### ≇**FEBS** Journal



# The C-terminal peptide plays a role in the formation of an intermediate form during the transition between xanthine dehydrogenase and xanthine oxidase

Tomoko Nishino<sup>1</sup>, Ken Okamoto<sup>1</sup>, Yuko Kawaguchi<sup>1</sup>, Tomohiro Matsumura<sup>1</sup>, Bryan T. Eger<sup>2</sup>, Emil F. Pai<sup>2,3,4</sup> and Takeshi Nishino<sup>1,5</sup>

1 Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Nippon Medical School, Tokyo, Japan

2 Department of Biochemistry, University of Toronto, ON, Canada

3 Departments of Medical Biophysics and Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, ON, Canada

4 Campbell Family Institute for Cancer Research, Ontario Cancer Institute/University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada

5 Department of Applied Biological Chemistry, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Japan

#### Keywords

endothelial cell damage; reactive oxygen species; xanthine dehydrogenase; xanthine oxidase; xanthine oxidoreductase

#### Correspondence

T. Nishino, Department of Applied Biological Chemistry, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan Fax: +81 3 5841 8023 Tel: +81 3 5841 5165 E-mail: nishino@nms.ac.jp

(Received 30 October 2014, revised 9 March 2015, accepted 21 March 2015)

doi:10.1111/febs.13277

Mammalian xanthine oxidoreductase can exist in both dehydrogenase and oxidase forms. Conversion between the two is implicated in such diverse processes as lactation, anti-bacterial activity, reperfusion injury and a growing number of diseases. We have constructed a variant of the rat liver enzyme that lacks the carboxy-terminal amino acids 1316–1331; it appears to assume an intermediate form, exhibiting a mixture of dehydrogenase and oxidase activities. The purified variant protein retained  $\sim 50-70\%$  of oxidase activity even after prolonged dithiothreitol treatment, supporting a previous prediction that the C-terminal region plays a role in the dehydrogenase to oxidase conversion. In the crystal structure of the protein variant, most of the enzyme stays in an oxidase conformation. After 15 min of incubation with a high concentration of NADH, however, the corresponding X-ray structures showed a dehydrogenase-type conformation. On the other hand, disulfide formation between Cys535 and Cys992, which can clearly be seen in the electron density map of the crystal structure of the variant after removal of dithiothreitol, goes in parallel with the complete conversion to oxidase, resulting in structural changes identical to those observed upon proteolytic cleavage of the linker peptide. These results indicate that the dehydrogenase-oxidase transformation occurs rather readily and the insertion of the C-terminal peptide into the active site cavity of its subunit stabilizes the dehydrogenase form. We propose that the intermediate form can be generated (e.g. in endothelial cells) upon interaction of the C-terminal peptide portion of the enzyme with other proteins or the cell membrane.

#### Database

Coordinate sets and structure factors for the four crystal structures reported in the present study have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under the identification numbers  $\underline{4YRW}$ ,  $\underline{4YTZ}$ ,  $\underline{4YSW}$ , and  $\underline{4YTY}$ .

#### Abbreviations

ACPY-NAD<sup>+</sup>, acetyl pyridine NAD<sup>+</sup>; AFR<sub>25</sub>, activity-to-flavin ratio, change in absorbance at 295 nm·min<sup>-1</sup> divided by the absorbance at 450 nm at 25 °C; D/O ratio, dehydrogenase activity (absorbance change at 295 nm·min<sup>-1</sup> in the presence of NAD<sup>+</sup>) divided by oxidase activity (absorbance change at 295 nm·min<sup>-1</sup> in the absence of NAD<sup>+</sup>); XDH, xanthine dxehydrogenase; XOR, xanthine oxidoreductase; XO, xanthine oxidase.

FEBS Journal **282** (2015)3075–3090 © 2015 The Authors. *FEBS* Journal published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of FEBS. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### Introduction

Eukaryotic xanthine oxidoreductase (XOR) is a homodimeric protein with a relative molecular mass of 290 000 and is composed of independent subunits; each subunit contains one molybdopterin, two nonidentical [2Fe-2S] clusters (designated as Fe/SI and Fe/ SII; distinguished by redox potential and EPR signal) and one FAD as cofactors [1,2]. These centers are located in the C-terminal 85-kDa, N-terminal 20-kDa and intermediate 40-kDa domains of the subunit, respectively [3–5]. The enzyme catalyzes the oxidation of hypoxanthine to xanthine and xanthine to uric acid at the molybdenum center by oxidative hydroxylation and the reducing equivalents thus introduced are transferred rapidly via Fe/SI and Fe/SII to FAD, where the reduction of NAD<sup>+</sup> or oxygen occurs [2,6,7].

Although XOR mediates purine catabolism, mammalian XOR exists in large quantities not only in the liver, but also in the mammary gland, kidney, intestine and other organs with vascular endothelial cells, such as the lung [8]. Therefore, additional roles in those organs are likely. For example, mammalian XORs exist as two forms of the same gene product, xanthine dehydrogenase (XDH) and xanthine oxidase (XO), whereas other organisms have only the XDH form. XDH prefers  $NAD^+$  as an electron acceptor, whereas XO prefers molecular oxygen. In normal cells, XOR exists as its XDH form but can be converted to XO reversibly by the formation of disulfide bridges or irreversibly by limited proteolysis [9-14]. One example where the conversion is proposed to play a physiological role is in lactation [15–19]. In addition, because the XO form produces reactive oxygen species, the conversion from XDH to XO is considered to be linked to anti-bacterial defense [20-22] and various pathological events, such as ischaemia, inflammation and cardiovascular disease [23-28]. Thus, clarification of what triggers the conversion and how it proceeds is crucial for understanding the physiology of these pathological processes.

The enzymological and structural differences between bovine milk and rat liver XDH and XO are well defined [5,14,29–31]. The conversion is considered to be physiologically significant, and not just an artifact of isolation, because the mechanism of conversion appears to be highly sophisticated [32]. Briefly, in the crystal structures of the two forms, the conformation of the so-called A-Loop of Gln422 to Lys432 in the rat enzyme (Gln423Lys433 in the bovine enzyme) located near the FAD prosthetic group changes during the conversion of XDH to XO [5,33,34], thus dramatically altering the electrostatic environment and blocking access of the substrate NAD<sup>+</sup> to its binding site. In rat XDH, the side chain of Asp428 (Asp429 in the bovine enzyme) is close to the flavin ring. Upon rearrangement of the A-Loop, Asp428 moves away from the flavin ring and is replaced by the guanidinium group of Arg425. This rearrangement explains the differences in flavin redox potentials [31,35,36] and in the chemical environments revealed by artificial flavins [30,37–40]. Another important difference between the two forms is the interaction of four specific amino acid residues, which form a unique amino acid cluster (consisting of Arg334, Trp335, Arg426, Phe549 in the rat enzyme and Arg335, Trp336, Arg427, Phe549 in the bovine enzyme) at the bottom of the FAD cavity; this cluster is tightly packed in the XDH form but disrupted in the XO form [33,34].

The large number of cysteine residues incorporated into the amino acid sequence of XOR makes it very difficult to identify those that are involved in the conversion [32]. The results of chemical modification of rat XOR with fluorodinitrobenzene led to the suggestion that Cys535 and Cys992 might be involved in the rapid phase of the biphasic XDH-XO conversion [14]. In the protein structure, Cys535 is located at the beginning of the long linker between the 40-kDa and 85-kDa domains, whereas Cys992 (Cys993 in human enzyme) lies on the surface of the 85-kDa domain [41]. On the other hand, linkage between the two domains was not observed in an electrophoretic analysis [16]. Subsequent mutagenesis studies with rat XOR, however, showed that conversion of the C535A/C992R mutant in the presence of sulfhydryl residue modifiers is very slow, whereas the triple mutants C535A/ C992R/C1316S and C535A/C992R/C1324S do not undergo conversion at all [41], suggesting that Cys535 and Cys992 are involved in the rapid phase and Cys1316 and Cys1324 in the slow phase of the modification reaction [14]. It should be noted that the irreversible conversion of XDH to XO by trypsin involves limited proteolysis at the same linker peptide [5]. It was proposed that triggering events, such as the formation of a disulfide bond between Cys535 and Cys992 or proteolysis of the linker, reorient Phe549 (also a part of the long linker), resulting in disruption of the four amino acid cluster mentioned above. Arg426 is then released from the cluster and moves the A-loop that blocks the approach of  $NAD^+$  to the flavin ring of the FAD moiety, as well as changing the electrostatic environment, as described above. However, there was no definitive evidence for the formation of a disulfide bond between Cys535 and Cys992 by means of X-ray crystallography. The role of Cys1316 and Cys1324 located in the C-terminal portion is even less clear. In the crystal structures of the XDH forms of both bovine and rat XOR, the C-terminal residues are seen inserted into the cavity of the FAD site; this is not the case in the corresponding XO forms [5,41]. Disorder in the preceding stretch of amino acids leading to a break in electron density made it impossible to decide whether the C-terminal peptide in the binding site originated from the same subunit or from that of a neighboring molecule in the crystal lattice, although the latter with high probability would be a crystal artifact [41]. Such flexibility and the slower modification rate of Cys1316 and Cys1324 by chemical modifying agents [14,41] suggested that the C-terminal peptide switches between inserted into and being outside the cavity. Cysteine modification is not likely to occur when the peptide is inserted but rather when it can freely move outside the binding site.

In the present study, we used site-directed mutagenesis to construct a protein variant lacking the sixteen carboxy-terminal residues (amino acids 1316–1331; C $\Delta$ -protein variant) to further investigate the role of this C-terminal peptide. We found that this variant appears to be an intermediate form; it functions as if a mixture of XDH and XO forms. When comparing the C-terminal structures between the NADH-bound forms of both the C $\Delta$  protein variant and the C535A/ C992R/C1324S triple mutant, a remarkably stable XDH form, it became clear that insertion of the C-terminal peptide into the dinucleotide-binding cavity of its own subunit plays a crucial role in stabilizing the XDH form and generating a functional NAD<sup>+</sup>/ NADH binding site.

### Results

### Expression and purification of the C $\Delta$ protein variant of recombinant rat liver XOR

Rat wild-type XOR recombinantly produced in Sf9 cells retained most of its XDH activity and showed very low XO activity in the supernatant of the homogenate, as described previously [41,42]. XDH activity gradually decreased with a concomitant increase of XO activity during purification. For the C $\Delta$  protein variant, however, both XDH and XO activities were measured at almost equal levels in the supernatant solution of the homogenate. During chromatography, it then followed the pattern shown by the wild-type enzyme, and XO activity increased with a concomitant decrease of XDH activity. At the end of purification, the enzyme exhibited only XO activity and the activity.

ity-to-flavin ratio (AFR<sub>25</sub>; i.e. change in absorbance at 295  $\text{nm}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$  divided by the absorbance at 450 nm at 25 °C) values of the protein variant were in the range 100-160, indicating that 50-80% of the enzyme was in the active form (the AFR<sub>25</sub> value of fully active enzyme is 200 [29,43] but can vary somewhat from preparation to preparation, probably as a result of the presence of desulfo-enzyme) [42,44-47]. The purified protein variant showed a single major band at ~ 150 kDa on SDS/PAGE (Fig. 1C), indicating that the increase of XO activity during purification was not a result of proteolysis but rather deletion of the C-terminal peptide and disulfide-bond formation, as confirmed below in the DTT treatment experiment. The spectra of the protein variant showed no significant difference from those of the wild-type enzyme.

## DDT-treatment of wild-type and protein variant XOR

Purified wild-type and  $C\Delta$  protein variant rat XORs, both exhibiting mostly XO activity, were treated with 5 mM DTT at 25 °C for 1 h in 50 mM KPB (pH 7.8). The time course of the changes in the XO/XDH activity ratio of the wild-type enzyme indicated that, although the sum of XO and XDH activity remained practically constant, the XO activity decreased, accompanied by an increase of XDH activity (Fig. 1A). This indicates that the wild-type XOR can be re-converted from XO to XDH form by DTT treatment, in agreement with previous studies [29]. On the other hand, the conversion of the C $\Delta$  protein variant to its XDH form upon DTT treatment was limited; the purified enzyme showed mostly XO activity, although the D/O ratio [i.e. dehydrogenase activity (absorbance change at 295  $\text{nm} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ in the presence of  $NAD^+$ ) divided by oxidase activity (absorbance change at 295 nm·min<sup>-1</sup> in the absence of NAD<sup>+</sup>)] of the DTT-treated enzyme stayed well below 2 during prolonged incubation with DTT, indicating that the final mixture again contained similar amounts of XDH and XO forms (Fig. 1B). SDS-gel analysis showed a single band; the protein variant enzyme was not cleaved by proteolysis at the linker between the two domains, a process responsible for irreversible conversion of XDH to XO [3]. The C $\Delta$  protein variant was active toward both acetyl pyridine NAD<sup>+</sup> (ACPY- $NAD^+$ ) and  $NAD^+$  (as in the case of wild-type enzyme), suggesting that the redox potential of FAD was not significantly perturbed. Much longer incubation (days) was required for recovery of the XDH activity of the C $\Delta$  protein variant to the level of 50% of total activity in the presence of DTT and, during this time, the enzyme was partially converted to its desulfo-



**Fig. 1.** Time courses of change of activities of the purified CΔprotein variant XOR caused by DTT treatment. Wild-type recombinant XOR (A: D/O = 1,  $AFR_{25} = 133$ ,  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  M) and CΔ protein variant XOR (B:  $AFR_{25} = 109$ , D/O = 1,  $4.2 \times 10^{-6}$  M) were treated with 5 mM DTT in 50 mM KPB (pH 7.8) containing 0.4 mM EDTA at 25 °C. After incubation for various times, aliquots were withdrawn and the enzymatic activities were determined. The activities are shown as apparent turnover number (mol·min<sup>-1</sup>·mol FAD<sup>-1</sup>) corrected for each enzyme's AFR<sub>25</sub>. The AFR<sub>25</sub> of freshly prepared, fully active rat XOR enzyme is 200 [29]. Total urate formation activity (XDH plus XO activity) was determined by following the increase of absorbance at 295 nm in the standard assay mixture with xanthine and NAD<sup>+</sup> (closed squares) or ACPY- NAD<sup>+</sup> (open squares) as the substrate. XO activity was determined from the increase of absorbance at 295 nm without NAD<sup>+</sup> (red closed triangle). XDH activity was calculated from the increase of absorbance at 340 nm for NADH formation (green closed circles) or at 360 nm for ACPY- NADH formation (open circles). (C) SDS/PAGE: lane 1, marker proteins (Bio-Rad) having molecular masses of 250, 150, 100, 75, 50, 37 and 25 kDa. Lane 2, purified protein variant XOR. (D) Comparison of C-terminal amino acid sequences of rat, bovine, and human xanthine oxidases. Blue colored amino acids indicate the residues corresponding to the CΔ peptide of rat XOR.

form. We therefore used enzyme with a D/O ratio of  $\sim 1.5$  for steady-state kinetics studies. The data are consistent with the enzyme used being a mixture of XO and XDH forms, even after DTT treatment.

### Steady-state kinetics of DTT-treated and untreated $C\Delta$ protein variant

In agreement with a previous study on natural and DTT-treated forms of rat liver XOR [29], Lineweaver– Burk plots for the recombinant forms of the wild-type and the C $\Delta$  protein variant XOR showed parallel lines at a series of fixed concentrations of the second substrate (data not shown). The kinetic parameters are summarized in Table 1. The  $K_{\rm m}$  values for xanthine were lower than 5  $\mu$ M in all cases, being similar to the values obtained with the natural rat liver enzyme. The  $V_{\text{max}}$  values of the xanthine-O<sub>2</sub> activity for DTTuntreated natural rat liver XO [29] and protein variant XO are also similar (i.e. 1030 and 960 mol $\cdot$ min<sup>-1</sup> $\cdot$ mol<sup>-1</sup> of FAD, respectively. On the other hand, the  $V_{\text{max}}$  value for the xanthine-O<sub>2</sub> activity of DTT-treated wild-type XOR was 25–26% of that of untreated wild-type XO; this could be explained by the fact that XDH itself still has oxidase activity, as in the case of chicken XDH, which is never converted to the XO form by proteolysis or sulfhydryl modifiers [2]. Compared to these values, the  $V_{\text{max}}$  value for the xanthine-O<sub>2</sub> activity of the DTTtreated protein variant is quite high, whereas that for the xanthine-NAD<sup>+</sup> activity is very low. These results again indicate that the protein variant is a mixture of XDH and XO forms, even after DTT treatment.

**Table 1.** Steady-state kinetic parameters of freshly prepared and DTT-treated rat C $\Delta$  protein variant XOR. All experiments were carried out at 25 °C. The  $V_{max}$  value is corrected for the measured value of AFR, assuming that the AFR of fully active enzyme is 200 in the presence of NAD<sup>+</sup>.

Enzyme	V <sub>max</sub> (X-O <sub>2</sub> ) mol·mol <sup>-1</sup> .min <sup>-1</sup> (Δ295 nm)	K <sub>m</sub> for O <sub>2</sub> (µм)	V <sub>max</sub> (X-NAD <sup>+</sup> ) mol <sup>-1</sup> · mol·min <sup>-1</sup> (Δ340 nm)	K <sub>m</sub> for NAD <sup>+</sup> (µм)
DTT-untreated DTT-treated	960 ± 31 625 ± 19	49.7 ± 1.7 53.7 ± 4.4	Not detected 222 $\pm$ 5	$^-$ 5.2 $\pm$ 0.3

### NADH reduction and guanidine-HCl treatment of the C $\Delta$ protein variant

Because the C $\Delta$  protein variant shows only partial XDH activity even after prolonged DTT incubation, we performed a reduction experiment with excess concentration of NADH under anaerobic conditions. The reduction rate is slower than that of wild-type XDH, as shown in Fig. 2A. The process is apparently biphasic, with a rapid phase ( $k = 8.32 \text{ min}^{-1}$ ) followed by a much slower phase ( $k = 0.0792 \text{ min}^{-1}$ ). The first phase accounts for ~ 50% of the final level of reduction. This is consistent with the idea that the enzyme exists as a mixture of two forms, with reduction of the XDH form being fast but that of the XO form being much slower.

We also investigated the effect of low concentrations of guanidine-HCl on the relative amounts of the two forms. The experiment was carried out with DTT-treated C $\Delta$  protein variant enzyme with a D/O ratio of 2 (i.e.  $\sim 50\%$  of total urate formation could be assigned to XO). The enzyme was mixed with various concentrations of guanidine-HCl in the presence of 5 mM DTT. As shown in Fig. 2B, the combined XDH and XO activity (total urate formation activity with NAD<sup>+</sup>) did not change, although the XO activity (urate formation in the absence of NAD<sup>+</sup>) was increased and the XDH activity (NADH formation activity) was lowered with increasing concentrations of guanidine-HCl. The D/O ratio was stable for 90 min on ice, in accordance with previous results obtained with bovine milk XDH [48]. The two forms are in equilibrium and guanidine-HCl treatment presumably

disrupts an amino acid cluster consisting of two arginine residues (Arg334 and Arg426), one tryptophan (Trp335) and one phenylalanine (Phe549) (residue numbers are those for rat XOR) by interfering with the  $\pi$ -cation interaction that stabilizes it [33]. After 2.0 M guanidine-HCl treatment of the C $\Delta$  protein variant, up to 75% of the XO activity with D/O = 1.5 can be recovered by dialysis of the enzyme against a buffer containing 5 mM DTT but no guanidine-HCl. This indicates that the conversion is reversible at low concentrations of guanidine-HCl, as is the case with bovine milk XO [48]. This suggests that, in solution, the two forms of XOR in the presence of < 2 Mguanidine-HCl are likely in a state of equilibrium. The enzyme can be inactivated irreversibly in the presence of guanidine at concentrations higher than 2 M; both XDH and XO activities are lost irreversibly, likely as a result of denaturation [48].

### Crystal structures of the C $\Delta$ protein variant enzyme

The crystals of the C $\Delta$  protein variant of rat liver XOR were prepared in two ways: (a) crystallization of the protein directly after DTT treatment that in our experience is essential for crystallization to occur and (b) crystallization in the presence of DTT followed by extended soaks in mother liquor devoid of DTT to convert most of the protein to the XO form. Diffraction data to a resolution of 2.0 Å were collected from both samples (Table 2). The overall fold structures of bovine milk XDH and XO [5], as well as the triple mutant C535A/C992R/C1324S of rat



**Fig. 2.** Time course of spectra of DTT-treated C $\Delta$  protein variant immediately after mixing with NADH under anaerobic conditions and activity changes of the C $\Delta$  protein variant in the presence of low concentrations of guanidine-HCl. (A) DTT-treated C $\Delta$  protein variant protein was mixed with NADH as described in the Experimental procedures. The absorption spectra were recorded at various time intervals. Selected spectra are shown for clarity (1, solid line: initial spectrum; 2, dash-dotted line: immediately; 3, dotted line: 10 min; 4, dashed line: 89 min after mixing). (B) The enzyme (6.8  $\mu$ M) was mixed on ice with various concentrations of guanidine-HCl in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.8), 0.2 mM EDTA, 1 mM salicylate and 5 mM DTT and activities were measured within 5 min. C $\Delta$  protein variant: blue closed square, XDH plus XO activity determined by measuring urate formation in the presence of NAD<sup>+</sup> ( $\Delta$ 295 nm); red closed circle, XO activity determined by measuring NADH formation ( $\Delta$ 340 nm); closed black square with dotted lines: bovine milk enzyme for comparison, XDH + XO activity; open triangle, XDH activity [48].

liver XDH [41], which is completely resistant to conversion from the XDH to the XO form by sulfhydryl-modifying reagents and therefore a model of stable XDH [41], are very similar. The same is true for the C $\Delta$  protein variant of rat liver XOR (Fig. 3); the only differences in conformation among all these proteins are found close to the FAD cofactor, in a unique amino acids cluster, and in the linker peptide between the FAD and Mo-pterindomains. All of the structures of the various rat liver proteins determined were of the demolybdo form [41,42] because this was the only form for which amounts sufficient for crystallization experiments could be obtained. The absence of the molybdo-pterin group, however, is not expected to have major conformational consequences at the FAD-binding site [41,42]. In the present study, we focus only on the localized differences in conformation among all these proteins.

### Structure of the C $\!\Delta$ protein variant in the presence of DTT

In the C $\Delta$  protein variant, even after prolonged DTT treatment, the majority of the enzyme stays in the XO conformation, as described above. The corresponding crystal structure clearly shows the structural differences next to the FAD cofactor between this variant

	CΔ protein variant/ crystallized with DTT	C $\Delta$ protein variant/ crystal after removal of DTT	CΔ protein variant/ NADH-complex	C535A/C992R/C1324S triple mutant/ NADH-complex
Protein Data	4YRW	<u>4YTZ</u>	4YSW	<u>4YTY</u>
Bank code				
Data processing				
Space group	P2 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub>	P2 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub>	P2 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub>	P2 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub>
Unit cell axes (Å)	a = 98.5	<i>a</i> = 100.2	<i>a</i> = 98.5	<i>a</i> = 99.0
	<i>b</i> = 138.5	b = 139.1	<i>b</i> = 137.6	b = 137.4
	<i>c</i> = 222.2	<i>c</i> = 223.3	<i>c</i> = 222.1	<i>c</i> = 222.7
Wavelength (Å)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Resolution (Å)	50.0-2.06 (1.99-2.06)	29.0–2.30 (2.38–2.30) <sup>a</sup>	42.4-1.99 (2.06-1.99)	49.5–2.20 (2.28–2.20)
Unique reflections	206 606	134 745	195 400	153 088
R <sub>sym</sub> (%) <sup>b</sup>	6.0 (20.2)	8.2 (36.8)	5.1 (18.8)	9.8 (32.0)
l/σ( <i>l</i> )	22.2 (5.4)	15.2 (3.2)	33.6 (5.2)	18.2 (7.1)
Completeness (%)	99.5 (96.4)	97.0 (98.5)	94.7 (90.1)	99.7 (96.0)
Redundancy	3.7 (3.6)	3.5 (3.3)	3.8 (3.4)	7.4 (7.3)
Refinement				
Resolution (Å)	43.3–2.0	29.0–2.3	42.4–1.99	49.5–2.20
Number of	196 212	129 341	185 550	145 410
reflections				
Number of test	10 396	5404	9850	7678
set reflections				
R <sub>work</sub> <sup>c</sup> (%)	18.8 (22.1)	21.1 (26.6)	18.1 (20.3)	18.0 (18.7)
R <sub>free</sub> (%)	22.9 (27.3)	26.4 (38.0)	21.9 (26.1)	22.1 (26.7)
Mean B-factor (Ų)	30.0	35.1	24.0	21.2
Number of	20 716	20 055	21 751	21 735
nonhydrogen atoms				
Number of water	638	105	1,639	1,433
molecules				
RMS deviations				
Bond length (Å)	0.02	0.021	0.010	0.011
Bond angle (°)	2.07	1.89	1.21	1.31
Ramachandran plot				
Favoured (%)	96.1	95.7	97.4	97.1
Allowed (%)	2.9	3.6	2.2	2.4
Outliers (%)	1.1	0.7	0.4	0.5

<sup>a</sup> All values in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell.

<sup>b</sup>  $R_{svm} = \Sigma_{hkl}\Sigma_{l}I_{l} - \langle E | \Sigma_{hk}\Sigma_{l} \langle E \rangle$  where  $I_{l}$  is the  $i_{th}$  measurement and  $\langle E \rangle$  is the weighted mean of all measurements of I.

<sup>c</sup>  $R_{\text{work}} = \Sigma_{\text{hkl}} |F_{\text{obs}} - F_{\text{calc}}|/F_{\text{obs}}$ , where  $F_{\text{obs}}$  and  $F_{\text{calc}}$  are the observed and calculated structure factors, respectively, and the summation is over the reflections used for model refinement.  $R_{\text{free}}$  was the same as  $R_{\text{work}}$  for 5% of the data randomly omitted from the total data.

#### Table 2. Data collection and refinement statistics.



**Fig. 3.** Crystal structures of a stable XDH form, triple mutant (C535A/C992R/C1324), and the C $\Delta$  protein variant of rat XOR. Space-filling structures of the stable XDH form of the triple mutant are shown at the top and that of the C $\Delta$  protein variant at the bottom. Left: the monomer structure of the XDH form with FAD (in color-code), the unique amino acid cluster (in space-filling) and the long linker peptide (in brown). The model on the right is displayed rotated by 180° around the vertical two-fold axis relating the two subunits; FAD (color-coded), NADH (in blue) and the C-terminal peptide (in brown) are also indicated. Arrows A (the amino acid cluster), B (the origin of the linker peptide) and C (the FAD cavity) in the C535A/C992R/C1324 mutant structure indicate the locations where it significantly differs from the C $\Delta$  protein variant structure (for further details, see Figs 4–7).

and the previously determined XDH-form mutants [41]; deletion of the C-terminal peptide of 16 amino acids changes the structure of the 'unique' amino acid cluster and the position of the A-loop (Fig. 4A). B-factors of A-loop residues are significantly higher and therefore the corresponding electron density weaker in the C $\Delta$  protein variant (Fig. 5A,B) than in any of the previously determined XOR structures, XDH as well as XO forms. This indicates increased flexibility of this loop with most Asp428 residues located far from the C6 position of the FAD isoalloxazine, as is typical for the XO form (Figs 4A and 5A). In addition, the two subunits displayed a partially disrupted 'unique' cluster, although not identical (i.e. Arg426 is no longer a part of the tight interactions that it forms with Arg334, Trp335 and Phe549 in native XDH) (Figs 4A and 5C). Arg426 follows the A-loop, which has moved into the access path that NAD<sup>+</sup> has to follow when approaching its FAD reaction partner. Thus, these results show that the  $C\Delta$  protein variant assumes most of the conformational characteristics of the XO form. However, the two subunits are not completely identical, particularly near residue Cys535 in the long linker between the FAD and Mo-domains (Fig. 5G). We discuss these features in more detail further below.

#### Structure of the NADH complex

To understand the role of the C-terminal peptide in dinucleotide substrate binding, we determined the crystal structures of the NADH-bound forms of both the

C $\Delta$  protein variant (Figs 4B, 5D-F and 6) and, for comparison, that of the nonconvertible XDH-form C535A/C992R/C1324S triple mutant (Fig. 6). In the crystal structure of the NADH-bound triple mutant. the typical mode of NAD binding to flavoproteins was observed; the pyridine ring lies parallel to the isoalloxazine ring of FAD and engages in  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction (Fig. 6). The pyrophosphate group of NADH is contacted by Arg393 and Tyr392. This mode of binding by tyrosine and arginine residues is rather rare for this dinucleotide, although a related constellation has been observed in the case of the 2'-phosphate group of NADP<sup>+</sup> in its complex with isocitrate dehydrogenase [49]. The corresponding Tyr392 residue in chicken XDH is covalently modified by 5'-fluoro-sulfonyl-benzoyl adenosine (an affinity analogue of NAD<sup>+</sup>, resulting in loss of catalytic activity because of obstruction of NAD<sup>+</sup> binding [50]). The carboxyl group of Glu262 (not shown), whose orientation is shifted in the XO form of the C $\Delta$  protein variant, interacts with the 2'- and 3'-hydroxyl groups of NAD<sup>+</sup> ribose; the latter hydroxyl group also forms a hydrogen bond to Arg393. NADH interacts with the B-loop (Leu493-Met503) mostly via van der Waals contacts, supported indirectly by the C-terminal peptide via stabilization of the B-loop from behind (Fig. 6). Although the five amino acid residues from 1319 to 1324 were disordered in previous structures [41], and therefore no corresponding electron density could be observed, in the triple mutant NADH complex, the electron density for the whole C-terminal peptide is well defined. It is clear





that the C-terminus is inserted into its own subunit rather than that of a neighboring molecule in the crystal lattice, thereby apparently regulating the binding of the electron acceptor  $NAD^+$ .

In the crystal structure of the NADH complex of the C $\Delta$  protein variant, both subunits, assuming the XDH form, are almost identical, with the exception of the chain around Cys535. This part of the protein was indistinguishable in conformation from that of the NADH-free form shown in Fig. 5G (data not shown for the NADH-bound form).

Figures 4B and 5D–F illustrate two subunits and only one subunit, respectively, showing the position of the A-loop, the position of Asp428 and the wellpacked amino acid cluster. Note that the electron density of the A-loop (Fig. 5D,E) is higher than that found in the NADH-free form of the C $\Delta$  protein variant (Fig. 5A,B) and the position of Asp428 is now close to C6 of the isoalloxazine ring (Figs 4B and 5D). Because the enzyme, based on its catalytic activity, was clearly a mixture of XDH and XO forms in solution, at the high concentration of NADH (20 mM) used in the preparation of the complex, both subunits of the enzyme appear to slowly shift to their XDH form in the crystal. This also is consistent with the results of reduction experiments shown in Fig. 2, when the protein variant could be fully reduced by prolonged incubation with a 50 : 1 surplus of NADH. Superposition with the structure of the NADH-bound form of the C535A/C992R/C1324S mutant showed no major difference other than a maximum shift of ~ 1 Å at the B-loop (Fig. 6), probably reflecting the lower affinity of the C $\Delta$  protein variant for NADH.

### Changes in the crystal structure of the C $\Delta$ protein variant after removal of DTT

When crystals of the C $\Delta$  protein variant are incubated for an extended period of time in mother liquor devoid of DTT, formation of the disulfide bond between Cys535 and Cys992 described in the Experimental procedures is expected, leading to a shift of the enzyme's conformation towards the XO form. Indeed, this is what is seen in the C $\Delta$ -mutant structure (Fig. 7), although, in subunit A, slight residual electron density can still be observed at the position of the XDH form. Fig. 5. Comparison of electron density maps of C $\Delta$  variant in the absence of NADH (A-C) and in the presence of NADH (D–F). (A)  $2F_{o} - F_{c}$  electron density contoured at 1.0  $\sigma$  with the residues of the A-loop and the FAD moiety modeled in. (B)  $2F_{o} - F_{c}$  electron density contoured at 2.0  $\sigma$  with the residues of the A-loop displayed rotated. (C)  $2F_{o} - F_{c}$  electron density contoured at 1.0  $\sigma$  with the amino acid cluster residues modeled in. (D)  $2F_{o} - F_{c}$  electron density contoured at 1.0  $\sigma$  of the A-loop and FAD moiety with residues modeled in. (E)  $2F_{o} - F_{c}$  electron density contoured at 2.0  $\sigma$  with the residues of the A-loop rotated. (F)  $2F_{o} - F_{c}$  electron density contoured at 1.0  $\sigma$  with the amino acid cluster residues modeled in. Note that electron densities in (D) to (F) are much better defined than in the corresponding NADH-free maps of (A) to (C), indicating reduced mobility. (G) Differences in conformation around Cys535, which is part of the long 'linker loop' connecting the FAD and molybdenum domains (brown color), and Cys992, as seen in the two subunits of the C $\Delta$  protein variant of NADH-free rat XOR in the presence of DTT. In subunit A, the two cysteine residues are ~ 19 Å apart. The arrow points to a helical turn, which is not formed in subunit B.



The electron density map clearly shows the structural differences between the two subunits; deletion of the C-terminal peptide of 16 amino acids influences the structure of the 'unique' amino acid cluster and the position of the A-loop (Fig. 7A). The electron densities of A-loop and amino acid cluster in this subunit, however, were difficult to distinguish unequivocally as a result of their disordered structure, a feature typical of the XO form [5,34].

In addition, the vast majority of subunits A displayed a partially disrupted cluster (i.e. Arg426 is no longer a part of the cluster that it forms in native XDH with Arg334, Trp335 and Phe549). It now follows the A-loop, which has moved into the access path for NAD<sup>+</sup>-binding, interfering with the approach of the pyridine ring of NAD<sup>+</sup> to its FAD reaction partner. In subunits B (Fig. 7A), the cluster is almost completely disrupted; not only Arg426, but also Phe549 has moved away and is not visible in the density map as a result of a disordered linker peptide. The A-Loop has left the FAD site and blocks the NAD<sup>+</sup> binding pathway.

Although disulfide-bond formation between Cys535 and Cys992 in the XO form has been proposed previously [14,41], our observation in subunit B is the first experimental evidence of a disulfide bond linking two cysteine residues (Fig. 7B, and electron density in inset). In this structure, the one helical turn (indicated by an arrow in Fig. 7B, subunit A) at the beginning of the long linker connecting the 40-kDa and 85-kDa domains is lost and the Cys535 residue, also at the beginning of the linker, moves toward Cys992, which, in the XDH form, is 19 Å away, as discussed previously [32] and also shown in Fig. 5G. It is interesting



**Fig. 6.** Crystal structures of the NADH complexes of the nonconvertible XDH-form C535A/C992R/C1324S triple mutant and the C $\Delta$  protein variant of rat XOR. Models of the C535A/C992R/C1324S triple mutant (grey) and the C $\Delta$  protein variant (cyan) were superimposed based on their FAD cofactor (yellow). The respective NADH molecules (color-coded), surrounding amino acids, and the C-terminal peptide of the triple mutant (light brown), which is deleted in the C $\Delta$  protein variant, are also shown. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen-bond interactions and the accompanying numbers give the inter-atomic distances (Å).

to note the marked contrast between subunits A and B (Fig. 7B). The former adopts a conformation very close to the native XDH structure (i.e. without loss of the turn of the mobile  $\alpha$ -helix) and the two cysteine residues (fully 19 Å apart) are obviously not forming a disulfide bond, although some distortion of the unique cluster is visible in this structure.

#### Discussion

Deletion of the C-terminal peptide of rat XOR converted some of the enzyme from the XDH to the XO form. The crystal structures of the protein variant indicated that the two subunits of the homodimer can become asymmetric under certain conditions, reflecting the solution measurements showing the enzyme to be a mixture of XDH and XO. The asymmetry must lead to changes on the surface of the protein molecules that allow repetitive selective packing in the crystal lattice [one dimer (in the same orientation)/asymmetric unit]. Otherwise, the differences would be washed out through superposition. All other crystal structures of XOR enzymes determined so far are of pure XDH or XO forms and therefore do not show such differences between subunits. The new protein variant structures are in good agreement with its catalytic properties. Even prolonged DTT treatment does not lead to identical subunits, as described above. Non-identical behavior of two subunits has also been found previously at the molybdenum center of XOR, based on kinetics [51] and X-ray studies [52].

As shown by a previous study of native bovine milk XOR [48] and confirmed by the present experiments with the C $\Delta$  protein variant, the XDH to XO conversion can already occur in relatively low concentrations of guanidine-HCl (< 2 M), where the overall protein structure remains intact. The extent of the conversion does not depend on time (the state is stable for 1 h on ice) but does depend on the concentration of guanidine-HCl, whose removal caused return to the XDH form, suggesting that there is a reversible equilibrium between the two forms. On the other hand, disulfide formation between Cys535 and Cys992, which can be clearly seen in the electron density map of the present crystal structure of the C $\Delta$  protein variant without DTT, goes in parallel with the complete conversion to XO, resulting in the disruption of the amino acid cluster and an associated movement of the A-loop, which are changes identical to those observed upon proteolytic cleavage of the linker peptide.

With deletion of the C-terminal peptide shifting the enzyme to the XO form, it has to be assumed that the peptide not only plays a role in NAD<sup>+</sup> binding, but also stabilizes the XDH conformation by inserting itself into the dinucleotide-binding cavity. The XO conformation can be shifted to the XDH form by exposing the enzyme to NADH, although long incubation times are required. This mirrors the results of the spectrophotometric reduction experiment shown in Fig. 2A. Based on the results of the C-terminal peptide deletion together with the experiments with guanidine-HCl, we consider the transition between the XDH and XO forms to be in thermodynamic equilibrium, with a stable intermediate possible. The existence of such an intermediate had been postulated based on spectroscopic measurements [53].

Our results indicate that the the XDH to XO shift is influenced by whether the C-terminal peptide is inserted into the FAD cavity or not. In addition to cysteine residues, which can undergo disulfide bond formation, the C-terminal peptide contains also hydrophobic and positively-charged amino acids. These residues could interact with other proteins or membranes, thereby shifting the XDH to XO equilibrium in favor of the latter by engaging the peptide outside the FAD cavity.





XOR not only exists in large quantities in the mammary gland, but also is present in various other organs, including endothelial cells of vascular capillaries [8]. Clinical studies of XOR inhibitors in hyperuricemic patients have indicated that a sufficiently high concentration of a drug in the bloodstream is more important in humans than in rodents (i.e. a low concentration of a potent XOR inhibitor was still effective in decreasing uric acid and allantoin in rodents but not in humans) [54]. This suggests that, in humans, in contrast to rodents, substantial amounts of XOR are present in organs other than the liver [54]. Physiological or pathological roles for the observed XDH to XO transition have been proposed for lactation in mammals [15-19] or in processes such as post-ischemic reperfusion injury [23-26] and the induction of endothelial cell damage [28,55-57]. Possible pathological roles of partial conversion of XDH to XO are illustrated in Fig. 8.

Although XOR is mostly found in the cytosol of liver cells [58], its interaction with the membrane of capillary endothelial cells has also been reported [59–62]. It is tempting to speculate that the C-terminal

peptide may be involved in such interactions. Also in vascular endothelial cells in other organs, where XOR may again be associated with membranes or other proteins with affinity for the C-terminal peptide (indicated with an 'X' in Fig. 8), conversion to the intermediate type could be triggered or at least aided by these interactions. We do not want to suggest that interactions between the C-terminal peptide and cell components are the only way the XDH to XO transition can be influenced and intermediate forms of XOR generated. The long linker peptide or the 'unique' cluster are other obvious points of attack for XOR regulation. Especially under energy consuming conditions, hypoxanthine is provided to endothelial cells in large amounts via the bloodstream from other organs such as muscle or brain, which do not contain XOR [63,64], enabling the generation of large amounts of reactive oxygen species  $(H_2O_2 + O_2^-)$  in these cells. The results reported in the present study suggest that even partial conversion of XDH to XO, which does not require proteolysis, may be a significant source of such damaging molecules.



**Fig. 8.** Schematic illustration of possible roles of the intermediate type of XOR, in which the C-terminal peptide interacts with other proteins (X) or the cell membrane. The substrate hypoxanthine or its precursor inosine, which in erythrocytes are in equilibrium catalyzed by purine nucleoside phosphorylase, are transported to capillary endothelial cells where XOR exists in the intermediate form generated by the interaction of the C-terminal peptide with other proteins (X) or the cell membrane. XDH-type XOR produces NADH that can be used for oxidative phosphorylation in mitochondria; however, XO-type XOR produces ROS ( $H_2O_2 + O_2^{-1}$ ), which could act as signaling mediators for DNA synthesis or generate toxic species such as OH• or ONOO<sup>-</sup>. Reaction of  $O_2^{-}$  with NO• also decreases NO•.

### **Experimental procedures**

#### **Materials**

Baculovirus AcNPV DNA, transfer vector BacVector<sup>TM</sup> 2000 (Novagen, Madison, WI, USA), *Spodoptera frugiperda* (*Sf9*) cells, culture media and fetal calf serum were obtained and used as described previously [41,42]. The resin used for enzyme purification by folate affinity chromatography has also been described previously [43,65,66]. The polyclonal antibody used in these experiments was raised in our laboratory against purified rat liver XOR [43]. All other chemicals were of reagent grade.

### DNA manipulations and site-directed mutagenesis

A baculovirus-insect cell system was employed for expression of wild-type [42] and mutant XOR [41]. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed according to well-established methods [41], with minor modifications. The oligonucleotide was hybridized to single-stranded pUC119NX7 and introduced into *Escherichia coli* strain HB 101 (Takara Shuzo, Tokyo, Japan). The resultant double-stranded vector was isolated and digested with *NotI* and *XbaI*. The fragment obtained was ligated with pRXD203 that had been digested with the same restriction enzymes, and the DNA fragment encoding the mutant enzyme was excised by *NheI* and ligated into the baculovirus transfer vector pJVP10Z. The direction of the cDNA was identified by DNA sequencing. The carboxy-terminal deletion protein variant (C $\Delta$  protein variant), missing the last 16 amino acid residues, was obtained by changing the Cys1316 codon to a Stop codon. The forward mutagenesis primer for the PCR product was 5'-AGGGGATCATAA AGATCTCCGTACGG-3' (a new Bg/II restriction site is underlined), and the reverse primer was 5'-GGT GGTACCGCTAGCTACAGGGTGGTGAACTGGTC-3' (the KpnI site, NheI site and Stop codon are underlined). Because XDH is a rather large molecule, the vector site of the XDH plasmid was prepared using the two-step replacement method. For the first step, the small PCR product was restriction-digested at the Bg/II and KpnI sites. This PCR product of 491 bp from the Bg/II to the KpnI site was inserted into the pRX203 vector restriction-digested at the BamHI site at 705 bp and the KpnI site at the 3'-multicloning site. For the second step, the product of the first step was digested with NotI at the site located at the 5'-noncodingmulticloning site derived from the T<sub>3</sub> promoter and at the EcoRV site of the vector. This vector contained the Stop codon instead of Cys1316. The insert was prepared to restrict the plasmid pRXD203, which contained full-length wild-type XDH [42] at the NotI and EcoRV sites (3.5-kbp insert). The  $C\Delta$  protein variant expression plasmid was prepared by ligation at the NotI and EcoRV sites using the vector containing a Stop codon instead of the Cys1316 codon and the 3.5-kbp insert at the same sites described above.

### Expression and purification of XOR and its mutants using the baculovirus-insect cell system

XOR and its mutants were expressed in a baculovirusinsect cell system as described previously [42]. The purification protocol was also as described previously [42]. Recombinant active XORs and demolybdo-dimeric XORs were separated by affinity column chromatography. The enzymes were incubated with 5 mm DTT for 1 h at 25 °C to generate the XDH form of XOR, followed by gel filtration to remove excess DTT, if necessary.

### SDS/PAGE

SDS/PAGE was performed as described by Laemmli [67] using 10% polyacrylamide gels. Protein markers, consisting of a mixture of recombinant proteins (10–250 kDa), were purchased from Bio-Rad (Tokyo, Japan).

#### **Enzyme assays**

All standard enzyme assays were carried out as described previously [41]. Total urate formation activity (XDH plus XO activity) was determined by following the increase of absorbance at 295 nm in the standard assay mixture with xanthine and NAD<sup>+</sup> or ACPY-NAD<sup>+</sup> as substrates. XO activity was measured in terms of the increase in absorbance at 295 nm without NAD<sup>+</sup>. XDH activity was calculated from the increase in absorbance at 340 nm (NADH formation). In addition, we also used ACPY-NAD<sup>+</sup> as an electron acceptor; the reaction was then followed at 360 nm using an extinction coefficient of 9.1 mm<sup>-1</sup>·cm<sup>-1</sup> for the reduced form [68]. The concentration of native or protein variant recombinant active rat XOR was determined by spectrophotometry using an extinction coefficient of 35.8  $\text{mm}^{-1}$ ·cm<sup>-1</sup>, whereas a value of 33  $\text{mm}^{-1}$ ·cm<sup>-1</sup> was used to measure the concentration of the demolybdo-form of the enzyme [42]. The dehydrogenase-to-oxidase ratio (the D/O ratio) as defined by Waud and Rajagopalan [69] was determined as reported [12]. The AFR value was also measured as described previously [42].

#### Steady-state kinetics of xanthine-NAD and xanthine-O<sub>2</sub> activity

Most reactions were performed at 25 °C in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 0.4 mM EDTA under airsaturated conditions; the exception was the determination of xanthine-O<sub>2</sub> activity, for which variable concentrations of oxygen were used in an Aminco–Chance-type cuvette equipped with an enzyme injector. All of the enzymes used for kinetic studies had been purified over a folate affinity column [43]; the concentrations (final) and AFR<sub>25</sub> values of the enzymes used were in the ranges 1.76-6.6 nM and 90-146, respectively. Xanthine concentration varied between 0.82 and 12 µM, ensuring that the substrate concentration remained sufficiently high to allow proper measurements, even in the presence of significant amounts of inactive forms of the enzyme (varying between 27% and 55%). Five different concentrations of electron acceptors were measured; they were in the ranges 6.8–450  $\mu$ M for NAD<sup>+</sup> and 69–1290  $\mu$ M for oxygen. The  $V_{\rm max}$  value was corrected for the measured value of AFR<sub>25</sub>, assuming that the AFR<sub>25</sub> of the fully active XO form of the enzyme is 200 [39,43]. The resulting double-reciprocal plots of reaction velocity and xanthine concentration showed good linearity.

### Anaerobic reduction of the C $\!\Delta$ protein variant with NADH

The experiment was performed under anaerobic conditions as described previously [42]. The C $\Delta$  protein variant enzyme (5  $\mu$ M and D/O ratio ~ 2) in 50 mM KPB at pH 7.8 was anaerobically mixed with NADH at 25 °C. The final concentrations of added NADH were 50 times higher than the corresponding enzyme concentrations.

### Crystallization of the C $\Delta$ protein variant of XOR and collection of diffraction data

The enzyme used for crystallization was further purified on a gel filtration column of TSKSW3000XL (Tosoh Co., Tokyo, Japan) just before crystallization to remove aggregated enzyme possibly still present after folate affinity chromatography. As described previously [42], the recombinant XOR was expressed in multiple forms and the enzyme used for crystallization was the demolybdo-dimeric form. The enzyme was concentrated to 8 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup> in buffer A [mixture of 15% v/v of 50 mM pyrophosphate buffer (pH 8.5) and 85% v/v of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.4] [43] and incubated with 5 mM DTT for 60 min at 25 °C. Crystals of the protein variant enzyme were grown by vapor diffusion, equilibrating a mixture of 1  $\mu$ L of protein and 1  $\mu$ L of reservoir solution containing 9-11% poly(ethylene glycol) 8000, 0.8-1.2 M lithium formate (CryoPro/4M; Hampton Research, Aliso Viejo, CA, USA), 5 mM DTT, 1 mM sodium salicylate, 0.4 mm EDTA, 15% glycerol and 40 mm Hepes (pH 6.2) against 1.5 mL of reservoir solution. Crystals of the NADH complex were prepared by soaking crystals with mother liquor containing 20 mM NADH followed by incubation at room temperature for 15 min. Crystals of the enzyme were flash-frozen with their mother liquor as a cryo-protectant and mounted in cryo-loops. Several crystals of the C $\Delta$  protein variant, after crystallization with DTT, were moved to fresh reservoir solutions free of DTT for at least 1 week at 20 °C to convert them to the XO-form. Diffraction data were collected at 100 K on beamline NW12A of KEK (Tsukuba, Japan) using radiation of 1.0 Å wavelength and a Quantum 210 area detector (Area Detector Systems Corporation, Poway, CA, USA) and reduced with the help of HKL2000 [70]. The crystal structures were determined by molecular replacement techniques using MOLREP [71]. The atomic models were built using COOT [72] and refined using CCP4, version 6.1 [73]. The same software was used for superimposing molecules. Cystal structures were generated with PYMOL [74].

### Acknowledgements

The synchrotron radiation experiments were performed at beamline NW12A of KEK in Tsukuba; we are grateful to the staff at KEK for their support. This work was supported by Grant-in Aid (T.N. 18570137, K.O. 24590393 and K.O. 23570198) for scientific research from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, as well as grants from the Japanese Gout Research Foundation (T.N.). E.F.P. thanks the Canadian Institute for Health Research (MOP-64392) and the Canada Research Chairs Program for support.

### **Author contributions**

ToN designed the experiments, conducted the experiments, and wrote the paper. KO performed the experiments and data analyses of crystal structures, and generated images. TM and YU designed and performed the experiments. BTE performed diffraction data analyses. EFP performed diffraction data analyses, wrote the MS and contributed funding. TaN designed the experiments, analyzed data, wrote the MS and contributed funding.

### References

- 1 Nishino T (1994) The conversion of xanthine dehydrogenase to xanthine oxidase and the role of the enzyme in reperfusion injury. *J Biochem* **116**, 1–6.
- 2 Hille R & Nishino T (1995) Flavoprotein structure and mechanism. 4. Xanthine oxidase and xanthine dehydrogenase. *FASEB J* 9, 995–1003.
- 3 Amaya Y, Yamazaki K, Sato M, Noda K, Nishino T & Nishino T (1990) Proteolytic conversion of xanthine dehydrogenase from the NAD-dependent type to the O2-dependent type, Amino acid sequence of rat liver xanthine dehydrogenase and identification of the cleavage sites of the enzyme protein during irreversible conversion by trypsin. J Biol Chem 265, 14170–14175.
- 4 Sato A, Nishino T, Noda K, Amaya Y & Nishino T (1995) The structure of chicken liver xanthine dehydrogenase. cDNA cloning and the domain structure. *J Biol Chem* **270**, 2818–2826.
- 5 Enroth C, Eger BT, Okamoto K, Nishino T, Nishino T & Pai EF (2000) Crystal structures of bovine milk xanthine dehydrogenase and xanthine oxidase: structure-based mechanism of conversion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97, 10723–10728.
- 6 Hille R (1996) The mononuclear molybdenum enzymes. *Chem Rev* 96, 2757–2816.
- 7 Nishino T & Okamoto K (2000) The role of the [2Fe-2s] cluster centers in xanthine oxidoreductase. *J Inorg Biochem* 82, 43–49.

- 8 Jarasch ED, Grund C, Bruder G, Heid HW, Keenan TW & Franke WW (1981) Localization of xanthine oxidase in mammary-gland epithelium and capillary endothelium. *Cell* 25, 67–82.
- 9 Stirpe F & Della Corte E (1969) The regulation of rat liver xanthine oxidase. Conversion in vitro of the enzyme activity from dehydrogenase (type D) to oxidase (type O). J Biol Chem 244, 3855–3863.
- 10 Stirpe F & Della Corte E (1970) The regulation of rat liver xanthine oxidase: conversion of type D (dehydrogenase) into type O (oxidase) by a thermolabile factor, and reversibility by dithioerythritol. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 212, 195–197.
- 11 Corte ED & Stirpe F (1972) The regulation of rat liver xanthine oxidase. Involvement of thiol groups in the conversion of the enzyme activity from dehydrogenase (type D) into oxidase (type O) and purification of the enzyme. *Biochem J* **126**, 739–745.
- 12 Waud WR & Rajagopalan KV (1976) The mechanism of conversion of rat liver xanthine dehydrogenase from an NAD+-dependent form (type D) to an O2-dependent form (type O). Arch Biochem Biophys 172, 365–379.
- Nakamura M & Yamazaki I (1982) Preparation of bovine milk xanthine oxidase as a dehydrogenase form. *J Biochem* 92, 1279–1286.
- 14 Nishino T & Nishino T (1997) The conversion from the dehydrogenase type to the oxidase type of rat liver xanthine dehydrogenase by modification of cysteine residues with fluorodinitrobenzene. *J Biol Chem* 272, 29859–29864.
- 15 Ishii T, Aoki N, Noda A, Adachi T, Nakamura R & Matsuda T (1995) Carboxy-terminal cytoplasmic domain of mouse butyrophilin specifically associates with a 150kDa protein of mammary epithelial cells and milk fat globule membrane. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1245, 285–292.
- 16 McManaman JL & Bain DL (2002) Structural and conformational analysis of the oxidase to dehydrogenase conversion of xanthine oxidoreductase. *J Biol Chem* 277, 21261–21268.
- 17 Jeong J, Rao AU, Xu J, Ogg SL, Hathout Y, Fenselau C & Mather IH (2009) The PRY/SPRY/B30.2 domain of butyrophilin 1A1 (BTN1A1) binds to xanthine oxidoreductase: implications for the function of BTN1A1 in the mammary gland and other tissues. *J Biol Chem* 284, 22444–22456.
- 18 Vorbach C, Harrison R & Capecchi MR (2003) Xanthine oxidoreductase is central to the evolution and function of the innate immune system. *Trends Immunol* 24, 512–517.
- 19 Jeong J, Lisinski I, Kadegowda AK, Shin H, Wooding FB, Daniels BR, Schaack J & Mather IH (2013) A test of current models for the mechanism of milk-lipid droplet secretion. *Traffic* 14, 974–986.
- 20 Clare DA, Zheng Z, Hassan HM, Swaisgood HE & Catignani GL (2008) Antimicrobial properties of milkfat globule membrane fractions. *J Food Prot* 71, 126–133.

- 21 Martin HM, Hancock JT, Salisbury V & Harrison R (2004) Role of xanthine oxidoreductase as an antimicrobial agent. *Infect Immun* 72, 4933–4939.
- 22 Hancock JT, Salisbury V, Ovejero-Boglione MC, Cherry R, Hoare C, Eisenthal R & Harrison R (2002) Antimicrobial properties of milk: dependence on presence of xanthine oxidase and nitrite. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **46**, 3308–3310.
- 23 McCord JM & Roy RS (1982) The pathophysiology of superoxide: roles in inflammation and ischemia. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol* 60, 1346–1352.
- 24 McCord JM (1985) Oxygen-derived free radicals in postischemic tissue injury. *N Engl J Med* **312**, 159–163.
- 25 Harrison R (2002) Structure and function of xanthine oxidoreductase: where are we now? *Free Radic Biol Med* 33, 774–797.
- 26 Berry CE & Hare JM (2004) Xanthine oxidoreductase and cardiovascular disease: molecular mechanisms and pathophysiological implications. J Physiol 555, 589–606.
- 27 Papi A, Contoli M, Gasparini P, Bristot L, Edwards MR, Chicca M, Leis M, Ciaccia A, Caramori G, Johnston SL *et al.* (2008) Role of xanthine oxidase activation and reduced glutathione depletion in rhinovirus induction of inflammation in respiratory epithelial cells. *J Biol Chem* 283, 28595–28606.
- 28 Battelli MG, Bolognesi A & Polito L (2014) Pathophysiology of circulating xanthine oxidoreductase: New emerging roles for a multi-tasking enzyme. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1842, 1502–1517.
- 29 Saito T & Nishino T (1989) Differences in redox and kinetic properties between NAD-dependent and O2dependent types of rat liver xanthine dehydrogenase. *J Biol Chem* 264, 10015–10022.
- 30 Hunt J & Massey V (1992) Purification and properties of milk xanthine dehydrogenase. J Biol Chem 267, 21479–21485.
- 31 Hunt J, Massey V, Dunham WR & Sands RH (1993) Redox potentials of milk xanthine dehydrogenase.
  Room temperature measurement of the FAD and 2Fe/ 2S center potentials. *J Biol Chem* 268, 18685–18691.
- 32 Nishino T, Okamoto K, Eger BT, Pai EF & Nishino T (2008) Mammalian xanthine oxidoreductase mechanism of transition from xanthine dehydrogenase to xanthine oxidase. *FEBS J* 275, 3278–3289.
- 33 Kuwabara Y, Nishino T, Okamoto K, Matsumura T, Eger BT, Pai EF & Nishino T (2003) Unique amino acids cluster for switching from the dehydrogenase to oxidase form of xanthine oxidoreductase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100, 8170–8175.
- 34 Asai R, Nishino T, Matsumura T, Okamoto K, Igarashi K, Pai EF & Nishino T (2007) Two mutations convert mammalian xanthine oxidoreductase to highly superoxide-productive xanthine oxidase. *J Biochem* 141, 525–534.

- 35 Barber MJ, Bray RC, Lowe DJ & Coughlan MP (1976) Studies by electron-paramagnetic-resonance spectroscopy and stopped-flow spectrophotometry on the mechanism of action of turkey liver xanthine dehydrogenase. *Biochem J* 153, 297–307.
- 36 Ishikita H, Eger BT, Okamoto K, Nishino T & Pai EF (2012) Protein conformational gating of enzymatic activity in xanthine oxidoreductase. J Am Chem Soc 134, 999–1009.
- 37 Massey V, Schopfer LM, Nishino T & Nishino T (1989) Differences in protein structure of xanthine dehydrogenase and xanthine oxidase revealed by reconstitution with flavin active site probes. *J Biol Chem* 264, 10567–10573.
- 38 Nishino T, Schopfer LM & Massey V (1989) Reactivity of chicken liver xanthine dehydrogenase containing modified flavins. J Biol Chem 264, 6075–6085.
- 39 Saito T, Nishino T & Tsushima K (1989) Interconversion between NAD-dependent and O2dependent types of rat liver xanthine dehydrogenase and difference in kinetic and redox properties between them. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **253B**, 179–183.
- 40 Saito T, Nishino T & Massey V (1989) Differences in environment of FAD between NAD-dependent and O2dependent types of rat liver xanthine dehydrogenase shown by active site probe study. *J Biol Chem* 264, 15930–15935.
- 41 Nishino T, Okamoto K, Kawaguchi Y, Hori H, Matsumura T, Eger BT, Pai EF & Nishino T (2005) Mechanism of the conversion of xanthine dehydrogenase to xanthine oxidase: identification of the two cysteine disulfide bonds and crystal structure of a non-convertible rat liver xanthine dehydrogenase mutant. J Biol Chem 280, 24888–24894.
- 42 Nishino T, Amaya Y, Kawamoto S, Kashima Y, Okamoto K & Nishino T (2002) Purification and characterization of multiple forms of rat liver xanthine oxidoreductase expressed in baculovirus-insect cell system. *J Biochem* **132**, 597–606.
- 43 Ikegami T & Nishino T (1986) The presence of desulfo xanthine dehydrogenase in purified and crude enzyme preparations from rat liver. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 247, 254–260.
- 44 Massey V & Edmondson D (1970) On the mechanism of inactivation of xanthine oxidase by cyanide. *J Biol Chem* **245**, 6595–6598.
- 45 Nishino T, Usami C & Tsushima K (1983) Reversible interconversion between sulfo and desulfo xanthine oxidase in a system containing rhodanese, thiosulfate, and sulfhydryl reagent. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **80**, 1826–1829.
- 46 Wahl RC & Rajagopalan KV (1982) Evidence for the inorganic nature of the cyanolyzable sulfur of molybdenum hydroxylases. J Biol Chem 257, 1354– 1359.

- 47 Ichida K, Matsumura T, Sakuma R, Hosoya T & Nishino T (2001) Mutation of human molybdenum cofactor sulfurase gene is responsible for classical xanthinuria type II. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 282, 1194–1200.
- 48 Tsujii A & Nishino T (2008) Mechanism of transition from xanthine dehydrogenase to xanthine oxidase: effect of guanidine-HCL or urea on the activity. *Nucleosides, Nucleotides Nucleic Acids* 27, 881–887.
- 49 Stoddard BL, Dean A & Koshland DE (1993) Structure of isocitrate dehydrogenase with isocitrate, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate, and calcium at 2.5-A resolution: a pseudo-Michaelis ternary complex. *Biochemistry* 32, 9310–9316.
- 50 Nishino T & Nishino T (1989) The nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide-binding site of chicken liver xanthine dehydrogenase Evidence for alteration of the redox potential of the flavin by NAD binding or modification of the NAD-binding site and isolation of a modified peptide. J Biol Chem 264, 5468–5473.
- 51 Tai LA & Hwang KC (2001) Photoreactivation of alloxanthine-inhibited xanthine oxidase. *Photochem Photobiol* 73, 439–446.
- 52 Pauff JM, Zhang J, Bell CE & Hille R (2008) Substrate orientation in xanthine oxidase: crystal structure of enzyme in reaction with 2-hydroxy-6-methylpurine. *J Biol Chem* 283, 4818–4824.
- 53 Kamiński ZW & Jezewska MM (1979) Intermediate dehydrogenase-oxidase form of xanthine oxidoreductase in rat liver. *Biochem J* 181, 177–182.
- 54 Nishino T & Okamoto K (2015) Mechanistic insights into xanthine oxidoreductase from development studies of candidate drugs to treat hyperuricemia and gout. *J Biol Inorg Chem* 20, 195–207.
- 55 Münzel T, Sinning C, Post F, Warnholtz A & Schulz E (2008) Pathophysiology, diagnosis and prognostic implications of endothelial dysfunction. *Ann Med* 40, 180–196.
- 56 Aggarwal S, Gross CM, Sharma S, Fineman JR & Black SM (2013) Reactive oxygen species in pulmonary vascular remodeling. *Compr Physiol* 3, 1011–1034.
- 57 Grassi D, Desideri G & Ferri C (2014) New insight into urate-related mechanism of cardiovascular damage. *Curr Pharm Des* 20, 6089–6095.
- 58 Ichikawa M, Nishino T & Ichikawa A (1992) Subcellular localization of xanthine oxidase in rat hepatocytes: high-resolution immunoelectron microscopic study combined with biochemical analysis. *J Histochem Cytochem* 40, 1097–1103.
- 59 Houston M, Chumley P, Radi R, Rubbo H & Freeman BA (1998) Xanthine oxidase reaction with nitric oxide and peroxynitrite. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 355, 1–8.
- 60 Kooij A, Bosch KS, Frederiks WM & Van Noorden CJ (1992) High levels of xanthine oxidoreductase in rat endothelial, epithelial and connective tissue cells. A

relation between localization and function? *Virchows Arch B Cell Pathol Incl Mol Pathol* **62**, 143–150.

- Kooij A (1994) A re-evaluation of the tissue distribution and physiology of xanthine oxidoreductase. *Histochem J* 26, 889–915.
- 62 Bruder G, Heid H, Jarasch ED, Keenan TW & Mather IH (1982) Characteristics of membrane-bound and soluble forms of xanthine oxidase from milk and endothelial cells of capillaries. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 701, 357–369.
- 63 Saugstad OD (1975) Hypoxanthine as a measurement of hypoxia. *Pediatr Res* **9**, 158–161.
- 64 Mineo I, Kono N, Hara N, Shimizu T, Yamada Y, Kawachi M, Kiyokawa H, Wang YL & Tarui S (1987) Myogenic hyperuricemia, A common pathophysiologic feature of glycogenosis types III, V, and VII. N Engl J Med 317, 75–80.
- 65 Nishino T, Nishino T & Tsushima K (1981) Purification of highly active milk xanthine oxidase by affinity chromatography on Sepharose 4B/folate gel. *FEBS Lett* 131, 369–372.
- 66 Nishino T & Tsushima K (1986) Interaction of milk xanthine oxidase with folic acid. Inhibition of milk xanthine oxidase by folic acid and separation of the enzyme into two fractions on Sepharose 4B/folate gel. *J Biol Chem* 261, 11242–11246.
- 67 Laemmli UK (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature* 227, 680–685.
- 68 Klinman JP (1972) The mechanism of enzyme-catalyzed reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide-dependent reductions, Substituent and isotope effects in the yeast alcohol dehydrogenase reaction. *J Biol Chem* 247, 7977–7987.
- 69 Waud WR & Rajagopalan KV (1976) Purification and properties of the NAD+-dependent (type D) and O2dependent (type O) forms of rat liver xanthine dehydrogenase. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 172, 354–364.
- 70 Otwinowski Z & Minor W (1997) Processing of Xray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. In: Methods in Enzymology (Carter CW & Sweet RM) 276, part A, pp. 307–326. Academic Press, New York.
- 71 Vagin A & Teplyakov AJ (1997) MOLREP: an automated program for molecular replacement. *Appl Cryst* 30, 1022–1102.
- 72 Emsley P & Cowtan K (2004) Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Cryst D* 60, 2126– 2132.
- 73 Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4 (1994) The CCP4 suite: programs for protein crystallography. *Acta Cryst D* **50**, 760–763.
- 74 DeLano WL (2002) The PyMOL molecular graphycs system on world wide web. http://www.pymol.org