# **Contrast-enhanced CT imaging for the assessment of lymph node status in patients with colorectal cancer**

SHI-SONG MIAO<sup>1,2\*</sup>, YUAN-FEI LU<sup>1\*</sup>, HAI-YAN CHEN<sup>1</sup>, QING-MENG LIU<sup>3</sup>, JIE-YU CHEN<sup>1</sup>, YAO PAN<sup>1</sup> and RI-SHENG YU<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310009; Departments of <sup>2</sup>Radiology and <sup>3</sup>Pathology, Shaoxing Second Hospital, Shaoxing, Zhejiang 312000, P.R. China

Received February 9, 2019; Accepted December 12, 2019

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2020.11454

Abstract. The aim of the present study was to identify a novel strategy that predicts the metastatic status of lymph nodes (LNs) in patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer, using detailed characteristics of contrast-enhanced CT scan images. A total of 284 preoperative CT scans derived from patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer at Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine between January 2013 and July 2018 were retrospectively reviewed. A total of 794 LNs were assessed for size, margins, morphology and subtle internal enhancements in the equilibrium phase. Imaging features were analyzed by two abdominal radiologists (Department of Radiology, Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine and Departments of Radiology; Shaoxing Second Hospital Departments of Radiology, Shaoxing Second Hospital) in a blind manner. If the conclusions were not concordant, the final score was determined by a senior radiologist who specialized in abdominal radiology for  $\geq 30$  years. According to the histopathology results, 27.3% (217/794) of LNs were metastatic (LN+). In addition, LNs >10 mm in size demonstrated sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive values (PPVs) and negative predictive values (NPVs) of 47.0, 80.9, 48.1 and 80.2%, respectively [odds ratio (OR), 3.77; 95% confidence interval (CI), 2.69-5.28]. LNs in the shape of a kidney bean (middle fat depression like kidney) and/or those with an oblong shape were more likely to be metastasis negative LNs (LN-), while

\*Contributed equally

lobulated and irregular LNs were more likely to be LN+. In magnified images, internal enhancement characteristics of LN- were defined as homogeneous, spotted, striped and core enhancing. By contrast, rim and heterogeneity enhancement features for LN+ demonstrated sensitivity, specificity, PPVs and NPVs of 46.5, 89.9, 63.5 and 81.7%, respectively (OR, 7.79; 95% CI, 5.33-11.40). The results demonstrated that the internal enhancement features of LNs may be used as a predictor of metastasis. The detailed benign characteristics, such as homogeneity, spotted, striped and core enhancement of LNs may facilitate the identification of LN- in patients with colorectal cancer.

# Introduction

Colorectal cancer is one of the most prevalent cancers worldwide, with the third highest global mortality rate (1-3). The incidence and mortality of colorectal cancer varies widely by race and ethnicity (4). The second most common cancer sites were cancers of the colorectal in Europe (1). The World Health Organization estimates that by 2030, the number of newly diagnosed colorectal cancer cases will increase by 77% and the number of colorectal cancer deaths will increase by 80% (2).

Western-style lifestyle-related cancers such as breast and colorectal cancers are rapidly increasing in Chinese cities (5). Studies have identified numerous prognostic markers for colorectal cancer, such as age, T-stage and N-stage (6). An important independent predictor is the number of invaded lymph nodes (LNs) (7-9). The accurate identification of metastatic LNs (LN+) contributes to pre-operative cancer staging and influences treatment selection in clinical practice such as endoscopic resection or surgery, preoperative neoadjuvant chemotherapy (10).

With advances in CT technology, the value of using CT to assess the tumor and node stages of patients with colorectal cancer has been demonstrated (11). However, the accuracy of assessing LN status remains unreliable and quite low; with sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of detecting regional lymph nodes metastases being 71, 41 and 54%, respectively (11,12). To the best of our knowledge, no effective imaging criteria for assessing LN+ in colorectal cancer have currently been identified. At present, LN size is the most common predictor of LN status in the clinical practice (12). A

*Correspondence to:* Dr Ri-Sheng Yu, Department of Radiology, Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, 88 Jie-Fang Road, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310009, P.R. China E-mail: risheng-yu@zju.edu.cn

Abbreviations: LN, lymph node; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval

*Key words:* colon neoplasms, rectal neoplasms, lymph nodes, CT, accuracy

threshold size of 10 mm is considered to be strong evidence of LN+ (13-16), and in a previous systematic review and meta-analysis, the sensitivity, specificity and odds ratio (OR) for LN+ were 71.0, 67.0 and 4.8%, respectively (12).

Internal enhancement of CT images is an alternative method to assess the degree of metastasis to LNs (17). Internal heterogeneity is considered a marker of LN+ (17). Subtle changes in internal enhancement features may provide additional valuable information for diagnosing LNs metastasis.

The aim of the present study was to use contrast-enhanced CT to improve the identification of LN+ in patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer.

# Materials and methods

*Patients*. The present retrospective study was performed at the Departments of Surgery and Radiology of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine (Hangzhou, China) and was approved by the Local Ethics committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine. The requirement for written informed consent from patients was waived due to the retrospective design of the study.

CT images from 284 patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer that had undergone radical surgery between January 2013 and July 2018 were collected. The inclusion criteria for the present study were as follows: i) Pathological diagnosis of colorectal cancer; ii) pre-operative CT scan; iii) resection of colorectal cancer, loco-regional LN-bearing mesentery and 12-15 recruited LNs. Exclusion criteria: i) Patients that had previously received treatment, including preoperative neoadjuvant radiotherapy or chemotherapy; ii) presented with metastasis to other organs; iii) had malignant disease of any abdominal or pelvic organ. Patient medical records were used to collect additional information, including age, sex and body mass index.

*Image acquisition*. For all patients, a multidetector-row helical CT scan (Somatom Definition AS 40-row; Siemens Healthineers) was performed, which ranged from the cartilago ensiformis and the anal verge, with 3 mm axial sections and no intersection gap. Non-ionic contrast agent (Omnipaque 300 g/l; GE Healthcare Sciences) was intravenously injected at a rate of 3 ml/sec following a non-enhanced CT scan. The arterial phase (25 sec delay), portal venous phase (60 sec delay) and equilibrium phase (100 sec delay) were obtained. Coronal reconstructions were performed in order to observe the lesions. The following scan parameters were used: Voltage, 120 kV; tube current, 160 mA/sec; slice collimation, 0.6 mm; slice thickness, 3 mm; pitch, 1.2; overlap, 50%; field of view, 32 cm.

*Pathology*. All patients underwent radical surgical resection of the colorectal carcinoma and the loco-regional LNs and mesentery in the drainage area of the mass (17). In the Tumor-Node-Metastasis (6) staging criteria for colorectal cancer, LNs that can be removed by radical surgery were defined as loco-regional LNs (18,19). A light microscope was used at 100 x magnification. Histopathological analysis of the LNs was performed by two experienced pathologists (written by a junior pathologist, Shi Dan, attending physician, Department of Pathology, Shaoxing Second Hospital and reviewed by a senior pathologist, Liu Qing-Meng, chief physician, Department of Pathology, Shaoxing Second Hospital) in a blind manner. LNs were sectioned (thickness,  $4-5 \mu m$ ), fixed in 10% formalin at room temperature for 24-36 h, embedded in paraffin and stained with hematoxylin and eosin at room temperature for ~55 min (cat. no. CG008, Ningbo Tongsheng Biotechnology Co., Ltd). For the LN metastasis negative (LN-) group, no metastasis was observed in all 12-15 loco-regional LNs, nor in the LNs at the origin of the inferior mesenteric artery (0/1). For the LN+ group, according to pathological results, cases with a metastatic LN ratio of  $\geq 0.8$  were included, which meant metastatic LNs/harvested LNs  $\geq 0.8$ . The area located  $\leq 5$  cm from the distal edge of the tumor, which had a higher incidence of metastatic LNs (20). According to the surgical records, the area of the corresponding LNs was determined.

*Image analysis*. The CT images were analyzed by two radiologists specialized in gastrointestinal imaging (Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine and Shaoxing Second Hospital) and were blinded to the experimental groups. If the radiologists disagreed, a third radiologist with >30 years of experience was consulted and provided the final decision. LN size, margin, morphology and internal characteristics in the equilibrium phase were recorded.

Round-shaped LNs were considered as those with a short/long axis ratio of  $\geq 0.7$  (21). In magnified images, detailed internal enhancement characteristics were classified into the following 6 types: Homogeneous, spotted (Fig. 1), striped (Fig. 2), core (Fig. 3), rim and heterogeneous. The spotted characteristic manifested as the appearance of small low intensity circles, which were similar in size ( $\leq 3$  mm), with clear boundaries. The striped characteristic was defined as regular arrangements of linear belts of low enhancement. The core characteristics were defined as LNs with spots  $\geq 3$  mm in size and with irregular boundaries. The rim characteristic appeared as a central low intensity rim peripherally.

Statistical analysis. Data analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 23.0; IBM Corp.). Descriptive statistics (Table I, median and range, percentage; Table II/III, percentage) were calculated for the LN characteristics, including the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) and ORs. The following formula was used to analyze data: Diagnostic accuracy = (true positive + true negative)/totality. The  $\chi^2$  test (Tables II and III) was performed to ascertain significant predictors of LN+. For  $\chi^2$  test the group lacking the characteristic A (reference to the characteristics involved in the present study) was used as the baseline to calculate the risk of characteristic A. In Table III, using first columns as criteria for predicting LNs status, LNs were classified into the two groups to form a crosstab, and finally the  $\chi^2$  test was used to calculate the P-value. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

# Results

Patients and histopathology. A total of 284 patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer (confirmed by histopathological



Figure 1. Spotted characteristics (A) Colon cancer in the ascending cancer. (B) Transverse contrast-enhanced CT scan images showing a tumor located in the ascending colon, and a lymph node showing spotted characteristics (magnification 5x). (C) The region of the pathological section indicated with a white arrow, exhibited several dilated subcapsular sinuses (magnification, 100x). (D) An additional lymph node (white arrow) with spotted characteristics.



Figure 2. Stripe enhancement characteristics. (A) Contrast-enhanced CT scan images in the equilibrium phase with colon cancer in the ileocecum. (B) A lymph node with stripe enhancement characteristics. (C) The white arrow indicates the interlinked capsular sinus of the lymph node.

analysis) that had undergone radical surgical resection were analyzed in the present study and 794 LNs were obtained. Among these patients, 132 were male and 152 were female (median age, 61.71 years; range, 17-91 years; median body mass index, 22.76; range, 15.67-38.50). Out of the 794 LNs obtained, 217 were LN+ and 577 were LN-, with a median size of 7.95 mm (range, 4.60-30.00 mm). The tumor location, and T and N stages of tumors are presented in Table I. No significant association between body mass index, age, sex and the size of LNs was observed (P=0.492, P=0.950 and P=0.555, respectively; data not shown).

Internal enhancement and morphology of LNs. According to the ORs (Table II), kidney bean and oblong shapes were most

likely to be LN-, while rounded, lobulated and irregular shapes were most likely to predict LN+, with sensitivity, specificity, PPVs and NPVs of 70.50% (153/217), 45.10% (260/577), 32.60% (153/470) and 80.20% (260/324), respectively (OR, 1.96; 95% CI, 1.40-2.70; Table III).

According to the ORs (Table II), homogeneous, spotted, striped and core internal enhancement characteristics were indicators of LN-, while rim and heterogeneous characteristics indicated LN+, with sensitivity, specificity, PPVs and NPVs of 46.50% (101/217), 89.90% (519/577), 63.50% (101/159) and 81.70% (519/635), respectively (OR, 7.79; 95% CI, 5.33-11.40; Table III). Statistical analysis of the results demonstrated that a rounded shape (P=0.425) and internal homogeneity (P=0.26) on their own were not significantly different between LN- and LN+.

3454



Figure 3. (A) Contrast-enhanced, equilibrium phase CT scan images of a lymph node with colon cancer of the rectum. (B) A lymph node exhibiting core enhancement features. (C) The black arrow indicates a small blood vessel in the central region of the lymph node. (D) The white arrow indicates the capsule of the lymph node and blood vessels in this region were rare.

Evaluation of LN size. As presented in Table III, LNs  $\geq 10$  mm in size demonstrated sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPVs of 47.00% (102/217), 80.90% (467/577), 48.10% (102/212) and 80.20% (467/582), respectively [OR, 3.77; 95% confidence interval (CI), 2.69-5.28). LNs  $\geq 8$  mm in size demonstrated sensitivity, specificity, PPVs and NPVs of 71.40% (155/217), 58.10% (335/577), 39.00% (155/397) and 84.40% (335/397), respectively (OR, 3.46; 95% CI, 2.47-4.85). A significant association was observed between the size of LNs and tumor stage (P=0.001).

Combining size and internal enhancement characteristics. By combining LN size and internal enhancement characteristics, the metastatic status of LNs was subsequently estimated in the present study. The results revealed that LNs <10 mm or  $\geq$ 10 mm in size with benign internal enhancement characteristics predicted LN-. These features demonstrated sensitivity, specificity, PPVs, NPVs and a diagnostic accuracy of 32.30% (70/217), 96.40% (556/577), 76.90% (70/91), 79.00% (556/704) and 79.10% (628/794), respectively (Table III). In addition, LNs <8 or  $\geq$ 8 mm in size with benign internal enhancement features predicted LN-, with sensitivity, specificity, PPVs, NPVs and a diagnostic accuracy of 38.70% (84/217), 93.40% (539/577), 68.90% (84/122), 80.2% (539/672) and 78.5% (623/794), respectively (Table III). For LNs  $\geq$ 10 mm in size (n=212), using the internal enhancement criteria would prevent 42.0% (n=89) of LNs from being wrongly diagnosed as LN+ and neglect 9.9% (n=21) of metastatic LNs. For LNs  $\geq$ 8 mm in size (n=397), using the internal enhancement criteria would prevent 51.4% (n=204) of LNs from being wrongly diagnosed as LN+ and neglect 9.6% (n=38) of metastatic LNs.

# Discussion

Metastasis to regional LNs is an independent risk factor for the prognosis of colorectal cancer (22). According to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC; 8th Edition) (6), node stage represents the number of positive LNs (22,23). However, the criteria for predicting LN+ based on CT images varies (17,24). The most common criteria for LN+ is the presence of regional LNs >10 mm in size and/or clusters of  $\geq$ 3 LNs (10,25-28). In addition, LNs  $\geq$ 8 mm in size also predicts LN+ (29). Rodriguez-Bigas *et al* (30) reported that LN size did not affect whether the tumor became metastatic, and the majority of metastatic LNs were <5 mm in size. Using CT scan images, de Vries et al (12) demonstrated that the diagnostic accuracy of using LNs in patients diagnosed with colon cancer was only 54%. At present, the major disadvantage of using CT scan images is the poor efficiency in differentiating malignant and benign LNs (12). Relying on LN size to predict LN+ may be problematic, as the size of LN- may be falsely diagnosed as LN+, due to inflammation (21). Despite this, larger LNs are more likely to be LN+, with increasing specificity, but decreasing

Variable	Value
Age, years, median (range)	61.71 (17-91)
BMI (median, range)	22.76 (15.67-38.50)
Size, mm, median (range)	7.95 (4.60-30.00)
Sex, male/female, n (%)	132 (46.5)/152 (53.5)
Lymph node status,	217 (27.3)/577 (72.7)
positive/negative (%)	
Tumor T stage, n (%)	
T1	10 (3.5)
T2	25 (8.8)
Т3	148 (52.1)
T4	101 (35.6)
Tumor N stage, n (%)	
NO	217 (76.4)
N2	67 (23.6)
Tumor localization, n (%)	
Ascending colon	113 (39.8)
Transverse colon	19 (6.7)
Descending colon	22 (7.7)
Sigmoid colon	51 (18.0)
Rectum	79 (27.8)
Shape, n (%)	
Round	355 (44.7)
Kidney-bean	176 (22.2)
Oblong	148 (18.6)
Lobulated	42 (5.3)
Irregular	73 (9.2)
Inner enhancement, n (%)	
Homogeneity	30 (3.8)
Spotted	311 (39.2)
Stripe	267 (33.6)
Core	26 (3.3)
Rim	91 (11.5)
Heterogeneity	69 (8.7)
BMI, body mass index.	

Table I. Patient characteristics including CT scan variables of 284 patients and 794 lymph nodes.

Table II.  $\chi^2$  test to detect metastatic lymph nodes of different characteristics.

		Risk						
		95% con inte						
Variables	Odds ratio	Lower Upper		P-value				
Margin	1.59	1.12	2.24	0.009				
Size, ≥10 mm	3.77	2.69	5.28	<0.001				
Size, ≥8 mm	3.46	2.47	4.85	<0.001				
Shape								
Round	1.14	0.83	1.55	0.425				
Kidney-bean	0.65	0.43	0.97	0.033				
Oblong	0.56	0.36	0.87	0.009				
Lobulated	2.21	1.19	4.12	0.020				
Irregular	2.41	1.47	3.93	< 0.001				
Shape criteria	1.96	1.4	2.7	< 0.001				
Inner enhancement								
Homogeneity	0.52	0.2	1.38	0.260				
Spotted	0.43	0.3	0.6	< 0.001				
Striped	0.59	0.41	0.83	0.002				
Core	0.1	0.01	0.76	0.012				
Rim	9.06	5.56	14.78	<0.001				
Heterogeneity	3.28	1.99	5.41	<0.001				

lack of blood supply and subsequent central necrosis may then occur in the medulla (32). In the present study, spotted enhancement features in pathological sections revealed several dilated subcapsular sinuses, which may coincide with the low enhancement and small circle area (Fig. 1). The low enhancement and striped area of the striped characteristic may correspond to the interlinked capsular sinus (Fig. 2). Compared with the size criteria, internal enhancement criteria demonstrated improved PPV and diagnostic accuracy, while other parameters remained stable. Among the internal enhancement characteristics, core enhancement demonstrated excellent efficiency in estimating LN-. The spotted and striped characteristics were also able to differentiate between LN+ and LN-. These results may lead to changes in cancer staging according to the AJCC criteria (6), particularly for patients diagnosed with T3-4 stage. During pre-operative assessment of these patients, LN+ is a criterion for upgrading the lesion from stage II to stage III (22).

The present study identified internal enhancement characteristics and classified them into several groups. A previous study suggested that internal heterogeneity may be a feature of LN+ (17). The present study demonstrated that internal enhancement features of LNs varied upon magnification of the images, even though they may appear similar in normal unmagnified CT images. Therefore, to the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to classify the detailed internal enhancement features of LN CT images to differentiate between LN- and LN+. The results revealed that detailed internal enhancement characteristics were superior to LN size when assessing LN status.

sensitivity (11). Consistent with these results, the present study demonstrated that LNs  $\geq$ 8 mm in size exhibited sensitivity and specificity values of 71.40 and 58.10%, respectively, while LNs  $\geq$ 10 mm in size demonstrated sensitivity and specificity values of 47.00 and 80.90%, respectively. However, regardless of size (8 or 10 mm), false positive rates are high, which is the major disadvantage of using these criteria.

Internal enhancement characteristics of LNs may be helpful in estimating LNs status (17). Previous studies have indicated that heterogeneity and rim enhancement features on CT images may be characteristics of LN+ (21). This may be explained by the invasion of tumor cells into the sub capsular sinus via afferent lymphatic vessels (31), leading to infiltration and damage of lymphoid tissue, which is then replaced by tumor cells (32). A

Variable	Sensitivity, %	Specificity, %	PPV, %	NPV, %	Diagnostic accuracy, %	OR	P-value
Margin	31.30	77.60	34.50	75.00	65.00	1.59	0.009
Size ≥10 mm	47.00	80.90	48.10	80.20	71.70	3.77	< 0.001
Size ≥8 mm	71.40	58.10	39.00	84.40	56.70	3.46	<0.001
Shape criteria	70.40	45.10	32.60	80.20	52.00	1.96	<0.001
Internal							
enhancement criteria	46.50	89.90	63.50	81.70	78.10	7.79	<0.001
Size ≥10 mm + internal enhancement	32.30	96.40	76.90	79.00	79.10	12.01	<0.001
Size ≥8 mm + internal enhancement	38.70	93.40	68.90	80.20	78.50	8.71	<0.001

Table III. Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, diagnostic accuracy and distributions of the different computed-tomography characteristics of lymph nodes.

Shape criteria: Kidney bean and oblong shapes were evidence of  $LN^{-}$ ; rounded, lobulated and irregular shapes were evidence to predict  $LN^{+}$ . Internal enhancement criteria: Homogeneous, spotted, striped and core internal enhancement characteristics were evidence of  $LN^{-}$ , while rim and heterogeneous characteristics indicated  $LN^{+}$ . PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; OR, odds radio; LN, lymph node.

The present study attempted to combine internal enhancement characteristics with LN size to increase the diagnostic accuracy, in order to resolve problems with using LN size alone as an objective criterion. For LNs  $\geq$ 10 mm in size (n=212), using the internal enhancement criteria would prevent 42.0% (n=89) of LNs from being wrongly diagnosed as LN+; however, it would neglect 9.9% (n=21) of metastatic LNs. For LNs  $\geq$ 8 mm in size (n=397), using the internal enhancement criteria would prevent 51.4% (n=204) of LNs from being wrongly diagnosed as LN+ and neglect 9.6% (n=38) of metastatic LNs. Therefore, the present study suggests that combining LN size with internal enhancement criteria may decrease false positive results and decrease false negative results.

LN morphology is an additional variable used to assess the metastatic status of LNs (17,21). LN- are generally kidney bean-shaped (33) and oblong (21,34,35), whereas LN+ are irregularly-shaped and lobulated (17). McMahon *et al* (21) revealed that a short-to-long axis ratio of >0.7 was able to effectively differentiate LN- from LN+. However, according to the results of the present study, the rounded shape of LNs was not observed to be a significant predictor of LN+. The underlying reasons for these inconsistencies are currently unclear and require further investigation.

Previous studies have assessed the value of MRI and positron emission tomography in predicting the metastatic status of LNs (10,36,37). Doyon *et al* (38) and Gagliardi *et al* (39) demonstrated that a threshold value of >5 mm could be used to identify LN+. Margin characteristics and the signal intensity of LNs were also significant variables for the assessment of LN metastatic status in patients with colon cancer (24). However, the use of MRI to assess LN status may lead to overdiagnosis (36), and therefore may be an unreliable tool (40,41). The performance of positron emission tomography combined with fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose for predicting LN+ may also be insufficient to assess LN status (42,43).

The present study has several limitations. First, it was a retrospective study involving the analysis of loco-regional LNs from patients with colorectal cancer, thereby introducing bias when interpreting the results. Secondly, the imaging and histopathological analysis of LNs in the present study were not matched one by one. However, for the LN metastasis negative (LN-) group, no metastasis was observed in all 12-15 loco-regional LNs, nor in the LNs at the origin of the inferior mesenteric artery (0/1). For the LN+ group, cases with a metastatic LN ratio of  $\geq 0.8$  were included. Third, LNs with a diameter of  $\leq 4.5$  mm were difficult to identify from the internal enhancement of CT images, as the diameters of harvested LNs ranged from 4.60-30.00 mm. Finally, the number of LNs analyzed was insufficient, and a larger sample size is required in order to confirm the results.

In conclusion, the present study identified novel internal enhancement characteristics, including the spotted, striped and core enhancement features on magnified CT images that may facilitate the identification of LN- in patients with colorectal cancer.

# Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr Dan Shi (attending physician, Department of Pathology, Shaoxing Second Hospital, Shaoxing, China) for reviewing and supervising the histopathological analysis of the lymph nodes.

# Funding

No funding was received.

### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

# Authors' contributions

SM and YL analyzed and interpreted the patient data regarding colorectal cancers. SM, YL and HC wrote the manuscript. HC made substantial contributions to analysis and interpretation

of data. QL performed the histological examination of the lesions. JC and YP contributed to acquisition of data for the work. RY conceived the concept and designed the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the local Ethics committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine (Hangzhou, China). The requirement for written informed consent from patients was waived due to the retrospective design of the study.

# Patient consent for publication

The patient(s) referred to in this study provided consent for the publication of their information.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### References

- 1. Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, Dyba T, Randi G, Bettio M, Gavin A, Visser O and Bray F: Cancer incidence and mortality patterns in Europe: Estimates for 40 countries and 25 major cancers in 2018. Eur J Cancer 103: 356-387, 2018.
- 2. Binefa G, Rodriguez-Moranta F, Teule A and Medina-Hayas M: Colorectal cancer: From prevention to personalized medicine. World J Gastroenterol 20: 6786-6808, 2014.
- 3. Siegel R, Naishadham D and Jemal A: Cancer statistics, 2013. CA Cancer J Clin 63: 11-30, 2013.
- 4. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fedewa SA, Ahnen DJ, Meester RGS, Barzi A and Jemal A: Colorectal cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin 67: 177-193, 2017.
- 5. Pan R, Zhu M, Yu C, Lv J, Guo Y, Bian Z, Yang L, Chen Y, Hu Z, Chen Z, et al: Cancer incidence and mortality: A cohort study in China, 2008-2013. Int J Cancer 141: 1315-1323, 2017.
- 6. Weiser MR: AJCC 8th Edition: Colorectal cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 25: 1454-1455, 2018.
- 7. Ceelen W, Van Nieuwenhove Y and Pattyn P: Prognostic value of the lymph node ratio in stage III colorectal cancer: A systematic review. Ann Surg Oncol 17: 2847-2855, 2010.
- 8. Rosenberg R, Friederichs J, Schuster T, Gertler R, Maak M, Becker K, Grebner A, Ulm K, Höfler H, Nekarda H and Siewert JR: Prognosis of patients with colorectal cancer is associated with lymph node ratio: A single-center analysis of 3,026 patients over a 25-year time period. Ann Surg 248: 968-978, 2008
- 9. Kim YS, Kim JH, Yoon SM, Choi EK, Ahn SD, Lee SW, Kim JC, Yu CS, Kim HC, Kim TW and Chang HM: lymph node ratio as a prognostic factor in patients with stage III rectal cancer treated with total mesorectal excision followed by chemoradiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 74: 796-802, 2009.
- 10. Choi J, Oh SN, Yeo DM, Kang WK, Jung CK, Kim SW and Park MY: Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging evaluation of lymph node metastasis in early colorectal cancer. World J Gastroenterol 21: 556-562, 2015.
- 11. Dighe S, Purkayastha S, Swift I, Tekkis PP, Darzi A, A'Hern R and Brown G: Diagnostic precision of CT in local staging of colon cancers: A meta-analysis. Clin Radiol 65: 708-719, 2010.
- 12. de Vries FE, da Costa DW, van der Mooren K, van Dorp TA and Vrouenraets BC: The value of pre-operative computed tomography scanning for the assessment of lymph node status in patients with colon cancer. Eur J Surg Oncol 40: 1777-1781, 2014.
- 13. Leufkens AM, van den Bosch MA, van Leeuwen MS and Siersema PD: Diagnostic accuracy of computed tomography for colon cancer staging: A systematic review. Scand J Gastroenterol 46: 887-894, 2011.
- 14. Burton S, Brown G, Bees N, Norman A, Biedrzycki O, Arnaout A, Abulafi AM and Swift RI: Accuracy of CT prediction of poor prognostic features in colonic cancer. Br J Radiol 81: 10-19, 2008.

- 15. Gazelle GS, Gaa J, Saini S and Shellito P: Staging of colon carcinoma using water enema CT. J Comput Assist Tomogr 19: 87-91, 1995.
- 16. Acunas B, Rozanes I, Acunas G, Celik L, Sayi I and Gokmen E: Preoperative CT staging of colon carcinoma (excluding the recto-sigmoid region). Eur J Radiol 11: 150-153, 1990.
- 17. Rollven E, Abraham-Nordling M, Holm T and Blomqvist L: Assessment and diagnostic accuracy of lymph node status to predict stage III colon cancer using computed tomography. Cancer Imaging 17: 3, 2017.
- 18. Edge SB and Compton CC: The American joint committee on cancer: The 7th edition of the AJCC cancer staging manual and the future of TNM. Ann Surg Oncol 17: 1471-1474, 2010.
- 19. Amin MB, Greene FL, Edge SB, Compton CC, Gershenwald JE, Brookland RK, Meyer L, Gress DM, Byrd DR and Winchester DP: The Eighth Edition AJCC cancer staging manual: Continuing to build a bridge from a population-based to a more 'personalized' approach to cancer staging. CA Cancer J Clin 67: 93-99, 2017. 20. Yamaoka Y, Kinugasa Y, Shiomi A, Yamaguchi T, Kagawa H,
- Yamakawa Y, Furutani A and Manabe S: The distribution of lymph node metastases and their size in colon cancer. Langenbecks Arch Surg 402: 1213-1221, 2017.
- 21. McMahon CJ, Rofsky NM and Pedrosa I: Lymphatic metastases from pelvic tumors: Anatomic classification, characterization, and staging. Radiology 254: 31-46, 2010.22. Jin M and Frankel WL: Lymph node metastasis in colorectal
- cancer. Surg Oncol Clin N Am 27: 401-412, 2018
- 23. Hari DM, Leung AM, Lee JH, Sim MS, Vuong B, Chiu CG and Bilchik AJ: AJCC cancer staging manual 7th edition criteria for colon cancer: Do the complex modifications improve prognostic assessment? J Am Coll Surg 217: 181-190, 2013.
- Brown G, Richards CJ, Bourne MW, Newcombe RG, Radcliffe AG, Dallimore NS and Williams GT: Morphologic predictors of lymph node status in rectal cancer with use of high-spatial-resolution MR imaging with histopathologic comparison. Radiology 227: 371-377, 2003.
- 25. Harvey CJ, Amin Z, Hare CM, Gillams AR, Novelli MR, Boulos PB and Lees WR: Helical CT pneumocolon to assess colonic tumors: Radiologic-pathologic correlation. AJR Am J Roentgenol 170: 1439-1443, 1998
- 26. Smith NJ, Bees N, Barbachano Y, Norman AR, Swift RI and Brown G: Preoperative computed tomography staging of nonmetastatic colon cancer predicts outcome: Implications for clinical trials. Br J Cancer 96: 1030-1036, 2007.
- 27. Hundt W, Braunschweig R and Reiser M: Evaluation of spiral CT in staging of colon and rectum carcinoma. Eur Radiol 9: 78-84, 1999
- 28. Nerad E, Lahaye MJ, Maas M, Nelemans P, Bakers FC, Beets GL and Beets-Tan RG: Diagnostic accuracy of CT for local staging of colon cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. AJR Am J Roentgenol 207: 984-995, 2016.
- 29. Chi YK, Zhang XP, Li J and Sun YS: To be or not to be: significance of lymph nodes on pretreatment CT in predicting survival of rectal cancer patients. Eur J Radiol 77: 473-477, 2011.
- 30. Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Maamoun S, Weber TK, Penetrante RB, Blumenson LE and Petrelli NJ: Clinical significance of colorectal cancer: Metastases in lymph nodes <5 mm in size. Ann Surg Oncol 3: 124-130, 1996.
- 31. Servais EL, Colovos C, Bograd AJ, White J, Sadelain M and Adusumilli PS: Animal models and molecular imaging tools to investigate lymph node metastases. J Mol Med (Berl) 89: 753-769, 2011.
- 32. Li L, Mori S, Sakamoto M, Takahashi S and Kodama T: Mouse model of lymph node metastasis via afferent lymphatic vessels for development of imaging modalities. PLoS One 8: e55797, 2013.
- 33. Bontumasi N, Jacobson JA, Caoili E, Brandon C, Kim SM and Jamadar D: Inguinal lymph nodes: Size, number, and other characteristics in asymptomatic patients by CT. Surg Radiol Anat 36: 1051-1055, 2014
- 34. Willard-Mack CL: Normal structure, function, and histology of lymph nodes. Toxicol Pathol 34: 409-424, 2006.
- 35. van der Valk P and Meijer CJ: The histology of reactive lymph nodes. Am J Surg Pathol 11: 866-882, 1987.
- 36. Ogawa M, Ichiba N, Watanabe M and Yanaga K: The usefulness of diffusion MRI in detection of lymph node metastases of colorectal cancer. Anticancer Res 36: 815-819, 2016.
- 37. Kaur H, Choi H, You YN, Rauch GM, Jensen CT, Hou P, Chang GJ, Skibber JM and Ernst RD: MR imaging for preoperative evaluation of primary rectal cancer: Practical considerations. Radiographics 32: 389-409, 2012.

- 38. Doyon F, Attenberger UI, Dinter DJ, Schoenberg SO, Post S and Kienle P: Clinical relevance of morphologic MRI criteria for the assessment of lymph nodes in patients with rectal cancer. Int J Colorectal Dis 30: 1541-1546, 2015.
- Gagliardi G, Bayar S, Smith R and Salem RR: Preoperative staging of rectal cancer using magnetic resonance imaging with external phase-arrayed coils. Arch Surg 137: 447-451, 2002.
  Nerad E, Lambregts DM, Kersten EL, Maas M, Bakers FC,
- 40. Nerad E, Lambregts DM, Kersten EL, Maas M, Bakers FC, van den Bosch HC, Grabsch HI, Beets-Tan RG and Lahaye MJ: MRI for Local Staging of Colon Cancer: Can MRI Become the Optimal Staging Modality for Patients With Colon Cancer? Dis Colon Rectum 60: 385-392, 2017.
- Hunter C, Blake H, Jeyadevan N, Abulafi M, Swift I, Toomey P and Brown G: Local staging and assessment of colon cancer with 1.5-T magnetic resonance imaging. Br J Radiol 89: 20160257, 2016.
- 42. Bae SU, Won KS, Song BI, Jeong WK, Baek SK and Kim HW: Accuracy of F-18 FDG PET/CT with optimal cut-offs of maximum standardized uptake value according to size for diagnosis of regional lymph node metastasis in patients with rectal cancer. Cancer Imaging 18: 32, 2018.
- 43. Abdel-Nabi H, Doerr RJ, Lamonica DM, Cronin VR, Galantowicz PJ, Carbone GM and Spaulding MB: Staging of primary colorectal carcinomas with fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose whole-body PET: correlation with histopathologic and CT findings. Radiology 206: 755-760, 1998.

