



Article

Employing the London Atlas in the Age Estimation of a Select South African Population

Sundika Ishwarkumar ^{1,2}, Pamela Pillay ^{2,*}, Manogari Chetty ³ and Kapil Sewsaran Satyapal ²

¹ Department of Human Anatomy and Physiology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Johannesburg, Doornfontein Campus, P.O. Box 524, Auckland Park, Johannesburg 2006, South Africa

² Department of Clinical Anatomy, School of Laboratory Medicine and Medical Sciences, College of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Westville Campus, Private Bag X54001, Durban 4000, South Africa

³ Department of Craniofacial Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Western Cape, Cape Town 7535, South Africa

* Correspondence: soobramoneypa@ukzn.ac.za

Abstract: Dental age estimation in the living and deceased is a fundamental aspect of forensic sciences, civil cases, medico-legal proceedings and clinical dentistry. Accordingly, this study aimed to validate the accuracy and reproducibility of the London Atlas in a select South African sample of KwaZulu-Natal. In this cross-sectional study, 760 digital panoramic radiographs ($n = 760$) aged between 5.00 and 23.99 years were retrospectively reviewed through consecutive sampling. Each radiograph was assessed and assigned a dental age in accordance with the London Atlas of Human Tooth Development and Eruption by AlQahtani et al. (2010). The London Atlas overestimated age with a mean difference of -0.85 to -1.26 years in the selected South African sample of KwaZulu-Natal. A statistically significant difference between the chronological and estimated dental ages was recorded. Furthermore, the South African Black and Indian males had a higher overestimation of age than their female counterparts, with a mean difference of 0.13 and 0.07 years, respectively. This overestimation was less in the South African Indian population in comparison to the SA Black population. This outcome resulted in the creation of the KZN population- and sex-specific charts and atlases for the two selected cohorts of KwaZulu-Natal. The KZN Atlases were found to be more accurate in the selected sample, with a mean absolute error of 0.57 years and no statistically significant differences between the chronological and estimated dental ages.

Keywords: age estimation; forensic odontology; London Atlas; panoramic radiographs; population-specific data; radiographic analysis



Citation: Ishwarkumar, S.; Pillay, P.; Chetty, M.; Satyapal, K.S. Employing the London Atlas in the Age Estimation of a Select South African Population. *Dent. J.* **2022**, *10*, 171. <https://doi.org/10.3390/dj10090171>

Academic Editors: Enrico Spinass and Valeria Santoro

Received: 12 July 2022

Accepted: 5 August 2022

Published: 9 September 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The estimation of age in the living has become a fundamental aspect of forensic sciences and medico-legal situations involving unidentified individuals awaiting adoption, asylum applications, civil issues and judicial procedures [1,2]. It is also used to determine if an individual is of legal age for criminal responsibility [1,2]. Moreover, age estimation is important for the identification of unknown remains, particularly in crime scenes and mass or natural disasters [1,2]. Clinically, age estimation is required for orthodontic, pediatric and endocrinology treatment planning [1,3].

Over the last few decades, forensic dentistry has gained significant “popularity” in the field of forensic science, as dental development is primarily controlled by genes and is less affected by extrinsic factors (i.e., nutrition and environmental factors) in comparison to skeletal development [3,4]. According to literature reports, the assessment of dental development using panoramic radiographs is an exceedingly reliable and precise method for dental age estimation [3,4]. In fact, this has emerged as the preferred technique for dental age estimation due to its cost-effectiveness, ease of use and low radiation exposure.

Similarly, dental atlases are considered to be a useful tool in estimating dental age in mortuaries and in the event of mass disasters by narrowing the search for unidentified individuals, as this method is simple, easy and quick to perform relative to other methods [3–6]. In particular, AlQahtani et al. [7] established the London Atlas of Human Tooth Development and Eruption in 2010. This atlas consists of thirty-one age categories (28 weeks in-utero to 23 years) based on dental development and eruption sequences. The tooth development and alveolar eruption stages were identified in accordance with the modified Moorrees stages [8,9]. Sharma and Wadhwan [3] and Koc et al. [4] concluded that the London Atlas is advantageous, as it is more user friendly due to its simplicity and convenience. However, Alkandiri et al. [6] and Sezer and Carikcioglu [10] reported that the London Atlas was less accurate in their samples than the Simple Average and Haavikko methods in the Kuwaiti and Turkish populations, respectively. Moreover, Carl [11] noted that the Willems method outperformed the London Atlas in their doctoral thesis conducted on a German population.

Alshihri et al. [12] recommended that the universal applicability of the London Atlas must be verified in different population groups to prove its practicality and validity. Currently, it is used for age estimation in Western population groups only, viz., Portugal, the Netherlands, the United States, Canada, France, and the United Kingdom [7,8]. However, there is paucity of literature regarding the applicability of the London Atlas to other population groups, e.g., Sub-Saharan Africa. In 2018, Esan and Schepartz [13] compared the London Atlas with the WITS Atlas, which the aforementioned authors created using the Demirjian method for the SA Black population of Gauteng province in South Africa. Unfortunately, the comparison revealed that the London Atlas was not suitable for age estimation in this population group [13]. The study by Esan and Schepartz [13] compared the developmental stages of the London Atlas to the WITS Atlas; however, they did not directly investigate the applicability of the London Atlas to the South African (SA) population. It should be noted that dissimilarities between the London and WITS Atlases may be attributed to the established methodology: the London Atlas is based on the Moorrees Classification Scheme, with a median value used to formulate the atlas, while the WITS Atlas utilized the Demirjian method and was formulated using the modal values of their sample [2]. Moreover, uneven sample distribution was noted for the sex and age groups in the sample of the WITS Atlas, while the London Atlas sampled an equal distribution in their study [8,13]. Furthermore, Esan and Schepartz conducted a community-based study in the Gauteng region of South Africa, and literary reports documented variation among local sub-population groups and regional differences [12,14–17]. These differences should be taken into consideration, as the best estimates for age estimation are obtained from local population-specific standards [14–17].

Therefore, the current study aimed to validate the accuracy and repeatability of the London Atlas in a select SA sample of KwaZulu-Natal.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Research Design and Data Acquisition

Through consecutive sampling, a total of 760 digital panoramic radiographs ($n = 760$), within the 5.00 and 23.99 years of age range, were retrospectively reviewed in this cross-sectional study. These radiographs were captured using the Carestream Dental: CS 8100 unit and obtained from private dental practices within the KwaZulu-Natal region. The selected sample included 380 SA Black individuals and 380 SA Indian individuals equally distributed according to sex and age cohorts (yearly intervals). For statistical purposes, ten digital panoramic radiographs per demographic group was required to ensure an equal sample distribution. Consequently, the SA White and SA Colored population groups were excluded from the analysis as an insufficient number of radiographs were obtained. In line with the demographic conformation of the KwaZulu-Natal province, the investigated population groups (i.e., SA Black and SA Indian population groups) were reflective of the majority provincial population [18]. Within South Africa, there are four main population groups according to the “modern systems of

racial classification”, which this study utilized to classify ancestry [19–21]. Chronological age was calculated by subtracting the date the radiograph was taken from the date of birth. All radiographs were assigned a number so the investigator was blinded to the demographic data at the time of the assessment.

2.2. Ethical Consideration

This study received ethical approval from the institutional Biomedical Research Ethics Committee (BE: 405/17).

2.3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Digital panoramic radiographs with no impaction or extraction of dentition; no embryological defects; and/or no trauma or osteological pathologies to the maxillofacial region were included in this study. This study only included high-resolution radiographs that were free of any distortion.

3. Methodology

3.1. London Atlas of Human Tooth Development

Each radiograph was assessed utilizing the CS Imaging Software (Version: 7.0.20) and assigned a dental age in accordance with the London Atlas charts by AlQahtani et al. [7]. If the mandibular and maxillary dentition denoted inter-stage development, then an average age of the two stages was assigned.

3.2. Intra-Observer and Inter-Observer Agreement

To ensure standardization of the methodology, two examiners jointly assessed ten radiographs. The principal investigator conducted the intra-observer reliability test on two separate occasions in four-week intervals to ensure accuracy and reproducibility. A second examiner re-analyzed forty-two digital panoramic radiographs using an identical methodology to certify inter-observer reliability and validity. The data were then analyzed using the intraclass correlation coefficient test.

3.3. Development of the KZN Atlas of Dental Development

As the London Atlas was found to be not applicable to the selected KwaZulu-Natal population, population-specific reference charts and atlases were developed in this study. Four KZN Atlases were developed for SA Black and Indian male and female population groups of KwaZulu-Natal in accordance with the guidelines outlined by AlQahtani et al. [7]. This study evaluated the developmental stage of each tooth, in the right quadrant of the mandible and maxilla, on each radiograph in accordance with the Moorrees et al. [9] methodology. Thereafter, descriptive statistics in the form of frequency tables were categorically established for each age cohort, sex and population group sampled in this study. The dental developmental stage with the highest frequency (mode) in the aforementioned categories was assigned and utilized to develop the KZN Atlases (Appendix A: Tables A1–A8). If a category displayed an equal frequency for two or more developmental stages, then the more advanced stage was assigned [13]. The mode value was used instead of the median value for each stage, as not every variable has a median stage if there is an even number of stages [22]. Moreover, in accordance with AlQahtani et al. [7] and Esan and Schepartz [13], the age cohorts in the KZN Atlases were represented in mid-year intervals. The first author illustrated the individual teeth and assembled the KZN Atlases (Figures 1–4).




Figure 1. KZN Atlas of dental development for South African Black female.




Figure 2. KZN Atlas of dental development for South African Black males.




Figure 3. KZN Atlas of dental development for South African Indian females.




Figure 4. KZN Atlas of dental development for South African Indian males.

3.4. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was conducted utilizing the R Statistical Computing Software of the R Core Team 2020 (R version 3.6.3, Vienna, Austria). Descriptive statistics (frequencies, mean and standard deviation) were conducted. The performance of the London Atlas was assessed using the mean error (chronological age–dental age). In addition, a statistical comparison between the chronological age and the estimated dental age was conducted using the Wilcoxon test and paired samples *t*-test. A *p*-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

4. Results

4.1. Accuracy of the London Atlas of Human Tooth Development

The London Atlas overestimated age with a mean difference of -0.85 to -1.26 years in the select SA sample of KwaZulu-Natal (Table 1). Notably, the London Atlas overestimated age more in males than females for both population groups (Table 1). Furthermore, the London Atlas overestimated age more in the selected SA Black (>1 year) sample than the SA Indian population group (<1 year) (Table 1). Moreover, a statistically significant difference between the chronological age and estimated dental age was found using the London Atlas for the selected sample (Table 1). Furthermore, the mean absolute error using the London Atlas for the total selected sample was 1.05 years. Therefore, this study developed population-specific charts for the SA Black and Indian population groups of KwaZulu-Natal to evaluate whether or not these charts would enhance the accuracy of age estimation within this region.

Table 1. Dental age estimation using the London Atlas in the select KwaZulu-Natal sample (in years).

Population Group	Sex	Sample Size (n)	Chronological Age (CA) \pm SD	Dental Age (DA) \pm SD	* Mean Error (CA–DA)	Mean Absolute Error	<i>p</i> -Value
SA Black	Female	190	14.50 \pm 5.47	15.63 \pm 5.82	−1.13	1.28	<0.001
SA Black	Male	190	14.49 \pm 5.48	15.75 \pm 5.89	−1.26	1.40	<0.001
SA Indian	Female	190	14.51 \pm 5.44	15.36 \pm 5.64	−0.85	1.10	<0.001
SA Indian	Male	190	14.48 \pm 5.47	15.46 \pm 6.02	−0.98	1.21	<0.001
Total Sample		760	14.50 \pm 5.48	15.55 \pm 5.86	−1.05	1.25	<0.001

* Mean error (CA–DA): A negative value indicated overestimations and a positive value indicates underestimation.

4.2. KZN Atlas of Dental Development

In the KwaZulu-Natal population, the most prevalent developmental stages recorded in the maxilla and mandible at 5.00 to 5.99 years were “R $\frac{1}{4}$ ” and “R $\frac{3}{4}$ ”, respectively (Appendix A: Table A1). Moreover, in the KZN Atlases, dental maturity occurred at 20.5 years in the SA Black population group and 21.5 years in the SA Indian population (Appendix A: Tables A1–A8). Furthermore, it was noted that the SA Black population group reached complete dental maturity a year before the selected SA Indian population group (Appendix A: Tables A1–A8).

4.3. Efficiency of the KZN Atlas of Dental Development

The efficiency of the KZN Atlases was tested on 60 digital panoramic radiographs that met the inclusion criteria. The mean chronological age of the sample was 15.48 ± 5.41 years, and the estimated dental age using the KZN Atlases and London Atlas was 15.30 ± 5.13 years and 16.84 ± 5.82 years, respectively. A mean difference of 0.18 years and mean absolute estimate of 0.57 years were recorded between the chronological age and estimated dental age for the KZN Atlases, which indicated a slight underestimation. However, this study's statistically significant difference between the aforementioned parameters using the KZN Atlases was negligible (p -value = 0.1). Furthermore, a strong positive correlation between the chronological and dental ages was observed ($R^2 = 0.9862$).

4.4. Reliability and Validity

The intra- and inter-rater agreement in this study were 0.93 and 0.90, respectively, which denotes excellent and good agreement among the examiners according to guidelines outlined by Koo and Li [23]), respectively.

5. Discussion

Dental age estimation is considered to be one of the most reliable and accurate biological markers for age estimation, as dental development (calcification) is less affected by environmental conditions, diet and hormonal changes than skeletal bones [1,3,6]. In addition, teeth can be used for age estimation several years after death, as they are relatively indestructible [1]. In 2014, AlQahtani et al. [8] reported that the London Atlas more accurately assigned dental age than the Schour and Massler [24,25] and Ubelaker [26] atlases. This was due to the large sample size on which the atlas was based [27]. Sousa et al. [2] also mentioned that “more studies on different populations using the London Atlas would be fundamental to test how it performs in different population groups”. Most currently used dental age estimation methods have been developed on American and/or European population groups [7,24,26]. There are also limited studies on the applicability of these charts in a SA sample, specifically in the coastal regions of KwaZulu-Natal. KwaZulu-Natal is frequently affected by natural disasters (i.e., flooding and landslides), with more than 400 lives lost in the recent flooding in April 2022 [28]. Therefore, dental age methods are especially valuable to identify unknown remains.

The London Atlas overestimated age in the selected KwaZulu-Natal population of SA. The mean chronological age was 14.50 ± 5.48 years for the overall sample, while the estimated dental age utilizing the London Atlas was 15.55 ± 5.86 years, with a mean difference of 1.05 years. This correlated with the findings of previous studies [1,3,4,6,26]. However, Alsudairi and AlQahtani [29] and Sankoung et al. [30] reported that the London Atlas underestimated age in the Saudi and Senegalese population, respectively. Moreover, Alshihri et al. [12] assessed the applicability of the London Atlas in the Saudi Arabian population; the authors reported that 65.5% of the dental age estimates were within 12 months of the subject's chronological ages. In addition, Alshihri et al. [12] concluded that these differences emphasized the need to develop sex-specific charts. This study noted a statistically significant difference between the chronological age and estimated dental age, which correlated with Sharma and Wadhwan [3].

Notably, the overestimation in this study was less in females than males. This corroborated the findings of Pavlovic et al. [27]. This may be attributed to females reaching dental maturity earlier than males [2,16]. On the contrary, Sousa et al. [2] and Alkandiri et al. [6] noted a lower overestimation in males than females in Brazilian and Kuwaiti samples, respectively.

Previous literary reports noted no statistically significant difference between the chronological age and estimated dental age on the right and left side of the jaw [22,27,31]. Pavlovic et al. [27] further elaborated that the side used for age estimation will not influence the results. Therefore, this study only evaluated the right side of the mandible and maxilla, which was in keeping with the studies conducted by AlQahtani et al. [7], Esan and Schepartz [13] and Putri et al. [22].

As a rule of thumb, estimated dental age is considered accurate in forensic and clinical cases if it is within six to twelve months of the chronological age; however, the former is preferred [13,32]. In the current study, the overestimation of dental age using the London Atlas in the selected sample was greater than six months. Sousa et al. [2] explained how the utilization of population-specific formulae may be essential for more accurate age estimation, thus highlighting the importance of establishing population-specific databases. According to McCloe et al. [33], the applicability of the London Atlas should be assessed in different ethnic groups to determine if inter-population variability exists. In addition, Esan and Schepartz [13] reported that dental development charts and tables based on mixed population samples may not be accurate for specific age estimation. In an attempt to mitigate the overestimation using the London Atlas, this study developed separate population- and sex-specific atlas charts and tables for the SA Black and Indian population groups of KwaZulu-Natal, with the latter recommended by Pavlovic et al. [27].

The median stages in the London Atlas for the central incisor at 5.5 years were “Ri” and “R $\frac{1}{4}$ ” in the maxilla and mandible, respectively [7]. In contrast, at this age range, development was more advanced in the KwaZulu-Natal population with stage “R $\frac{1}{4}$ ” in the maxilla and stage “R $\frac{3}{4}$ ” in the mandible. Moreover, the median age for dental maturity was 23.5 years in the London Atlas [7]. However, dental maturity occurred at 20.5 and 21.5 years in the SA Black and Indian populations in the KZN Atlases, respectively. In addition, the Indonesian Atlas of Tooth Development recorded dental maturity between 22 and 24 years in the Indonesian population [22]. Literary findings reported that children of African ancestry have advanced tooth development and emergence compared to those of European ancestry, hence attributing to the slightly earlier dental maturity in the SA Black population [13,34–36]. No inference can be made for the SA Indian population as the literature did not provide a comparison between African, European and Asian using the London Atlas.

The present study noted some differences between the WITS and KZN Atlases. The WITS Atlas reported dental maturity at 17.5 years [13], while in the KwaZulu-Natal population this occurred at 20.5 and 21.5 years in the SA Black and Indian populations, respectively. These differences may be attributed to variation among local sub-populations or regional differences [14–16]. The WITS Atlas was developed from a community-based study in the Gauteng province of South Africa, while this study was based on a KwaZulu-Natal population. This correlated with literary reports that noted differences in the development of dentition among individuals and different populations within the same geographical areas or cities [37,38]. Therefore, it is essential to adapt classification schemes to suit local sub-populations [38,39]. Moreover, Putri et al. [22] noted that even in the sample population group, variations in dental development may exist. These differences may be attributed to dietary and environmental factors, socioeconomic status and sociocultural differences [10].

The efficiencies of the KZN Atlases were tested on 60 additional digital panoramic radiographs. The mean chronological age of this sample was 15.48 ± 5.41 years, while the mean estimated dental age was 15.30 ± 5.13 years with a mean absolute estimate of 0.57 years. A slight statistically insignificant underestimation of 0.18 years was noted between the chronological age and estimated dental age, which denotes no statistical

difference between the chronological age and estimated dental using the KZN Atlases (p -value = 0.1). The KZN Atlases are based on SA Black and Indian individuals aged between 5.00 and 21.99 years, with equal sample distribution between the different age cohorts, sex and population groups. The large sample distribution is one of the advantages to the KZN Atlases, in addition to being population-specific and sex-specific. The current study utilized 10 radiographs per age cohort for each sex and population group, which was similar to the London Atlas, which utilized 12 radiographs in each age cohort for males and females, respectively [7]. Alsudairi and AlQahtani [29] noted that, due to the large sample size used to establish the London Atlas, studies conducted on small sample sizes and uneven sample distribution may make it difficult to establish comparisons, thus justifying the comparisons drawn in this study.

This study recommends that the newly established KZN Atlases should be validated in different regions of South Africa and neighboring countries to assess their reproducibility. Furthermore, it is recommended that future studies investigate artificial intelligence for forensic age estimation, viz., machine learning and/or neural networks, using this methodology. The investigators acknowledge that a limitation of this study was excluding the SA Colored and SA White populations from the analysis. However, insufficient data were obtained to ensure statistically reliability for the aforementioned groups. This is attributed to the demographic composition of KwaZulu-Natal with the SA Black and SA Indian population groups being predominately located within this region [18]. In addition, this study was unable to access radiographs of children younger than 5 years old, since in South Africa it is not recommended to expose young children to radiation unless it is imperative for specific medical procedures.

6. Conclusions

The London Atlas significantly overestimated age by -0.85 to -1.26 years in the selected SA Black and Indian population groups of KwaZulu-Natal (p -value > 0.05). Therefore, this study created the KZN Atlases, which are population- and sex-specific charts for the aforementioned populations groups of KwaZulu-Natal. The KZN Atlases were found to be more accurate than the London Atlas in the selected sample, as the estimated dental age derived from the KZN Atlases were closest to the chronological age. Moreover, insignificant statistical differences between the chronological age and estimated dental ages using the KZN Atlases were found in this study. Therefore, the KZN Atlases could be utilized in forensic, medico-legal and civil cases in KwaZulu-Natal to enhance the accuracy of dental age estimation.

Author Contributions: S.I., P.P., M.C. and K.S.S. contributed to the study conceptualization and methodological design; S.I. performed the investigation, data acquisition, interpretation and analysis, drafting the first draft of the manuscript and illustration of the atlases; P.P., M.C. and K.S.S. reviewed and edited the manuscript and supervised. All authors of this study have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Ethical clearance was granted from the institutional Biomedical Research Ethics Committee (BE: 405/17).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We would like to express our appreciation to the dental practitioners who granted access to their practices and the Biostatistician that aided with the analysis of the statistical components.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Appendix A

Table A1. Frequencies of the tooth development stages of the central incisors for the SA Black and Indian population groups.

Maxillary Central Incisors (I1)							Mandibular Central Incisors (I1)								
Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total	Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total
Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total		Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total	
5.00–5.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	5.00–5.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Cr3/4					1	1	1	Ri					1	1	1
Crc	2	3	5	2	3	5	10	R1/4		1	1	2	2	4	5
Ri	1	2	3	4	1	5	8	R1/2	2	3	5	1	3	4	9
R1/4	3	3	6	1	3	4	10	R3/4	8	5	13	6	4	10	23
R1/2	4		4	1	2	3	7	Rc		1	1	1		1	2
R3/4		2	2	2		2	4								
6.00–6.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	6.00–6.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Crc					2	2	2	R1/4					1	1	1
R1/4	1	4	5	2	4	6	11	R1/2	1	1	2	3	1	4	6
R1/2	5	2	7	5	1	6	13	R3/4	2	5	7	2	8	10	17
R3/4	1	3	4	3	3	6	10	Rc	5	4	9	5		5	14
Rc	3	1	4				4	Ac	2		2				2
7.00–7.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	7.00–7.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Crc					1	1	1	R3/4		1	1	1	1	2	3
R1/2				1	1	2	2	Rc	3	7	10	3	5	8	18
R3/4	5	6	11	5	1	6	17	A1/2	5	2	7	5	3	8	15
Rc	4	4	8	2	7	9	17	Ac	2		2	1	1	2	4
A1/2	1		1	2		2	3								
8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R3/4	2		2		3	3	5	R3/4					1	1	1
Rc	4	8	12	3	7	10	22	Rc	3	2	5	2		2	7
A1/2	2	2	4	5		5	9	A1/2	5	8	13	3	5	8	21
Ac	2		2	2		2	4	Ac	2		2	5	4	9	11
9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R3/4				1		1	1	R3/4					1	1	1
Rc	2	4	6	1	7	8	14	Rc				1	1	2	2
A1/2	5	1	6	2	2	4	10	A1/2	2	2	4		2	2	6
Ac	3	5	8	6	1	7	15	Ac	8	8	16	8	7	15	31
10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Rc				2		2	2	Rc					1	1	1
A1/2	3	2	5	1	2	3	8	A1/2	1	1	2	1		1	3
Ac	7	8	15	7	8	15	30	Ac	9	9	18	8	10	18	36
11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
A1/2		1	1				1	Ac	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Ac	10	9	19	10	10	20	39								
12.00–12.99 to 23.00–23.99	120	120	240	120	120	240	480	12.00–12.99 to 23.00–23.99	120	120	240	120	120	240	480
Ac	120	120	240	120	120	240	480	Ac	120	120	240	120	120	240	480

Key: Ci—initial cusp formation; Cco—coalescence of cusp; Coc—cusp outline complete; Cr1/2—crown half completed; Cr3/4—crown three-quarters completed; Crc—crown completed; Ri—initial root formation; R1/4—root length less than crown length; R1/2—root length equals crown length; R3/4—three-quarters of root length developed; Rc—root length completed; A1/2—apex closed with wide periodontal ligament width; Ac—apex closed with normal periodontal ligament width.

Table A2. Frequencies of the tooth development stages of the lateral incisors for the SA Black and Indian population groups.

Maxillary Lateral Incisors (I2)								Mandibular Lateral Incisors (I2)							
Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total	Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total
Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total		Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total	
5.00–5.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	5.00–5.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Cr1/2					1	1	1	Ri		1	1	1	3	4	5
Cr3/4				1	1	2	2	R1/4	2	1	3	2	1	3	6
Crc	3	5	8	4	6	10	18	R1/2	5	5	10	5	4	9	19
Ri	1	2	3		1	1	4	R3/4	3	3	6	2	2	4	10
R1/4	4	1	5	3	1	4	9								
R1/2	2	1	3	1		1	4								
R3/4		1	1	1		1	2								
6.00–6.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	6.00–6.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Crc				2	3	5	5	R1/4		1	1		1	1	2
Ri	1		1	1	1	2	3	R1/2	1	2	3	3	4	7	10
R1/4	3	5	8	3	1	4	12	R3/4	5	5	10	4	5	9	19
R1/2	5	2	7	4	4	8	15	Rc	4	2	6	3		3	9
R3/4	1	3	4		1	1	5								
7.00–7.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	7.00–7.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Crc					2	2	2	R1/2		1	1	1		1	2
R1/4					1	1	1	R3/4		4	4	2	2	4	8
R1/2	2	4	6	2	1	3	9	Rc	8	5	13	5	8	13	26
R3/4	2	4	6	5	4	9	15	A1/2	1		1	2		2	3
Rc	6	2	8	3	2	5	13	Ac	1		1				1
8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Crc					1	1	1	R3/4		1	1	1	1	2	3
R1/2	1	1	2		1	1	3	Rc	3	5	8	3	4	7	15
R3/4	2		2	3	2	5	7	A1/2	6	4	10	4	3	7	17
Rc	5	8	13	2	6	8	21	Ac	1		1	2	2	4	5
A1/2	1	1	2	5		5	7								
Ac	1		1				1								
9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R1/2					1	1	1	Rc	1	1	2	3	2	5	7
R3/4				1	2	3	3	A1/2	6	5	11	2	5	7	18
Rc	3	5	8	3	5	8	16	Ac	3	4	7	5	3	8	15
A1/2	5	1	6	2	1	3	9								
Ac	2	4	6	4	1	5	11								
10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Rc	1		1	2	2	4	5	R3/4				1		1	1
A1/2	2	4	6	3	3	6	12	A1/2	1	2	3	2		2	5
Ac	7	6	13	5	5	10	23	Ac	9	8	17	7	10	17	34
11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Rc				1		1	1	A1/2		1	1				1
A1/2		2	2		1	1	3	Ac	10	9	19	10	10	20	39
Ac	10	8	18	9	9	18	36								
12.00–12.99 to 23.00–23.99	120	120	240	120	120	240	480	12.00–12.99 to 23.00–23.99	120	120	240	120	120	240	480
Ac	120	120	240	120	120	240	480	Ac	120	120	240	120	120	240	480

Key: Ci—initial cusp formation; Cco—coalescence of cusp; Coc—cusp outline complete; Cr1/2—crown half completed; Cr3/4—crown three-quarters completed; Crc—crown completed; Ri—initial root formation; R1/4—root length less than crown length; R1/2—root length equals crown length; R3/4—three-quarters of root length developed; Rc—root length completed; A1/2—apex closed with wide periodontal ligament width; Ac—apex closed with normal periodontal ligament width.

Table A3. Frequencies of the tooth development stages of the canines for the SA Black and Indian population groups.

Maxillary Canines (C)								Mandibular Canines (C)							
Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total	Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total
Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total		Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total	
5.00–5.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	5.00–5.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Cr1/2				1		1	1	Cr1/2					1	1	1
Cr3/4					1	1	1	Cr3/4				1		1	1
Crc	8	8	16	6	9	15	31	Crc	2	5	7	2	7	9	16
Ri				3		3	3	Ri	3	2	5	5	2	7	12
R1/4	1	1	2				2	R1/4	4	2	6	2		2	8
R1/2	1	1	2				2	R1/2	1		1				1
								R3/4		1	1				1
6.00–6.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	6.00–6.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Crc	1	4	5	3	4	7	12	Crc	1		1	3	4	7	8
Ri		1	1	4	2	6	7	Ri	2		2	2	1	3	5
R1/4	8	4	12	2	4	6	18	R1/4	7	6	13	4	4	8	21
R1/2	1		1				1	R1/2		3	3	1		1	4
R3/4		1	1	1		1	2	R3/4		1	1		1	1	2
7.00–7.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	7.00–7.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Crc					3	3	3	Cr3/4				1		1	1
Ri	2		2				2	Crc					1	1	1
R1/4	3	2	5	5	3	8	13	Ri					2	2	2
R1/2	3	5	8	3	3	6	14	R1/4	3	1	4	3	4	7	11
R3/4	2	3	5	1	1	2	7	R1/2	4	5	9	3	1	4	13
Rc				1		1	1	R3/4	3	4	7	2	2	4	11
								Rc				1		1	1
8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Crc					3	3	3	Crc				1		1	1
Ri				1		1	1	R1/4	1	3	4	1	2	3	7
R1/4		2	2	2	2	4	6	R1/2	2	4	6	3	5	8	14
R1/2	1	4	5	2	1	3	8	R3/4	7	3	10	5	3	8	18
R3/4	9	4	13	5	4	9	22								
9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R1/4		1	1				1	Ri					1	1	1
R1/2	4	1	5	1	3	4	9	R1/4		1	1			1	1
R3/4	6	7	13	9	7	16	29	R1/2	2	1	3	1		1	4
Rc		1	1				1	R3/4	5	5	10	9	7	16	26
								Rc	3	3	6		2	2	8
10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R1/2	1		1	1		1	2	R1/2	1		1	1		1	2
R3/4	5	6	11	6	7	13	24	R3/4	4	6	10	6	8	14	24
Rc	4	4	8	3	3	6	14	Rc	5	4	9	3	2	5	14
11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R3/4	2	2	4	1	5	6	10	R3/4	1	2	3	2	4	6	9
Rc	7	7	14	9	5	14	28	Rc	8	7	15	8	6	14	29
A1/2	1	1	2				2	A1/2	1	1	2				2
12.00–12.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	12.00–12.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R3/4	1		1	1	3	4	5	R3/4	1	1	2		2	2	4
Rc	7	9	16	9	6	15	31	Rc	6	8	14	10	7	17	31
A1/2	2		2		1	1	3	A1/2	2	1	3		1	1	4
Ac		1	1				1	Ac	1		1				1
13.00–13.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	13.00–13.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R3/4					1	1	1	R3/4	2		2		1	1	3
Rc	9	9	18	5	7	12	30	Rc	8	8	16	4	8	12	28
A1/2		1	1	3	2	5	6	A1/2		2	2	5	1	6	8
Ac	1		1	2		2	3	Ac				1		1	1

Table A3. Cont.

Maxillary Canines (C)							Mandibular Canines (C)								
Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total	Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total
Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total		Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total	
14.00–14.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	14.00–14.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Rc	4	3	7	3	3	6	13	Rc	4	3	7	3	3	6	13
A1/2	5	5	10	5	4	9	19	A1/2	4	4	8	1	4	5	13
Ac	1	2	3	2	3	5	8	Ac	2	3	5	6	3	9	14
15.00–15.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	15.00–15.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Rc		2	2		1	1	3	Rc		1	1		1	1	2
A1/2	3	2	5	1	3	4	9	A1/2	3	4	7	1	3	4	11
Ac	7	6	13	9	6	15	28	Ac	7	5	12	9	6	15	27
16.00–16.99 to 23.00–23.99	80	80	160	80	80	160	320	16.00–16.99 to 23.00–23.99	80	80	160	80	80	160	320
Ac	80	80	160	80	80	160	320	Ac	80	80	160	80	80	160	320

Key: Ci—initial cusp formation; Cco—coalescence of cusp; Coc—cusp outline complete; Cr1/2—crown half completed; Cr3/4—crown three-quarters completed; Crc—crown completed; Ri—initial root formation; R1/4—root length less than crown length; R1/2—root length equals crown length; R3/4—three-quarters of root length developed; Rc—root length completed; A1/2—apex closed with wide periodontal ligament width; Ac—apex closed with normal periodontal ligament width.

Table A4. Frequencies of the tooth development stages of the first premolar for the SA Black and Indian population groups.

Maxillary First Premolar (PM1)							Mandibular First Premolar (PM1)								
Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total	Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total
Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total		Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total	
5.00–5.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	5.00–5.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Cr1/2					3	3	3	Cr1/2					2	2	2
Cr3/4		2	2	1		1	3	Cr3/4		1	1	1		1	2
Crc	10	8	18	9	7	16	34	Crc	10	9	19	8	8	16	35
								Ri				1		1	1
6.00–6.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	6.00–6.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Cr3/4	1		1		1	1	2	Cr3/4					1	1	1
Crc	8	8	16	9	8	17	33	Crc	5	7	12	7	6	13	25
Ri	1		1			1	1	Ri	5	1	6	2	2	4	10
R1/4		2	2	1	1	2	4	R1/4		2	2	1	1	2	4
7.00–7.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	7.00–7.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Cr1/2					1	1	1	Crc	2	2	4	2	3	5	9
Crc	2	3	5	6	5	11	16	Ri	2	3	5	3	2	5	10
Ri	4		4			4	4	R1/4	2	3	5	2	4	6	11
R1/4		4	4	2	2	4	8	R1/2	3	2	5	1	1	2	7
R1/2	3	3	6		2	2	8	R3/4				1		1	1
Rc	1		1	2		2	3	Rc	1		1	1		1	2
8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Crc		1	1	1	1	2	3	Crc				1		1	1
Ri					1	1	1	Ri					1	1	1
R1/4		4	4	4	2	6	10	R1/4	1	7	8	4	5	9	17
R1/2	6	4	10	2	5	7	17	R1/2	6	2	8	3	1	4	12
R3/4	4	1	5	3	1	4	9	R3/4	3	1	4	2	3	5	9
9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R1/4				1		1	1	Ri			1				1
R1/2	4	5	9	3	2	5	14	R1/4	1	1	2				2
R3/4	5	2	7	5	8	13	20	R1/2	4	2	6	3	5	8	14
Rc	1	3	4	1		1	5	R3/4	4	4	8	6	5	11	19
								Rc	1	2	3	1		1	4

Table A4. Cont.

Maxillary First Premolar (PM1)							Mandibular First Premolar (PM1)								
Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total	Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total
Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total		Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total	
10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R1/2				1	2	3	3	R1/2				1	2	3	3
R3/4	3	1	4	4	4	8	12	R3/4	3	3	6	5	4	9	15
Rc	7	9	16	4	4	8	24	Rc	7	7	14	4	4	8	22
Ac				1		1	1								
11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R3/4					1	1	1	R3/4		1	1		1	1	2
Rc	7	7	14	7	6	13	27	Rc	4	8	12	8	7	15	27
A1/2	3	2	5	2	2	4	9	A1/2	5	1	6	2	2	4	10
Ac		1	1	1	1	2	3	Ac	1		1			1	1
12.00–12.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	12.00–12.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Rc	2	3	5	1	6	7	12	R3/4		1	1				1
A1/2	6	5	11	5	1	6	17	Rc	1		1	3		3	4
Ac	2	2	4	4	3	7	11	A1/2	8	6	14	6	6	12	26
								Ac	1	3	4	1	4	5	9
13.00–13.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	13.00–13.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Rc				2		2	2	Rc		1	1	1		1	2
A1/2	4	3	7	2	1	3	10	A1/2	4	3	7	3	1	4	11
Ac	6	7	13	6	9	15	28	Ac	6	6	12	6	9	15	27
14.00–14.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	14.00–14.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Rc				1		1	1	Rc				1		1	1
A1/2	1	1	2	1		1	3	A1/2		1	1			1	1
Ac	9	9	18	8	10	18	36	Ac	10	9	19	9	10	19	38
15.00–15.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	15.00–15.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
A1/2				1		1	1	Ac	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Ac	10	10	20	9	10	19	39								
16.00–16.99 to 23.00–23.99	80	80	160	80	80	160	320	16.00–16.99 to 23.00–23.99	80	80	160	80	80	160	320
Ac	80	80	160	80	80	160	320	Ac	80	80	160	80	80	160	320

Key: Ci—initial cusp formation; Cco—Coalescence of cusp; Coc—Cusp outline complete; Cr1/2—crown half completed; Cr3/4—crown three-quarters completed; Crc—crown completed; Ri—initial root formation; R1/4—root length less than crown length; R1/2—root length equals crown length; R3/4—three-quarters of root length developed; Rc—root length completed; A1/2—apex closed with wide periodontal ligament width; Ac—apex closed with normal periodontal ligament width.

Table A5. Frequencies of the tooth development stages of the second premolar for the SA Black and Indian population groups.

Maxillary Second Premolar (PM2)							Mandibular Second Premolar (PM2)								
Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total	Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total
Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total		Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total	
5.00–5.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	5.00–5.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Cr1/2		5	5		3	3	8	Cr1/2	1	3	4		3	3	7
Cr3/4	2	2	4	1	3	4	8	Cr3/4	1		1	1	3	4	5
Crc	8	3	11	9	4	13	24	Crc	8	7	15	9	4	13	28
6.00–6.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	6.00–6.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Cr1/2	1	2	3	1	3	4	7	Cr1/2		1	1				1
Cr3/4				2	1	3	3	Cr3/4	2		2	1	1	2	4
Crc	9	7	16	6	6	12	28	Crc	7	8	15	8	7	15	30
Ri		1	1				1	Ri	1		1	1	1	2	3
R1/4				1		1	1	R1/4		1	1		1	1	2

Table A5. Cont.

Maxillary Second Premolar (PM2)								Mandibular Second Premolar (PM2)							
Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total	Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total
Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total		Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total	
7.00–7.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	7.00–7.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Coc					1	1	1	Crc	3	2	5	5	4	9	14
Crc	6	6	12	7	5	12	24	Ri	4	2	6	2	3	5	11
Ri	1	1	2		2	2	4	R1/4		5	5	1	3	4	9
R1/4	1	3	4	1	2	3	7	R1/2	2	1	3				3
R1/2	1		1				1	R3/4				1		1	1
Rc	1		1	2		2	3	Rc	1		1	1		1	2
8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Crc		4	4	2	3	5	9	Crc		1	1	2	1	3	4
Ri	2		2	1	1	2	4	Ri				3	3	3	3
R1/4	4	5	9	3	3	6	15	R1/4	2	8	10	5	4	9	19
R1/2	2		2	2	2	4	6	R1/2	8		8	2	2	4	12
R3/4	2	1	3	2	1	3	6	R3/4		1	1	1		1	2
9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Crc		3	3	1	2	3	6	Ri		2	2	1		1	3
R1/4	2	1	3	1	1	2	5	R1/4	2	1	3	2	2	4	7
R1/2	6	1	7	3	4	7	14	R1/2	4	3	7	4	5	9	16
R3/4	1	3	4	4	3	7	11	R3/4	4	3	7	2	3	5	12
Rc	1	2	3	1		1	4	Rc		1	1	1		1	2
10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Ri					1	1	1	R1/4		1	1	1		1	2
R1/2	3	1	4	3	4	7	11	R1/2	2	1	3	1	3	4	7
R3/4	4	3	7	3	5	8	15	R3/4	4	5	9	7	7	14	23
Rc	3	6	9	4		4	13	Rc	4	3	7	1		1	8
11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R1/2		1	1				1	R1/2		2	2	1		1	3
R3/4	1	2	3	2	4	6	9	R3/4	2	3	5	2	3	5	10
Rc	8	5	13	6	5	11	24	Rc	7	5	12	6	6	12	24
A1/2	1	2	3	1	1	2	5	A1/2	1		1	1	1	2	3
Ac				1		1	1								
12.00–12.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	12.00–12.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R3/4		1	1		3	3	4	R1/2					1	1	1
Rc	5	6	11	3	4	7	18	R3/4		3	3	1	1	2	5
A1/2	4	2	6	4		4	10	Rc	3	3	6	5	3	8	14
Ac	1	1	2	3	3	6	8	A1/2	7	3	10	3	3	6	16
								Ac		1	1	1	2	3	4
13.00–13.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	13.00–13.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Rc		1	1	2		2	3	Rc	1	1	2	1		1	3
A1/2	6	2	8	5	1	6	14	A1/2	5	2	7	5	2	7	14
Ac	4	7	11	3	9	12	23	Ac	4	7	11	4	8	12	23
14.00–14.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	14.00–14.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Rc	1	1	2	3		3	5	Rc		1	1		1	1	2
A1/2		1	1	2		2	3	A1/2		1	1	3		3	4
Ac	9	8	17	5	10	15	32	Ac	10	8	18	7	9	16	34
15.00–15.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	15.00–15.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
A1/2				2		2	2	Rc		1	1				1
Ac	10	10	20	8	10	18	38	A1/2		1	1				1
								Ac	10	8	18	10	10	20	38
16.00–16.99 to 23.00–23.99	80	80	160	80	80	160	320	16.00–16.99 to 23.00–23.99	80	80	160	80	80	160	320
Ac	80	80	160	80	80	160	320	Ac	80	80	160	80	80	160	320

Key: Ci—initial cusp formation; Cco—coalescence of cusp; Coc—cusp outline complete; Cr1/2—crown half completed; Cr3/4—crown three-quarters completed; Crc—crown completed; Ri—initial root formation; R1/4—root length less than crown length; R1/2—root length equals crown length; R3/4—three-quarters of root length developed; Rc—root length completed; A1/2—apex closed with wide periodontal ligament width; Ac—apex closed with normal periodontal ligament width.

Table A6. Frequencies of the tooth development stages of the first molar for the SA Black and Indian population groups.

Maxillary First Molar (M1)							Mandibular First Molar (M1)								
Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total	Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total
Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total		Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total	
5.00–5.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	5.00–5.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Ri				1	3	4	4	Ri					1	1	1
R1/4	1	1	2	2		2	4	R1/4				1	2	3	3
R1/2	2	1	3	2	3	5	8	R1/2	3	1	4	3	2	5	9
R3/4	5	5	10	3	2	5	15	R3/4	4	5	9	5	4	9	18
Rc	2	3	5	2	2	4	9	Rc	3	4	7	1	1	2	9
6.00–6.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	6.00–6.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R1/4					1	1	1	R1/2				1	1	2	2
R1/2				3		3	3	R3/4	4	1	5	4	2	6	11
R3/4	4	1	5	2	3	5	10	Rc	5	7	12	5	7	12	24
Rc	5	7	12	5	6	11	23	A1/2	1	2	3				3
A1/2	1	2	3				3								
7.00–7.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	7.00–7.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R3/4		1	1				1	Rc	6	6	12	6	6	12	24
Rc	6	5	11	9	7	16	27	A1/2	3	4	7	3	4	7	14
A1/2	4	4	8	1	3	4	12	Ac	1		1	1		1	2
8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R3/4				1		1	1	Rc	3	1	4	4	2	6	10
Rc	4	1	5	4	3	7	12	A1/2	4	9	13	6	6	12	25
A1/2	5	9	14	5	6	11	25	Ac	3		3		2	2	5
Ac	1		1		1	1	2								
9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R3/4				1		1	1	Rc				2		2	2
Rc		1	1				1	A1/2	6	6	12	4	5	9	21
A1/2	6	5	11	3	6	9	20	Ac	4	4	8	4	5	9	17
Ac	4	4	8	6	4	10	18								
10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
A1/2	1	1	2	1	1	2	4	A1/2	1	1	2	1	1	2	4
Ac	9	9	18	9	9	18	36	Ac	9	9	18	9	9	18	36
11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
A1/2		1	1				1	A1/2		1	1				1
Ac	10	9	19	10	10	20	39	Ac	10	9	19	10	10	20	39
12.00–12.99 to 23.00–23.99	120	120	240	120	120	240	480	12.00–12.99 to 23.00–23.99	120	120	240	120	120	240	480
Ac	120	120	240	120	120	240	480	Ac	120	120	240	120	120	240	480

Key: Ci—initial cusp formation; Cco—coalescence of cusp; Coc—cusp outline complete; Cr1/2—crown half completed; Cr3/4—crown three-quarters completed; Crc—crown completed; Ri—initial root formation; R1/4—root length less than crown length; R1/2—root length equals crown length; R3/4—three-quarters of root length developed; Rc—root length completed; A1/2—apex closed with wide periodontal ligament width; Ac—apex closed with normal periodontal ligament width.

Table A7. Frequencies of the tooth development stages of the second molar for the SA Black and Indian population group.

Maxillary Second Molar (M2)							Mandibular Second Molar (M2)								
Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total	Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total
Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total		Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total	
5.00–5.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	5.00–5.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Cco					1	1	1	Coc		1	1	1	5	6	7
Coc				2	2	4	4	Cr1/2	6	6	12	4	5	9	21
Cr1/2	6	6	12	4	5	9	21	Cr3/4	1	1	2	3	2	3	4
Cr3/4	1	1	2	3	2	3	4	Crc	3	4	7	3	3	3	10
Crc	3	4	7	3	3	3	10								
6.00–6.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	6.00–6.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Coc	1		1				1	Ci		1	1				1
Cr1/2	2	2	4	3	6	9	13	Cr1/2	2	1	3	3	2	5	8
Cr3/4	4	1	5	1	1	6	6	Cr3/4	2	1	3	1	1	2	5
Crc	3	7	10	6	4	10	20	Crc	6	7	13	6	7	13	26
7.00–7.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	7.00–7.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Cr1/2	1		1				1	Cr1/2	1		1				1
Cr3/4		1	1	1	1	2	3	Cr3/4		1	1				1
Crc	8	4	12	6	8	14	26	Crc	5	5	10	5	8	13	23
Ri		3	3		1	1	4	Ri	3	1	4	1	1	2	6
R1/4		2	2	1	1	3	3	R1/4		3	3	2	1	3	6
R1/2	1		1	1	1	2	2	R1/2				1		1	1
R3/4				1	1	1	1	R3/4	1		1	1		1	2
8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Cr1/2		1	1				1								
Cr3/4					1	1	1								
Crc	1	3	4	4	8	12	16	Crc		3	3	1	3	4	7
Ri	6	3	9	2	2	2	11	Ri	3		3	1		1	4
R1/4	3	2	5	3	1	4	9	R1/4	5	4	9	5	5	10	19
R1/2		1	1				1	R1/2	2	2	4	3	2	5	9
R3/4				1	1	1	1	R3/4		1	1				1
9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Crc	1	2	3	1	4	5	8	Crc	1	1	2		2	2	4
Ri	1		1				1	Ri				1		1	1
R1/4	4	3	7	4	1	5	12	R1/4	2	3	5	2	3	5	10
R1/2	2	3	5	3	4	7	12	R1/2	6	4	10	6	3	9	19
R3/4	2	2	4	2	1	3	7	R3/4	1	2	3	1	2	3	6
10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Crc		1	1				1	Crc		1	1				1
Ri					1	1	1								
R1/4	1	1	2	3	4	7	9	R1/4				1	1	2	2
R1/2	3	2	5	3	4	7	12	R1/2	3	3	6	5	7	12	18
R3/4	2	6	8	3		3	11	R3/4	4	6	10	4	1	5	15
Rc	4		4	1	1	2	6	Rc	3		3		1	1	4
11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
R1/4		1	1				1								
R1/2				3	2	5	5	R1/2				1	1	2	2
R3/4		1	1	2	5	7	8	R3/4	2	3	5	4	6	10	15
Rc	10	8	18	5	3	8	26	Rc	8	7	15	5	3	8	23
12.00–12.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	12.00–12.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
								R1/2		1	1				1
R3/4		3	3	1		1	4	R3/4	1	1	2	2	2	4	6
Rc	9	7	16	9	10	19	35	Rc	7	7	14	6	8	14	28
A1/2	1		1				1	A1/2	2	1	3	2		2	5

Table A8. Cont.

Maxillary Third Molar (M3)							Mandibular Third Molar (M3)								
Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total	Age Range	Female			Male			Grand Total
Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total		Tooth Stages	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total	
8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	8.00–8.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Ci	1	3	4	2	3	5	9	Ci	6	3	9	4	3	7	16
Cco	7		7	1		1	8	Cco	4		4	2		2	6
Coc	2	1	3	4		4	7	Coc		2	2				2
Cr1/2				1		1	1	Cr1/2				1		1	1
Undeveloped		6	6	2	7	9	15	Undeveloped		5	5	3	7	10	15
9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	9.00–9.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Ci		4	4		3	3	7	Ci	1	5	6	4	5	9	15
Cco	4		4	3	2	5	9	Cco	3	1	4	2	1	3	7
Coc	1	1	2	2	1	3	5	Coc	1		1	2	1	3	4
Cr1/2	3	1	4	4	2	6	10	Cr1/2	1		1		2	2	3
Cr3/4				1		1	2	Cr3/4	2		2				2
R1/2		1	1				1	Cr3/4	1		1	1		1	2
Undeveloped	1	3	4		2	2	6	R1/2		1	1				1
								Undeveloped	1	3	4	1	1	2	6
10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	10.00–10.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Ci	1	1	2				2	Ci		2	2		2	2	4
Cco		1	1	2	1	3	4	Cco	1	1	2	1	3	4	6
Coc		2	2		5	5	7	Coc	2		2		2	2	4
Cr1/2	4	3	7	4		4	11	Cr1/2	3	4	7	4		3	10
Cr3/4	2	2	4	2		2	6	Cr3/4	1	1	2	2	1	3	5
Cr3/4	3		3	2	1	3	6	Cr3/4	2		2	3		4	6
Undeveloped		1	1		3	3	4	R1/4	1		1				1
								Undeveloped		2	2		2	2	4
11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	11.00–11.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Ci					2	2	2	Ci		1	1		2	2	3
Cco				1		1	1	Cco				1		1	1
Coc		1	1	1	2	3	4	Coc		1	1	1	3	4	5
Cr1/2	1	3	4	2	3	5	9	Cr1/2	1	2	3	1	1	2	5
Cr3/4	4	3	7	4	1	5	12	Cr3/4	4	4	8	4	1	5	13
Cr3/4	3	1	4	2	2	4	8	Cr3/4	3	1	4	3	2	5	9
Ri	1		1				1	Ri	1		1				1
R1/4	1		1				1	R1/4	1		1				1
Undeveloped		2	2				2	Undeveloped		1	1		1	1	2
12.00–12.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	12.00–12.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Coc		1	1				1	Ci		1	1				1
Cr1/2	1	2	3		1	1	4	Coc		1	1	1	1	2	3
Cr3/4	1		1	2	1	3	4	Cr1/2		2	2	1	1	2	4
Cr3/4	5	7	12	8	8	16	28	Cr3/4	2	1	3				3
Ri	1		1				1	Cr3/4	5	4	9	7	7	14	23
R1/2	2		2				2	Ri				1		1	1
								R1/4	1	1	2		1	1	3
								R1/2	2		2				2
13.00–13.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	13.00–13.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Ci		1	1				1	Ci		1	1				1
Cr1/2		2	2	1	1	2	4	Cr1/2	1	2	3	2		2	5
Cr3/4					2	2	2	Cr3/4					2	2	2
Cr3/4	3	6	9	6	5	11	20	Cr3/4	1	5	6	4	2	6	12
Ri	1		1	2		2	3	Ri	1		1	2	4	6	7
R1/4	1	1	2	1	1	2	4	R1/4	3		3	2	2	4	7
R1/2	2		2				2	R1/2	2	2	4				4
R3/4	1		1				1	R3/4	1		1				1
Rc	2		2				2	Rc	1		1				1
Undeveloped					1	1	1	Undeveloped							

Table A8. Cont.

Age Range	Maxillary Third Molar (M3)						Grand Total	Age Range	Mandibular Third Molar (M3)						Grand Total
	Female			Male					Female			Male			
	Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total			Black	Indian	Total	Black	Indian	Total	
21.00–21.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40	21.00–21.99	10	10	20	10	10	20	40
Rc					1	1	1	Rc					1	1	3
A1/2		1	1		1	1	2	A1/2		1	1		2	2	3
Ac	10	9	19	10	8	18	37	Ac	10	8	18	10	6	16	34
22.00–22.99 to 23.00–23.99	20	20	40	20	20	40	80	22.00–22.99 to 23.00–23.99	20	20	40	20	20	40	80
Ac	20	20	40	20	20	40	80	Ac	20	20	40	20	20	40	80

Key: Ci—initial cusp formation; Cco—coalescence of cusp; Coc—cusp outline complete; Cr1/2—crown half completed; Cr3/4—crown three-quarters completed; Crc—crown completed; Ri—initial root formation; R1/4—root length less than crown length; R1/2—root length equals crown length; R3/4—three-quarters of root length developed; Rc—root length completed; A1/2—apex closed with wide periodontal ligament width; Ac—apex closed with normal periodontal ligament width.

References

- Ghafari, R.; Ghodousi, A.; Poordavar, E. Comparison of the accuracy of the London atlas and Smith method in dental age estimation in 5–15.99-year-old Iranians using the panoramic view. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2019**, *133*, 189–195. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Da Silveira Sousa, A.M.; Jacometti, V.; AlQahtani, S.; da Silva, R.H.A. Age estimation of Brazilian individuals using the London Atlas. *Arch. Oral Biol.* **2020**, *113*, 104705. [CrossRef]
- Sharma, P.; Wadhwan, V. Comparison of accuracy of age estimation in Indian children by measurement of open apices in teeth with the London Atlas of tooth development. *J. Forensic Odonto-Stomatol.* **2020**, *38*, 39.
- Koç, A.; Özbek, E.; Talmaç, A.G. Accuracy of the London atlas, Willems, and Nolla methods for dental age estimation: A cross-sectional study on Eastern Turkish children. *Clin. Oral Investig.* **2021**, *25*, 4833–4840. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Baylis, S.; Basset, R. Precision and accuracy of commonly used dental age estimation charts for the New Zealand population. *Forensic Sci. Int.* **2017**, *277*, 223–228. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alkandiri, F.; Karimi, A.; Draft, D.; Lucas, V.S.; Roberts, G. Dental Age Estimation: A comparison of three methods of estimating dental age in a population of Kuwaiti children and adolescents. *Forensic Sci. Int. Rep.* **2021**, *3*, 100214. [CrossRef]
- AlQahtani, S.J.; Hector, M.P.; Liversidge, H.M. Brief communication: The London atlas of human tooth development and eruption. *Am. J. Phys. Anthr.* **2010**, *142*, 481–490. [CrossRef]
- AlQahtani, S.J.; Hector, M.P.; Liversidge, H.M. Accuracy of dental age estimation charts: Schour and Massler, Ubelaker and the London Atlas. *Am. J. Phys. Anthr.* **2014**, *154*, 70–78. [CrossRef]
- Moorrees, C.F.; Fanning, E.A.; Hunt, E.E., Jr. Age variation of formation stages for ten permanent teeth. *J. Dent. Res.* **1963**, *42*, 1490–1502. [CrossRef]
- Sezer, B.; Çarıkcıoğlu, B. Accuracy of the London Atlas, Haavikko's Method and Cameriere's European Formula of dental age estimation in TurkishU children. *Leg. Med.* **2022**, *54*, 101991. [CrossRef]
- Casrl, C. Comparison of Age Estimation of Children with the London Atlas of Dental Development, Demirjian's Method and the Modification of Willems. Ph.D. Thesis, Universitat Würzburg, Medizinische Fakultät, Würzburg, Germany, 2020.
- Alshihri, A.M.; Kruger, E.; Tennant, M. Dental age assessment of Western Saudi children and adolescents. *Saudi Dent. J.* **2015**, *27*, 131–136. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Esan, T.A.; Schepartz, L.A. The WITS Atlas: A Black Southern African dental atlas for permanent tooth formation and emergence. *Am. J. Phys. Anthr.* **2018**, *166*, 208–218. [CrossRef]
- Nyström, M.; Haataja, J.; Kataja, M.; Evalahti, M.; Peck, L.; Kleemola-Kujala, E. Dental maturity in Finnish children, estimated from the development of seven permanent mandibular teeth. *Acta Odontol. Scand.* **1986**, *44*, 193–198. [CrossRef]
- Olze, A.; Schmeling, A.; Taniguchi, M.; Maeda, H.; van Niekerk, P.; Wernecke, K.D.; Geserick, G. Forensic age estimation in living subjects: The ethnic factor in wisdom tooth mineralization. *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **2004**, *118*, 170–173. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alshihri, A.M.; Kruger, E.; Tennant, M. Dental age assessment of 4–16year old Western Saudi children and adolescents using Demirjian's method for forensic dentistry. *Egypt. J. Forensic Sci.* **2016**, *6*, 152–156. [CrossRef]
- Han, M.-Q.; Jia, S.-X.; Wang, C.-X.; Chu, G.; Chen, T.; Zhou, H.; Guo, Y.-C. Accuracy of the Demirjian, Willems and Nolla methods for dental age estimation in a northern Chinese population. *Arch. Oral Biol.* **2020**, *118*, 104875. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- South African Census. 2011. Available online: <https://www.statssa.gov.za/publications/P03014/P030142011> (accessed on 28 April 2022).
- Omotoso, B.R.; Harrichandparsad, R.; Moodley, I.G.; Satyapal, K.S.; Lazarus, L. An anatomical investigation of the proximal vertebral arteries (V1, V2) in a select South African population. *Surg. Radiol. Anat.* **2021**, *43*, 929–941. [CrossRef]

20. Khalfani, A.K.; Zuberi, T. Racial classification and the modern census in South Africa, 1911–1996. *Race Soc.* **2001**, *4*, 161–176. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Britannica: South Africa–People: Ethnic Groups. Available online: <https://www.britannica.com/place/South-Africa/Conservation#ref44029> (accessed on 7 July 2022).
22. Putri, A.S.; Soedarsono, N.; Nehemia, B.; Atmadja, D.S.; Ubelaker, D.H. Age estimation of individuals aged 5–23 years based on dental development of the Indonesian population. *Forensic Sci. Res.* **2021**, *7*, 115–123. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Koo, T.K.; Li, M.Y. A Guideline of Selecting and Reporting Intraclass Correlation Coefficients for Reliability Research. *J. Chiropr. Med.* **2016**, *15*, 155–163. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Schour, L.; Massler, M. The development of the human dentition. *J. Am. Dent. Assoc.* **1941**, *28*, 1153–1160.
25. Schour, L.; Massler, M. *Development of Human Dentition Chart*, 2nd ed.; American Dental Association: Chicago, IL, USA, 1941.
26. Ubelaker, D.H. *Human Skeletal Remains: Excavation, Analysis, Interpretation*; Aldine Publishing Co., Inc.: Chicago, IL, USA, 1978.
27. Pavlović, S.; Pereira, C.P.; de Sousa Santos, R.F.V. Age estimation in Portuguese population: The application of the London atlas of tooth development and eruption. *Forensic Sci. Int.* **2017**, *272*, 97–103. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. South African Government—National State of Disaster-in-Numbers—18 April 2022. Available online: <https://www.gov.za/speeches/national-state-disaster-numbers-%E2%80%93-18-april-2022-18-apr-2022-0000> (accessed on 7 July 2022).
29. Alsudairi, D.M.; AlQahtani, S.J. Testing and comparing the accuracy of two dental age estimation methods on saudi children: Measurements of open apices in teeth and the London Atlas of Tooth Development. *Forensic Sci. Int.* **2019**, *295*, 226.e1–226.e9. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Sankoung, S.; Lamine, N.M.; Marcellin, N.N.Z.; Souleymane, B.; Christophe, B. Estimation de l’âge dans une population sénégalaise: Efficacité des formules de Chaillet-Demirjian versus la London l’Atlas Tooth. *Rev. Med. Leg.* **2021**, *12*, 166–172. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Cesário, C.; Santos, R.; Pestana, D.; Pereira, C.P. Medico-legal age estimation in a sub-adult portuguese population: Validation of Atlas Schour and Massler and London. *J. Civ. Leg. Sci.* **2016**, *5*, 196.
32. Ranasinghe, S.; Perera, J.; Taylor, J.A.; Tennakoon, A.; Pallewatte, A.S.; Jayasinghe, R.D. Dental age estimation using radiographs: Towards the best method for Sri Lankan children. *Forensic Sci. Int.* **2017**, *298*, 64–70. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. McCloe, D.; Marion, I.; da Fonseca, M.A.; Colvard, M.; AlQahtani, S. Age estimation of Hispanic children using the London Atlas. *Forensic Sci. Int.* **2018**, *288*, 332.e1–332.e6. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Harris, E.F.; McKee, J.H. Tooth mineralization standards for blacks and whites from the middle southern United States. *J. Forensic Sci.* **1990**, *35*, 859–872. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Tompkins, R.L. Human population variability in relative dental development. *Am. J. Phys. Anthr.* **1996**, *99*, 79–102. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Koch, G.; Poulsen, S. *Paediatric Dentistry—A Clinical Approach*; Blackwell Publishing Munksgaard: Copenhagen, Denmark, 2001.
37. Celikoglu, M.; Cantekin, K.; Ceylan, I. Dental age assessment: The applicability of Demirjian method in eastern Turkish children. *J. Forensic Sci.* **2011**, *56*, S220–S222. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Altunsoy, M.; Bilge, N.U.R.; Akkemik, O.; Evren, O.K.; Evcil, M. Dental age assessment: Validity of the Nolla method in a group of western Turkish children. *Marmara Dent. J.* **2013**, *1*, 49–52.
39. Panchbhai, A.S. Dental radiographic indicators, a key to age estimation. *Dentomaxillofac. Radiol.* **2011**, *40*, 199–212. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]