

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

**Multilayer regulation underlies the functional precision  
and evolvability of the olfactory system**

Jérôme Mermet<sup>1</sup>, Steeve Cruchet<sup>1</sup>, Asfa Sabrin Borbora<sup>1</sup>, Daehan Lee<sup>1,#</sup>, Phing  
Chian Chai<sup>1</sup>, Andre Jang<sup>2</sup>, Karen Menuz<sup>2,3</sup>, Richard Benton<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Integrative Genomics  
Faculty of Biology and Medicine  
University of Lausanne  
CH-1015  
Lausanne  
Switzerland

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physiology and Neurobiology  
<sup>3</sup>Connecticut Institute for Brain and Cognitive Sciences  
University of Connecticut  
Storrs  
Connecticut 06269  
United States

<sup>#</sup>Present address:  
Department of Biological Sciences  
Sungkyunkwan University  
Suwon 16419  
Republic of Korea

\*Corresponding author:  
T: ++41 21 692 3932  
E: [Richard.Benton@unil.ch](mailto:Richard.Benton@unil.ch)

## 46 **Abstract**

47

48 Sensory neurons must be reproducibly specified to permit accurate neural  
49 representation of external signals but also able to change during evolution. We  
50 studied this paradox in the *Drosophila* olfactory system by establishing a single-cell  
51 transcriptomic atlas of all developing antennal sensory lineages, including latent  
52 neural populations that normally undergo programmed cell death (PCD). This atlas  
53 reveals that transcriptional control is robust, but imperfect, in defining selective  
54 sensory receptor expression. A second layer of precision is afforded by the  
55 intersection of expression of functionally-interacting receptor subunits. A third layer is  
56 defined by stereotyped PCD patterning, which masks promiscuous receptor  
57 expression in neurons fated to die and removes “empty” neurons lacking receptors.  
58 Like receptor choice, PCD is under lineage-specific transcriptional control;  
59 promiscuity in this regulation leads to previously-unappreciated heterogeneity in  
60 neuronal numbers. Thus functional precision in the mature olfactory system belies  
61 developmental noise that might facilitate the evolution of sensory pathways.

62

## 63 **Introduction**

64

65 Sensory systems mediate detection of the environment and provide the brain with a  
66 spatio-temporal code that enables recognition, interpretation and appropriate  
67 behavioral responses to a stimulus. However, the external world of stimuli changes  
68 as species colonize new ecological niches. Thus, sensory systems must also be  
69 capable of change over evolutionary timescales.

70 This paradox of functional precision but evolutionary flexibility is particularly  
71 notable in the olfactory system of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Intensive anatomical,  
72 molecular and functional analyses of the major olfactory organ, the third antennal  
73 segment (hereafter, antenna), have defined a highly stereotyped organization in  
74 which ~1200 neurons are categorized into nearly 50 distinct classes of olfactory  
75 sensory neurons (OSNs), as well as several types of hygrosensory and  
76 thermosensory neurons (Benton, 2022; Benton et al., 2025; Couto et al., 2005; Li et  
77 al., 2022; Li et al., 2020; McLaughlin et al., 2021; Schlegel et al., 2021; Vosshall and  
78 Stocker, 2007). Each class of olfactory neuron is characterized by the expression of a  
79 specific “tuning” receptor (belonging to the Odorant receptor (Or), Ionotropic receptor  
80 (Ir) or so-called “Gustatory” receptor (Gr) families), which defines chemical specificity,  
81 together with one or more broadly-expressed “co-receptors” (Orco for Ors; Ir8a,  
82 Ir25a, Ir76b for Irs). A few classes of neurons express more than one tuning receptor,  
83 typically encoded by tandemly-arranged gene duplicates. Antennal sensory neurons  
84 are grouped in combinations of 1-4 neurons underlying sensory hairs (sensilla) of  
85 several distinct morphological classes: antennal basiconic (ab), trichoid (at),  
86 intermediate (ai) and coeloconic (ac) (Figure 1A). The ciliated dendrites of OSNs are  
87 housed in the sensillar hair, while the axons project to the antennal lobe in the brain,  
88 where they innervate a glomerulus unique to each type of neuron.

89 The stereotypy is derived from apparently hard-wired developmental  
90 mechanisms. Each sensillum develops from a single sensory organ precursor (SOP)  
91 cell that is specified in the antennal imaginal disk in the larva (Figure 1A). The  
92 canonical view is that an SOP gives rise to a short, fixed lineage of asymmetric cell  
93 divisions that produces eight terminal cells with distinct molecular identity (Chai et al.,  
94 2019; Endo et al., 2007; Endo et al., 2011). Four of these eight cells become support  
95 cells (which have functions in sensillum construction and secretion of perireceptor

96 proteins (Schmidt and Benton, 2020)), while the other four – termed Naa, Nab, Nba  
97 or Nbb – can potentially give rise to OSNs (Chai et al., 2019; Endo et al., 2011).  
98 Although two sensillum types do contain 4 OSNs, all other sensilla house fewer  
99 neurons. This is thought to be due to programmed cell death (PCD) of precursor cells  
100 during the pupal stage (Chai et al., 2019; Endo et al., 2007; Endo et al., 2011); in  
101 many ac lineages the Nbb precursor is thought to differentiate as a glial cell (Endo et  
102 al., 2007; Rodrigues and Hummel, 2008; Sen et al., 2005). Abundant evidence  
103 supports the contribution of OSN-specific gene regulatory networks in defining the  
104 fate of surviving neurons, notably in the precise transcriptional activation (or  
105 inhibition) of receptor genes (Barish and Volkan, 2015; Jafari et al., 2012; Mika and  
106 Benton, 2021; Mika et al., 2021). Such deterministic transcriptional codes are thought  
107 to be central to the functional stereotypy of the olfactory system.

108 Comparison of the *D. melanogaster* olfactory system with other insects,  
109 however, reveals remarkable evolvability, with changes in receptor function, receptor  
110 expression and OSN number, often linked to adaptation of species to new ecological  
111 niches (Hansson and Stensmyr, 2011; Ramdya and Benton, 2010; Zhao and  
112 McBride, 2020). For example, in *Drosophila sechellia*, an extreme specialist on noni  
113 fruit, olfactory channels detecting the host fruit exhibit altered receptor tuning and  
114 expanded OSN populations (Auer et al., 2020; Prieto-Godino et al., 2017; Takagi et  
115 al., 2024).

116 The generation of new receptors through tandem gene duplication and  
117 functional diversification through sequence changes are conceptually straightforward  
118 processes that are well-documented (Croset et al., 2010; Mika et al., 2021;  
119 Robertson et al., 2003). By contrast, how novel cell types within a sensillum might  
120 emerge is much less well-understood. One clue came from the demonstration that  
121 blocking PCD is sufficient to result in the formation of functional OSNs (Prieto-Godino  
122 et al., 2020), implying a latent potential of OSNs fated to die in evolving as new cell  
123 types. Deeper understanding of this potential is precluded by our almost complete  
124 lack of knowledge of how PCD is patterned in the developing OSN lineages, and the  
125 molecular properties of individual neurons fated to die.

126 In this work, we generate a high-resolution, developmental atlas of the  
127 antennal neuronal lineages, encompassing those that become functional neurons as  
128 well as those that undergo PCD. We use this to define the first molecular  
129 determinants specifying PCD of OSNs. Notably, we also discovered previously  
130 overlooked heterogeneity in the patterning of receptor expression and PCD in the  
131 olfactory system, which suggests how it might adapt during evolution.

132

## 133 **Results**

134

### 135 **Generation of an atlas of developing and dying antennal sensory neurons**

136

137 To generate a high-resolution, comprehensive, spatio-temporal atlas of the antennal  
138 OSN lineages, we labelled these cells by using *pebbled-Gal4* (*peb-Gal4*), which is  
139 expressed in all neural lineages (as well as many non-neuronal cells) from before 18  
140 h after puparium formation (APF) (Sweeney et al., 2007), to drive a nuclear-GFP  
141 reporter (*UAS-unc84:GFP*) (Henry et al., 2012). In parallel, to characterize the  
142 developmental potential of neurons that are ultimately lost due to PCD during ~22-32  
143 APF (Chai et al., 2019; Endo et al., 2007; Prieto-Godino et al., 2020; Sen et al.,  
144 2004), we blocked OSN death by using *peb-Gal4* to also drive *UAS-p35*, encoding  
145 the baculoviral P35 caspase inhibitor (Prieto-Godino et al., 2020). For both control

146 and PCD-blocked genotypes, we dissected antennal tissues from animals sampled  
147 every 6-8 h from 18-80 h APF, spanning the vast majority of their development  
148 (Figure 1B). Antennae were pooled into “early” (18-30 h APF), “mid” (36-48 h APF)  
149 and “late” (56-80 h APF) developmental stages prior to FACS isolation of GFP-  
150 positive nuclei (Figure 1B). Using the 10X Genomics Chromium platform, we  
151 sequenced the transcriptomes of ~54k and ~32k nuclei from control and PCD-  
152 blocked antennae, respectively, detecting on average ~1000 genes/nucleus (Figure  
153 S1A).

154 Unless mentioned otherwise (see Methods and legends), for all downstream  
155 analyses we integrated control and PCD-blocked datasets, assuming that the vast  
156 majority of cells would form equivalent clusters in these datasets, and that a smaller  
157 number of “undead cells” would potentially form clusters unique to the PCD-blocked  
158 dataset. To broadly catalog antennal cell types, we used marker genes extracted  
159 from the Fly Cell Atlas (Li et al., 2022) (see Methods) (Figure S1B-C). Sensory  
160 neurons – excluding Johnston’s organ mechanosensory neurons – represent ~39%  
161 of cells (~21,000) in the control dataset and ~43% (~14,000 cells) in the PCD-  
162 blocked dataset (Figure S1D), consistent with the latter containing many undead  
163 neurons (considered in more detail below). The remaining cells in our datasets  
164 mostly represent sensillar support cells (Figure 1C and Figure S1D), suggesting that  
165 *peb-Gal4* labels both neuronal and non-neuronal branches of the SOP lineages; the  
166 latter cell types can be investigated in future studies.

167 We first annotated neurons within these datasets by developmental phase  
168 (Figure 1D and Figure S2). All “branches” of cell clusters comprised a continuum  
169 through early-, mid- and late-pupal stages (Figure 1D), each presumably reflecting  
170 the development of different neuronal lineages. Each phase has distinct  
171 transcriptional profiles (Figure S2): early-pupal stage neuronal markers were  
172 enriched for genes involved in translation (likely reflecting enhanced protein synthesis  
173 capacity); mid-pupal stage neuronal markers were enriched in genes involved in  
174 signaling, cell-adhesion, axonogenesis and ion transport (concordant with the wiring  
175 of antennal neurons in the brain (Jefferis and Hummel, 2006)); late-pupal stage  
176 neurons expressed higher levels of genes involved in ion transport and synaptic  
177 transmission (consistent with mature cell functions in neuronal signaling). Cells from  
178 mid-developmental stages appeared to be the most transcriptionally divergent  
179 between lineages, with late-stage neurons converging to a more similar gene  
180 expression profile (as noted previously (McLaughlin et al., 2021)) (Figure 1D).

181

## 182 **High-resolution annotation of the developing antennal sensory neurons**

183

184 We subclustered these lineages at high resolution, annotating many clusters based  
185 on the (chemo)sensory receptor gene(s) expressed in cells of the control dataset  
186 (Figure S3). To annotate cells from earlier developmental stages – which mostly lack  
187 expression of a diagnostic receptor gene – we used an iterative, retrograde  
188 annotation method (see Methods). In brief, marker genes were extracted for each  
189 individual neuron cluster – and/or groups of neurons housed in the same sensillum  
190 (“sensillar markers”) – and used to identify and annotate additional clusters. These  
191 clusters were used as sources of additional earlier marker genes (see example  
192 iterations in Figure S4). Ultimately, we could annotate ~90% of neurons (Figure 1E);  
193 most of the remaining cells correspond to the earliest time points, which were difficult  
194 to distinguish transcriptionally. A subset of these early cells expresses glial markers  
195 (Figure 1E and Figure S3B); these might correspond to the Nbb-derived glia within

196 the neuronal lineages (Endo et al., 2007; Rodrigues and Hummel, 2008; Sen et al.,  
197 2005). The ~19,000 annotated neurons in our control atlas represent more than 15-  
198 fold coverage of this sensory organ (~1200 neurons/antenna) (Grabe et al., 2016;  
199 Schlegel et al., 2021).

200 Our annotation of sensory neurons allowed us to document the complements  
201 of cell adhesion molecules, neurotransmitter receptors and ion channels for individual  
202 neuron types (Figure S5), substantially extending previous analyses (McLaughlin et  
203 al., 2021). Such information might point to additional molecules defining the specific  
204 anatomical and functional properties of different sensory channels. In the context of  
205 understanding the development of the olfactory system, we were also able to extract  
206 markers for all sensillar classes (Figure 1F and Figure S6), and those distinguishing  
207 the four neuron precursor types across essentially all lineages (Figure 1G and Figure  
208 S7). The latter were enriched for genes encoding neural guidance molecules (Figure  
209 S7C-D), concordant with the segregation of the OSNs from different precursor types  
210 in the antennal lobe (Endo et al., 2007).

211 Our atlas encompasses all documented antennal sensory channels (Benton et  
212 al., 2025). This contrasts with previous single cell/nuclear RNA-sequencing  
213 (sc/snRNA-seq) analyses of the *D. melanogaster* developing antenna, which were  
214 able to match only about one-third of known OSN types across three time points (24  
215 h APF, 48 h APF and adult) (Li et al., 2020; McLaughlin et al., 2021) due to limited  
216 cell numbers. To further assess the completeness of our dataset, we compared the  
217 relative abundance of each sensory neuron class in the control dataset with those  
218 expected by *in situ* analysis (typically using RNA FISH or sensory receptor promoter  
219 reporter lines) (Benton et al., 2025). These values exhibited a remarkably strong  
220 linear relationship, indicating the highly quantitative nature of cell representation in  
221 our datasets (Figure 1H).

222

## 223 **Identifying transcription factors essential for lineage-specific development**

224

225 As a first assessment of the predictive ability of our developmental atlas and to  
226 understand how precision in the olfactory map arises, we examined transcription  
227 factors (TFs). Across pupal stages each neuronal population expresses a unique,  
228 though often highly overlapping, combination of approximately 100 TF genes (Figure  
229 S8). We tested the functional relevance of TF expression through study of three very  
230 narrowly-expressed TF genes, which we reasoned might have selective and non-  
231 redundant roles: *lozenge* (*lz*), encoding an AML/Runt family TF, which is expressed  
232 exclusively in ab10 Or67a and Or85f neurons, and the tandemly-organized paralogs  
233 *ladybird early* (*lbe*) and *ladybird late* (*lbl*), encoding NK-like homeobox TFs, which are  
234 co-expressed in Or23a and Or83c neurons in ai2 (Figure 2A and Figure S8).

235 In all cases TF expression precedes that of the *Or* genes (Figure 2B-E). We  
236 first validated these predicted expression patterns *in situ*, revealing selective  
237 expression of the TFs in the expected neuron populations (Figure 2F and 2I). We  
238 knocked down TF expression by using the *peb-Gal4* to drive TF RNAi transgenes  
239 (Figure 2G-H and 2J-K). *lz*<sup>RNAi</sup> led to essentially complete loss of expression of *Or67a*  
240 and *Or85f*, while not affecting a control population expressing *Or67b*. *lbe*<sup>RNAi</sup> had,  
241 respectively, a mild or very strong effect on expression of *Or23a* and *Or83c*. While  
242 *lbl*<sup>RNAi</sup> alone barely affected expression of either receptor, it strongly enhanced the  
243 *lbe*<sup>RNAi</sup> phenotype, indicating partial redundancy of these TFs in ai2 neurons. This  
244 function appears to be specific, as a control receptor Or43a was unaffected (Figure

245 2K). Together, these data demonstrate an essential and selective role of *lz* and *lbe/lbl*  
246 in controlling *ab10* and *ai2* OSN development, respectively.

247 As *lz* and *lbe/lbl* are common to both OSNs within their respective sensilla, we  
248 hypothesize that these TFs act prior to the last lineage division that produces these  
249 distinct OSN types, rather than directly in receptor expression. *Lbe/Lbl* have  
250 previously been associated with cell fate specification in muscle and heart cells  
251 (Junion et al., 2007), rather than sensory neurons. By contrast, *Lz* was one of the first  
252 TFs implicated in antennal development, with a widespread role in antennal sensillar  
253 patterning that presumably occurs at an earlier stage within the antennal imaginal  
254 disc (Stocker et al., 1993). Our findings reveal a later, lineage-specific role for *Lz*,  
255 illustrating how TFs can play multiple roles within the development of this sensory  
256 system.

257

### 258 **Precision and promiscuity of tuning receptor and co-receptor transcription**

259

260 The analyses above indicate the comprehensive and functionally-predictive nature of  
261 our atlas, setting the stage for exploring how precision in the olfactory system is  
262 established. We first examined the spatio-temporal expression patterns of receptor  
263 genes (Figure 3A and Figure S9). In early developmental stages, only one gene was  
264 detected, the *Ir25a* co-receptor (discussed further below) (Figure S9). By mid-stages,  
265 transcripts for over a dozen tuning *Irs* or *Ors* in different populations of neurons, as  
266 well as *Ir8a* and *Ir93a* co-receptors, were detectable (Figure S9). By late stages,  
267 essentially all neuronal populations were reliably expressing a specific tuning  
268 receptor gene(s) (Figure 3A and Figure S9). As expected, the majority of these  
269 expressed a single tuning receptor, but our data confirmed the known cases of tuning  
270 receptor co-expression. The latter include the two subunits of the CO<sub>2</sub> receptor  
271 (*Gr21a/Gr63a*) (Jones et al., 2007; Kwon et al., 2007), cases of co-expression of  
272 genomically- and phylogenetically-distant receptor genes (e.g., *Or56a/Or33a*), and  
273 several examples of co-expression of closely-related, tandemly-arranged, receptor  
274 paralogs (e.g., *Or19a/Or19b*, *Or22a/Or22b*), where genes in these clusters likely  
275 retain conserved *cis*-regulatory sequences after gene duplication. We note that co-  
276 occurrence of *Ir75a*, *Ir75b* and *Ir75c* transcripts does not reflect co-expression of  
277 these genes, but rather runaway transcription in this cluster; protein-coding  
278 transcripts are expressed in distinct populations of OSNs (Mika et al., 2021; Prieto-  
279 Godino et al., 2017). While both *Gr21a* and *Gr63a* are essential for CO<sub>2</sub> responses  
280 (Jones et al., 2007; Kumar et al., 2020; Kwon et al., 2007), it is unclear whether the  
281 other cases of co-expression are functionally significant. Co-expressed paralogs  
282 might be functionally redundant or represent a transient evolutionary state as one  
283 paralog undergoes pseudogenization or neofunctionalization (Auer et al., 2022).

284 Our analyses revealed several cases of unexpected receptor expression. For  
285 example, *Or35a* is weakly expressed in *ac4* *Ir84a* OSNs (Figure 3B), in addition to its  
286 well-described expression in *ac3* neurons (Figure 3A). We confirmed these  
287 transcriptomic data *in situ*, detecting *Or35a* in *ac4* sensilla, both in a subset of *Ir84a*  
288 neurons, as well as some *Ir76a* neurons (suggesting it was turned on in these cells at  
289 a later time point than we have profiled transcriptionally) (Figure 3C). Here, *Or35a* is  
290 unlikely to be functional, as *Orco* protein is not expressed in these neurons (Figure  
291 3A); indeed, these neurons do not respond to ligands that activate *Or35a* neurons in  
292 *ac3* (Silbering et al., 2011; Yao et al., 2005). We tested whether *Or35a* has the  
293 potential to be functional in *Ir84a* OSNs through transgenic expression of *Orco* in  
294 these cells, but this did not produce responses to *Or35a*-dependent ligands (Figure

295 3D), suggesting that *Or35a* expression is too low, or that the transcript is aberrantly  
296 spliced (Shang et al., 2024), and/or that other factors are required for *Or35a* function  
297 (Figure 3E). *Or35a* transcripts were also detected in neurons of sacculus chamber III  
298 (sacIII) (Figure 3A and Figure S10A-B), which correspond to the *Amt*-expressing  
299 ammonia-sensing neurons (Vulpe et al., 2021); here it is also unlikely to be functional  
300 as these cells do not express *Orco* (Figure 3A).

301 Another example of more promiscuous expression was observed for *Ir31a*,  
302 which was detected in both *Or47a* and *Or67d* neurons, in addition to its own  
303 population (Figure 3F). This expression is not detected *in situ* (Benton et al., 2009;  
304 Silbering et al., 2011) and is unlikely to be functional as the essential *Ir8a* co-receptor  
305 is not expressed in these neurons (Figure 3A), nor have they been described to  
306 respond to *Ir31a*-dependent ligands (de Bruyne et al., 2001; Munch and Galizia,  
307 2016; van der Goes van Naters and Carlson, 2007). Mis-expression of *Ir8a* in *Or67d*  
308 neurons also failed to confirm sensitivity to the best known *Ir31a* agonist, 2-  
309 oxopentanoic acid (Figure 3G). Closer examination of the transcriptomic data  
310 revealed that *Ir31a* expression is transient, reaching highest levels prior to the branch  
311 termini in these lineages; this pattern contrasts with *Or47a* and *Or67d* expression,  
312 which peak at the end of the branches. We wondered whether this transient “ectopic”  
313 expression of an *Ir* in these *Or* neurons reflected similarity in the gene regulatory  
314 networks of these distinct cell types. Using information of differentially-expressed TFs  
315 (Figure S8), we performed hierarchical clustering analysis of all OSN classes (Figure  
316 3H). Notably, *Ir31a*, *Or47a* and *Or67d* neurons clustered within this tree, raising the  
317 possibility that *Ir31a* “eavesdrops” on the complement of TFs of *Or47a* and *Or67d*  
318 neurons to become transiently activated during development.

319 We extended our survey to receptors expressed in other chemosensory  
320 organs (Figure S11). Amongst *Ors*, we detected transcripts of the maxillary palp  
321 receptor *Or42a* in *Ir31a* neurons (Figure S11 and S10C). Again, this is unlikely to be  
322 functional as *Orco* is not expressed in these cells (Figure 3A) (Benton et al., 2009),  
323 and these neurons do not respond to *Or42a* ligands (Silbering et al., 2011). Several  
324 *bona fide Grs* were detected in a number of antennal cell types, consistent with  
325 observations from previous transcriptomic and transgenic studies (Figure S11 and  
326 S10D-E) (Fujii et al., 2015; McLaughlin et al., 2021; Menuz et al., 2014). The  
327 functional significance, if any, of *Grs* in the antenna is unclear (Pal Mahadevan et al.,  
328 2022); we favor a hypothesis that such *Gr* expression merely reflects promiscuous  
329 transcription of these genes, possibly due to overlap of the set of TFs present in  
330 these antennal neurons and the gustatory neurons in which these receptors are  
331 normally expressed.

332 Finally, our datasets confirm the previous observations of broad and partially  
333 overlapping expression of various co-receptor genes (Figure 3A) (Abuin et al., 2011;  
334 McLaughlin et al., 2021; Task et al., 2022). Whether co-receptors function in every  
335 neuron in which they are expressed is unclear. Genetic and electrophysiological  
336 analyses indicate an olfactory requirement for *Ir8a* only with selectively-expressed  
337 acid-sensing tuning *Irs* (e.g., *Ir31a*, *Ir64a*), and *Ir25a/Ir76b* together only with amine-  
338 sensing *Irs* (e.g., *Ir41a*, *Ir76a*) (Abuin et al., 2011; Vulpe and Menuz, 2021), despite  
339 broader expression all three of these co-receptor genes (Figure 3A) (Abuin et al.,  
340 2011; McLaughlin et al., 2021; Task et al., 2022). Loss of *Ir25a* has been described  
341 to affect *Or* neuron sensitivity to stimuli, with mild increase or decrease of responses,  
342 depending upon the neuron and the odor (Task et al., 2022), but the mechanistic  
343 basis for such a variable effect on *Or* neuron responses is unclear. To our knowledge  
344 there is only one case of a neuron (in *ac3*) that contains a functional complement of

345 tuning and co-receptor Ors and Irs (Benton et al., 2025). We suggest that  
346 contributions of broadly-expressed co-receptors is constrained by the selective  
347 expression of partner tuning subunits: just as tuning receptors without co-receptors  
348 are likely to be non-functional, co-receptors without partners might have no or  
349 minimal sensory contributions.

350

### 351 **Heterogeneous life and death fates of specific OSN populations**

352

353 We next turned our attention to the neurons that are normally removed by PCD  
354 during antennal development. While such cells can develop into functional sensory  
355 neurons when PCD is blocked (Prieto-Godino et al., 2020), we know little about their  
356 molecular and developmental properties. To identify cells in the atlas corresponding  
357 to those that undergo PCD, we examined the expression of the pro-apoptotic genes  
358 *reaper (rpr)*, *grim*, *sickle (skl)* and *head involution defective (hid)* as one or more of  
359 these genes are transcriptionally upregulated prior to PCD in many developmental  
360 contexts (Pinto-Teixeira et al., 2016) (Figure 4A). Indeed, we detected higher  
361 expression of *rpr*, *grim* and *skl* in the PCD-blocked dataset than the control dataset,  
362 with largely overlapping expression patterns of these genes in a subset of cell  
363 clusters representing putative undead neurons (Figure S12A-B). By contrast, *hid* was  
364 expressed at similar levels in both PCD-blocked and control datasets (Figure S12A-  
365 B), indicating that this gene is not a selective marker of cells that are fated to die (as  
366 noted in other tissues (Pinto-Teixeira et al., 2016)), and was therefore discounted as  
367 a marker. We therefore combined expression enrichment of *rpr*, *grim* and *skl* into a  
368 single “RGS score”, as a quantitative measure of the likelihood that a cell type was  
369 fated to die (Figure 4B-C).

370

371 We next decomposed the atlas of integrated control and PCD-blocked  
372 datasets (Figure 1E) into individual sensilla, using sensillar markers (Figure 1F and  
373 Figure S6) and compared cells from each dataset. We reasoned that some undead  
374 neurons might form cell clusters unique to the PCD-blocked dataset, as investigated  
375 in the next section. However, as many undead neurons express receptors  
376 characteristic of normal populations of OSNs (Prieto-Godino et al., 2020), we first  
377 asked whether any such cells are embedded in clusters of these normal cells,  
378 thereby simply creating a larger cluster in the PCD-blocked dataset. Given the highly  
379 quantitative representation of cell populations in our antennal atlas (Figure 1H), to  
380 identify such undead neurons, we first compared the relative proportion of each  
381 neuronal type in control and PCD-blocked datasets (Figure S12C and Figure 4D).  
382 While neurons housed in the same sensillum generally displayed similar  
383 representations within and across datasets (e.g., Or56a/Or33a and Or7a neurons in  
384 ab4, or Or67c and Or98a neurons in ab7, Figure 4D), in several cases in the control  
385 dataset we observed different proportions of co-housed neurons. For example, in  
386 ab10 Or85f neurons are underrepresented compared to Or67a neurons, and in the  
387 trichoid sensillum at4 Or65a/b/c neurons are less abundant than Or47b and Or88a  
388 neurons (Figure 4D). Importantly, these mis-matched neuronal representations were  
389 at least partially re-equilibrated in the PCD-blocked atlas (Figure 4D and Figure  
390 S12C), suggesting individual cell types within a subset of sensilla are  
developmentally lost due to death.

391

392 Focusing first on ab10, we investigated this possibility initially by comparing  
393 the pro-apoptotic gene RGS score within individual neuron lineages (Figure 4E). We  
394 observed that the Or85f branch has a higher score, consistent with the occurrence of  
PCD in a subset of these cells. In agreement with these transcriptomic data, RNA



395 FISH for these receptor transcripts revealed a lower number of Or85f neurons  
396 compared to Or67a neurons in the antenna; while all of the former are paired with the  
397 latter, we detected several cases of isolated Or67a neurons in control animals, but  
398 not when PCD was blocked (Figure 4F-G).

399 Next, we examined at4 (Figure 4H). Here, the underrepresented Or65a/b/c  
400 neurons display a higher RGS score during their lineage development compared to  
401 Or47b and Or88a lineages. *In situ*, we detected many fewer Or65a neurons than  
402 Or47b and Or88a; while the latter two neuron types were always paired, only a  
403 subset formed a triplet with Or65a neurons in control animals (Figure 4I-J). These  
404 observations match those from electron microscopic studies describing the existence  
405 of at4 sensilla housing only two neurons (Nava Gonzales et al., 2021). In PCD-  
406 blocked antennae, only the number of Or65a neurons was increased – and all were  
407 closely associated with Or47b and Or88a neurons – indicating a subset of these  
408 neurons are also naturally removed by PCD (Figure 4I-J). While these *in situ* data  
409 indicate 1:1:1 correspondence, we note that within the PCD-blocked dataset,  
410 Or65a/b/c neurons were still underrepresented (Figure 4D), suggesting that this  
411 dataset lacks annotation of some undead neurons (discussed further below).

412 Together these observations indicate that PCD acts within several sensilla  
413 lineages to selectively remove a subfraction of OSNs normally considered to  
414 represent a fully surviving lineage (as opposed to the lineages that are fated to die,  
415 which we consider in the next section). It is surprising that such heterogeneity has not  
416 been reported previously. In part this might reflect the relatively limited data co-  
417 visualizing neurons within the same sensilla in whole-mount antennae – as opposed  
418 to cryosections (e.g., (Couto et al., 2005)) – which is essential to view the pairing  
419 patterns of the entire cell population. Additionally, it is possible that during  
420 electrophysiological recordings, sensilla that do not have the “expected” numbers of  
421 neurons are disregarded from detailed study. The functional significance of sensillum  
422 heterogeneity is unclear. While the lack of specific neurons in sensilla would  
423 eliminate the ephaptic inhibition that can occur between co-housed neurons (Su et  
424 al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2019), the loss of these cells might not necessarily be  
425 adaptive, as discussed below.

426

## 427 **Diverse states of neurons fated to die during antennal development**

428

429 We also discovered distinct types of undead neurons represented by cell branches in  
430 the developmental trajectory of several sensilla that were present only in the PCD-  
431 blocked dataset. For example, in ac3I/II sensilla – housing Or35a and either Ir75b  
432 (ac3I) or Ir75c (ac3II) neurons (the lineages of these two subtypes could not be fully  
433 distinguished and were considered together) – we observed two extra branches that  
434 were extinguished around 30-36 h APF in control antennae but maintained in late  
435 pupae in PCD-blocked antennae (Figure 5A). These clusters have a high RGS score,  
436 supporting their classification as undead neurons (Figure 5B). One cluster displays a  
437 signature of Naa precursor type and the other tentatively Nbb, complementing the  
438 assigned Nab and Nba identities of Ir75b/Ir75c and Or35a neurons, respectively  
439 (Figure 5C). We surveyed chemosensory receptors in these clusters, finding that the  
440 undead Naa neuron expresses *Ir75d* (Figure 5D) – as well as *Ir* co-receptors (Figure  
441 S13A) – similar to the expression of *Ir75d* in Naa neurons in ac1, ac2 and ac4  
442 sensilla in wild-type antennae (Benton et al., 2025; Endo et al., 2011). We validated  
443 these transcriptomic data *in situ* by demonstrating the pairing of an *Ir75d*-expressing

444 neuron with *Ir75b*/*Ir75c* neurons when PCD was blocked but not in control antennae  
445 (Figure 5E-G). By contrast, the undead, putative *Nbb* neuron did not detectably  
446 express any tuning receptor, although we did observe the expression of multiple *Ir*  
447 co-receptors in these cells (Figure S13A).

448 Another case of undead neuron clusters was found in *sacIII* sensilla, which  
449 normally house *Ir64a* and *Amt* neurons. We again observed two additional cell  
450 clusters in the PCD-blocked dataset with elevated RGS scores (Figure 5H-I). Here,  
451 one *Naa* cluster expressed *Ir75d*, while in the other (of unclear precursor type) we  
452 detected *Ir41a* (Figure 5J-K). In both cases, we also detected the corresponding *Ir*  
453 co-receptors (Figure S13B). We confirmed *in situ* the presence of *Ir75d* and *Ir41a*  
454 neurons neighboring *Ir64a* neurons in *sacIII* in PCD-blocked antennae, but not in  
455 wild-type antennae (Figure 5L-N). The transcriptomic data suggested that a subset of  
456 the undead *Ir75d* neurons might also express *Ir64a* (Figure 5K), but we did not  
457 observe clear co-expression *in situ* (Figure 5L).

458 In several additional sensilla classes, we observed undead neuron populations  
459 that did not detectably express any receptors (at least up to 80 h APF). In *at1*, in  
460 addition to the *Or67d*-expressing neuron, we detected a second, *Nba*-derived neuron  
461 in the PCD-blocked dataset (Figure 5O-R) consistent with the previous  
462 electrophysiological detection of a second neuron (Prieto-Godino et al., 2020). In  
463 *ab10* in PCD-blocked antennae – beyond the increase in *Or85f* neuron numbers  
464 described above – we detected an extra neuron of unclear precursor type (Figure  
465 S14A-D). Finally, in *ab5* and *sacI*, we detected very small populations of likely *Naa*  
466 and *Nbb* undead neurons, respectively (Figure S14E-L).

467 Beyond these cases, for the majority of sensillar classes, we did not detect  
468 clear evidence for undead neurons (e.g., *ai3* and *ab4*) (Figure S14M-N). This was  
469 surprising because, under the canonical model of the SOP lineage (Chai et al., 2019;  
470 Endo et al., 2007; Endo et al., 2011), we expected all sensilla to have the potential to  
471 produce four terminal cells. This might reflect a technical artefact, for example, a  
472 failure to efficiently block PCD in all lineages. It is also possible that such undead  
473 cells were simply not recognized as belonging to specific sensilla. This is the case for  
474 any *Nbb*-derived glia (Endo et al., 2007; Rodrigues and Hummel, 2008; Sen et al.,  
475 2005), which were not considered in our analyses. However, with two exceptions  
476 (asterisk in Figure 1E, a second *Ir75d* neuron in *ac4* (described below)), we did not  
477 identify additional populations of more mature undead neurons that are not  
478 associated with a particular sensillum) or with robust ectopic receptor expression. It is  
479 conceivable that the canonical OSN lineage is not universal and that some sensilla  
480 housing two neurons result from lack of a final cell division in the lineage, rather than  
481 PCD of two of the daughters of such a division.

482

### 483 **Mamo is required to promote programmed cell death of *Ir75d* neurons**

484

485 Although PCD is the most common fate of sensory neuron precursors – if we  
486 consider death as a single fate across all sensillum classes – we know essentially  
487 nothing about how it is stereotypically specified. Our data indicate that transcriptional  
488 activation of *rpr*, *grim* and/or *sickle* is likely to be the key inductive step, similar to  
489 other tissues (Sen et al., 2004). However, very little is known about the gene  
490 regulatory network upstream of these pro-apoptotic genes in any lineage, and  
491 whether these are common to, or distinct between, sensilla. As a first step, we sought  
492 TFs required to promote PCD in neurons in specific sensillar types, focusing first on  
493 the dying lineages in *ac3/II* and *sacIII* that both express *Ir75d*.

494 Comparison of the transcriptomes of undead Ir75d neurons in the PCD-  
495 blocked dataset with the normal Ir75d neurons housed in ac1, ac2 and ac4 revealed,  
496 as expected, *rpr*, *grim* and *skl* to be more highly expressed in the former (Figure 6A  
497 and Data S2). The gene displaying the greatest enrichment in the undead Ir75d  
498 neurons was *mamo* (*maternal gene required for meiosis*) (Figure 6A). *Mamo* encodes  
499 a zinc finger C2H2 protein, which we hypothesized was a PCD-promoting TF.  
500 Consistently, in *mamo*<sup>RNAi</sup> antennae we observed an increase in the number of *Ir75d*-  
501 expressing neurons (Figure 6B). These were located both in ac3/II (paired with  
502 *Ir75b/Ir75c* expressing neurons), as well as in sacIII (paired with Ir64a neurons)  
503 (Figure 6C-F). These phenotypes were not seen upon RNAi of several other TF  
504 genes that have enriched expression in undead Ir75d neurons (Figure 6A and Data  
505 S3).

506 The *mamo*<sup>RNAi</sup> phenotype is similar to that of PCD-blocked antennae (Figure  
507 5A-N) but, in principle, loss of this TF could simply lead to ectopic *Ir75d* expression in  
508 another neuron type within ac3 and sacIII sensilla. Indeed, Mamo was previously  
509 characterized for its role in defining cell fate in the neuroblasts (neural stem cells) of  
510 the mushroom body (Liu et al., 2019). However, several observations argue against  
511 this possibility in OSNs. First, in *mamo*<sup>RNAi</sup> antennae the Ir75d neuron in ac3 is also  
512 paired with the Or35a neuron (Figure 6G), verifying that ac3 sensilla contains distinct  
513 neurons expressing Ir75b (or Ir75c), Or35a and Ir75d. Second, loss of Mamo does  
514 not appear to affect fate specification of several living OSN populations in which it is  
515 expressed (Figure S8), including Or35a, Ir75b, Ir75c and Ir64a neurons, although we  
516 noticed modest changes in Ir75b/Ir75c and Ir64a neuron numbers upon *mamo*<sup>RNAi</sup>  
517 (Figure 6B). Third, we traced the projections of *Ir75d*-expressing neurons to the  
518 antennal lobe using an *Ir75d promoter*-CD4:GFP reporter. In control animals, these  
519 neurons converge on the VL1 glomerulus (Figure S15). A similar convergence was  
520 observed in both PCD-blocked and *mamo*<sup>RNAi</sup> genotypes (Figure S15), consistent  
521 with both types of genetic manipulations producing equivalent undead Ir75d neurons  
522 with the same projection properties as normal Ir75d neurons.

523 Together, these data implicate Mamo as part of the gene-regulatory network  
524 inducing cell death of Ir75d neurons in both ac3/II and sacIII lineages, revealing a  
525 novel function of this TF. We note, however, that Mamo is expressed across a large  
526 number of OSN populations (including normal Ir75d neurons in ac2) (Figure S8),  
527 indicating that this TF is unlikely to be instructive alone for PCD fate but rather  
528 functions in a context-dependent manner to promote death. Moreover, there also  
529 appear to be Ir75d neurons that die in a *mamo*-independent manner, as inhibition of  
530 PCD with P35 led to more Ir75d neurons than in *mamo*<sup>RNAi</sup> antennae (Figure 6H).  
531 Reviewing *Ir75d* expression patterns in PCD-blocked antennae (Figure 5E and 5L),  
532 we noticed pairs of Ir75d neurons in the ac4 region. Visualizing markers for ac4  
533 (Ir84a and Ir76a), we confirmed the existence of two Ir75d neurons in these sensilla  
534 when PCD is blocked but not in *mamo*<sup>RNAi</sup> antennae (Figure 6I-J). Thus, the ac4  
535 lineage has the potential to form a second Ir75d neuron, which is normally fated to  
536 die through other, unknown, TFs.

537

### 538 **Slp2 is required to promote programmed cell death of at1 neurons**

539

540 We next investigated the undead neuron population in at1. As we did not identify a  
541 sensory receptor in this neuron to permit comparison with an endogenous cell  
542 population, we sought TFs enriched in the undead cell cluster compared with the co-  
543 housed Or67d neuron (Figure 7A). The at1 undead neuron exhibited higher

544 expression of the forkhead TF gene *sloppy paired 2* (*slp2*) (Figure 7A-B). To examine  
545 the requirement for *slp2* we performed electrophysiological recordings in at1 sensilla  
546 in control and *slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>* animals, as we could not visualize the undead neuron through  
547 RNA FISH for a receptor transcript. Control at1 sensilla house a single neuron,  
548 detected as spikes of a uniform amplitude, corresponding to the cVA-responsive  
549 Or67d neuron (Figure 7C-E). By contrast, in a large fraction of *slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>* at1 sensilla,  
550 we detected an additional, smaller spike amplitude, indicative of a second, undead  
551 neuron, phenocopying the consequences of blocking PCD with P35 (Figure 7C-E).

552 To further test if *slp2* activity was sufficient to promote PCD, we misexpressed  
553 *slp2* in developing Or67d neurons. We used the *at1-Gal4* driver, which is selectively  
554 expressed in the at1 lineage from the SOP stage until around 30 h APF, although it  
555 only covers about half of the at1 SOPs (Chai et al., 2019). Strikingly, this  
556 manipulation led to reduction in Or67d neuron number by around 50% (Figure 7F-G).  
557 Together, these data demonstrate that Slp2 activity is necessary and sufficient to  
558 promote PCD within the at1 lineage. Similar to Mamo, Slp2 was previously  
559 characterized for its role in cell fate diversification within neuroblast divisions, notably  
560 as part of the temporal series of TFs controlling optic lobe neuron generation  
561 (Konstantinides et al., 2022; Zhu et al., 2022). It thus appears that the antennal SOP  
562 lineages co-opt pleiotropic neuronal TFs as part of the gene regulatory networks that  
563 promote PCD.

564

### 565 **Context-dependent requirement for Slp2 in promoting programmed cell death**

566

567 Beyond the undead at1 OSNs, we noticed that *slp2* is expressed in several other  
568 populations of OSNs. These include those fated to die (in ab10 and ab5 sensilla),  
569 lineages partially eliminated by PCD during antennal development (Or65a/b/c  
570 neurons in at4 and Or85f neurons in ab10) as well as in several classes of normal  
571 surviving neurons (Or19a/b, Or43a, Or69a, Or88a, Or10a/Gr10a) (Figure 8A). The  
572 expression pattern of *slp2* therefore suggested a broader role for this TF in fate  
573 specification in the antenna. To test this hypothesis, we surveyed the consequences  
574 of *slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>* on other populations of OSNs. Loss of *slp2* had minimal or no effect on  
575 Or19a, Or43a and Or69a expression (Figure 8B-C). *slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>* did however lead to  
576 increases in ab10 Or85f neurons (Figure 8D-F) and at4 Or65a/b/c (Figure 8G-I)  
577 neurons, restoring the 1:1 relationship with other neurons in their respective sensilla;  
578 this manipulation phenocopies the effect of PCD inhibition (Figure 4F and 4I). In at4,  
579 we note that Or88a neurons express appreciable levels of *slp2* (Figure 8A), but this  
580 TF did not appear to have a major role in their fate specification (Figure 8H).

581 These observations argue that the contribution of Slp2 to PCD (or other  
582 developmental processes) is context-specific: in some cells (e.g., the dying Nba  
583 precursor in at1) it has an essential function, while in others (e.g., Or88a neurons), it  
584 has little or no role. at4 Or65a/b/c neurons and ab10 Or85f neurons represent  
585 intriguing intermediate cases, as they appear to undergo PCD heterogeneously in a  
586 *slp2*-dependent manner. We suggest that such cases of PCD represent “collateral  
587 damage” resulting from the expression of Slp2 in these cells that, perhaps due to  
588 developmental noise, reaches a minimal threshold of expression to promote PCD in  
589 some but not all neurons. In line with this notion, we noticed that different wild-type  
590 strains exhibited varying degrees of Or65a/b/c neuron loss: *w<sup>1118</sup>* have (like our *peb-*  
591 *Gal4* strain) low numbers of Or65a/b/c neurons compared to Or47b neurons, Canton-  
592 S has an equal number of these two populations, while Oregon R flies have an  
593 intermediate number of Or65a/b/c neurons (Figure 8J-L). These observations are

594 consistent with the possibility that this trait is not a fixed, adaptive phenotype of *D.*  
595 *melanogaster*.

596

## 597 Discussion

598

599 The structural and functional properties of neural circuits are often considered as  
600 optimized to fulfil their role in controlling animal behavior. In reality, however, these  
601 properties represent just a snapshot in evolutionary time, neither precisely the same  
602 as in the past, nor necessarily maintained in the future. Understanding the nature of  
603 this snapshot in the context of a continuous process of change can offer insights into  
604 how nervous systems evolve. The insect olfactory system is a particularly attractive  
605 model for studying this phenomenon: this sensory system can be subject to strong  
606 environmental selection pressure as the bouquet of external volatile cues changes,  
607 and the typically large, rapidly reproducing populations of insect species provide the  
608 necessary genetic substrate for evolutionary modifications. Using *D. melanogaster* as  
609 a model, we have characterized developmental properties of OSN lineages to reveal  
610 features of this species' olfactory system underlying its functional stereotypy, but also  
611 how these offer the potential for evolution.

612 Through high resolution spatio-temporal transcriptomic profiling of developing  
613 neurons, we first confirmed the expected global precision of receptor transcription in  
614 the olfactory system, typically a single tuning receptor per neuron type. However, we  
615 also reveal that this control is imperfect. We describe several examples of co-  
616 expression of tuning receptors of the same or different families. Such co-expression –  
617 sometimes transient – presumably reflects similarities in the gene regulatory  
618 networks controlling receptor expression in distinct neuronal classes, as suggested  
619 by the high degree of overlap in the set of TFs we found in different cell types. The  
620 promiscuity in receptor expression is constrained, nevertheless, by the necessity to  
621 have the correct complement of tuning receptor and co-receptor subunits to form a  
622 functional complex. This seemingly-ectopic expression of receptor genes does permit  
623 a degree of evolvability as it is possible that only transcriptional activation of a  
624 complementary (co)-receptor subunit is necessary to reconstitute a functional  
625 sensory receptor. However, as we have shown in two cases that artificial co-receptor  
626 expression is insufficient to reconstitute function of “ectopic” tuning receptor activity,  
627 we suspect that levels of ectopic tuning receptor might also need to be enhanced,  
628 and there are possibly other requirements for functionality, such as perireceptor  
629 proteins or morphological specializations of the neuron/sensillum.

630 Our atlas of PCD-blocked antennae allowed us to characterize the  
631 development of many lineages fated to die. These lineages exhibit diverse properties:  
632 the undead neurons we identified were of different precursor types within diverse  
633 sensillar classes. Some of these robustly express a tuning receptor gene; here PCD  
634 can counteract promiscuous receptor expression, serving as a further regulatory  
635 layer to ensure precision in receptor patterning in the mature sensory system. Other  
636 undead neurons lack a detectable tuning receptor; however, our detection of co-  
637 receptor transcripts even in these “empty” neurons supports the idea that broad co-  
638 receptor expression extends to cells destined to die, thereby reflecting a more  
639 amenable evolutionary substrate for subsequent re-emergence of new neuron types  
640 from such dying lineages. Why some neurons express a receptor gene and other do  
641 not is an interesting open question. One possibility is that this property reflects their  
642 evolutionary age: lineages that more recently evolved a PCD fate might retain the  
643 gene regulatory network to permit receptor expression, while evolutionarily older

644 dying lineages might have drifted in fate thus losing the capacity to express specific  
645 tuning receptors. Whatever the reason, the absence of “empty” neurons in the extant  
646 olfactory system implies that during emergence of a new sensory pathway from a  
647 dying lineage, changes in the gene regulatory network to turn off PCD and turn on a  
648 tuning receptor must be closely coordinated.

649 In this context, our identification of the first TFs (Mamo and Slp2) required for  
650 specification of PCD in OSN lineages provides an important entry-point into  
651 understanding how life/death fate decisions occur and evolve. The broader  
652 expression of both of these TFs beyond lineages fated to die emphasizes their  
653 context-dependent function, presumably because they are embedded within gene  
654 regulatory networks that influence survival, death and/or differentiation of sensory  
655 lineages. Further characterization of these, and other, TFs in dying lineages will help  
656 reveal whether and how they directly control pro-apoptotic genes expression, and  
657 why they promote PCD in some lineages but not others. Such knowledge will be key  
658 to understand how patterning of PCD can change during evolution to generate or  
659 remove individual sensory neuronal populations, and how this is coordinated with the  
660 selective expression of receptors.

661 While several lineages are entirely condemned to death (e.g., ac3 Ir75d-  
662 expressed neurons), we found, unexpectedly, that some dying neurons represent  
663 subsets of normal surviving lineages (e.g., Or65a/b/c neurons in at4). Such  
664 heterogeneity can be interpreted in different ways. The phenomenon might be an  
665 adaptive trait, for example, to limit the numbers of just one class of neurons within a  
666 specific sensillum type. However, the variation in Or65a/b/c population size across  
667 different *D. melanogaster* genotypes argues against this possibility, although we  
668 cannot exclude that such intraspecific phenotypic diversity arises from local  
669 adaptation of specific strains. Alternatively, heterogeneous PCD might reflect  
670 promiscuity in transcriptional specification of PCD resulting from overlap in gene  
671 regulatory networks of surviving and dying lineages, akin to the promiscuity observed  
672 in receptor expression. In this context, the observed heterogeneity in PCD  
673 specification might reflect a transitory evolutionary state of these pathways, such as  
674 the initial stages of loss of a sensory population. In extreme cases, promiscuous PCD  
675 might result in sensilla devoid of OSNs, as observed in rare instances (Nava  
676 Gonzales et al., 2021).

677 Taken together, our work both provides new understanding of the multilevel  
678 mechanisms that define the functional precision of the *Drosophila* olfactory system  
679 and highlights previously-overlooked variability at each of these levels that might  
680 provide a substrate for the molecular and cellular changes in these sensory pathways  
681 over evolutionary timescales. This study sets the stage for comparison of the  
682 antennal neuronal populations of phylogenetically diverse drosophilids and other  
683 insects to trace and understand the evolutionary changes in the olfactory system.

684  
685

## 686 **Acknowledgements**

687

688 We are very grateful for the invaluable assistance of the UNIL Flow Cytometry  
689 Facility and Lausanne Genomic Technologies Facility, and thank Gwénaëlle  
690 Bontonou and Roman Arguello for advice on HCR FISH. We acknowledge the  
691 Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center, the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Centre (NIH  
692 P40OD018537) and the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (NICHD of the NIH,  
693 University of Iowa) for reagents. We thank Nikos Konstantinides and members of the  
694 Benton laboratory for discussions and comments on the manuscript. Research in  
695 K.M.'s laboratory was supported by a National Institutes of Health award  
696 (R35GM133209). Research in R.B.'s laboratory is supported by the University of  
697 Lausanne, an ERC Advanced Grant (833548) and the Swiss National Science  
698 Foundation (310030\_219185).

699

## 700 **Author contributions**

701

702 J.M. conceived the project and performed most experiments and analyses, and  
703 supervised S.C., who performed histological experiments. A.S.B. performed  
704 electrophysiological experiments in at1. D.L. contributed to initial snRNA-seq sample  
705 preparation and analyses. P.C.C. generated the *Ir75d promoter-CD4:tdGFP*  
706 transgenic line. A.J. performed electrophysiological experiments in ac4, with input  
707 from and supervision by K.M. R.B. conceived and supervised the project. J.M. and  
708 R.B. wrote the paper with input from all co-authors.

709

## 710 **Declaration interests**

711

712 The authors declare no competing interests.

713

## 714 **Methods**

715

### 716 ***Drosophila* culture and transgenic line generation**

717

718 Flies were reared in vials containing standard wheat flour/yeast/fruit juice medium  
719 and in incubators with 12 h light:12 h dark cycles at 25°C. Published strains are  
720 listed in Table S1.

721 The *Ir75d promoter-CD4:tdGFP* construct was generated by amplifying a  
722 1994 bp DNA fragment from genomic DNA of the reference *D. melanogaster* strain  
723 (RRID:BDSC\_2057) using the following forward and reverse PCR-primers  
724 GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTCAGcaatggtaatattaaacta and  
725 GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTCatccggcaactgattgccccca; this region  
726 encompasses 1850 bp 5' regulatory sequence and 144 bp of exon 1, as in a  
727 previous promoter construct (Silbering et al., 2011). The amplified sequence was  
728 inserted into pDESTHemmarG (Addgene #31221) via Gateway recombination and  
729 confirmed by sequencing. The construct was integrated into attP40 (chromosome II)  
730 using phiC31-mediated transgenesis by BestGene Inc.

731

### 732 **Antennal dissection and nuclear isolation**

733

734 10-15 virgin *peb-Gal4* females were placed in vials with 5-10 *UAS-unc84:GFP* or  
735 *UAS-p35,UAS-un84:GFP* males for 5 days, after which adults were removed. White

736 pupae (corresponding to 0 h after puparium formation (APF)) were carefully  
737 transferred to fresh vials and aged for 18, 24, 30, 36, 42, 48, 56, 64, 72 or 80  
738 additional hours. Developing antennae from aged pupae were dissected in ice cold  
739 Schneider's medium (Gibco, 21720024) and immediately transferred to 1.8 ml  
740 Eppendorf tubes containing 100  $\mu$ l Schneider's medium, flash-frozen in liquid  
741 nitrogen and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The numbers of antennae dissected in control (*peb-*  
742 *Gal4/+;UAS-unc84:GFP/+*) and PCD-blocked (*peb-Gal4/+;UAS-p35/+;UAS-*  
743 *unc84:GFP/+*) genotypes are as follows (time-point in h APF (n antennae control / n  
744 antennae PCD-blocked): 18 (49 / 57), 24 (72 / 52), 30 (67 / 56), 36 (52 / 51), 42 (45 /  
745 48), 48 (45 / 55), 56 (59 / 49), 64 (48 / 60), 72 (54 / 62) and 80 (47 / 53).

746 Samples were thawed on dry ice and nuclear suspensions prepared as  
747 described (Li et al., 2022; McLaughlin et al., 2021). Suspensions from "early"  
748 (18/24/30 h APF), "mid" (36/42/48 h APF) and "late" (56/64/72/80 h APF)  
749 developmental time points were pooled together, with the exception of time point 80  
750 h APF in the control genotype, which was pooled with mid time points. This latter  
751 pooling reflected the initial experimental design, but 80 h APF control cells could be  
752 effectively re-classified in the late time point for all subsequent analyses. After  
753 addition of Hoechst 33342 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 62249), samples were loaded  
754 into a FACSAria flow cytometer.

755

### 756 **Single-nuclear RNA-sequencing**

757

758 For each pooled sample,  $2 \times 20,000$  GFP-positive nuclei were sorted (20,000 for  
759 early nuclei in PCD-blocked condition), which were immediately loaded onto the  
760 Chromium Next GEM Chip (10x Genomics). Sequencing libraries were prepared with  
761 the Chromium Single Cell 3 $\square$  reagent kit v3.1 dual index, following the  
762 manufacturer's recommendations. Libraries were quantified by a fluorometric method  
763 and quality was assessed on a Fragment Analyzer (Agilent Technologies).  
764 Sequencing was performed on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 v1.5 flow cell for 100  
765 cycles according to 10x Genomics $\square$  recommendations (28 cycles read1, 10 cycles i7  
766 index read, 10 cycles i5 index, and 91 cycles read2). Demultiplexing was performed  
767 with bcl2fastq2 Conversion Software (v2.20, Illumina). Raw snRNA-seq data was  
768 first processed through Cell Ranger (v6.1.2, 10x Genomics) with default parameters  
769 except -include introns- that was set to TRUE. A custom *D. melanogaster* reference  
770 genome and transcriptome from FlyBase (*Drosophila\_melanogaster*.BDGP6.28.101)  
771 were used for mapping. Two marker genes, *GFP* and *p35*, were added to the GTF  
772 and FASTA files prior to building the custom genome reference with cellranger mkref  
773 (v6.1.2) function, following the 10x Genomics protocol.

774

### 775 **Integration of developing antennal snRNA-seq datasets from control and PCD-** 776 **blocked genotypes**

777

778 Ambient RNA contamination removal was applied on each of the cellranger output  
779 matrices from early, mid and late pools in control and PCD-blocked genotypes using  
780 SoupX (Young and Behjati, 2020) (v1.6.1, default parameters) and then  
781 subsequently integrated and analyzed using Seurat (v4.3.0.1) in RStudio. Matrices  
782 were normalized using SCTransform normalization (Hafemeister and Satija, 2019)  
783 (default parameters) and integrated using reciprocal PCA workflow  
784 (SelectIntegrationFeatures (nfeatures = 3000), PrepSCTIntegration,  
785 FindIntegrationAnchors (reference=control) and IntegrateData) described in



786 ([https://satijalab.org/seurat/articles/integration\\_rpca.html](https://satijalab.org/seurat/articles/integration_rpca.html)). PCA was used for  
787 clustering of the integrated datasets as follows: RunPCA (npcs=50), RunUMAP  
788 (reduction="pca", dims=1:50), FindNeighbors(reduction="pca", dims=1:50),  
789 FindClusters (resolution=0.5), resulting in 49 clusters.

790

### 791 **Cell type annotation**

792

793 Marker genes (cutoff used:  $\log_2FC > 3$ ) of various cell types composing the adult  
794 antenna (sensory neurons, epithelial cells, hemocytes, muscle cells, glial cells and  
795 Johnston's organ cells) were extracted from the Fly Cell Atlas dataset (Li et al., 2022)  
796 via the SCoPe interface (Davie et al., 2018). For support cells, *cut* and *shaven* were  
797 used as marker genes. For each cluster, the expression level (score) of cell type  
798 marker genes was computed using the AddModuleScore function implemented in  
799 Seurat. Cells were annotated through manual inspection of cell type scores in each  
800 cluster.

801

### 802 **Neuron class annotation**

803

804 OSNs and other antennal sensory neurons from the control and PCD-blocked  
805 integrated datasets were subclustered at high resolution as follows RunPCA  
806 (npcs=45), RunUMAP (reduction="pca", dims=1:45),  
807 FindNeighbors(reduction="pca", dims=1:45, k.param=10), FindClusters  
808 (resolution=6), resulting in 162 clusters. The expression of diagnostic  
809 chemosensory receptor genes in the control dataset was used for initial cluster  
810 annotation. Clusters expressing more than one diagnostic receptor were further  
811 subclustered and annotated following the same pipeline. Because chemosensory  
812 receptor gene expression occurs relatively late during antennal development, these  
813 genes could not be used alone to discriminate neuron classes at earlier  
814 developmental stages. We therefore iteratively extracted marker genes of each  
815 neuron class as follows: FindAllMarkers (assay = "SCT", logfc.threshold = 0.25,  
816 min.pct = 0.25, only.pos = T, test.use = "wilcox", p\_val\_adj < 0.05) and evaluated  
817 the expression level of these markers in the unannotated clusters using the  
818 AddModuleScore function. Unannotated clusters showing the highest scores for a  
819 given lineage were assigned to that lineage, which were then incorporated into the  
820 next iteration of marker extraction and scoring. In cases of conflicting scoring of  
821 various marker lists, we manually inspected the expression of few top marker  
822 genes, and privileged shortest and continuous differentiation trajectories of  
823 lineages. After three iterations (0,1,2) of cluster annotation based on individual  
824 neuron classes, further annotation of the remaining unannotated clusters was  
825 based on sensillum type by grouping co-housed OSN lineages to extract sensillar  
826 markers as follows: FindAllMarkers (assay = "SCT", logfc.threshold = 0.25, min.pct  
827 = 0.25, only.pos = T, test.use = "wilcox", p\_val\_adj < 0.05) followed by  
828 AddModuleScore of the various marker genes. Overall, this iterative process  
829 allowed us to annotate 90% of the neurons; the remaining unannotated fraction  
830 mostly correspond to early phase cells. Marker genes distinguishing coeloconic  
831 and sacculus neuron populations were extracted from (Corthals et al., 2023; Mika  
832 et al., 2021). Gene expression levels shown in the dot plots and UMAPs are  
833 residuals from a regularized negative binomial regression, and have arbitrary units.

834

835

## 836 **Lineage developmental and pseudotime reconstruction**

837

838 Individual sensillar lineages were extracted from the integrated datasets and  
839 subclustered using a similar workflow as described above but with lineage-specific  
840 parameters: RunPCA, RunUMAP, FindNeighbors, FindClusters. Each cluster was  
841 assigned to early, mid and late developmental phases based on PCD-blocked data  
842 and then extrapolated to the control data (this was necessary, due to the pooling of  
843 the 80 h APF time point with the mid stages in the latter). Individual lineages were  
844 imported in monocle3 (v1.2.9) (Cao et al., 2019; Levine et al., 2015; Qiu et al., 2017;  
845 Trapnell et al., 2014) and pseudotime inferred as follows: cluster\_cells, learn\_graph  
846 (use\_partition = F), order\_cells (start\_end was chosen at the tip of “early” stage cells)  
847 and gene expression dynamics plotted using plot\_genes\_in\_pseudotime  
848 (color\_cells\_by = “pseudotime”) function.

849

## 850 **Identification of undead neurons**

851

852 During reconstruction of individual lineages, we systematically checked for the  
853 presence of clusters that would be exclusive to the PCD-blocked dataset using  
854 DimPlot (split.by = “condition”) function in Seurat. We confirmed that any such PCD-  
855 blocked specific clusters corresponded to undead neuron lineages by quantifying the  
856 expression of the pro-apoptotic genes *rpr*, *grim* and *skl* (RGS score) using  
857 AddModuleScore function. If there was no difference in clusters between control and  
858 PCD-blocked animals, we quantified the number of cells (from 36 h APF) of each  
859 lineage in both conditions. In a few cases (e.g., at4 Or65a/b/c neurons) there was a  
860 clear increase in number in the PCD-blocked dataset. We further validated such  
861 additional cells as being undead neurons, by quantifying and ranking RGS score at  
862 the sensillar level using AddModuleScore, ViolinPlot (features = “RGS”, sort = T)  
863 function. Typically, lineages with “embedded” undead neurons had the highest RGS  
864 score.

865

## 866 **Differential gene expression analysis**

867

868 Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were extracted from various Seurat objects  
869 using FindAllMarkers function with the following parameters applied for various  
870 comparisons: for developmental time marker genes (Figure S2): (object = “All  
871 OSNs”, assay = “SCT”, logfc.threshold = 0.25, min.pct = 0.25, only.pos = T, test.use  
872 = “wilcox”, p\_val\_adj < 0.05), for OSN marker genes (Figure S5): (object = “All  
873 annotated OSNs in control condition”, assay = “SCT”, logfc.threshold = 0.25, min.pct  
874 = 0.18, only.pos = T, test.use = “wilcox”, p\_val\_adj < 0.05), for sensilla marker genes  
875 (Figure S6): (object = “All annotated OSNs in control condition”, assay = “SCT”,  
876 logfc.threshold = 0.25, min.pct = 0.25, only.pos = T, test.use = “wilcox”, p\_val\_adj <  
877 0.05), for OSN precursor type marker genes (Figure S7): (object = “All annotated  
878 OSNs in control condition except arista”, assay = “SCT”, logfc.threshold = 0.25,  
879 min.pct = 0.4, only.pos = T, test.use = “wilcox”, p\_val\_adj < 0.05). For each  
880 comparison, an analysis of Gene Ontology terms over-represented in marker genes  
881 was performed using clusterProfiler (v4.4.4) (Wu et al., 2021).

882

883

884 **Hierarchical clustering of sensory neurons based on differentially expressed**  
885 **transcription factors**

886

887 A phylogenetic tree relating sensory neuron classes (Figure 3L) was built based on a  
888 distance matrix constructed in the differentially expressed transcription factors  
889 (DE\_TFs) space (Figure S8) using the BuildClusterTree function implemented in  
890 Seurat: BuildClusterTree (assay = "SCT", features = DE\_TFs).

891

892 **Hybridization chain reaction RNA fluorescent *in situ***

893

894 RNA probes (Table S2) were synthesized by Molecular Instruments, and we followed  
895 a published HCR RNA FISH protocol (Bontonou et al., 2024) with minor  
896 modifications. Female flies (2-7 days old) of interest were flash-frozen in liquid  
897 nitrogen and antennae were passed through a mini-sieve (mesh-width = 80  $\mu$ m) and  
898 collected in Petri dishes containing fixation solution (1 $\times$ PBS, 3% Triton X-100, 4%  
899 paraformaldehyde). For each staining, 30-40 antennae were immediately transferred  
900 into 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes filled with 1 ml of fixation solution and place on a  
901 platform for 1 h at room temperature (RT) with gentle shaking (35 rpm). Fixative  
902 solution was removed and samples washed 2 $\times$  5 min with 1 ml of PBS 1 $\times$ , 3% Triton  
903 X-100 at RT, followed by 3 $\times$  5 min with 1 ml of 1 $\times$ PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100 at RT.  
904 Wash solution was removed and samples pre-hybridized with 300  $\mu$ l of probe  
905 hybridization buffer (Molecular Instruments) for 30 min at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. Pre-hybridization  
906 buffer was removed and replaced by probe solution (5  $\mu$ l of probe in hybridization  
907 solution, 500  $\mu$ l final volume, except for *Ir75d*, *Ir41a*, *Or85f*, *Or88a*, *Or67d* and *Ir76a*  
908 probes for which 10  $\mu$ l were used) for 16 h at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. Probe solution was removed and  
909 samples washed 4 $\times$  15 min with 500  $\mu$ l of pre-heated (37 $^{\circ}$ C) probe wash buffer  
910 (Molecular Instruments) at 37 $^{\circ}$ C, followed by 3 $\times$  washes of 5 min at RT with 500  $\mu$ l of  
911 5 $\times$ SSCT solution (5 $\times$ SSC, 0.1% Triton X-100). Samples were pre-amplified with 300  
912  $\mu$ l of amplification buffer (Molecular Instruments) for 30 min at RT. During this time,  
913 for each probe in a sample, 10  $\mu$ l of hairpin amplifiers h1 and 10  $\mu$ l of hairpin  
914 amplifiers h2 (or 15  $\mu$ l each when probe volume was doubled) were heated at 95 $^{\circ}$ C  
915 for 90 s, and snap-cooled to RT, with protection from light. Pre-amplification buffer  
916 was removed from the samples and replaced by the snap-cooled amplifiers in  
917 amplification buffer (Molecular Instruments), 500  $\mu$ l final volume, and placed at RT  
918 and protected from light for 16 h. Amplification solution was removed and samples  
919 washed 5 $\times$  in 500  $\mu$ l of 5 $\times$ SSCT at RT (2 $\times$  5 min, 2 $\times$  30 min and 1 $\times$  5 min). 5 $\times$ SSCT  
920 was removed and 50  $\mu$ l of Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, H-  
921 1200) was added before mounting.

922

923 **Standard RNA fluorescent *in situ* hybridization and immunohistochemistry**

924

925 For the experiments in Figure 6G, we used a standard RNA FISH protocol (Saina  
926 and Benton, 2013) on female flies (2-7 day old), using RNA FISH probes generated  
927 using primers in Table S2. When combined with immunohistochemistry (Figure  
928 S15A), we performed standard RNA FISH until TSA-Cy5 colorimetric detection,  
929 when samples were washed 5 $\times$  20 min at RT with 500  $\mu$ l of TNT buffer and  
930 incubated for 1 h in 300  $\mu$ l of blocking solution (1 $\times$ PBS, 0.2% Triton X-100, 5% heat  
931 inactivated goat serum). Supernatant was replaced by 500  $\mu$ l of anti-GFP-chicken  
932 antibody containing blocking solution and samples placed at 4 $^{\circ}$ C on a rotating wheel

933 for 40 h. Samples were then washed 5× 20 min at RT with 500 µl of 1×PBS, 0.2%  
934 Triton X-100, and incubated for 1 h in 300 µl of blocking solution. Supernatant was  
935 replaced by 500 µl of secondary antibodies in blocking solution and samples placed  
936 at 4°C on a rotating wheel for 40 h. Samples were washed 5× 20 min at RT with 500  
937 µl of 1×PBS, 0.2% Triton X-100 and 50 µl of Vectashield mounting medium (Vector  
938 Laboratories, H-1200) was added to each sample prior to mounting. Primary and  
939 secondary antibodies are listed in Table S3.

940

## 941 **Immunohistochemistry in central brain**

942

943 Female flies (2-7 day old) were fixed in 2 ml of PB 1×, 3% Triton X-100, 4%  
944 paraformaldehyde for 2 h at RT. Brains were dissected into ice-cold 1×PB (under a  
945 binocular microscope and immediately transferred into 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes  
946 containing 1 ml of 1×PB, 0.3% Triton X-100. Brains were washed 5× 15 min in 1 ml  
947 of 1×PB, 0.3% Triton X-100 at RT, and then incubated in 1 ml of blocking solution  
948 (PB 1×, 0.3% Triton X-100, 5% heat-inactivated goat serum) for 1 hat RT.  
949 Supernatant was replaced by 500 µl of primary antibodies in blocking solution and  
950 the samples placed on a rotating wheel for 40 h at 4°C. Brains were washed 5× 15  
951 min in 1 ml of 1×PB, 0.3% Triton X-100 at RT, and then incubated in 1 ml of blocking  
952 solution for 1 h at RT. The supernatant was replaced by 500 µl of secondary  
953 antibodies containing blocking solution and the samples placed on a rotating wheel  
954 for 40 h at 4°C. Brains were washed 5× 15 min in 1 ml of 1×PB, 0.3% Triton X-100 at  
955 RT, and 50 µl of Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, H-1200) was  
956 added prior to mounting.

957

## 958 **Image acquisition and processing**

959

960 Images from antennae and antennal lobes were acquired with confocal microscopes  
961 (Zeiss LSM710 or Zeiss LSM880 systems) using a 40× (antennae) or a 63×  
962 (antennal lobe) oil immersion objective. Images were processed using Fiji software  
963 (Schindelin et al., 2012).

964

## 965 **Electrophysiology**

966

967 Single-sensillum recordings on ac4 coeloconic sensilla were performed on 2-4 day  
968 old female flies using glass electrodes filled with sensillum recording solution,  
969 essentially as described (Vulpe et al., 2021). Coeloconic sensilla were identified  
970 based on their stereotyped locations on the antenna and responses to diagnostic  
971 odorants. The odor response was calculated from the difference in summed spike  
972 frequencies of all OSNs in response to a 0.5 s odor puff compared to a 0.5 s solvent  
973 puff, as described (Vulpe et al., 2021).

974 Single sensillum recordings from at1 were performed on 5-10-day old female  
975 flies using tungsten electrodes, essentially as described (Benton and Dahanukar,  
976 2023); sensilla were identified by their morphology, characteristic location and  
977 responses of Or67d neuron to cVA. Odor responses were calculated from the  
978 difference between the OSN spike frequency during and before odor stimulation, as  
979 described (Benton et al., 2007), from which the solvent response was subtracted.  
980 Spike amplitudes numbers in at1 (Figure 7D) were scored independently by two

981 experimenters blind to the genotype. Information on odor stimuli and the paraffin oil  
982 solvent is provided in Table S4.  
983

984 **Supplementary Information**

985

986 **Data S1. Odor-evoked neuronal responses.**

987 - see separate Excel file

988

989 **Data S2. Top marker genes in undead and normal Ir75d neurons.**

990 - see separate Excel file

991

992 **Data S3. RNAi screen data.**

993 - see separate Excel file

994

995 **Table S1. *Drosophila* strains.**

996

Genotype	Source/reference
<i>w</i> <sup>1118</sup>	
Canton S	
Oregon R	
<i>peb-Gal4</i>	RRID:BDSC_80570
<i>UAS-unc84:GFP</i>	RRID:BDSC_602372
<i>UAS-p35</i>	RRID:BDSC_5072
<i>UAS-Dcr-2</i>	VDCRC_60010
<i>UAS-mamo</i> <sup>RNAi</sup>	VDCRC_110310
<i>UAS-lz</i> <sup>RNAi</sup>	VDCRC_330539
<i>UAS-lbe</i> <sup>RNAi</sup>	VDCRC_102377
<i>UAS-lbl</i> <sup>RNAi</sup>	VDCRC_52323
<i>UAS-Orco</i>	(Benton et al., 2006)
<i>UAS-Ir8a</i>	(Abuin et al., 2011)
<i>Or67d-Gal4</i>	(Kurtovic et al., 2007)
<i>Ir84a-Gal4</i>	(Grosjean et al., 2011)
<i>at1-Gal4 (GMR82D08-Gal4)</i>	(Chai et al., 2019)
<i>UAS-slp2-ORF-3HA</i>	FlyORF

997

998 **Table S2. RNA FISH probes.**

999

Gene	Reference/source	Primer sequences (5'-3' Fwd / Rev) (standard FISH) or target sequence (HCR-FISH)
<i>Amt</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_001104330.3
<i>Ir41a</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_206022.4
<i>Ir64a</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_139705.1
<i>Ir75b</i>	Molecular Instruments	KY205710.1
<i>Ir75d</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_140817.3
<i>Ir76a</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_001104177.3
<i>Ir84a</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_141463.2
<i>Ir92a</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_001104375.2
<i>lbe</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_079711.3
<i>lbl</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_079710.4
<i>lz</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_078544.3
<i>Or19a</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_080274.3

<i>Or23a</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_078734.4
<i>Or35a</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_165117.2
<i>Or43a</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_078923.3
<i>Or47b</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_078966.3
<i>Or65a</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_168163.1
<i>Or67a</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_079281.4
<i>Or67b</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_079283.5
<i>Or67d</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_140133.2
<i>Or69a</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_206348.1
<i>Or83c</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_079520.3
<i>Or85f</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_079565.3
<i>Or88a</i>	Molecular Instruments	NM_079624.3
<i>Ir75b</i>		CCGCATCTATGTGGAAACCT / CAATATGCCCATGCAGAGAA
<i>Or35a</i>		TAGCTGTGCGATGTCTTG / GTCAAAGCAGTGGCACAAA
<i>Ir75d</i>		GACAGGATCTGGAGGGCATA / ACACCCACACATCGTTCTCA

1000  
1001  
1002

**Table S3. Antibodies.**

<b>Antibody</b>	<b>Dilution</b>	<b>Reference/source</b>	<b>Identifier</b>
Chicken anti-GFP	1:500	Abcam	ab13970
Mouse anti-Bruchpilot (nc82)	1:10	DSHB	nc82
anti-DIG-POD	1:300	Roche Diagnostics AG	11 207 733 910
anti-Fluorescein-POD	1:300	Roche Diagnostics AG	11 426 346 910
Alexa Fluor 488 Goat anti-Chicken	1:500	Abcam	ab150169
Cy5 Goat anti-Mouse	1:250	Jackson ImmunoResearch	115-175-166

1003  
1004  
1005

**Table S4. Odors.**

<b>Odor</b>	<b>CAS</b>	<b>Source</b>
<i>11-cis</i> -vaccenyl acetate (cVA)	6186-98-7	Pherobank
<i>E2</i> -hexenal	6728-26-3	Sigma-Aldrich
<i>1</i> -hexanol	111-27-3	Acros Organics
hexyl acetate	142-92-7	Sigma-Aldrich
<i>1</i> -octanol	111-87-5	Acros Organics
<i>2</i> -oxopentanoic acid	1821-02-9	Sigma-Aldrich
paraffin oil (solvent)	8012-95-1	Acros Organics
phenethylamine	64-04-0	Acros Organics
phenylacetaldehyde	122-78-1	Alfa Aesar

1006

## 1007 **Figure Legends**

1008

1009

### **Figure 1. A developmental atlas of antennal sensory neurons.**

1010

**(A)** Schematic of the development and anatomy of the *D. melanogaster* peripheral olfactory system.

1012

**(B)** Schematic of experimental design: antennal imaginal discs/antennae were dissected at time points every 6-8 h from 18-80 h after puparium formation (APF) in control (*peb-Gal4/+;UAS-unc84:GFP/+*) and PCD-blocked (*peb-Gal4/+;UAS-p35/+;UAS-unc84:GFP/+*) conditions. Samples were pooled into three temporal phases (early, mid, late) prior FACS sorting and 10x sequencing. Tapered black bars indicate the timing of the main developmental processes.

1018

**(C)** UMAP of all cell types in the developmental atlas, integrating control and PCD-blocked datasets.

1020

**(D)** UMAP of all olfactory sensory neurons in (C) in the atlas – integrating control and PCD-blocked datasets – colored by developmental phases.

1022

**(E)** UMAP of annotated neuronal lineages, integrating control and PCD-blocked datasets. Unannotated neurons could not be assigned to any lineage; almost all of these are from early developmental stages, but one cluster (specific to the PCD-blocked dataset) was detected in late developmental stages (asterisk, close to the *Amt-ac1* lineage). Some *repo* expressing glial cells were also detected (see Figure S3A-B).

1028

**(F)** UMAP of the cells in (E) – masking unannotated neurons and glial cells – colored by sensillar class (ab, antennal basiconic; at, antennal trichoid; ai, antennal intermediate; ac, antennal coeloconic; sac, sacculus).

1031

**(G)** UMAP of the cells in (F) – now also masking cells from the PCD-blocked dataset and dying and arista lineages from the control dataset – colored by neuron precursor type (arista lineages could not be confidently assigned to any type).

1034

**(H)** Scatter plot of the relative abundance of each neuronal population in the developmental atlas (control dataset only) with their relative abundance as quantified *in situ* (Benton et al., 2025).

1037

1038

### **Figure 2. Novel lineage-specific transcription factors.**

1039

**(A)** Expression of the TFs *lozenge* (*Iz*), *ladybird early* (*Ibe*) and *ladybird late* (*Ibl*) as well as *Or85f*, *Or67a*, *Or83c* and *Or23a* across cell types (control dataset, except dying lineages, here and in other panels). Expression levels (here and elsewhere) have arbitrary units (see Methods).

1043

**(B)** UMAPs highlighting the ab10 lineages (schematized in the cartoon) (left) and the expression of the indicated genes (right).

1045

**(C)** Expression of the indicated genes in ab10 cells grouped by developmental phases.

1047

**(D)** UMAPs highlighting the ai2 lineages (schematized in the cartoon) (left) and the expression of the indicated genes (right).

1049

**(E)** Expression of the indicated genes in ai2 cells grouped by developmental phases.

1050

**(F)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4*) animals with the indicated probes ( $n = 10-16$  antennae). Scale bars, 25  $\mu\text{m}$  (or 10  $\mu\text{m}$  for single confocal Z-slice, high-magnification images on the right), here and in other panels.

1053

**(G)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr2*) and *Iz<sup>RNAi</sup>* (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2/+;UAS-Iz<sup>RNAi</sup>/+*) animals with probes targeting the indicated transcripts.

1055



1056 **(H)** Quantification of experiments in (G), together with a control *Or67b* probe (images  
1057 not shown). Here and elsewhere, box plots illustrate individual data points overlaid on  
1058 boxes showing the median (thick line), first and third quartiles, while whiskers indicate  
1059 data distribution limits. *n* is indicated underneath. \*\*\* =  $P < 0.001$ ; ns =  $P > 0.05$ ,  
1060 respectively, *t* test.  
1061 **(I)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4*) animals with the  
1062 indicated probes (*n* = 10-16 antennae).  
1063 **(J)** RNA FISH experiment on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-*  
1064 *2*), *Ib<sup>RNAi</sup>* (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2/+;UAS-Ib<sup>RNAi</sup>/+*), *Ibe<sup>RNAi</sup>* (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-*  
1065 *2/+;UAS-Ibe<sup>RNAi</sup>/+*), and *Ib<sup>RNAi</sup>,Ibe<sup>RNAi</sup>* (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr2/+;UAS-Ibe<sup>RNAi</sup>/+;UAS-*  
1066 *Ib<sup>RNAi</sup>/+*) animals with the indicated probes targeting the indicated transcripts.  
1067 **(K)** Quantification of experiments in (J), together with a control *Or43a* probe (images  
1068 not shown). *n* is indicated underneath. \* =  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\* =  $P < 0.01$ ; \*\*\* =  $P < 0.001$ ;  
1069 Wilcoxon rank sum test followed by Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.  
1070 ns =  $P > 0.05$ , *t* test.

1071

### 1072 **Figure 3. Precision and promiscuity of sensory receptor transcription.**

1073 **(A)** Dot plot illustrating the expression of tuning receptor and co-receptor subunits  
1074 across sensory neuron types in the late-stage, control dataset (*peb-Gal4/+;UAS-*  
1075 *unc84:GFP/+*). Only three expected tuning receptors were not detected: (i) *Or49a*  
1076 (which was, however, detectable in the expected cells – i.e., co-expressed with *Or85f*  
1077 – in the non-normalized data (not shown), (ii) co-expressed *Or10a* and *Gr10a* (likely  
1078 because the genes have identical annotations, resulting in reads being filtered out  
1079 due to unintended mapping to two distinct genes), and (iii) *Or33b*, which were not  
1080 detected in *Or85a* neurons as reported (Fishilevich and Vosshall, 2005), but instead  
1081 detected in a very small fraction of *Or56a/Or33a* neurons, potentially reflecting strain  
1082 specificity.

1083 **(B)** Top: UMAPs of the ac4A lineage illustrating the developmental stages and  
1084 receptor expression patterns (control dataset). Bottom: a pseudotime UMAP and  
1085 corresponding receptor expression dynamics.

1086 **(C)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4*) animals with probes  
1087 targeting the indicated transcripts (*n* = 10-12). The ac3 and ac4 sensilla zones are  
1088 indicated in the top and middle rows. Bottom: ac4A neurons co-expressing *Ir84a* and  
1089 *Or35a* and ac4B neurons co-expressing *Ir76a* and *Or35a* in a single confocal Z-slice.  
1090 Scale bars, 25  $\mu$ m (top and middle rows) or 10  $\mu$ m (bottom row).

1091 **(D)** Electrophysiological responses to the indicated ligands in ac4 sensilla from  
1092 antennae of control animals (*UAS-Orco*) and animals overexpressing *Orco* in ac4A  
1093 neurons (*Ir84a<sup>Gal4</sup>/UAS-Orco*). Solvent-corrected responses (mean  $\pm$  SEM) are  
1094 shown; see Data S1 for raw data and statistical analyses.

1095 **(E)** Schematic summarizing tuning receptor (black) and co-receptor (grey) subunits  
1096 expressed in ac4 neurons.

1097 **(F)** UMAPs of the ab5B (top rows) or at1 (bottom rows) lineages illustrating the  
1098 developmental stages and receptor expression patterns (control dataset),  
1099 pseudotime UMAPs and corresponding receptor expression dynamics.

1100 **(G)** Electrophysiological responses to the indicated ligands in at1 sensilla from  
1101 antennae of control animals (*UAS-Ir8a*) and animals overexpressing *Ir8a* in at1  
1102 neurons (*Or67d-Gal4/UAS-Ir8a*). Solvent-corrected responses (mean  $\pm$  SEM) are  
1103 shown; see Data S1 for raw data and statistical analyses.

1104 **(H)** Hierarchical clustering of antennal neuron types based upon differentially  
1105 expressed TFs (from Figure S8).

1106

1107 **Figure 4. Heterogeneous life and death fates of specific OSN populations.**

1108 **(A)** Schematic of the programmed cell death cascade in *D. melanogaster*.

1109 **(B)** UMAPs illustrating the combined expression levels of the pro-apoptotic genes  
1110 *reaper* (*rpr*), *grim* and *sickle* (*skl*) – quantified as a “RGS” score – in control (*peb-*  
1111 *Gal4/+;;UAS-unc84:GFP/+*) and PCD-blocked (*peb-Gal4/+;UAS-p35/+;UAS-*  
1112 *unc84:GFP/+*) datasets.

1113 **(C)** RGS score in control and PCD-blocked datasets. Here and elsewhere, boxes  
1114 show the median (thick line), first and third quartiles, while whiskers indicate data  
1115 distribution limits. \*\*\*\* =  $P < 0.0001$ , Wilcoxon rank sum test.

1116 **(D)** Abundance of ab4, ab7, ab10 and at4 neuronal classes in control (yellow) and  
1117 PCD-blocked (blue) datasets, calculated as the percentage of nuclei for each class  
1118 relative to the total number of nuclei (from 36 h APF, excluding those forming new  
1119 clusters in the PCD-blocked dataset).

1120 **(E)** Reconstruction of the ab10 sensillum development in the integrated control and  
1121 PCD-blocked datasets. Cells were extracted from the datasets using sensillar  
1122 markers (Figure 1F and Figure S6), and reclustered (see Methods); note in these  
1123 UMAPs we mask a cell cluster that is unique to the PCD-blocked dataset, which is  
1124 considered further in Figure S14A-C. UMAPs indicate the identity and the  
1125 developmental phases (top), the expression of olfactory receptors (middle) and RGS  
1126 score within the lineage (bottom). Boxplot indicates the ranked (left > right) RGS  
1127 score in ab10 neurons (\*\*\*\* =  $P < 0.0001$ , Wilcoxon rank sum test).

1128 **(F)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4*) and PCD-blocked  
1129 animals (*peb-Gal4/+;UAS-p35/+*) with probes targeting the indicated transcripts.  
1130 Scale bars, 25  $\mu\text{m}$ . Quantifications are shown on the right.

1131 **(G)** Schematic of the inferred subtypes of ab10 sensilla in control and PCD-blocked  
1132 datasets.

1133 **(H)** Reconstruction of the at4 sensillum development in the integrated control and  
1134 PCD-blocked datasets. UMAPs indicate the identity and the developmental phases  
1135 (top), the expression of olfactory receptors (middle) and RGS score within the lineage  
1136 (bottom). Boxplot indicates the ranked (left > right) RGS score in at4 neurons.

1137 **(I)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4*) and PCD-blocked  
1138 animals (*peb-Gal4/+;UAS-p35/+*) with probes targeting the indicated transcripts.  
1139 Scale bars, 25  $\mu\text{m}$ . Quantifications are shown on the right.

1140 **(J)** Schematic of the inferred subtypes of at4 sensilla in control and PCD-blocked  
1141 datasets.

1142 **(F, H and I)** A, B and C indicate significant differences:  $P < 0.05$  in pairwise  
1143 comparisons (Wilcoxon rank sum test followed by Bonferroni correction for multiple  
1144 comparisons).

1145

1146 **Figure 5. Identification of novel populations of undead neurons.**

1147 **(A)** UMAPs of the ac3I/II lineages in control and PCD-blocked datasets illustrating the  
1148 developmental stages (top) and RGS expression (bottom), revealing cells with high  
1149 RGS score that are present exclusively in PCD-blocked animals.

1150 **(B)** Left: lineage annotation of ac3I/II sensilla in integrated control and PCD-blocked  
1151 data. Right: ranked RGS scores.

1152 **(C)** Neuron precursor type score for each OSN in the ac3I/II integrated dataset.

1153 **(D)** UMAPs of the integrated ac3/II dataset illustrating the expression of sensory  
1154 receptors in endogenous and undead neurons.  
1155 **(E)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4*, top row) and PCD-  
1156 blocked animals (*peb-Gal4/+;UAS-p35/+*; bottom row) with probes targeting the  
1157 indicated transcripts. The ac3 zone is indicated. Right column images show a higher  
1158 magnification of single confocal Z-slice in the ac3 zone. Scale bars, 25  $\mu\text{m}$  (left  
1159 images) or 10  $\mu\text{m}$  (right images).  
1160 **(F)** Quantification of OSN numbers from the experiments in (E) (*n* is indicated  
1161 underneath). \*\*\* indicates  $P < 0.001$ , *t* test.  
1162 **(G)** Schematic of the inferred types of ac3/II sensilla in control and PCD-blocked  
1163 antennae.  
1164 **(H)** UMAPs of the sacIII-v/d lineages in control and PCD-blocked datasets illustrating  
1165 the developmental stages (top) and RGS expression (bottom), revealing cells with  
1166 high RGS score that are present exclusively in PCD-blocked animals.  
1167 **(I)** Left: lineage annotation of sacIII-v/d sensilla in integrated control and PCD-  
1168 blocked data. Right: ranked RGS scores.  
1169 **(J)** Neuron precursor type score for each OSN in the sacIII-v/d integrated dataset.  
1170 **(K)** UMAPs of the integrated sacIII-v/d dataset illustrating the expression of sensory  
1171 receptors in endogenous and undead neurons.  
1172 **(L)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4*, top row) and PCD-  
1173 blocked animals (*peb-Gal4/+;UAS-p35/+*; bottom row) with probes targeting the  
1174 indicated transcripts. The sacIII zone is indicated. Right column images show a  
1175 higher magnification of single confocal Z-slice in the sacIII zone. Scale bars, 25  $\mu\text{m}$   
1176 (left images) or 10  $\mu\text{m}$  (right images).  
1177 **(M)** Quantification of OSN numbers from the experiments in (L) (*n* is indicated  
1178 underneath). \*\*\* indicates  $P < 0.001$ , *t* test.  
1179 **(N)** Schematic of the inferred states of sacIII-v/d sensilla in control and PCD-blocked  
1180 antennae.  
1181 **(O)** UMAPs of the at1 lineages in control and PCD-blocked datasets illustrating the  
1182 developmental stages (top) and RGS expression (bottom), revealing cells with high  
1183 RGS score that are present exclusively in PCD-blocked animals.  
1184 **(P)** Top: lineage annotation of the at1 sensillum in control and PCD-blocked  
1185 integrated data. Bottom: ranked RGS scores (left) and precursor type scores (right).  
1186 \*\*\*\* indicates  $P < 0.0001$ , Wilcoxon rank sum test.  
1187 **(Q)** Endogenous expression of *Or67d* in the control and PCD-blocked integrated  
1188 data. No receptor was robustly detected in the undead neuron population.  
1189 **(R)** Schematic of the inferred states of the at1 sensillum in control and PCD-blocked  
1190 antennae.  
1191 **(B,C,I,J)** A-D letters indicate significant differences:  $P < 0.05$  in pairwise comparisons  
1192 (Wilcoxon rank sum test followed by Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons).

1193

1194 **Figure 6. *Mamo* specifies PCD in *Ir75d* neuron lineages.**

1195 **(A)** Volcano plot illustrating differentially-expressed genes between undead *Ir75d*  
1196 OSNs (in ac3 and sacIII) and normal *Ir75d* OSNs (in ac1, ac2 and ac4) (pseudobulk  
1197 analysis, *x* axis =  $\log_2\text{FC}$ ("undead"/control), *y* axis =  $-\log_{10}$ (adjusted *P*),  $\log_2\text{FC} >$   
1198 0.25, % of positive nuclei  $> 0.25$ ). Pro-apoptotic genes are highlighted in blue, and  
1199 TFs whose expression is enriched in the undead *Ir75d* neurons are highlighted in red.  
1200 See Data S2 for the top 20 genes enriched in undead and living *Ir75d* neuron  
1201 counterparts.

1202 **(B)** Quantification of OSN numbers in the indicated genotypes (*n* is indicated  
1203 underneath). \*\*\* indicates  $P < 0.001$ , *t* test.  
1204 **(C,D)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2*, top row)  
1205 and *mamo<sup>RNAi</sup>* animals (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2/+;UAS-mamo<sup>RNAi</sup>/+*, bottom row) with  
1206 probes targeting the indicated transcripts. ac3 (C) and sacIII (D) zones are shown.  
1207 Right column images show a higher magnification within the ac3 and sacIII zones of  
1208 a single confocal Z-slice, showing “undead” Ir75d neurons upon *mamo<sup>RNAi</sup>* in both  
1209 ac3 and sacIII sensilla. Scale bars, 25  $\mu$ m (left images) or 10  $\mu$ m (right images).  
1210 **(E,F)** Schematic of the inferred states of ac3/II (E) and sacIII-v/d (F) sensilla in  
1211 control and *mamo<sup>RNAi</sup>* conditions.  
1212 **(G)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2*; top) and  
1213 *mamo<sup>RNAi</sup>* animals (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2/+;UAS-mamo<sup>RNAi</sup>/+*; bottom) with probes  
1214 targeting the indicated transcripts. The ac3 zone is indicated. Right column images  
1215 show a higher magnification in the ac3 zone in a single confocal Z-slice. Scale bars,  
1216 25  $\mu$ m, or 10  $\mu$ m for high-magnification images.  
1217 **(H)** Quantification of Ir75d neurons in the indicated genotypes (*n* is indicated  
1218 underneath); data is replotted from Figures 5K and 6B, to highlight the mismatch of  
1219 Ir75d neuron numbers in *mamo<sup>RNAi</sup>* and PCD-blocked animals. A-C letters indicate  
1220 significant differences:  $P < 0.05$  in pairwise comparisons (Wilcoxon rank sum test  
1221 followed by Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons).  
1222 **(I)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of PCD-blocked (*peb-Gal4/+;UAS-p35/+*;  
1223 left) and *mamo<sup>RNAi</sup>* animals (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2/+;UAS-mamo<sup>RNAi</sup>/+*; right) with  
1224 probes targeting the indicated transcripts, showing an additional *Ir75d* expressing  
1225 neuron in ac4. Bottom quadrants show higher magnification of a single Z-slice. Scale  
1226 bars, 25  $\mu$ m, or 3  $\mu$ m for quadrants.  
1227 **(J)** Schematic of the inferred states of ac4 sensilla in control, *mamo<sup>RNAi</sup>* and PCD-  
1228 blocked antennae.  
1229  
1230 **Figure 7. *Slp2* activity is necessary and sufficient to specify PCD in the at1**  
1231 **lineage.**  
1232 **(A)** Volcano plot illustrating differentially-expressed genes between at1 undead and  
1233 Or67d OSN populations (pseudobulk analysis, x axis =  $\log_2$ FC(“undead”/control), y  
1234 axis =  $-\log_{10}$ (adjusted P),  $\log_2$ FC > 0.25, % of positive nuclei > 0.25). Pro-apoptotic  
1235 genes are highlighted in blue, and TFs whose expression is enriched in the undead  
1236 at1 neurons are highlighted in red.  
1237 **(B)** UMAPs of the at1 lineage (integrated control and PCD-blocked conditions),  
1238 illustrating neuron identity and *slp2* expression.  
1239 **(C)** Traces of spontaneous (top) and cVA-evoked (bottom) electrophysiological  
1240 activity from the at1 sensillum in the antennae of control (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2*),  
1241 PCD-blocked (*peb-Gal4/+;UAS-p35/+*) and *slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>* (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2/+;UAS-*  
1242 *slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>/+*) animals. Red and blue arrows indicate spikes of the Or67d and undead  
1243 neurons, respectively.  
1244 **(D)** Quantification of the proportion of at1 sensilla housing 1 or >1 spike amplitude in  
1245 the indicated genotypes (*n* is indicated above).  
1246 **(E)** Schematic of the inferred states of the at1 sensillum in control and *slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>*  
1247 antennae.  
1248 **(F)** RNA FISH experiment on whole-mount antennae of control animals (*;;UAS-slp2-*  
1249 *ORF-3HA/+* and *;;at1-Gal4/+*) and in animals over-expressing *slp2* specifically and  
1250 transiently in the at1 lineage during development (Chai et al., 2019) (*;;UAS-slp2-*  
1251 *ORF-3HA/at1-Gal4*) with probes targeting *Or67d*. Scale bar, 25  $\mu$ m.

1252 **(G)** Quantification of Or67d neurons in the indicated genotypes (*n* is indicated  
1253 underneath). A and B letters indicate significant differences:  $P < 0.05$  in pairwise  
1254 comparisons (Wilcoxon rank sum test followed by Bonferroni correction for multiple  
1255 comparisons).

1256

1257 **Figure 8. Context-specific requirement for Slp2 in PCD.**

1258 **(A)** Dot plot showing the expression of *slp2* in all annotated neuron lineages  
1259 described in this work (control and PCD-blocked integrated datasets).

1260 **(B)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2*, top row)  
1261 and *slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>* animals (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2/+;;UAS-slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>/+*, bottom row) with  
1262 probes targeting the indicated transcripts. Scale bar, 25  $\mu\text{m}$ .

1263 **(C)** Quantification of neurons from (B). *n* is indicated below each condition. \* and ns  
1264 indicate  $P < 0.05$  and  $P > 0.05$ , respectively, *t* test.

1265 **(D)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2*, top row)  
1266 and *slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>* animals (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2/+;;UAS-slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>/+*, bottom row) with  
1267 probes targeting the indicated transcripts. Scale bar, 25  $\mu\text{m}$ .

1268 **(E)** Quantification of neurons from (D). *n* is indicated below each condition.

1269 **(F)** Schematic of inferred states of the ab10 sensillum in control and *slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>*  
1270 antennae.

1271 **(G)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2*, top row)  
1272 and *slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>* animals (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2/+;;UAS-slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>/+*, bottom row) with  
1273 probes targeting the indicated transcripts. Scale bar, 25  $\mu\text{m}$ .

1274 **(H)** Quantification of neurons from (G). *n* is indicated below each condition.

1275 **(I)** Schematic of inferred states of the at4 sensillum in control and *slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>* antennae.

1276 **(J)** RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2*, top row)  
1277 and *slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>* animals (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2/+;;UAS-slp2<sup>RNAi</sup>/+*, bottom row) with  
1278 probes targeting the indicated transcripts. Scale bar, 25  $\mu\text{m}$ .

1279 **(K)** Quantification of neurons from (G). *n* is indicated below each condition.

1280 **(L)** Schematic of inferred states of the at4 sensillum in the antennae of widely used  
1281 *Drosophila melanogaster* strains. The number of “+” sign reflects the relative  
1282 prevalence of at4 subtypes (- = absent).

1283 **(E,H,K)** A-D letters indicate significant differences:  $P < 0.05$  in pairwise comparisons  
1284 (Wilcoxon rank sum test followed by Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons).

1285

1286

1287 **Supplementary Figures**

1288

1289 **Figure S1. Global cell type annotation of the developing antenna.**

1290 **(A)** Distribution of the number of genes detected per nucleus across all sequenced  
1291 nuclei in control and PCD-blocked datasets. The dashed vertical lines indicate the  
1292 mean.

1293 **(B)** UMAPs illustrating the unsupervised clustering of all nuclei from the integrated  
1294 control and PCD-blocked datasets (top left), cell type scoring using marker gene  
1295 modules extracted from the Fly Cell Atlas (Li et al., 2022) (see Methods), and cell  
1296 type annotation of the integrated datasets (bottom right). Nuclei from clusters 15 and  
1297 26 were sparse and exhibited a mixed identity; we therefore assigned these as  
1298 doublets and discarded them from downstream analyses.

1299 **(C)** Expression score of cell type marker gene modules and the fraction of detected  
1300 mitochondrial genes in each cluster.

1301 **(D)** Cell type and nuclei number composing the integrated, control and PCD-blocked  
1302 datasets.

1303

1304 **Figure S2. Hallmarks of peripheral olfactory system development.**

1305 **(A)** Expression score of early, mid and late developmental time marker gene modules  
1306 in early, mid and late olfactory, hygro-sensory and thermosensory neurons, integrated  
1307 datasets. Boxes show the median (thick line), first and third quartiles, while whiskers  
1308 indicate data distribution limits. A-C letters indicate significant differences:  $P < 0.05$  in  
1309 pairwise comparisons (Wilcoxon rank sum test followed by Bonferroni correction for  
1310 multiple comparisons).

1311 **(B)** Expression of the top 30 genes ( $\log_2FC$ ) of each developmental time marker  
1312 module in neurons grouped by developmental time (integrated datasets).

1313 **(C)** Gene Ontology (GO) analysis illustrating the top 10 ( $\log_{10}(\text{adjusted } P)$ ) Biological  
1314 Process (BP), Molecular Function (MF) and Cellular Component (CC) categories  
1315 enriched in early, mid and late developmental marker gene modules (810 genes  
1316 total).

1317

1318 **Figure S3. Initial annotation of neuronal subclusters based upon sensory  
1319 receptor expression.**

1320 **(A)** UMAPs of unsupervised clustering of the sensory neurons (integrated datasets)  
1321 at iteration 0 (left) and the initial annotation of a subset of these (typically late-stage  
1322 cells) based upon sensory receptor gene expression in the control dataset (right).

1323 **(B)** Expression of diagnostic *Or* and *Ir* genes (and the glial marker *repo*) in each  
1324 cluster from (A) (left UMAP, control dataset only).

1325 **(C)** UMAPs of unsupervised sub-clustering of the multiple\_OR cluster from (A) (right  
1326 UMAP) (top) and the annotation based upon sensory receptor gene expression in the  
1327 control dataset (bottom).

1328 **(D)** Expression of diagnostic *Or* and *Ir* genes in each cluster in (C) (top UMAP;  
1329 control dataset only).

1330

1331 **Figure S4. Backward, iterative annotation of subclusters based upon sensory  
1332 receptor expression.**

1333 **(A)** UMAPs at iteration 0 of sensory neurons annotation (left) and highlighting at4  
1334 neurons *Or88a* (red), *Or65a/b/c* (green) and *Or47b* (blue) (right).

1335 **(B)** Ranked expression score (left-to-right) of each at4 OSN marker gene module  
1336 extracted from the iteration 0 annotated dataset. The top 5 unannotated clusters with

1337 the highest scores for each OSN population marker genes modules are shown,  
1338 allowing us to assign clusters from earlier developmental stage (typically lacking  
1339 sensory receptor expression) to each at4 OSN, as indicated in the text on the right.  
1340 These clusters were used for a subsequent round of marker gene scoring.

1341 **(C)** UMAPs at iteration 1 of sensory neurons annotation (left) and highlighting Or88a  
1342 (red), Or65a/b/c (green) and Or47b (blue) neurons (right).

1343 **(D)** Ranked expression score (left-to-right) of each at4 OSN marker gene module  
1344 extracted from the iteration 1 annotated object. The top 5 unannotated clusters with  
1345 the highest scores for each OSN population marker genes modules are shown,  
1346 allowing us to assign clusters to Or88a but not Or47b or Or65a/b/c OSNs, as  
1347 indicated in the text on the right). These clusters were used for a subsequent round  
1348 of marker gene scoring.

1349 **(E)** UMAPs at iteration 2 of sensory neurons (left) and highlighting Or88a (red),  
1350 Or65a/b/c (green) and Or47b (blue) neurons (right). No further sensory neuron  
1351 lineage-based backward annotation was possible.

1352 **(F)** UMAP at iteration 2 (as in (E)) with sensilla-based annotations.

1353 **(G)** Ranked expression score (left-to-right) of the at4 sensillum marker gene module  
1354 extracted from the iteration 2, sensilla based, annotated object. The top 5  
1355 unannotated clusters with the highest scores are shown, allowing us to assign  
1356 clusters to at4, as indicated in the text on the right. These clusters were used for a  
1357 subsequent round of marker gene scoring.

1358 **(H)** UMAPs at iteration 3 of the sensilla-based annotation of sensory neurons (left)  
1359 and highlighting the at4 sensillum (right).

1360

#### 1361 **Figure S5. Sensory neuron type marker genes.**

1362 **(A)** GO analysis illustrating the top 25 ( $\log_{10}(\text{adjusted } P)$ ) Biological Process (BP),  
1363 Molecular Function (MF) and Cellular Component (CC) categories enriched in OSNs  
1364 marker gene modules (1242 genes total). Only the control dataset was analyzed in  
1365 this and the following panel.

1366 **(B)** Expression of OSN marker genes belonging to the indicated GO categories.

1367

#### 1368 **Figure S6. Sensilla marker genes.**

1369 **(A)** Expression score of sensilla marker gene modules across sensilla (control  
1370 dataset only in this and other panels).

1371 **(B)** Gene Ontology (GO) analysis illustrating the top 25 ( $\log_{10}(\text{adjusted } P)$ ) Biological  
1372 Process (BP), Molecular Function (MF) and Cellular Component (CC) categories  
1373 enriched in sensilla marker gene modules (727 genes total).

1374 **(C)** Expression of sensilla marker genes belonging to GO:0003700.

1375 **(D)** Expression of the top 5 marker genes ( $\log_2\text{FC}$ ) of each sensillum class.

1376

#### 1377 **Figure S7. Sensory neuron precursor type marker genes.**

1378 **(A)** Expression score of Naa, Nab, Nba and Nbb marker gene modules in each  
1379 sensory neuron precursor type category. Boxes show the median (thick line), first and  
1380 third quartiles, while whiskers indicate data distribution limits. A-D letters indicate  
1381 significant differences:  $P < 0.05$  in pairwise comparisons (Wilcoxon rank sum test  
1382 followed by Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons). Only the control dataset  
1383 was analyzed in this and the following panels.

1384 **(B)** Expression of the top 20 genes ( $\log_2\text{FC}$ ) from each of the sensory neuron  
1385 precursor type marker gene modules across sensory neuron precursor types.

1386 **(C)** Gene Ontology (GO) analysis showing the top 25 ( $\log_{10}(\text{adjusted } P)$ ) Biological  
1387 Process (BP), Molecular Function (MF) and Cellular Component (CC) categories  
1388 enriched in OSN type marker gene modules (200 genes total).

1389 **(D)** Expression of sensory neuron precursor type marker genes belonging to  
1390 GO:0007411.

1391 **(E-F)** UMAPs of ac1 (E) and at4 (F) with annotation and developmental phases (top  
1392 left), the expression of diagnostic sensory receptors (top right), the expression score  
1393 of sensory neuron precursor type marker gene modules (bottom left) and a schematic  
1394 illustrating the inferred precursor type and identity of sensory neurons (bottom right).  
1395 A-D letters indicate significant differences:  $P < 0.05$  in pairwise comparisons  
1396 (Wilcoxon rank sum test followed by Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons).  
1397

1398 **Figure S8. Putative transcription factor codes underlying sensory neuron**  
1399 **identity.**

1400 Differentially expressed TFs in sensory neuron populations (control dataset). TFs  
1401 functionally characterized in this work are highlighted.

1402

1403 **Figure S9. Sensory receptor expression during antennal development.**

1404 Expression of tuning and co-receptor subunits across cell types from different  
1405 developmental phases (control dataset).

1406

1407 **Figure S10. Examples of receptor co-expression.**

1408 **(A)** Top: UMAPs of the sacIII\_v/d-Amt lineage (control dataset) illustrating the  
1409 developmental stages and receptor expression patterns; *Rh50* encodes an ammonia  
1410 transporter that is co-expressed with Amt in these ammonia-sensing neurons  
1411 although its role is unclear (Vulpe et al., 2021). Bottom: a pseudotime UMAP and  
1412 corresponding receptor expression dynamics.

1413 **(B)** Left: RNA FISH on whole-mount antennae of control (*peb-Gal4*) animals with  
1414 probes targeting the indicated transcripts ( $n = 10-12$ ). The ac3 and ac1 sensilla  
1415 zones are indicated. Bottom row shows a higher magnification of sacIII-v/d-Amt  
1416 neurons co-expressing *Amt* and *Or35a* in 3 adjacent confocal Z-slices. Scale bars, 25  
1417  $\mu\text{m}$  (top images) or 10  $\mu\text{m}$  (bottom images). Right: schematic of olfactory (co)-  
1418 receptor subunits expressed in sacIII-v/d-Amt neurons.

1419 **(C-E)** Top: UMAPs of the ac1-Ir31a (C), ab5B-Or47a/Or33b (D) and ab8B-Or9a (E)  
1420 lineages (control dataset) illustrating the developmental stages and receptor  
1421 expression patterns. Bottom: pseudotime UMAPs and corresponding receptor  
1422 expression dynamics.

1423

1424 **Figure S11. Global survey of chemosensory receptor expression in late stages.**

1425 Expression of all detected sensory receptor subunits in the late developmental phase  
1426 (control dataset).

1427

1428 **Figure S12. Expression of pro-apoptotic genes.**

1429 **(A)** UMAPs illustrating the expression of the pro-apoptotic genes *reaper* (*rpr*), *grim*,  
1430 *sickle* (*skl*) and *head involution defective* (*hid*) genes in annotated nuclei of control  
1431 and PCD-blocked datasets.

1432 **(B)** Average expression (left) and fraction of positive nuclei (right) for these genes in  
1433 control and PCD-blocked datasets.

1434 **(C)** Abundance of each neuronal class in control (top) and PCD-blocked (middle)  
1435 datasets, calculated as the percentage of nuclei for each class relative to the total



1436 number of nuclei (from 36 h APF, excluding those forming new clusters in the PCD-  
1437 blocked dataset) and fold-change in the abundance of each class in the PCD-blocked  
1438 dataset relative to the control dataset (bottom).

1439

1440 **Figure S13. Expression of co-receptors in undead neurons.**

1441 **(A)** UMAPs of the integrated ac3l/II dataset illustrating the expression of tuning  
1442 receptors (as in Figure 5D) and co-receptors in endogenous and undead neurons.

1443 **(B)** UMAPs of the integrated sacIII-v/d dataset illustrating the expression of tuning  
1444 receptors (as in Figure 5K) and co-receptors in endogenous and undead neurons.

1445

1446 **Figure S14. Analysis of the undead neuron lineages in additional sensilla.**

1447 **(A)** UMAPs of the ab10 lineage from control and PCD-blocked datasets illustrating  
1448 the developmental stages (top) and RGS expression (bottom), revealing that some  
1449 cells with high RGS score are present exclusively in PCD-blocked animals.

1450 **(B)** Lineage annotation of the ab10 sensillum in control and PCD-blocked integrated  
1451 datasets (top), ranked RGS score (left-to-right) (bottom left) and precursor type score  
1452 (bottom right) for each OSN type in the integrated datasets. Boxes show the median  
1453 (thick line), first and third quartiles, while whiskers indicate data distribution limits,  
1454 here and elsewhere.

1455 **(C)** Expression of the indicated receptor in the integrated control and PCD-blocked  
1456 datasets. No receptor was robustly detected in the undead neuron population.

1457 **(D)** Schematic of the inferred states of the ab10 sensillum in control and PCD-  
1458 blocked antennae.

1459 **(E)** UMAPs of the ab5 lineage from control and PCD-blocked datasets illustrating the  
1460 developmental stages (top) and RGS expression (bottom), revealing that some cells  
1461 with high RGS score are present exclusively in PCD-blocked animals.

1462 **(F)** Lineage annotation of the ab5 sensillum in control and PCD-blocked integrated  
1463 datasets (top), ranked RGS score (left-to-right) (bottom left) and precursor type score  
1464 (bottom right) for each OSN type in the integrated datasets.

1465 **(G)** Expression of the indicated receptor in the integrated control and PCD-blocked  
1466 datasets. No receptor was robustly detected in the undead neuron population.

1467 **(H)** Schematic of the inferred states of the ab5 sensillum in control and PCD-blocked  
1468 antennae.

1469 **(I)** UMAPs of the sacI lineage from control and PCD-blocked datasets illustrating the  
1470 developmental stages (top) and RGS expression (bottom), revealing that some cells  
1471 with high RGS score are present exclusively in PCD-blocked animals.

1472 **(J)** Lineage annotation of the sacI sensillum in control and PCD-blocked integrated  
1473 datasets (top), ranked RGS score (left-to-right) (bottom left) and precursor type score  
1474 (bottom right) for each OSN type in the integrated datasets.

1475 **(K)** Expression of the indicated receptor in the integrated control and PCD-blocked  
1476 datasets. No receptor was robustly detected in the undead neuron population.

1477 **(L)** Schematic of the inferred states of the sacI sensillum in control and PCD-blocked  
1478 antennae.

1479 **(M-N)** UMAPs of the ai3 (E) and ab4 (F) lineages from control and PCD-blocked  
1480 datasets illustrating the developmental stages (top) and RGS expression (bottom).  
1481 No undead neurons were apparent.

1482 **(B,F,J)** A-D letters indicate significant differences:  $P < 0.05$  in pairwise comparisons  
1483 (Wilcoxon rank sum test followed by Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons).

1484

1485

1486 **Figure S15. Analysis of Ir75d neuron projection patterns.**

1487 **(A)** *Ir75b/c* RNA FISH and anti-GFP immunofluorescence on whole-mount antennae  
1488 of control (*peb-Gal4/+;Ir75d-CD4-GFP/+*; top), *mamo<sup>RNAi</sup>* (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-*  
1489 *2/+;UAS-mamo<sup>RNAi</sup>/Ir75d-CD4-GFP*; middle) and PCD-blocked (*peb-Gal4/+;UAS-*  
1490 *p35/Ir75d-CD4-GFP*; bottom) animals ( $n = 10, 11$  and  $6$ , respectively). Scale bars,  $25$   
1491  $\mu\text{m}$ .

1492 **(B)** GFP and nc82 immunofluorescence on whole-mount brains of control (*peb-*  
1493 *Gal4/+;Ir75d-CD4-GFP/+*; top), *mamo<sup>RNAi</sup>* (*peb-Gal4,UAS-Dcr-2/+;UAS-*  
1494 *mamo<sup>RNAi</sup>/Ir75d-CD4-GFP*; middle) and PCD-blocked (*peb-Gal4/+;UAS-p35/Ir75d-*  
1495 *CD4-GFP*; bottom) animals ( $n = 4, 8$  and  $6$ , respectively). Scale bars,  $25$   $\mu\text{m}$ .

1496  
1497

## 1498 **References**

- 1499
- 1500 Abuin, L., Bargeton, B., Ulbrich, M.H., Isacoff, E.Y., Kellenberger, S., and Benton, R.  
1501 (2011). Functional architecture of olfactory ionotropic glutamate receptors. *Neuron*  
1502 69, 44-60.
- 1503 Auer, T.O., Alvarez-Ocana, R., Cruchet, S., Benton, R., and Arguello, J.R. (2022).  
1504 Copy number changes in co-expressed odorant receptor genes enable selection for  
1505 sensory differences in drosophilid species. *Nature ecology & evolution* 6, 1343-1353.
- 1506 Auer, T.O., Khallaf, M.A., Silbering, A.F., Zappia, G., Ellis, K., Alvarez-Ocana, R.,  
1507 Arguello, J.R., Hansson, B.S., Jefferis, G.S.X.E., Caron, S.J.C., *et al.* (2020).  
1508 Olfactory receptor and circuit evolution promote host specialization. *Nature* 579, 402-  
1509 408.
- 1510 Barish, S., and Volkan, P.C. (2015). Mechanisms of olfactory receptor neuron  
1511 specification in *Drosophila*. *Wiley interdisciplinary reviews Developmental biology* 4,  
1512 609-621.
- 1513 Benton, R. (2022). *Drosophila* olfaction: past, present and future. *Proc Biol Sci* 289,  
1514 20222054.
- 1515 Benton, R., and Dahanukar, A. (2023). Recording from Fly Olfactory Sensilla. *Cold*  
1516 *Spring Harb Protoc* 2023, pdb prot108063.
- 1517 Benton, R., Mermet, J., Jang, A., Endo, K., Cruchet, S., and Menuz, K. (2025). An  
1518 integrated anatomical, functional and evolutionary view of the *Drosophila* olfactory  
1519 system. *bioRxiv*.
- 1520 Benton, R., Sachse, S., Michnick, S.W., and Vosshall, L.B. (2006). Atypical  
1521 membrane topology and heteromeric function of *Drosophila* odorant receptors *in vivo*.  
1522 *PLOS Biol* 4, e20.
- 1523 Benton, R., Vannice, K.S., Gomez-Diaz, C., and Vosshall, L.B. (2009). Variant  
1524 ionotropic glutamate receptors as chemosensory receptors in *Drosophila*. *Cell* 136,  
1525 149-162.
- 1526 Benton, R., Vannice, K.S., and Vosshall, L.B. (2007). An essential role for a CD36-  
1527 related receptor in pheromone detection in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 450, 289-293.
- 1528 Bontonou, G., Saint-Leandre, B., Kafle, T., Baticle, T., Hassan, A., Sanchez-Alcaniz,  
1529 J.A., and Arguello, J.R. (2024). Evolution of chemosensory tissues and cells across  
1530 ecologically diverse Drosophilids. *Nat Commun* 15, 1047.
- 1531 Cao, J., Spielmann, M., Qiu, X., Huang, X., Ibrahim, D.M., Hill, A.J., Zhang, F.,  
1532 Mundlos, S., Christiansen, L., Steemers, F.J., *et al.* (2019). The single-cell  
1533 transcriptional landscape of mammalian organogenesis. *Nature* 566, 496-502.
- 1534 Chai, P.C., Cruchet, S., Wigger, L., and Benton, R. (2019). Sensory neuron lineage  
1535 mapping and manipulation in the *Drosophila* olfactory system. *Nat Commun* 10, 643.
- 1536 Corthals, K., Andersson, V., Churcher, A., Reimegard, J., and Enjin, A. (2023).  
1537 Genetic atlas of hygro- and thermosensory cells in the vinegar fly *Drosophila*  
1538 *melanogaster*. *Sci Rep* 13, 15202.
- 1539 Couto, A., Alenius, M., and Dickson, B.J. (2005). Molecular, anatomical, and  
1540 functional organization of the *Drosophila* olfactory system. *Curr Biol* 15, 1535-1547.
- 1541 Croset, V., Rytz, R., Cummins, S.F., Budd, A., Brawand, D., Kaessmann, H., Gibson,  
1542 T.J., and Benton, R. (2010). Ancient protostome origin of chemosensory ionotropic  
1543 glutamate receptors and the evolution of insect taste and olfaction. *PLOS Genet* 6,  
1544 e1001064.
- 1545 Davie, K., Janssens, J., Koldere, D., De Waegeneer, M., Pech, U., Kreft, L., Aibar, S.,  
1546 Makhzami, S., Christiaens, V., Bravo Gonzalez-Blas, C., *et al.* (2018). A Single-Cell  
1547 Transcriptome Atlas of the Aging *Drosophila* Brain. *Cell* 174, 982-998 e920.

- 1548 de Bruyne, M., Foster, K., and Carlson, J.R. (2001). Odor coding in the *Drosophila*  
1549 antenna. *Neuron* *30*, 537-552.
- 1550 Endo, K., Aoki, T., Yoda, Y., Kimura, K., and Hama, C. (2007). Notch signal  
1551 organizes the *Drosophila* olfactory circuitry by diversifying the sensory neuronal  
1552 lineages. *Nat Neurosci* *10*, 153-160.
- 1553 Endo, K., Karim, M.R., Taniguchi, H., Krejci, A., Kinameri, E., Siebert, M., Ito, K.,  
1554 Bray, S.J., and Moore, A.W. (2011). Chromatin modification of Notch targets in  
1555 olfactory receptor neuron diversification. *Nature Neuroscience* *15*, 224-233.
- 1556 Fishilevich, E., and Vosshall, L.B. (2005). Genetic and functional subdivision of the  
1557 *Drosophila* antennal lobe. *Curr Biol* *15*, 1548-1553.
- 1558 Fujii, S., Yavuz, A., Slone, J., Jagge, C., Song, X., and Amrein, H. (2015). *Drosophila*  
1559 Sugar Receptors in Sweet Taste Perception, Olfaction, and Internal Nutrient Sensing.  
1560 *Curr Biol* *25*, 621-627.
- 1561 Grabe, V., Baschwitz, A., Dweck, H.K.M., Lavista-Llanos, S., Hansson, B.S., and  
1562 Sachse, S. (2016). Elucidating the Neuronal Architecture of Olfactory Glomeruli in the  
1563 *Drosophila* Antennal Lobe. *Cell Rep* *16*, 3401-3413.
- 1564 Grosjean, Y., Rytz, R., Farine, J.P., Abuin, L., Cortot, J., Jefferis, G.S., and Benton,  
1565 R. (2011). An olfactory receptor for food-derived odours promotes male courtship in  
1566 *Drosophila*. *Nature* *478*, 236-240.
- 1567 Hafemeister, C., and Satija, R. (2019). Normalization and variance stabilization of  
1568 single-cell RNA-seq data using regularized negative binomial regression. *Genome*  
1569 *Biol* *20*, 296.
- 1570 Hansson, B.S., and Stensmyr, M.C. (2011). Evolution of insect olfaction. *Neuron* *72*,  
1571 698-711.
- 1572 Henry, G.L., Davis, F.P., Picard, S., and Eddy, S.R. (2012). Cell type-specific  
1573 genomics of *Drosophila* neurons. *Nucleic Acids Res* *40*, 9691-9704.
- 1574 Jafari, S., Alkhori, L., Schleiffer, A., Brochtrup, A., Hummel, T., and Alenius, M.  
1575 (2012). Combinatorial activation and repression by seven transcription factors specify  
1576 *Drosophila* odorant receptor expression. *PLOS Biology* *10*, e1001280.
- 1577 Jefferis, G.S., and Hummel, T. (2006). Wiring specificity in the olfactory system.  
1578 *Semin Cell Dev Biol* *17*, 50-65.
- 1579 Jones, W.D., Cayirlioglu, P., Grunwald Kadow, I., and Vosshall, L.B. (2007). Two  
1580 chemosensory receptors together mediate carbon dioxide detection in *Drosophila*.  
1581 *Nature* *445*, 86-90.
- 1582 Junion, G., Bataille, L., Jagla, T., Da Ponte, J.P., Tapin, R., and Jagla, K. (2007).  
1583 Genome-wide view of cell fate specification: ladybird acts at multiple levels during  
1584 diversification of muscle and heart precursors. *Genes Dev* *21*, 3163-3180.
- 1585 Konstantinides, N., Holguera, I., Rossi, A.M., Escobar, A., Dudragne, L., Chen, Y.C.,  
1586 Tran, T.N., Martinez Jaimes, A.M., Ozel, M.N., Simon, F., *et al.* (2022). A complete  
1587 temporal transcription factor series in the fly visual system. *Nature* *604*, 316-322.
- 1588 Kumar, A., Tauxe, G.M., Perry, S., Scott, C.A., Dahanukar, A., and Ray, A. (2020).  
1589 Contributions of the Conserved Insect Carbon Dioxide Receptor Subunits to Odor  
1590 Detection. *Cell Rep* *31*, 107510.
- 1591 Kurtovic, A., Widmer, A., and Dickson, B.J. (2007). A single class of olfactory  
1592 neurons mediates behavioural responses to a *Drosophila* sex pheromone. *Nature*  
1593 *446*, 542-546.
- 1594 Kwon, J.Y., Dahanukar, A., Weiss, L.A., and Carlson, J.R. (2007). The molecular  
1595 basis of CO<sub>2</sub> reception in *Drosophila*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*  
1596 *Sciences of the United States of America* *104*, 3574-3578.

- 1597 Levine, J.H., Simonds, E.F., Bendall, S.C., Davis, K.L., Amir el, A.D., Tadmor, M.D.,  
1598 Litvin, O., Fienberg, H.G., Jager, A., Zunder, E.R., *et al.* (2015). Data-Driven  
1599 Phenotypic Dissection of AML Reveals Progenitor-like Cells that Correlate with  
1600 Prognosis. *Cell* 162, 184-197.
- 1601 Li, H., Janssens, J., De Waegeneer, M., Kolluru, S.S., Davie, K., Gardeux, V.,  
1602 Saelens, W., David, F.P.A., Brbic, M., Spanier, K., *et al.* (2022). Fly Cell Atlas: A  
1603 single-nucleus transcriptomic atlas of the adult fruit fly. *Science* 375, eabk2432.
- 1604 Li, H., Li, T., Horns, F., Li, J., Xie, Q., Xu, C., Wu, B., Kebschull, J.M., McLaughlin,  
1605 C.N., Kolluru, S.S., *et al.* (2020). Single-Cell Transcriptomes Reveal Diverse  
1606 Regulatory Strategies for Olfactory Receptor Expression and Axon Targeting. *Curr*  
1607 *Biol* 30, 1189-1198 e1185.
- 1608 Liu, L.Y., Long, X., Yang, C.P., Miyares, R.L., Sugino, K., Singer, R.H., and Lee, T.  
1609 (2019). Mamo decodes hierarchical temporal gradients into terminal neuronal fate.  
1610 *Elife* 8, e48056.
- 1611 McLaughlin, C.N., Brbic, M., Xie, Q., Li, T., Horns, F., Kolluru, S.S., Kebschull, J.M.,  
1612 Vacek, D., Xie, A., Li, J., *et al.* (2021). Single-cell transcriptomes of developing and  
1613 adult olfactory receptor neurons in *Drosophila*. *Elife* 10, e63856.
- 1614 Menuz, K., Larter, N.K., Park, J., and Carlson, J.R. (2014). An RNA-Seq Screen of  
1615 the *Drosophila* Antenna Identifies a Transporter Necessary for Ammonia Detection.  
1616 *PLOS Genet* 10, e1004810.
- 1617 Mika, K., and Benton, R. (2021). Olfactory Receptor Gene Regulation in Insects:  
1618 Multiple Mechanisms for Singular Expression. *Frontiers in neuroscience* 15, 738088.
- 1619 Mika, K., Cruchet, S., Chai, P.C., Prieto-Godino, L.L., Auer, T.O., Pradervand, S.,  
1620 and Benton, R. (2021). Olfactory receptor-dependent receptor repression in  
1621 *Drosophila*. *Science advances* 7, eabe3745.
- 1622 Munch, D., and Galizia, C.G. (2016). DoOR 2.0--Comprehensive Mapping of  
1623 *Drosophila melanogaster* Odorant Responses. *Sci Rep* 6, 21841.
- 1624 Nava Gonzales, C., McKaughan, Q., Bushong, E.A., Cauwenberghs, K., Ng, R.,  
1625 Madany, M., Ellisman, M.H., and Su, C.Y. (2021). Systematic morphological and  
1626 morphometric analysis of identified olfactory receptor neurons in *Drosophila*  
1627 *melanogaster*. *Elife* 10, e69896.
- 1628 Pal Mahadevan, V., Lavista-Llanos, S., Knaden, M., and Hansson, B.S. (2022). No  
1629 functional contribution of the gustatory receptor, Gr64b, co-expressed in olfactory  
1630 sensory neurons of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 10.
- 1631 Pinto-Teixeira, F., Konstantinides, N., and Desplan, C. (2016). Programmed cell  
1632 death acts at different stages of *Drosophila* neurodevelopment to shape the central  
1633 nervous system. *FEBS Lett* 590, 2435-2453.
- 1634 Prieto-Godino, L.L., Rytz, R., Cruchet, S., Bargeton, B., Abuin, L., Silbering, A.F.,  
1635 Ruta, V., Dal Peraro, M., and Benton, R. (2017). Evolution of Acid-Sensing Olfactory  
1636 Circuits in Drosophilids. *Neuron* 93, 661-676.
- 1637 Prieto-Godino, L.L., Silbering, A.F., Khallaf, M.A., Cruchet, S., Bojkowska, K.,  
1638 Pradervand, S., Hansson, B.S., Knaden, M., and Benton, R. (2020). Functional  
1639 integration of "undead" neurons in the olfactory system. *Science advances* 6,  
1640 eaaz7238.
- 1641 Qiu, X., Mao, Q., Tang, Y., Wang, L., Chawla, R., Pliner, H.A., and Trapnell, C.  
1642 (2017). Reversed graph embedding resolves complex single-cell trajectories. *Nat*  
1643 *Methods* 14, 979-982.
- 1644 Ramdya, P., and Benton, R. (2010). Evolving olfactory systems on the fly. *Trends*  
1645 *Genet* 26, 307-316.

- 1646 Robertson, H.M., Warr, C.G., and Carlson, J.R. (2003). Molecular evolution of the  
1647 insect chemoreceptor gene superfamily in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc Natl Acad  
1648 Sci USA 100 Suppl 2, 14537-14542.
- 1649 Rodrigues, V., and Hummel, T. (2008). Development of the *Drosophila* olfactory  
1650 system. Adv Exp Med Biol 628, 82-101.
- 1651 Saina, M., and Benton, R. (2013). Visualizing olfactory receptor expression and  
1652 localization in *Drosophila*. Methods in Molecular Biology 1003, 211-228.
- 1653 Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T.,  
1654 Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source  
1655 platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 9, 676-682.
- 1656 Schlegel, P., Bates, A.S., Sturner, T., Jagannathan, S.R., Drummond, N., Hsu, J.,  
1657 Serratos Capdevila, L., Javier, A., Marin, E.C., Barth-Maron, A., et al. (2021).  
1658 Information flow, cell types and stereotypy in a full olfactory connectome. Elife 10,  
1659 e66018.
- 1660 Schmidt, H.R., and Benton, R. (2020). Molecular mechanisms of olfactory detection  
1661 in insects: beyond receptors. Open Biol 10, 200252.
- 1662 Sen, A., Kuruvilla, D., Pinto, L., Sarin, A., and Rodrigues, V. (2004). Programmed cell  
1663 death and context dependent activation of the EGF pathway regulate gliogenesis in  
1664 the *Drosophila* olfactory system. Mech Dev 121, 65-78.
- 1665 Sen, A., Shetty, C., Jhaveri, D., and Rodrigues, V. (2005). Distinct types of glial cells  
1666 populate the *Drosophila* antenna. BMC Dev Biol 5, 25.
- 1667 Shang, X., Talross, G.J.S., and Carlson, J.R. (2024). Exon splicing of odor receptor  
1668 genes in *Drosophila*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United  
1669 States of America 121, e2320277121.
- 1670 Silbering, A.F., Rytz, R., Grosjean, Y., Abuin, L., Ramdya, P., Jefferis, G.S., and  
1671 Benton, R. (2011). Complementary Function and Integrated Wiring of the  
1672 Evolutionarily Distinct *Drosophila* Olfactory Subsystems. The Journal of  
1673 Neuroscience 31, 13357-13375.
- 1674 Su, C.Y., Menuz, K., Reiser, J., and Carlson, J.R. (2012). Non-synaptic inhibition  
1675 between grouped neurons in an olfactory circuit. Nature 492, 66-71.
- 1676 Sweeney, L.B., Couto, A., Chou, Y.H., Berdnik, D., Dickson, B.J., Luo, L., and  
1677 Komiyama, T. (2007). Temporal target restriction of olfactory receptor neurons by  
1678 Semaphorin-1a/PlexinA-mediated axon-axon interactions. Neuron 53, 185-200.
- 1679 Takagi, S., Sancer, G., Abuin, L., Stupski, S.D., Arguello, J.R., Prieto-Godino, L.,  
1680 Stern, D.L., Cruchet, S., Alvarez-Ocana, R., Wienecke, C.F.R., et al. (2024).  
1681 Olfactory sensory neuron population expansions influence projection neuron  
1682 adaptation and enhance odour tracking. Nature Comm 15, 7041.
- 1683 Task, D., Lin, C.C., Vulpe, A., Afify, A., Ballou, S., Brbic, M., Schlegel, P., Raji, J.,  
1684 Jefferis, G., Li, H., et al. (2022). Chemoreceptor co-expression in *Drosophila*  
1685 *melanogaster* olfactory neurons. Elife 11, e72599.
- 1686 Trapnell, C., Cacchiarelli, D., Grimsby, J., Pokharel, P., Li, S., Morse, M., Lennon,  
1687 N.J., Livak, K.J., Mikkelsen, T.S., and Rinn, J.L. (2014). The dynamics and regulators  
1688 of cell fate decisions are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. Nat  
1689 Biotechnol 32, 381-386.
- 1690 van der Goes van Naters, W., and Carlson, J.R. (2007). Receptors and neurons for  
1691 fly odors in *Drosophila*. Curr Biol 17, 606-612.
- 1692 Vosshall, L.B., and Stocker, R.F. (2007). Molecular Architecture of Smell and Taste in  
1693 *Drosophila*. Annu Rev Neurosci 30, 505-533.

1694 Vulpe, A., Kim, H.S., Ballou, S., Wu, S.T., Grabe, V., Nava Gonzales, C., Liang, T.,  
1695 Sachse, S., Jeanne, J.M., Su, C.Y., *et al.* (2021). An ammonium transporter is a non-  
1696 canonical olfactory receptor for ammonia. *Curr Biol* 31, 3382-3390 e3387.  
1697 Vulpe, A., and Menuz, K. (2021). Ir76b is a Co-receptor for Amine Responses in  
1698 *Drosophila* Olfactory Neurons. *Front Cell Neurosci* 15, 759238.  
1699 Wu, T., Hu, E., Xu, S., Chen, M., Guo, P., Dai, Z., Feng, T., Zhou, L., Tang, W.,  
1700 Zhan, L., *et al.* (2021). clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting  
1701 omics data. *Innovation (Camb)* 2, 100141.  
1702 Yao, C.A., Ignell, R., and Carlson, J.R. (2005). Chemosensory coding by neurons in  
1703 the coeloconic sensilla of the *Drosophila* antenna. *J Neurosci* 25, 8359-8367.  
1704 Young, M.D., and Behjati, S. (2020). SoupX removes ambient RNA contamination  
1705 from droplet-based single-cell RNA sequencing data. *Gigascience* 9.  
1706 Zhang, Y., Tsang, T.K., Bushong, E.A., Chu, L.A., Chiang, A.S., Ellisman, M.H.,  
1707 Reingruber, J., and Su, C.Y. (2019). Asymmetric ephaptic inhibition between  
1708 compartmentalized olfactory receptor neurons. *Nat Commun* 10, 1560.  
1709 Zhao, Z., and McBride, C.S. (2020). Evolution of olfactory circuits in insects. *J Comp*  
1710 *Physiol A Neuroethol Sens Neural Behav Physiol* 206, 353-367.  
1711 Zhu, H., Zhao, S.D., Ray, A., Zhang, Y., and Li, X. (2022). A comprehensive temporal  
1712 patterning gene network in *Drosophila* medulla neuroblasts revealed by single-cell  
1713 RNA sequencing. *Nat Commun* 13, 1247.  
1714

















