STUDY PROTOCOL

Open Access



A randomized controlled trial to assess the efficacy of standardized tai chi in prefrail older adults with immunosenescence: design and protocol

Wen Zhong^{1†}, Wei Huang^{2†}, Hua Deng³, Shuang Qiu⁴, Qiyue Yang^{5*} and Huanan Jia^{1*}

Abstract

Background Frailty is a common geriatric syndrome worldwide, and its early identification and intervention have important clinical significance. Resistance training has been recommended as an efficient means to combat loss of muscle strength and mass; however, it is often not a prioritized option for older adults. Tai chi is a well-known traditional Chinese exercise that has a beneficial impact on physical performance, balance ability, metabolism, and immune function.

Aims With the newly emerged concept of muscle-immune homeostasis, this study focused on determining the efficacy of tai chi prefrail older adults who develop immunosenescence. Furthermore, the proper timing of the exercise regimen for maximizing the therapeutic effect of tai chi has also been explored.

Methods This 12-week, multicenter, randomized controlled trial (RCT) was conducted with participants between 60 and 75 years of age. A total of 144 prefrail participants were included in this study and were randomized (1:1:1) to four groups: (1) a control group with only health education, (2) a morning tai chi group, (3) a morning resistance training group, and (4) an afternoon tai chi group. The primary endpoints included physical performance, frailty index scores, muscle strength, and mass, and the secondary endpoints were the sarcopenia quality of life score, Berg balance scale score, bioenergetic anti-stress index (BASI), and immune risk profile (IRP). The data were analyzed according to the intention-to-treat protocol.

Discussion The results of this investigation aided in determining whether tai chi effectively reversed the prefrail state of older adults and ameliorated biomarkers of immunosenescence. Furthermore, proper exercise timing was also determined to maximize the efficacy of physical training.

[†]Wen Zhong and Wei Huang contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Qiyue Yang ruozi0920@163.com Huanan Jia jiahuanan@cdutcm.edu.cn

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

Trial registration Chinese Clinical Trial Registry; registration number: ChiCTR2200065815; prospectively registered on November 16th, 2022.

Keywords Frailty, Sarcopenia, Tai chi, Immunosenescence, Physical performance, Randomized controlled trial

Introduction

The aging population worldwide has been growing, along with the emergence of aging-related health concerns. Frailty represents a nonspecific clinical status in which there is a decrease in the physiological reserve in older adults, leading to greater vulnerability and lower antistress capacity. According to a systematic review, multidimensional frailty has an incidence of 26.8%, and prefrailty has an incidence of 36.4%. Frailty and prefrailty can be frequently observed among older adults, with incidence rates of 1/4 and 1/3, respectively [1].

Moreover, muscle dysfunction, muscle mass loss, and sarcopenia are the typical phenotypes of frailty syndrome. Frailty and sarcopenia increase the risk of falls, disability, and morbidity, imposing a heavy burden on the medical system and social economy [2]. Interestingly, prefrailty is reversible, and early identification of highrisk groups for frailty and effective interventions are critical for reducing disability among older adults.

Multiple risk factors for frailty include genetics, aging, sex, secondary lifestyle, diseases, polypharmacy, malnutrition, etc. Currently, promising pharmaceutical interventions with valid evidence remain inadequate in clinical practice to combat or reverse frailty. However, nutritional supplements and physical exercise have been widely recognized as effective methods for ameliorating sarcopenia and frailty.

Several lines of evidence show that physical exercise can improve physical performance, independence, quality of life, immune function, and resistance to adverse events such as injury and falls, thereby effectively preventing frailty. Emerging studies have indicated that severely frail patients could also benefit from mild-intensity physical exercise. Several guidelines associated with sarcopenia or frailty have recommended individualized physical training involving a combination of aerobic exercise, stretching or flexibility exercises, balance training, resistance training, etc [3]. Among them, resistance training has been recognized as the most promising intervention for combating the loss of muscle strength and mass.

However, both the willingness and ability to physically exercise decrease dramatically with the aging process. Especially for sedentary older adults, exercise prescriptions should be given considering their physical capacity and safety during sports training. The traditional fitness sports of China have a long history involving several forms of exercise, including tai chi, Wuqinxi, Baduanjin, etc., which play a pivotal role in maintaining physical function and extending the health span. Pieces of evidence have shown that tai chi can significantly improve physical performance, balance skills, metabolism and immune function, all of which are therapeutic targets in the management of frailty.

The skeletal muscle is the organ that is most involved in physical movement and can modulate inflammatory responses and immunological processes [4]. It also plays an important role in linking sarcopenia with immunosenescence [5]. Skeletal muscle is an endocrine organ that can secrete more than 300 myokines [6], which can modulate the immune system. In contrast, growth factors produced by infiltrated immune cells play important roles in the growth and differentiation of muscle stem cells (MSCs) [7].

Moreover, the circadian clock exists within several types of body cells, including skeletal muscles. It mainly functions to optimize the timing of a specific cell activity within the 24-hour cycle. As suggested by studies on circadian clocks, exercise-mediated contraction, along with timing, can modulate protein biosynthetic responses and gene levels in skeletal muscle, leading to muscle hypertrophy and increased strength [8]. The significant difference in exercise efficacy at various times indicated that the modulation of clock genes in muscle satellite cells is the underlying mechanism leading to changes in the regenerative capacity of skeletal muscle [9].

Therefore, the present multicenter randomized controlled trial (RCT) focused on observing the efficacy of standardized tai chi in prefrail older adult participants and determining its effect on muscle strength, muscle mass, physical performance, energy metabolism, and balance scores. Moreover, we explored the efficacy of tai chi as a biomarker of immunosenescence in prefrail older adults. Based on the observation of clinical efficacy, this work focused on identifying the suitable timing of practicing tai chi to maximize the efficacy of the exercise regimen. This study was registered by the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry with an identifier (ChiCTR 2200065815).

Methods/design

Ethics approval

This study was performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval was granted by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine (Date July 6, 2022/No. 2022KL-058-01). Written informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Design

This multicenter, randomized controlled trial (RCT) has been carried out to clinically evaluate the efficacy of standardized tai chi in prefrail older adults with relevant conditions such as immunosenescence, balance ability, bioenergetic metabolism, and quality of life. A total of 144 eligible participants in this clinical trial with a parallel design were enrolled and randomized into four groups at a 1:1:1:1 ratio, and they underwent a 12-week intervention. The trial was planned to be conducted within three institutions in Sichuan Province, China, including the Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu Eighth People's Hospital, and Hanyuan Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital.

Along with the use of Fried's frailty phenotype scale as a screening tool to recruit eligible participants, the participants were also analyzed using the frailty index, Berg balance scale, and sarcopenia quality of life (SarQoL) at randomization and 4, 8, and 12 weeks post-randomization. All the participants underwent 36 sessions of standardized tai chi training within 12 weeks, with each session lasting 60 min. The outcome measures used were indicators of sarcopenia, bioenergetic metabolism, immune risk profile, and the scales mentioned above at

Table 1 Trial process chart

Week	0	4	8	12	Follow-up
	Baseline	Intervention phase			
Patients enrollment	×				
Sign the informed consent	×				
Medical history	×				
Randomization	×				
Laboratory test	×				
Groups					
Control group		36 9	sessio	n	
		trea	atmer	t	
Morining Taichi group		36 9	sessio	n	
		trea	atmer	t	
Afternoon Taichi group		36 9	sessio	n	
		treatment			
Resistance training		36 session			
		trea	atmer	it	
Outcome measurements					
Frailty index	×	×	×	×	
Berg balance scale	Х	\times	×	×	
Sarcopenia quality of life	×	×	×	×	
Muscle mass (BIA)	×	×	×	×	
Muscle strength (Grip)	×	×	×	×	
SPPB	×	×	\times	×	
6 min walking test	×	×	×	×	
TUG	×	×	×	×	
BASI	×			×	
IRP	×			×	
Quality control		×	×	×	

baseline as well as at 4, 8, and 12 weeks post-randomization (Table 1).

A blinded design is not applicable to this study. Only the outcome assessment, data management, and statistical analysis personnel were blinded in this study, and the letters A, B, C, and D were used to replace the groups in the case report form (CRF). The protocols used in this study were approved by the ethics committee and local institutional review board. The present protocol (version 2.0, Jul. 15th, 2022) satisfied the Declaration of Helsinki and was conducted following CONSORT reporting guidelines [10]. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before registration.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

Fried's frailty phenotype scale was used for evaluating eligible participants at randomization (baseline phase). The Fried frailty phenotype includes weight loss without a known reason, fatigue, grip strength decline, slower walking speed, and poor physical performance. Patients meeting 1–2 standards were classified as prefrail, those meeting>3 standards were classified as frail, and patients meeting no standards were classified as robust. Only pre-frail patients were recruited for this clinical trial.

The candidates who met the following criteria were included in this study: (1) were between 60 and 75 years of age; (2) met 1-2 items on Fried's frailty phenotype scale; (3) were able to walk independently without assistance, with a Berg scale score greater than 40; (4) were willing to participate in this study and could provide informed consent; and (5) did not perform physical activity more than once a week for the six months before the survey.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) had moderate to severe osteoporosis and a history of fractures; (2) had severe musculoskeletal disorders affecting activity levels; (3) had serious heart, lung, liver, kidney, or other serious diseases; (4) had a severe psychological condition or mental illness history; (5) had hearing impairment and/or a visual impairment and were unable to cooperate with training; (6) were planning to participate in other training programs during the study period; (7) had metal implants (e.g., artificial pacemakers, joint replacement); and (8) had skin lesions limiting the use of bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA).

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed by a special reviewer who was blinded to patient grouping. The data were analyzed using the IBM SPSS Statistic 25.0 statistical software package.

This work deemed the intention-to-treat (ITT) population to be randomized cases. The basic features of the ITT population were examined. The primary and secondary outcomes were analyzed for the ITT population. Moreover, this study analyzed the per-protocol (PP) population and compared the results with those of ITT analysis to ensure consistency in the results. Missing data during ITT analysis were managed using the last observation carried forward method.

First, the basic features of the diverse groups were compared. The effects in the morning tai chi group were then compared with those in the control and resistance training groups. Furthermore, the effect in the morning tai chi group was compared with that in the afternoon tai chi group. For normally distributed data, repeated measures analysis was adopted for evaluation at diverse time points; to be specific, primary outcomes were evaluated at 4, 8, and 12 weeks post-randomization. Furthermore, generalized estimating equations (GEE) were used to analyze alterations with time. Numerical variables were analyzed by ANOVA, while categorical variables were analyzed by the chi-square test. A value of p < 0.05 (two-sided) was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Recruitment, randomization, and allocation

Prior to the present trial, a power analysis was carried out, and the sample size in an interventional group was estimated to be 30 participants according to the formula. Considering the drop-out rate, 36 prefrail participants were necessary for each group. Therefore, a total sample size of 144 participants was included in this study. The participants in the present study were enrolled in the inpatient department and outpatient clinics of the three institutions mentioned in study desigh section by physicians. Participants were recruited using advertisements, referrals, etc. Recruitment materials were approved by the ethics review board, and informed consent was obtained from all participants. Potential participants underwent a screening process to ensure they met the eligibility criteria. Recruitment was scheduled to begin in Jan, 2024 and was planned to conclude by Dec, 2025. This timeline was estimated based on anticipated participant availability. All patients (from the outpatient clinic or inpatient department) met the inclusion criteria, but neither of the exclusion criteria, were randomized as a control or treatment group until each participant was grouped. Random assignment sequence specialized statistical analysts were commissioned to use the statistical software SPSS Statistics 25.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA) to generate random numbers from 1 to 144. Eligible subjects were numbered according to their inclusion order and randomized into four groups at a 1:1:1:1 ratio as follows: (1) the control group (CG), (2) the morning tai chi group (MT), (3) the morning resistance training group (MR), and (4) the afternoon tai chi group (AT). One independent research assistant who was blinded to subject enrollment, assessment, and tutoring was responsible for maintaining the allocation sequence. The random allocation sequence was concealed in an opaque envelope.

Intervention

Prior to the intervention, all the older adults received health education regarding frailty, including its risk factors, prevention interventions, and poor prognosis. All subjects also received dietary guidance, including nutrition, a balanced diet, a healthy lifestyle, and eating habits, based on the Dietary Guidelines for older adults in China revised by the Chinese Nutrition Society in 2022. The treatment groups were to have different physical exercise regimens at different times (in the morning or the afternoon), while the control group received only health education without any regular physical exercise. The subjects in the treatment groups performed physical exercise thrice weekly for 12 consecutive weeks. Each exercise session lasted for 40 min in total, including 10 min of warm-up exercise, followed by 25 min of standardized tai chi and 5 min of cool-down exercise. All the exercises were performed under the supervision of professional tutors to ensure the safety of the subjects and the accuracy of every movement. The morning and afternoon tai chi groups were assigned to perform standardized tai chi in the morning or afternoon, respectively, while the morning resistance training group was assigned to perform resistance exercise in the morning.

Standardized tai chi is a simplified version for beginners and was promoted by the Atrial Art Administration Center of the General Administration of Sport of China to publicize and popularize tai chi. Based on the existing schools of tai chi, the General Bureau of Atrial Art Physical Education started with core techniques involving eight kinds of arm movements and five kinds of steps. The whole set of movements is simple in structure, mild in intensity, and easy to learn and practice.

In the resistance training group, weight lifting was used to train the skeletal muscles of the upper limbs, and a resistance band was used to train the skeletal muscles of the lower limbs (Fig. 1).

Evaluation during the intervention period

Daily activities during the intervention period were recorded and evaluated. To exclude the impact of daily physical activity on the exercise intervention, all subjects completed the daily activity table at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after randomization, and the average daily activity and duration in the past week were recorded. The types of daily activities included sleep, static work, low-, medium-, and high-intensity activities, and activity profiles were collected by telephone follow-up.

Exercise intensity was assessed using the percentage maximum heart rate. The heart rate was monitored during the whole exercise process using a Mio Alpha II heart



Fig. 1 Flow chart of the trial. A total of 144 *prefrail* participants were included in this study and were randomized (1:1:1:1) to four groups: (1) a control group with only health education; (2) a morning *tai chi* group; (3) a morning resistance training group; and (4) an afternoon *tai chi* group

rate monitor (USA). The average heart rate output after the exercise was the measured heart rate of the exercise. The same subject was evaluated three times to obtain the mean value. The calculation equation is as follows: *maximum heart rate* % = (*measured heart rate/(220- age)*) $\times 100\%$.

Sports attendance was also recorded and evaluated. The rate of sports attendance was checked by the intervention supervisor at each training session. Those who participated in training for <20 min each time were deemed to be absent. Following the intervention, the sports attendance rate was recorded. The sports attendance rate was calculated using the following equation: *Attendance* %= (*training session number/total planned session number*) ×100%.

Outcome measures

Primary endpoints

Primary outcomes in this trial included the frailty index, physical performance, muscle strength, and muscle mass. The frailty index (FI) was assessed before randomization (baseline phase) as well as at 4, 8, and 12 weeks post-randomization. FI, obtained from the theory of deficit accumulation evaluation, is rated according to the potential unhealthy measures of an individual as a percentage of all measures at a given point in time.

Moreover, physical performance, mass strength, and muscle mass were also evaluated as primary outcomes. Muscle mass was determined using bioimpedance analysis (BIA) (DBA-510). Hand grip strength is determined by a dynamometer (JAMAR). The subjects stood with their upper arms close to the torso. The primary outcomes of this trial included bending of the elbows at 90°, deviation of the forearms from the ulchi at $0-15^\circ$, and extension of the wrists at $0-30^\circ$. The strength of both upper limbs was measured twice, and the maximum value was taken, with one decimal point reserved for the reading.

Physical performance was assessed with three indicators: short physical performance battery (SPPB), timed up and go (TUG), and the 6-minute walk test. The SPPB is a mature tool for monitoring the physical function of older adults. There were three assessments: standing on the feet in three different positions for 10 s, measuring the walking speed within 3-4 m, and getting up from a chair five times. The 6-minute walking test is a simple way to assess physical capacity by asking patients to walk for 6 min and recording the longest distance they walk. It is necessary to guide subjects to walk in a straight line without speeding up or slowing down. The TUG test is a rapid quantitative assessment of functional walking ability. The subjects sat in an armchair with a backrest. A ribbon, a thick visible line or a visible marker is placed on the floor 3 m away from the seat. When the investigator says "go," the patient needs to stand up from the reclining chair, step forward 3 m as one would normally walk, cross the line or marker, turn around, walk back to the chair, turn around, and sit back. No physical assistance was provided during the test. The investigator records the time (in seconds) taken by the patient's back to leave the chair and sit back again.

Secondary outcome

The secondary outcomes in this trial included the bioenergetic anti-stress index (BASI), immune risk profile (IRP), Berg balance scale score, and Sar QoL.

To date, there are no definite biomarkers for assessing frailty. Mitochondrial metabolism and stress are closely related to aging and the health of older adults. The BASI is used as a biomarker of frailty and measures the level of mitochondrial respiratory function in peripheral blood cells to determine the baseline bioenergetics of participants to assess their quality of life.

While immunosenescence is a potential therapeutic target for treating frailty, the IRP is a well-recognized indicator of immune function in older adults. Therefore, blood samples were obtained from all participants, and the presence of immune cells in the peripheral blood circulation was determined by flow cytometry. The cell count and function of induced T cells/helper T cells (CD4+), inhibitory T cells/cytotoxic T cells (CD8+), and the CD4/CD8 ratio were also assessed. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was used to detect the presence of the circulating inflammatory factor interleukin 6 (IL-6)/tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α).

Each participant was analyzed using the Sar QoL scale and Berg balance scale at randomization (baseline phase) as well as at 4, 8, and 12 weeks post-randomization. The Sar QoL scale reflects symptoms such as loss of muscle mass or strength caused by aging and muscle wasting diseases and reflects the effect of muscular health on daily life. The berg balance scale is a comprehensive scale for assessing body balance function. The balance function is divided into 14 items from easy to difficult, and each item is divided into 5 levels, namely, 0 (lowest)-4 (highest), yielding total scores of 0–56.

One independent outcome assessor with no knowledge of patient grouping was responsible for the assessment at each institution to ensure outcome creditability. To avoid inter-assessor bias, assessors needed to complete the assessment of each patient before and after randomization. Moreover, the experts responsible for the statistical data analyses were blinded to the groups.

Demographic collection and safety measurements

Upon enrollment, demographic data (including age, gender, race/ethnicity, education, and living arrangements), vital signs, medical conditions, alcohol/smoking fallrelated data (falls and admission times within the past year), and physical activity of patients were extracted from the CRF. Before randomization, the participants underwent routine tests for urine, blood, electrocardiogram, random blood glucose, kidney function (BUN, Crea), and liver function (ALT, AST) to exclude those suffering from severe disorders of the liver, heart, or kidney.

Every adverse event was recorded carefully, managed appropriately, and followed up until proper resolution or stabilization. Unexpected events and serious adverse events (SAE), such as falls and muscular or joint pain, were reported to the Ethics Committee and competent authorities as needed.

In addition, all investigators require adequate training to ensure their understanding of the clinical trial in detail. The training included understanding the background, aim, and protocol of the trial, physical exercise intervention and quality control measures. For instance, each recruiter should be familiar with the inclusion/ exclusion criteria of the study, the central randomization process, and the standard operation methods of various screening scales and tests. Outcome assessors should master the standard operation methods for each outcome measure. After the evaluation, all the real data on the CRF were recorded, signed, and recorded. The same evaluation was performed by the same assessor before and after the intervention. During the intervention, tai chi coaches were required to conduct unified and standardized movements, guide the subjects to practice throughout the whole process, and correct non-standard movements as needed. The researchers supervised every training session and made on-site sign-ups, and the rate of attendance was counted after the intervention. Those unable to attend the intensive practice were required to ask for leave in advance, and it was recorded to ensure that they received 40 min of exercise thrice a week.

To ensure quality control, one specific quality examination form was prepared to check the trial procedure and document the detailed process twice a month at each institution. In addition, inspectors audited the research at 3-month intervals at each institution. Follow-up was conducted via on-site clinical consultation or telephone or mobile calls. Any withdrawal or drop-out is well documented during the intervention and follow-up periods. Research assistants should determine the real reasons for subjects withdrawing from clinical studies and provide health education on basic therapies to improve and reverse prefrailty after withdrawal. If serious safety issues occur, the clinical trial will be suspended. Follow-up with subjects is necessary to ensure their safety. The trial will be terminated if the ethics committee considers that the safety of the subjects is unable to be effectively ensured.

Discussion

Frailty is a nonspecific state with sarcopenia being the basic characteristic in which there is an impairment of multiple system homeostasis, leading to decreased physiological reserve and elasticity, thereby increasing vulnerability to stressful events. Stress in frail older adults can lead to a series of clinical adverse events, such as functional decline, falls, mobility, disability, hospitalization, and even death. At the same time, it also causes increased consumption of medical resources and aggravates the economic burden on the family. Frailty has an incidence of 68.8% among older adults>65 years of age in Spanish nursing homes, while the proportion of prefrailty was 28.4%, and the proportion of older adults without frailty was only 2.8% [11]. Prefrailty is a reversible transitional state between health and frailty, and intervention at this stage can prevent older adults from progressing to a frail state. Early identification and management of frailty can lower the rate of disability, hospital admission, long-term care needs, and medical/social costs. Several clinical trials enrolled frail older adults as participants; however, we plan to recruit only prefrail older adults. This is because identification and intervention at the prefrail stage are the most cost-efficient, and there are concerns about the safety of physical training for frail patients. Therefore, this study enrolled prefrail patients in a clinical trial to test the safety and efficacy of tai chi exercise in reversing the prefrail state and its related pathophysiological conditions.

Nutritional supplementation and physical exercise are well-recognized interventions for frailty. Resistance training and aerobic exercise are the most promising physical interventions for combatting frailty. The former significantly improves peak oxygen consumption, and the latter increases muscle mass and strength [12]. Exercise ameliorates frailty by reducing local inflammation in skeletal muscle, promoting anabolism, and leading to increased production of muscle proteins. A meta-analysis to study the role of resistance training on frailty and sarcopenia at early/late stages demonstrated that resistance training positively affected each variable in the early stage, which was efficient in enhancing functional strength and gait speed [13]. Therefore, resistance training was chosen as a positive control group in this clinical trial to compare its effect on treating frailty with that of traditional Chinese exercise.

Traditional exercise, such as tai chi, has mild to moderate intensity, high safety, and multiple curative effects, providing an alternative preventive and treatment option for frailty. A prospective cohort study explored the relationships between tai chi and frailty, physical/neurocognitive activities, quality of life, and mortality in an older adult population and revealed that tai chi exercises improved physical activity, cognition, and functional well-being [14]. Moreover, tai chi not only affects physical function but also improves balance in older adults. As shown in one RCT involving 158 prefrail patients, tai chi training dramatically improved body balance and decreased the risk of falling [15]. While immunosenescence is one of the underlying mechanisms for frailty, tai chi training also boosts immune function [16]. In conclusion, this study not only tested the efficacy of standardized tai chi on skeletal muscle degenerative changes in prefrail older adults but also explored its efficacy on body balance, bioenergetic metabolism, quality of life, and the IRP.

In the history of martial arts, several schools of tai chi practice methods exist, which may cause bias when various schools of tai chi are practiced in clinical trials. To avoid bias, standardized tai chi, which was promoted by the General Administration of Sport of China, was used in this study. It represents a simplified tai chi regimen that can be easily learned and practiced by beginners. Moreover, experienced trainers will attend each exercise session to teach and monitor participants, ensuring a standard practice of tai chi.

Studies on frailty have been conducted in Western culture for decades; however, frailty has been studied in mainland China only in the last few years. The traditional fitness sports of China are known to enhance people's health and reverse weaknesses. However, there is inadequate evidence validating the safety and efficacy of traditional exercise in treating and preventing frailty. Therefore, high-quality, multicenter, and well-designed randomized controlled clinical trials are necessary to provide further evidence. The present RCT focused on providing evidence for standardized tai chi to reverse the prefrailness of older adults and aimed to determine the optimal duration of exercise required to maximize the effect of tai chi.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.or g/10.1186/s12906-024-04732-7.



Acknowledgements

The results of the study are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation, and statement that results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by ACSM.

Author contributions

Wen Zhong and Wei Huang: Conceptualization, methodology, writing original draft preparation. Hua Deng and Shuang Qiu: Investigation, software, statistical analysis. Qiyue Yang and Huanan Jia: Reviewing and editing, funding acquisition, supervision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant numbers [No. 81804157, 82104659]), the Sichuan Provincial Cadres Health Committee (Grant numbers [No. 2023 – 503]), the Chengdu Modern Hospital Management Research Institute (Grant numbers [No. YJS20223006]). This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval was granted by the Ethics Committee of Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine (Date July 6, 2022 / No. 2022KL-058-01). Written informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Geriatric department, Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, No.39 Shi-er-qiao Road, Chengdu 610072, Sichuan, China

 $^{2}\mbox{Hanyuan Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Ya 'an City, Sichuan, China$

³Chengdu Zaiyoutang Cultural Communication Co., LTD, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

⁴Chengdu Eighth People's Hospital, Chengdu, Sichuan, China ⁵Endocrinology department, Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, No.39 Shi-er-qiao Road, Chengdu 610072, Sichuan, China

Received: 12 July 2024 / Accepted: 12 December 2024 Published online: 03 January 2025

References

- Veronese N, Custodero C, Cella A, et al. Prevalence of multidimensional frailty and pre-frailty in older people in different settings: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Ageing Res Rev. 2021;72:101498.
- Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people. Lancet. 2013;381(9868):752–62.
- Izquierdo M, Merchant RA, Morley JE, et al. International Exercise Recommendations in Older Adults (ICFSR): Expert Consensus Guidelines. J Nutr Health Aging. 2021;25(7):824–53.
- Afzali AM, Muntefering T, Wiendl H, Meuth SG, Ruck T. Skeletal muscle cells actively shape (auto)immune responses. Autoimmun Rev. 2018;17(5):518–29.
- Nelke C, Dziewas R, Minnerup J, Meuth SG, Ruck T. Skeletal muscle as potential central link between sarcopenia and immune senescence. Ebiomedicine. 2019;49:381–8.
- Giudice J, Taylor JM. Muscle as a paracrine and endocrine organ. Curr Opin Pharmacol. 2017;34:49–55.
- Saini J, McPhee JS, Al-Dabbagh S, Stewart CE, Al-Shanti N. Regenerative function of immune system: Modulation of muscle stem cells. Ageing Res Rev. 2016;27:67–76.
- Camera DM. Anabolic Heterogeneity Following Resistance Training: A Role for Circadian Rhythm? Front Physiol. 2018;9:569.
- Chatterjee S, Yin H, Nam D, Li Y, Ma K. Brain and muscle Arnt-like 1 promotes skeletal muscle regeneration through satellite cell expansion. Exp Cell Res. 2015;331(1):200–10.
- Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. Int J Surg. 2011;9(8):672–7.
- 11. Garcia-Garcia FJ, Gutierrez AG, Alfaro-Acha A, et al. The prevalence of frailty syndrome in an older population from Spain. The Toledo Study for Healthy Aging. J Nutr Health Aging. 2011;15(10):852–6.
- 12. Aguirre LE, Villareal DT. Physical Exercise as Therapy for Frailty. Nestle Nutr Inst Workshop Ser. 2015;83:83–92.
- 13. Talar K, Hernandez-Belmonte A, Vetrovsky T, Steffl M, Kalamacka E, Courel-Ibanez J. Benefits of Resistance Training in Early and Late Stages of Frailty

and Sarcopenia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Studies. J Clin Med. 2021;10(8):1630.

- Lee SY, Nyunt M, Gao Q, et al. Association of Tai Chi exercise with physical and neurocognitive functions, frailty, quality of life and mortality in older adults: Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Study. Age Ageing. 2022;51(4):afac086.
- Sattin RW, Easley KA, Wolf SL, Chen Y, Kutner MH. Reduction in fear of falling through intense tai chi exercise training in older, transitionally frail adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2005;53(7):1168–78.
- 16. Jahnke R, Larkey L, Rogers C, Etnier J, Lin F. A comprehensive review of health benefits of qigong and tai chi. Am J Health Promot. 2010;24(6):e1–25.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.