



Article

Block Copolyesters Containing 2,5-Furan and *trans*-1,4-Cyclohexane Subunits with Outstanding Gas Barrier Properties

Giulia Guidotti ¹, Laura Genovese ¹, Michelina Soccio ¹, Matteo Gigli ², Andrea Munari ¹,
Valentina Siracusa ^{3,*} and Nadia Lotti ^{1,*}

¹ Department of Civil, Chemical, Environmental and Materials Engineering, University of Bologna, Via Terracini 28, 40131 Bologna, Italy; giulia.guidotti9@unibo.it (G.G.); lauragenovese87@gmail.com (L.G.); m.soccio@unibo.it (M.S.); andrea.munari@unibo.it (A.M.)

² Department of Chemical Science and Technologies, University of Roma Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Roma, Italy; matteo.gigli@uniroma2.it

³ Department of Chemical Science, University of Catania, Viale A. Doria 6, 95125 Catania, Italy

* Correspondence: vsiracus@dmfci.unict.it (V.S.); nadia.lotti@unibo.it (N.L.); Tel.: +39-338-7275526 (V.S.); +39-051-2090354 (N.L.)

Received: 15 March 2019; Accepted: 1 May 2019; Published: 2 May 2019



Abstract: Biopolymers are gaining increasing importance as substitutes for plastics derived from fossil fuels, especially for packaging applications. In particular, furanoate-based polyesters appear as the most credible alternative due to their intriguing physic/mechanical and gas barrier properties. In this study, block copolyesters containing 2,5-furan and *trans*-1,4-cyclohexane moieties were synthesized by reactive blending, starting from the two parent homopolymers: poly(propylene furanoate) (PPF) and poly(propylene cyclohexanedicarboxylate) (PPCE). The whole range of molecular architectures, from long block to random copolymer with a fixed molar composition (1:1 of the two repeating units) was considered. Molecular, thermal, tensile, and gas barrier properties of the prepared materials were investigated and correlated to the copolymer structure. A strict dependence of the functional properties on the copolymers' block length was found. In particular, short block copolymers, thanks to the introduction of more flexible cyclohexane-containing co-units, displayed high elongation at break and low elastic modulus, thus overcoming PPF's intrinsic rigidity. Furthermore, the exceptionally low gas permeabilities of PPF were further improved due to the concomitant action of the two rings, both capable of acting as mesogenic groups in the presence of flexible aliphatic units, and thus responsible for the formation of 1D/2D ordered domains, which in turn impart outstanding barrier properties.

Keywords: biopolyesters; furanoate-based polymers; poly(propylene furanoate); block copolymers; gas barrier properties; mesogenic groups

1. Introduction

At present, polymers and plastics are mostly obtained from fossil sources. The decline of petroleum reserves, the fluctuating price of petroleum based products, and the stringent environmental regulations due to severe environmental pollution and waste management issues have prompted the use of chemicals derived from renewable resources in both scientific and industrial communities [1–3]. A shift towards the use of biomass for the production of polymers would indeed not only reduce the contribution to the greenhouse effect, but also preserve mineral resources for future generations. It is important to note that packaging represents the largest market segment in the plastic industry. More than a third of all plastics yearly produced are converted into packaging (approximately

100 million tons worldwide). In Western industrial countries, 50 percent of all goods are packaged in plastics [4]. Without the various available packaging solutions, many sensitive goods would waste away or get damaged en route to the customer. Recently, also because of the growing concern and awareness on sustainability, the development and use of bioplastics for food packaging has become of great interest from an industrial point of view.

Among possible alternatives, polyesters containing furan moieties are an emerging and very promising class of biobased materials. Indeed, 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid (FDCA) can be derived from 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF), in turn obtained from sugars and polysaccharides [5]. The latest developments in FDCA synthesis [6–8] have led to the production of numerous FDCA-based polyesters such as poly(ethylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate) (PEF) [9–13], poly(propylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate) (PPF) [14–16], poly(butylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate) (PBF) [17–21], to cite the most important examples, and others including some FDCA-based copolyesters and nanocomposites [22–39]. Poly(ethylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate) (PEF) represents a very promising solution, particularly as a substitute of poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET), owing to very similar physic/mechanical properties. Furthermore, PEF displays considerably enhanced gas barrier properties as compared to PET. Specifically, a 11×, 19×, and 2.1× lowering of O₂, CO₂, and water permeability, respectively, has been observed for amorphous PEF with respect to amorphous PET [40–42]. Barrier properties of PPF [14,16], PBF [20,34,36,37], poly(pentamethylene furanoate) (PPentF) [43], and poly(neopentyl glycol furanoate) (PNF) [44] have also been recently investigated in order to evaluate their potential for food packaging applications.

However, homopolymers do not always fulfill all the requirements for a specific application. In this respect, a very useful technique to modulate the material characteristics in order to achieve the desired combination of properties is represented by copolymerization. Copolymerization allows for the creation of completely new classes of materials, whose behavior is strictly correlated to the composition and molecular architecture of the comonomeric units present in the polymer structure. Over the past several years, our group has intensively focused the research activity on the synthesis and characterization of fully biodegradable and/or biobased aliphatic copolyesters from *trans*-1,4-cyclohexanedicarboxylic acid for environmental as well as biomedical applications [45–55]. Such polymers proved to be particularly interesting for food packaging applications [45,49,51–54]. Recently, we focused our attention on the fully-aliphatic biobased poly(propylene cyclohexanedicarboxylate) (PPCE), whose thermal and mechanical properties were deeply investigated, together with its compostability [55].

In this framework, fully biobased multiblock copolymers of PPF and PPCE with fixed composition and different block length (PPFPPCE-*t*, with *t* equal to mixing minutes) were prepared through reactive blending, a simple, solvent-free and easily scalable synthetic route, with the aim of reducing the intrinsic PPF rigidity while preserving its outstanding gas barrier behavior, thus widening its range of applications. The thermal and mechanical properties of the synthesized copolymers were investigated and correlated to the molecular architecture. Last, but not least, to evaluate the potential of these new polyesters for flexible food packaging, the barrier performances to different gases and at various temperatures were tested.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Polymer Synthesis and Molecular and Thermal Characterization

The copolymer synthesis (chemical structure in Figure 1) was optimized by varying the reaction temperatures in the range 210–260 °C. At 210 °C the transesterification reactions did not appreciably occur over a reaction period of 8 h, in the range 220–230 °C the reaction kinetic rate was very low and at 250 °C, the prevalence of chain scission reactions prevented the obtainment of copolymers with high molecular weight. Therefore, 240 °C was chosen as the most suitable option.




Figure 1. Chemical structure of PPFPPCE-t copolymers and pictures of compression-molded films of PPF, PPCE, and PPFPPCE-90.

Molecular characterization data are reported in Table 1. All the samples display relatively high and similar molecular weight, proving that no significant thermal degradation occurred during the reactive blending. Moreover, the higher the mixing time, the higher the molecular weight of the multiblock copolyesters. This effect, already reported in the literature, is due to the prevalence of transesterification reactions over chain scissions [50].

Table 1. Molecular characterization and wettability data of PPF, PPCE, and PPFPPCE-t copolymers.

Polymer	<i>b</i>	L_{PF}	L_{PCE}	PF (mol%) by 1H -NMR	M_n (g/mol)	D	WCA (°)
PPF	-	-	-	100	30,000	2.3	88 ± 3
PPCE	-	-	-	0	33,000	2.5	97 ± 3
PPFPPCE-5	0.08	25	26	48	24,200	3.3	89 ± 2
PPFPPCE-25	0.45	4.2	4.8	47	25,100	2.6	91 ± 3
PPFPPCE-40	0.69	2.7	3.0	47	27,300	2.3	92 ± 4
PPFPPCE-90	1.00	2.0	2.1	49	28,400	2.3	94 ± 3

b: degree of randomness; L_{PF} : average length of PF sequences; L_{PCE} : average length of PCE sequences; M_n : average number molecular weight; D: polydispersity index; WCA: water contact angle.

Figure 2 reports the 1H -NMR spectrum of PPFPPCE-40 with the corresponding resonance assignments. Besides confirming the expected structure, it can be observed that, as suggested by the low intensity of d_{cis} protons with respect to the d_{trans} ones, the *cis/trans* ratio of the cyclohexane moiety did not vary with respect to the feed (3%), regardless of the high temperature reached during the polymerization process. The copolymer composition was determined from the relative areas of the resonance peak of the aromatic protons of the furan ring located at 7.38 ppm and of the signal at 1.48 ppm of the *f* protons of the cyclohexane moiety (Figure 2). The actual composition was close to the feed in all cases (Table 1). In addition, NMR spectroscopy permitted us to follow the structural changes occurring during reactive blending by monitoring the evolutions of the peaks located in the region between 4.6 and 4.2 ppm (Figure 2, bottom), where the propanediol protons of the $-OCH_2-$ group are located. In this region, besides the triplets at 4.58 and 4.24 ppm, corresponding to the F-P-F (*b* protons) and CE-P-CE (*g* protons) triads, with the increase of the mixing time, two additional triplets arose due to the F-P-CE and CE-P-F (*i* and *j* protons) triads that formed as a consequence of transesterification.




Figure 2. Top: $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectra of PPFPPCE-40 with resonance assignments; Bottom: Enlargement of $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectra in the region of 4.1–4.7 ppm. Evolution of the spectrum as a function of the mixing time: (a) PPFPPCE-5; (b) PPFPPCE-25; (c) PPFPPCE-90.

The degree of randomness b was calculated from the relative intensity of the signals corresponding to b , g , i , and j protons. b is equal to 1 for random copolymers, 2 for alternate copolymers, 0 for a mixture of two homopolymers, and $0 < b < 1$ for block copolymers. The degree of randomness was calculated according to Equation (1):

$$b = P_{\text{F-CE}} + P_{\text{CE-F}} \quad (1)$$

where $P_{\text{F-CE}}$ and $P_{\text{CE-F}}$ are the probability of finding an F unit next to a CE one and the probability of finding a CE unit next to an F one, respectively. In turn, $P_{\text{F-CE}}$ and $P_{\text{CE-F}}$ can be expressed as follows (Equation (2)):

$$P_{\text{F-CE}} = I_i / (I_i + I_b); P_{\text{CE-F}} = I_j / (I_j + I_g) \quad (2)$$

where I_i , I_j , I_b , I_g represent the integrated intensities of the resonance peaks of the F–P–CE, CE–P–F, F–P–F, CE–P–CE triads, respectively. Additionally, the average length of PF and PCE sequences in the copolymer are defined as:

$$L_{\text{PF}} = 1/P_{\text{F-CE}}; L_{\text{PCE}} = 1/P_{\text{CE-F}} \quad (3)$$

The average PF and PCE sequence lengths and the corresponding degrees of randomness for the PPFPPCE block copolymers are reported in Table 1. Block length decreases as the reaction proceeds, while b is directly proportional to the mixing time. Therefore, it can be concluded that the experimental conditions we adopted allowed for the synthesis of several block copolymers, from very long blocks (PPFPPCE-5) to a random distribution (PPFPPCE-90), by simply changing the reaction time.

PPF film is more hydrophilic than PPCE, as expected on the basis of the electronegative oxygen atom present in the aromatic ring. As to the copolymers, the film hydrophilicity is correlated to the PF block length: the longer the PF sequences, the lower the water contact angle (WCA) value (Table 1).

The thermal degradation of the PPFPPCE-t is characterized by one-step weight loss that starts above 360 °C. PPCE is more thermally stable than PPF. This result can be explained considering that the presence of aliphatic rings imparts to polyesters a thermal stability higher than that of the corresponding terephthalic aromatic polymers, and that these last appeared to be more thermally stable than the furan-derived analogue [10,53]. The thermal stability of the copolymers is comprised between that of the two homopolymers.

The DSC curves and the corresponding main thermal transition data of the materials under study are reported in Figure 3 and Table 2, respectively. The two homopolymers display different phase behavior, as PPCE is semicrystalline, whereas PPF is completely amorphous, even though able to crystallize during heating once T_g is exceeded. Furthermore, $T_{m,PPF}$ is 20 °C higher than that of PPCE. As to the glass transition, $T_{g,PPCE}$ is below room temperature (12 °C), while the glass transition of PPF (56 °C) is higher than RT (Table 2), as expected on the basis of its aromatic nature. The copolymers are all semicrystalline, with the exception of PPFPPCE-90. The PPFPPCE-5 DSC trace shows two melting peaks, whose locations indicate the presence of the pure crystalline phases of PPF and PPCE. In the other copolymers, only one endothermic peak can be seen and, according to the semicrystalline nature of PPCE, it can be attributed to the PPCE sequences present in the copolymers. Those melting peaks move to lower temperatures and the heat of fusion decreases as the crystallizable block length decreases. The PPFPPCE-5 copolymer shows two glass transitions, indicating the presence of two amorphous phases: one PPCE-rich and the other PPF-rich. On the contrary, all the other copolymers are characterized by one glass transition in between those of the homopolymers, indicating that the two blocks turn miscible in the amorphous phase for $L_{block} \leq 4$. PPFPPCE-5 and PPFPPCE-25 are able to crystallize above their corresponding T_g s during heating, similarly to PPF. However, they are semicrystalline, being $\Delta H_c < \Delta H_m$. Considering the ability of PPF to crystallize during heating as well as the cold-crystallization peak location, the exo-phenomenon can be attributed to the crystallization of the PPF sequences.

Table 2. Thermal characterization data of PPF, PPCE, and PPFPPCE-t copolymers.

Polymer	1st scan									2nd scan				
	T_{id} (°C)	T_{max} (°C)	T_g (°C)	ΔC_p (J/g°C)	T_c (°C)	ΔH_c (J/g)	T_m (°C)	ΔH_m (J/g)	T_g (°C)	ΔC_p (J/g°C)	T_c (°C)	ΔH_c (J/g)	T_m (°C)	ΔH_m (J/g)
PPF	360	387	50	0.19	137	7	168	7	50	0.19	-	-	-	-
PPCE	386	411	12	0.240	/	/	146	29	11	0.172	67	20	146	28
PPFPPCE-5	367	392	14; 55	0.064; 0.071	120	6	147; 167	16; 8	55	0.15	-	-	146; 169	8; 4
PPFPPCE-25	372	407	30	0.231	105	2	131	14	28	0.131	-	-	146	1
PPFPPCE-40	370	404	28	0.229	/	/	122	8	26	0.260	-	-	-	-
PPFPPCE-90	370	399	26	0.269	/	/	/	/	26	0.284	-	-	-	-

T_{id} : degradation onset temperature; T_{max} : temperature of the maximum weight loss rate; T_g : glass transition temperature; ΔC_p : heat capacity increment associated with glass-to-rubber transition; T_c : crystallization temperature; ΔH_c : heat of crystallization; T_m : melting temperature; ΔH_m : heat of fusion.

After melt quenching (Table 2 and Figure 3), the two homopolymers still display an opposite phase behavior. PPCE is semicrystalline, though able to crystallize on heating, whereas the PPF DSC trace exclusively shows the baseline deviation associated to the glass transition phenomenon. PPFPPCE-5 and PPFPPCE-25 are semicrystalline, the former being again characterized by the presence of two melting endotherms attributable to PPF and PPCE pure crystalline phases, while PPFPPCE-40 and PPFPPCE-90 are fully amorphous. As to the glass transition, an analogous behavior to the first scan can be observed. In conclusion, the crystallizing ability of PPCE is higher than that of PPF due to both the structural rigidity and the hindering of rotation of the furan ring [10,18]. For the copolymers, the ability to crystallize is lowered due to the shorter the length of PCE crystallizable sequences.




Figure 3. Calorimetric curves of PPF, PPCE, PPFPPCE-5, and PPFPPCE-90. Left: 1st scan; right: 2nd scan after quenching from the melt.

2.2. Mechanical Characterization

Tensile data (elastic modulus E , stress at break σ_b , and elongation at break ϵ_b) are reported in Table 3.

Table 3. Mechanical characterization data of PPF, PPCE, and PPFPPCE- t copolymers.

Polymer	E (MPa)	σ_b (MPa)	ϵ_b (%)
PPF	1363 ± 158	31 ± 3	3 ± 1
PPCE	662 ± 52	16 ± 2	154 ± 18
PPFPPCE-5	1072 ± 52	27 ± 3	4 ± 1
PPFPPCE-25	951 ± 38	11 ± 1	28 ± 7
PPFPPCE-40	290 ± 51	8 ± 1	417 ± 82
PPFPPCE-90	228 ± 18	7 ± 1	635 ± 44

E : elastic modulus; σ_b : stress at break; ϵ_b : elongation at break.

The two homopolymers are characterized by significantly different mechanical behaviors. E_{PPCE} is halved with respect to E_{PPF} , whereas $\epsilon_{b,PPCE}$ is 50 times higher, in accordance with a more flexible structure. The higher rigidity of the PPF can be explained taking into account that the furan ring-flipping is greatly suppressed [56]. The mechanical properties of the copolymers appear to be strictly related to the block length. In fact, the elastic modulus decreases and the elongation to break increases as the block length decreases. This trend is due to the different degree of crystallinity, which regularly decreased with crystallizable block length. Recently, Wang et al. investigated the mechanical properties of random poly(ethylene-co-1,4-cyclohexanedimethylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate) copolymers with different compositions. The authors found that the introduction of an aliphatic cyclohexane ring along the PEF polymeric chain improved the polymer toughness. In fact, the copolymer containing 60 mol% of the aliphatic ring was characterized by an ϵ_b of 186% [28]. In our case, the random copolymer (PPFPPCE-90) was characterized by an elongation to break of 635%, despite the lower amount of aliphatic rings present (50 mol% ca.), probably because of the introduction of a practically 100% *trans* aliphatic ring in the acid sub-unit.

2.3. Barrier Properties

Barrier properties exhibited by polymer films are an essential requirement for food packaging application. Food products need a complex type of protection in order to prolong their shelf-life, while maintaining the desired quality and characteristics. For example, living food-stuff that produce carbon dioxide (CO₂) (such as fermented milk) require a packaging permeable to CO₂ gas. At the same time, it has to be protected from oxygen (O₂), which eventually oxidizes the fat. On the contrary, the packaging material of fresh vegetables and fruits has to be characterized by a moderate oxygen permeability. In fact, these foods are usually picked before ripening, therefore they need oxygen to respire. Animal-fat food reacts with oxygen, forming aldehydes that make the fat rancid, with consequent bad taste and flavor. Therefore, a high oxygen-barrier packaging material is required. Generally speaking, the shelf life of a food product is thus dependent on several factors, such as composition, time, temperature, gases, moisture, and light.

Taking into account the complex scenario reported above, the permeability behavior to three different gases, CO₂, O₂, and N₂ was investigated. In Figure 4, gas transmission rate (GTR) values to CO₂, O₂, and N₂ measured at 23 °C for PPF, PPCE, and PPFPPCE-t are reported. In all cases, CO₂ gas was more permeable than O₂ and N₂, despite the larger molecular diameter (3.4 Å, 3.1 Å, and 2.0 Å, respectively) because of the diffusivity increase and solubility decrease with the size of the permeant [57]. It is worth highlighting that, as already observed for other furan-based polyesters [42,44], CO₂ and O₂ transmission rates were quite similar. This effect can be explained considering that the polar character of the furan ring causes a higher CO₂ solubility in the polymer matrix.

PPF displayed significantly lower gas permeability than PPCE, in spite of the semicrystalline nature of the latter. This result is due to several factors including the hindering of ring flipping [10], limited subglass local dynamics conferred by the furan ring [56], and the establishment of C–H···O interactions among adjacent polymer chain segments [58]. Lastly, it should be noted that at room temperature, PPF was in the glassy state (Table 2). Therefore, macromolecular chains have little mobility, with a consequent reduction of the free volume for diffusion of the gas molecules through the polymer matrix.




Figure 4. Gas Transmission Rate (GTR) of O₂, N₂, and CO₂ through PPCE, PPF, and PPFPPCE-t copolymers.

PPFPPCE-t copolymers showed gas permeabilities comparable to those of PPF, notwithstanding the 1:1 molar composition. Specifically, the barrier properties of PPFPPCE-5 were slightly worse than those of PPF. This result can be explained based on the fact that for this polymer, due to the very high block length, both T_gs and T_ms of the two homopolymers were detected (Table 2). Therefore, preferential pathways for the gas crossing through interphase domains can be hypothesized. On the other hand, all other copolymers displayed a lowering of the gas permeability with respect to PPF.

For PPFPPCE-25 and PPFPPCE-40, this phenomenon could be ascribed to the development of a certain degree of crystallinity, which hampers gas diffusion due to the restricted mobility of this phase, however, the same effect cannot be invoked for PPFPPCE-90, which is amorphous, with T_g very close to room temperature (Table 2). Nevertheless, it was possible to prepare nicely free-standing films. The results here reported may therefore suggest the development of unusual structural features in PPFPPCE-90, different from the classical crystalline phase, due to a synergistic effect of the furan ring, present in PPF, and of the cyclohexane ring of PPCE. Both rings can act as mesogenic groups and, in the presence of a flexible segment such as the propylene sub-unit, could contribute to the formation of 1D/2D ordered domains, typical of liquid crystal polymers, that, as is known, are characterized by outstanding barrier and mechanical properties, such as those found for PPFPPCE-90 [59]. A similar behavior has been already evidenced for an amorphous cyclohexane-containing copolymeric system [48]. Further studies are ongoing to investigate these unusual structural features.

Temperature is one of the most important parameters affecting both food respiration rate and polymer gas permeability [57]. Therefore, to better understand the barrier behavior of PPFPPCE-t copolymers under different operating conditions, CO_2 permeability has been measured in the range 8–40 °C. In particular, three different temperatures were chosen to contemplate all possible scenarios from food preservation to food handling. Furthermore, it should be noted that 8 °C was below T_g for all the investigated materials, while 40 °C was well above T_g (with the exception of PPF and PPFPPCE-5, this last displaying two T_g s). Lastly, 23 °C was considered for copolymers with shorter blocks (i.e., PPFPPCE-25, PPFPPCE-40 and PPFPPCE-90) to be within the glass transition phenomenon.

In all cases, a clear dependence of the CO_2 -Transmission Rate (CO_2 -TR) with the temperature can be observed: the higher the temperature, the higher the permeability (Figure 5). However, depending on the molecular architecture, a different rate of increase can be observed. In particular, for PPF and PPFPPCE-5 the increase rate is constant because the tested temperatures are all below T_g , thus, the polymers are in the glassy state. For PPFPPCE-25, a much higher increase can be noted from 23 (below T_g) to 40 °C (above T_g), due to the transition from a glassy to rubbery state. Finally, PPFPPCE-40 and PPFPPCE-90 displayed a more significant increment of CO_2 -TR from 8 to 23 °C than from 23 to 40 °C. This is because at 23 °C the transition glass-to-rubber is already happening. Of note, both PPFPPCE-40 and PPFPPCE-90 show lower permeability values than PPF in the whole range of investigated temperatures, and values below $0.04 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ cm m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ have been registered. This result is very important because it does not only certify the outstanding barrier properties of these copolyesters, but also testifies that they can be employed in a wide range of temperatures without suffering any significant performance decline. In particular, it can be noted that the high barrier performances are kept even above T_g , and this can be considered as indirect evidence of the presence of the unusual structural phase mentioned above, which is responsible for the low permeability values to gases and remaining stable even at higher temperatures.




Figure 5. CO_2 -TR as a function of T (°C) for PPF and PPFPPCE-t copolymers.

2.4. Film Color Determination

Envisioning possible applications as food packaging, film optical characteristics are of primary importance, as they impact on the consumer perception of the contained food. Therefore, films should be transparent and as colorless as possible. In this view, color and transparency of PPFPPCE-t films were studied and the results are reported in Table 4. As can be observed, PPCE displayed a higher tendency towards the white standard (lower ΔE) with respect to PPF and PPFPPCE-t copolymers. Furthermore, in terms of a^* (red/green index) and b^* (yellow/blue index), it can be noted that PPF and PPFPPCE-t showed a yellowish tendency (Figure 1), as confirmed by the h_{ab} values (slightly above 90°).

Table 4. L^* , a^* , b^* , ΔE , and h_{ab} of PPCE, PPF, and PPFPPCE-t copolymers.

Sample	L^*	a^*	b^*	ΔE	h_{ab}
White standard	66.80 ± 0.06	-0.72 ± 0.01	1.06 ± 0.06	-	124.2
PPCE	63.69 ± 0.39	-0.99 ± 0.04	2.49 ± 0.42	3.43	111.7
PPF	58.59 ± 0.20	-1.19 ± 0.06	15.42 ± 0.22	9.05	94.4
PPFPPCE-5	59.94 ± 0.27	-0.70 ± 0.05	12.68 ± 0.35	13.49	93.2
PPFPPCE-25	60.27 ± 0.80	-0.72 ± 0.15	10.12 ± 1.44	11.17	94.1
PPFPPCE-40	59.96 ± 0.16	-0.79 ± 0.07	10.85 ± 0.29	11.50	94.2
PPFPPCE-90	58.34 ± 0.35	-0.88 ± 0.06	10.90 ± 0.86	12.57	94.6

L^* : lightness; a^* : red/green index; b^* : yellow/blue index; ΔE : total color difference; h_{ab} : hue angle

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

The 2,5-Furandicarboxylic acid 98% (FDCA, CHEMOS GmbH & Co. K), *trans*-1,4-cyclohexane-dicarboxylic acid 97% (CHDA, TCI, Tokyo, Japan), 1,3-propanediol (PD), titanium tetrabutoxide ($Ti(OBu)_4$), and titanium tetraisopropoxide ($Ti(O-i-Pr)_4$) (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) were reagent grade products. FDCA, CHDA, PD, and $Ti(O-i-Pr)_4$ were used as supplied, $Ti(OBu)_4$ was distilled before use.

3.2. Synthesis of Homopolymers

Poly(propylene furanoate) (PPF) and poly(propylene cyclohexandicarboxylate) (PPCE) were synthesized in bulk by the usual two-stage melt polycondensation starting from 1,3-propanediol and the appropriate dicarboxylic acid, in accordance to the procedures previously reported [16,55].

3.3. Synthesis of Poly(Propylene 2,5 Furandicarboxylate/1,4-Cyclohexanedicarboxylate) Copolyesters

Poly(Propylene 2,5 Furandicarboxylate/1,4-Cyclohexanedicarboxylate) copolyesters were obtained by melt-mixing non-purified PPF and PPCE (1:1 molar ratio) in a 200 mL glass reactor at $240^\circ C$ under nitrogen atmosphere to prevent hydrolytic degradation. A head stirrer equipped with a teflon moon-shaped shaft was used. Mixing speed was set to 100 rpm. During the process, samples were taken from the reactor at different reaction times (5, 25, 40, and 90 min) and cooled in air. Copolymer formation was catalyzed by the residual $Ti(OBu)_4$ catalyst introduced in the polymerization of PPCE.

3.4. Film Preparation

Films were obtained by compression molding in a Carver C12 laboratory press (Carver, Wabash, IN, USA) at a temperature equal to $T_m + 30^\circ C$ for 3 min under a pressure of $2 \text{ tons}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$. Prior to characterization, films were stored under room temperature for 4 weeks. The film thickness was measured with a DMG Sample Thickness tester (Brugger Freinmechanik GmbH, Munich, Germany).

3.5. Physicochemical Characterization

3.5.1. Molecular Characterization

The polymer structure, composition, and sequence distribution were determined by $^1\text{H-NMR}$ at room temperature (RT) on a Varian INOVA 400 MHz instrument (Palo Alto, CA, USA). The samples were dissolved ($15\text{ mg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$) in a 20/80 (*v/v*) mixture of trifluoroacetic acid/chloroform-*d* solvent containing 0.03% (*v/v*) tetramethylsilane.

Molecular weight data were obtained by gel-permeation chromatography (GPC) at $30\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ using a 1100 Hewlett Packard system (Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a PL gel 5 m MiniMIX-C column and a UV detector. A 95/5 *v/v* mixture of chloroform/1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol was used as eluent with a $0.3\text{ mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ flow and sample concentrations of about $2\text{ mg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$. The system was calibrated with polystyrene standards in the molecular weight range 2000–100,000 g/mol.

3.5.2. Thermal Characterization

TGA was carried out under nitrogen on a Perkin Elmer TGA7 (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Gas flow of $30\text{ mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ and heating scan of $10\text{ }^\circ\text{C}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ were used.

A Perkin Elmer DSC6 (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) was used for the calorimetric measurements. Aluminum pans containing about 10 mg of polymeric samples were heated up from -70 to $40\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ above melting at a rate of $20\text{ }^\circ\text{C}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ (I scan). A II scan was recorded under the same operating conditions after quenching to $-70\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.

3.5.3. Mechanical Characterization

Tensile analysis was run on rectangular samples ($50 \times 5\text{ mm}$) using a starting grip-to-grip separation equal to 23 mm and a crosshead speed of 50 mm/min. A Z2.5 Zwick Roell Texture machine (Ulm, Germany) equipped with a 500 N load cell was employed. The results are reported as the average of at least 5 replicates.

3.5.4. Water Contact Angle Measurements

A KSV CAM101 instrument (KSV Instruments, Helsinki, Finland) was used for static contact angle measurements by analyzing the side profiles of $4\text{ }\mu\text{L}$ deionized water drops deposited on the film surface. The values reported are the average of at least 8 measurements.

3.5.5. Permeability Characterization

Permeability tests were performed by a manometric method using a Permeance Testing Device, type GDP-C (Brugger Feinmechanik GmbH, Munich, Germany), according to ASTM 1434-82, DIN 53 536, ISO 15105-1, and to the method A of the Gas Permeability Testing Manual (Registergericht München HRB 77020, Brugger Feinmechanik GmbH). Measurements were carried out on samples of 78.5 cm^2 at 8, 23, and $40\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ with a gas stream of $100\text{ cm}^3\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$, 0% RH. Chamber and sample temperatures were controlled by an external thermostat, KAAKE-Circulator DC10-K15 type (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). O_2 , CO_2 , and N_2 100% pure food grade gases were used. All experiments were run in triplicate.

3.5.6. Film Color Measurement

Film color and transparency were measured in accordance with ASTM E308 on a HunterLab ColorFlex EZ 45/0° spectrophotometer (Hunterlab, Reston, VA, USA). A calibration with black and white tile was run before the analysis. Results are reported as L^* (lightness), a^* (red/green), and b^* (yellow/blue) parameters. The total color difference (ΔE) was calculated as follows:

$$\Delta E = [(\Delta L)^2 + (\Delta a)^2 + (\Delta b)^2]^{0.5} \quad (4)$$

where ΔL , Δa , and Δb represent the variation of each parameter with respect to a standard white plate used as background. Hue angle (h_{ab}) was determined as follows [60,61]:

$$h_{ab} = \tan^{-1} (b^*/a^*) \quad (5)$$

Measurements were carried out in triplicate.

4. Conclusions

The results reported in the present work show that reactive blending is a very powerful tool to tailor the final properties of materials by simply acting on the mixing time. This parameter regulates the copolymers' molecular architecture in an effective and economic way.

A strict correlation between block length and final properties was established (for a fixed molar composition). In particular, the smart properties of PPF were significantly improved by introducing the more flexible aliphatic cyclohexane-based sequences. As a matter of fact, the PPF thermal stability increased by about 10 °C. Moreover, the flexibility given by the PCE sequences had a remarkable effect on the mechanical and barrier properties of PPF. As to the tensile behavior, stiffness and deformability significantly varied depending on the molecular architecture. For the shortest blocks, the flexibility effect due to the PCE sequences drastically changed the PPF mechanical response. The elastic modulus decreased by six-fold, whereas the elongation to break increased by about 200-fold, overcoming the problem of the high rigidity of PPF.

Similarly, the permeability to CO₂, O₂, and N₂ gases was remarkably influenced by the copolyesters' molecular architecture. Of great importance is the significant improvement of the already good barrier performance of PPF for copolymers with short block length; these exceptional barrier properties were maintained even at high temperatures above material T_g, allowing for their use in a wide range of temperatures without suffering any significant performance decline.

The introduction along the PPF macromolecular chain of more flexible PCE sequences seems to favor the development of an unusual structural phase characterized by 1D/2D order, which confers to these novel, 100% biobased materials the advantageous typical characteristics of liquid crystals.

Author Contributions: N.L., V.S., and A.M. conceived and designed the experiments; G.G., L.G., M.S., M.G., and V.S. performed the experiments; N.L., M.G., M.S., and V.S. analyzed the data; N.L., V.S., and A.M. contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools; V.S. and N.L. wrote the paper.

Funding: This research received no external funding

Acknowledgments: Authors acknowledge the Italian Ministry of University and Research. V.S. wishes to thank "Piano della Ricerca di Ateneo 2016–2018, University of Catania, Italy".

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Zia, K.M.; Noreen, A.; Zuber, M.; Tabasum, S.; Mujahid, M. Recent developments and future prospects on bio-based polyesters derived from renewable resources: A review. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2016**, *82*, 1028–1040. [CrossRef]
2. Gandini, A.; Lacerda, T.M. From monomers to polymers from renewable resources: Recent advances. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2015**, *48*, 1–39. [CrossRef]
3. Sousa, A.F.; Vilela, C.; Fonseca, A.C.; Matos, M.; Freire, C.S.R.; Gruter, G.J.M.; Coelho, J.F.J.; Silvestre, A.J.D. Biobased polyesters and other polymers from 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid: A tribute to furan excellency. *Polym. Chem.* **2015**, *6*, 5961–5983. [CrossRef]
4. Plastics - the Facts 2018 An Analysis of European Plastics Production, Demand and Waste Data, PlasticsEurope. Available online: https://www.plasticseurope.org/application/files/6315/4510/9658/Plastics_the_facts_2018_AF_web.pdf (accessed on 14 February 2019).

5. Gallo, J.M.R.; Alonso, D.M.; Mellmer, M.A.; Dumesic, J.A. Production and upgrading of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural using heterogeneous catalysts and biomass-derived solvents. *Green Chem.* **2013**, *15*, 85–90. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Teong, S.P.; Yi, G.; Zhang, Y. Hydroxymethylfurfural production from bioresources: Past, present and future. *Green Chem.* **2014**, *16*, 2015–2026. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Zuo, X.; Venkitasubramanian, P.; Busch, D.H.; Subramaniam, B. Optimization of Co/Mn/Br-Catalyzed Oxidation of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural to Enhance 2,5-Furandicarboxylic Acid Yield and Minimize Substrate Burning. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2016**, *4*, 3659–3668. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Zhang, Z.; Zhen, J.; Liu, B.; Lv, K.; Deng, K. Selective aerobic oxidation of the biomass-derived precursor 5-hydroxymethylfurfural to 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid under mild conditions over a magnetic palladium nanocatalyst. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 1308–1317. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Tsanaktsis, V.; Papageorgiou, D.G.; Exarhopoulos, S.; Bikiaris, D.N.; Papageorgiou, G.Z. Crystallization and Polymorphism of Poly(ethylene furanoate). *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2015**, *15*, 5505–5512. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Burgess, S.K.; Leisen, J.E.; Kraftschik, B.E.; Mubarak, C.R.; Kriegel, R.M.; Koros, W.J. Chain Mobility, Thermal, and Mechanical Properties of Poly(ethylene furanoate) Compared to Poly(ethylene terephthalate). *Macromolecules* **2014**, *47*, 1383–1391. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Maini, L.; Gigli, M.; Gazzano, M.; Lotti, N.; Bikiaris, D.; Papageorgiou, G. Structural Investigation of Poly(ethylene furanoate) Polymorphs. *Polymers* **2018**, *10*, 296. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Van Berkel, J.G.; Guigo, N.; Kolstad, J.J.; Sbirrazzuoli, N. Biaxial Orientation of Poly(ethylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate): An Explorative Study. *Macromol. Mater. Eng.* **2018**, *303*, 1700507. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Wang, J.G.; Liu, X.Q.; Zhu, J. From Furan to High Quality Bio-based Poly(ethylene furandicarboxylate). *Chin. J. Polym. Sci.* **2018**, *36*, 720–727. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Vannini, M.; Marchese, P.; Celli, A.; Lorenzetti, C. Fully biobased poly(propylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate) for packaging applications: Excellent barrier properties as a function of crystallinity. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 4162–4166. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Papageorgiou, G.Z.; Papageorgiou, D.G.; Tsanaktsis, V.; Bikiaris, D.N. Synthesis of the bio-based polyester poly(propylene 2,5-furan dicarboxylate). Comparison of thermal behavior and solid state structure with its terephthalate and naphthalate homologues. *Polymer* **2015**, *62*, 28–38. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Guidotti, G.; Soccio, M.; Lotti, N.; Gazzano, M.; Siracusa, V.; Munari, A. Poly(propylene 2,5-thiophenedicarboxylate) vs. Poly(propylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate): Two examples of high gas barrier bio-based polyesters. *Polymers* **2018**, *10*, 785. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Zhu, J.; Cai, J.; Xie, W.; Chen, P.H.; Gazzano, M.; Scandola, M.; Gross, R.A. Poly(butylene 2,5-furan dicarboxylate), a Biobased Alternative to PBT: Synthesis, Physical Properties, and Crystal Structure. *Macromolecules* **2013**, *46*, 796–804. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Papageorgiou, G.Z.; Tsanaktsis, V.; Papageorgiou, D.G.; Exarhopoulos, S.; Papageorgiou, M.; Bikiaris, D.N. Evaluation of polyesters from renewable resources as alternatives to the current fossil-based polymers. Phase transitions of poly(butylene 2,5-furan-dicarboxylate). *Polymer* **2014**, *55*, 3846–3858. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Ma, J.; Yu, X.; Xu, J.; Pang, Y. Synthesis and crystallinity of poly(butylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate). *Polymer* **2012**, *53*, 4145–4151. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Soccio, M.; Costa, M.; Lotti, N.; Gazzano, M.; Siracusa, V.; Salatelli, E.; Manaresi, P.; Munari, A. Novel fully biobased poly(butylene 2,5-furanoate/diglycolate) copolymers containing ether linkages: Structure-property relationships. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2016**, *81*, 397–412. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Soccio, M.; Martínez-Tong, D.E.; Alegría, A.; Munari, A.; Lotti, N. Molecular dynamics of fully biobased poly(butylene 2,5-furanoate) as revealed by broadband dielectric spectroscopy. *Polymer* **2017**, *128*, 24–30. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Wu, L.; Mincheva, R.; Xu, Y.; Raquez, J.M.; Dubois, P. High molecular weight poly(butylene succinate-co-butylene furandicarboxylate) copolyesters: From catalyzed polycondensation reaction to thermomechanical properties. *Biomacromolecules* **2012**, *13*, 2973–2981. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Zhou, W.; Wang, X.; Yang, B.; Xu, Y.; Zhang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Ji, J. Synthesis, physical properties and enzymatic degradation of bio-based poly(butylene adipate-co-butylene furandicarboxylate) copolyesters. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2013**, *98*, 2177–2183. [[CrossRef](#)]

24. Jacquel, N.; Saint-Loup, R.; Pascault, J.P.; Rousseau, A.; Fenouillot, F. Bio-based alternatives in the synthesis of aliphatic–aromatic polyesters dedicated to biodegradable film applications. *Polymer* **2015**, *59*, 234–242. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Zhou, W.; Zhang, W.; Xu, Y.; Wang, P.; Gao, L.; Zhang, W.; Ji, J. Synthesis and characterization of bio-based poly(butylene furandicarboxylate)-b-poly(tetramethylene glycol) copolymers. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2014**, *109*, 21–26. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Wu, B.; Xu, Y.; Bu, Z.; Wu, L.; Li, B.G.; Dubois, P. Biobased poly(butylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate) and poly(butylene adipate-co-butylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate)s: From synthesis using highly purified 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid to thermo-mechanical properties. *Polymer* **2014**, *55*, 3648–3655. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Papageorgiou, G.Z.; Papageorgiou, D.G.; Terzopoulou, Z.; Bikiaris, D.N. Production of bio-based 2,5-furan dicarboxylate polyesters: Recent progress and critical aspects in their synthesis and thermal properties. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2016**, *83*, 202–229. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Wang, J.; Liu, X.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, F.; Zhu, J. Modification of poly(ethylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate) with 1,4-cyclohexanedimethylene: Influence of composition on mechanical and barrier properties. *Polymer* **2016**, *103*, 1–8. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Matos, M.; Sousa, A.F.; Silvestre, A.J.D. Improving the Thermal Properties of Poly(2,5-furandicarboxylate)s Using Cyclohexylene Moieties: A Comparative Study. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *218*, 1600492. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Lotti, N.; Munari, A.; Gigli, M.; Gazzano, M.; Tsanaktsis, V.; Bikiaris, D.N.; Papageorgiou, G.Z. Thermal and structural response of in situ prepared biobased poly(ethylene 2,5-furan dicarboxylate) nanocomposites. *Polymer* **2016**, *103*, 288–298. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Hu, H.; Zhang, R.; Sousa, A.; Long, Y.; Ying, W.B.; Wang, J.; Zhu, J. Bio-based poly(butylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate)-b-poly(ethylene glycol) copolymers with adjustable degradation rate and mechanical properties: Synthesis and characterization. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2018**, *106*, 42–52. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Wang, J.; Liu, X.; Jia, Z.; Sun, L.; Zhu, J. Highly crystalline polyesters synthesized from furandicarboxylic acid (FDCA): Potential bio-based engineering plastic. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2018**, *109*, 379–390. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Wang, J.; Liu, X.; Jia, Z.; Liu, Y.; Sun, L.; Zhu, J. Synthesis of bio-based poly(ethylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate) copolyesters: Higher glass transition temperature, better transparency, and good barrier properties. *J. Polym. Sci. Pol. Chem.* **2017**, *55*, 3298–3307. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Hu, H.; Zhang, R.; Shi, L.; Ying, W.B.; Wang, J.; Zhu, J. Modification of poly(butylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate) with lactic acid for biodegradable copolyesters with good mechanical and barrier properties. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *57*, 11020–11030. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Hu, H.; Zhang, R.; Wang, J.; Ying, W.B.; Zhu, J. Fully bio-based poly(propylene succinate-co-propylene furandicarboxylate) copolyesters with proper mechanical, degradation and barrier properties for green packaging applications. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2018**, *102*, 101–110. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Hu, H.; Zhang, R.; Wang, J.; Ying, W.B.; Zhu, J. Synthesis and Structure–Property Relationship of Biobased Biodegradable Poly(butylene carbonate-co-furandicarboxylate). *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *6*, 7488–7498. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Long, Y.; Zhang, R.; Huang, J.; Wang, J.; Jiang, Y.; Hu, G.H.; Yang, J.; Zhu, J. Tensile Property Balanced and Gas Barrier Improved Poly(lactic acid) by Blending with Biobased Poly(butylene 2,5-furan dicarboxylate). *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2017**, *5*, 9244–9253. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Hong, S.; Min, K.D.; Nam, B.U.; Park, O.O. High molecular weight bio furan-based co-polyesters for food packaging applications: Synthesis, characterization and solid-state polymerization. *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18*, 5142–5150. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Jia, Z.; Wang, J.; Sun, L.; Liu, F.; Zhu, J.; Liu, X. Copolyesters developed from bio-based 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid: Synthesis, sequence distribution, mechanical, and barrier properties of poly(propylene-co-1,4-cyclohexanedimethylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate)s. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2019**, *136*, 47291. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Burgess, S.K.; Karvan, O.; Johnson, J.R.; Kriegel, R.M.; Koros, W.J. Oxygen Sorption and Transport in Amorphous Poly(ethylene furanoate). *Polymer* **2014**, *55*, 4748–4756. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Burgess, S.K.; Mubarak, C.R.; Kriegel, R.M.; Koros, W.J. Physical aging in amorphous poly(ethylene furanoate): Enthalpic recovery, density, and oxygen transport considerations. *J. Polym. Sci. Part B: Polym. Phys.* **2015**, *53*, 389–399. [[CrossRef](#)]

42. Burgess, S.K.; Kriegel, R.M.; Koros, W.J. Carbon Dioxide Sorption and Transport in Amorphous Poly (ethylene furanoate). *Macromolecules* **2015**, *48*, 2184–2193. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Xie, H.; Wu, L.; Li, B.G.; Dubois, P. Modification of Poly(ethylene 2,5-furandicarboxylate) with Biobased 1,5-Pentanediol: Significantly Toughened Copolyesters Retaining High Tensile Strength and O₂ Barrier Property. *Biomacromolecules* **2019**, *20*, 353–364. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Genovese, L.; Lotti, N.; Siracusa, V.; Munari, A. Poly(Neopentyl Glycol Furanoate): A Member of the Furan-Based Polyester Family with Smart Barrier Performances for Sustainable Food Packaging Applications. *Materials (Basel)* **2017**, *10*, 1028. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Siracusa, V.; Genovese, L.; Ingraio, C.; Munari, A.; Lotti, N. Barrier properties of poly(propylene cyclohexanedicarboxylate) random eco-friendly copolyesters. *Polymers* **2018**, *10*, 502. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Negrin, M.; Macerata, E.; Consolati, G.; Quasso, F.; Lucotti, A.; Tommasini, M.; Genovese, L.; Soccio, M.; Lotti, N.; Mariani, M. Effect of Gamma Irradiation on Fully Aliphatic Poly(Propylene/Neopentyl Cyclohexanedicarboxylate) Random Copolymers. *J. Polym. Environ.* **2018**, *26*, 3017–3033. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Bloise, N.; Berardi, E.; Gualandi, C.; Zaghi, E.; Gigli, M.; Duellen, R.; Ceccarelli, G.; Cortesi, E.E.; Costamagna, D.; Bruni, G.; Lotti, N.; Focarete, M.L.; Visai, L.; Sampaolesi, M. Ether-oxygen containing electrospun microfibrillar and sub-microfibrillar scaffolds based on poly(Butylene 1,4-cyclohexanedicarboxylate) for skeletal muscle tissue engineering. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, 3212. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Guidotti, G.; Soccio, M.; Siracusa, V.; Gazzano, M.; Munari, A.; Lotti, N. Novel random copolymers of poly(butylene 1,4-cyclohexane dicarboxylate) with outstanding barrier properties for green and sustainable packaging: Content and length of aliphatic side chains as efficient tools to tailor the material's final performance. *Polymers* **2018**, *10*, 866. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Genovese, L.; Dominici, F.; Gigli, M.; Armentano, I.; Lotti, N.; Fortunati, E.; Siracusa, V.; Torre, L.; Munari, A. Processing, thermo-mechanical characterization and gas permeability of thermoplastic starch/poly(butylene trans-1,4-cyclohexanedicarboxylate) blends. *Polym. Degrad. Stabil.* **2018**, *157*, 100–107. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Gigli, M.; Lotti, N.; Siracusa, V.; Gazzano, M.; Munari, A.; Dalla Rosa, M. Effect of molecular architecture and chemical structure on solid-state and barrier properties of heteroatom-containing aliphatic polyesters. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2016**, *78*, 314–325. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Genovese, L.; Soccio, M.; Gigli, M.; Lotti, N.; Gazzano, M.; Siracusa, V.; Munari, A. Gas permeability, mechanical behaviour and compostability of fully-aliphatic bio-based multiblock poly(ester urethane)s. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 55331–55342. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Fortunati, E.; Gigli, M.; Luzi, F.; Lotti, N.; Munari, A.; Gazzano, M.; Armentano, I.; Kenny, J.M. Poly(butylene cyclohexanedicarboxylate/diglycolate) random copolymers reinforced with SWCNTs for multifunctional conductive biopolymer composites. *Express Polym. Lett.* **2016**, *10*, 111–124. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Gigli, M.; Lotti, N.; Gazzano, M.; Siracusa, V.; Finelli, L.; Munari, A.; Dalla Rosa, M. Fully aliphatic copolyesters based on poly(butylene 1,4-cyclohexanedicarboxylate) with promising mechanical and barrier properties for food packaging applications. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *52*, 12876–12886. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Gigli, M.; Lotti, N.; Gazzano, M.; Siracusa, V.; Finelli, L.; Munari, A.; Dalla Rosa, M. Biodegradable aliphatic copolyesters containing PEG-like sequence for sustainable food packaging applications. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2014**, *105*, 96–106. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Genovese, L.; Lotti, N.; Gazzano, M.; Finelli, L.; Munari, A. New eco-friendly random copolyesters based on poly(propylene cyclohexanedicarboxylate): Structure-properties relationships. *Express Polym. Lett.* **2015**, *9*, 972–983. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Genovese, L.; Soccio, M.; Lotti, N.; Munari, A.; Szymczyk, A.; Paszkiewicz, S.; Linares, A.; Nogales, A.; Ezquerro, T.A. Effect of chemical structure on the subglass relaxation dynamics of biobased polyesters as revealed by dielectric spectroscopy: 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid vs. trans-1,4-cyclohexanedicarboxylic acid. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *20*, 15696–15706. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Robertson, G.L. *Food Packaging, Principles and Practice*, 2nd ed.; Taylor & Francis Group; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2006; chapter 4.
58. Araujo, C.F.; Nolasco, M.M.; Ribeiro-Claro, P.J.A.; Rudic, S.; Silvestre, A.J.D.; Vaz, P.D.; Sousa, A.F. Inside PEF: Chain Conformation and Dynamics in Crystalline and Amorphous Domains. *Macromolecules* **2018**, *51*, 3515–3526. [[CrossRef](#)]

59. Hedenqvist, M.S. Barrier Packaging Materials. In *Handbook of Environmental Degradation of Materials*, 2nd ed.; Kutz, M., Ed.; Elsevier Inc.: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2012; pp. 840–842.
60. Galus, S.; Lenart, A. Development and characterization of composite edible films based on sodium alginate and pectin. *J. Food Eng.* **2013**, *115*, 459–465. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Syahidad, K.; Rosnah, S.; Noranizan, M.A.; Zaulia, O.; Anvarjon, A. Quality change of fresh cut cantaloupe (*Cucumis melo* L. var *Reticulatus* cv. *Glamour*) in different types of polypropylene packaging. *IFRJ* **2015**, *22*, 753–760.



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).