RESEARCH LETTER

Timed Creatinine Clearance and Measured Glomerular Filtration Rate in Living Kidney Donors

To the Editor:

Assessment of predonation glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is a key aspect of the evaluation of potential living kidney donors. In the United States, measurement of donor GFR is a regulatory requirement and most commonly assessed using 24-hour timed creatinine clearance ($CrCl_{24}$), despite the potential for error due to incorrectly timed urine sample collection and tubular creatinine secretion.^{1,2} We aimed to determine the real-world performance of $CrCl_{24}$ in living donor candidates.

We performed a retrospective cross-sectional study of living kidney donor candidates evaluated at our center. This study was approved by the Columbia University Medical Center institutional review board (#AAAI1288). We identified 279 consecutive candidates who underwent cold iothalamate clearance testing from 2018-2021 for GFR assessment as part of living kidney donation evaluation. At our center, a GFR ≥ 80 mL/min/1.73 m² is used to determine suitability for donation for most candidates. Donor candidates were referred for iothalamate clearance testing if either Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration 2009 creatinine-based estimated GFR (eGFR) or $CrCl_{24}$ was <90 mL/min/1.73 m², if the candidate was unable to perform a timed urinary collection, or if the testing was requested by the evaluating nephrologist. After excluding donors with incomplete data (see detailed methods in Item S1), we analyzed a final cohort of 212 donor candidates.

Demographic information was obtained from the medical record. Body surface area was calculated using the Gehan & George formula.³ Donor candidates performed ambulatory 24-hour urine collections, CrCl₂₄ was calculated as the product of 24-hour urinary creatinine concentration and urine volume divided by serum creatinine concentration, then adjusted for body surface area. Serum creatinine and cystatin C values were used to calculate eGFR using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration 2021 combined creatinine and cystatin C equation (eGFR_{crcvs}).⁴ "Measured" GFR (mGFR) was determined based on cold iothalamate clearance using the Bröchner-Mortensen correction and adjusted for body surface area (Item S1).⁵ Bias for each GFR estimate equation was calculated as [mGFR - estimate]. All GFR and bias values below are presented in units mL/min/1.73 m².

Among 212 donor candidates analyzed, median age was 54 years, and 62% were female. Body size parameters are presented in Table 1. Median mGFR was 107 (IQR, 95-120). Median weight-indexed 24-hour creatinine excretion was 21.9 mg/kg (IQR, 16.5-26.0) for males and 15.9

Kidney Medicine

(IQR, 12.8-18.7) for females, and median $CrCl_{24}$ was 73 (IQR, 58-89). Median serum creatinine was 0.89 mg/dL and median cystatin C was 0.8 mg/L, corresponding to median eGFR_{crcys} 97 (IQR, 85-111). Scatterplots of mGFR versus $CrCl_{24}$ and eGFR_{crcys} are shown in Fig 1. Overall, median bias for $CrCl_{24}$ was 33.9 (IQR, 16.3-50.7), including 40.0 (IQR, 20.5-63.3) for males and 32.1 (IQR, 14.2-46) for females. Median bias for eGFR_{crcys} was 10.5 (IQR, -1.7 to 25.4), including 25.6 (IQR, 13.4-36.0) for males and 2.7 (IQR, -11.0 to 13.6) for females.

Using a GFR-based donation eligibility threshold of 80, 119 (56%) donors had discordant classification using $CrCl_{24}$ versus mGFR (Table S1). Of these, 115 (54% of all candidates and 97% of those with discordant classification) had mGFR \geq 80 but $CrCl_{24} <$ 80, likely a reflection of the underlying selection bias of the cohort.

We next sought to determine whether urine collection adequacy (as reflected by weight-indexed 24-hour creatinine excretion) or similarity in $CrCl_{24}$ and $eGFR_{crcys}$ results could be used as indicators of low $CrCl_{24}$ bias. Among males with creatinine excretion 20-25 mg/kg (n=23) and females with creatinine excretion 15-20 mg/kg (n=49), median bias was 32.2 (IQR, 14.5-46.7) (Fig S1).

Only 70 (33%) candidates had eGFR_{crcys} within 20% of $CrCl_{24}$. Although there was a positive relationship between the absolute bias of $CrCl_{24}$ and the absolute difference between $CrCl_{24}$ and eGFR_{crcys} ($r^2 = 0.34$, P < 0.001, Fig 1, Fig S2), $CrCl_{24}$ bias remained high even when the difference between both estimates was small. Even among the 89 donor candidates with eGFR_{crcys} within 20 mL/min/ 1.73 m² of $CrCl_{24}$, median bias was 22.1 (IQR, 11.5-37.2), suggesting that similarity between $CrCl_{24}$ and eGFR_{crcys} does not imply that $CrCl_{24}$ approximates mGFR well.

Given the large median bias we observed, CrCl₂₄ appears to be a suboptimal method of "measuring" GFR in a subset of potential living kidney donors despite current regulatory policies requiring GFR assessment using "isotopic methods or a creatinine clearance calculated from a 24-hour urine collection."⁶ This inaccuracy likely stems from the challenges of accurately collecting timed urine samples in an ambulatory setting. Our study may be limited by selection bias, given that participants were healthy and only selected donor candidates were referred for iothalamate clearance testing, thereby enriching our cohorts for individuals with eGFR or CrCl₂₄ that underestimated mGFR. Additionally, potential deviation of iothalamate-based mGFR from true GFR may influence our results. However, given that $CrCl_{24}$ does not appear to accurately reflect GFR in a subset of candidates-and that CrCl24 bias remained large even among those with creatinine excretion suggesting "adequate" urinary collection and those with agreement between CrCl24 and eGFRcrcys results-additional study is needed to determine how to best evaluate kidney function during living kidney donor evaluations

Kidney Medicine

Table 1. Characteristics of Donor Candidates Analyzed

| | All | Male | Female |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| n (col %) or Median (IQR) | n = 212 (100%) | n = 80 (38%) | n = 132 (62%) |
| Age, y | 54 (43-61) | 49 (37-58) | 57 (47-62) |
| Race | | | |
| White | 138 (65%) | 46 (58%) | 92 (70%) |
| Black/African American | 19 (9%) | 11 (14%) | 8 (6%) |
| All others | 55 (26%) | 23 (29%) | 32 (24%) |
| Height, cm | 168 (163-175) | 175 (170-180) | 163 (159-170) |
| Weight, kg | 79 (66-88) | 76 (63-85) | 82 (74-93) |
| Body mass index, kg/m ² | 27 (24-31) | 27 (24-30) | 28 (24-32) |
| Body surface area, m ² | 2.06 (1.90-2.19) | 2.16 (2.05-2.30) | 1.98 (1.87-2.13) |
| 24-h creatinine excretion, g | 1.29 (1.06-1.67) | 1.75 (1.39-2.22) | 1.16 (0.96-1.36) |
| Weight-indexed 24-h creatinine excretion, mg/kg | 17.4 (13.5-21.8) | 21.9 (16.5-26.0) | 15.9 (12.8-18.7) |
| Serum creatinine, mg/dL | 0.89 (0.76-1.00) | 1.07 (0.93-1.15) | 0.81 (0.73-0.90) |
| Cystatin C, mg/L | 0.8 (0.8-0.9) | 0.8 (0.8-1.0) | 0.8 (0.7-0.9) |
| GFR assessments, mL/min/1.73 m ² | | | |
| Measured GFR (iothalamate) | 107 (95-120) | 111 (100-123) | 106 (91-117) |
| CKD-EPI 2021 (creatinine) | 90 (77-104) | 88 (79-103) | 91 (76-104) |
| CKD-EPI 2012 (cystatin C) | 99 (83-110) | 105 (86-116) | 98 (82-105) |
| CKD-EPI 2021 (combined) | 97 (85-111) | 85 (76-96) | 106 (94-115) |
| Timed creatinine clearance | 73 (58-89) | 67 (54-86) | 75 (63-89) |

Abbreviations: CKD-EPI, Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration; GFR, glomerular filtration rate.

and identify which donor candidates may warrant more accurate GFR assessments.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary File (PDF)

S. Ali Husain, MD, Jacob S. Stevens, MD, Kristen L. King, MPH, Shelief Y. Robbins-Juarez, MD, Matthew Cohen, MD, Alexander K. Lyashchenko, MD, Serge Cremers, PhD, PharmD, Sumit Mohan, MD

Figure S1: Bias of 24-hour timed creatinine clearance versus weight-indexed 24-hour creatinine excretion.

Figure S2: Absolute value of the bias of 24-hour timed creatinine clearance $(CrCl_{24})$ versus the absolute difference between the



Figure 1. Measured glomerular filtration rate (GFR) versus 24-hour timed creatinine clearance (A) and estimated GFR based on the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration 2021 creatinine-cystatin C equation (eGFR_{crcys}) (B). Red lines indicate 80 mL/min/1.73 m², a typical threshold used for suitability for living kidney donation.

Kidney Medicine

24-hour timed creatinine clearance and the estimated glomerular filtration rate based on the 2021 CKD-EPI creatinine-cystatin C equation (eGFR $_{crcys}$).

Item S1: Supplementary Methods.

 Table S1: Reclassification of Glomerular Filtrate Rate (GFR) Based

 Donor Eligibility Using Measured GFR Versus Timed Creatinine

 Clearance.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Authors' Affiliations: Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians & Surgeons, New York, New York (SAH, JSS, KLK, SYRJ, MC, SM); The Columbia University Renal Epidemiology (CURE) Group, New York, New York (SAH, JSS, KLK, SM); Department of Pathology and Cell Biology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York (AKL, SC); Department of Medicine, Columbia University College of Physicians & Surgeons, New York, New York (SC); and Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, New York (SM).

Address for Correspondence: S. Ali Husain, MD, MPH, MA, Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, 622 W 168th St PH4-124, New York, NY, 10032. Email: sah2134@cumc.columbia. edu

Authors' Contributions: Research idea and study design: SAH, KLK, AKL, SC, SM; Data acquisition: SAH, JSS, SYRJ, MC; Statistical analysis: SAH; Data analysis/interpretation: SAH, JSS, KLK, SYRJ, MC, AKL, SC, SM; Supervision or mentorship: AKL, SC, SM. Each author contributed important intellectual content during manuscript drafting or revision and accepts accountability for the overall work by ensuring that questions pertaining to the accuracy or integrity of any portion of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Support: Dr Husain was supported by Nelson Family Foundation and a Nelson Family Faculty Development Award and NIH grant K23DK133729. Dr Mohan was supported by NIH grants DK114893, DK116066, DK126739, DK130058, and MD014161 and a Nelson Family Faculty Development Award. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declare that they have no relevant financial interests.

Peer Review: Received August 5, 2022 as a submission to the expedited consideration track with 2 external peer reviews. Direct editorial input from the Statistical Editor and the Editor-in-Chief. Accepted in revised form September 18, 2022.

Publication Information: © 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the National Kidney Foundation, Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Published online November 17, 2022 with doi 10.1016/j.xkme.2022.100572

REFERENCES

- Garg N, Lentine KL, Inker LA, et al. The kidney evaluation of living kidney donor candidates: US practices in 2017. Am J Transplant. 2020;20(12):3379-3389.
- Zhang X, McCulloch CE, Lin F, et al. Measurement error as alternative explanation for the observation that CrCl/GFR ratio is higher at lower GFR. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2016;11(9): 1574-1581.
- Gehan EA, George SL. Estimation of human body surface area from height and weight. *Cancer Chemother Rep.* 1970;54(4): 225-235.
- Inker LA, Eneanya ND, Coresh J, et al. New creatinine- and cystatin C-based equations to estimate GFR without race. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;385(19):1737-1749.
- Bröchner-Mortensen J. A simple method for the determination of glomerular filtration rate. Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 1972;30(3):271-274.
- Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN). Policies. Accessed May 6, 2022. https://optn.transplant.hrsa. gov/media/1200/optn_policies.pdf