

Nigerian Medical Journal

# **Original Article**

# Carotid and Vertebral Atherosclerosis in West African Stroke Patients: Findings from the Stroke Investigative Research and Education Network

Adeleye Omisore<sup>1</sup>, \*Godwin Ogbole<sup>2</sup>, Atinuke Agunloye<sup>2</sup>, Joshua Akinyemi<sup>2</sup>, Albert Akpalu<sup>3</sup>, Fred Sarfo<sup>4</sup>, Onoja Akpa<sup>2</sup>, Mulugeta Gebregziabher<sup>5</sup>, Oyedunni Arulogun<sup>2</sup>, Morenikeji Komolafe<sup>1</sup>, Kolawole Wahab<sup>6</sup>, Carolyn Jenkins<sup>7</sup>, Godwin Osaigbovo<sup>8</sup>, Reginald Obiako<sup>9</sup>, Luqman Owolabi<sup>10</sup>, Adekunle Fakunle<sup>2</sup>, Joyce Ikubor<sup>11,</sup> Abdul Salaam<sup>8</sup>, Olusola Famurewa<sup>1</sup>, Oladimeji Adebayo<sup>2</sup>, Christianah Asaleye<sup>1</sup>, Cynthia Akisanya<sup>12</sup>, Eunice Olabinri<sup>13</sup>, Adedeji Egberongbe<sup>14</sup>, Michael Fawale<sup>1</sup>, Samuel Olowookere<sup>1</sup>, Lucius Imoh<sup>8</sup>, Osi Adeleye<sup>12</sup>, Richard Efidi<sup>2</sup>, Hemant Tiwari<sup>15</sup>, Yaw Mensah<sup>3</sup>, Benedict Calys-Tagoe<sup>3</sup>, Adeseye Akintunde<sup>16</sup>, Luqman Ogunjimi<sup>2</sup>, Obiabo Olugbo<sup>11</sup>, Mayowa Aridegbe<sup>12</sup>, Muhammad Abdullahi<sup>14</sup>, Taofiki Sumonu<sup>14</sup>, Taofeek Sanni<sup>13</sup>, Okechukwu Ogah<sup>2</sup>, Abiodun Adeoye<sup>2</sup>, Ezinne Uvere<sup>17</sup>, Philip Ibinaiye<sup>9</sup>, Rufus Akinyemi<sup>2,12,18</sup>, Bruce Ovbiagele<sup>19</sup>, \*Mayowa Owolabi<sup>2,17,20</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Obafemi Awolowo University (OAU) and Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex (OAUTHC), Ile Ife, Nigeria. <sup>2</sup>College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Nigeria and University College Hospital Ibadan, Nigeria. <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Ghana Medical School, Accra, Ghana. <sup>4</sup>Department of Medicine, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana. <sup>5</sup>Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina, USA. <sup>6</sup>University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital (UITH), Ilorin, Nigeria. <sup>7</sup>Medical University of South Carolina, South Carolina, USA. <sup>6</sup>University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital (UITH), Ilorin, Nigeria. <sup>7</sup>Medical University of South Carolina, USA. <sup>8</sup>Jos University Teaching Hospital (JUTH), Jos, Nigeria. <sup>9</sup>Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital (ABUTH), Zaria, Nigeria. <sup>10</sup>Department of Medicine, Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital, Kano, Nigeria. <sup>11</sup>Pelta State University Teaching Hospital (DELSUTH), Oghara, Nigeria. <sup>12</sup>Federal Medical Center, Abeokuta, Nigeria. <sup>13</sup>Federal Teaching Hospital, Ido, Nigeria. <sup>14</sup>Federal Medical Center, Owo, Nigeria. <sup>15</sup>University of Alabama, Birmingham, USA. <sup>16</sup>LAUTECH Teaching Hospital (LTH), Ogbomoso, Nigeria. <sup>17</sup>Blossom Specialist Medical Center, Ibadan, Nigeria. <sup>19</sup>Weill Institute for Neurosciences, University of California San-Francisco, USA. <sup>20</sup>Center for Genomic and Precision Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria, <sup>10</sup>University College Hospital Ibadan

#### Abstract

**Background**: We evaluated the characteristics of carotid and vertebral atherosclerosis in indigenous West Africans with stroke. **Methodology**: Of the 3778stroke patients recruited between 01/2014 and 08/2017, 1070 (28.3%) received carotid and vertebral artery evaluation with B-mode Ultrasound. Carotid and vertebral intima-media thickness (IMT) using multiple site technique were measured bilaterally and plaque frequency was determined. Descriptive and comparative analyses between stroke types and vessels were carried out. **Results**: There were 809 (75.6%) patients with ischemic stroke. The prevalence of intima-media thickening in the study population was 84.0% (898/1070) [95% CI: 81.7-86.1], being higher in the ischemic stroke (688/809, 85.0%) [95% CI: 82.4-87.3] than in the hemorrhagic stroke group (211/261, 80.8%) [95% CI: 75.6-85.2]. Overall prevalence of plaques which was 26.1% [95% CI: 23.5-28.8], was found also to be higher in ischemic than hemorrhagic stroke (29.8%[95% CI: 26.7-33.0] vs. 14.6% [95% CI: 10.8-19.4], p < 0.05). The mean IMT (carotids: 2.01+1.33 mm; vertebrals: 0.96+0.54mm, p<0.001) and prevalence of plaques (carotids: 8.8%; vertebrals: 1.7%,p<0.001) were higher in carotid than vertebral arteries. Age, hypertension, level of formal education, history of smoking, average monthly income, and family histories of hypertension and stroke were associated with intima-media thickening in the carotids (all p< 0.05) in the ischemic stroke patients while family history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and level of formal education were independently associated with intimamedia thickening in the carotids (all p< 0.05) in the hemorrhagic stroke patients. No CVRF showed an independent association with the presence of plaque in the carotid and vertebral arteries both stroke types.

**Conclusions**: One off our stroke patients in our cohort had atherosclerotic plaques, with ischemic patients being twice as likely to have this burden compared to hemorrhagic patients, and carotid atherosclerosis being five times as frequent as vertebral atherosclerosis. **Keywords**: Stroke; Carotid; Vertebral; Atherosclerosis; West Africa.

\*Correspondence: Godwin Ogbole, Department of Radiology, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Nigeria, University College Hospital Ibadan, Nigeria. E-mail: gogbole@yahoo.com; godwin.ogbole@ndcn.ox.ac.uk and \*Mayowa O. Owolabi, Center for Genomic and Precision Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria, University College Hospital Ibadan and Blossom Specialist Medical Center, Ibadan, Nigeria. E-mail: mayowaowolabi@yahoo.com

**How to cite this article:** Omisore A, Ogbole G, Agunloye A, Ibinaiye P, Akinyemi J, Adebayo O, et al. Carotid and Vertebral Atherosclerosis in West African Stroke Patients: Findings from the Stroke Investigative Research and Education Network. Niger Med J 2022;63;(2): 98-111



This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given, and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

#### Introduction

Stroke is associated with high morbidity and mortality, with low-middle-income countries (LMIC) being responsible for about 86% of cases globally.[1,2] Although stroke incidence has declined by over 40% in the past four decades in high-income countries (HIC), the incidence has doubled in LMICs over the same period.[3] It is also estimated that by 2030, almost eight million stroke events will occur in LMICs if no intervention is made[1,2]

Ultrasound (USS) study of the carotid and vertebral arteries is recommended for stroke patients because detection and characterization of carotid and/or vertebral plaques has direct implications on subsequent stroke management and prognostication.[4] Atherosclerosis evidenced by increased intima-media thickness (IMT) and presence of plaques on USS is seen in up to 15-30% of carotid and 20% of the vertebral arterial system of ischemic strokes with variations according to race.[5-10] As far as we are aware, the prevalence of carotid atherosclerosis using ultrasound has not been determined among African stroke patients. Moreover, although the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis in both carotid and vertebral arteries is likely similar [11], there is a paucity of data on the pattern of carotid and vertebral atherosclerosis in African patients with stroke. [12] The Stroke Investigative Research and Education Network (SIREN) project is so far the largest stroke research study in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) and provides an opportunity to fill this gap in the literature.

The objective of the study was to investigate the prevalence and pattern of USS-defined carotid and vertebral artery atherosclerosis in West African stroke patients utilizing the SIREN study population.

#### **Patients and Methods**

The Stroke Investigative Research and Education Network (SIREN) is a case-control study whose protocols have been published elsewhere. [13] A total of 1070 participants with stroke who had ultrasound studies of their carotid and vertebral arteries between January 2014 and August 2017 were included in this sub-analysis.

The SIREN study participants who had complete data on carotid and vertebral USS were from 15 sites in West Africa. The details of the sites have been described in an earlier publication.[13] The SIREN study was approved by the institutional Ethics and Research Committee of the University of Ibadan (UI/EC/18/0706). Ethical approval was also obtained from the institutional ethics review committees of all the participating sites and informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

All participants who had complete data on carotid and vertebral USS were selected for this sub-analysis. Demographic, anthropometric, and clinical data were accrued from the SIREN study questionnaire, details of which have been published with the SIREN protocol.[13] Serum fasting blood glucose and lipid profile analyses were done using uniform standard operating procedures across all study sites. The details of blood sample collection and laboratory analyses have been previously published. [14]

#### **Stroke Phenotyping**

The diagnosis and phenotyping of stroke were based on clinical examination and neuroimaging (Computerized Tomography or Magnetic Resonance Imaging) as published earlier.[13]

#### **Definition of stroke risk factors**

Hypertension: Hypertension was defined as sustained elevated blood pressure  $\geq 140/90$  mmHg for more than 72 hours after stroke or premorbid history of hypertension or use of anti-hypertensive drugs before stroke/ more than 72 hours after stroke onset.

Diabetes mellitus: Diabetes mellitus (DM) was defined as the use of medications for DM or glycated hemoglobin (HBA1c) >6.5% or fasting blood glucose (FBG) level > 7.0mmol/L after the post-acute phase.

Dyslipidemia: Dyslipidemia was defined as fasting total cholesterol  $\geq$  5.2mmol/L or high-density lipoprotein $\leq$ 1.03mmol/L or triglyceride  $\geq$  1.7mmol/L or low-density lipoprotein  $\geq$  3.4mmol/L or use of statins prior to stroke onset.

Obesity: Waist-hip-ratio (WHR) was used as a measure of obesity in this sub-analysis. WHR> 0.9 in males or > 0.85 in females was categorized as elevated.

Alcohol intake: Alcohol intake was categorized into low quantity intake (1-2 drinks per day for females or 1-3 drinks per day for males) or high quantity intake (>2 drinks per day for females or > 3 drinks per day for males). One drink of alcohol was taken to be equivalent to 80g of alcohol.

Full details of the definition of risk factors have been published earlier. [13]

Carotid and Vertebral arterial Ultrasonographic assessment

All 15 contributing sites had a resident Radiologist trained to perform the scans and all sites followed a standardized operating protocol for carotid and vertebral artery evaluations to reduce observer variability. [13, 15-18] In brief, a high frequency (5-12 MHz), linear array transducer of the ultrasound machine, using standard techniques, was employed in performing the carotid and vertebral USS studies for all subjects.

#### **IMT measurement**

Avoiding plaques, measurements of IMT were taken at the far walls of the carotid arteries at the following sites bilaterally on a longitudinal scan plane: (i) the common carotid artery (CCA), about 1.5cm proximal to the carotid bulb (CB) (ii) the CB and (iii) the proximal internal carotid artery (ICA). To obtain an optimal image, sound waves were beamed perpendicularly to the arteries to show the two parallel echogenic lines which correspond to the lumen-intima and media-adventitia interfaces. The minimum unit of IMT measurement was set at 0.1 mm. Magnified images were used for measurement to minimize error. The IMT was taken as the distance between the leading edge of the first bright line on the far wall (lumen–intima interface) and the leading edge of the second bright line (media-adventitia interface). The final IMT was the average of the 3 values at the three sites examined on each side. [15,16]

The maximal IMT of the visualized vertebral artery (VA) was also recorded on each side.

#### Plaque evaluation

Plaques were identified as localized, elevated lesions in the walls of the carotid or vertebral arteries with a maximum thickness of more than 1 mm, having a point of inflection on the surface of the intima-media complex (IMC). Plaque size is the maximum thickness from the plaque border with the arterial lumen to the border with adventitia. The carotid Plaque score is the cumulative thickness of all plaques in the ICA, CB and CCA on each side. [12,17]

Resultant arterial luminal stenoses from plaques were calculated on the short-axis view using the formulae: % Diameter stenosis = normal lumen diameter minus residual lumen diameter/normal lumen diameter x 100 % Area stenosis = normal lumen area minus residual lumen area/normal lumen area x 100. Across all the 15 study sites, all measurements were taken 3 times in each segment of the carotid and vertebral arteries and the average was computed to minimize intra-observer variations.

#### Data Analysis

Demographic and clinical characteristics were summarized using frequency and percentage. Mean and standard deviation was computed for continuous variables. The Chi-square test was employed to compare differences in the distribution of socio-demographic and clinical characteristics between ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke patients. Fisher's exact test was used as an alternative whenever the expected frequency count violates the assumption required for the Chi-square test. Furthermore, the student's t-test or Mann-Whitney-U test was used for comparison of Intima-media thickness and number of plaques by stroke types and cardiovascular risk factors (CVRF). Linear mixed model and generalized estimating equations were employed to investigate independent factors associated with increased IMT and the presence of plaque respectively. Analyses were done using Stata MP version 14 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Significant p was set at < 0.05.

# Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics of study participants: There were 809 (75.6%) patients with ischemic stroke and 261 (24.4%) patients with hemorrhagic stroke. The age range of study subjects was 15 to 102 years with a mean of  $59.3 \pm 14.0$  years. The patients with hemorrhagic stroke were younger (p< 0.001), while more patients with ischemic stroke had post-secondary education (p = 0.036). More patients with hemorrhagic stroke took alcohol, particularly in high quantities (p = 0.049). (Table 1)

Hypertension (95.1%) was the most prevalent cardiovascular risk factor amongst stroke patients, but its prevalence was similar in the 2 stroke types (p = 0.121). However, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, pulse pressure and mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) were significantly higher in the patients with hemorrhagic stroke (p < 0.001). Diabetes mellitus was commoner in patients with ischemic stroke (p < 0.001). (Table 2).

Prevalence of carotid and vertebral artery intima-media thickening:

Mean IMT values were 1.99+1.18 mm in the CCA, 2.10+0.96 mm in the CB, 1.80+1.14 mm in the ICA and 0.96+0.52mm in the VA. The prevalence of intimal thickening in the study population was 84.0% (898/1070) [95% CI: 81.7-86.1], being higher in the ischemic stroke (688/809, 85.0%) [95% CI: 82.4-87.3] than in the hemorrhagic stroke group (211/261, 80.8%) [95% CI: 75.6-85.2].

CVRFs with univariate associations with IMT in the carotid and vertebral arteries:

The CVRFs present in the stroke patients in this study showed associations with IMT mostly in the ischemic group and in the carotid arteries. The associations in the carotid arteries were mostly seen in the CB. Amongst the CVRFs, age and hypertension showed bilateral associations with IMT in all the 3 carotid artery segments (CCA, CB and ICA) which was only seen in the ischemic group. In the VAs, alcohol intake was the only CVRF that showed an association with IMT and this association was unilateral in both stroke types.

CCA: Ischemic stroke patients who were above 40 years of age had significantly higher IMT in their CCAs bilaterally compared to those younger than 40 years (Right:  $1.01 \pm 0.67$  vs  $0.80 \pm 0.27$ ; p< 0.01 and Left:  $1.04 \pm 0.77$  vs  $0.76 \pm 0.22$ ; p< 0.01), similar to ischemic stroke patients who were hypertensive compared to their non-hypertensive counterparts(Right:  $1.00 \pm 0.66$  vs  $0.76 \pm 0.25$ ; p< 0.01 and Left:  $1.03 \pm 0.76$  vs  $0.79 \pm 0.26$ ; p< 0.01) (Tables 3). Hemorrhagic stroke patients who were of the male gender and dyslipidaemic had higher IMT in the left CCA compared to the female gender ( $0.97 \pm 0.32$  vs  $0.94 \pm 0.26$ ; p = 0.036) and non-dyslipidaemic ( $0.98 \pm 0.32$  vs  $0.91 \pm 0.29$ ; p = 0.044) counterparts respectively.

CB: Ischemic stroke patients who were above 40 years of age had significantly higher IMT in their CCAs bilaterally compared to those younger than 40 years (Right:  $1.11 \pm 0.77$  vs  $0.00 \pm 0.00$ ; p< 0.01 and Left:  $1.07 \pm 0.59$  vs  $0.90 \pm 0.32$ ; p< 0.01), similar to ischemic stroke patients who were hypertensive compared to their non-hypertensive counterparts (Right:  $1.10 \pm 0.69$  vs  $0.90 \pm 0.32$ ; p< 0.01 and Left:  $1.07 \pm 0.58$  vs  $0.89 \pm 0.31$ ; p= 0.02). Ischemic stroke patients who had a history of high alcohol intake had significantly higher IMT in the right CB compared to those with a history of low or no alcohol intake ( $1.37 \pm 1.09$  vs  $1.29 \pm 1.13$  vs  $1.02 \pm 0.34$  respectively; p = < 0.001). The IMT was higher in the left CB of ischemic stroke patients if they were of the male gender ( $1.10 \pm 0.69$  vs  $1.00 \pm 0.34$  respectively; p = 0.019) similar to ischemic stroke patients that were diabetic ( $1.11 \pm 0.67$  vs  $1.02 \pm 0.49$  respectively; p = 0.041) (Table 4).

ICA: Ischemic stroke patients who were above 40 years of age had significantly higher IMT in their CCAs bilaterally compared to those younger than 40 years (Right:  $0.94 \pm 0.72$  vs  $0.71 \pm 0.35$ ; p< 0.01 and Left:  $0.93 \pm 0.73$  vs  $0.72 \pm 0.27$ ; p< 0.01), similar to ischemic stroke patients who were hypertensive compared to their non-hypertensive counterparts (Right:  $0.93 \pm 0.71$  vs  $0.71 \pm 0.29$ ; p< 0.01 and Left:  $0.92 \pm 0.72$  vs  $0.73 \pm 0.30$ ; p = 0.02) (Table 5).

VA: Ischemic stroke patients who had a history of high alcohol intake had significantly higher IMT in the left VA compared to those with a history of low or no alcohol intake  $(083 \pm 0.32 \text{ vs } 0.61 \pm 0.35 \text{ vs } 0.47 \pm 0.30 \text{ respectively}; p = 0.0014)$  (Table 6). Hemorrhagic stroke patients who had a history of low alcohol intake had higher IMT in the right VA compared to those with a history of high or no alcohol intake  $(0.67 \pm 0.42 \text{ vs } 0.63 \pm 0.21 \text{ vs } 0.47 \pm 0.21 \text{ respectively}; p = 0.016)$  (Table 6).

CVRFs with independent associations with abnormal intimal media thickening in the stroke types The CVRFs present in the stroke patients in this study showed independent associations with intima-media thickening mostly in the ischemic group. The independent associations were also mostly in the carotid arteries.

Ischemic stroke: In the ischemic stroke patients, age and level of education were independently associated with having an abnormal intima-media thickening of the 3 carotid segments (CCA, CB, ICA), although the association was negative for the level of education. Ischemic stroke patients above 40years of age were almost five (AOR = 4.59; 95% CI = 2.32 - 9.06), three (AOR = 2.48; 95% CI = 1.41 - 4.36), and two (AOR = 2.39; 95% CI = 1.22 -4.67) times more likely to have an abnormal intima-media thickening of the CCA, CB and ICA respectively compared to their counterparts less than 40 years in age. Ischemic stroke patients who had secondary education and above were however less likely to have abnormal intima-media thickening of the CCA (AOR = 0.61; 95% CI = 0.38 - 0.96 for secondary education and AOR = 0.55; 95% CI = 0.36 - 0.85 for tertiary education) and CB (AOR = 0.53; 95% CI = 0.31 - 0.89 for secondary education and AOR = 0.52; 95% CI = 0.32 - 0.85 for tertiary education), while only those ischemic patients that attained up to tertiary education were less likely in the ICA (AOR = 0.51; 95% CI = 0.31 - 0.85). While hypertension (AOR = 3.13; 95% CI = 1.38 - 7.09) increased the likelihood of abnormal intima-media thickening of the CCA by three times, a family history of hypertension (AOR = 1.50; 95% CI = 1.01 - 2.23) increased the likelihood of abnormal intima-media thickening of the CB by almost twice. Ischemic stroke patients who ever smoked or took alcohol were about twice more likely to have abnormal intimamedia thickening of the CB. Furthermore, ischemic stroke patients with a family history of stroke were twice at risk of intima-media thickening of their CCA (AOR = 1.83; 95% CI = 1.20 - 2.77) and ICA (AOR = 1.89; 95% CI = 1.19 - 2.98). Those who earned above 500 dollars were however less likely to have abnormal intima-media thickening of the CB (Supplementary Table 1).

Hemorrhagic stroke: In the hemorrhagic group, family history of hypertension increased the likelihood of abnormal intima-media thickening of the CCA twice (AOR = 1.86; 95% CI = 1.04 - 3.30) and ICA (AOR = 0.38; 95% CI = 0.15 - 0.93). Ischemic stroke patients who were diabetic (AOR = 1.94; 95% CI = 1.00 - 3.77) were also twice more likely to have abnormal intima-media thickening of their CCA. Family history of stroke (AOR = 4.88; 95% CI = 1.84 - 12.93) increased the likelihood of intima-media thickening of the CB by five times. Hemorrhagic stroke patients who attained tertiary education (AOR = 2.48; 95% CI = 1.41 - 4.36) were less likely to have abnormal intima-media thickening of their CB (Supplementary Table 2).

Prevalence of carotid and vertebral artery plaques:

The overall prevalence (95% CI) of plaques in the study population was 26.1% (279/1070) [95% CI: 23.5-28.8]. Presence of plaque was significantly more in ischemic stroke (241/809, 29.8%) [95% CI: 26.7-33.0] compared with hemorrhagic stroke (38/261, 14.6%) [95% CI: 10.8-19.4] patients (p < 0.05). Plaques were recorded in all the 3 segments of the carotid arteries while no plaque was recorded in the left VA.

CVRFs with univariate associations with the frequency of plaque in the carotid and vertebral arteries:

CCA: Ischemic stroke patients who had dyslipidaemia had a higher frequency of plaques compared to those without dyslipidaemia in their CCA bilaterally ( $36 \pm 7.96$  vs  $9 \pm 3.78$ ; p = 0.034 and  $37 \pm 8.28$  vs  $9 \pm 3.86$ ; p = 0.03 on the right and left respectively). Ischemic stroke patients with raised waist-hip-ratio (WHR) had a higher frequency of plaques compared to their counterparts with normal WHR ( $28 \pm 5.1$  vs  $11 \pm 10.4$ ; p = 0.035) in the CCA (Table 3).

CB: Ischemic stroke patients above 40 years of age had a significantly higher frequency of plaques compared to those younger than 40 years in the CB bilaterally ( $94 \pm 15.36$  vs  $2 \pm 3.45$ ; p = 0.013 and  $81 \pm 13.50$  vs  $0 \pm 0.00$ ; p = 0.04 on the right and left respectively). Stroke patients with raised waist-hip-ratio (WHR) generally had a higher frequency of plaques compared to their counterparts with normal WHR ( $86 \pm 12.1$  vs  $25 \pm 18.7$ ; p = 0.039) in the right CB (Table 4). Dyslipidemic stroke patients generally had a higher frequency of plaques relative to those with a normal lipid profile ( $52 \pm 9.35$  vs  $44 \pm 14.24$ ; p = 0.028) in the CB. Hemorrhagic stroke patients have a higher frequency of plaques in their left CB compared to the non-hypertensive hemorrhagic stroke patients ( $13 \pm 6.40$  vs  $2 \pm 28.57$ ; p = 0.025) (Table 4).

ICA: Female stroke patients generally had a higher frequency of plaques relative to their male counterparts ( $34 \pm 9.26$  vs  $25 \pm 5.48$ ; p = 0.032) in the ICA (Table 5). In the left ICA, Ischemic stroke patients with DM had a higher frequency of plaques compared to their counterparts without DM ( $26 \pm 10.57$  vs  $18 \pm 4.59$ ; p = 0.004) (Table 5).

VA: While no plaque was found in the left VA of the hemorrhagic stroke patients, there was no significant association between the CVRFs and frequency of plaques seen in the left VA of the ischemic group and the right VA of both stroke types (Table 6).

CVRFs with independent associations with the presence of plaques in the stroke types

Age and level of education commonly showed associations with the presence of plaques in the CCA (AOR = 4.42; 95% CI = 1.06 - 18.48), CB (AOR = 3.70; 95% CI = 1.58 - 8.67) and ICA (AOR = 5.37; 95% CI = 1.26 - 22.87) of stroke patients generally. Diabetes mellitus showed association with presence of plaques in the CCA (AOR = 1.87; 95% CI = 1.15 - 3.03) and ICA (AOR = 1.67; 95% CI = 1.03 - 2.72). Dyslipidaemia (AOR = 1.90; 95% CI = 1.09 - 3.30) showed association with presence of plaque in the CCA. Stroke patients with any form of education were less likely to have plaques in their CB (AOR = 0.52; 95% CI = 0.30 - 0.91 for primary, AOR = 0.50; 95% CI = 0.30 - 0.84 for secondary and AOR = 0.56; 95% CI = 0.34 - 0.91 for tertiary) and ICA (AOR = 0.49; 95% CI = 0.24 - 0.97 for primary, AOR = 0.30; 95% CI = 0.15 - 0.62 for secondary and AOR = 0.30; 95% CI = 0.15 - 0.58 for tertiary) while those with primary education (AOR = 0.43; 95% CI = 0.20 - 0.96) were less likely in their CCA. The CVRFs did not show any independent association with the presence of plaques in the vertebral arteries of stroke patients.

Ischemic stroke: No CVRF showed association with the presence of plaques in the carotid and vertebral arteries in the ischemic stroke group.

Hemorrhagic stroke: Similarly, no CVRF showed association with the presence of plaques in the carotid and vertebral arteries in the hemorrhagic stroke group.

	Ischemic stroke	Hemorrhagic stroke	All stroke	Test statistics	p value	
Variable						
Age: Mean (SD)	60.9 (13.8)	54.4 (13.7)	59.2 (14.0)	6.662	<0.001	
Gender: n (%)						
Male	452 (56.1)	158 (60.5)	612 (57.2)	1.573	0.210	
Female	355 (43.9)	103 (39.5)	485 (42.8)			
Type of domicile: n (%)						
Rural/semi-urban	275 (34.2)	105 (40.7)	380 (35.8)	3.634	0.057	
Urban	530 (65.8)	153 (59.3)	683 (64.2)			
Marital status: n (%)						
Not currently married	207 (25.6)	57 (21.8)	264 (24.7)	1.492	0.222	
Currently married	602 (74.4)	204 (78.2)	806 (75.3)			
Formal education: n (%)		. ,				
None	127 (15.8)	38 (14.8)	165 (15.6)	8.521	0.036	
Primary	146 (18.2)	47 (18.3)	193 (18.2)			
Secondary	211 (26.3)	90 (35.0)	301 (28.4)			
Higher	319 (39.7)	82 (31.9)	401 (37.8)			
Average total monthly income $\leq$ \$500 (%)	· /					
	706 (87.3)	228 (87.4)	934 (87.3)	0.001	0.970	
Family history of hypertension: n (%)						
	598 (73.9)	176 (67.4)	774 (72.3)	0.274	0.600	
Family history of diabetes mellitus: n (%)						
	153 (15.2)	33 (12.6)	156 (14.6)	1.039	0.308	
Family history of stroke: n (%)	147 (18.2)	40 (15.3)	187 (17.5)	1.107	0.293	
History of alcohol: n (%)	254 (31.4)	90 (34.5)	344 (32.2)	0.862	0.353	
Never use	545 (77.6)	169 (74.5)	714(76.9)			
Ever use & Low quantity	140 (19.4)	45 (19.8)	185(19.9)			
Ever use & high quantity	17 (2.4)	13 (5.7)	30(3.2)	6.031	0.049	
History of smoking: n (%)	75 (9.3)	16 (6.1)	91 (8.5)	2.501	0.114	
Family history of heart disease: n (%)		. /				
	28 (3.5)	9 (3.5)	37 (3.5)	0.0001	0.992	

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of study participants

Significant p< 0.05 (in bold)

	Ischemic stroke	Hemorrhagic stroke	All stroke	Test statistic	<i>p</i> value
Variable					
Handedness (Right): n (%)	732 (92.8)	228 (90.1)	960 (92.1)	2.078	0.361
Hypertension: n (%)	765 (94.6)	253 (96.9)	1018 (95.1)	2.405	0.121
<b>Diabetes Mellitus</b> : n (%)	316 (39.1)	56 (21.5)	372 (34.8)	26.967	<0.001
Dyslipidemia: n (%)	542 (67.3)	168 (64.4)	710 (66.6)	0.777	0.378
Height (m): mean (SD)	165.4 (8.1)	164.6 (8.1)	165.2 (8.1)	1.351	0.178
Weight (Kg): mean (SD)	72.5 (14.1)	72.9 (13.9)	72.6 (14.1)	0.434	0.665
Waist-Hip-Ratio: mean (SD)	0.94 (0.07)	0.95 (0.08)	0.95 (0.08)	0.782	0.435
<b>SBP</b> (mmHg): mean (SD)	153.3 (29.6)	169.1 (33.2)	157.3 (31.3)	6.738	<0.001
<b>DBP</b> (mmHg): mean (SD)	92.2 (16.2)	103.1 (19.2)	95.0 (17.7)	8.118	<0.001
Heart rate (bpm): mean (SD)	88.0 (17.5)	86.8 (18.3)	87.7 (86.4)	0.825	0.409
Pulse pressure (mmHg): mean (SD)	61.1 (20.6)	66.0 (20.3)	62.3 (20.6)	3.32	0.001
MAP (mmHg): mean (SD)	91.8 (22.7)	100.4 (23.7)	94.0 (23.2)	5.023	<0.001
HDL (mmol/L):Median (Q1, Q3)	1.2 (0.9, 1.5)	1.2 (0.9, 1.6)	1.2 (0.9, 1.6)	0.951	0.343
LDL (mmol/L):Median (Q1, Q3)	3.0 (2.2, 3.9)	3.1 (2.4, 4.0)	3.1 (2.3, 3.9)	0.849	0.397
TC (mmol/L):Median (Q1, Q3)	4.8 (3.9, 5.7)	4.9 (4.1, 6.0)	4.8 (4.0, 5.8)	1.354	0.177
TG (mmol/L):Median (Q1, Q3)	1.2 (0.9, 1.6)	1.0 (0.8, 1.4)	1.1 (0.8, 1.6)	1.609	0.109
<b>Platelet</b> (µL <sup>-1</sup> ): Median (Q1, Q3)	207 (168, 262)	201 (148, 254)	206 (160, 261)	0.911	0.364
INR: Median (Q1, Q3)	1.2 (1.1, 1.7)	1.2 (1.1, 1.3)	1.2 (1.1, 1.6)	1.399	0.167
<b>Creatinine</b> (µmol/L): Median (Q1,	88.4 (70.7, 114.9)	91.0 (70.7, 132.6)	88.7 (70.7, 118.0)	1.022	0.982
Q3)					
Uric acid (µmol/L):Median (Q1, Q3)	320.0 (260.0, 420.0)	340 (250.0, 420.0)	326.0 (259.5, 420.0)	0.997	0.320

Table 2: Basic clinical characteristics of study participants

SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure, DBP: Diastolic Blood Pressure, bpm: beats per minute, MAP: Mean Arterial Pressure, HDL: High Density Lipoprotein, LDL: Low Density Lipoprotein, TC: Total Cholesterol, TG: Triglyceride, INR: International Normalized Ratio; Significant p < 0.001 (in bold); Q1 and Q3 are first and third quartile respectively

	Maximum IMT (mm): Mean(SD)							Plaque frequency: n(%)					
	Right			Left				Right			Left		
	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All Stroke	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All Stroke	
	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke		stroke	stroke		
Age													
<u>&lt;</u> 40 years	0.80(0.27)		0.85(0.28)	0.76(0.22)	0.92(0.27)	0.83(0.25)	1(1.67)	0(0.00)	1(1.05)	2(3.39)	0(0.00)	2(2.13)	
>40 years	1.01(0.67)	0.95(0.25)	1.00(0.60)	1.04(0.77)	0.96(0.30)	1.02(0.69)	44(6.97)	4(2.25)	48(5.93)	44(7.07)	10(5.59)	54(6.74)	
<i>p</i> -value	<0.001	0.515	<0.001	<0.001	0.279	<0.001	0.111	0.371	0.052	0.281	0.373	0.111	
Gender													
Male	1.02(0.68)	0.94(0.26)	1.00(0.60)	1.03(0.68)	0.97(0.32)	1.02(0.61)	23(5.91)	3(2.33)	26(5.02)	22(5.73)	7(5.43)	29(5.65)	
Female	0.96(0.61)	0.95(0.27)	0.96(0.55)	1.00(0.82)	0.94(0.26)	0.98(0.73)	22(7.21)	1(1.18)	23(5.90)	24(8.00)	3(3.49)	27(6.99)	
<i>p</i> -value	0.201	0.813	0.251	0.541	0.036	0.422	0.49	0.544	0.562	0.239	0.509	0.41	
Waist-Hip Ratio													
Not raised	1.07(0.84)	0.95(0.26)	1.04(0.75)	1.08(0.77)	1.00(0.31)	1.06(0.69)	11(10.4)	0(0.00)	11(8.2)	7(6.7)	0(0.0)	7(5.3)	
Raised	0.99(0.63)	0.95(0.26)	0.98(0.56)	1.01(0.76)	0.94(0.27)	0.99(0.68)	28(5.1)	03(1.7)	31(4.3)	31(5.7)	8(4.6)	39(5.5)	
p-value	0.297	0.914	0.289	0.357	0.282	0.249	0.035	0.631	0.055	0.691	0.604	0.931	
Hypertension													
Yes	1.00(0.66)	0.95(0.26)	0.99(0.59)	1.03(0.76)	0.96(0.29)	1.01(0.68)	45(6.89)	4(1.93)	49(5.70)	45(6.99)	10(4.81)	55(6.46)	
No	0.76(0.25)	0.78(0.34)	0.77(0.27)	0.79(0.26)	0.82(0.36)	0.80(0.27)	0(0.00)	0(0.00)	0(0.00)	1(2.50)	0(0.0)	1(2.13)	
<i>p</i> -value	<0.001	0.195	<0.001	<0.001	0.296	<0.001	0.082	0.71	0.089	0.272	0.552	0.232	
Diabetes													
mellitus													
Yes	0.99(0.61)	0.99(0.25)	0.99(0.57)	1.03(0.76)	1.04(0.38)	1.03(0.72)	23(8.61)	1(2.50)	24(7.82)	20(7.69)	3(7.14)	23(7.62)	
No	1.00(0.68)	0.93(0.26)	0.98(0.59)	1.01(0.73)	0.93(0.27)	0.99(0.63)	22(5.15)	3(1.72)	25(4.16)	26(6.13)	7(4.05)	33(5.53)	
<i>p</i> -value	0.869	0.103	0.735	0.68	0.057	0.309	0.072	0.744	0.021	0.429	0.393	0.221	
Dyslipidemia													
Yes	0.99(0.57)	0.93(0.26)	0.97(0.51)	1.00(0.66)	0.98(0.32)	1(0.59)	36(7.96)	4(2.92)	40(6.79)	37(8.28)	8(5.84)	45(7.71)	
No	1.01(0.80)	0.97(0.26)	1.00(0.70)	1.05(0.90)	0.91(0.29)	1.02(0.78)	9(3.78)	0(0.00)	9(2.86)	9(3.86)	2(2.56)	11(3.54)	
<i>p</i> -value	0.634	0.298	0.515	0.428	0.044	0.714	0.034	0.299	0.013	0.03	0.273	0.014	
Smoking													
Yes	0.97(0.32)	1.03(0.18)	0.98(0.30)	1.05(0.41)	0.96(0.26)	1.03(0.38)	2(3.03)	0(0.00)	2(2.53)	2(3.13)	1(8.33)	3(3.95)	
No	1.00(0.68)	0.94(0.27)	0.99(0.61)	1.02(0.78)	0.96(0.30)	1.00(0.69)	43(7.05)	4(2.03)	47(5.82)	43(7.14)	9(4.55)	52(6.50)	
<i>p</i> -value	0.543	0.103	0.922	0.54	0.975	0.49	0.213	0.604	0.222	0.223	0.55	0.381	
Alcohol													
Never use	0.98(0.61)	0.95(0.28)	0.97(0.55)	0.99(0.74)	0.96(0.32)	0.98(0.67)	36(7.8)	4(2.9)	40(6.7)	37(8.1)	8(5.8)	45(7.6)	
Ever low	1.06(0.82)	0.97(0.18)	1.04(0.72)	1.11(0.84)	0.98(0.26)	1.08(0.74)	5(4.0)	0(0.0)	5(3.0)	6(4.8)	2(5.3)	8(4.9)	
Ever high	0.93(0.33)	0.98(0.38)	0.95(0.34)	1.00(0.24)	0.96(0.33)	0.98(0.28)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
<i>p</i> -value	0.407	0.862	0.389	0.277	0.927	0.259	0.332	0.645	0.1347	0.420	1.000	0.341	
r · ·····		****		······	=.					** *			

### Table 3: IMT and plaque frequency in the Common Carotid Artery

# Table 4: IMT and plaque frequency in the Carotid Bulb

	Maximum IMT (mm): Mean(SD)							Plaque frequency: n(%)						
		Right			Left			Right			Left			
	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All	Ischemic	Hemorrha	All		
	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	gic stroke	stroke		
Age														
<u>&lt;</u> 4092¢8r29)		1.10(0.75)	0.99(0.53)	0.90(0.32)	1.00(0.34)	0.94(0.88)	2(3.45)	4(10.81)	6(6.32)	0(0.00)	1(2.94)	1(1.12)		
> 40 years	1.11(0.71)	1.03(0.56)	1.09(0.68)	1.07(0.59)	1.02(0.38)	1.06(0.54)	94(15.36)	15(8.33)	109(13.76)	81(13.50)	14(8.00)	95(12.26)		
p-value	<0.001	0.562	0.077	<0.001	0.793	0.002	0.013	0.627	0.041	0.004	0.296	0.002		
Gender														
Male	1.12(0.80)	0.97(0.30)	1.08(0.71)	1.10(0.69)	1.02(0.36)	1.08(0.63)	44(11.64)	11(8.46)	55(10.83)	41(11.05)	7(5.51)	48(9.64)		
Female	1.06(0.68)	1.14(0.86)	1.07(0.59)	1.00(0.34)	1.01(0.39)	1.01(0.35)	52(17.63)	8(9.09)	60(15.67)	40(13.94)	8(9.64)	48(12.97)		
<i>p</i> -value	0.176	0.068	0.865	0.019	0.872	0.026	0.028	0.872	0.033	0.264	0.256	0.121		
Waist-Hip Ratio														
Not raised	1.21(1.22)	0.99(0.27)	1.16(1.08)	1.07(0.69)	1.08(0.35)	1.07(0.63)	21(20.4)	4(12.9)	25(18.7)	14(13.6)	2(6.9)	16(12.1)		
Raised	1.07(0.56)	1.05(0.65)	1.06(0.57)	1.05(0.56)	0.99(0.38)	1.03(0.52)	71(13.3)	15(8.5)	86(12.1)	65(12.4)	13(7.6)	78(11.2)		
<i>p</i> -value	0.223	0.342	0.089	0.710	0.196	0.463	0.061	0.430	0.039	0.740	1.00	0.766		
Hypertension	1 10(0 (0)	1.05/0./0	1.00/0.(7)	1.05(0.50)	1.00/0.00	1.05(0.54)	00/14/00		110(10.07)		10/( 10)			
Yes	1.10(0.69)	1.05(0.60)	1.09(0.67)	1.0/(0.58)	1.02(0.36)	1.05(0.54)	93(14.69)		112(13.27)	79(12.78)	13(6.40)	92(11.21)		
NO	0.90(0.32)	0.79(0.37)	0.89(0.33)	0.89(0.31)	1.05(0.61)	0.92(0.37)	3(7.50)		3(6.38)	2(5.00)	2(28.57)	4(8.51)		
<i>p</i> -value	0.001	0.094	<0.001	0.002	0.864	0.017	0.207		0.17	0.147	0.025	0.567		
Diabetes mellitus	1 12(0.74)	1 104(0.00)	1 14(0 77)	1 11(0 (7)	1.0((0.24)	1.11/0.64)	20(14(2))	((12.(4)	44/14 47)	24(12.71)	2(7.50)	45(10(1)		
res	1.15(0.74)	1.194(0.98)	1.14(0.77)	1.11(0.67)	1.06(0.54)	1.11(0.64)	58(14.62)	0(15.04)	44(14.47)	34(13.71)	3(7.30)	45(10.61)		
IN0	1.07(0.64)	1.00(0.45)	1.05(0.59)	1.02(0.49)	1.01(0.38)	1.02(0.46)	58(14.04)	13(7.47)	/1(12.10)	4/(11.46)	12(7.06)	30(15.58)		
<i>p</i> -value	0.246	0.179	0.059	0.041	0.388	0.022	0.836	0.195	0.315	0.395	0.922	0.065		
Ves	1 10(0 72)	1.02(0.59)	1.09(0.70)	1.06(0.56)	1.02(0.41)	1.05(0.52)	64(14.75)	11(7.07)	75(12.11)	45(10.61)	7(5.20)	52(0.25)		
No	1.10(0.75) 1.08(0.57)	1.02(0.58)	1.08(0.70)	1.00(0.50)	1.05(0.41)	1.05(0.55)	32(12.62)	8(10.00)	40(12,70)	43(10.01)	7(3.30) 8(10.26)	32(9.55)		
nu n velue	1.06(0.57)	0.422	0.008	0.810	0.502	0.006	0.601	8(10.00) 0.600	40(12.70)	0.065	0(10.20)	44(14.24) 0.028		
<i>p</i> -value Smoking	0.000	0.452	0.990	0.019	0.392	0.990	0.091	0.009	0.801	0.005	0.176	0.020		
Vec	1 14(0.43)	1 11(0.45)	1 14(0.43)	1.09(0.42)	1 14(0 45)	1 10(0.42)	12(18.46)	2(15.38)	14(17.95)	11(17.74)	0(0.00)	11(14.67)		
No	1.14(0.45) 1.09(0.71)	1.04(0.61)	1.14(0.45)	1.05(0.59)	1.14(0.45) 1.01(0.37)	1.10(0.42) 1.04(0.54)	82(13.87)	16(8.00)	98(12.39)	67(11.55)	14(7.29)	81(10.49)		
n-value	0.338	0.551	0.227	0.559	0.267	0.276	0.316	0 354	0.162	0.156	0 313	0.267		
Alcohol	0.000	0.001	0.221	0.009	0.207	0.270	0.510	0.304	0.102	0.120	0.515	0.201		
Never use	1.02(0.34)	0.98(0.49)	1.01(0.38)	1.02(0.45)	1.00(0.39)	1.02(0.45)	62(13.9)	10(7.3)	72(12.4)	54(12.4)	10(7.6)	64(11.3)		
Ever low	1.29(1.13)	1.14(0.70)	1.25(1.04)	1.13(0.73)	1.01(0.26)	1.09(0.64)	21(16.9)	8(19.5)	29(17.6)	16(13.1)	4(10.0)	20(12.4)		
Ever high	1.37(1.09)	1.28(1.34)	1.33(1.19)	1.19(0.49)	1.11(0.49)	1.15(0.49)	2(16.7)	1(10.0)	3(13.6)	1(9.1)	0(0.0)	1(5.3)		
<i>p</i> -value	<0.001	0.105	<0.001	0.067	0.613	0.071	0.610	0.075	0.226	0.955	0.866	0.773		

#### Table 5: IMT and plaque frequency in the Internal Carotid Artery

	Maximum IMT (mm): Mean(SD)								Plaque frequency: n(%)					
		Right			Left			Right			Left			
	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All stroke	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All stroke		
	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke		stroke	stroke			
Age														
<u>&lt;</u> 40 years	0.71(0.35)	0.90(0.36)	0.79(0.36)	0.72(0.27)	0.84(0.32)	0.77(0.30)	0(0.00)	2(6.06)	2(2.17)	1(1.75)	1(3.45)	2(2.33)		
> 40 years	0.94(0.72)	0.90(0.55)	0.93(0.88)	0.93(0.73)	0.87(0.34)	0.91(0.66)	56(9.51)	3(1.71)	59(7.72)	43(7.44)	4(2.42)	47(6.33)		
p-value	<0.001	0.991	0.001	<0.001	0.666	<0.001	0.006	0.135	0.051	0.107	0.103	0.136		
Gender														
Male	0.93(0.78)	0.86(0.34)	0.91(0.69)	0.90(0.67)	0.88(0.35)	0.90(0.60)	26(7.08)	1(0.79)	27(5.48)	21(5.72)	2(1.71)	23(4.75)		
Female	0.90(0.57)	0.95(0.70)	0.91(0.60)	0.91(0.75)	0.84(0.32)	0.89(0.67)	30(10.56)	4(4.82)	34(9.26)	23(8.49)	3(3.85)	26(7.45)		
p-value	0.483	0.225	0.965	0.861	0.36	0.946	0.116	0.082	0.032	0.173	0.355	0.103		
Waist-Hip Ratio														
Not raised	0.95(0.82)	0.86(0.27)	0.93(0.72)	0.91(0.87)	0.89(0.30)	0.90(0.76)	9(9.2)	0(0.0)	9(7.1)	9(9.2)	0(0.0)	9(7.4)		
Raised	0.90(0.68)	0.91(0.56)	0.90(0.65)	0.89(0.59)	0.85(0.35)	0.88(0.54)	44(8.5)	5(2.9)	49(7.1)	32(6.3)	5(3.1)	37(5.5)		
p-value	0.549	0.420	0.692	0.840	0.479	0.722	0.815	1.000	0.983	0.290	1.00	0.411		
Hypertension														
Yes	0.93(0.71)	0.90(0.52)	0.92(0.66)	0.92(0.72)	0.87(0.34)	0.90(0.64)	55(9.00)	60(7.38)	23(9.16)	43(7.19)		48(6.09)		
No	0.71(0.29)	0.80(0.46)	0.73(0.32)	0.73(0.30)	0.70(0.36)	0.72(0.31)	1(2050)	1(2.13)	33(8.25)	1(2.50)		1(2.22)		
p-value	<0.001	0.556	<0.001	0.002	0.277	0.001	0.155	0.173	0.686	0.257		0.283		
Diabetes mellitus														
Yes	0.96(0.86)	1.04(0.91)	0.97(0.86)	0.98(0.89)	0.89(0.30)	0.96(0.82)	0(0.00)	23(7.96)	37(8.92)	26(10.57	0(0.00)	26(9.19)		
No	0.89(0.57)	0.86(0.35)	0.88(0.51)	0.86(0.55)	0.85(0.35)	0.86(0.49)	5(2.92)	38(6.65)	19(8.19)	18(4.59)	5(3.16)	23(4.18)		
p-value	0.216	0.175	0.073	0.067	0.445	0.045	0.587	0.482	0.753	0.004	0.586	0.004		
Dyslipidemia														
Yes	0.93(0.69)	0.86(0.32)	0.91(0.62)	0.92(0.72)	0.88(0.36)	0.91(0.65)		3(2.27)	40(7.31)	28(6.85)	4(3.33)	32(6.05)		
No	0.90(0.71)	0.96(0.74)	0.92(0.72)	0.89(0.68)	0.84(0.29)	0.87(0.59)		2(2.60)	21(6.80)	16(7.11)	1(1.33)	17(5.67)		
<i>p</i> -value	0.69	0.207	0.81	0.588	0.375	0.429		0.882	0.778	0.9	0.39	0.822		
Smoking														
Yes	0.88(0.53)	1.11(0.58)	0.93(0.55)	0.82(0.34)	0.94(0.28)	0.85(0.33)	5(8.20)	1(7.14)	6(8.00)	2(3.39)		2(2.82)		
No	0.92(0.72)	0.89(0.51)	0.91(0.67)	0.92(0.74)	0.85(0.34)	0.90(0.65)	50(8.73)	3(1.58)	53(6.95)	42(7.47)		47(6.34)		
<i>p</i> -value	0.606	0.164	0.798	0.099	0.31	0.254	0.889	0.249	0.734	0.245		0.233		
Alcohol											- (			
Never use	0.89(0.59)	0.88(0.58)	0.89(0.59)	0.89(0.67)	0.84(0.36)	0.88(0.60)	36(8.4)	3(2.3)	39(7.0)	36(8.5)	5(4.0)	41(7.5)		
Ever low	0.98(0.68)	0.94(0.38)	0.97(0.61)	0.98(0.63)	0.89(0.24)	0.96(0.55)	10(8.2)	1(2.5)	11(6.8)	7(5.9)	0(0.0)	7(4.6)		
Ever high	0.88(0.41)	0.91(0.36)	0.89(0.38)	1.09(0.54)	0.78(0.31)	0.95(0.47)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)		
p-value	0.381	0.781	0.307	0.255	0.527	0.306	0.943	1.000	0.766	0.587	0.669	0.315		

106

			(mm): Mean(SD	Plaque frequency: n(%)									
		Right		Left				Right	1 5	1	Left		
	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	All	
	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	stroke	
Age													
<u>&lt;40</u> years	0.46(0.46)	0.46(0.28)	0.46(0.42)	0.43(0.25)	0.44(0.30)	0.43(0.26)							
> 40 years	0.50(0.31)	0.51(0.27)	0.51(0.30)	0.50(0.31)	0.47(0.24)	0.50(0.30)							
p-value	0.527	0.489	0.406	0.073	0.702	0.077							
Gender													
Male	0.52(0.34)	0.51(0.32)	0.52(0.34)	0.50(0.31)	0.45(0.24)	0.49(0.30)	4(1.38)		5(1.38)				
Female	0.47(0.32)	0.50(0.22)	0.48(0.29)	0.48(0.30)	0.49(0.26)	0.48(0.29)	2(0.90)		2(0.72)				
p-value	0.22	0.844	0.234	0.463	0.431	0.678	0.615		0.432				
Waist-Hip Ratio													
Not raised	0.49(0.44)	0.53(0.21)	0.49(0.42)	0.45(0.27)	0.46(0.24)	0.45(0.27)	2(2.5)	0(0.0)	2(2.3)	1(1.4)		1(1.2)	
Raised	0.50(0.31)	0.51(0.28)	0.50(0.31)	0.50(0.32)	0.47(0.25)	0.49(0.30)	2(0.5)	1(0.9)	3(0.6)	4(1.0)		4(0.8)	
p-value	0.813	0.777	0.851	0.212	0.841	0.230	0.122	1.000	0.151	0.573		0.535	
Hypertension													
Yes	0.51(0.34)	0.50(0.28)	0.51(0.32)	0.50(0.31)	0.46(0.25)	0.49(0.30)							
No	0.41(0.23)	0.60(0.16)	0.44(0.23)	0.41(0.21)	0.63(0.17)	0.44(0.22)							
p-value	0.069	0.336	0.148	0.058	0.145	0.235							
Diabetes mellitus													
Yes	0.52(0.36)	0.59(0.27)	0.53(0.35)	0.51(0.33)	0.49(0.29)	0.51(0.33)	4(2.06)	1(3.70)	5(2.26)				
No	0.48(0.31)	0.49(0.27)	0.48(0.30)	0.48(0.29)	0.46(0.23)	0.48(0.28)	2(0.63)	0(0.00)	2(0.48)				
p-value	0.32	0.134	0.163	0.333	0.669	0.246	0.145	0.211	0.039				
Dyslipidemia													
Yes	0.49(0.33)	0.48(0.19)	0.49(0.31)	0.50(0.33)	0.45(0.24)	0.49(0.31)	3(0.96)	0(0.00)	3(0.78)				
No	0.51(0.34)	0.54(0.35)	0.52(0.34)	0.49(0.28)	0.48(0.26)	0.49(0.28)	3(1.55)	1(1.79)	4(1.60)				
p-value	0.653	0.271	0.382	0.762	0.517	0.937	0.552	0.437	0.333				
Smoking													
Yes	0.544(0.28)	1.04(0.56)	0.61(0.36)	0.61(0.51)	0.64(0.21)	0.62(0.49)							
No	0.50(0.34)	0.48(0.22)	0.49(0.32)	0.48(0.28)	0.46(0.25)	0.48(0.27)							
p-value	0.368	0.09	0.063	0.153	0.124	0.084							
Alcohol													
Never use	0.48(0.35)	0.47(0.21)	0.48(0.33)	0.47(0.30)	0.45(0.25)	0.46(0.29)	5(1.5)	1(1.2)	6(1.4)				
Ever low	0.58(0.27)	0.67(0.42)	0.60(0.31)	0.61(0.35)	0.58(0.21)	0.61(0.32)	1(1.1)	0(0.0)	1(0.9)				
Ever high	0.77(0.29)	0.63(0.21)	0.70(0.24)	0.83(0.32)	0.50(0.10)	0.67(0.28)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)				
p-value	0.071	0.016	0.006	0.0014	0.125	0.0005	1.000	1.000	1.000				

# Table 6: IMT and plaque frequency in the vertebral artery

# Discussion

We investigated the prevalence and pattern of atherosclerosis in the carotid and vertebral arteries among West African stroke patients as well as CVRFs associated with them. We present findings in the sub-Saharan African region where the prevalence of carotid and vertebral atherosclerosis using ultrasound has not been determined before now; our study being the first study to evaluate the pattern of association of traditional CVRFs with carotid and vertebral atherosclerosis in both stroke types.

Our patients with hemorrhagic stroke were younger compared with patients with ischemic stroke, consistent with previous reports. [19] The CVRFs explored in this study included age, gender, level of formal education, hypertension, DM, dyslipidemia, history of alcohol intake, history of smoking, WHR, as well as family histories of hypertension, stroke, diabetes mellitus and heart disease. The prevalence of these CVRFs was comparable between the 2 stroke types (p > 0.05) except for DM (p < 0.001) which was evidently commoner in the ischemic stroke group. Hypertension was the most prevalent risk factor as reported in the larger SIREN study [14] which demonstrated that hypertension was associated with both stroke types and is the leading risk factor for stroke among West Africans.

Intima-media thickness is a structural abnormality of atherosclerosis, and plaques are generally seen in the later stages of atherosclerosis. Uniform thickening of the intima-media is measured for the IMT while the focal elevation of the intima-media with a maximum thickness of more than 1.0 mm is measured for plaque. [11] In stroke, the implication of intima-media thickening and plaques is in the pathophysiology of ischemic

stroke which is related to luminal narrowing and plaque embolization from the extracranial vessels that result in reduction or occlusion of blood flow to the brain and ultimately brain ischemia.[20, 21] Intima-media thickening was found in both stroke types, being more prevalent in the ischemic group (85%) than hemorrhagic group (80.8%) as well as in both carotid and vertebral arteries in this study; although the associations with CVRFs were significantly more in the ischemic stroke group and were seen almost exclusively in the carotid arteries. Plaques were also found in both stroke types as well as in the carotid and vertebral arterial systems in the index study. The plaques were more prevalent in the carotid arteries (8.76%) than in the VAs (1.69%). This may be related to the higher incidence of stroke in the anterior circulation which originates from the carotid system. [4-9] There was also an increased plaque burden in ischemic stroke (29.8%) compared to the hemorrhagic stroke (14.6%) population. These findings suggest that carotid ultrasound for IMT and plaque is more relevant in ischemic stroke than in hemorrhagic stroke.

Even though plaques were more common in the ischemic stroke patients in this study, the presence of carotid and vertebral plaques in both stroke types may be related to the similar CVRFs shared by the stroke types. This is supported by the findings of a study that these CVRFs are also associated with carotid plaques even in non-stroke African subjects. [22] The clinical implication of the plaques in the hemorrhagic stroke group is likely a marker of cardiovascular risk burden rather than as a risk for the incident stroke.

In the index study, the CVRFs had more contributions to carotid atherosclerosis (all studied CVRFs had one form of significant univariate and independent association or the other with IMT in the carotids) compared with vertebral atherosclerosis (only alcohol intake had univariate association and no CVRF has an independent association with IMT in the vertebras). Age and hypertension which have been shown to be the two most common risk factors for stroke [22] were independently associated with increased IMT in the ischemic stroke patients (all the 3 segments of the carotid arteries for age and CCA for hypertension) but not in the hemorrhagic stroke patients. A Norwegian study in young ischemic stroke patients found age to be associated with IMT in all the 3 carotid segments [23] similar to this study despite differences in the genetic makeup of the participants of both studies. That study also found hypertension to be associated with CCA IMT only, similar to our study that found hypertension to have an independent association with CCA IMT only even though hypertension showed univariate association with IMT in all the 3 carotid segments. With regards to primary prevention, the clinical implication is that IMT of all segments of the carotid arteries can be routinely followed up as subjects get older, and in those with hypertension. For secondary prevention, blood pressure control may reduce atherosclerosis and stroke risk in our population. History of smoking, DM, family history of hypertension, and family history of stroke showed varied independent associations with increased IMT in different segments of the carotid artery in both stroke types. The varying associations of these CVRFs with IMT in different segments of the carotid artery may be a result of the difference in their pathophysiological pathway leading to intima-media thickening and plaque formation. Compared to age which was independently associated with atherosclerosis in all the segments of the carotid artery, hypertension, history of smoking, DM, family history of hypertension, and family history of stroke were independently associated with atherosclerosis in only one segment of the carotid artery, implying that age is likely to cause more burden of atherosclerotic disease than these other CVRFs. The sidedness of some of the associations seen in this study may have been influenced by the non-symmetrical distribution of carotid atherosclerotic plaque size and composition in the carotid arteries. However, we did not explore this in the present analysis.

It is worth mentioning that although the history of alcohol showed an association which was dependent on other CVRFs with IMT in the vertebral arteries in both stroke types, the quantity of alcohol intake associated with IMT differed between the stroke types. While a low quantity of alcohol intake was associated with hemorrhagic stroke, a high quantity of alcohol intake was associated with ischemic stroke. Having demonstrated that a low quantity of alcohol is protective against coronary artery calcification and a high quantity of alcohol is a risk for CCA IMT in South Asians[24], further studies are recommended in Africans

to unravel the role of alcohol in the pathophysiological pathway leading to the intima-media thickening seen in our stroke population.

In this study, having a form of formal education was an independent protective factor for intima-media thickening in all the carotid segments in ischemic stroke patients and CB in the hemorrhagic stroke patients. An average monthly income above 500 dollars was also seen as a protective factor for intima-media thickening in the CB in ischemic stroke patients. These findings suggest that having a formal education and economic empowerment may reduce the risk of carotid atherosclerosis and stroke in our population.

There was no CVRF that had an independent association with the presence of plaque in both stroke types in the carotid and vertebral vessels even though age and hypertension had univariate bilateral associations with the prevalence of plaques in all the 3 segments of the carotid artery while dyslipidemia showed univariate bilateral association with prevalence of plaques in the CCA in the ischemic stroke patients. On the other hand, hypertension showed a univariate unilateral association with the prevalence of plaques in the CCA in the prevalence of plaques in the left CB in the hemorrhagic patients. Our findings suggest that extracranial plaques may not pose significant risks for stroke in our population. Although our study did not evaluate intracranial plaques, our findings may indirectly support the findings of a previous study that found intracranial atherosclerotic strokes more prevalent in non-white populations including blacks and Hispanics. [25] Evaluation of the intracranial vessels for plaques in the stroke cohort of the SIREN study may provide additional evidence to support this. This will be addressed in a future analysis.

This study has several strengths, including the presentation of comparisons between the 2 stroke types in our unique dataset within the largest stoke investigative network in the sub-region region and the inclusion of vertebral artery data. However, our study also has some limitations. The fact that our analysis was based on only the SIREN patients who had Doppler USS may have resulted in selection bias. The cross-sectional design of this study, as well as its non-comparison with controls, may limit the evidence emerging from the study, however, the robustness of our data and the exploratory analyses provide new insights on the contribution of carotid arteriosclerosis to stroke in African patients who represent a unique group with distinct genetic and environmental factors. Evaluation of plaque characteristics like size echotexture, echogenicity and surface, as well as assessment of Doppler velocimetry indices in future studies will provide additional evidence about carotid and vertebral atherosclerosis in our African stroke patients.

# Conclusion

The carotid arteries carry a greater burden of intima-media thickening and plaque than the vertebral arteries and the plaque burden is higher in ischemic stroke compared to hemorrhagic stroke patients of West African descent. Age and hypertension are the two CVRFs that could be associated with any segment of the carotid bed in terms of intima-media thickening while other known traditional CVRFs showed varied associations with different segments of the carotid arteries.

**Funding**: The study and investigators were supported by the National Institutes of Health grants SIREN (U54HG007479), SIBS Genomics (R01NS107900), African Neurobiobank for Precision Stroke Medicine ELSI project (U01HG010273), SIBS Gen (R01NS107900-02S1), ARISES (R01NS115944-01), H3Africa CVD Supplement (3U24HG009780-03S5), and CaNVAS (1R01NS114045-01), Sub-Saharan Africa Conference on Stroke (SSACS) Conference 1R13NS115395-01A1 and Training Africans to Lead and Execute Neurological Trials & Studies (TALENTS) D43TW012030.

# **Authors' Contributions**

ADO, GO, and MO conceived the idea and designed the study. JA performed the analysis. ADO wrote the first draft. MO, GO and BO served in the role of overseeing the execution of the manuscript and provided critical intellectual input. All authors edited the manuscript and approved the final version.

# References

- 1. Strong K, Mathers C, Bonita R. Preventing stroke: saving lives around the world. Lancet Neurol. 2007; 6:182-187.
- 2. Owolabi MO, Arulogun O, Melikam S, Adeoye AM, Akarolo-Anthony S, Akinyemi R, et al. The burden of stroke in Africa: a glance at the present and a glimpse into the future. Cardiovasc J Afr. 2015;26: S27-S38.
- 3. Ferri CP, Schoenborn C, Kalra L, Acosta D, Guerra M, Huang Y, et al. Prevalence of stroke and related burden among older people living in Latin America, India, and China. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2011; 82:1074-1082.
- 4. Léránt B, Csiba L. The role of extracranial ultrasound in the prevention of stroke based on the new guidelines. Perspect Med. 2012; 1:94-99.
- 5. Gillum R.F. Stroke in blacks. Stroke. 1988; 19:1–9.
- 6. Sacco RL, Boden-Albala B, Abel G, Lin I-F, Elkind M, Hauser WA, et al. Race–ethnic disparities in the impact of stroke risk factors: The Northern Manhattan Stroke Study. Stroke. 2001; 32:1725–1731
- 7. Frey JL, Jahnke HK, Bulfinch EW. Differences in stroke between White, Hispanic, and Native American patients. The Barrow Neurological Institute Stroke Database. Stroke. 1998; 29:29 –33.
- 8. Gillum RF. Risk factors for stroke in blacks: a critical review. Am J Epidemiol. 1999 ; 150:1266 1274.
- 9. Uchino K, Risser JMH, Smith MA, Moyé LA, Morgenstern LB. Ischemic stroke subtypes among Mexican Americans and non-Hispanic whites: The BASIC project. Neurology 2004; 63: 574–576.
- 10. Schneider AT, Kissela B, Woo D, Kleindorfer D, Alwell K, Miller R, et al. Ischemic stroke subtypes: a population-based study of incidence rates among blacks and whites. Stroke 2004; 35:1552–1556.
- 11. Cloud GC, Markus HS. Diagnosis and management of vertebral artery stenosis. Q J Med. 2003; 96:27-34.
- 12. Stein JH, Korcarz CE, Hurst RT, Lonn E, Kendall CB, Mohler ER, et al. Use of Carotid Ultrasound to Identify Subclinical Vascular Disease and Evaluate Cardiovascular Disease Risk: A Consensus Statement from the American Society of Echocardiography Carotid Intima-Media Thickness Task Force Endorsed by the Society for Vascular Medicine. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2008; 21:93-111.
- 13. Akpalu A, Sarfo FS, Ovbiagele B, Akinyemi R, Gebregziabher M, Obiako R, et al. Phenotyping Stroke in Sub-Saharan Africa: Stroke Investigative Research and Education Network (SIREN) Phenomics Protocol. Neuroepidemiology. 2015; 45:73-82.
- 14. Pignoli P, Tremoli E, Poli A, Oreste P, Paoletti R. Intimal plus medial thickness of the arterial wall: a direct measurement with ultrasound imaging. Circulation. 1986; 74:1399-1406.
- 15. Touboul PJ, Hennerici MG, Meairs S, Adams H, Amarenco P, Bornstein N, et al. Mannheim Carotid Intima-Media Thickness Consensus (2004–2006). Cerebrovasc Dis. 2007; 23:75-80.
- 16. Terminology and Diagnostic Criteria Committee, Japan Society of Ultrasonics in Medicine. Standard method for ultrasound evaluation of carotid artery lesions. J Med Ultrasound. 2009; 36:219-226.
- 17. Grant EG, Benson CB, Moneta GL, Alexandrov AV, Baker JD, Bluth EI, et al. Carotid artery stenosis: grayscale and Doppler ultrasound diagnosis- Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound consensus conference. Ultrasound Q. 2003 Dec; 19:190-198.
- Owolabi MO, Sarfo F, Akinyemi R, Gebregziabher M, Akpa O, Akpalu A, et al. Dominant modifiable risk factors for stroke in Ghana and Nigeria (SIREN): a case-control study. Lancet Glob Health. 2018; 6: e436-e446.
- 19. Owolabi MO, Agunloye A.M. Which risk factors are more associated with ischemic stroke rather than hemorrhagic stroke in black Africans? Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2013; 115: 2069-2074.
- 20. O'Leary DH, Polak JF, Kronmal RA, Manolio TA, Burke GL, Wolfson SK Jr. Carotid-artery intima and media thickness as a risk factor for myocardial infarction and stroke in older adults. N Engl J Med 1999; 340:14-22.
- 21. Spagnoli LG, Mauriello A, Sangiorgi G, Fratoni S, Bonanno E, Schwartz RS, et al. Extracranial thrombotically active carotid plaque as a risk factor for ischemic stroke. JAMA. 2004; 292: 845-852.

- 22. Omisore AD, Famurewa OC, Komolafe MA, Asaleye CM, Fawale BM, Afolabi BI. Association of traditional cardiovascular risk factors with carotid atherosclerosis among adults at a teaching hospital in southwestern Nigeria. Cardiovasc J. Afr. 2018; 29: 183-188.
- 23. Fromm A, Haaland Ø.A, Naess, H, Thomassen L, Waje-Andreassen U. Risk factors and their impact on carotid intima-media thickness in young and middle-aged ischemic stroke patients and controls: The Norwegian Stroke in the Young Study. BMC Res Notes. 2014; 7: 176 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-7-176
- 24. Cheveli PA, Aladin AI, Kanaya AM, Kandula NR, Malaver D, Herrington DM. Alcohol consumption and subclinical atherosclerosis among South Asians: Findings from the Mediators of Atherosclerosis in South Asians Living in America (MASALA) study. Nutr Metab & Cardiovasc Dis. 2020; 30:123-131.
- 25. Sacco RL, Kargman DE, Gu Q, Zamanillo MC. Race-Ethnicity and Determinants of Intracranial Atherosclerotic Cerebral Infarction: the Northern Manhattan Stroke Study. Stroke. 1995; 26:14-20. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.26.1.14