

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Infectious Diseases



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijid

Letter to the Editor

Reply to letter to the editor by Lippi and Plebani: « The presence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies does not necessarily reflect efficient neutralization » (THEI]ID-D-22-00085)



We thank Lippi and Plebani for their comments on our article: "Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 Symptomatic Reinfection in Four Health Care Professionals from the Same Hospital Despite the Presence of Antibodies" (Gargouri et al., 2022). Our study confirmed SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in 4 health care workers (HCW). Although anti-S1 immunoglobulin G (IgG) was detectable before reinfection in 3 patients, all of them developed symptomatic secondary infection after a symptom-free interval ranging between 45 and 141 days, with a more severe clinical presentation in 2 cases.

Lippi and Plebani pointed out that the presence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies does not necessarily reflect efficient neutralization. They suggested the following conclusion "reinfection occurred with the presence of low values of anti-S1 IgG antibodies" instead of "reinfection occurred despite the presence of antibodies". Indeed, we would like to clarify this point. Lippi and Plebani mentioned the work carried out by Montesinos et al. (Montesinos et al., 2021), who compared different serological tests, including the one used in our study (VIDAS SARS-CoV-2 IgG serologic test), and who support the use of this test to monitor neutralizing antibody response following natural SARS-CoV-2 infection. However, contrary to Lippi et al., who mentioned negative predictive value (NPV) as a base to point out that several samples of patients with low values of these antibodies (and even samples of sero-reverted patients with a negative result) may retain significant neutralizing potential, in our study we chose to consider the specificity of the test and we will discuss the reason for that choice.

It is worth noting that predictive values (both positive and negative predictive values; PPV and NPV) depend upon the prevalence of the disease in a population. As the prevalence of the disease increases (that is, true positives are more common), the likelihood of a false positive decreases. Therefore, predictive values can change over time or in different places, whereas sensitivity and specificity do not change, as these are characteristics of the test itself. Therefore, both PPV and NPV are dependent on the proportion of the test population that has the disease (otherwise known as prevalence) Montesinos et al. (2021). carried out their work using samples collected between April 15, 2020, and December 7, 2020 (Belgium), whereas our samples were collected between August 2020 and October 2020. During this period, the prevalence of COVID-19 was very different between Tunisia and Belgium as well as within each country. For this reason, we rather considered the specificity of the test, which measures the proportion of negative test results out of all truly negative samples. The VIDAS test, used in our study, showed the best specificity (89% vs. 54.7%-79.7%).

Thus, it is clear that these results support our conclusion "Reinfection despite the presence of antibodies", which means, based on the study of Montesinos *et al.*, "despite the presence of potentially neutralizing antibodies". Second, according to Lumley *et al.* (Lumley *et al.*, 2021), the presence of anti-spike antibodies was associated with a substantially reduced risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in the ensuing 6 months, and no symptomatic infections in HCWs with these antibodies were observed. Furthermore, Montesinos *et al.* (Montesinos *et al.*, 2021) showed that only a minority (16.9%) of the HCWs lost neutralizing antibodies within at least 6 months. Interestingly, in our study, all 4 reinfection cases occurred within a short period and all of them were symptomatic with a severe outcome in 2 patients.

Finally, we appreciate this comment on our findings and hope that our work contributes to the growing body of knowledge about SARS-CoV-2 reinfection.

Declaration of competing interest

All authors declare no competing interest.

Ethical approval

Not applicable

References

Gargouri S, Souissi A, Abid N, Chtourou A, Feki-Berrajah L, Karray R, et al. Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 Symptomatic Reinfection in Four Health Care Professionals from the Same Hospital Despite the Presence of Antibodies. International Journal of Infectious Diseases 2022 0. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2022.01.006.

Lumley SF, O'Donnell D, Stoesser NE, Matthews PC, Howarth A, Hatch SB, et al. Antibody Status and Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Health Care Workers. N Engl J Med 2021;384:533–40. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2034545.

Montesinos I, Dahma H, Wolff F, Dauby N, Delaunoy S, Wuyts M, et al. Neutralizing antibody responses following natural SARS-CoV-2 infection: Dynamics and correlation with commercial serologic tests. J Clin Virol 2021;144. doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2021.104988.

Saba Gargouri

Department of Microbiology, Habib Bourguiba University Hospital/Faculty of Medicine of Sfax, University of Sfax, Tunisia

Nabil Abid

Laboratory of Transmissible Diseases and Biological Active Substances, Faculty of Pharmacy of Monastir, University of Monastir, Tunisia

High Institute of Biotechnology of Monastir, University of Monastir, Tunisia Hela Karray-Hakim Department of Microbiology, Habib Bourguiba University Hospital/Faculty of Medicine of Sfax, University of Sfax, Tunisia

Ahmed Rebai* Laboratory of Molecular and Cellular Screening Processes, Centre of Biotechnology of Sfax, University of Sfax, Tunisia *Corresponding author: Professor Ahmed Rebai, Laboratory of Molecular and Cellular Screening Processes, Centre of Biotechnology of Sfax, Sidi Mansour road Km 6, BP "1177" 3018 Sfax-Tunisie.

E-mail address: ahmed.rebai@gmail.com (A. Rebai)