

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 June 02.

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2019 December; 26(12): 1114-1122. doi:10.1038/s41594-019-0335-6.

High-affinity recognition of specific tRNAs by an mRNA anticodon-binding groove

Krishna C. Suddala¹, Jinwei Zhang^{1,*}

¹Laboratory of Molecular Biology, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA.

Abstract

T-box riboswitches are modular bacterial noncoding RNAs that sense and regulate amino-acid availability through direct interactions with tRNAs. Between the 5' anticodon-binding Stem I and 3' amino acid-sensing domains of most T-boxes lies the Stem II domain of unknown structure and function. Here, we report a 2.8-Å cocrystal structure of the *Nocardia farcinica ileS* T-box in complex with its cognate tRNA^{IIe}. The structure reveals a perpendicularly arranged ultrashort Stem I containing a K-turn and an elongated Stem II bearing an S-turn. Both stems rest against a compact pseudoknot, dock via an extended ribose zipper, and jointly create a binding groove specific to the anticodon of its cognate tRNA. Contrary to proposed distal contacts to the tRNA elbow region, Stem II locally reinforces the codon-anticodon interactions between Stem I and tRNA, achieving low-nanomolar affinity. This study illustrates how mRNA junctions can create specific binding sites for interacting RNAs of prescribed sequence and structure.

Introduction

T-box riboswitches are gene-regulatory noncoding RNAs that are pervasively present in the 5'-leader regions of certain mRNAs in most Gram-positive bacteria¹⁻³. These versatile genetic switches sense intracellular concentration of specific amino acids and respond by modulating either the transcription or translation of essential downstream genes in amino acid metabolism. More than 1000 T-boxes have been identified and are actively pursued as attractive targets for developing RNA-targeted antibiotics⁴⁻⁷.

T-boxes are modular in nature and most contain three domains —a 5' Stem I domain, an intervening Stem II domain and a 3' discriminator domain (Fig. 1a). Stem I decodes the tRNA anticodon using a complementary trinucleotide termed the specifier. Most transcriptional T-boxes (T-boxes that regulate transcription) feature an elongated, C-shaped

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

^{*}Correspondence should be addressed to J.Z. (jinwei.zhang@nih.gov). T: 301-402-4703, F: 301-480-0597. Author contributions

K.C.S. and J.Z. conceived the study and designed crystallization constructs. K.C.S. prepared the RNA and crystal samples, collected diffraction data, and performed all biochemical analyses. K.C.S. and J.Z determined and refined the structure, prepared figures and wrote the paper.

Stem I that bends and tracks the overall shape of tRNA^{8,9}. In addition to the specifieranticodon pairing, the distal region of most Stem I's folds into two interdigitated pentanucleotide T-loops that jointly present a planar surface using a base triple. This base triple stacks with the characteristically flat "elbow" of the tRNA, created by the inter-loop G19-C56 base pair present in all cytosolic elongator tRNAs. Similar stacking interactions to the tRNA elbow using a pair of T-loops are also observed in the evolutionarily unrelated RNase P and the ribosome L1 stalk⁹⁻¹². In transcriptional T-boxes, this conserved stacking interaction is required for sufficient affinity and association rate (k_{on}) for tRNA binding and tRNA-mediated transcriptional readthrough^{3,9,13,14}. In contrast, the length and architecture of Stem I's in translational T-boxes are divergent and some feature "ultrashort" Stem I's that lack the distal T-loops and contain the specifier in a short terminal loop^{6,15} (Fig. 1a). Near the base of Stem I is a Kink-turn (K-turn)¹⁶ motif that sharply bends the double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) trajectory, bringing the discriminator domain into close proximity to the 3'end of the Stem I-bound tRNA to sense aminoacylation¹⁷.

The discriminator domain, consisting of the Stem III region and the antiterminator or antisequestrator element, captures the 3'-end of a cognate tRNA initially selected by Stem I, assesses its aminoacylation state, and changes conformations to control downstream gene expression¹⁷. In recently validated translation-regulating T-boxes from Actinobacteria, the discriminator either forms a "sequestrator" hairpin masking the Shine-Dalgarno sequence and blocking ribosome binding, or an "anti-sequestrator" structure that competes with the sequestrator^{6,15} (Fig. 1a). A highly conserved discriminator core across all known T-boxes suggest a unified mechanism of amino acid sensing and conformational switching.

In contrast to the better-understood Stem I and discriminator domains, the structure and function of the intervening Stem II domain remain enigmatic. In glycine-specific transcriptional T-boxes, the Stem II domain is replaced with a short, flexible linker. In most other T-boxes, Stem II domain is present and its secondary structure is conserved¹⁸. The Stem II domain consists of a long hairpin (Stem II) of variable length containing a presumed S-turn motif (or Loop E, or bulged-G motif)^{19,20} near its center, followed by a Stem IIA/B element predicted to form a pseudoknot. As the Stem II domain coexists with Stem I distal T-loops in most transcriptional T-boxes and either but not both can be absent, we hypothesize that the Stem I T-loops and the Stem II domain can functionally substitute for each other by making independent, cooperative contacts to different parts of the tRNA. A recent 4-thio-U crosslinking study using a translational T-box containing an ultrashort Stem I proposed two direct contacts between Stem II domain and the regions of tRNA near the elbow¹⁵. Specifically, the S-turn motif in Stem II cross-linked to C62 and U63 of the tRNA T-stem while the Stem IIA/B element cross-linked to G15 and G16 of the D-loop¹⁵.

In order to understand how the Stem II domain enables specific tRNA recognition by an ultrashort Stem I, we crystallized the Stem I and Stem II domains of a *Nocardia farcinica ileS* translational T-box riboswitch in complex with its cognate tRNA^{IIe} and solved its structure at 2.8 Å resolution. Using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC), we further quantitatively characterized the thermodynamic parameters of the T-box-tRNA interaction and evaluated the impact of specific domain truncations and mutations. Together, our data reveal a surprising role of the T-box Stem II domain in locally reinforcing Stem I-tRNA

interactions by organizing a unique anticodon-binding groove, latching the codon-anticodon duplex using a strategically placed S-turn, and shaping a pattern of codon and tRNA usage by the T-boxes distinct from the ribosome.

Results

Overall structure of the N. farcinica ileS T-box-tRNA^{lle} complex

We first defined *N. farcinica ileS* T-box domains required for high-affinity binding to its cognate tRNA^{IIe}. ITC and electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) analyses showed that T-box₁₋₉₈ (residues 1-98, Stem I-Stem II-Stem IIA/B) bound tRNA^{IIe} with a $K_d \sim 25$ nM (Fig. 1b; Extended Data Figs. 1-3; Supplementary Table 1), consistent with prior measurements using a filter-retention assay⁶. Notably, T-box₁₋₇₇ (Stems I and II, without Stem IIA/B) exhibited ~1000-fold lower affinity than T-box₁₋₉₈ whereas tRNA binding to T-box₁₋₂₉ (Stem I alone) was barely detectable (Fig. 1c, d). Therefore, we proceeded to co-crystallize the T-box₁₋₉₈-tRNA^{IIe} complex and determined its structure at 2.82 Å resolution (Extended Data Figs. 1 & 4; Table 1; Supplementary Movie 1).

The structure reveals a perpendicularly arranged Stem I and Stem II, enabled by a sharp bend at the Stem I K-turn and joined at their bases near the Stem IIA/B pseudoknot (Fig. 1e, f). The pseudoknot extends the dsRNA trajectory of Stem II by coaxial stacking, and anchors the base of Stem I to dock with the middle section of Stem II. This architecture creates an extended binding groove for the tRNA's anticodon stem loop (ASL). Surprisingly, we observed no contacts to the tRNA T-arm or D-loop regions as previously proposed¹⁵. Instead, Stem II uses its conserved S-turn motif to locally reinforce the anticodon-specifier duplex on the minor groove side. Remarkably, these densely populated interactions at the ASL achieve considerably higher affinity (25 nM *vs* 150 nM; 6 fold, Fig. 1b) than the elongated Stem I's, in which the affinities are distributed between two distant interfaces at the anticodon and elbow⁹.

Recognition of the tRNA anticodon by an ultrashort Stem I

The specifier trinucleotide (AUC) in the Stem I distal loop forms three Watson-Crick pairs with the tRNA anticodon (GAU) (Fig. 2a). Similar to elongated Stem I's (Fig. 2b), the 3-bp anticodon-specifier duplex is sandwiched by cross-strand stacking by two conserved purines — tA37 on the tRNA and A19 on the T-box (Fig. 2a)^{1,3,9}. Thus, the basal mechanisms of anticodon recognition and duplex stabilization are shared between T-boxes harboring long and short Stem I's (Fig. 2c).

Several distinctions also exist between the two types of Stem I's. First, unlike the long Stem I's which house the specifier in a bulge, ultrashort Stem I's host it in a distal loop. At the 3'-edge of this loop, A19 uses its 2'-OH to make two hydrogen bonds to the N3 and 2'-OH of A39 of Stem II for additional stabilization. These interactions are absent from glycine T-boxes due to the absence of Stem II. Second, due to Stem I truncation, all three base edges of tA37 are exposed to the solvent and unobstructed by the T-box, thus accommodating any bulky post-transcriptional modifications here (Fig. 2a). This contrasts with the partially exposed tA37 in the case of long Stem I's (Fig. 2b), which uses its S-turn to evade potential

clashes with the modifications⁹. Third, this distal loop of short Stem I's structurally resembles the tRNA ASL to which it binds, exhibiting a near symmetrical arrangement (Extended Data Fig. 5)²¹.

Single nucleobase substitutions of the specifier reduced tRNA binding affinities to different extents, suggesting variable specificities among the three pairing positions. Most mutations drastically reduced binding by >300-fold, except for a G×G mismatch (by C18G) which only increased the K_d by ~9-fold (Fig. 2d; Extended Data Fig. 3; Supplementary Table 1). It is possible that the G×G mismatch is tolerated due to enhanced stacking between G18 and its neighboring U17 and A19, or formation of a non-canonical G•G pair. Interestingly, an A19U substitution of the conserved purine that stacks with the anticodon-specifier duplex only increased the K_d by ~2.5-fold, in contrast to the loss of binding by an A90U substitution at the equivalent position in the glyQ T-box. This is consistent with the reduced cross-strand stacking observed between A19 and tG34 compared to A90 in glyQ (Fig. 2c). Compared to glyQT-boxes which tolerated many near-cognate pairs in the anticodonspecifier duplex²², the Stem II-containing *ile* T-box exhibited a stronger preference for Watson-Crick pairing. This may be due to geometric requirements to bind the Stem II Sturn, or the reliance on a single binding interface due to lack of tRNA elbow contacts. Similar to the glyQT-boxes, the central position of the anticodon-specifier duplex in the *ileS* T-box is the least tolerant of mismatches and near-cognate wobble base pairs²².

A conserved K-turn links the proximal regions of Stem I and Stem II via a sharp 120° turn, directing Stem II to the vicinity of the Stem I-tRNA interface to reinforce it. Disrupting the K-turn with an A8G substitution reduced tRNA binding by over ~100-fold (Fig. 2d), suggesting an essential topological role. In comparison, the K-turn in glycyl T-boxes plays a distinct geometric role in guiding the 3' discriminator domain towards the tRNA 3'-end^{9,23}.

Extensive stabilization of codon-specifier duplex by the Stem II S-turn

The S-turn motif is a widespread helical motif that features two consecutive bends in the phosphate-sugar backbone resulting in a characteristic 'S' shape (Extended Data Figs. 4 & 6)^{19,20,24}. The Stem II S-turn features a three-layered, extensively stacked structure consisting of a central base triple (A38•U68•bulged G67) sandwiched by a sheared G37•A69 pair on top and a parallel, symmetrical Hoogsteen-Hoogsteen A39•A66 pair below (Fig. 3ab). The predominant utilization of Hoogsteen nucleobase edges as opposed to Watson-Crick edges in the pairing exposes the latter to the solvent. Together with the neighboring exposed sugar edges (especially the N2 and N3 groups of purines) and widened major and minor grooves, the purines within S-turns provide a distinct cluster of polar groups available for interactions with a partner RNA or protein. Within the ribosome and the Varkud satellite (VS) ribozyme, S-turns are used for tertiary interactions with RNA as well as proteins such as ribosomal proteins, a-sarcin and ricin (Extended Data Fig. 6)^{19,20,25,26}. Interestingly, despite having a nearly identical S-turn, the long Stem I is not known to use it for interactions⁹. In contrast, the Stem II S-turn makes numerous hydrogen bonds to the minor groove of the anticodon-specifier duplex, especially at the wobble position (tG34-C18) (Fig. 3c). Specifically, the S-turn approaches the duplex at a $\sim 40^{\circ}$ angle, allowing the cross-strand stacked A38 and A69 to interact simultaneously with the minor groove of a single base pair

(tG34-C18). The 2'-OH groups from all four involved residues and three N3 groups of A38, A69, and tG34 are arranged in an alternating manner to make 5 hydrogen bonds across the groove (Fig. 3c-d). We term this unusual arrangement an "inclined tandem A-minor (ITAM)" motif and note that similar motifs have been observed in other RNA structures such as the TPP ^{27,28}, SAM-II²⁹, and preQ₁-I riboswitches³⁰ (Extended Data Fig. 7). ITAM differs from canonical A-minor interactions in that instead of using a single, approximately coplanar adenosine to span part or the entire width of a minor groove, this motif uses two or more (e.g., three in the SAM-II riboswitch) inclined, stacked adenosines in tandem (e.g., A38 and A69 in T-box Stem II) to span the same distance across the groove, thus having the potential to make more extensive interactions (Fig. 3c-d; Extended Data Fig. 7). Further, the Stem II ITAM is distinct from other examples in that the inclined, stacked adenosines come from opposite strands while most other ITAM examples use adjacent adenosines in the same strand. In effect, the Stem II S-turn uses the ITAM motif to allow the helical sections of two angled dsRNA helices — one regular and the other irregular due to the S-turn distortions — to anchor to each other via mutual minor-groove interactions (Fig. 3a-d).

Single uridine substitutions of S-turn residues facing Stem I or tRNA (A38, A39, A69, G70, A71) exhibited severe defects in tRNA binding (44 –1148-fold increase in K_{d} , Fig. 3e), in contrast to the residues facing the solvent (G37, A66, G67) which had relatively mild effects (2.4 –17-fold). In particular, substitutions of ITAM residues A38 or A69 drastically reduced tRNA-binding affinity by ~1000 fold, highlighting the key contribution of this motif to binding (Fig. 3e, **and** Extended Data Fig. 3). Interestingly, a G67U mutation of the extrahelical, bulged-G residue only caused a ~2-fold increase in K_d . G67 forms only a single hydrogen bond with U68 within the S-turn as part of a GU platform^{31,32}, and does not interact with Stem I or tRNA, explaining the observed minor effect of its substitution. The expendability of the bulged-G residue is notable as it is naturally variable, as adenosines and pyrimidines have been observed in other S-turns^{27,30,33}.

As the ITAM involves two hydrogen bonds to both the 2-amino and N3 of the tG34 nucleobase (Fig. 3d), it imposes a strong preference for a guanine at the wobble position. This in turn translates into a preference for a cytosine at the third position of the T-box codon. This unusual and nearly absolute cytosine preference (termed the "C rule") was previously noted in several phylogenetic analyses but has remained unexplained²², as it does not correlate with either tRNA isoacceptor abundance or general codon usage^{4,5,34}. A secondary driving force for the cytosine preference may have been the more stable G-C pair over the A-U pair. Similarly, G70 makes a base-specific interaction to the 2-carbonyl group of U17(Fig. 3d). This contact may explain the dramatic effect of the U17A substitution, and the inability to fully restore binding affinity by a compensatory tA35U substitution (still 42fold defective, Fig. 2d). Thus, the base-specific interactions with the Stem II S-turn observed in the structure may have restricted the choices of tRNA isoacceptors and T-box codons, producing a unique pattern distinct from the codon usage by the ribosome. The manner by which the purine-rich Stem II S-turn latches the codon-anticodon duplex shares features with the ribosomal A site, where A1492, A1493, and G532 of ribosomal RNA jointly latch the codon-anticodon duplex to ensure decoding fidelity³⁵⁻³⁷, and the adenovirus Virus-Associated RNA I (VA-I) central domain, where a single adenosine (A37) stabilizes a

codon-anticodon-like 3-bp pseudoknot³⁸ (Extended Data Fig. 8). Among the three, the inclined S-turn of Stem II provides the most extensive stabilizing contacts.

Stem I - Stem II docking forms a specific anticodon-binding groove

Stem I and II interact via an unusually long ribose zipper formed between the backbone 2'-OHs of the Stem I specifier region (A16, U17, C18 and A19) and the 2'-OHs and N3 of the Stem II S-turn and surrounding region (A71, G70 and A69, then crossing over to the opposite strand to A38 and A39) (Fig. 3a, red dashed lines). This ribose zipper flanks the ITAM interaction from A38 and A69 and involves multiple bifurcated hydrogen bonds to the 2'-OHs and N3 of the Stem II purines. These backbone interactions create an extended groove between the two stems to capture the tRNA anticodon (Fig. 4a-b; Extended Data Fig. 9). The predominant use of backbone as opposed to nucleobase contacts allows the use of different anticodon and specifier pairs with this binding groove. Notably, the helical, stacked A69-G70-A71 all-purine trinucleotide appears to use these backbone contacts to guide the specifier into a helical, stacked conformation poised to receive the incoming anticodon (Fig. 3d). Substituting any of the three purines with a uridine reduced tRNA binding by 100-1000fold, suggesting their critical importance (Fig. 3e).

Stem IIA/B pseudoknot is an essential organizational hub

A compact H-type (or hairpin-type) pseudoknot immediately follows Stem II in most Tboxes and contains two short stacked helices termed Stem IIA (3 bp) and IIB (4 bp) (Fig. 5a). The latter is formed by base pairing between the 3' region of the Stem IIA loop and single-stranded sequences at the 3'-end of the Stem II domain. A leading 2-nt L1 loop and a trailing 5-nt L3 loop (L2 = 0) make extensive interactions with the major and minor grooves of Stem IIB and IIA helices, respectively, producing the most compact pseudoknot visualized so far in the PseudoBase³⁹ — spanning only 21 nt.

On the major groove side, G81 from L1 forms a base triple with the closing pair of Stem IIB (C86-G95) and stacks with U82 (Fig. 5b). Unusually, U82 uses both its 2- and 4- carbonyls of its 45° -inclined nucleobase to construct two tandem base triples with two consecutive base pairs A84-U97 and G85-C96, respectively. On the minor groove side, another highly conserved uridine U90 from L3 forms a second GU platform (the first being G67•U68 in the S-turn base triple)^{31,32} with G89, as part of a base triple involving the conserved C78-G89 pair at the base of Stem IIA (Fig. 5c). U90 also provides a flexible backbone bend. This base triple interfaces with both Stem I and Stem II and appears to play a key role in orienting both stems and facilitating their docking. A conservative U90C mutation reduced tRNA binding affinity by ~21-fold (Fig. 5d), likely due to the loss of the GU platform and base triple, consistent with a strong preference for uridine here⁶. While the C78-G89 pair portion of the triple stacks with the base of Stem II, the 2'-OH of U90 bonds with a phosphate oxygen between C29 and U30, helping anchor Stem I (Fig. 5a). This interfacial base triple is backed by another triple-like structure consisting of the G79-C88 pair and A92 which form robust A-minor interactions (Fig. 5c). C91 flips out to facilitate the sharp backbone bend and allows A92 to stack with U90. The sequence in the 3' strand of Stem IIA and 5' half of L3 (87CCGUCA92) forms the second most conserved region of the T-box termed the "F-box", only after the discriminator bulge sequence that binds the tRNA 3'-end^{2,18}. The base-specific

contacts of the F-box to generate and reinforce the pseudoknot rationalize its unique conservation pattern and functional importance. Finally, C93 and A94 at the 3' edge of L3 stack against Stem IIB and bond with the 2'-OH and 2-carbonyl of C87, respectively, bolstering Stem IIB (Fig. 5a). Altogether, six out of the seven Watson-Crick pairs in the Stem IIA/B helix are reinforced with five base triples and one A-minor interaction, creating a robust three-stranded structure.

Previous crosslinking analyses suggested a direct contact between tRNA D-loop and the Stem IIA/B pseudoknot¹⁵. Our structure reveals that the pseudoknot is located 30-40 Å away from the D-loop on the opposite side of the tRNA. To ascertain that the pseudoknot does not directly contact tRNA, we measured binding of an isolated tRNA^{Ile} ASL to the T-box. Remarkably, the ASL alone binds with very high affinity (~14 nM, Fig. 5d and Extended Data Fig. 2) comparable to the full tRNA (~25 nM). Similarly, a tG19C mutation that disrupts the tG19-tC56 tertiary pair that forms the tRNA elbow still binds with a robust ~ 66 nM affinity (2.8-fold effect). These findings strongly suggest that all the binding determinants present on tRNA^{IIe} to bind the Stem I and II domains are located within the ASL (Extended Data Fig. 9). Consistent with previous reports that pseudoknot mutations reduced tRNA crosslinking and abolished T-box responses in vivo^{15,18}, we observed that pseudoknot disruptions drastically reduced tRNA binding. A complete deletion of the pseudoknot (T-box₁₋₇₇) nearly abolished tRNA binding with a ~1000-fold reduction in affinity (Figs. 1c & 5d; Extended Data Figs. 2-3) while a single G85C substitution reduced affinity by ~60-fold. In comparison, a partial deletion of L3 and Stem IIB leaving the Stem IIA hairpin loop intact exhibited only a ~5-fold defect. We reason that the base of Stem IIA presumably can still stack with Stem II and guide the trajectory of Stem I, albeit more weakly than the pseudoknot base triple. Interestingly, tRNA binding reciprocally stabilizes the pseudoknot, restricting the backbone flexibility of U90 of the base triple and reducing its chemical modification¹⁵. In addition, or as an alternative to a role in Stem II stabilization, the pseudoknot may act to redirect the base of Stem I away and block it from stacking with the base of Stem II, which would prevent their docking and tRNA binding. This notion is consistent with the dramatic effect of the pseudoknot deletion (Fig. 5d) and the functional sufficiency of Stem IIA. Taken together, our data show that the Stem IIA/B pseudoknot is an essential structural element that does not directly bind tRNA, but rather acts as a geometric hub to organize and orient Stem I and Stem II.

Stem II structure and interactions are compatible with a canonical, elongated Stem I

Lastly, we examined whether the structure and interactions of the Stem II domain within a translational T-box would be similar to or different from the same domain in a feature-complete transcriptional T-box containing a canonical, elongated Stem I. When we superimposed the two tRNAs from the *N. farcinica ileS* T-box-tRNA^{IIe} complex (Fig. 6a) and *Oceanobacillus iheyensis glyQ* Stem I-tRNA^{Gly} complex⁹ (Fig. 6c), the two Stem I's align well, even placing the K-turns in approximately the same location (Fig. 6b). The Stem II and IIA/B pseudoknot do not clash with the elongated Stem I, as they engage the tRNA on opposite sides, and together embrace the tRNA near the ASL. The distal region of the long Stem I can be viewed as a seamless insertion into the distal loop of the ultrashort Stem I. This allowed facile modeling of a canonical, most common T-box riboswitch containing

both the long Stem I and the Stem II domain, such as the first discovered *B. subtilis tyrS* T-

box (Extended Data Fig. 10). These findings corroborate the modular nature of the T-box riboswitch, hint at the evolution of different Stem I's, and suggest a level of plug-and-play for RNA structural modules.

Discussion

Our structural and biochemical analyses portray how an ultrashort T-box Stem I collaborates with a perpendicularly arranged Stem II to jointly sculpt a high-affinity binding site for the anticodon of specific tRNAs. The inclined Stem II makes full use of the numerous exposed purine base edges and backbone 2'-OHs of its S-turn region to locally reinforce the otherwise weak anticodon-specifier duplex. The Stem IIA/B pseudoknot, in conjunction with the Stem I K-turn, positions and orients Stem I and II so that they dock via an extended ribose zipper to form the anticodon-binding groove.

As the Stem II domain is present in nearly all but the glycine-specific transcriptional Tboxes, it represents an integral component of the T-box riboswitch. Mutations in this phylogenetically conserved region strongly compromised tRNA-mediated transcription readthrough *in vivo*, suggesting its functional importance¹⁸. Our data demonstrate that the Stem II domain enhances tRNA binding affinity compared to Stem I alone by >1000 fold. Given this importance of the Stem II domain, why is it dispensable in glycyl T-boxes? Glycyl T-boxes recognize tRNA^{Gly} which contains well-stacked GCC anticodon that forms stable pairs with its cognate GGC specifier. Thus, it is likely unnecessary to pre-organize the specifier trinucleotide or to locally bolster the already-stable duplex. This explanation is congruent with the primary function of the Stem II domain being to locally reinforce weaker, A-U-rich anticodon-specifier duplexes. Further, the manner by which the Stem II S-turn latches the duplex constrains the choices of T-box codons and tRNA isoacceptors, shaping a distinct pattern of codon usage from that on the ribosome.

A second question is why certain translation-regulatory T-boxes can operate without the highly conserved Stem I distal T-loops that stack with the tRNA elbow? This interaction plays essential roles in transcriptional T-boxes in ensuring requisite tRNA affinity, binding rates, and complex stability^{3,9,13,14}. All known transcription-regulating riboswitches, including the T-boxes, have a finite time window (~ a few seconds) to race towards cotranscriptional RNA folding and ligand-binding before the elongating RNAP reaches the terminator region⁴⁰⁻⁴². By contrast, translation-regulating riboswitches exemplified by certain purine and SAM riboswitches interconvert between two conformers that are in equilibrium depending on ligand concentrations^{43,44}. Thus, transcriptional T-boxes may require a faster k_{on} to allow more time for tRNA to bind before the RNAP reaches the decision point, whereas translational T-boxes need to continuously monitor tRNA aminoacylation in binding equilibria. In support, the Stem I distal T-loops contribute ~2-20 fold to k_{on} of tRNAs based on single-molecule measurements^{13,14}. Additionally or alternatively, the distal T-loops of Stem I may be required to stabilize the tRNAdiscriminator interaction¹⁷. By stacking with the tRNA elbow, the T-loops add six layers to the continuous stack with the discriminator thereby allowing its aggregate stability to transiently overcome the terminator. Consistent with this notion, a deletion of the T-loops

abrogated the extremely long-lived T-box-tRNA complexes observed in single-molecule experiments¹³. For the translational T-boxes, over-stabilization of one of the two conformers can prevent interconversion needed to maintain structural fluidity and responsiveness of the switch. Taken together, the architectural differences in the translational *versus* transcriptional T-boxes may have been driven by the specific kinetic and thermodynamic requirements dictated by their distinct regulatory needs⁴³.

Intriguingly, the compact ASL-binding groove formed by Stem I and II achieves significantly higher affinities than the elongated Stem I (25 nM *vs* 150 nM) despite burying much less solvent-accessible surface areas (ASA; 539 Å² *vs* 802 Å²; Extended Data Fig. 9)⁹. These translate into a nearly two-fold difference in binding efficiencies (BEs, as defined by G_0/ASA) of 19 and 11 cal mol⁻¹ Å⁻², for the ultrashort and elongated Stem I's, respectively. Notably, the remarkable binding efficiency of this groove parallels some of the highest-affinity protein-protein interactions (PPIs) and approaches the upper limit of ~20 cal mol⁻¹Å⁻² estimated for PPIs⁴⁵. Further examination of the thermodynamic parameters show that the ultrashort Stem I-Stem II achieves higher binding energies not primarily through making more favorable contacts (i.e., better enthalpy), but by reducing the entropic cost of binding (*-T S* = 13.3 kcal mol⁻¹ for *ileS* T-box *vs* 15.9 kcal mol⁻¹ for the *glyQS* T-box⁹, Supplementary Table 1). This finding suggests that the docking of Stem I and Stem II aided by the IIA/B pseudoknot likely pre-organizes the binding groove and stacks the specifier nucleotides in anticipation of the anticodon of the incoming tRNA.

The structural and functional elucidations of the ultrashort T-box Stem I and enigmatic Stem II domain complete our understanding of the T-box structural framework. As the *N. farcinica* T-box closely resembles those from important human pathogens such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, the structural and biochemical insights obtained here can inform the development of novel antimicrobials against mycobacteria. Our *ileS* T-box-tRNA^{IIe} complex structure exemplifies how a linear mRNA can utilize strategically positioned geometric devices such as the K-turn and a pseudoknot together with interaction modules such as the S-turn and a ribose zipper to self-assemble into a scaffold that harbors functional modules such as a specific tRNA-binding groove. Similar organizational principles and strategies may drive RNA and ribonucleoprotein assembly into RNA suprastructures such as RNA genomes, condensates or granules^{46,47}.

Methods:

RNA preparation.

The *ileS* T-box riboswitch RNAs and tRNAs were produced by *in vitro* transcription using T7 RNA polymerase using PCR products as templates³⁸. The RNAs were purified by electrophoresis on 10% polyacrylamide (29:1 acrylamide: bisacrylamide), 8 M Urea TBE (Tris-Borate-EDTA) gels, electroeluted, washed once with 1 M KCl, desalted by ultrafiltration, washed four times with DEPC-treated H₂O, and stored at -80° C until use. The T-box riboswitch-tRNA complexes were prepared by folding them together with tRNA in excess, by heating at 90 °C for 3 min, followed by snap-cooling in a folding buffer consisting of 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 25 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA. 10 mM MgCl₂ was added to the buffer upon cooling and the samples were incubated on ice for 20 min. The

RNA complex was purified using size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 column in the same buffer containing 10 mM MgCl₂. Peak fractions containing the complex were pooled and concentrated to 6-8 mg/mL. For crystallization, unmodified tRNA^{Ile} from *Mycobacterium smegmatis* which is nearly identical to the *N. farcinica* tRNA^{Ile} and only differs at a single A57G substitution yielded crystals, which were used for structure determination. The two tRNAs exhibited comparable K_d values for binding to the wt Tbox₁₋₉₈ (Extended Data Fig. 2).

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA).

Conformational homogeneity of the RNAs and binding between the T-box RNAs and tRNA was assessed using native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in Tris-HEPES-EDTA (pH 8.0) buffer supplemented with 10 mM MgCl₂ (Extended Data Fig. 1). T-box RNAs and tRNA were co-folded in the 1x folding buffer by heating at 90 °C, snap-cooling together, followed by addition of 10 mM MgCl₂ and incubation on ice for 20 min. The RNAs were analyzed on 10 % non-denaturing gel at 20 W for 4 hrs at room temperature. The gels were stained with GelRed and imaged on a GE ImageQuant LAS 4000 imager.

Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC).

RNAs were folded as described above by heating at 90 °C for 3 min, followed by snapcooling over 2 min and addition of 10 mM MgCl₂. The folded RNAs were incubated on ice for 15 min, followed by exchange into a buffer containing 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 100 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 10 mM MgCl₂ using ultrafiltration. For each measurement, ITC was done at least in duplicates at 20 °C with 5 - 20 μ M T-box RNA in the cell and 50 – 200 μ M tRNA in the syringe using a MicroCal iTC₂₀₀ microcalorimeter (GE). ITC measurements for binding between T-box and tRNA anticodon stem loop (ASL) were done with 5-10 μ M ASL in the cell and 50-100 μ M T-box in the syringe. The 5-bp wt ASL was extended by two G-C base-pairs for stabilization (Extended Data Fig. 2) The raw ITC data were integrated using NITPIC and fit with SEDPHAT⁴⁹ to obtain the dissociation constants and thermodynamic parameters reported in Supplementary Table 1.

Cocrystallization and diffraction data collection.

To facilitate crystallization, the CCCG Stem II loop in wt T-box₁₋₉₈ (Extended Data Fig. 2) was replaced with a GAAA tetraloop along with introducing a single A47G substitution. For crystallization, the purified *N. farcinica ileS* T-box riboswitch-tRNA complex was supplemented with 1 mM spermine, 0.1 - 0.2 % (w/v, final) of low-melting-point (LM) agarose and held at 37 °C. The RNA solution was mixed 1:1 with a reservoir solution consisting of 0.1 M Bis-Tris, pH 6.5, 0.2 M Li₂SO₄, 20-25 % (w/v) PEG 3350, and crystallized at 21°C by the hanging-drop vapor diffusion method. Thick rectangular prism-shaped crystals grew in 1-2 weeks to maximum dimensions of $300 \times 200 \times 50 \ \mu\text{m}^3$ (Extended Data Fig. 1). The drops containing ~0.1 - 0.2% LM agarose had a viscous gel-like consistency from which crystals were directly harvested. The crystals were cryo-protected in a synthetic mother liquor containing 25% (v/v) PEG 3350 and 20% ethylene glycol before vitrification in liquid nitrogen. For *de novo* phasing with Ir(III), crystals (in agarose) were soaked in a synthetic mother liquor supplemented with 20% ethylene glycol and 10 mM Ir(III) Hexammine for 15 hrs before vitrification in liquid nitrogen. Single-wavelength

anomalous dispersion (SAD) data were collected at 1.0 Å near the Iridium L2 edge (0.9687 Å) at the SER-CAT beamline ID-22 at the Advanced Photon Source (APS). Crystals exhibit the symmetry of space group $P222_1$. Unit cell dimensions are in Table 1.

Crystal structure determination and refinement.

A total of 14 Ir sites were identified by ShelxD and Phenix.HySS⁵⁰ from a single 3.05 Å Ir-SAD dataset, which generated an initial electron density map with a mean overall figure of merit (FOM) of 0.298. These weak phases were improved by molecular placement of a tRNA^{Gly} (PDB ID: 4LCK) using PHASER⁵¹ followed by improved Ir substructure identification and phasing using the MR-SAD pipeline of Phenix.Autosol, producing a figure of merit (FOM) of 0.527. RNA helices and some individual nucleotides were clearly visible in the resulting electron density map. Model building was performed using Coot⁵² and iterative MR-SAD was used to improve the maps using the evolving model. A near-complete model of the T-box-tRNA complex was built and refined using Phenix.Refine⁵³. The refined structure with Ir atoms removed was located in a native 2.82 Å dataset using PHASER (Translational Function Z (TFZ) score = 39.1; Log-likelihood Gain (LLG) = 2051), manually adjusted and rebuilt using Coot, and refined using Phenix.Refine. The model was then corrected by ERRASER⁵⁴ and further refined. The refinement statistics for the model are summarized in Table 1. Solvent accessibility analysis was performed using StrucTools with default parameters and a 1.4 Å probe size.

Data Availability:

Atomic coordinates and structure factor amplitudes for the RNA have been deposited at the Protein Data Bank under accession code 6UFM [https://www.rcsb.org/structure/6UFM]. Source data for figure 2d, 3e and 5d are available online. All other data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article or available upon request.

Extended Data

Suddala and Zhang



Extended Data Fig. 1. Binding of tRNA $^{\rm Ile}$ by the N. farcinica ileS T-box riboswitch and effect of agarose on crystal morphology

a, Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) using 10% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel showing binding of T-box length variants to tRNA^{IIe}. T-box₁₋₂₉ (Stem I) bands were poorly stained by GelRed and are indicated by the dashed box. **b**, EMSA titration showing the binding of tRNA^{IIe} by *N. farcinica ileS* T-box₁₋₉₈. Lanes 1-5 (left-right): 0:1, 1:0, 1:0, 5, 1:1 and 1:2 mixing ratios of T-box₁₋₉₈:tRNA^{IIe}. 10 μ M of T-box₁₋₉₈ was used for the assay. **c**, Crystals grown in the absence of agarose appear as thin plates with parasitic growth from the corners. Crystals were grown at 21 °C by vapor diffusion by mixing an equal volume of reservoir solution containing 20% PEG 3350, 0.1 M Bis-Tris (HCl), pH 6.5 and 200 mM Li₂SO₄. **d**, Crystals grown under similar conditions as in c), but in the presence of ~0.1 % low-melting-point agarose. In the presence of agarose, crystals grew as thick rectangular prisms with sharp edges, and exhibited improved diffraction properties. Scale bar, ~100 µm.

Suddala and Zhang



Extended Data Fig. 2. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) analysis of tRNA^{Ile} binding by the *ileS* T-box riboswitch length variants

a-d, Representative ITC isotherms for *N. farcinica* wt tRNA^{Ile} binding to (a) T-box₁₋₉₈, (b) T-box₁₋₈₉, (c) T-box₁₋₇₇, and (d) T-box₁₋₂₉. **e**, ITC isotherm of tRNA^{Ile} anticodon stem loop (ASL) binding to T-box₁₋₉₈ (wt). **f-g**, ITC isotherms for *N. farcinica* wt tRNA^{Ile} binding to (f) T-box₁₋₉₉ (with an extra 3'-G), and (g) T-box₁₋₈₉ A84C mutant. **h-i**, ITC isotherms of h) tRNA^{Ile} G19C mutant and i) *M. smegmatis* tRNA^{Ile} binding to T-box₁₋₉₈ (wt). The construct names and the K_d values (mean \pm s.d., n = 4 for a, e; n = 3 for b, d, f; n = 2 for c, g, h, i) are reported in the top and bottom panels of the ITC isotherms.



Extended Data Fig. 3. ITC analysis of the effects of single nucleobase substitutions on the ileS T-box and tRNA IIe

a-d, Representative ITC isotherms for wt tRNA^{Ile} binding by T-box₁₋₉₈ Stem I mutants (a) A8G, (b) A16U, (c) A16G, (d) U17A, (d) C18G. **e**, T-box₁₋₉₈ binding to tRNA^{Ile} tA35G mutant. **f-g**, ITC isotherms for wt tRNA^{Ile} binding by T-box₁₋₉₈ Stem I mutants (f) C18G and (g) C18U. **h**, T-box₁₋₉₈ U17A mutant binding to tRNA^{Ile} tA35U mutant. **i**) ITC isotherms for wt tRNA^{Ile} binding by T-box₁₋₉₈ Stem I mutant A19U. **j-s**, tRNA^{Ile} binding to T-box₁₋₉₈ Stem II mutants (j) G37U, (k) A38U, (l) A39U, (m) A66U, (n) G67U, (o) A69U, (p) G70U, (q) A71U, (r) G85C and (s) U90C. (t) Sequence and secondary structure of T-box₁₋₉₈ for reference. The construct names and the K_d values (mean ± s.d., n = 3 for a, f, h,

n, o, q, s; n = 2 for b, c, d, e, g, i, j, k, l, m, p, r) are reported in the top and bottom panels of the ITC isotherms.



Extended Data Fig. 4. Representative X-ray crystallographic electron density maps a, Composite simulated anneal-omit $2|F_0|-|F_c|$ electron density calculated using the final model (1.0 s.d.) superimposed with the final refined model. **b-c**, Portions of the map showing the tRNA anticodon - T-box specifier duplex region (b) and the characteristic "S"-like backbone bend of the Stem II S-turn (c).



Extended Data Fig. 5. Similarity between *ileS* T-box Stem I distal loop and tRNA^{Ile} anticodon stem-loop (ASL) Superposition of the *ileS* Stem I distal loop (orange) with tRNA^{Ile} ASL (green) showing

Superposition of the *ileS* Stem I distal loop (orange) with tRNA^{IIe} ASL (green) showing structural similarities.

Suddala and Zhang



Extended Data Fig. 6. Comparison of S-turn structures and S-turn-mediated RNA-RNA interactions

a-d, The S-turn (or loop E) motif from a) *N. farcinica ileS* T-box riboswitch structure, b) *glyQ* T-box Stem I from *Oceanobacillus iheyensis* (PDB ID: 4lck)¹ c) 23S rRNA from the *Haloarcula marismortui* large ribosomal subunit (PDB ID: 4v9f)², and d) glutamine riboswitch (PDB ID: 5ddp)³. **e**, Overlay of the S-turn structures in a-d. **f**, Stabilization of codon-anticodon helix by the Stem II S-turn in *ileS* T-box riboswitch. **g**, Interactions between an S-turn and a neighboring helix in 23S rRNA from *H. marismortui*. **h**, Interactions between an atypical S-turn motif in helix 6 of the Varkud satellite (VS) ribozyme (PDB ID: 4r4v)⁴ and A652 of helix 2. Red and green dashes represent H-bonds involving nucleotides in the backbone-turn-containing strand and the opposite strand, respectively.



Extended Data Fig. 7. Examples of the recurring inclined tandem A-minor (ITAM) motifs in RNA structures

a, The inclined tandem A-minor (ITAM) motif observed in the *ileS* T-box-tRNA^{Ile} structure. **b-d**, Similar ITAM motifs observed in the b) TPP riboswitch (PDB ID: 2gdi)⁵, c) SAM-II riboswitch (PDB ID: 2qwy)⁶ and d) preQ₁-I riboswitch (PDB ID: 3fu2)⁷ crystal structures. For comparison, the motifs shown in b-d are formed by adjacent adenosines on the same strand, whereas the ITAM motif in a) uses cross-strand stacked adenosines from opposite strands. This configuration allows both the ribose and the nucleobases from both adenosines to contact the C-G base pair. The inclined adenosine motif in c) is unusual in that three adjacent, stacked adenosines span the width of a single C-G base pair and form multiple contacts with it. The motif in d) (also termed the A-amino kissing motif) involves the Watson-Crick edges of the adenosines and does not involve interactions with the ribose. These interactions are formed by L3 loop adenosines of the preQ₁-I riboswitch and are common in many other H-type pseudoknots.



Extended Data Fig. 8. Three different strategies to stabilize the codon-anticodon duplex.

a, The minor groove side of the *N. farcinica ileS* T-box Stem II S-turn interacts extensively with the minor groove of the anticodon-specifier duplex. In particular, the A38-A69 inclined tandem A-minor (ITAM) motif stabilizes the C18-tG34 base pair. G70 and A71 stack with the top layer of the S-turn (G37•A69) and stabilize the U17-tA35 and A16-tU36 pairs, respectively (see also Fig. 3d). **b**, The conserved A1492 and A1493 of ribosomal RNA in the ribosome A-site interact with the codon-anticodon duplex via tandem, stacked A-minor interactions (similar to G70 and A71 in panel a) in conjunction with the G530 latch (similar to A38 and A69 in panel a) to ensure decoding fidelity⁸⁻¹¹. **c**, Similarly, in the adenovirus virus-associated RNA I (VA-I RNA), A36 stabilizes a 3-bp pseudoknot formed between residues 103-105 in Loop 8 (5'-ACC-3', anticodon-like) and residues 124-126 (5'-GGU-3', codon-like) of Loop 10, through extensive A-minor interactions between A36 and the minor groove¹².



Extended Data Fig. 9. Intermolecular interface of the *N. farcinica* **T-box -tRNA**^{Ile} **complex a**, Solvent-accessible surface colored according to area buried from light blue or white (no burial) to red (>125 Å² per residue). **b**, Open-book view of the binding interface. **c and d**, Plots of solvent-accessible surface area buried per residue (Å²) on the tRNA (c) and T-box (d).



Extended Data Fig. 10. Structural model of a canonical, feature-complete T-box riboswitch Stem I and II domains in complex with its cognate tRNA

Model of a canonical, feature-complete T-box riboswitch, such as the originally described *B. subtilis tyrS* T-box containing a long Stem I (salmon), Stem II (marine) and Stem IIA/B pseudoknot (cyan) elements bound to its cognate tRNA (green). The composite structural model is derived by combining the cocrystal structures of the *ileS* T-box riboswitch bound to tRNA^{IIe} and the cocrystal structure of the *Oceanobacillus iheyensis glyQ* T-box Stem I bound to tRNA^{Gly} (PDB: 4LCK)¹. tRNAs from both structures were superimposed as shown in Fig. 6b. The distal loop of the ultrashort Stem I from the *ileS* T-box was then replaced with the long, canonical Stem I from the *glyQ* T-box.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank I. Botos for computational support, G. Piszczek and D. Wu for support in biophysical analyses, F. Dyda for suggesting the use of zero-dose correction, and S. Li, C. Bou-Nader, J.M. Gordon, C. Stathopoulos, and A. Ferré-D'Amaré for discussions. Data were collected at Southeast Regional Collaborative Access Team (SER-CAT) 22-ID beamline at the Advanced Photon Source of the Argonne National Laboratory, supported by the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract No. W-31-109-Eng-38. This work was supported by the intramural research program of NIDDK, NIH, a NIH Deputy Director for Intramural Research (DDIR) Innovation Award and a DDIR Challenge Award to J.Z.

References:

- 1. Zhang J & Ferre-D'Amare AR Structure and mechanism of the T-box riboswitches. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA 6, 419–33 (2015). [PubMed: 25959893]
- 2. Grundy FJ & Henkin TM tRNA as a positive regulator of transcription antitermination in *B. subtilis*. Cell 74, 475–482 (1993). [PubMed: 8348614]

- Suddala KC & Zhang J An evolving tale of two interacting RNAs-themes and variations of the Tbox riboswitch mechanism. IUBMB Life 71, 1167–1180 (2019). [PubMed: 31206978]
- Vitreschak AG, Mironov AA, Lyubetsky VA & Gelfand MS Comparative genomic analysis of T-box regulatory systems in bacteria. RNA 14, 717–735 (2008). [PubMed: 18359782]
- Gutierrez-Preciado A, Henkin TM, Grundy FJ, Yanofsky C & Merino E Biochemical features and functional implications of the RNA-based T-box regulatory mechanism. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 73, 36–61 (2009). [PubMed: 19258532]
- Sherwood AV, Grundy FJ & Henkin TM T box riboswitches in Actinobacteria: translational regulation via novel tRNA interactions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112, 1113–8 (2015). [PubMed: 25583497]
- 7. Frohlich KM et al. Discovery of small molecule antibiotics against a unique tRNA-mediated regulation of transcription in Gram-positive bacteria. ChemMedChem (2019).
- Grigg JC & Ke A Structural Determinants for Geometry and Information Decoding of tRNA by T Box Leader RNA. Structure 21, 2025–32 (2013). [PubMed: 24095061]
- 9. Zhang J & Ferré-D'Amaré AR Co-crystal structure of a T-box riboswitch stem I domain in complex with its cognate tRNA. Nature 500, 363–366 (2013). [PubMed: 23892783]
- Korostelev A, Trakhanov S, Laurberg M & Noller HF Crystal structure of a 70S ribosome-tRNA complex reveals functional interactions and rearrangements. Cell 126, 1065–77 (2006). [PubMed: 16962654]
- Reiter NJ et al. Structure of a bacterial ribonuclease P holoenzyme in complex with tRNA. Nature 468, 784–789 (2010). [PubMed: 21076397]
- Lehmann J, Jossinet F & Gautheret D A universal RNA structural motif docking the elbow of tRNA in the ribosome, RNase P and T-box leaders. Nucleic Acids Res 41, 5494–5502 (2013). [PubMed: 23580544]
- Suddala KC et al. Hierarchical mechanism of amino acid sensing by the T-box riboswitch. Nat Commun 9, 1896 (2018). [PubMed: 29760498]
- 14. Zhang J et al. Specific structural elements of the T-box riboswitch drive the two-step binding of the tRNA ligand. Elife 7(2018).
- Sherwood AV, Frandsen JK, Grundy FJ & Henkin TM New tRNA contacts facilitate ligand binding in a Mycobacterium smegmatis T box riboswitch. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115, 3894–3899 (2018). [PubMed: 29581302]
- Lilley DM The K-turn motif in riboswitches and other RNA species. Biochim Biophys Acta 1839, 995–1004 (2014). [PubMed: 24798078]
- Zhang J & Ferré-D'Amaré AR Direct evaluation of tRNA aminoacylation status by the T-box riboswitch using tRNA-mRNA stacking and steric readout. Mol Cell 55, 148–55 (2014). [PubMed: 24954903]
- Rollins SM, Grundy FJ & Henkin TM Analysis of cis-acting sequence and structural elements required for antitermination of the Bacillus subtilis tyrS gene. Mol Microbiol 25, 411–21 (1997). [PubMed: 9282752]
- Correll CC, Freeborn B, Moore PB & Steitz TA Metals, motifs, and recognition in the crystal structure of a 5S rRNA domain. Cell 91, 705–712 (1997). [PubMed: 9393863]
- Yang X, Gérczei T, Glover L & Correll CC Crystal structures of restrictocin-inhibitor complexes with implications for RNA recognition and base flipping. Nature Struct. Biol. 8, 968–973 (2001). [PubMed: 11685244]
- Grosjean H & Westhof E An integrated, structure- and energy-based view of the genetic code. Nucleic Acids Res 44, 8020–40 (2016). [PubMed: 27448410]
- Caserta E, Liu LC, Grundy FJ & Henkin TM Codon-Anticodon Recognition in the Bacillus subtilis glyQS T Box Riboswitch: RNA-DEPENDENT CODON SELECTION OUTSIDE THE RIBOSOME. J Biol Chem 290, 23336–47 (2015). [PubMed: 26229106]
- Winkler WC, Grundy FJ, Murphy BA & Henkin TM The GA motif: an RNA element common to bacterial antitermination systems, rRNA, and eukaryotic RNAs. RNA 7, 1165–1172 (2001). [PubMed: 11497434]

- 24. Leontis NB, Stombaugh J & Westhof E Motif prediction in ribosomal RNAs Lessons and prospects for automated motif prediction in homologous RNA molecules. Biochimie 84, 961–73 (2002). [PubMed: 12458088]
- Suslov NB et al. Crystal structure of the Varkud satellite ribozyme. Nat Chem Biol 11, 840–6 (2015). [PubMed: 26414446]
- 26. Gabdulkhakov A, Nikonov S & Garber M Revisiting the Haloarcula marismortui 50S ribosomal subunit model. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 69, 997–1004 (2013). [PubMed: 23695244]
- Serganov A, Polonskaia A, Phan AT, Breaker RR & Patel DJ Structural basis for gene regulation by a thiamine pyrophosphate-sensing riboswitch. Nature 441, 1167–71 (2006). [PubMed: 16728979]
- Edwards TE & Ferré-D'Amaré AR Crystal structures of the *thi*-box riboswitch bound to thiamine pyrophosphate analogs reveal adaptive RNA-small molecule recognition. Structure 14, 1459–1468 (2006). [PubMed: 16962976]
- Gilbert SD, Rambo RP, Van Tyne D & Batey RT Structure of the SAM-II riboswitch bound to Sadenosylmethionine. Nat Struct Mol Biol 15, 177–82 (2008). [PubMed: 18204466]
- Klein DJ, Edwards TE & Ferre-D'Amare AR Cocrystal structure of a class I preQ1 riboswitch reveals a pseudoknot recognizing an essential hypermodified nucleobase. Nat Struct Mol Biol 16, 343–4 (2009). [PubMed: 19234468]
- Cate JH et al. RNA tertiary structure mediation by adenosine platforms. Science 273, 1696–9 (1996). [PubMed: 8781229]
- 32. Westhof E & Fritsch V RNA folding: beyond Watson-Crick pairs. Structure 8, R55–65 (2000). [PubMed: 10745012]
- 33. Leontis NB & Westhof E A common motif organizes the structure of multi-helix loops in 16 S and 23 S ribosomal RNAs. J Mol Biol 283, 571–83 (1998). [PubMed: 9784367]
- 34. Kreuzer KD & Henkin TM The T-Box Riboswitch: tRNA as an Effector to Modulate Gene Regulation. Microbiol Spectr 6(2018).
- 35. Demeshkina N, Jenner L, Westhof E, Yusupov M & Yusupova G A new understanding of the decoding principle on the ribosome. Nature 484, 256–9 (2012). [PubMed: 22437501]
- Korostelev A, Trakhanov S, Laurberg M & Noller H Crystal structure of a 70S ribosome-tRNA complex reveals functional interactions and rearrangements. Cell 126, 1065–1077 (2006). [PubMed: 16962654]
- 37. Ogle JM et al. Recognition of cognate transfer RNA by the 30S ribosomal subunit. Science 292, 897–902 (2001). [PubMed: 11340196]
- Hood IV et al. Crystal structure of an adenovirus virus-associated RNA. Nat Commun 10, 2871 (2019). [PubMed: 31253805]
- 39. Taufer M et al. PseudoBase++: an extension of PseudoBase for easy searching, formatting and visualization of pseudoknots. Nucleic Acids Res 37, D127–35 (2009). [PubMed: 18988624]
- Wickiser JK, Winkler WC, Breaker RR & Crothers DM The speed of RNA transcription and metabolite binding kinetics operate an FMN riboswitch. Mol Cell 18, 49–60 (2005). [PubMed: 15808508]
- 41. Zhang J, Lau MW & Ferre-D'Amare AR Ribozymes and riboswitches: modulation of RNA function by small molecules. Biochemistry 49, 9123–31 (2010). [PubMed: 20931966]
- 42. Santner T, Rieder U, Kreutz C & Micura R Pseudoknot preorganization of the preQ1 class I riboswitch. J Am Chem Soc 134, 11928–31 (2012). [PubMed: 22775200]
- 43. Lemay JF et al. Comparative study between transcriptionally- and translationally-acting adenine riboswitches reveals key differences in riboswitch regulatory mechanisms. PLoS Genet 7, e1001278 (2011). [PubMed: 21283784]
- 44. Suddala KC et al. Single transcriptional and translational preQ1 riboswitches adopt similar prefolded ensembles that follow distinct folding pathways into the same ligand-bound structure. Nucleic Acids Res 41, 10462–75 (2013). [PubMed: 24003028]
- 45. Day ES, Cote SM & Whitty A Binding efficiency of protein-protein complexes. Biochemistry 51, 9124–36 (2012). [PubMed: 23088250]

- 46. Van Treeck B & Parker R Emerging Roles for Intermolecular RNA-RNA Interactions in RNP Assemblies. Cell 174, 791–802 (2018). [PubMed: 30096311]
- 47. Jain A & Vale RD RNA phase transitions in repeat expansion disorders. Nature 546, 243–247 (2017). [PubMed: 28562589]
- Leontis NB & Westhof E Geometric nomenclature and classification of RNA base pairs. RNA 7, 499–512 (2001). [PubMed: 11345429]

References (Methods)

- 49. Zhao H, Piszczek G & Schuck P SEDPHAT--a platform for global ITC analysis and global multimethod analysis of molecular interactions. Methods 76, 137–148 (2015). [PubMed: 25477226]
- Adams P & al, e. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta Cryst D 66, 213–221 (2010). [PubMed: 20124702]
- 51. McCoy A et al. Phaser crystallographic software. J Appl Cryst 40, 658–674 (2007). [PubMed: 19461840]
- 52. Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG & Cowtan K Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallogr D 66, 486–501 (2010). [PubMed: 20383002]
- 53. Afonine PV et al. Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography. Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol 74, 531–544 (2018). [PubMed: 29872004]
- 54. Chou FC, Sripakdeevong P, Dibrov SM, Hermann T & Das R Correcting pervasive errors in RNA crystallography through enumerative structure prediction. Nat Methods 10, 74–6 (2013). [PubMed: 23202432]

Suddala and Zhang



Figure 1. Overall structure of the *N. farcinica ileS* T-box in complex with tRNA^{Ile}.

a) Schematic of the proposed mechanism of translation regulation by the *N. farcinica ileS* Tbox riboswitch. **b-d**) ITC analysis of tRNA^{Ile} binding by b) T-box₁₋₉₈ (residues 1-98) consisting of Stems I, II and IIA/B, c) T-box₁₋₇₇ containing Stems I and II and d) T-box₁₋₂₉ Stem I only. K_d values are mean \pm s.d. (n = 4 independent experiments for T-box₁₋₉₈, n = 2for T-box₁₋₇₇, n = 3 for T-box₁₋₂₉). **e**) Sequence and secondary structure of the cocrystallized *ileS* T-box₁₋₉₈ and tRNA^{Ile}, with non-canonical base-pairing interactions indicated using the Leontis-Westhof symbols⁴⁸. The 77-nt long tRNA^{Ile} is numbered (with a 't' prefix) according to standard convention, with t76 as the last nucleotide. **f**) Structure of the *ileS* Tbox-tRNA^{Ile} complex colored as in e). Sequence changes to facilitate crystallization are shown in gray.



Figure 2. Recognition of tRNA anticodon by the *ileS* T-box riboswitch.

a) Specifier-anticodon interactions in the *ileS* T-box-tRNA^{Ile} complex. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds. b) Specifier-anticodon interactions in the *glyQ* T-box riboswitch (PDB ID: 4LCK)⁹. c) Superposition of the Specifier-anticodon interactions in the *ileS* (colored as in a) and *glyQ* (gray) T-box riboswitches. d) Mutagenesis and ITC analysis of selected Stem I mutations in T-box₁₋₉₈ (orange columns) compared to the wt T-box₁₋₉₈ (gray column). The fold change in the K_d value, compared to wt T-box₁₋₉₈ is shown on top of each column. Data shown are mean \pm s.d. (n = 4 independent experiments for WT, n = 3 for A8G, tA35G, C18G, U17A:tA35U, n = 2 for A16U, A16G, U17A, C18U, A19U). Source data for panel d are available online.



Figure 3. Stabilization of specifier-anticodon interaction by the S-turn motif.

a) The S-turn motif of Stem II showing the characteristic 'S' shape of the backbone bend near the bulged G67. Stem I and Stem II dock via extensive ribose-zipper interactions, shown as red dashed lines.

b) Hydrogen-bonding patterns of each of the three layers of the S-turn motif. The curved lines indicate stacking between the layers and the spheres indicate backbone phosphate atoms. **c)** The S-turn and neighboring residues make multiple hydrogen bonds to the specifier (red dashes) and the anticodon (green dashes) to stabilize the 3-bp anticodon-specifier helix. **d)** Interactions from the S-turn and adjacent residues to each layer of the 3-bp specifier-anticodon duplex. **e)** Mutagenesis and ITC analysis of selected Stem II mutations in T-box₁₋₉₈ (blue columns) compared to the wt T-box₁₋₉₈ (gray column). The fold change in the K_d value compared to wt T-box₁₋₉₈ is shown on top of each column. Data shown are mean \pm s.d. (n = 4 independent experiments for WT, n = 3 for G67U, A69U, A71U, n = 2 for G37U, A38U, A39U, G70U, A66U). Source data for panel e are available online.



Figure 4. tRNA recognition by the T-box anticodon-binding groove.

a) Surface representation of the T-box riboswitch showing the anticodon-binding groove (red dashed oval) formed between Stem I and Stem II. tRNA is omitted for clarity **b**) same view as a) with tRNA bound. See also Extended Data Fig. 9 for detailed surface burial analysis.



Figure 5. Stem IIA/B forms a compact pseudoknot to position Stems I and II.

a) Structure of the Stem IIA/B pseudoknot. The base-pairing interactions within Stems IIA and IIB and key tertiary interactions with loops L1 and L3 are shown. A single hydrogen bond between the 2'-OH of U90 in L3 and the U30 OP2 (red sphere) is indicated. A pseudoknot-bound sulfate ion is shown. b) Tertiary interactions of loop L1 residues (light blue) with Stem IIB (cyan). c) L3 (teal) interactions with Stem IIA (light cyan). d) ITC analysis of T-box length variants (gray), pseudoknot mutants (cyan), tRNA tG19C mutant and ASL (green). The fold change in K_d value compared to wt T-box₁₋₉₈ is shown on top of each column. Data shown are mean \pm s.d. (n = 4 independent experiments for ASL, 1-98, n = 3 for 1-99, 1-89, U90C, n = 2 for 1-77, 1-89 UGCG, G85C, tG19C). Source data for panel d are available online.

Suddala and Zhang



Figure 6. Structural comparison with T-boxes containing canonical long Stem I

a) Cocrystal structure of *N. farcinica ileS* T-box₁₋₉₈ bound to tRNA^{Ile}. The K-turn motif in Stem I is in light blue. **b)** Superposition of cocrystal structures of the *ileS* T-box₁₋₉₈-tRNA^{Ile} in a) and *glyQ* Stem I-tRNA^{Gly} complexes in c). The structures are aligned using tRNAs from both models. The superposition shows that the K-turn motifs in both the structures occupy similar locations and the long Stem I of *glyQ* T-box is compatible with the Stem II and Stem IIA/B pseudoknot elements of *ileS* T-box, providing a plausible model for canonical T-boxes containing all these elements **c)** Cocrystal structure of the *O. iheyensis glyQ* T-box Stem I bound to tRNA^{Gly} (PDB ID: 4LCK⁹). K-turn in the proximal region of Stem I is shown in dark brown.

Table 1.

X-ray crystallographic statistics of the ileS T-box – tRNA^{Ile} complex cocrystal.

T-box ₁₋₉₈ – tRNA ^{Ile} complex	Native (6UFM)
Data collection	
Wavelength (Å)	1.000
Space group	P222 ₁
Cell dimensions	
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	42.23 93.98 179.63
$a, \beta, \gamma(^{\circ})$	90, 90, 90
Resolution $(\text{\AA})^{a}$	46.99 - 2.82 (2.92 - 2.82)
$R_{\text{merge}}(\%)^{a}$	8.57 (196.5)
< <i>l</i> >/< <i>σ</i> (<i>l</i>)> ^{<i>a</i>}	15.2 (1.2)
Completeness $(\%)^a$	99.3 (99.4)
Redundancy ^a	13.0 (10.3)
<i>CC</i> _{1/2}	0.994 (0.712)
CC*	0.999 (0.912)
Refinement	
Resolution $(Å)^a$	46.99 - 2.82 (2.92 - 2.82)
No. reflections ^b	17899 (1726)
$R_{\text{work/}} R_{\text{free}} (\%)^{a}$	19.0 (44.2) /23.1 (44.2)
No. atoms	3759
RNA	3754
Ion	5
Water	0
Mean <i>B</i> -factors (Å ²)	141.41
RNA	141.41
Ligand/ion	143.20
Water	-
R.m.s. deviations	
Bond lengths (Å)	0.003
Bond angles (°)	0.74
Maximum likelihood	0.42
coordinate precision (Å)	

^aValues in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

^bValues in parentheses are for the cross-validation set.