



Early-Life Iron Deficiency and Subsequent Repletion Alters Development of the Colonic Microbiota in the Pig

Laura C. Knight^{1,2}, Mei Wang³, Sharon M. Donovan^{2,3} and Ryan N. Dilger^{1,2*}

¹ Piglet Nutrition & Cognition Laboratory, Department of Animal Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, United States, ² Division of Nutritional Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, United States, ³ Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, United States

Background: Iron deficiency is the most prevalent micronutrient deficiency worldwide, affecting over two billion people. Early-life iron deficiency may alter the developing microbiota, which may or may not be reversible with subsequent dietary iron repletion. Thus, the aim of this study was to determine whether early-life iron deficiency and subsequent repletion alter colonic microbial composition and fermentation end-product concentrations in pigs.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Silvia Turroni, University of Bologna, Italy

Reviewed by:

Audrone Muleviciene, Vilnius University Children's Hospital, Lithuania Ravinder Nagpal, Wake Forest School of Medicine, United States

> *Correspondence: Ryan N. Dilger rdilger2@illinois.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Nutrition and Microbes, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

Received: 02 May 2019 Accepted: 19 July 2019 Published: 07 August 2019

Citation:

Knight LC, Wang M, Donovan SM and Dilger RN (2019) Early-Life Iron Deficiency and Subsequent Repletion Alters Development of the Colonic Microbiota in the Pig. Front. Nutr. 6:120. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2019.00120 **Methods:** Forty-two male pigs received either control (CONT, 21.3 mg Fe/L) or iron-deficient (ID, 2.72 mg Fe/L) milk replacer treatments from postnatal day (PND) 2 to 32. Subsequently, 20 pigs continued through a series of age-appropriate, iron-adequate diets from PND 33 to 61. Contents from the ascending colon (AC) and rectum (feces) were collected at PND 32 and/or 61. Assessments included microbiota composition by 16S rRNA sequencing and volatile fatty acid (VFA) concentrations by gas chromatography methods. Data were analyzed using a 1-way ANOVA and PERMANOVA to assess the main effects of early-life iron status on all outcomes.

Results: In AC samples, 15 genera differed (P < 0.05) between ID and CONT pigs, while 27 genera differed (P < 0.05) in fecal samples at PND 32. Early-life ID pigs had higher (P = 0.012) relative abundance of *Lactobacillus* in AC samples compared with CONT pigs. In feces, ID pigs had lower (P < 0.05) relative abundances of *Bacteroides* and *Clostridium* from the families of Clostridiaceae, Lachnospiraceae, and Ruminococcaceae. At PND 61, only two genera differed between treatment groups in AC samples, with ID pigs having a higher (P = 0.043) relative abundance of *Bifidobacterium* and lower (P = 0.047) relative abundance of *Prevotella*. Beta diversity differed at PND 32 in both AC and feces between CONT and ID pigs but no differences remained at PND 61. At PND 32, the total VFA concentration was higher in ID pigs compared with CONT pigs in both AC (P = 0.003) and feces (P = 0.001), but no differences in VFA concentrations persisted to PND 61.

Conclusion: Early-life iron status influenced microbial composition and VFA concentrations within the large intestine, but these differences were largely normalized following subsequent dietary iron repletion.

Keywords: iron deficiency, anemia, iron repletion, pediatric nutrition, comparative nutrition, volatile fatty acids, microbiota, pig

1

INTRODUCTION

Early-life nutrition profoundly influences neonatal development, with some deficiencies leading to long-term alterations, as is the case for iron. Iron is an essential micronutrient for many biological processes, yet it is the leading micronutrient deficiency worldwide. Iron deficiency affects over two billion people, with women of childbearing age and young children being most vulnerable to deficiency (1, 2). Maternal iron deficiency can lead to reduced iron stores in the infant, who is already of heightened risk of developing iron deficiency due to rapid growth after birth (3, 4). When untreated, iron deficiency can progress to iron deficiency anemia (IDA), which has more severe effects on the developing infant.

Of particular interest are the effects of IDA on microbiota composition, which primarily develops during infancy (5). Moreover, a growing body of evidence suggests that iron availability within the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) may influence the bacterial species thriving in that environment. The microbiome is now known to have long-lasting effects on the host, including implications in autoimmune, metabolic, and gastrointestinal diseases, as well as influencing the development of allergies (5, 6). Nearly all microbial fermentation endproducts, e.g., volatile fatty acids (VFA), are produced within the lumen of the large intestine (7). As such, determining how earlylife iron deficiency followed by dietary iron repletion influences the composition of the neonatal microbiota is imperative to identify if iron deficiency-induced alterations are reversible.

The current study utilized the young pig as a translational model for the human infant. The pig shows similarities in nutrient requirements, and intestinal morphology and function of the GIT to the human infant (8). Further, iron deficiency is a common micronutrient deficiency in the young pig due to low levels of iron stores at birth, and for many reasons that parallel those seen in the infant, including, low iron concentration in porcine milk, heightened growth trajectories after birth, and immature iron absorption pathways until later in life (9). As such, the pig is a robust pre-clinical model for early-life iron deficiency (10-13) and a powerful model for early-life nutrition research (14). Importantly, the lean tissue accretion rate of domestic pigs far exceeds that of humans, which means that pigs will exhibit clinical signs of ID anemia by 3 weeks of age if not provided supplemental iron early in the postnatal period. This is in contrast to human infants, who are capable of relying on iron derived from body stores and that found in exogenous sources (i.e., human milk or infant formula) for approximately the first 4-to-6-months of age. It should be noted that differences in the microbiota between formula-fed and breastfed infants have been established (5, 15, 16), thus, it can be expected that differences would occur between piglets fed formula vs. porcine milk as well; this theory warrants further research.

The aims of this study were to characterize the effects of early-life IDA on development of the microbiota and to assess if subsequent dietary iron repletion would reverse the effects of early-life iron deficiency. Iron deficiency anemia was well-established in the iron deficient (ID) group during phase 1 of the current study as described elsewhere (17). To characterize these alterations, the microbiota and fermentation end-product profiles were evaluated at PND 32, and again at study conclusion (PND 61).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal Care and Use

All animal and experimental procedures were in accordance with the National Research Council Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Forty-two naturally-farrowed, intact (i.e., not castrated) male pigs were obtained from a commercial swine farm in two replicate groups and transferred to the University of Illinois Piglet Nutrition and Cognition Laboratory (PNCL) at PND 2. Nine pigs were omitted from tissue analysis due to failure to thrive or complications during experimental procedures that are reported elsewhere (18, 19). Per standard agricultural protocol, pigs were administered a single intramuscular injection of antibiotic (0.1 mL of ceftiofur crystalline free acid as Excede, Zoetis, Parsippany, NJ) within 24 h of birth. Contrary to typical agricultural procedures, pigs on this study were never provided supplemental iron (i.e., injectable iron dextran) due to the experimental focus on this nutrient. Recent pig studies observed hippocampal transcriptome changes (20) and possible effects of iron overload (11) after iron dextran administration in the first few days of life, which further justifies our experimental protocol. Upon arrival to PNCL on PND 2, pigs were randomized to one of two experimental milk replacer treatments (described below). Pigs were provided experimental milk replacer diets from PND 2 until PND 32 or 33 (phase 1), at which point both treatment groups were transitioned through a series of industry-standard diets from PND 32 or 33 until PND 61 or 62 (phase 2).

For phase 1 of this study, 42 piglets were housed individually in custom pig rearing units (87.6 cm long, 88.9 cm wide, 50.8 cm high), which were composed of three acrylic walls, one stainless steel wall, and vinyl-coated, expanded metal flooring. This caging environment was designed for pigs to see, hear, and smell, but not touch neighboring pigs. Pigs were allowed to physically interact with one another for approximately 15 min each day, and each pig was provided a toy for enrichment in their home-cage throughout the study. Facility lighting was maintained on a 12 h light and dark cycle starting at 0800, with ambient temperature set at 27° C for the first 21 days of the study and gradually lowered to 22° C during the last seven days of phase 1.

For phase 2 of this study, 20 pigs (n = 10 per diet) from phase 1 were transferred to the University of Illinois Veterinary Medicine Research Farm on PND 32 or 33 until the end of the study. While in this facility, pigs were housed individually in floor pens (1.5 m²) and the rearing environment remained on a 12 h light and dark cycle starting at 0800, with ambient temperature set at 22°C.

Abbreviations: AC, ascending colon content; CONT, control diet; GIT, gastrointestinal tract; ID, iron deficient diet; PCoA, principal co-ordinate analysis; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PERMANOVA, permutational multivariate analysis of variance; PNCL, Piglet Nutrition and Cognition Laboratory; VFA, volatile fatty acids; VMRF, Veterinary Medicine Research Farm.

Dietary Treatments

For phase 1 of this study, pigs (n = 21 per diet) were provided one of two milk replacer treatments with varying iron content. The control diet (CONT) was formulated to meet all of the nutrient requirements of the growing pig and was formulated to contain 106.3 mg Fe/kg milk replacer powder. The ID diet was identical to the CONT diet, with the exception that ferrous sulfate (i.e., the predominant iron source in CONT) was removed, such that this treatment provided only 13.6 mg Fe/kg milk replacer powder. Additionally, both diets were formulated to contain ARA and DHA at 2.08g and 1.04g DHA/kg milk replacer powder, respectively. Milk replacer was reconstituted fresh daily with 200 g of milk replacer powder per 800 g water. Thus, formulated iron concentrations in reconstituted pig milk replacer treatments were 21.3 and 2.72 mg Fe/L milk replacer for the CONT and ID treatments, respectively. All pigs were provided ad libitum access to liquid milk replacer treatments for a 20 h feeding period each day from PND 2 until PND 32 or 33.

For phase 2 of this study, all pigs were transitioned through a common series of age-appropriate, industry-relevant, ironadequate diets (containing 180–300 mg Fe/kg of diet), regardless of their phase 1 dietary iron treatment group. Pigs were provided *ad libitum* access to standard diets (major ingredients including corn, whey, and soybean meal) and standard agricultural feeding practices were followed by sequentially switching to stage 1, 2, and 3 diets on PND 32, 41, and 50, respectively. A detailed timeline can be found in **Figure 1**. During phase 2 of the study, all diets were formulated to meet all nutrient requirements of growing pigs (21), including iron. No zinc oxide, copper sulfate, or in-feed antibiotics were included in any diets. Analyzed concentrations of iron in all dietary treatments can be found in **Figure 2**.

Porcine milk was collected as part of a previous study (22). Samples were then analyzed for mineral profiles by using standardized procedures (Mead Johnson Nutrition, Evansville, IN) to establish iron content. Specifically, porcine milk samples were digested using a combination of concentrated nitric acid and 30% hydrogen peroxide at 220°C for 10 min in a microwave digestion system (UltraWAVE; Milestone Inc., Shelton, CT). After digestion, the samples were diluted to volume and quantified by inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS; NexION 300D; Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA). The instrument was operated in kinetic energy discrimination mode using helium to reduce polyatomic interferences. All samples were analyzed in duplicate.

Sample Collection, Processing, and Analysis

Fecal samples were collected utilizing a fecal loop on PND 31 (CONT, n = 6; ID, n = 7) and/or PND 60 (N = 20; n = 10 per phase 1 diet), snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80° C until processing. At PND 32 (CONT, n = 6; ID, n = 7) and PND 61 or 62 (N = 20; n = 10 per phase 1 diet), pigs were euthanized to allow tissue collection. All animals





comparable to the iron concentration found in human milk (23, 24). During phase 2, all pigs were fed a series of nutritionally-adequate, age-appropriate diets (180-300 mg/kg). CONT, control diet; ID, iron deficient diet; PND, postnatal day.

were euthanized in a food-deprived state, with access only to water for at least 6 h prior to euthanasia. Pigs were anesthetized using an intramuscular injection of telazol:ketamine:xylazine administered at 0.022 mL/kg bodyweight (50.0 mg tiletamine plus 50.0 mg of zolazepam reconstituted with 2.50 mL ketamine (100 g/L) and 2.50 mL xylazine (100 g/L); Fort Dodge Animal Health, Overland Park, KS). Pigs were euthanized using a 390 mg/mL sodium pentobarbital solution (Patterson Veterinary Supply, Columbus, OH) at 1 mL/5 kg body weight with an intracardiac injection. On the day of tissue collection, AC and fecal samples were collected after euthanasia at PND 32 or PND 61, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80° C until processing.

Microbiota Analysis DNA Extraction

DNA was extracted from AC contents and feces utilizing the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) in combination with the FastPrep-24 System (MP Biomedicals, Carlsbad, CA), as previously described (25). DNA quality was assessed on a 1% agarose gel following staining with ethidium bromide, and DNA concentrations were quantified using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE).

PCR Amplification and Sequencing of 16S rRNA Genes

PCR amplification and sequencing of V3-V4 regions of bacterial 16S rRNA genes were performed at the DNA services Lab, University of Illinois, as previously described (26).

Sequence Processing

Sequences were demultiplexed at the sequencing facility with the bcl2fastq v2.17.1.14 Conversion Software (Illumina, San Diego, CA), allowed 0 mismatches in the barcode sequences. De-multiplexed forward (read 1) and reverse reads (read 2) were further processed using the QIIME software package (27) The paired-end reads were merged, filtered and split into libraries as previously described (26). The representative operational taxonomic units (OTU) picking, chimera removing and construction of phylogenetic tree were performed as described by Monaco et al. (26). The representative sequence of each OTU was assigned to different taxonomic levels using Ribosomal Database Project naïve Bayesian rRNA Classifier (28) at 80% confidence level on the Greengenes reference database v.13.8. An OTU table was created and further filtered to remove non-aligned and chimeric OTUs and singletons. Alpha diversity (observed OTUs, Chao1 and Shannon and Simpson reciprocal indices) and beta diversity analysis was performed from the filtered OTU table after rarefying to 26,350 reads for each sample.

Volatile Fatty Acid Analysis

Both AC and fecal samples from phase 1 and phase 2 were utilized to quantify VFA concentrations. As such, AC samples were thawed, weighed (100 mg each), and acidified with an equal volume of 2 N HCl. Fecal samples were thawed, weighed (100 mg each), and acidified using 6.25% m-phosphoric acid, sonicated, and stored overnight at -20° C. Samples were then thawed and centrifuged for 10 min at 16,500 × g, and the supernatant was collected for analysis via gas chromatography. All samples were assessed as previously described (29). Acetic, n-butyric, propionic, valeric, isovaleric, and isobutyric acid solutions were used as standards (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) to quantify individual VFA concentrations.

Statistical Analysis

All researchers involved in this study (i.e., those performing daily procedures, data collection, and data analysis steps) remained blinded to dietary treatment identity until final data analyses had been completed. Differences in bacterial communities among diet groups were evaluated with principal



FIGURE 3 | Principal co-ordinate analysis of unweighted and weighted UniFrac distances generated from microbiota of AC and fecal samples of piglets fed different diets at PND 32. (A) Unweighted; Generated from ascending colon contents of piglets fed CONT (n = 6) or ID (n = 7) diets. Adonis P = 0.003. (B) Weighted; Generated from ascending colon contents of piglets fed CONT (n = 6) or ID (n = 7) diets. Adonis P = 0.003. (B) Weighted; Generated from ascending colon contents of piglets fed CONT (n = 6) or ID (n = 7) diets. Adonis P = 0.035. (C) Unweighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 13) or ID (n = 11) diets. Adonis P = 0.001. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 13) or ID (n = 11) diets. Adonis P = 0.001. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 13) or ID (n = 11) diets. Adonis P = 0.001. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 13) or ID (n = 11) diets. Adonis P = 0.001.

co-ordinate analysis (PCoA) and permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) using UniFrac distance matrices (30). PCoA and PERMANOVA were performed on both unweighted and weighted UniFrac distances using QIIME (27). All other data were analyzed using the MIXED procedure of SAS (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Replicate was considered a random variable. All data were collected at an individual time-point, and thus were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA to determine the effect of phase 1 dietary iron status. Relative abundances of all phyla and genera of 0.05% or greater were analyzed and were arcsin transformed before analysis. Outliers, defined as having a studentized residual with an absolute value > 3, were removed from the dataset prior to statistical analysis. Significance was accepted at $P \leq 0.05$, and data are presented as least-squares means with pooled standard errors of the mean (SEM). For relative abundance microbiota outcomes, data are presented as raw least-square means plus SEM, along with arcsintransformed P-values.

RESULTS

Microbiota

Ascending Colon

Principal co-ordinate analysis of UniFrac distances produced from AC samples at PND 32 are shown in **Figures 3A,B**. PERMANOVA analysis revealed that overall bacterial composition in AC samples differed (unweighted, P =0.003, weighted P = 0.035) between CONT and ID pigs. At the phylum level, no differences were observed in AC (**Table 1**). At the genus level, CONT pigs displayed higher ($P \le 0.034$) relative abundances of *Akkermansia, Anaerotruncus, Barnesiella, Bilophila, Butyricimonas, Collinsella, Eggerthella, Parabacteroides,* [*Ruminococcus*] (taxa names with brackets indicate proposed taxonomies that Greengenes recommends based on the whole genome phylogeny, but they are not officially recognized by Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology), and *Sutterella,* and ID pigs displayed higher ($P \le 0.020$) levels of *Dialister, Lactobacillus, Megasphaera, Prevotella,* and [*Prevotella*]

TABLE 1 Relative abundances of bacterial phyla detected in ascending color
and fecal samples at PND32 ^a .

	Ascending Colon		Feces		
Phyla	CONT, <i>n</i> = 6	ID, <i>n</i> = 7	CONT, <i>n</i> = 13	ID, <i>n</i> = 11	
Actinobacteria	0.24 ± 0.46	0.71 ± 0.44	0.71 ± 0.87	3.72 ± 0.94*	
Bacteroidetes	62.4 ± 5.05	56.3 ± 4.67	45.3 ± 3.17	43.9 ± 3.45	
Cyanobacteria	0.02 ± 0.02	0.02 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.05	0.05 ± 0.05	
Deferribacteres	0.00 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	
Firmicutes	23.9 ± 7.7	25.5 ± 7.55	44.0 ± 3.53	41.2 ± 3.84	
Fusobacteria	5.06 ± 2.27	4.77 ± 2.24	1.32 ± 1.43	1.90 ± 1.45	
Lentisphaerae	0.01 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.01	
Planctomycetes	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.01	
Proteobacteria	5.26 ± 3.53	10.2 ± 3.48	3.19 ± 0.96	$6.80 \pm 1.05^{*}$	
Spirochaetes	0.00 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.01	
Synergistetes	0.34 ± 0.15	0.06 ± 0.14	0.47 ± 0.16	0.34 ± 0.17	
Tenericutes	0.20 ± 0.13	0.13 ± 0.13	0.09 ± 0.10	0.28 ± 0.11	
Verrucomicrobia	0.07 ± 0.03	0.00 ± 0.03	1.33 ± 0.51	0.06 ± 0.55	
WPS-2	0.31 ± 0.20	0.07 ± 0.20	0.16 ± 0.15	0.11 ± 0.15	
Unknown bacteria	1.78 ± 0.34	1.93 ± 0.34	1.61 ± 0.60	$2.17 \pm 0.61^{*}$	

^aData are expressed as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) for each dietary treatment group. Main effects of dietary treatment (Diet; CONT vs. ID) are presented. CONT, control diet; ID, iron deficient diet; PND, postnatal day; SEM, standard error of the mean.

*Within same intestinal segment and row, CONT and ID groups differ, $P \ge 0.05$.

in AC samples (**Table 2**). In AC samples, no differences were observed in Shannon (P = 0.761) or Chao 1 (P = 0.594) indices, or in the Simpson reciprocal index (P = 0.568) at PND 32.

At PND 61, PCoA of UniFrac distances produced from AC samples are shown in **Figures 4A,B**. PERMANOVA analysis revealed that overall bacterial composition in AC samples at PND 61 did not differ (unweighted, P = 0.731, weighted P = 0.369) between treatment groups. No differences were observed in AC at the phylum level (**Table 3**). At the genus level, CONT pigs displayed higher (P = 0.047) relative abundance of *Prevotella*, and ID pigs had increased (P = 0.043) relative abundance of *Bifidobacterium* and trending higher (P = 0.095) *Megashpeara* in AC samples at PND 61 (**Table 4**). No differences were observed in Shannon (P = 0.128) or Chao 1 (P = 0.153) indices, or the Simpson reciprocal index (P = 0.118) in AC samples at PND 61.

Feces

Principal co-ordinate analysis of UniFrac distances generated from fecal samples at PND 32 are shown in **Figures 3C,D**. PERMANOVA analysis revealed that overall bacterial composition in feces (unweighted and weighted, P = 0.001) differed between CONT and ID pigs. At the phylum level, ID pigs had increased relative abundances in Actinobacteria (P = 0.0113) and Proteobacteria (P = 0.019) in feces when compared with CONT pigs (**Table 1**). At the genus levels, CONT pigs harbored greater ($P \le 0.045$) proportions of *Akkermansia, Bacteroides, Barnsiella, Chrstensenella, Clostridium* from the families of *Clostridiaceae, Lachnospiraceae*, and *Ruminococcaeae*, *Eggerthella, [Eubacterium], [Ruminococcus],* and *Rothia,* and ID pigs had increased ($P \le 0.041$) abundance in *Acidaminococcus, Bifidobacterium, Bilophila, Coprococcus, Dialister, Escherichia,*

TABLE 2 Relative abundances	of bacterial	genera	detected	in ascending	colon
contents at PND32 ^a .					

Bacterial genus ^b	CONT, <i>n</i> = 6	ID, <i>n</i> = 7	P-value ^d
Actinobacteria			
Collinsella	0.05 ± 0.02	0.00 ± 0.02	0.036*
Eggerthella	0.05 ± 0.02	0.00 ± 0.02	0.006*
Bacteroidetes			
Alistipes	0.77 ± 0.19	0.29 ± 0.18	0.057
Bacteroides	27.91 ± 6.96	15.49 ± 6.45	0.209
Barnesiella	0.13 ± 0.05	0.01 ± 0.05	0.002*
Butyricimonas	3.74 ± 1.37	1.00 ± 1.32	0.021*
Odoribacter	4.08 ± 2.87	1.77 ± 2.86	0.074
Parabacteroides	11.87 ± 5.66	3.52 ± 5.58	0.042*
Prevotella	1.48 ± 4.65	7.14 ± 4.58	0.010*
[Prevotella] ^c	1.83 ± 2.77	11.37 ± 2.65	0.020*
Firmicutes			
Acidaminococcus	0.00 ± 0.70	0.90 ± 0.64	0.230
Anaerofilum	0.05 ± 0.02	0.01 ± 0.02	0.073
Anaerotruncus	0.10 ± 0.05	0.03 ± 0.05	0.034*
Anaerovibrio	0.08 ± 0.06	0.01 ± 0.06	0.161
Blautia	1.33 ± 0.94	1.05 ± 0.91	0.632
Christensenella	0.07 ± 0.03	0.01 ± 0.03	0.069
Clostridium (Clostridiaceae)	0.32 ± 0.32	0.56 ± 0.31	0.548
<i>Clostridium</i> (Lachnospiraceae)	0.66 ± 0.20	0.18 ± 0.19	0.058
<i>Clostridium</i> (Ruminococcaceae)	0.09 ± 0.05	0.01 ± 0.04	0.160
Coprococcus	0.10 ± 0.04	0.08 ± 0.03	0.759
, Dialister	0.00 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.01	0.001*
Dorea	1.39 ± 0.66	1.05 ± 0.62	0.642
Epulopiscium	0.39 ± 2.13	2.54 ± 2.06	0.297
[Fubacterium]	0.51 ± 0.22	0.17 ± 0.21	0 190
Faecalibacterium	0.53 ± 0.57	1.50 ± 0.54	0 190
Lactobacillus	0.31 ± 2.25	5.99 ± 2.11	0.012*
Lactococcus	0.29 ± 0.10	0.09 ± 0.10	0.354
Leuconostoc	0.23 ± 0.16	0.05 ± 0.15	0.004
Megaspheara	0.04 ± 0.10	0.00 ± 0.10	0.415
Mitauakalla	0.00 ± 0.07	0.14 ± 0.00	0.010
	0.00 ± 0.23	0.32 ± 0.21	0.120
Oscillospira	2.20 ± 1.11	1.70 ± 1.08	0.519
	0.16 ± 0.08	0.02 ± 0.08	0.150
p-75-a5	0.14 ± 0.18	0.21 ± 0.18	0.765
Ruminococcus	0.14 ± 0.03	0.10 ± 0.03	0.304
[Ruminococcus]~	2.91 ± 0.49	0.79 ± 0.46	0.003*
RFN20	0.00 ± 0.18	0.35 ± 0.16	0.134
Fusobacteria			
Fusobacterium	3.17 ± 1.21	2.23 ± 1.16	0.521
Proteobacteria			
Bilophila	0.14 ± 0.06	0.02 ± 0.06	0.019*
Campylobacter	0.04 ± 1.12	2.31 ± 1.04	0.082
Desulfovibrio	1.15 ± 0.34	0.38 ± 0.31	0.101
Escherichia	0.75 ± 1.41	2.48 ± 1.36	0.199
Flexispira	0.03 ± 0.11	0.16 ± 0.11	0.068
Helicobacter	0.11 ± 0.38	0.54 ± 0.37	0.051
Pasteurella	0.07 ± 0.05	0.02 ± 0.05	0.977
Sutterella	1.53 ± 0.32	0.20 ± 0.29	0.011*

(Continued)

TABLE 2 | Continued

Bacterial genus ^b	CONT, <i>n</i> = 6	ID, <i>n</i> = 7	P-value ^d
Synergistetes			
Synergistes	0.25 ± 0.11	0.04 ± 0.10	0.173
Verrucomicrobia			
Akkermansia	0.07 ± 0.03	0.00 ± 0.03	0.006*

^aData are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) for each dietary treatment group. Main effects of dietary treatment (Diet; CONT vs. ID) are presented. CONT, control diet; ID, iron deficient diet; PND, postnatal day; SEM, standard error of the mean.

 b Only bacterial genera with mean relative abundance >0.05% were analyzed. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM.

^c Taxa that have brackets around the names are proposed taxonomies that Greengenes recommends based on the whole genome phylogeny but not officially recognized by Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology.

^d P-values for the main effect of early-life dietary iron status, which were arcsin transformed before analysis.

*CONT and ID groups differ, $P \le 0.05$.

Faecalibacterium, Lactobacillus, Megasphaera, Mitsuikella, Oscilospira, Prevotella, [Prevotella], RFN20, Sharpea, and Shigella in feces (**Table 5**). Iron deficient pigs had higher (P = 0.016) Shannon index.

Principal co-ordinate analysis of UniFrac distances produced from fecal samples at PND 61 are shown in **Figures 4C,D**. PERMANOVA analysis revealed that overall bacterial composition in fecal samples at PND 61 did not differ (unweighted, P = 0.953, weighted P = 0.950) between CONT and ID pigs. At the phyla level, no differences were observed in feces at PND 61 (**Table 3**). At the genus level, CONT pigs displayed higher (P = 0.009) relative abundance of *Methanobrevibacter* in feces at study conclusion (**Table 6**). No differences were observed in alpha diversity between ID and CONT pigs at PND 61 in the Shannon index (P = 0.752), Chao 1 index (P = 0.502), or Simpson reciprocal (P = 0.925). Alpha diversity due to early-life iron deficiency at PND 32 and 61 are presented in **Tables 7**, **8**, respectively.



FIGURE 4 | Principal co-ordinate analysis of unweighted and weighted UniFrac distances generated from microbiota of AC and fecal samples of piglets fed different diets at PND 61. (A) Unweighted; Generated from ascending colon contents of piglets fed CONT (n = 10) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.731. (B) Weighted; Generated from ascending colon contents of piglets fed CONT (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.369. (C) Unweighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.953. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.953. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.953. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.953. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.953. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.953. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.953. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.953. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.953. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.953. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.953. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.953. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.953. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adonis P = 0.953. (D) Weighted; Generated from feces of piglets fed CONT (n = 9) or ID (n = 10) diets. Adon

7

Early-Life	Iron	Deficiency
------------	------	------------

TABLE 3 Relative abundances of bacterial phyla detected in ascending	colon
and fecal samples at PND61 ^a .	

	Ascending Colon		Ascending Colon F		Fe	eces	
Phyla	CONT, <i>n</i> = 10	ID, <i>n</i> = 10	CONT, <i>n</i> = 9	ID, <i>n</i> = 10			
Actinobacteria	0.07 ± 0.03	0.15 ± 0.03	0.34 ± 0.10	0.21 ± 0.10			
Bacteroidetes	59.05 ± 2.14	56.71 ± 2.14	47.73 ± 6.79	45.70 ± 6.75			
Cyanobacteria	2.04 ± 1.35	1.85 ± 1.35	0.41 ± 0.17	0.45 ± 0.16			
Deferribacteres	0.01 ± 0.02	0.03 ± 0.02	0.00 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.01			
Firmicutes	28.66 ± 2.16	32.65 ± 2.16	42.65 ± 7.82	43.94 ± 7.78			
Fusobacteria	0.27 ± 0.19	0.00 ± 0.19	0.01 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00			
Lentisphaerae	0.01 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.01			
Planctomycetes	0.02 ± 0.02	0.01 ± 0.02	0.04 ± 0.03	0.02 ± 0.03			
Proteobacteria	9.05 ± 1.50	7.66 ± 1.50	4.52 ± 1.42	5.07 ± 1.39			
Spirochaetes	0.07 ± 0.03	0.11 ± 0.03	1.80 ± 1.42	1.87 ± 1.41			
Synergistetes	0.01 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.01	0.29 ± 0.15	0.27 ± 0.15			
Tenericutes	0.01 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.07	0.02 ± 0.06			
TM7	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	-	-			
Verrucomicrobia	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00			
WPS-2	0.27 ± 0.27	0.24 ± 0.27	0.97 ± 1.16	1.22 ± 1.15			
Unknown bacteria	0.49 ± 0.03	0.53 ± 0.03	0.89 ± 0.09	1.06 ± 0.08			

^aData are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) for each dietary treatment group. Main effects of dietary treatment (Diet; CONT vs. ID) is presented. CONT, control diet; ID, iron deficient diet; PND, postnatal day; SEM, standard error of the mean.

Dry Matter and Volatile Fatty Acid Composition

Ascending Colon

At PND 32, ID pigs had decreased (P = 0.006) percent dry matter (DM) in AC contents, and the total VFA concentration in AC contents from ID pigs was increased (P = 0.003) compared with CONT pigs (**Table 9**). Absolute concentrations of acetate (P = 0.002), propionate (P = 0.018), butyrate (P = 0.012), and valerate (P = 0.025) were more than doubled in AC contents of ID pigs compared with CONT pigs. Relative to total VFA (i.e., % total VFA) concentrations, acetate was increased (P < 0.001) in ID pigs, but a decrease (P < 0.001) in relative propionate was observed in AC contents. Iron deficient pigs also had lower relative proportions of isobutyrate (P = 0.002) and isovalerate (P = 0.001) in AC at PND 32 compared with CONT pigs. By PND 61, no significance was observed in the concentrations of DM (P = 0.382), total VFA (P = 0.678), or any individual VFA on either absolute or relative bases in AC contents.

Feces

In feces, DM was decreased (P < 0.001) and total VFA concentration was increased (P = 0.001) in feces from ID pigs compared with CONT pigs at PND 32 (**Table 10**). At the end of phase 1, concentrations of individual VFA on an absolute basis were increased in fecal samples of ID pigs, including acetate (P < 0.001), propionate (P = 0.001), and valerate (P = 0.007). Relative to the total VFA concentration, acetate was increased (P < 0.001) in ID pigs compared with CONT animals, and propionate (P = 0.001), butyrate (P < 0.001), isobutyrate (P < 0.001), and

TABLE 4 Relative abundances of bacterial genera detected in ascending color
contents at PND61 ^a .

Bacterial genus ^b	CONT, <i>n</i> = 10	ID, <i>n</i> = 10	P-value ^d
Actinobacteria			
Bifidobacterium	0.03 ± 0.03	0.11 ± 0.03	0.043*
Bacteroidetes			
Bacteroides	0.84 ± 0.51	1.33 ± 0.51	0.611
Butyricimonas	0.14 ± 0.08	0.22 ± 0.08	0.124
Parabacteroides	0.53 ± 0.74	0.99 ± 0.74	0.246
Prevotella	44.88 ± 2.93	36.03 ± 2.93	0.047*
[Prevotella] ^c	5.90 ± 1.88	8.01 ± 1.88	0.219
Firmicutes			
Acidaminococcus	0.23 ± 0.04	0.16 ± 0.04	0.281
Anaerovibrio	5.48 ± 1.12	4.32 ± 1.12	0.278
Blautia	0.60 ± 0.17	0.76 ± 0.17	0.283
Butyricicoccus	0.07 ± 0.02	0.05 ± 0.02	0.555
Clostridium (Clostridiaceae)	0.19 ± 0.15	0.25 ± 0.15	0.704
Coprococcus	1.40 ± 0.70	0.52 ± 0.70	0.502
Dorea	0.33 ± 0.14	0.36 ± 0.14	0.683
Epulopiscium	0.07 ± 0.09	0.10 ± 0.09	0.976
Faecalibacterium	0.59 ± 0.28	0.90 ± 0.28	0.472
Lachnospira	0.91 ± 0.59	1.18 ± 0.59	0.370
Lactobacillus	1.26 ± 0.59	1.80 ± 0.59	0.648
Megaspheara	0.67 ± 0.21	1.13 ± 0.21	0.095
Mitsuokella	0.62 ± 0.43	1.04 ± 0.43	0.570
Oribacterium	0.13 ± 0.13	0.12 ± 0.13	0.988
Oscillospira	1.36 ± 0.37	1.32 ± 0.37	0.750
Phascolarctobacterium	0.09 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.02	0.721
Roseburia	1.13 ± 0.26	1.38 ± 0.26	0.505
Ruminococcus	0.44 ± 0.08	0.54 ± 0.08	0.348
[Ruminococcus]	0.09 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.02	0.803
RFN20	0.17 ± 0.05	0.16 ± 0.05	0.993
Sharpea	0.02 ± 0.03	0.06 ± 0.03	0.260
Streptococcus	0.32 ± 0.07	0.31 ± 0.07	0.941
Turicibacter	0.05 ± 0.02	0.04 ± 0.02	0.536
Proteobacteria			
Actinobacillus	0.01 ± 0.05	0.06 ± 0.05	0.567
Campylobacter	4.31 ± 3.39	3.59 ± 3.38	0.354
Desulfovibrio	0.11 ± 0.09	0.16 ± 0.09	0.395
Flexispira	0.05 ± 0.06	0.10 ± 0.06	0.390
Helicobacter	0.08 ± 0.03	0.09 ± 0.03	0.585
Sutterella	0.25 ± 0.06	0.18 ± 0.06	0.275
Spirochaetes			
Sphaerochaeta	0.04 ± 0.03	0.06 ± 0.03	0.155
Treponema	0.03 ± 0.04	0.05 ± 0.04	0.591

^aData are expressed as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) for each dietary treatment group. Main effects of dietary treatment (Diet; CONT vs. ID) is presented. CONT, control diet; ID, iron deficient diet; PND, postnatal day; SEM, standard error of the mean. ^bOnly bacterial genera with mean relative abundance >0.05% were analyzed. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM.

^c Taxa that have brackets around the names are proposed taxonomies that Greengenes recommends based on the whole genome phylogeny but not officially recognized by Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology.

^d P-values for the main effect of early-life dietary iron status, which were arcsin transformed before analysis.

*CONT and ID groups differ, $P \le 0.05$.

TABLE 5 | Relative abundances of bacterial genera detected in feces at PND32^a.

Actinobacteria Bifidobacterium 0.01 ± 0.39 0.96 ± 0.43 0.041^{+1} Collinsella 0.05 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.01 0.490 Eggerthella 0.19 ± 0.08 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{+1} Bacteroidets 0.07 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{+1} Bacteroidets 30.00 ± 7.17 1.06 ± 7.52 -0.001 Barnesiella 0.28 ± 0.22 0.18 ± 0.22 0.024^{+1} Butyricimonas 1.29 ± 0.52 1.46 ± 0.55 0.883 Odoribacter 2.13 ± 2.28 5.20 ± 2.42 0.193 Parabacteroides 2.51 ± 1.41 2.22 ± 1.43 0.520 Prevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 [Prevotella] ^C 0.20 ± 1.84 9.99 ± 2.00 <0.001 Acidaminococcus 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaeroflum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaeroflum 1.18 ± 0.37 0.55 ± 0.39 0.013^{+1} Clostridium $(0.0$	ıe ^d
Bifidobacterium 0.01 ± 0.39 0.96 ± 0.43 0.011 Collinsella 0.05 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.01 0.490 Eggerthella 0.19 ± 0.08 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{10} Bacteroidetes 0.07 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{11} Bacteroides 30.00 ± 7.17 1.06 ± 7.52 -0.001 Barnesiela 0.28 ± 0.22 0.18 ± 0.22 0.024^4 Butyricimonas 1.29 ± 0.52 1.46 ± 0.55 0.833 Odorbacter 2.13 ± 2.28 5.20 ± 2.42 0.193 Parabacteroides 2.51 ± 1.41 2.22 ± 1.43 0.520 Prevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 [Prevotellaf ^C 0.20 ± 1.84 9.99 ± 2.00 <0.001 Acidaminococcus 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.05 0.03 ± 0.06 0.001^{11} Christensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.031 ± 0.06 <	
Collinsella 0.05 ± 0.01 0.04 ± 0.01 0.490 Eggerthella 0.19 ± 0.08 0.01 ± 0.02 0.014 Bacteroidetes 0.07 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.014 Bacteroidetes 0.53 ± 0.23 0.97 ± 0.25 0.244 Bacteroides 30.00 ± 7.17 1.06 ± 7.52 -0.001 Barnesiela 0.28 ± 0.22 0.18 ± 0.22 0.024^{4} Butyricimonas 1.29 ± 0.52 1.46 ± 0.55 0.883 Odoribacter 2.13 ± 2.28 5.20 ± 2.42 0.193 Parabacteroides 2.51 ± 1.41 2.22 ± 1.43 0.520 Prevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 IPrevotellaf ^C 0.20 ± 1.84 9.99 ± 2.00 <0.001 Acidaminococcus 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Chistensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.03 ± 0.06 0.011^{17} <	1*
Eggerthella 0.19 ± 0.08 0.01 ± 0.02 0.0129° Rothia 0.07 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011° Bacteroidetes 0.03 ± 0.23 0.97 ± 0.25 0.244 Bacteroides 30.00 ± 7.17 1.06 ± 7.52 <0.001 Barnesiella 0.28 ± 0.22 0.18 ± 0.22 0.024° Butyricimonas 1.29 ± 0.52 1.46 ± 0.55 0.833 Odonbacter 2.13 ± 2.28 5.20 ± 2.42 0.193 Parabacteroides 2.51 ± 1.41 2.22 ± 1.43 0.520 Prevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 [Prevotalla] ^C 0.20 ± 1.84 9.39 ± 2.00 <0.001 Acidaminococcus 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerotruncus 0.16 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.04 0.703 Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Christensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.033 0.001°	0
Rothia 0.07 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{+} Bacteroidetes 0.53 ± 0.23 0.97 ± 0.25 0.244 Bacteroides 30.00 ± 7.17 1.06 ± 7.52 <0.004 Barnesiella 0.28 ± 0.22 0.18 ± 0.22 0.024 Butyricimonas 1.29 ± 0.52 1.46 ± 0.55 0.883 Odoribacter 2.13 ± 2.28 5.20 ± 2.42 0.193 Parabacteroides 2.51 ± 1.41 2.22 ± 1.43 0.520 Prevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 IPrevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 IPrevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 Acidaminococcus 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerotruncus 0.16 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.04 0.703 Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Christensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.031^{+} 0.01^{+}	9*
Bacteroidetes Alistipes 0.53 ± 0.23 0.97 ± 0.25 0.244 Bacteroides 30.00 ± 7.17 1.06 ± 7.52 <0.001 Barnesiella 0.28 ± 0.22 0.18 ± 0.22 0.024^4 Butyricimonas 1.29 ± 0.52 1.46 ± 0.55 0.883 Odoribacter 2.13 ± 2.28 5.20 ± 2.42 0.193 Parabacteroides 2.51 ± 1.41 2.22 ± 1.43 0.520 Prevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 [Prevotella] ^C 0.20 ± 1.84 9.99 ± 2.00 <0.001 Acidaminococcus 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaerofnum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerotruncus 0.16 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.04 0.703 Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Chostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.011^4 Clostridium 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 (Reunococcaceae) 0	1*
Alistipes 0.53 ± 0.23 0.97 ± 0.25 0.244 Bacteroides 30.00 ± 7.17 1.06 ± 7.52 <0.001 Barnesiella 0.28 ± 0.22 0.18 ± 0.22 0.024° Butyricimonas 1.29 ± 0.52 1.46 ± 0.55 0.883 Odoribacter 2.13 ± 2.28 5.20 ± 2.42 0.193 Parabacteroides 2.51 ± 1.41 2.22 ± 1.43 0.520 Prevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 [Prevotella] ^C 0.20 ± 1.84 9.99 ± 2.00 <0.001 Firmicutes $Acidaminococcus$ 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.653 Anaerotruncus 0.16 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.04 0.703 Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Christensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.03 ± 0.06 0.001° Clostridium (0.99 ± 0.03) 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 (Peptostreptococcaceae) $Coprococcus$ 0.10 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 Costridium 0.09 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 0.011° Dialister 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 0.011° Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 Izutia 1.67 ± 0.49 0.44 ± 0.53 0.005° Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001° <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Bacteroides 30.00 ± 7.17 1.06 ± 7.52 <0.001 Barnesiella 0.28 ± 0.22 0.18 ± 0.22 0.024° Butyricimonas 1.29 ± 0.52 1.46 ± 0.55 0.883 Odoribacter 2.13 ± 2.28 5.20 ± 2.42 0.193 Parabacteroides 2.51 ± 1.41 2.22 ± 1.43 0.520 Prevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 [Prevotella] ^C 0.20 ± 1.84 9.99 ± 2.00 <0.001 Acidaminococcus 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.653 Anaerotruncus 0.16 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.04 0.703 Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Christensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.03 ± 0.06 0.001^* Clostridium 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 (Lachnospiraceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.11 ± 0.02 0.011^* Clostridium 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 0.01^*	4
Barnesiella 0.28 ± 0.22 0.18 ± 0.22 0.024° Butyricimonas 1.29 ± 0.52 1.46 ± 0.55 0.883 Odoribacter 2.13 ± 2.28 5.20 ± 2.42 0.193 Parabacteroides 2.51 ± 1.41 2.22 ± 1.43 0.520 Prevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 [Prevotella] ^C 0.20 ± 1.84 9.99 ± 2.00 <0.001 Firmicutes $Acidaminococcus$ 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerotruncus 0.16 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.04 0.703 Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Chostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.001° Clostridium 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 (Lachnospiraceae) $Costridium$ 0.06 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011° Clostridium 0.06 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011° Bialister 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 $<0.001^{\circ}$ Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008° Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005° Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 $4.12 \pm$)1*
Butyricimonas 1.29 ± 0.52 1.46 ± 0.55 0.883 Odoribacter 2.13 ± 2.28 5.20 ± 2.42 0.193 Parabacteroides 2.51 ± 1.41 2.22 ± 1.43 0.520 Prevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 [Prevotella] ^C 0.20 ± 1.84 9.99 ± 2.00 <0.001 Firmicutes Acidaminococcus 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerofuncus 0.16 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.04 0.703 Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Christensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.03 ± 0.06 $0.001^{\circ 0}$ Clostridium $(0.09 \pm 0.03$ 0.02 ± 0.03 $0.013^{\circ 0}$ Clostridium 0.09 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 $0.011^{\circ 0}$ Clostridium 0.06 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 $0.001^{\circ 0}$ Clostridium 0.06 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 $<0.001^{\circ 0}$ Dalister 0.00 ± 0.02 <t< td=""><td>4*</td></t<>	4*
Odoribacter 2.13 ± 2.28 5.20 ± 2.42 0.193 Parabacteroides 2.51 ± 1.41 2.22 ± 1.43 0.520 Prevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 [Prevotella] ^C 0.20 ± 1.84 9.99 ± 2.00 <0.001 Firmicutes $Acidaminococcus$ 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerotruncus 0.16 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.04 0.703 Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Christensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.03 ± 0.06 0.001^{4} Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.010^{4} Clostridium 0.18 ± 0.05 0.03 ± 0.06 0.010^{4} Clostridium 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 (Reminococcaceae) $Coprococcus$ 0.10 ± 0.06 0.11 ± 0.02 0.011^{4} Data 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Dialister 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 $<0.001^{4}$ Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.005^{4} Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53	3
Parabacteroides 2.51 ± 1.41 2.22 ± 1.43 0.520 Prevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 [Prevotella] ^C 0.20 ± 1.84 9.99 ± 2.00 <0.001 FirmicutesAcidaminococcus 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerofruncus 0.16 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.04 0.703 Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Christensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.03 ± 0.06 0.001^{*} Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.010° Clostridium 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 0.06 Clostridium 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 (Ruminococcaceae) 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 0.011^{*} Clostridium 0.06 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 0.011^{*} Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Data coccus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.005^{*} Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 0.633 Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.29 ± 0.05 $<0.001^{*}$ Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 $<0.001^{*}$	3
Prevotella 0.90 ± 1.46 2.78 ± 1.49 <0.001 [Prevotella] ^C 0.20 ± 1.84 9.99 ± 2.00 <0.001 FirmicutesAcidaminococcus 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerofuncus 0.16 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.04 0.703 Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Christensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.03 ± 0.06 0.001^{*} Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.010° Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.010° Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.12 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 (Peptostreptococcaceae) 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 0.053 Clostridium (Ruminococcaceae) 0.06 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{*} Coprococcus 0.10 ± 0.06 0.18 ± 0.06 0.017^{*} Dialister 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 $<0.001^{*}$ Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008^{*} Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005^{*} Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 </td <td>0</td>	0
)1*
Firmicutes Acidaminococcus 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerotruncus 0.16 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.04 0.703 Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Christensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.03 ± 0.06 0.001^4 Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.010^4 Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.010^4 Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.010^4 Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 (Lachnospiraceae) 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 Clostridium (Clostridium 0.06 \pm 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^4 (Ruminococcaceae) 0.00 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.017^4 Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 <t< td=""><td>)1*</td></t<>)1*
Acidaminococcus 0.00 ± 0.07 0.26 ± 0.07 <0.001 Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerotruncus 0.16 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.04 0.703 Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Christensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.03 ± 0.06 0.001^{4} Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.010^{6} Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.010^{6} Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 Clostridium (Lachnospiraceae) 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 Clostridium (Ruminococcaceae) 0.06 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{4} Coprococcus 0.10 ± 0.06 0.18 ± 0.06 0.017^{4} Dialister 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 $<0.001^{7}$ Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008^{4} Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005^{6} Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.01 <0.001	
Anaerofilum 0.04 ± 0.01 0.02 ± 0.01 0.053 Anaerotruncus 0.16 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.04 0.703 Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Christensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.03 ± 0.06 0.001^{4} Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.010^{4} Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.010^{4} Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 Clostridium (Clostridium 0.06 \pm 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{4} (Ruminococcaceae) 0.06 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{4} Coprococcus 0.10 ± 0.06 0.18 ± 0.06 0.017^{4} Dialister 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 $<0.001^{4}$ Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008^{4} Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001^{4} Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.29 ± 0.05 <0.001 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124)1*
Anaerotruncus 0.16 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.04 0.703 Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Christensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.03 ± 0.06 0.001^{**} Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.010^{**} Clostridium 1.18 ± 0.37 0.55 ± 0.39 0.013^{**} (Lachnospiraceae) 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 Clostridium 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 (Peptostreptococcaceae) 0.06 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{**} Clostridium 0.06 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{**} (Ruminococcaceae) 0.10 ± 0.06 0.18 ± 0.06 0.017^{**} Coprococcus 0.10 ± 0.06 0.18 ± 0.06 0.017^{**} Dialister 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 $<0.001^{**}$ Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008^{**} Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001^{**} Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.29 ± 0.05 <0.001 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001	3
Blautia 1.67 ± 0.84 3.44 ± 0.91 0.189 Christensenella 0.18 ± 0.05 0.03 ± 0.06 0.001^{40} Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) 2.44 ± 0.79 0.17 ± 0.86 0.010^{40} Clostridium 1.18 ± 0.37 0.55 ± 0.39 0.013^{40} (Lachnospiraceae) 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 Clostridium 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 (Peptostreptococcaceae) 0.06 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{40} Clostridium 0.06 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.017^{40} (Ruminococcaceae) 0.10 ± 0.06 0.18 ± 0.06 0.017^{40} Coprococcus 0.10 ± 0.06 0.18 ± 0.06 0.017^{40} Dialister 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 $<0.0017^{40}$ Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008^{40} Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005^{40} Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001	3
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	9
$\begin{array}{c c} Clostridium (Clostridiaceae) & 2.44 \pm 0.79 & 0.17 \pm 0.86 & 0.010^4 \\ Clostridium & 1.18 \pm 0.37 & 0.55 \pm 0.39 & 0.013^4 \\ (Lachnospiraceae) & & & & & & & & & \\ Clostridium & 0.09 \pm 0.03 & 0.02 \pm 0.03 & 0.053 \\ (Peptostreptococcaceae) & & & & & & & & & \\ Clostridium & 0.06 \pm 0.02 & 0.01 \pm 0.02 & 0.011^4 \\ (Ruminococcaceae) & & & & & & & & & \\ Coprococcus & 0.10 \pm 0.06 & 0.18 \pm 0.06 & 0.017^4 \\ Dialister & 0.00 \pm 0.02 & 0.11 \pm 0.02 & <0.001 \\ Dorea & 1.57 \pm 0.56 & 1.57 \pm 0.61 & 0.717 \\ Enterococcus & 0.08 \pm 0.06 & 0.01 \pm 0.06 & 0.341 \\ Epulopiscium & 1.46 \pm 2.33 & 2.93 \pm 2.37 & 0.134 \\ [Eubacterium] & 3.18 \pm 1.15 & 0.06 \pm 1.24 & 0.008^4 \\ Faecalibacterium & 0.37 \pm 0.28 & 1.48 \pm 0.30 & 0.005^4 \\ Lactobacillus & 1.10 \pm 0.78 & 4.12 \pm 0.85 & 0.001^4 \\ Lactococcus & 0.07 \pm 0.10 & 0.20 \pm 0.11 & 0.633 \\ Leuconostoc & 1.05 \pm 0.49 & 0.04 \pm 0.53 & 0.158 \\ Megasphaera & 0.00 \pm 0.05 & 0.29 \pm 0.05 & <0.001 \\ Mogibacterium & 0.04 \pm 0.01 & 0.05 \pm 0.01 & 0.124 \\ \end{array}$	1*
Clostridium (Lachnospiraceae) 1.18 ± 0.37 0.55 ± 0.39 0.013^{**} Clostridium (Peptostreptococcaceae) 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 Clostridium (Ruminococcaceae) 0.06 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{**} Coprococcus 0.10 ± 0.06 0.18 ± 0.06 0.017^{**} Dialister 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 $<0.011^{**}$ Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008^{**} Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005^{**} Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001^{**} Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124	J*
Clostridium 0.09 ± 0.03 0.02 ± 0.03 0.053 (Peptostreptococcaceae) 0.06 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{*} Clostridium 0.06 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{*} (Ruminococcaceae) 0.10 ± 0.06 0.18 ± 0.06 0.017^{*} Coprococcus 0.10 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 <0.001 Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008^{*} Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005^{*} Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001^{*} Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124	3*
Clostridium 0.06 ± 0.02 0.01 ± 0.02 0.011^{*} (Ruminococcaceae) 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 0.011^{*} Coprococcus 0.10 ± 0.06 0.18 ± 0.06 0.017^{*} Dialister 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 <0.001 Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008^{*} Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005^{*} Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001^{*} Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124	3
Coprococcus 0.10 ± 0.06 0.18 ± 0.06 0.017^{+} Dialister 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 <0.001 Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008^{+} Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005^{+} Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001^{+} Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.29 ± 0.05 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 <0.124	1*
Output 0.10 ± 0.00 0.10 ± 0.00 0.10 ± 0.00 0.01 ± 0.00 0.01 ± 0.00 Dialister 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 <0.001 Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008° Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005° Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001° Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124	7*
Diameter 0.00 ± 0.02 0.11 ± 0.02 0.004 Dorea 1.57 ± 0.56 1.57 ± 0.61 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008° Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005° Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001° Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.29 ± 0.05 <0.001 Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124)1*
Dotea 1.37 ± 0.36 1.37 ± 0.01 0.717 Enterococcus 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.341 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008^{*} Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005^{*} Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001^{*} Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.29 ± 0.05 <0.001 Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124	7
Enhance 0.08 ± 0.06 0.01 ± 0.06 0.04 ± 0.06 Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008° Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005° Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001° Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.29 ± 0.05 <0.001 Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124	1
Epulopiscium 1.46 ± 2.33 2.93 ± 2.37 0.134 [Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008° Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005° Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001° Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.29 ± 0.05 <0.001 Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124	1
[Eubacterium] 3.18 ± 1.15 0.06 ± 1.24 0.008° Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005° Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001° Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.29 ± 0.05 <0.001 Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001	4
Faecalibacterium 0.37 ± 0.28 1.48 ± 0.30 0.005° Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001° Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.29 ± 0.05 <0.001 Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124	5" =+
Lactobacillus 1.10 ± 0.78 4.12 ± 0.85 0.001° Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.29 ± 0.05 <0.001 Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124)°
Lactococcus 0.07 ± 0.10 0.20 ± 0.11 0.633 Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.29 ± 0.05 <0.001 Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.01 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124	1*
Leuconostoc 1.05 ± 0.49 0.04 ± 0.53 0.158 Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.29 ± 0.05 <0.001 Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124	3
Megasphaera 0.00 ± 0.05 0.29 ± 0.05 <0.001 Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124	8
Mitsuokella 0.00 ± 0.05 0.23 ± 0.06 <0.001 Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124)1*
Mogibacterium 0.04 ± 0.01 0.05 ± 0.01 0.124)1*
	4
Oscillospira 2.25 ± 0.81 5.47 ± 0.88 0.006^*	3*
Phascolarctobacterium 0.07 ± 0.02 0.03 ± 0.02 0.485	5
<i>p-75-a5</i> 0.40 ± 0.17 0.03 ± 0.19 0.235	5
Ruminococcus 0.23 ± 0.06 0.29 ± 0.06 0.602	2
[Ruminococcus] ^c $3.46 \pm 0.52 1.77 \pm 0.56 0.045^*$	5*
RFN20 0.00 ± 0.14 0.33 ± 0.15 0.039^{*}	9*
Sharpea 0.00 ± 0.09 0.31 ± 0.10 0.003*	3*
Streptococcus 0.07 ± 0.03 0.01 ± 0.03 0.151	1
Fusobacteria	
<i>Eusobacterium</i> $0.09 \pm 0.03 0.04 \pm 0.04 0.209$	9
Proteobacteria	2
Bilophila 0.03 ± 0.02 0.10 ± 0.02 0.037*	7*

(Continued)

TABLE 5 | Continued

Bacterial genus ^b	CONT, <i>n</i> = 13	ID, <i>n</i> = 11	<i>P</i> -value ^d
Campylobacter	0.09 ± 0.14	0.27 ± 0.15	0.527
Desulfovibrio	1.68 ± 0.29	1.07 ± 0.32	0.130
Escherichia	0.87 ± 0.99	4.62 ± 1.07	0.009*
Shigella	0.01 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.01	0.004*
Sutterella	0.12 ± 0.03	0.04 ± 0.04	0.197
Synergistetes			
Synergistes	0.35 ± 0.12	0.24 ± 0.13	0.753
Verrucomicrobia			
Akkermansia	0.13 ± 0.50	0.06 ± 0.54	0.010*

^aData are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) for each dietary treatment group. Main effects of dietary treatment (Diet; CONT vs. ID) are presented. CONT, control diet; ID, iron deficient diet; PND, postnatal day; SEM, standard error of the mean.

 b Only bacterial genera with mean relative abundance >0.05% were analyzed. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM.

^c Taxa that have brackets around the names are proposed taxonomies that Greengenes recommends based on the whole genome phylogeny but not officially recognized by Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology.

^d P-values for the main effect of early-life dietary iron status, which were arcsin transformed before analysis.

*CONT and ID groups differ, $P \le 0.05$.

isovalerate (P < 0.001) were all decreased. At study conclusion, no effects of dietary early-life iron status were evident in feces, including concentrations of DM (P = 0.612), total VFA (P = 0.719), or any individual VFA on either absolute or relative bases.

DISCUSSION

Microbiota

The diet provides nutrients for both the host and resident microbes within the lumen of the GIT (31), thus microbial composition of the GIT is heavily influenced by nutrition (32). Mounting evidence suggests that iron status has a significant impact on the microbiota by altering microbial diversity in the GIT and the growth of potentially-pathogenic, iron-requiring bacteria vs. non-iron-requiring bacteria (33-37). However, it should be noted that the majority of these studies were conducted to evaluate the effects of iron supplementation vs. deficiency. Few studies have characterized the effects of ID or IDA on the microbiota, and, to our knowledge, most studies that have characterized the effects of ID on the microbiota were performed in rodents (37, 38). As previously mentioned, the microbiota is known to have long-lasting effects on gastrointestinal, autoimmune, and metabolic diseases (5, 6). Given the prevalence of ID (1) and that early microbial colonization of the GIT can have lasting effects on the health and development of infants (5, 6, 15), understanding the effects ID has on the developing microbiota is imperative.

In this study, young pigs were provided an iron-adequate milk replacer, similar to human infant formula, or ID milk replacer through approximately 4 weeks of age followed by a period of dietary iron repletion. Sequencing of bacterial 16S TABLE 6 | Relative abundances of bacterial genera detected in feces at PND61^a.

Bacterial genus ^b	CONT, <i>n</i> = 9	ID, <i>n</i> = 10	P-value ^d
Actinobacteria			
Bifidobacteria	0.21 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.05	0.223
Bacteroidetes			
Alistipes	0.05 ± 0.02	0.05 ± 0.02	0.741
Bacteroides	5.35 ± 2.80	5.70 ± 2.78	0.743
Butyricimonas	0.59 ± 0.09	0.52 ± 0.09	0.665
Parabacteroides	2.06 ± 1.58	1.60 ± 1.57	0.675
Prevotella	21.67 ± 11.69	18.95 ± 11.67	0.302
[Prevotella] ^c	2.16 ± 0.63	1.98 ± 0.61	0.566
Euryarchaeota			
Methanobrevibacter	0.17 ± 0.08	0.09 ± 0.08	0.009*
Firmicutes			
Acidaminococcus	0.96 ± 0.25	0.62 ± 0.25	0.236
Anaerovibrio	4.54 ± 1.02	3.12 ± 1.00	0.167
Blautia	1.09 ± 0.40	1.11 ± 0.39	0.872
Butyricicoccus	0.19 ± 0.09	0.10 ± 0.09	0.131
Clostridium (Clostridiaceae)	0.11 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.02	0.399
Coprococcus	0.61 ± 0.41	0.53 ± 0.40	0.534
Dorea	0.54 ± 0.12	0.49 ± 0.12	0.792
Faecalibacterium	1.03 ± 0.51	1.32 ± 0.48	0.682
Lachnospira	0.84 ± 0.68	1.07 ± 0.68	0.525
Lactobacillus	1.46 ± 0.79	1.89 ± 0.77	0.797
Megasphaera	2.43 ± 0.56	2.93 ± 0.53	0.576
Mitsuokella	1.56 ± 0.78	2.00 ± 0.75	0.764
Oribacterium	0.09 ± 0.12	0.14 ± 0.12	0.253
Oscillospira	1.84 ± 0.37	2.15 ± 0.35	0.537
Phascolarctobacterium	0.08 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.01	0.968
Roseburia	1.08 ± 0.55	1.27 ± 0.54	0.559
Ruminococcus	1.09 ± 0.41	1.25 ± 0.40	0.677
[Ruminococcus]	0.13 ± 0.06	0.17 ± 0.06	0.821
RFN20	0.72 ± 0.25	0.78 ± 0.23	0.629
Sharpea	0.05 ± 0.03	0.09 ± 0.03	0.477
Streptococcus	0.82 ± 0.34	0.75 ± 0.34	0.895
Proteobacteria			
Campylobacter	0.82 ± 0.64	0.67 ± 0.62	0.687
Desulfovibrio	0.37 ± 0.11	0.22 ± 0.10	0.258
Oxalobacter	0.05 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.01	0.152
Sutterella	0.16 ± 0.11	0.21 ± 0.10	0.434
Spirochaetes			
Sphaerochaeta	0.19 ± 0.22	0.35 ± 0.22	0.142
Treponema	1.61 ± 1.62	1.51 ± 1.60	0.701
Synergistetes			
Synergistes	0.25 ± 0.13	0.21 ± 0.12	0.481

^aData are expressed as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) for each dietary treatment group. Main effects of dietary treatment (Diet; CONT vs. ID) is presented. CONT, control diet; ID, iron deficient diet; PND, postnatal day; SEM, standard error of the mean. ^bOnly bacterial genera with mean relative abundance >0.05% were analyzed. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM.

^c Taxa that have brackets around the names are proposed taxonomies that Greengenes recommends based on the whole genome phylogeny but not officially recognized by Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology.

^d P-values for the main effect of early-life dietary iron status, which were arcsin transformed before analysis.

*CONT and ID groups differ, $P \leq 0.05$.

rRNA genes was utilized to evaluate the effect of ID on the microbiome. We discovered through PCoA and PERMANOVA analyses that the microbiota of ID pigs differed significantly from that of CONT pigs in both AC and fecal samples at PND 32. In accordance with previous studies evaluating the microbiota of infants and pigs (8, 39–41), the main bacterial phyla in our study included Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria. At the genera level, our findings indicate that the ID diet created an environment in the GIT in which non-iron-requiring, beneficial gut bacteria such as *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* thrived.

The current study found that relative abundances of Prevotella and closely-related species, [Prevotella], were higher in both AC and feces of ID pigs. Our results are comparable with a previous report demonstrating that fecal samples of anemic Kenyan infants provided a non-iron-fortified micronutrient powder displayed increased abundance of Prevotella compared with infants who received an iron-fortified micronutrient powder (33), though it should be noted that this effect could be due to other confounding factors. Further, a study conducted in Sprague Dawley rats comparing the effects of varying iron supplementation methods, by providing an ID control diet in comparison to various methods of iron supplementation, also noted increased amounts of Prevotella in ID animals (38). However, Pereira et al. (38) observed a decrease in [Prevotella] in ID rats, which is contrary to our observations. This inconsistency could be related to the difference in species, or to the varying methods used to quantify the microbiota by Pereira and colleagues, which was noted as a limitation to their study (41).

The observed higher relative abundance of Bifidobacterium in feces and Lactobacillus in both AC and feces in ID pigs compared with CONT pigs in our study is congruent with other studies across multiple species (37, 42). It should be noted that most other researchers evaluated iron supplementation, and, thus, found that higher iron intake was related with decreased amounts of Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus (16, 35, 43). This was expected, as these two genera are known to be among the few that are non- or low-iron requiring bacteria (44, 45). Interestingly, the iron-requiring bacteria Escherichia/Shigella (46, 47) were higher in feces of ID pigs compared with CONT pigs. Typically, potentially-pathogenic bacteria, such as Escherichia/Shigella, are decreased in low-iron environments. Further, it has been established that bacteria such as Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus directly compete with Escherichia for resources (36, 37). Given that the observed prevalence of Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus were higher in feces of ID pigs, the increase in the relative abundance of Escherichia/Shigella is even more surprising. To our knowledge, only one other study has reported an increase in Escherichia abundance in anemic animals (48), and it was related to the high prevalence of diarrhea. It has been accepted that some strains of Escherichia/Shigella are associated with diarrhea in infants (49). Fecal moisture content, expressed inversely as dry matter content, revealed that ID pigs had a 50% more moisture in feces compared with CONT pigs, thus it is possible that diarrhea was present, possibly associated with the higher levels of Escherichia/Shigella detected in ID pigs. It is also well-established that ID and IDA can lead

TABLE 7	Within sam	iple bacterial	diversity	in ascending	colon	content and	feces at PND32a
IADEE /	vviu in san	ipie bacteriai	UIVEISILY	in ascending	000011	CONTENT and	16063 011 11002 .

Alpha Diversity	Ascendin	g colon	Feces		
	CONT, <i>n</i> = 6	ID, <i>n</i> = 7	CONT, <i>n</i> = 13	ID, <i>n</i> = 11	
Observed OTU	3161 ± 678.8	3035 ± 674.5	3120 ± 177.6	3739 ± 193.0*	
Shannon Index	n Index 7.46 ± 0.743 7.36 ± 0		7.22 ± 0.449	$8.27 \pm 0.474^{*}$	
Simpson Reciprocal 34.69 ± 14.875		27.65 ± 14.583	35.38 ± 14.024	48.720 ± 14.328	
Chao 1	6015 ± 1469.7	5677 ± 1462.4	6214 ± 395.0	6955 ± 429.4	

^a Data are expressed as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) for each dietary treatment group. Main effects of dietary treatment (Diet; CONT vs. ID) are presented. CONT, control diet; ID, iron deficient diet; PND, postnatal day; SEM, standard error of the mean; OTU, operational taxonomic unit. *Within same intestinal segment and row, CONT and ID groups differ, $P \leq 0.05$.

TABLE 8 | Within sample bacterial diversity in ascending colon content and feces at PND61^a.

Alpha Diversity	Ascendin	g Colon	Feces		
	CONT, <i>n</i> = 10	ID, <i>n</i> = 9	CONT, <i>n</i> = 9	ID, <i>n</i> = 10	
Observed OTU	3103 ± 112.9	3356 ± 112.9	4006 ± 437.3	3915 ± 435.4	
Shannon Index	7.16 ± 0.166	7.54 ± 0.166	7.99 ± 0.562	8.06 ± 0.559	
Simpson Reciprocal	22.93 ± 4.0770	30.36 ± 4.0629	45.03 ± 21.75	44.15 ± 21.64	
Chao 1	6344 ± 245.8	6864 ± 245.8	8075 ± 858.6	7777 ± 852.5	

^a Data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) for each dietary treatment group. Main effects of dietary treatment (Diet; CONT vs. ID) is presented. CONT, control diet; ID, iron deficient diet; PND, postnatal day; SEM, standard error of the mean; OTU, operational taxonomic unit.

to diminished immune function and higher susceptibility to pathogens (50, 51). Despite being iron-requiring bacteria, the greater susceptibility of ID pigs to illness may also contribute with the higher amount of *Escherichia/Shigella* observed. However, given that *Escherichia/Shigella* are opportunistic pathogens, the growth of these bacteria in ID pigs warrants future research to determine why they were increased so markedly in an ID environment.

Similar to Escherichia and Shigella, some members of Bacteroides, such as strains of B. fragilis are potentiallypathogenic, iron-requiring microbes, but they have also been recognized as having a beneficial relationship with the host when contained within the intestinal lumen (5, 52). Bacteroides is also known to be one of the most abundant genera found in both pigs (8, 41) and humans (53, 54). As such, Bacteroides represented a large proportion of the bacterial makeup of the AC contents of both CONT and ID pigs, but remained high only in the feces of CONT pigs, and was greatly decreased in feces of ID pigs. The difference in abundance of Bacteroides in the current study between AC and feces may be attributed to the amount of iron that is available between the two sites of the large intestine. Bacteroides is extremely proficient in its ability to scavenge for iron (55), which, although low in the proximal colon of the ID group, would have been comparatively more available than in the distal colon. Ultimately, it is unclear why the relative abundance of Bacteroides is only reduced in the feces of ID pigs compared with CONT pigs, but the subsequent decrease in Bacteroides in feces of ID animals, or its increase in cases of iron supplementation, has also been noted elsewhere (33, 37, 42, 56). No previous studies have evaluated the microbiota in AC, so future studies evaluating the regional abundances of *Bacteroides* in the context of iron status are warranted.

Clostridium from the families of Clostridiaceae, Lachnospiraceae, and Ruminococcaceae were higher in the feces of CONT pigs compared with ID pigs. The few studies assessing the effects of iron on the microbiota have focused on the Clostridium genera, however, one study noted an increase in various strains of Clostridium in infants given iron-supplemented micronutrient powders compared with infants who were not iron supplemented (33). Further, Wang and colleagues compared the microbiota of breastfed vs. formula-fed infants in the U.S. (5), and noted that levels of *Clostridium* were higher in formula-fed infants. It is well-established that human milk is low in iron (23, 57), and it is hypothesized that the iron in human milk is bound to lactoferrin to prevent use by potentially-pathogenic bacteria and heighten iron bioavailability to the infant (58). Further, infant formula in the U.S. is supplemented with iron at 12 mg/L (44, 59), and potentially-pathogenic members of the Clostridium genera are also iron-requiring bacteria (45). In the current study, CONT pigs were provided an iron-fortified milk replacer similar to human infant formula, whereas the ID pigs were provided a low iron formula with iron content similar to that in porcine and human milk. Taken together, these findings complement the higher relative abundances of various *Clostridium* strains in the CONT group vs. ID group.

After transitioning all pigs to an iron-replete diet, PCoA and PERMANOVA analyses at PND 61 showed that the microbiota of ID pigs no longer differed from that of CONT pigs in AC or feces. No significance was observed at the phylum level. At the genera level, the current study found that consumption of a

TABLE 9 Effect of iron status on volatile fatty acid concentrations in ascending
colon contents ^a .

ling	TABLE 10	Effect of iron s	status on	volatile fatty	acid	concentration	in fecesa
------	----------	------------------	-----------	----------------	------	---------------	-----------

		Di	et		
Measure	Nb	CONT	ID	Pooled SEM	<i>P</i> -value ^c
PND 32					
Dry Matter, %	13	22.21	11.77	4.404	0.006
Absolute concentra	tion, μmo	ol/g DM			
Total VFA	13	349.67	859.51	183.390	0.003
Acetate	13	197.97	566.36	110.770	0.002
Propionate	13	88.81	171.76	43.692	0.018
Butyrate	13	36.77	80.21	19.862	0.012
Valerate	13	8.98	20.16	4.234	0.025
Isobutyrate	13	7.53	9.14	1.643	0.314
Isovalerate	13	8.90	10.96	2.083	0.321
Relative profile, % of	of total VF	A			
Acetate	13	56.24	66.95	1.186	< 0.001
Propionate	13	25.36	19.12	0.921	< 0.001
Butyrate	13	10.21	9.02	0.653	0.213
Valerate	13	2.42	2.28	0.276	0.720
Isobutyrate	13	2.617	1.102	0.296	0.002
Isovalerate	13	2.93	1.33	0.245	0.001
PND 61					
Dry Matter, %	20	16.44	19.36	5.536	0.382
Absolute concentra	tion, μmo	ol/g DM			
Total VFA	20	647.34	610.93	197.330	0.678
Acetate	20	321.63	309.54	92.764	0.800
Propionate	20	194.44	163.66	61.291	0.363
Butyrate	20	105.31	109.83	39.239	0.753
Valerate	20	17.22	17.25	4.317	0.992
Isobutyrate	20	3.67	4.42	0.636	0.283
Isovalerate	20	5.77	6.24	0.730	0.585
Relative profile, % of	of total VF	A			
Acetate	20	49.68	50.80	1.545	0.615
Propionate	20	29.27	26.09	1.664	0.194
Butyrate	20	16.56	18.01	1.191	0.316
Valerate	20	2.80	3.02	0.351	0.578
Isobutyrate	20	0.67	0.86	0.214	0.302
Isovalerate	20	1.05	1.23	0.347	0.497

^aData presented as mean and pooled standard error of the means (SEM) for each dietary treatment group. Main effects of dietary treatment (Diet; CONT vs ID) are presented. CONT, control diet; ID, iron deficient diet; PND, postnatal day; SEM, standard error of the mean; DM, dry matter basis; VFA, volatile fatty acid.

^bTotal number of observations used.

^cP-values for the main effect of early-life dietary iron status.

common series of iron-adequate diets by both groups allowed for stabilization of the microbiota following early-life ID, with only three bacteria showing significance between ID and CONT pigs in AC and feces combined.

The observed decrease in the relative abundance of *Prevotella* in AC of ID pigs compared with CONT pigs at PND 61 was compelling given it was found to be increased at PND 32 in the ID group. It is unclear why this shift occurred, and future research should seek to elucidate the varying results seen in *Prevotella* in association with iron status across multiple studies

		Diet				
Measure	Nb	CONT	ID	Pooled SEM	P-value ^c	
PND 32						
Dry Matter, %	23	53.54	26.05	2.905	< 0.001	
Absolute concentra	ation, μmo	ol/g DM				
Total VFA	23	143.14	302.80	96.985	0.001	
Acetate	23	81.48	199.58	66.553	< 0.001	
Propionate	23	30.09	60.64	17.957	0.001	
Butyrate	23	18.25	23.43	9.452	0.279	
Valerate	23	2.78	5.20	1.158	0.007	
Isobutyrate	23	4.11	6.18	1.173	0.060	
Isovalerate	23	5.22	6.59	0.932	0.300	
Relative profile, %	of total VF	A				
Acetate	23	47.65	63.12	1.540	< 0.001	
Propionate	23	23.97	21.06	0.551	0.001	
Butyrate	23	14.37	7.27	0.971	< 0.001	
Valerate	23	2.68	2.22	0.232	0.165	
Isobutyrate	23	4.47	2.62	0.440	< 0.001	
Isovalerate	23	6.08	2.95	0.882	< 0.001	
PND 61						
Dry Matter, %	20	23.64	22.63	1.762	0.612	
Absolute concentra	ation, μmo	ol/g DM				
Total VFA	20	350.03	387.22	71.801	0.719	
Acetate	20	187.55	207.61	35.380	0.694	
Propionate	20	85.38	95.52	23.764	0.834	
Butyrate	20	53.35	60.59	11.541	0.663	
Valerate	20	9.68	11.47	2.555	0.627	
Isobutyrate	20	5.98	6.44	0.689	0.643	
Isovalerate	20	8.08	8.60	1.055	0.738	
Relative profile, %	of total VF	A				
Acetate	20	54.62	55.01	1.388	0.823	
Propionate	20	22.51	22.41	2.252	0.956	
Butyrate	20	15.28	15.16	1.026	0.934	
Valerate	20	2.64	2.74	0.177	0.685	
Isobutyrate	20	2.19	1.98	0.320	0.649	
Isovalerate	20	2.99	2.70	0.485	0.681	

^aData presented as mean and pooled standard error of the means (SEM) for each dietary treatment group. Main effects of dietary treatment (Diet; CONT vs ID) are presented. CONT, control diet; ID, iron deficient diet; PND, postnatal day; SEM, standard error of the mean; DM, dry matter basis.

^bTotal number of observations used.

^cP-values for the main effect of early-life dietary iron status.

(33, 38). *Bifidobacterium* remained higher only in AC of ID pigs compared with CONT pigs. Although the relative abundance of *Bifidobacterium* being increased in the ID group is congruent with findings at PND 32, it is interesting that *Bifidobacterium* was found to be higher in feces alone at PND 32 and in AC alone at PND 61. Further, given *Bifidobacterium* is among the few categorized as low-iron requiring bacteria (44, 45), the higher relative abundance after ID pigs were transitioned to an iron-replete diet was confounding, and these findings warrant future research.

It is important to highlight that even though the ID group showed lower concentrations of most potentially pathogenic bacteria and increased levels of beneficial gut bacteria at PND 32, the negative side effects known to be associated with IDA such as increased susceptibility to infection and disease (60), altered cognitive development (61, 62), and decreased growth performance (17) outweigh any potential benefits on the microbiota. Due to the high amount of genera significantly altered in the ID group at PND 32, we chose to speak mainly to those known to be effected by iron status. However, future research should be conducted to look into alterations in microbes not elucidated here. It should be noted that, although there was seemingly a full recovery of the microbiota of ID pigs similar to that of CONT pigs by PND 61, shifts from a liquid to a solid diet is known to strongly alter the microbial composition of the GIT, overshadowing other biological and environmental influences affecting microbial composition (63, 64). Further, the human infant's microbiota is thought to stabilize and become more "adult-like" after 1 year of age (65, 66). It is possible a similar effect could be seen in the pig, and this warrants further research, Overall, these were factors that could not be controlled for in the current study.

Volatile Fatty Acid Composition

Volatile fatty acids are the primary end-products of microbial fermentation in the hindgut (67). Thus, the diet and the composition of the microbiota play significant roles in VFA formation (7, 68). At PND 32, we observed increases in total VFA concentrations in both AC and feces in ID pigs compared with CONT pigs. Given that CONT and ID milk replacers differed only in the level of iron, a non-fermentable nutrient, it can be surmised that the differences in VFA profiles between CONT and ID pigs stem directly from the shift in the microbiota due to early-life iron deficiency. A previous study comparing the microbial and VFA profiles of European and rural African children found that increased amounts of bacteria such as Prevotella, known for their fermentative capabilities of insoluble fibers, led to increased VFA concentrations (31). The ID pigs in this study displayed significantly higher relative abundances of Prevotella at PND 32 compared with CONT pigs, thus the higher levels of Prevotella and closely-related species could have contributed to the higher overall amount of VFA observed in the ID group. It has also been noted that VFA production via bacterial fermentation can lead to lower intestinal pH, subsequently increasing solubility of minerals such as iron and thereby improving their absorption (32, 69).

Further, Bouglé et al. performed a study utilizing the Ussing chamber to evaluate iron absorption throughout the GIT in Sprague-Dawley rats. They concluded that the ascending colon could be a noteworthy location for iron absorption (lower absorption rates than in the duodenum, which is the main site of iron absorption, but higher than more distal parts of the small intestine) (70). Bougle also noted that VFA, especially propionate, can enhance this effect (70). Interestingly, absolute amounts of propionate were higher at PND 32 in ID pigs compared with CONT pigs in both AC and feces in our study. Although we do not have direct measures of pH in AC and feces, we speculate that the higher amount of propionate and total VFA production observed in ID pigs could be a compensatory effect to counteract severe iron deficiency by allowing greater chances of any available iron to be absorbed. It should be noted that other studies evaluating VFA in the context of iron deficiency did not observe comparable effects to our study. Dostal et al. actually noted decreased amounts of VFA in a study evaluating the effects of iron deficiency and supplementation on the microbiota in Sprague-Dawley rats (37). However, Dostal et al. also found that VFA production between an ID and iron-sufficient group of rats were not significantly different from each other in a later study (34), though these variations could stem from the dissimilarities in model, as the rat practices coprophagy. Further, it should be noted that Dostal et al. reported VFA concentration as mmol/L without correcting for DM as done in the current study. Pigs in the current study are largely separated from their fecal material, making the results seen more representative than rodent studies. Taken together, the effects iron deficiency have on VFA profiles appears to vary across studies, which may require further investigation.

Following dietary iron repletion throughout phase 2 of the study, VFA profiles of ID pigs recovered to levels comparable to those observed in CONT pigs by PND 61. Recovery of VFA profiles of ID pigs to match that of CONT pigs was congruent with the finding that the microbial composition of ID pigs matched that of CONT pigs by PND 61. Taken together, these findings suggest that early-life iron deficiency has a significant effect on the microbiota, but recovery of the VFA and microbiota profiles are possible. Although it has been shown that a full recovery of the microbiota after a period of early-life iron deficiency is possible, future studies are necessary to establish precisely how long repletion of iron in the diet is necessary to see such effects.

CONCLUSION

Herein, a severely anemic pig model was created through dietary manipulation alone. We observed altered VFA profiles at the end of phase 1, with ID pigs displaying increased VFA production in AC and feces. The microbiota was also significantly affected, and though greater differences were found in feces of ID pigs compared with CONT pigs, alterations in AC were elucidated in the separation of the two groups by PCoA and PERMANOVA analyses at PND 32. Apart from the finding that *Esherichia/Shigella* abundances were greater in ID pigs, there tended to be lower relative abundances of potentially pathogenic bacteria such as *Bacteroides* and *Clostridium* in ID pigs, and higher amounts of beneficial bacteria such as *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* during phase 1.

Overall, these findings indicate that early-life iron deficiency affects the microbiota and, subsequently, VFA concentrations and profiles. Further, that iron is an essential nutrient for proper development of the neonatal microbiota. Volatile fatty acid concentration and profiles were recovered to levels comparable to those seen in CONT pigs at study conclusion.

Evaluation of the microbiota at study conclusion also revealed no significant differences between ID and CONT pigs in PCoA and PERMANOVA analyses, suggesting that consumption of a common series of iron-adequate diets by both groups allowed for stabilization of the microbiota following early-life ID. However, future studies should seek to wholly characterize the influence of iron on the makeup of the microbiota after a time of dietary iron repletion without shifting from a liquid to a solid diet. This will allow microbial alterations due to the shift in diet matrix alone to be controlled for. The young pig proves to be an optimal translational model to study the effects of a micronutrient deficiency, specifically an iron deficiency, and how it will affect the development of the microbiota of both pigs and human infants. Further, it highlights a critical window during which adequate dietary iron intake is imperative to establish the microbiota. Lastly, future work should seek to further establish the duration of dietary iron repletion needed to fully reverse the effects of early-life IDA.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets generated for this study can be found in NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA), PRJNA543532.

ETHICS STATEMENT

All animal and experimental procedures were in accordance with the National Research Council Guide for the Care and

REFERENCES

- WHO. Micronutrient Deficiencies. (2017) Available online at: http://www.who. int/nutrition/topics/ida/en/ (accessed January 4, 2017).
- McLean E, Cogswell M, Egli I, Wojdyla D, de Benoist B. Worldwide prevalence of anaemia, WHO vitamin and mineral nutrition information system, 1993-2005. Publ Heal Nutr. (2009) 12:444–54. doi: 10.1017/S1368980008002401
- Rao R, Georgieff MK. Iron in fetal and neonatal nutrition. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med. (2007) 12:54–63. doi: 10.1016/j.siny.2006.10.007
- Sweet DG, Savage G, Tubman TR, Lappin TR, Halliday HL. Study of maternal influences on fetal iron status at term using cord blood transferrin receptors. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* (2001) 84:F40–3. doi: 10.1136/fn.84.1.f40
- Wang M, Li M, Wu S, Lebrilla CB, Chapkin RS, Ivanov I, et al. Fecal microbiota composition of breast-fed infants Is correlated with human milk oligosaccharides consumed. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* (2015) 60:825–33. doi: 10.1097/MPG.00000000000752
- Adlerberth I, Strachan DP, Matricardi PM, Ahrné S, Orfei L, Åberg N, et al. Gut microbiota and development of atopic eczema in 3 European birth cohorts. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2007) 120:343–50. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2007.05.018
- Penders J, Thijs C, Vink C, Stelma FF, Snijders B, Kummeling I, et al. Factors influencing the composition of the intestinal microbiota in early infancy. *Pediatrics*. (2006) 118:511–21. doi: 10.1542/peds.200 5-2824
- Moughan PJ, Birtles MJ, Cranwell PD, Smith WC, Pedraza M. The piglet as a model animal for studying aspects of digestion and absorption in milk-fed human infants. *World Rev Nutr Diet.* (1992) 67:40–113.
- Ventrella D, Dondi F, Barone F, Serafini F, Elmi A, Giunti M, et al. The biomedical piglet: establishing reference intervals for haematology and clinical chemistry parameters of two age groups with and without iron supplementation. *BMC Vet Res.* (2016) 13:23. doi: 10.1186/s12917-017-0946-2

Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors were involved in study design and implementation, data acquisition, analysis, and interpretation. LK wrote the manuscript. MW, SD, and RD read and approved the final version.

FUNDING

The authors would like to thank the University of Illinois Campus Research Board, specifically the Arnold O. Beckman Award, for providing partial funding to complete this study. The funding sponsors had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, and in the decision to publish the results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Drs. Brian Berg and Rosaline Waworuntu for their expertise in study design. We would also like to thank Mead Johnson Nutrition for formulating and providing the diets for this study. Additionally, we would like to thank Kristen Karkiewicz for managing the day-to-day pig rearing.

- Rytych JL, Elmore MR, Burton MD, Conrad MS, Donovan SM, Dilger RN, et al. Early life iron deficiency impairs spatial cognition in neonatal piglets. J Nutr Ingestive Behav Neurosci. (2012) 142:1–7. doi: 10.3945/jn.112. 165522
- Antonides A, Schoonderwoerd AC, Scholz G, Berg BM, Nordquist RE, van der Staay FJ. Pre-weaning dietary iron deficiency impairs spatial learning and memory in the cognitive holeboard task in piglets. *Front Behav Neurosci.* (2015) 9:1–16. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2015.00291
- Leyshon BJ, Radlowski EC, Mudd AT, Steelman AJ, Johnson RW. Postnatal iron deficiency impairs brain development in piglets. *J Nutr.* (2016) 146:1–8. doi: 10.3945/jn.115.223636
- Nelissen E, De Vry J, Antonides A, Paes D, Schepers M, van der Staay FJ, et al. Early-postnatal iron deficiency impacts plasticity in the dorsal and ventral hippocampus in piglets. *Int J Dev Neurosci.* (2017) 59:47–51. doi: 10.1016/j.ijdevneu.2017.03.006
- 14. Odle J, Lin X, Jacobi SK, Kim SW, Stahl CH. The suckling piglet as an agrimedical model for the study of pediatric nutrition and metabolism. *Annu Rev Anim Biosci.* (2014) 2:419–44. doi: 10.1146/annurev-animal-022513-114158
- Azad MB, Konya T, Maughan H, Guttman DS, Field CJ, Chari RS, et al. Gut microbiota of healthy Canadian infants: profiles by mode of delivery and infant diet at 4 months. *CMAJ*. (2013) 185:373–374. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.130147
- Krebs NF, Sherlock LG, Westcott J, Culbertson D, Hambidge KM, Feazel LM, et al. Effects of different complementary feeding regimens on iron status and enteric microbiota in breastfed infants. *J Pediatr*. (2013) 163:416–23.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2013.01.024
- Knight LC, Dilger RN. Longitudinal effects of iron deficiency anemia and subsequent repletion on blood parameters and the rate and composition of growth in pigs. *Nutrients*. (2018) 10:E632. doi: 10.3390/nu10050632
- 18. Mudd A, Fil J, Knight L, Lam F, Liang ZP, Dilger R. Early-life iron deficiency reduces brain iron content and alters brain tissue composition

despite iron repletion: a neuroimaging assessment. *Nutrients*. (2018) 10:135. doi: 10.3390/nu10020135

- Mudd AT, Fil JE, Knight LC, Dilger RN. Dietary iron repletion following early-life dietary iron deficiency does not correct regional volumetric or diffusion tensor changes in the developing pig brain. *Front Neurol.* (2018) 8:735. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2017.00735
- Gan L, Yang B, Mei H. The effect of iron dextran on the transcriptome of pig hippocampus. *Genes Genom.* (2017) 39:1–14. doi: 10.1007/s13258-016-0469-4
- 21. Nutrient Requirements of Swine. 11th ed. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (2012).
- Mudd AT, Alexander LS, Johnson SK, Getty CM, Malysheva OV, Caudill MA, et al. Perinatal dietary choline deficiency in sows influences concentrations of choline metabolites, fatty acids, and amino acids in milk throughout lactation. *J Nutr.* (2016) 146:2216–23. doi: 10.3945/jn.116.238832.Many
- Friel JK. There is no iron in human milk. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. (2017) 64:339–40. doi: 10.1097/MPG.00000000001364
- Hernell O, Fewtrell MS, Georgieff MK, Krebs NF, Lönnerdal B. Summary of current recommendations on iron provision and monitoring of iron status for breastfed and formula-fed infants in resource-rich and resource-constrained countries. J Pediatr. (2015) 167:S40–S47. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.07.020
- 25. Li M, Bauer LL, Chen X, Wang M, Kuhlenschmidt TB, Kuhlenschmidt MS, et al. Microbial composition and in vitro fermentation patterns of human milk oligosaccharides and prebiotics differ between formula-fed and sow-reared piglets. *In Vitro*. (2012) 142:681–9. doi: 10.3945/jn.111.154427.hydrates
- Monaco MH, Wang M, Pan X, Li Q, Richards JD, Chichlowski M, et al. Evaluation of Sialyllactose supplementation to a prebiotic-containing formula on growth, intestinal development and bacterial colonization in the neonatal piglet. *Curr Dev Nutr.* (2018) 2:1–15. doi: 10.1093/cdn/nzy067
- Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, et al. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat Methods*. (2011) 7:335–336. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.f.303.QIIME
- Cole JR, Wang Q, Cardenas E, Fish J, Chai B, Farris RJ, et al. The ribosomal database project: Improved alignments and new tools for rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2009) 37:141–145. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn879
- Smiricky-Tjardes MR, Flickinger EA, Grieshop CM, Bauer LL, Murphy MR, Fahey GC. *In vitro* fermentation characteristics of selected oligosaccharides by swine fecal microflora. *J Anim Sci.* (2003) 81:2505–14. doi: 10.2527/2003.81102505x
- Lozupone C, Hamady M, Knight R. UniFrac an online tool for comparing microbial community diversity in a phylogenetic context. *BMC Bioinformat*. (2006) 7:1–14. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-7-371
- Power SE, O'Toole PW, Stanton C, Ross RP, Fitzgerald GF. Intestinal microbiota, diet and health. Br J Nutr. (2014) 111:387–402. doi: 10.1017/S0007114513002560
- Kortman GAM, Raffatellu M, Swinkels DW, Tjalsma H. Nutritional iron turned inside out: intestinal stress from a gut microbial perspective. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.* (2014) 38:1202–34. doi: 10.1111/1574-6976.12086
- 33. Jaeggi T, Kortman GAM, Moretti D, Chassard C, Holding P, Dostal A, et al. Iron fortification adversely affects the gut microbiome, increases pathogen abundance and induces intestinal inflammation in Kenyan infants. *Gut.* (2015) 64:731–42. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2014-307720
- 34. Dostal A, Lacroix C, Pham VT, Zimmermann MB, Del'homme C, Bernalier-Donadille A, et al. Iron supplementation promotes gut microbiota metabolic activity but not colitis markers in human gut microbiotaassociated rats. Br J Nutr. (2014) 111:2135–45. doi: 10.1017/S00071145140 0021X
- 35. Zimmermann MB, Chassard C, Rohner F, Goran KNE, Nindjin C, Dostal A, et al. The effects of iron fortification on the gut microbiota in African children: a randomized controlled trial in Cote d'Ivoire. Am J Clin Nutr. (2010) 92:1406–15. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.110.004564
- 36. Lee SH, Shinde P, Choi J, Park M, Ohh S, Kwon IK, et al. Effects of dietary iron levels on growth performance, hematological status, liver mineral concentration, fecal microflora, and diarrhea incidence in weanling pigs. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* (2008) 126:57–68. doi: 10.1007/s12011-008-8209-5
- Dostal A, Chassard C, Hilty FM, Zimmermann MB, Jaeggi T, Rossi S, et al. Iron depletion and repletion with ferrous sulfate or electrolytic iron modifies the composition and metabolic activity of the gut microbiota in rats. *J Nutr.* (2012) 142:271–277. doi: 10.3945/jn.111.148643

- Pereira DIA, Aslam MF, Frazer DM, Schmidt A, Walton GE, Mccartney AL, et al. Dietary iron depletion at weaning imprints low microbiome diversity and this is not recovered with oral nano Fe(III). *MicrobiologyOpen*. (2015) 4:12–27. doi: 10.1002/mbo3.213
- Abrahamsson TR, Jakobsson HE, Andersson AF, Björkstén B, Engstrand L, Jenmalm MC. Low diversity of the gut microbiota in infants with atopic eczema. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2012) 129: 434–40. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2011.10.025
- Hong PY, Lee BW, Aw M, Shek LPC, Yap GC, Chua KY, et al. Comparative analysis of fecal microbiota in infants with and without eczema. *PLoS ONE*. (2010) 5:9964. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0009964
- Isaacson R, Kim HB. The intestinal microbiome of the pig. *Anim Heal Res Rev.* (2012) 13:100–109. doi: 10.1017/S1466252312000084
- 42. Werner T, Wagner SJ, Martinez I, Walter J, Chang J, Clavel T, et al. Depletion of luminal iron alters the gut microbiota and prevents Crohn's disease-like ileitis. *Gut.* (2011) 60:325–33. doi: 10.1136/gut.2010.216929
- O'sullivan A, McNiven EMS, Haggarty NW, Lönnerdal B, Slupsky CM. Early infant diet impacts infant rhesus monkey metabolism. *Proc Nutr Soc.* (2013) 72:E118. doi: 10.1017/S0029665113001419
- Quinn EA. Too much of a good thing: Evolutionary perspectives on infant formula fortification in the United States and its effects on infant health. *Am J Hum Biol.* (2013) 17:10–17. doi: 10.1002/ajhb.22476
- Weinberg ED. The Lactobacillus anomaly: total iron abstinence. Perspect Biol Med. (1997) 40:578–83. doi: 10.1353/pbm.1997.0072
- Braun V, Braun M. Iron transport and signaling in *Escherichia coli*. *FEBS Lett.* (2002) 529:78–85. doi: 10.1016/S0014-5793(02)03185-X
- Mchugh JP, Rodri F, Abdul-tehrani H, Svistunenko DA, Poole RK, Cooper CE, et al. Global iron-dependent gene regulation in Escherichia coli. *Biochemistry*. (2003) 278:29478–86. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M303381200
- Pereira DIA, Bruggraber SFA, Faria N, Poots LK, Tagmount MA, Aslam MF, et al. Nanoparticulate iron(III) oxo-hydroxide delivers safe iron that is well absorbed and utilised in humans. *Nanomed Nanotechnol Biol Med.* (2014) 10:1877–86. doi: 10.1016/j.nano.2014.06.012
- Lanata CF, Fischer-Walker CL, Olascoaga AC, Torres CX, Aryee MJ, Black RE. Global causes of diarrheal disease mortality in children <5 years of age: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE.* (2013) 8:72788 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0072788
- Beard JL. Iron biology in immune function, muscle metabolism and neuronal functioning. J Nutr. (2001) 131:568–80. doi: 10.1093/jn/131.2.568S
- Kochanowski BA, Sherman AR. Cellular growth in iron-deficient rats: Effect of pre- and postweaning iron repletion. J Nutr. (1985) 115:279–87.
- Wexler HM. Bacteroides: the good, the bad, and the nitty-gritty. Clin Microbiol Rev. (2007) 20:593–621. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00008-07
- Hooper LV, Midtvedt T, Gordon JI. How host-microbial interactions shape the nutrient environment of the mammalian intestine. *Annu Rev Nutr.* (2002) 22:283–307. doi: 10.1146/annurev.nutr.22.011602. 092259
- Jost T, Lacroix C, Braegger CP, Chassard C. New insights in gut microbiota establishment in healthy breast fed neonates. *PLoS ONE*. (2012) 7:e44595. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0044595
- Rocha ER, de Uzeda M, Brock JH. Effect of ferric and ferrous iron chelators on growth of Bacteroides fragilis under anaerobic conditions. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* (1991) 68:45–50.
- Mevissen-Verhage EAE, Marcelis JH, Harmsen-Van Amerongen WCM, de Vos NM, Verhoef J. Effect of iron on neonatal gut flora during the first three months of life. *Eur J Clin Microbiol*. (1985) 4:273–278. doi: 10.1007/BF02013651
- Fransson GB, Lönnerdal B. Iron in human milk. J Pediatr. (1980) 96:380–4. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3476(80)80676-7
- Lönnerdal B. Nutritional and physiologic significance of human milk proteins. Am J Clin Nutr. (2003) 77:1537S-43S. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/77. 6.1537S
- Lonnerdal B, Georgieff MK, Hernell O. Developmental physiology of iron absorption, homeostasis and metabolism in the healthy term infant. *J Pediatr.* (2015) 1848:3047–54. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2015.02.010. Cationic
- Hershko C. Iron and Infection. In: Fomon SJ, Zlotkin S, editors. Nutritional Anemias. New York, NY: Vevey/Raven Press, Ltd (1992). p. 53–64.

- Beard JL, Connor JR. Iron status and neural functioning. Annu Rev Nutr. (2003) 23:41–58. doi: 10.1146/annurev.nutr.23.020102.075739
- Lozoff B, Beard J, Connor J, Felt B, Georgieff M, Schallert T. Long-lasting neural and behavioral effects of iron deficiency in infancy. *Nutr Rev.* (2006) 64:S34–43. doi: 10.1301/nr.2006.may.S34
- 63. De Filippo C, Cavalieri D, Di Paola M, Ramazzotti M, Poullet JB, Massart S, et al. Impact of diet in shaping gut microbiota revealed by a comparative study in children from Europe and rural Africa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2010) 107:14691–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1005 963107
- Voreades N, Kozil A, Weir TL. Diet and the development of the human intestinal microbiome. *Front Microbiol.* (2014) 5:1–9. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00494
- Li M, Wang M, Donovan SM. Early development of the gut microbiota and immune-mediated childhood disorders. *Pathogens*. (2014) 3:769–790. doi: 10.3390/pathogens3030769
- Bäckhed F, Roswall J, Peng Y, Feng Q, Jia H, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Dynamics and stabilization of the human gut microbiome during the first year of life. *Cell Host Microbe*. (2015) 17:690–703. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.201 5.04.004
- Kennelly JJ, Aherne FX, Sauer WC. Volatile fatty acid production in the hindgut of swine. *Can J Anim Sci.* (1981) 361:349–361.

- Wong JMW, De Souza R, Kendall CWC, Emam A, Jenkins DJA. Colonic health : fermentation and short chain fatty acids. J Clin Gastroenterol. (2006) 40:235–243.
- Hillman ET, Lu H, Yao T, Nakatsu CH. Microbial ecology along the gastrointestinal tract. *Microbes Environ*. (2017) 32:300–13: doi: 10.1264/jsme2.ME17017
- 70. Bouglé D, Vaghefi-Vaezzadeh N, Roland N, Bouvard G, Arhan P, Bureau F, et al. Influence of short-chain fatty acids on iron absorption by proximal colon. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* (2002) 37:1008–1011. doi: 10.1080/003655202320378176

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Knight, Wang, Donovan and Dilger. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.