# Research

# Does socioeconomic status affect mortality subsequent to hospital admission for community acquired pneumonia among older persons?

Linda Vrbova<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Mamdani<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Rahim Moineddin<sup>5</sup>, Liisa Jaakimainen<sup>2,5</sup> and Ross EG Upshur\*<sup>1,2,5,6</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Toronto, McMurrich Building, 12 Queen's Park Crescent W, Toronto, ON, M5S 1A8, Canada, <sup>2</sup>Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences, 2075 Bayview Avenue, Toronto, ON, M4N 3M5, Canada, <sup>3</sup>Health Policy Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, McMurrich Building, 2nd Floor, 12 Queen's Park Crescent West, Toronto, ON, Ma5S 1A8, Canada, <sup>4</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Toronto, 19 Russell Street, Toronto, ON, M5S 2S2, Canada, <sup>5</sup>Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto, 256 McCaul Street, 2nd Floor, Toronto, ON, M5T 2W5, Canada and <sup>6</sup>Primary Care Research Unit, Department of Family and Community Medicine, Sunnybrook and Women's College Health Sciences Centre, 2075 Bayview Avenue, Toronto, ON, M4N 3M5, Canada

Email: Linda Vrbova - linda.Vrbova@moh.gov.on.ca; Muhammad Mamdani - muhammad.mamdani@ices.on.ca; Rahim Moineddin - rahim.moineddin@utoronto.ca; Liisa Jaakimainen - liisa.jaakimainen@ices.on.ca; Ross EG Upshur\* - rupshur@idirect.com

\* Corresponding author

Published: 08 April 2005

Journal of Negative Results in BioMedicine 2005, 4:4 doi:10.1186/1477-5751-4-4

This article is available from: http://www.jnrbm.com/content/4/1/4

© 2005 Vrbova et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Abstract

**Background:** Low socioeconomic status has been associated with increased morbidity and mortality for various health conditions. The purpose of this study was twofold: to examine the mortality experience of older persons admitted to hospital with community acquired pneumonia and to test the hypothesis of whether an association exists between socioeconomic status and mortality subsequent to hospital admission for community-acquired pneumonia.

**Methods:** A population based retrospective cohort study was conducted including all older persons patients admitted to Ontario hospitals with community acquired pneumonia between April 1995 and March 2001. The main outcome measures were 30 day and 1 year mortality subsequent to hospital admission for community-acquired pneumonia.

**Results:** Socioeconomic status for each patient was imputed from median neighbourhood income. Multivariate analyses were undertaken to adjust for age, sex, co-morbid illness, hospital and physician characteristics. The study sample consisted of 60,457 people. Increasing age, male gender and high co-morbidity increased the risk for mortality at 30 days and one year. Female gender and having a family physician as attending physician reduced mortality risk.

The adjusted odds of death after 30-days for the quintiles compared to the lowest income quintile (quintile 1) were 1.02 (95% CI: 0.95–1.09) for quintile 2, 1.04 (95% CI: 0.97–1.12) for quintile 3, 1.01 (95% CI: 0.94–1.08) for quintile 4 and 1.03 (95% CI: 0.96–1.12) for the highest income quintile (quintile 5). For 1 year mortality, compared to the lowest income quintile the adjusted odds ratios were 1.01 (95% CI: 0.96–1.06) for quintile 2, 0.99 (95% CI: 0.94–1.04) for quintile 3, 0.99 (95% CI: 0.93–1.05) for quintile 4 and 1.03 (95% CI: 0.97–1.10) for the highest income quintile.

**Conclusion:** Socioeconomic status is not associated with mortality in the older persons from community-acquired pneumonia in Ontario, Canada.



# **Open Access**



#### Introduction

Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) is a substantial cause of mortality, morbidity, and health services utilization in the older persons [1]. In Canada pneumonia and influenza are, together, the leading cause of death from infectious disease and sixth leading cause of death overall. In Canada, the annual hospitalization for pneumonia and influenza is 1,358 per 100,000, and in Ontario 1,283 per 100,000 [2]. The high morbidity and mortality associated with CAP makes understanding its epidemiology a research priority.

Current health research increasingly recognizes the existence and contribution of broader determinants of health in explaining differences in health status and health outcomes among populations. Socioeconomic status is an important influence on morbidity and mortality [3,4]. Access to large databases in Canada has allowed for the examination of the relationship of socioeconomic status to specific health outcomes. Recently, Canadian studies have revealed that those with lower socioeconomic status experience higher mortality and morbidity after myocardial infarction [5] and stroke [6]. Such mortality gradients are problematic in a publicly funded health care system, indicating potential problems with unequal access to care. There are few published studies investigating the relation between socioeconomic factors and pneumonia. Previous studies of the association between SES and pneumonia have looked at different endpoints of pneumonia, and used various SES measures, yielding conflicting results.

There is no consensus as to which factors contribute the most to increasing mortality risk from pneumonia, notably whether it is age or co-morbidity that is the deciding variable [7-9]. No studies to date have examined the independent effects of age, gender, co-morbidity and SES on mortality after CAP. This study examines the mortality experience hypothesis of whether there is an association between socioeconomic status and mortality after community-acquired pneumonia in older persons in Ontario, Canada, controlling for age, gender, co-morbidity and other factors.

## **Methods**

# Study Design and Data Sources

A cohort of patients diagnosed with pneumonia in Ontario hospitals were assembled for 6 years, from April 1, 1995 to March 31, 2001. The inclusion criteria of the cohort were: "most responsible" diagnosis of pneumonia and influenza (codes 480–487 of the International Classification of Diseases, 9<sup>th</sup> Revision, Clinical Modification [ICD-9-CM][10], age greater than 65 and less than 105 and resident of Ontario. Unpublished data indicates that influenza codes (487) are infrequently used and account for less than .05% of the sample. The most frequently used codes are 485 and 486 which are for pneumonia with no specific isolated causative organism

In order to rule out readmissions, patients who were admitted for pneumonia in the previous 12 months were excluded. Furthermore, in order to focus solely on community-acquired pneumonia, patients transferred from another health-care institution or long-term facility were also excluded.

Hospital discharge abstracts were drawn from the Canadian Institute of Health Information (CIHI) database. The abstracts contained information pertaining to the index admission, age and gender, physician and hospital characteristics, demographic characteristics and co-morbid illnesses of patients, as well as in-hospital mortality. The Ontario Registered Persons Database provided the 30-day and 1 year mortality, both in and out of hospital. Algorithms used to link data across databases have proven reliability and validity.

Administrative databases used do not contain personal income data of the individual patients. They do, however, include the Forward Sortation Area (FSA) (the first three digits of the postal code), which was used to impute the patient' s median neighborhood income from the 1996 Canadian Census. Of the 504 FSAs in Ontario, the median neighborhood income for 11 was suppressed by Statistics Canada due to small sample size.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Median neighborhood income was broken down into quintiles for analysis. Baseline data across socioeconomic quintiles were compared using the Cochrane-Mantel-Haenszel chi-square for the categorical data, and weighted linear regression for continuous data. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were created to illustrate 30-day and 1 year mortality of the cohort by income quintile. Cox proportional hazards and logistic regression was used to determine the relation of median neighbourhood income to 30-day and 1-year mortality, adjusting for potentially confounding variables known or suspected to influence mortality risk: age, gender, co-morbidity (Charlson index score  $\geq 1$ ), specialty of attending physician and hospital status (teaching or non-teaching).

All statistics was done using SAS software (version 8.2), survival curve graphs were done using Microsoft Excel (version 9.0.0.3822).

# Results

#### **Baseline Data**

The cohort consisted of 61,086 people, of whom 60,457 could be assigned a SES quintile (99% of the cohort), and hence could be used in the analyses. Table 1 shows the

Characteristics	Income Quintile				P-value	
	I	2	3	4	5	
	N = 15057	N = 14655	N = 12268	N = 10310	N = 8167	
Neighborhood Income (\$)						0.0039
Median	16433	18572	20256	22982	26786	
Interquartile Range	15408-16988	18056-19201	20043-21020	22186-24258	25899-29710	
Mean Age (yr)	78.03+/-	77.94+/-	78.07+/-	78.29+/-	78.51+/-	0.0370
	7.65	7.55	7.59	7.58	7.72	
Female sex (%)	47.23	48.29	47.21	49.20	49.44	0.0007
Comorbid conditions (%)						
Chronic Lung Disease	6.91	7.55	6.33	7.59	6.61	0.5382
Congestive Heart Failure	4.30	4.79	4.73	4.76	3.87	0.4348
Ischemic Heart Disease	11.60	12.90	4.	14.31	13.22	<0.0001
Peripheral Vascular Disease	1.34	1.30	1.36	1.26	1.04	0.1003
Chronic Renal Failure	0.90	0.87	1.04	1.09	0.73	0.9210
Diabetes	6.97	8.02	7.44	7.38	6.09	0.0199
Cancer	2.89	3.43	3.73	3.77	4.04	<0.0001
Charlson score > 1 (%)	28.76	28.98	30.91	31.74	31.50	<0.0001
Specialty of Attending Physician (%):						<0.0001
General Practice	54.78	62.27	56.26	43.96	42.07	
Internal Medicine	26.69	23.82	26.18	34.24	35.14	
Respirology	6.16	5.43	5.98	7.19	8.90	
Other	12.37	8.47	11.57	14.62	13.89	
Teaching Hospital (%)	17.63	11.31	17.12	23.66	23.58	<0.0001

#### Table I: Baseline Characteristics of Pneumonia Patients According to Neighbourhood Median Income

Table 2: Pneumonia Treatment and Outcomes According to Quintile of Median Neighborhood Income

Outcome/Treatment N (%)	Income Quintile				P-value	
	I	2	3	4	5	
	N = 15057	N = 14655	N = 12268	N = 10310	N = 8167	
Length of Stay						0.1448
Mean +/- SD (days)	9.41 +/-	8.95 +/-	9.54 +/-	9.59 +/-	9.96 +/-	
	13.0	12.5	13.9	14.0	14.0	
Median (Interquartile Range)	6 (4–10)	6 (4–10)	6 (4–10)	6 (4–10)	6 (4–11)	
Acute Length of Stay						0.2362
Mean +/- SD (days)	8.39 +/-	7.93 +/-	8.29 +/-	8.37 +/-	8.86 +/-	
	8.52	7.58	8.12	8.44	9.60	
Median (Interguartile Range)	6 (4–10)	6 (4–10)	6 (4–10)	6 (4–10)	6 (4–11)	
Discharge Destination			. ,	. ,	. ,	<0.0001
Acute Care Hospital	433 (2.88)	284 (1.94)	224 (1.83)	128 (1.24)	99 (1.21)	
Chronic Care Hospital	263 (1.75)	365 (2.49)	285 (2.32)	211 (2.05)	140 (1.7 <sup>1</sup> )	
Rehabilitation Hospital	57 (0.38)	106 (0.72)	92 (0.75)	102 (0.99)	93 (Ì.I4)	
Nursing Home	361 (2.40)	289 (1.97)	229 (1.87)	187 (L81)	174 (2,13)	
Home Care Program	2101 (13.95)	1890 (12.90)	1754 (14.30)	1561 (15.14)	1123 (13.75)	
Home	11648 (77.36)	11484 (78.36)	9511 (77.53)	7998 (77.58)	6455 (79.04)	



Figure I 30 day mortality after initial admission for pneumonia, survival curves for social class quintiles from lowest (I) to highest (V)

baseline characteristics of the population. There was a significant difference (p < 0.0001) in co-morbidity among the classes. The higher social classes had the higher comorbidity (Charlson score >1) of cancer and ischemic heart disease, but there was no difference in the prevalence of chronic lung disease, chronic renal failure or congestive heart failure. The higher social classes were admitted more often to teaching hospitals, (as compared to community hospitals) and were attended by specialists (internal medicine, respirology) more frequently than general practitioners (see Table 1). Table 2 indicates no difference was found in length of stay across the income quintiles. Persons from the higher income quintiles were more likely to be discharged home.

#### Mortality

The Kaplan-Meier survival curves for pneumonia mortality resulted in similar findings for both the 30-day mortality and the 1-year mortality (see Fig 1 and 2).

Multivariate modelling with logistic regression resulted in no significant difference in mortality (both 30-day and 1 year) across the income quintiles after adjustment for age, gender, co-morbidity (Charlson index score  $\geq$  1), specialty of attending physician and hospital teaching status (see Table 3 Cox models were completed, but the assumptions of the model violated. Odds Ratios were similar to the logistic models). The odds of death after 30-days for the quintiles compared to the lowest income quintile (quintile 1) were 1.02 (95% CI: 0.95–1.09) for quintile 2, 1.04 (95% CI: 0.97–1.12) for quintile 3, 1.01 (95% CI: 0.94–



Figure 2 I year mortality after initial admission for pneumonia, survival curves for social class quintiles from lowest (I) to highest (V).

1.08) for quintile 4 and 1.03 (95% CI: 0.96–1.12) for the highest income quintile (quintile 5). The results were very similar for 1 year mortality, where, compared to the lowest income quintile the odds ratios were 1.01 (95% CI: 0.96–1.06) for quintile 2, 0.99 (95% CI: 0.94–1.04) for quintile 3, 0.99 (95% CI: 0.93–1.05) for quintile 4 and 1.03 (95% CI: 0.97–1.10) for the highest income quintile.

Women had lower odds of dying for both 30-day and 1year mortality respectively (OR = 0.780, 95% CI: 0.744– 0.818; OR = 0.68, 95% CI: 0.65–0.70) than men. The middle and oldest age groups (75–84, 85+) had higher odds of dying than the lowest age group (65–74) (30-day mortality: OR = 1.55, 95% CI: 1.47, 1.65; OR = 3.017, 95% CI: 2.83, 3.21; 1-year mortality: OR = 1.55, 95% CI: 1.39, 1.52; OR = 2.866, 65% CI: 2.73–3.01). The presence of another illness (Charlson co-morbidity index > = 1) significantly increased the mortality (OR = 1.81, 95% CI: 1.72, 1.91; OR = 2.094, 95% CI: 2.01-2.18). The specialty of the attending physician was also significant: compared to other types of physicians, treatment by a general practitioner had the highest protective effect on 30-day mortality (OR = 0.65, 95%CI = 0.599–0.696). Respirologist care was protective (OR = 0.83, 95% CI = 0.75, 0.94), while internal medicine practitioners did not have significant protective effects. One-year mortality was significantly affected by all three physician specialty groups studied; compared to other types of physicians, treatment by a general practitioner had the highest protective effect (OR = 0.67, 95% CI = 0.63-0.71), then respirologist (OR = 0.79, 95% CI = 0.72-0.86), then internal medicine practitioners (OR = 0.82, 95% CI: 0.77-0.87). Hospital teaching

Characteristic	Odds Ratio (65% CI)	P-value
<u>30-Day Mortality</u>		
Characteristics of Patient		
Female Sex	0.78 (0.74–0.82)	<0.0001
Charlson Index	1.81 (1.71–1.91)	<0.0001
Age 65–74*	1.00	
75–84	1.55 (1.46–1.65)	<0.0001
85–105	3.02 (2.83–3.21)	<0.0001
Income Quintile 1*	1.00	
2	1.02 (0.95–1.09)	0.64
3	1.04 (0.97–1.12)	0.23
4	1.01 (0.94–1.08)	0.86
5	1.03 (0.96–1.12)	0.41
Characteristics of Hospital		
Teaching Hospital (vs. non)	0.88 (0.83–0.94)	0.0003
Specialty of Attending Physician		
General Practitioner	0.65 (0.60–0.70)	<0.0001
Internal Medicine	0.93 (0.87–1.00)	0.073
Respirology	0.84 (0.75–0.94)	0.0016
Other*	1.00	
I-Year Mortality		
Characteristics of Patient		
Female Sex	0.68 (0.65–0.70)	<0.0001
Charlson Index	2.09 (2.01–2.18)	<0.0001
Age 65–74*	1.00	
75–84	1.45 (1.39–1.52)	<0.0001
85–105	2.86 (2.73–3.01)	<0.0001
Income Quintile 1*	1.00	
2	1.01 (0.96–1.06)	0.72
3	0.99 (0.94–1.04)	0.73
4	0.99 (0.93–1.05)	0.70
5	1.03 (0.97–1.10)	0.31
Characteristics of Hospital		
Teaching Hospital (vs. non)	1.01 (0.96–1.06)	0.67
Specialty of Attending Physician		
General Practitioner	0.67 (0.63–0.71)	<0.0001
Internal Medicine	0.82 (0.77–0.87)	<0.0001
Respirology	0.78 (0.72–0.86)	<0.0001
Other*	1.00	

Table 3: Odds of Dying after Initial Admission for Pneumonia after 30 Days and I Year, Adjusted for Gender, Age, Specialty of Attending Physician, Hospital Teaching Status and Socio-economic Status

(\* = reference group)

status was significant for 30-day mortality (OR = 0.89, 95% CI: 0.83–0.95) but not for 1-year mortality.

#### Discussion

There is increasing evidence from diverse observational studies that low SES is associated with adverse health outcomes [11]. The findings of this study do not support the existence of an association between socioeconomic status and mortality subsequent to CAP. The study results indicate that community acquired pneumonia is a condition associated with high mortality, and that gender, age and co-morbidity most significantly influence outcome.

The study results are similar to a recent study by Kaplan et al. reporting a 33.6% mortality rate in survivors of CAP [12]. The results underscore the high prevalence, resource intensity and mortality associated with CAP, particularly in older persons [1].

The strengths of this study are its population base, large sample size, accurate linkages and detailed follow up. The study captured all pneumonia admissions for those over 65 in the province of Ontario. The pneumonia diagnostic codes (ICD-9 codes 480–487) were the same as in previous studies [13-15].

The study is limited by the use of proxy measures for socioeconomic status, namely income data from median neighborhood income. Currently there is considerable debate as to the proper measure of SES and controversy as to whether area level measures are valid for imputing SES. The measure of SES status employed in this study is identical to that used in other published studies demonstrating significant associations with mortality and access to healthcare services for myocardial infarction and stroke[5,6]. The use of area-level information applying to individuals forces consideration of the ecological fallacy. However, others have argued for the validity of using income quintiles as a proxy for socioeconomic status [16-19]. Mustard found that ecologic measures of income are highly correlated to individual income. Hence use of such proxy measures is justified when individual level data is not available [20].

There have been conflicting results concerning the relationship between socioeconomic status and pneumonia (both diagnosis and outcome). Wood [13] found an increased relative risk (RR 2.3 95% CI: 1.4-4.0) for lower social class quintiles and pneumonia and bronchitis mortality. Stelianides found that the duration of hospitalization was 5.9 days longer for low SES patients as compared to high SES patients (p < 0.003), but found no differences in mortality or ICU admission [14]. Singh and Siahpush [15] found a relative risk of 2.69 (p < 0.05) for the lowest compared to the highest income group with pneumonia and influenza mortality. Other studies, looking at pneumonia diagnosis and SES found no relation between the two [21,22]. Our study found differences in the process of care, in that higher SES patients were more likely to be treated by specialists and in academic teaching centres, but not in outcomes, as mortality and length of stay were not significantly different between SES levels. Interestingly, as a secondary outcome, those with family physicians had lower mortality than those without, suggesting that provision of primary care has a protective effect. This finding bears further exploration. As well, as in other studies [5,6], academic health sciences centres had better mortality outcomes for acute care.

The relation between SES and health is not completely understood, but theories abound. Among the explanations for the relation found between disease outcomes and socioeconomic status relates to equitable access to health services as well as more negative lifestyle and environmental exposures (higher rates of smoking, worse air quality). How can we explain that our data does not corroborate past findings or theories? One possible explanation may lie in the nature of the management of pneumonia. Myocardial infraction and stroke, where differences in outcomes and SES in this population have been reported, increasingly rely on the provision of timely and specialized technology, diagnosis and management. Management of pneumonia is, for the most part, a relatively low technology process. The majority of patients were cared for by primary care providers. Hence access to care seems relatively unproblematic in this cohort. However, pneumonia remains, as it was in Osler's day, a potent force of mortality and socioeconomic status provides no advantage or protection.

#### Conclusion

In this population based, retrospective cohort study of older persons in the province of Ontario, socioeconomic status was not a factor in increasing the risk of death subsequent to hospital admission for community acquired pneumonia. Male gender, age and co-morbid illness significantly increase both 30 day and one year mortality. Female gender is associated with significantly reduced risk. Having a primary care provider and being cared for in an academic health sciences centre also reduced the mortality risk.

#### **Competing Interests**

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

### **Authors' Contributions**

RU initiated the idea for the study. LJ and MM co-wrote the grant with RU. LV wrote the first draft of the article and carried out the statistical analysis. RM provided intellectual input to the study design and analysis. All contributed intellectual input into the study. All participated in the revision of drafts and approve of the final draft.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was funded by an Integrated Health Research Term Grant entitled Respiratory Infections in Older Adults, from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. Ross Upshur is supported by a New Investigator Award from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research and a Research Scholar Award from the Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto. The authors would like to thank Shari Gruman for her expert assistance in the preparation of this manuscript.

#### References

- Kaplan V, Angus DC, Griffin MF, Clermont G, Scott Watson R, Linde-Zwirble WT: Hospitalized community-acquired pneumonia in the older persons: age- and sex-related patterns of care and outcome in the United States. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002, 165:766-772.
- 2. Health Canada: Chapter 7: Infectious Diseases. In Respiratory Disease in Canada Ottawa, Canada; 2001.
- Evans RG, Barer M, Marmor T: Why Are Some People Healthy and Others Not? The Determinants of Health in Populations New York: Aldine de Gruyter; 1994.
- 4. Evans RG, Stoddart GL: **Producing health, consuming health** care. Soc Sci Med 1990, 31:1347-1363.
- Alter DA, Naylor CD, Austin P, Tu JV: Effects of socioeconomic status on access to invasive cardiac procedures and on mortality after acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1999, 341:1359-1367.

- Kapral MK, Wang H, Mamdani M, Tu JV: Effect of socioeconomic status on treatment and mortality after stroke. Stroke 2002, 33:268-273.
- Brancati FL, Chow JW, Wagener MM, Vacarello SJ, Yu VL: Is pneumonia really the old man's friend? Two-year prognosis after community-acquired pneumonia. Lancet 1993, 342:30-33.
- Koivula I, Sten M, Makela PH: Risk factors for pneumonia in the older persons. Am J Med 1994, 96:313-320.
- Hedlund JU, Ortqvist AB, Kalin ME, Granath F: Factors of importance for the long term prognosis after hospital treated pneumonia. Thorax 1993, 48:785-789.
- Commission on Professional and Hospital Activities: International Classification of Diseases, 9th rev., clinical modification: ICD-9-CM Ann Arbor, Mich; 1992.
- 11. Feinstein JS: The relationship between socioeconomic status and health: a review of the literature. *Milbank Q* 1993, 71:279-322.
- Kaplan V, Clermont G, Griffin MF, Kasal J, Watson RS, Linde-Zwirble WT, Angus DC: Pneumonia: Still the Old Man's Friend? Arch Intern Med 2003, 163:317-323.
- Wood E, Sallar AM, Schechter MT, Hogg RS: Social inequalities in male mortality amenable to medical intervention in British Columbia. Soc Sci Med 1999, 48:1751-1758.
- Stelianides S, Golmard JL, Carbon C, Fantin B: Influence of socioeconomic status on features and outcome of communityacquired pneumonia. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 1999, 18:704-708.
- Singh GK, Siahpush M: All-cause and cause-specific mortality of immigrants and native born in the United States. Am J Public Health 2001, 91:392-399.
- Roos NP, Mustard CA: Variation in health and health care use by socioeconomic status in Winnipeg, Canada: does the system work well? Yes and no. *Milbank Q* 1997, 75:89-111.
- Smith GD, Hart C, Watt G, Hole D, Hawthorne V: Individual social class, area-based deprivation, cardiovascular disease risk factors, and mortality: the Renfrew and Paisley Study. J Epidemiol Community Health 1998, 52:399-405.
- Krieger N: Overcoming the absence of socioeconomic data in medical records: validation and application of a census-based methodology. Am J Public Health 1992, 82:703-710.
- Carr-Hill R, Rice N: Is enumeration district level an improvement on ward level analysis in studies of deprivation and health? J Epidemiol Community Health 1995, 49(Suppl 2):S28-29.
- 20. Mustard CA, Derksen S, Berthelot JM, Wolfson M: Assessing ecologic proxies for household income: a comparison of household and neighbourhood level income measures in the study of population health status. *Health Place* 1999, **5**:157-171.
- 21. Farr BM, Woodhead MA, Macfarlane JT, Bartlett CL, McCraken JS, Wadsworth J, Miller DL: Risk factors for community-acquired pneumonia diagnosed by general practitioners in the community. Respir Med 2000, 94:422-427.
- Farr BM, Bartlett CL, Wadsworth J, Miller DL: Risk factors for community-acquired pneumonia diagnosed upon hospital admission. British Thoracic Society Pneumonia Study Group. Respir Med 2000, 94:954-963.

