bile acids, short-chain fatty acids, and tryptophan metabolites, are associated with the pathogenesis of IBD (Lavelle and Sokol, 2020). Therefore, this study preliminarily explored the mechanism of action of SEA on IBD based on the changes in intestinal flora and metabolites of the intestinal contents of mice with IBD and laid a research foundation for the subsequent clinical application of SEA and the treatment of IBD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Experimental Animals

A total of 40 female ICR mice (age 6 weeks, weighing 26.22 ± 1.12 g) were purchased from SiPeiFe (Beijing) Biotechnology Co., Ltd (License Number: SCXK (Beijing) 2019–0,010, Quality Certificate Number: No: 110,324,201,104,129,683, Ethical review number: JIPD—2020–009).

Preparation of SEA

Schistosoma japonicum (Jiangsu strain) was preserved by the Jiangsu Institute of Parasitic Diseases, and the cercariae were escaped from infected Oncomelania snail in our laboratory and collected for animal experiments. New Zealand rabbits were infected with 1,500 cercariae each, and the *Schistosoma* eggs were collected from the liver and mesenteric venous plexus after 42 days of raising in the laboratory. Eggs were then mixed with 0.9% sodium chloride solution and ground for 20–30 min. After grinding, the mixture was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min, and the supernatant was collected. The process was repeated three times, and the supernatant was filtered with a 0.22-µm pore size filter membrane. The concentration of crude protein was determined using the NanoDrop absorbance value and stored at -80° C for later use.

Methods

Animal

A total of 40 mice were divided into four groups: SEA 50 μ g (S), dextran sulfate sodium salt (DSS) (D), SEA50 μ g + DSS (S + D), and control (C).

On day 0, the mice in the D and S + D groups were given 3% DSS (Sigma, lot#BCCD1174, Denmark) instead of pure water. On day 0 of DSS modeling, each mouse in the S and S + D groups was intraperitoneally injected with one dose of 50 μ g SEA.The mice were euthanized after 10 days.

Collection of Intestinal Contents

For model making, the colon of each mouse was taken out after 10-days execution. The colonic contents were collected and placed in 1.5-ml cryogenic vials. The intestinal contents were first placed under liquid nitrogen for 10 min, immediately transferred to -80° C for subsequent detection.

Intestinal Histology

The length of the colon was measured, and a 1-cm sample from the distal colon was fixed in a 10% neutral buffer formalin. After fixation, the samples were fixed in paraffin, sectioned (5- μ m thick), and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE). The histopathological changes were observed and recorded under a microscope.

The histological score of the colon was determined in a blinded manner. Cell infiltration: score 0, occasional inflammatory cells in the lamina propria (LP); 1, increased lymphocyte infiltration mainly at the base of the crypt; 2, inflammatory infiltration extending to the confluence of the mucosa; and 3, infiltration and extension through the wall. Tissue injury: score 0, no mucosal injury; 1, some areas (up to 50%) of crypts lost; 2, large-area crypt partial or total loss of 50–100%, and epithelial integrity; and 3, complete loss of large-area crypts and loss of epithelium.

Disease Activity Index Score

The disease activity index (DAI) of mice was calculated on 0, 3, 5, 7, and 10 days after modeling.

DAI was calculated for each mouse based on three parameters (body weight, stool shape, and stool bleeding), with a score of 1–4 for each parameter and a maximum cumulative DAI score of 12. The score was assigned as follows: 0, no weight loss, normal stool, and no blood; 1, weight loss 1%–3%; 2, weight loss 3–6%, stool thinning, and occult blood positive; 3, weight loss 6–9%; and 4, >9% weight loss, diarrhea, and overt bleeding.

Intestinal Flora Detection

Intestinal flora was detected using 16srRNA technology by specific methods as follows.

Bacterial DNA was isolated from the intestinal contents using MagPure Soil DNA LQ Kit (Magen, Guangdong, China), and the concentration of DNA was detected using agarose gel electrophoresis and a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, United States). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of the V3-V4 hypervariable regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was carried out in a 25 µl reaction using universal primer pairs

(343F: 5'-TACGGRAGGCAGCAG-3'; 798R: 5'-AGGGTA TCTAATCCT-3').

The PCR products were purified with Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter Co., United States). After purification, the PCR products were used as a template for second-round PCR amplification. The Amplicon quality was visualized using gel electrophoresis and quantified using a Qubit dsDNA assay kit.

The concentrations were then adjusted for sequencing. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina NovaSeq6000 system with two paired-end read cycles of 250 bases each (Illumina Inc., CA, United States; OE Biotech Company; Shanghai, China).

Vsearch software was used after the sequencing data were preprocessed to generate high-quality sequences. The sequences were grouped into multiple operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on their similarity. The parameters for sequence similarity greater than or equal to 97% were classified as an OTU. QIIME software package (version 1.8.0) was used to select the representative sequences of each OTU, and all representative sequences were compared with the database for annotation. All representative reads were annotated and blasted against the SILVA database (v123) using the RDP classifier (v2.2). Alphaand beta-diversity indexes were calculated using QIIME as previously described. To visualize diversity, we employed QIIME software to calculate estimators for each sample including the Shannon index, Chao1 index, Simpson's Diversity Index, and Observed Species. In addition, *β* diversity between the communities was assessed by weighted UniFrac for principal coordinate analysis (PCoA).

Comparison of OTUs and taxonomy abundances was calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis test or Mann-Whitney analysis. Following statistical analyses with multiple p comparisons, values were corrected using the Benjamini-Hochberg method to control the false discovery rate (FDR). The resultant p values were FDR corrected with a significance threshold of 5%. Furthermore, the linear discriminant analysis (LDA) and the LDA effect size (LEfSe) measurements were used to find unique bacterial taxa among different groups LDA >2, FDR-p < 0.05 and *p* values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Intestinal Metabolite Analysis

Intestinal metabolites were detected by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) sequencing, and the specific methods were as follows.

For sample pretreatment, 60 mg of frozen intestinal contents were removed, put into a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube, and mixed with 20 μ l of internal standard (L-2-chlorophenylalanine, 0.3 mg/ml; methanol) and 600 μ l of methanol–water (v:v = 4:1). Then, two small steel balls were added, placed in the refrigerator at –20°C for 5 min, and then ground in a grinder (60 Hz, 2 min). The mixture was subjected to ultrasonic extraction in an ice water bath for 10 min, allowed to stand for at –20°C for 30 min, and centrifuged for 10 min (13,000 rpm, 4°C). Then, 200 μ l of the supernatant was put into an LC-MS vial and dried. The supernatant was mixed with 300 μ l of methanol–water (v:v = 1:4) (eddy 30 s, ultrasonic 3 min), kept at –20°C for 2 h, and centrifuged for 10 min



(13,000 rpm, 4°C). Subsequently, 150 μ L of the supernatant was extracted with a syringe, filtered with a 0.22- μ m organic-phase pinhole filter, transferred to an LC vial, and stored at –80°C until LC-MS analysis.

Quality control samples (QC) were prepared by mixing the extract of all samples in equal volume. The volume of QC was the same as that of samples, and LC-MS full-scan detection was performed. Data processing software Progenesis QI V2.3 was used to carry out qualitative and relative quantitative analyses of the original data, and the original data were preprocessed in a standardized way. The analytical instrument was a Dionex U3000 UHPLC (ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography system) in series with a QE Plus high-resolution mass spectrometer.

Statistical Analysis

SPSS 19.0 software was used to process the data. Analysis of variance was used for multi-group statistical analysis, and Dunnett's multiple comparison was used to compare the differences between the groups. The *t* test was used for comparison between the two groups. A *p* value <0.05 indicates a statistically significant difference (**p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001, *****p* < 0.0001).

Analysis of microbiome and metabolome: Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated for microbiome and metabolome data integration. Based on the differential microorganism and metabolite expression, Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated by R; then, cluster analysis heat maps were drawn. The relationships between microorganisms and metabolites were





visualized and interpreted using Cytoscape (version 3.4.0) with MetScape plug-in (version 3.1.3).

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Changes in Disease Activity Index in Mice With Dextran Sulfate Sodium Salt-Induced Bowel Disease After SEA Intervention

After DSS administration, the mice in the model group (D group) lost weight over time, while the weight in the other three

groups showed an upward trend without significant differences. On the 10th day of administration, the average weight in the D group reduced by 2.33 g and was significantly lower than that in the other three groups (p < 0.001) (Figures 1A,B). Comprehensive DAI evaluation showed that the DAI score in the D and S + D groups increased with time, the mice lost weight, and diarrhea and fecal bleeding were increasingly aggravated. However, the increase in the DAI score in the S + D group slowed down, with a statistically significant difference compared with the D group (p < 0.0001) (Figures 1C,D), indicating that the intervention of SEA alleviated the progressive aggravation of enteritis in the D group.



FIGURE 3 | Intestinal microflora of mice with DSS-induced IBD mice treated with SEA. (**A**) Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of intestinal microflora in the DSS and SEA 50 µg + DSS groups (C50 group). Red represents DSS group, blue represents SEA 50 µg + DSS group, and each icon represents a group of samples. (**B**) DSS and SEA 50 µg + DSS group showed significantly differences after *t* test analysis. Comparative heat map (at the genus level). Each column represents a sample, and orange represents the SEA 50 µg + DSS group. (**C**) Comparison of the abundance of the first 10 species in the DSS and SEA 50 µg + DSS group (at the genus level) (**D**) Comparison of the abundance of intestinal *Helicobacter, Lachnoclostridium, Enterococcus,* Prevotellaceae_*UCG-001* in the DSS and SEA 50 µg + DSS group (at the genus level).



FIGURE 4 SEA altered the contents of DSS-induced intestinal metabolites in mice with IBD. (A) 2D principal component analysis (PCA) of the contents of intestinal metabolites in the DSS and SEA 50 μ g + DSS groups. Yellow represents the DSS group, and blue represents the SEA 50 μ g + DSS group. Each icon represents a set of samples. (B) Heat maps of the top 50 differential metabolites of intestinal contents in the DSS and SEA 50 μ g + DSS groups. The left blue bar represents the DSS group, and the right side represents the SEA 50 μ g + DSS group. Each small square in the figure corresponds to a mass error. The red square represents a positive mass error, while the blue square represents a negative mass error. The darker the color, the greater the value.

Effects of SEA on Dextran Sulfate Sodium Salt-Induced Induced Bowel Disease in Mice

The results of colon status in the four groups showed that mucosal bleeding and fecal deformity occurred in the colon, and the colon length was significantly shortened in the D group compared with the other three groups (p < 0.001) (Figures 2A,B). After SEA intervention, the colon shortening was reduced (p < 0.001) (Figure 2B). Microscopically, lymphocyte aggregation, glandular atrophy, and goblet cell disappearance were observed in the colon of mice in the D group, indicating that the intake of DSS led to intestinal inflammation in mice and the development of significant colitis. After SEA intervention, the colon tissue of mice had less lymphocyte aggregation, glandular atrophy, goblet cell disappearance (p < 0.0001), and colonic inflammation (Figures 2C,D).

Changes in the Intestinal Microflora in Mice With Dextran Sulfate Sodium Salt-Induced Induced Bowel Disease Treated With SEA Intervention

This study further analyzed the changes in intestinal microflora in the S + D and D groups to explore the protective mechanism of SEA on DSS-induced IBD. PCoA (**Figure 3A**) showed that the samples between the two groups were concentrated and no overlap occurred between the two groups, indicating that the samples of each group were well represented and comparable.

Subsequently, we selected the top 10 differential microflora in the *t* test analysis (Figure 3B). Four kinds of bacteria were found to be associated with enteritis, including Helicobacter (p < 0.05), Lachnoclostridium (p < 0.01), Prevotellaceae_UCG-001 (p < 0.01), and Enterococcus (p < 0.01) (Figure 3C). The abundance of harmful bacteria, such as Helicobacter, Lachnoclostridium, and Enterococcus, was downregulated (Castaño-Rodríguez et al., 2017; Ben Braïek and Smaoui, 2019; Cao et al., 2020a; Cao et al., 2020b; Liang et al., 2020), while the abundance of beneficial bacteria, such as Prevotellaceae_UCG-001 (Cignarella et al., 2018), was upregulated in the S + D group compared with the D group (Figure 3D). These results suggested that the changes in SEAinduced microflora might be a mechanism of action of SEA to protect intestinal inflammation; the intestinal microflora of mice with DSS-induced IBD was improved by upregulating the abundance of beneficial bacteria and downregulating the abundance of harmful bacteria.

Changes in Intestinal Content Metabolism in Mice With Acute DSS-Induced Dextran Sulfate Sodium Salt Treated With SEA Intervention

According to 2D PCA (**Figure 4A**) of metabolites in the S + D and D groups, the samples in each group were concentrated and no

overlap occurred between the groups, indicating good representativeness and comparability between the two groups.

The analysis of the top 50 metabolites (**Figure 4B**) between the two groups showed that glycerophospholipids [lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC), lysophosphatidylethanolamine (LPE), phatidylcholine (PC), and phatidylethanolamine (PE)] and carboxylic acids (L-alloisoleucine and L-glutamate) were downregulated, and those of bile acids and their derivatives (3B,7A,12a-trihydroxy-5A-cholanoic acid and 3A,4B, 12atrihydroxy-5b-cholanoic acid) were upregulated in the intestinal content of mice with IBD after SEA intervention. These differences in metabolites might be related to the inflammatory protection mechanism of SEA in mice with IBD.

Association Between Intestinal Content Metabolism and Metabolic Flora Changes in Mice

A correlation between differential flora and differential metabolites was observed (**Figure 5A**), especially among the differential flora and differential metabolites related to enteritis (**Figure 5A**). Among these, the abundance of harmful bacteria *Helicobacter, Lachnoclostridium,* and *Enterococcus* positively correlated with the contents of glycerophospholipids, carboxylic acids, and their derivatives, and negatively correlated with the contents of bile acids and their derivatives. In contrast, the abundance of beneficial bacteria Prevotellaceae_UCG-001 followed the opposite pattern (**Figure 5B**). This was also validated by the metabolic analysis results of the two groups.

Therefore, SEA may have a regulatory effect on intestinal flora and intestinal metabolites in mice with DSS-induced IBD, which is mainly manifested by improving the composition of intestinal flora, affecting the abundance of microbiota-related intestinal metabolites, and thus exerting a protective effect on DSSinduced IBD.

DISCUSSION

Parasitic worms can regulate the immune response and change the intestinal flora structure of the host, which has potential application prospects in treating autoimmune diseases (Bach, 2018; Castro Rocha et al., 2020).

In this study, the intraperitoneal injection of *Schistosoma japonicum* SEA was used to observe the efficacy of SEA against DSS-induced IBD. The results after SEA intervention showed that the body weight, DAI score, colon length, histological score of HE, and other indicators of the severity of enteritis were improved. These results indicated that the intestinal inflammation caused by DSS reduced after SEA intervention. IBD is closely related to the change in intestinal microbiota and the disruption of the balance between symbiotic microbiota (Weingarden and Vaughn, 2017). Many studies on IBD have described how changes in the composition and function of the microbiome are critical to the organisms (Larabi et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021). The gut



FIGURE 5 [The contents of intestinal metabolites in mice correlated with the abundance of microflora. (A) Heat map of the first 20 different microflora and the first 20 different metabolites in the DSS and SEA 50 μ g + DSS groups. The horizontal axis represents the differential metabolites, and the vertical axis represents the differential flora. Each small square represents a Pearson correlation coefficient, indicating the correlation between the corresponding metabolite and the bacterial community. The value is between – 1 and 1. The closer the value to 0, the lower the correlation, and blue a negative correlation, and blue a negative correlation diagram of the first 20 different microflora and the first 20 different metabolites in the OSS and SEA 50 μ g + DSS groups. Each gree dot represents a positive correlation, and blue a negative correlation, the darker the color, the stronger the correlation. The asterisk indicates the ρ value of the correlation coefficient, indicating whether the correlation is significant. (B) Correlation diagram of the first 20 different microflora and the first 20 different metabolites in the DSS and SEA 50 μ g + DSS groups. Each green dot represents a differential metabolite, and each orange dot represents a differential flora. The distance between the two lines represents the size of their correlation, and the correlation. In addition, different colors represent positive and negative correlations, with red represents the size of their correlation, and the closer the line, the greater the correlation. In addition, different colors represents a differential flora. The distance between the two lines represents the size of their correlation, and the closer the line, the greater the correlation. In addition, different colors represent positive and negative correlations, with red representing positive values and green representing negative values.

microbiome converts nutrients ingested into metabolites of the gut microbiome or host cells, making them act as informational messengers between the gut microbiome and host cells (Sittipo et al., 2019). Therefore, the composition of intestinal microbiota and its metabolites has a significant impact on the occurrence and development of IBD (Postler and Ghosh, 2017). In this study, 16srRNA gene sequencing and LC-MS sequencing were performed on the intestinal contents of mice in the D and S + D groups to observe the diversity, abundance, and changes in intestinal content metabolism in the two groups and to explore the correlation between intestinal flora, metabolism, and intestinal inflammation in mice with IBD after SEA intervention.

We found that SEA administration could downregulate the abundance of three specific enterica-related pathogenic bacteria, Helicobacter, Lachnoclostridium, and Enterococcus, and upregulate the abundance of beneficial intestinal bacteria Prevotellaceae UCG-001. In a meta-analysis of environmental risk factors in clinical IBD samples, Helicobacter infection was identified as one of the nine factors that increased the risk of IBD (Castaño-Rodríguez et al., 2017; Piovani et al., 2019). Lachnoclostridium was significantly enriched in patients with colorectal cancer (Liang et al., 2020). Enterococcus, an opportunistic pathogen, is often associated with infection in clinic (Fiore et al., 2019). The lack of Prevotellaceae, a newly discovered flora in recent years, led to elevated levels of intestinal endotoxins and damage to the intestinal mucosal barrier (Cignarella et al., 2018). SEA administration significantly reduced the abundance of Helicobacter, lachnoclostridium, and Enterococcus in mice with IBD and upregulated the abundance of Prevotellaceae_UCG-001. These changes in enterica-related microflora further indicated that SEA played a protective role in DSS-induced IBD by improving the composition of intestinal microflora.

We also detected and analyzed the metabolic level of intestinal contents to explore further the changes in intestinal metabolites caused by intestinal flora. SEA contents intervention decreased the of glycerophospholipids (LPC, LPE, PC and PE) and carboxylic acids (L-alloisoleucine and L-glutamate) in the intestinal contents of mice with DSS-induced IBD. The contents of bile acids and their derivatives (3B,7A,12atrihydroxy-5A-cholanoic acid and 3A,4B, 12a-trihydroxy-5b-cholanoic acid) were upregulated. Among these, bile acid and glutamate have been proved to be closely related to the pathogenesis of IBD (Sorrentino et al., 2020; Fiorucci et al., 2021). The release of intestinal bile acids may promote the regeneration of intestinal stem cells and epithelial cells, reducing the severe symptoms of IBD (Sorrentino et al., 2020). Glutamate receptors affect intestinal function (visceral sensitivity and motility) and brain function (stress response, mood, and behavior), and are involved in the pathogenesis of IBD (Baj et al., 2019). In addition, studies have shown that patients with IBD have significant changes in plasma lipid and metabolic profiles, most of which are the elevated contents of glycerophospholipids and linoleic acid (Tefas et al., 2020); IBD disorders are also implicated in the

metabolism of glycerophospholipids in the body (Guan et al., 2020). SEA intervention changed the abundance of these IBD-related differential metabolites, suggesting that its antiinflammatory mode of action was related to intestinal metabolites in mice with IBD.

Based on the bidirectional regulation of intestinal flora and intestinal metabolites in IBD, we conducted a joint analysis of intestinal differential metabolites and differential flora. The results showed correlations between the main differential microflora and the main differential metabolites. At the same time, the metabolite content and differential metabolite abundance in the two groups also correlated with the abundance of intestinal flora. After SEA administration, the content of differential metabolites having a negative correlation with the abundance of differential intestinal flora in intestinal contents increased, while the content of positively correlated differential metabolites decreased. On the one hand, this was verified by the results of intestinal flora and metabolic sequencing; on the other hand, it also indicated that the protective mechanism of SEA in DSS-induced IBD might play a role through the joint regulation of intestinal flora and intestinal metabolism. It mainly upregulated the abundance of beneficial intestinal bacteria and downregulated the abundance of harmful intestinal bacteria, so as to change the regulation mode of intestinal metabolic spectrum in mice with enteritis. In addition, we also found a group of bacteria such as Sphingomonas, although their relationships with enteritis have rarely been reported, which showed a strong correlation with these differential metabolites. Whether these bacteria also play a relevant role in the inflammatory inhibition of IBD is worth further exploration.

In conclusion, the results of this study proved that SEA protected the DSS-induced inflammatory response in IBD and improved the symptoms of IBD in mice through the joint regulation of intestinal flora and intestinal metabolism, thus proposing a potential possibility for the use of SEA in treating and regulating intestinal flora and metabolism in patients with IBD. Of course, the specific mechanism underlying the increase in the abundance of beneficial bacteria and the change in the immune function caused by SEA remains to be studied. Therefore, the clinical application of SEA needs further exploration.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/, https://www.ebi.ac.uk/metabolights/.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by JIPD-2020-009.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YH and TZ conceive the project and designed the study; TZ, QX, YL, JL, YX, CX, QZ, and HY performed the experiments; TZ analyzed the data; YH and TZ wrote the manuscript; all authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 81673673, 31201893), the Key Project of Jiangsu

REFERENCES

- Bach, J.-F. (2018). The hygiene Hypothesis in Autoimmunity: the Role of Pathogens and Commensals. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 18 (2), 105–120. doi:10.1038/nri.2017.111
- Baj, A., Moro, E., Bistoletti, M., Orlandi, V., Crema, F., and Giaroni, C. (2019). Glutamatergic Signaling along the Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 20 (6), 1482. doi:10.3390/ijms20061482
- Ben Braïek, O., and Smaoui, S. (2019). Enterococci: Between Emerging Pathogens and Potential Probiotics. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2019, 5938210. doi:10.1155/2019/ 5938210
- Ben-Ami Shor, D., Harel, M., Eliakim, R., and Shoenfeld, Y. (2013). The Hygiene Theory Harnessing Helminths and Their Ova to Treat Autoimmunity. *Clinic Rev. Allerg Immunol.* 45 (2), 211–216. doi:10.1007/s12016-012-8352-9
- Buck, J. C., De Leo, G. A., and Sokolow, S. H. (2020). Concomitant Immunity and Worm Senescence May Drive Schistosomiasis Epidemiological Patterns: An Eco-Evolutionary Perspective. *Front. Immunol.* 11, 160. doi:10.3389/ fimmu.2020.00160
- Cao, S., Zhu, C., Feng, J., Zhu, L., Yin, J., Xu, Y., et al. (2020a). Helicobacter Hepaticus Infection Induces Chronic Hepatitis and Fibrosis in Male BALB/c Mice via the Activation of NF-Kb, Stat3, and MAPK Signaling Pathways. *Helicobacter* 25 (2), e12677. doi:10.1111/hel.12677
- Cao, S., Zhu, L., Zhu, C., Feng, J., Yin, J., Lu, J., et al. (2020b). Helicobacter Hepaticus Infection-Induced IL-33 Promotes Hepatic Inflammation and Fibrosis through ST2 Signaling Pathways in BALB/c Mice. *Biochem. Biophysical Res. Commun.* 525 (3), 654–661. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.02.139
- Castaño-Rodríguez, N., Kaakoush, N. O., Lee, W. S., and Mitchell, H. M. (2017). Dual Role ofHelicobacterandCampylobacterspecies in IBD: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Gut* 66 (2), 235–249. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2015-310545
- Castro Rocha, F. A., Duarte-Monteiro, A. M., Henrique Da Mota, L. M., Matias Dinelly Pinto, A. C., and Fonseca, J. E. (2020). Microbes, Helminths, and Rheumatic Diseases. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Rheumatol.* 34 (4), 101528. doi:10.1016/j.berh.2020.101528
- Cignarella, F., Cantoni, C., Ghezzi, L., Salter, A., Dorsett, Y., Chen, L., et al. (2018). Intermittent Fasting Confers Protection in CNS Autoimmunity by Altering the Gut Microbiota. *Cel Metab.* 27 (6), 1222–1235. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2018.05.006
- Cleenewerk, L., Garssen, J., and Hogenkamp, A. (2020). Clinical Use of Schistosoma Mansoni Antigens as Novel Immunotherapies for Autoimmune Disorders. *Front. Immunol.* 11, 1821. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.01821
- Damião, A. O. M. C., Azevedo, M. F. C. d., Carlos, A. d. S., Wada, M. Y., Silva, T. V. M., and Feitosa, F. d. C. (2019). Conventional Therapy for Moderate to Severe Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Systematic Literature Review. *Wjg* 25 (9), 1142–1157. doi:10.3748/wjg.v25.i9.1142
- Deol, A. K., Fleming, F. M., Calvo-Urbano, B., Walker, M., Bucumi, V., Gnandou, I., et al. (2019). Schistosomiasis - Assessing Progress toward the 2020 and 2025 Global Goals. N. Engl. J. Med. 381 (26), 2519–2528. doi:10.1056/ nejmoa1812165
- Dong, L.-N., Wang, M., Guo, J., and Wang, J.-P. (2019). Role of Intestinal Microbiota and Metabolites in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Chin. Med.* J. (Engl) 132 (13), 1610–1614. doi:10.1097/cm9.00000000000290

Health research (No. ZDB2020037); Funding of Wuxi Science and Technology development (WX0302B010504180001PB), Project of Public Health Research Center of Jiangnan University (grant No. JUPH201811); the Jiangsu Provincial Department of Science and Technology (No.BM2018020); the Funding of Jiangsu Provincial Department of Science and Technology (BE2016631 and BM2015024); the Project of Invigorating Health Care through Science, Technology and Education (No.ZDXKA2016016) and the Jiangsu Health International Exchange Program to YH National Key Research Development Program of China (grant and No: 2017YFD0501605, 2017YFD0502303).

- Driss, V., El Nady, M., Delbeke, M., Rousseaux, C., Dubuquoy, C., Sarazin, A., et al. (2016). The Schistosome Glutathione S-Transferase P28GST, a Unique Helminth Protein, Prevents Intestinal Inflammation in Experimental Colitis through a Th2-type Response with Mucosal Eosinophils. *Mucosal Immunol.* 9 (2), 322–335. doi:10.1038/mi.2015.62
- Dunne, D. W., and Cooke, A. (2005). A Worm's Eye View of the Immune System: Consequences for Evolution of Human Autoimmune Disease. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 5 (5), 420–426. doi:10.1038/nri1601
- Fiore, E., Van Tyne, D., and Gilmore, M. S. (2019). Pathogenicity of Enterococci. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 7 (4). doi:10.1128/microbiolspec.GPP3-0053-2018
- Fiorucci, S., Carino, A., Baldoni, M., Santucci, L., Costanzi, E., Graziosi, L., et al. (2021). Bile Acid Signaling in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 66 (3), 674–693. doi:10.1007/s10620-020-06715-3
- Floudas, A., Aviello, G., Schwartz, C., Jeffery, I. B., O'Toole, P. W., and Fallon, P. G. (2019). Schistosoma Mansoni Worm Infection Regulates the Intestinal Microbiota and Susceptibility to Colitis. *Infect. Immun.* 87 (8), e00275. doi:10.1128/IAI.00275-19
- Franzosa, E. A., Sirota-Madi, A., Avila-Pacheco, J., Fornelos, N., Haiser, H. J., Reinker, S., et al. (2019). Gut Microbiome Structure and Metabolic Activity in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Nat. Microbiol.* 4 (2), 293–305. doi:10.1038/ s41564-018-0306-4
- Goto, Y., Kurashima, Y., and Kiyono, H. (2015). The Gut Microbiota and Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Curr. Opin. Rheumatol.* 27 (4), 388–396. doi:10.1097/bor.00000000000192
- Guan, S., Jia, B., Chao, K., Zhu, X., Tang, J., Li, M., et al. (2020). UPLC-QTOF-MS-Based Plasma Lipidomic Profiling Reveals Biomarkers for Inflammatory Bowel Disease Diagnosis. *J. Proteome Res.* 19 (2), 600–609. doi:10.1021/ acs.jproteome.9b00440
- Huang, Y., Li, W., Liu, K., Xiong, C., Cao, P., and Tao, J. (2016a). New Detection Method in Experimental Mice for Schistosomiasis: ClinProTool and Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/ionization Time-Of-Flight Mass Spectrometry. *Parasitol. Res.* 115 (11), 4173–4181. doi:10.1007/s00436-016-5193-0
- Huang, Y., Li, W., Lu, W., Xiong, C., Yang, Y., Yan, H., et al. (2016b). Cloning and *In Vitro* Characterization of a Schistosoma Japonicum Aquaglyceroporin that Functions in Osmoregulation. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 35030. doi:10.1038/srep35030
- Huang, Y., Lu, J., Xu, Y., Xiong, C., Tong, D., Hu, N., et al. (2020a). Xiaochaihu Decorction Relieves Liver Fibrosis Caused by Schistosoma Japonicum Infection via the HSP47/TGF-β Pathway. *Parasites Vectors* 13 (1), 254. doi:10.1186/ s13071-020-04121-2
- Huang, Y., Wu, Q., Zhao, L., Xiong, C., Xu, Y., Dong, X., et al. (2020b). UHPLC-MS-Based Metabolomics Analysis Reveals the Process of Schistosomiasis in Mice. Front. Microbiol. 11, 1517. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.01517
- Huang, Y., Xu, Y., Huang, Y., Sun, F., Tian, H., Hu, N., et al. (2019). Identification of Newly Developed Advanced Schistosomiasis with MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry and ClinProTools Analysis. *Parasite* 26, 33. doi:10.1051/ parasite/2019032
- Jeong, D. Y., Kim, S., Son, M. J., Son, C. Y., Kim, J. Y., Kronbichler, A., et al. (2019). Induction and Maintenance Treatment of Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Comprehensive Review. *Autoimmun. Rev.* 18 (5), 439–454. doi:10.1016/ j.autrev.2019.03.002
- Kaplan, G. G. (2015). The Global burden of IBD: from 2015 to 2025. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 12 (12), 720–727. doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2015.150

- Larabi, A., Barnich, N., and Nguyen, H. T. T. (2020). New Insights into the Interplay between Autophagy, Gut Microbiota and Inflammatory Responses in IBD. Autophagy 16 (1), 38–51. doi:10.1080/15548627.2019.1635384
- Lavelle, A., and Sokol, H. (2020). Gut Microbiota-Derived Metabolites as Key Actors in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 17 (4), 223–237. doi:10.1038/s41575-019-0258-z
- Li, J., Liu, H., Jiang, J., She, X., Niu, Y., and Ming, Y. (2020). The Potential Role of Schistosome-Associated Factors as Therapeutic Modulators of the Immune System. *Infect. Immun.* 88 (8), e00754. doi:10.1128/IAI.00754-19
- Liang, J. Q., Li, T., Nakatsu, G., Chen, Y.-X., Yau, T. O., Chu, E., et al. (2020). A Novel Faecal Lachnoclostridium Marker for the Non-invasive Diagnosis of Colorectal Adenoma and Cancer. *Gut* 69 (7), 1248–1257. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2019-318532
- Mao, R., and Hu, P. J. (2016). The Future of IBD Therapy: Where Are We and where Should We Go Next. *Dig. Dis.* 34 (1-2), 175–179. doi:10.1159/000443135
- Mitsialis, V., Wall, S., Liu, P., Ordovas-Montanes, J., Parmet, T., Vukovic, M., et al. (2020). Single-Cell Analyses of Colon and Blood Reveal Distinct Immune Cell Signatures of Ulcerative Colitis and Crohn's Disease. *Gastroenterology* 159 (2), 591–608. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2020.04.074
- Nanini, H. F., Bernardazzi, C., Castro, F., and Souza, H. S. P. d. (2018). Damage-associated Molecular Patterns in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: From Biomarkers to Therapeutic Targets. Wjg 24 (41), 4622–4634. doi:10.3748/wjg.v24.i41.4622
- Neurath, M. F. (2019). Targeting Immune Cell Circuits and Trafficking in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Nat. Immunol.* 20 (8), 970–979. doi:10.1038/ s41590-019-0415-0
- Ni, J., Wu, G. D., Albenberg, L., and Tomov, V. T. (2017). Gut Microbiota and IBD: Causation or Correlation. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 14 (10), 573–584. doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2017.88
- Piovani, D., Danese, S., Peyrin-Biroulet, L., Nikolopoulos, G. K., Lytras, T., and Bonovas, S. (2019). Environmental Risk Factors for Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: An Umbrella Review of Meta-Analyses. *Gastroenterology* 157 (3), 647–659. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2019.04.016
- Postler, T. S., and Ghosh, S. (2017). Understanding the Holobiont: How Microbial Metabolites Affect Human Health and Shape the Immune System. *Cel Metab.* 26 (1), 110–130. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2017.05.008
- Sittipo, P., Shim, J. W., and Lee, Y. K. (2019). Microbial Metabolites Determine Host Health and the Status of Some Diseases. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20 (21), 5296. doi:10.3390/ijms20215296
- Sorrentino, G., Perino, A., Yildiz, E., El Alam, G., Bou Sleiman, M., Gioiello, A., et al. (2020). Bile Acids Signal via TGR5 to Activate Intestinal Stem Cells and

Epithelial Regeneration. *Gastroenterology* 159 (3), 956–968. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2020.05.067

- Tefas, C., Ciobanu, L., Tanțău, M., Moraru, C., and Socaciu, C. (2020). The Potential of Metabolic and Lipid Profiling in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: A Pilot Study. Bosn J. Basic Med. Sci. 20 (2), 262–270. doi:10.17305/ bjbms.2019.4235
- Weingarden, A. R., and Vaughn, B. P. (2017). Intestinal Microbiota, Fecal Microbiota Transplantation, and Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Gut Microbes* 8 (3), 238–252. doi:10.1080/19490976.2017.1290757
- Wilson, I. D., and Nicholson, J. K. (2017). Gut Microbiome Interactions with Drug Metabolism, Efficacy, and Toxicity. *Translational Res.* 179, 204–222. doi:10.1016/j.trsl.2016.08.002
- Yang, B., Li, M., Wang, S., Ross, R. P., Stanton, C., Zhao, J., et al. (2021). Lactobacillus Ruminis Alleviates DSS-Induced Colitis by Inflammatory Cytokines and Gut Microbiota Modulation[J]. *Foods* 10 (6), 1349. doi:10.3390/foods10061349
- Zheng, B., Zhang, J., Chen, H., Nie, H., Miller, H., Gong, Q., et al. (2020). T Lymphocyte-Mediated Liver Immunopathology of Schistosomiasis. Front. Immunol. 11, 61. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.00061
- Zhou, B., Yuan, Y., Zhang, S., Guo, C., Li, X., Li, G., et al. (2020). Intestinal Flora and Disease Mutually Shape the Regional Immune System in the Intestinal Tract. Front. Immunol. 11, 575. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.00575

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Zhu, Xue, Liu, Xu, Xiong, Lu, Yang, Zhang and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.