Research Article

A Novel Method for Classifying Body Mass Index on the Basis of Speech Signals for Future Clinical Applications: A Pilot Study

Bum Ju Lee, Boncho Ku, Jun-Su Jang, and Jong Yeol Kim

Medical Research Division, Korea Institute of Oriental Medicine, 1672 Yuseongdae-ro, Yuseong-gu, Deajeon 305-811, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Jong Yeol Kim; ssmed@kiom.re.kr

Received 5 November 2012; Revised 11 January 2013; Accepted 13 January 2013

Academic Editor: Hyunsu Bae

Copyright © 2013 Bum Ju Lee et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Obesity is a serious public health problem because of the risk factors for diseases and psychological problems. The focus of this study is to diagnose the patient BMI (body mass index) status without weight and height measurements for the use in future clinical applications. In this paper, we first propose a method for classifying the normal and the overweight using only speech signals. Also, we perform a statistical analysis of the features from speech signals. Based on 1830 subjects, the accuracy and AUC (area under the ROC curve) of age- and gender-specific classifications ranged from 60.4 to 73.8% and from 0.628 to 0.738, respectively. We identified several features that were significantly different between normal and overweight subjects (P < 0.05). Also, we found compact and discriminatory feature subsets for building models for diagnosing normal or overweight individuals through wrapper-based feature subset selection. Our results showed that predicting BMI status is possible using a combination of speech features, even though significant features are rare and weak in age- and gender-specific groups and that the classification accuracy with feature selection was higher than that without feature selection. Our method has the potential to be used in future clinical applications such as automatic BMI diagnosis in telemedicine or remote healthcare.

1. Introduction

Worldwide, increasing numbers of people are becoming obese, including adults, adolescents, and children and both men and woman [1, 2]. Obesity refers to excess adipose tissue caused by genetic determinants, excessive eating, insufficient physical movement, and an inappropriate lifestyle [1, 3, 4]. Obesity and being overweight are serious public health problems; obesity has a direct relationship with physical health and psychological health and is a potential risk factor for many diseases, including cardiovascular diseases, stroke, ischemic heart disease, diabetes, and cancer [2, 5-8]. Therefore, it is important to recognize when patients are overweight or obese, and many studies have been performed about the relationship of obesity, as determined by body mass index (BMI), and disease [4, 6, 7, 9-11]. BMI, proposed by Lambert Adolphe Jacques Quetelet, is a measurement criterion presenting the relationship between body weight and height [3] and a commonly used public health method

for classifying underweight, normal, overweight, and obese patients.

On the other hand, research on the association of body shape (weight, height), age, and gender with speech signals has been conducted over a long period in various fields such as speech recognition, security technology, and forensic and medical science, and many studies have suggested a strong or weak relationship between body shape and speech signals [12-28]. Previous analysis of body shape and speech signals has determined that there are differences between normal and obese people in terms of the facial skeleton, the function of the upper airway, and the surrounding structure of the upper airway [12], and that there is a significant association of body shape with vocal tract length [13]. In various vocal features, the fundamental frequency (pitch) of men was associated with measurements of body shape and size such as chest circumference and shoulder-hip ratio [14]. In more detail, Evans et al. suggested that the fundamental frequency in men is an indicator of body configuration based on their findings of a significant association of large body shape with low fundamental frequency and a significantly negative correlation between weight and fundamental frequency [14]. Lass et al. [15, 16] showed a relationship among heights, weights, body surface areas, and fundamental frequencies of a speaker using Pearson correlation coefficients, and they suggested acoustic cues for accurate estimation of speaker height and weight. van Dommelen and Moxness [17] investigated the ability of listeners to determine the weight and height of speakers using average fundamental frequency, energy below 1 kHz, and speech rate. Although they did not find any significant correlations between these features and the height or weight of the speaker, they suggested that speech rate is a good predictor of the weight of male speakers. González [18] examined the relationship between formant frequencies and the height and weight of subjects aged 20 to 30 years in Spain and reported a weak relationship between body size and formant frequencies in adults of the same gender; moreover, the relationship was stronger in women than in men. His results contradicted those of Fitch, who reported a strong correlation between body size and formant dispersions in macaques. Furthermore, Künzel [19] analyzed the relationship between average fundamental frequency and the weight and height of subjects in Wiesbaden, Germany, but found no significant correlations between vocal features and weight or height. Meanwhile, in previous studies of the association of gender, age, and cultural factor with speech signals, Childers and Wu [20] studied the automatic recognition of gender using vocal features and found that the second formant frequency is a slightly better predictor of gender than the fundamental frequency. Bruckert et al. [21] investigated the reliability of vocal features as indicators of a speaker's physical features and found that men with small formant dispersion and lowfrequency formants tend to be taller and older and have high testosterone levels. They argue that cultural factors must be considered when determining correlations between speakers' height and weight and vocal features. Similarly, Belin et al. [22] argue that vocal habits, cultural factors, and age and gender differences play important roles in shaping voice quality. In addition, forensic speaker classifications in the domains of dialect, foreign accent, sociolect, age, gender, and medical conditions were well summarized by Jessen [23], who stated that auditory and acoustic analyses are essential works for forensic speaker classification.

In this study, we ask whether it is possible to classify BMI status of patients using only voice information. If it is possible to know a patient's BMI category on the basis of voice data—irrespective of height and weight information this can be used as alternative or subsidiary information for the diagnosis of normal weight or obesity and for prognosis prediction, under the assumption of circumstances such as remote medical care environments and real-time monitoring services to support general treatment or emergency medical services. For example, BMI values are calculated from weight and height (kg/m²). Thus, to get the BMI value of patients or potential patients, weight and height must be measured on the spot. However, these measurements are sometimes not suitable for remote healthcare or u-healthcare supporting general treatment and emergency medical service in real time at remote locations, since 22% of patients do not estimate their own weight within ±5 kg, even though patient selfestimates of weight are better than estimates by residents and nurses in emergency department [29]. In remote medicine for real-time communication in remote locations, many patients do not know their exact weight at the time of diagnosis of BMI because the weight of patients was changed slowly or rapidly over time. We must obtain the maximal clinic data of patients rapidly and often with minimal network or telephone time and communication equipment. Because a great deal of medical information is needed for patient care and prognosis prediction [30, 31], telemedicine or remote healthcare system facilitates the quality and quantity of data collection and integration, communication between patients and healthcare systems, preprocessing to optimize medical treatment, and decision support and modification of medical treatment primarily using telephones, computers, fax, and WCU VC (virtual community program) [32-34]. Also, the technologies have the advantages of health improvement, patient convenience, cost effectiveness, economy of time, data accuracy and permanence, and continuous real-time monitoring of chronic disease [35–37].

Our contributions in this study are as follows: we first propose a method for classifying the normal weight or the high weight using speech signals in age-and genderspecific groups. Our method may apply to the development of advanced and automatic methods for individual BMI diagnosis in telemedicine and u-healthcare and assist in the development of a simpler system for BMI measurement. Also, our suggestion that is possible to support context awareness may provide clues to improve the overall quality of emergency service via automatic support of patient BMI information in remote healthcare systems with limited resources. We find discriminatory and meaningful features for normal and overweight diagnoses via a statistical analysis between BMI and speech features and identify a compact and useful feature subset in accordance with the age-and gender-specific analysis. The results will serve to create a better discriminatory feature set and accurate classification models in this field.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Preparation

2.1.1. Data Collection. A total of 1830 people participated in this study. Data was collected from subjects in several hospitals and the Korea Institute of Oriental Medicine in the Republic of Korea. Subjects with any voice-related diseases were excluded from this study. Speech recording configurations were as follows: no resonance; room temperature, 20° C ($\pm 5^{\circ}$ C); noise intensity, <40 dB; and humidity, 40% ($\pm 5^{\circ}$). Personal computers and an external sound card (Blaster Live 24-bit) to avoid noise from the personal computers were used for initial voice acquisition. GoldWave v5.58 was used to record audio data, and the voice files were saved in the wav format. The distance from the subjects' mouth to the microphone (Sennheiser e-835s microphone) was 4–6 cm. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

The recording of the speakers' speech was strictly controlled by a standard operating procedure (SOP). The SOP was established to capture the natural characteristics of the speakers in short recordings. The speakers rested for 1 hour before actual recording to reduce suspense. An operator instructed the speakers regarding the recording content, and the speakers were asked to pronounce words in their normal tone without tension. The operator constantly monitored the speakers' speech and their distance from the microphone while recording. When the speakers could not produce a uniform tone for 5 vowels, their speech was rerecorded until they achieved a certain level of tone uniformity. Each sentence was recorded twice, and the value of each feature was obtained by averaging the values of the 2 recordings for more stable features.

All features were extracted using 5 vowels (A, E, I, O, U) and 1 sentence [38]. For speech feature extraction, we extracted 65 features from the collected data set. The extracted features consisted of pitch, average ratio of pitch period, correlation coefficient between F0 and intensity (CORR), absolute Jitter (Jita), and Mel frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC), among others [18, 23, 27]. The specific content of the extracted features is described in Table 1, and sample of speech signal recording of 5 vowels and one sentence is showed in Figure 1.

2.1.2. Class Label Decision for Normal and Overweight Statuses. Obesity and BMI research is difficult due to different ethnic groups and different national economic statuses [7]. Also, BMI values differ according to physiological factors and environmental factors, such as residing in a city or a rural area. For instance, BMI values of a population in an Asian region tend to be lower than those of a population in a Western region, whereas Asians have risk factors for cardiovascular disease and diabetes related to obesity at relatively low BMI values [9, 39]. The BMI cutoff values for overweight and obesity depend on several factors including ethnicity, rural/urban residence, and economic status [7, 40]. Therefore, we decided that this study's overweight cutoff point of BMI value was $\geq 23 \text{ kg/m}^2$, according to suggestions by the World Health Organization and references [39, 41, 42]. We refer here to only 2 classes: the "normal" and the "overweight." Subjects in the BMI who range from 18.5 to 22.9 were labeled normal, and subjects with a BMI of 23 or over were labeled as overweight. Underweight patients were passed over due the lack of a minimum number of subjects. Finally, we divided the data set into 6 groups for age-and gender-specific classification: female: 20-30 (females aged 20-39 years), female: 40-50 (females aged 40-59 years), female: 60 (females aged 60 years and over), male: 20-30 (males aged 20-39 years), male: 40-50 (males aged 40-59 years), and male: 60 (males aged 60 years and over).

The overall mean ages of the female and male subjects were 41.79 and 40.51, respectively. The mean age and standard deviation of females aged 20–39 years were 28.22 and \pm 6.326, and the mean BMI and standard deviation were 21.76 and \pm 2.489. The rest of the groups are described in Table 2. The number of normal and overweight subjects in the 6 groups is described in Table 4.



FIGURE 1: Sample of speech signal recording of 5 vowels and one sentence ((a): signals of 5 vowels and one sentence and (b): detailed signal of one vowel to demonstrate the difference between noise and signal).

2.2. Feature Selection and Experiment Configurations. For feature subset selection, we applied normalization (scale 0~1 value) to all data sets. The Wrapper-based feature selection approach [43, 44] using machine learning of logistic regression [30, 45] with genetic search was used to maximize the area under ROC curve (AUC). The selected features in each group are shown in Table 3. All experiments were performed using logistic regression in Weka [46], and a 10-fold cross validation was performed [47]. We used the accuracy, true positive rate (sensitivity, TPR), false positive rate (1 specificity, FPR), precision, and F measure as performance evaluation criteria [47, 48]. A large proportion of classification algorithms may not solve the class-size imbalance problem [49]. Thus, the accuracy of many classification experiments is higher for a majority class than for a minority class. Therefore, we also evaluated performance using AUC. An ROC curve (receiver operating characteristic curve) represents the balance of sensitivity versus 1 specificity [50]. Because the AUC is a threshold-independent measure, AUC is a widely used to quantify the quality of a prediction or classification model in medical science, bioinformatics, medicine statistics, and biology [31, 51–53]. An AUC of 1 means a perfect diagnosis model, an AUC of 0.5 is random diagnosis, and an AUC of 0 is a perfectly wrong diagnosis.

3. Results and Discussion

Our experiments were divided into two steps. In the first experiment, we conducted classification of normal and overweight classes with six data sets according to age-and

Frameinter discriptionFrameinter discriptionBFOBasic pitch of AOPPQaJTTAMean ratio of change in pitch period of AoF60.120.240.480JITTAPercentage of JITA value of AOF240.480.960.1920aJTTTPercentage of JITA value of AOF240.480.960.1920aPPQSmoothing value around JITA of AOF60.120.0F900.1920aPPQSmoothing value around JITA of AOF60.120.0F900.1920aPG0.120.F240.480(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 60-			F (
aF0Macc pitch of AOPPQSmoothing value around [11A of U)aJTTAMean ratio of change in pitch period of Aof 60.120.12.0.240.480(energy of 60-120.11.2.0/(energy of 200-480.12.0)aJTTPercentage of JITA value of Aof 240.480.960.120(energy of 200-120.12.0.0)aPPQSmoothing value around JITA of Aof 60.120.0.900.000(energy of 60-120.12.0.0.000)aF00.120.1240.480(cnergy of 60-120.12.0.000)(energy of 60-120.12.0.000)(energy of 60-120.12.0.000)aF00.120.960.120(cnergy of 60-120.12.0.000)(energy of 40-120.12.0.000)(energy of 60-120.12.0.000)aF00.120.960.120(cnergy of 60-120.12.0.000)(energy of 40-120.12.0.000)(energy of 60-120.12.0.000)aF00.120.960.120(cnergy of 60-120.12.0.000)(energy of 60-120.12.0.000)(energy of 60-120.12.0.000)aF00.120.960.120(cnergy of 60-120.12.0.000)(energy of 60-120.12.0.000)(energy of 60-120.12.0.000)aF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of AuF0Basic pitch of UaF2Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of AuF0Basic pitch of UaF2/11Portent of of second in 4 frequency periods of AuF0Smoothing value around ITA of UeF0Basic pitch of EuF10Wean around of LA(energy of 60-120.12.0.000)eF0Basic pitch of EuF20smoothing value of U(energy of 60-120.12.0.000)eF0Smoothing value around ITA of EuF20.480.960.120(energy of 60-120.12.0.0000)eF0Smoothing value around ITA of EuF20.480.960.120(e	Feature	Brief description	Feature	Brief description
AJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of AoF60.120.240.480Chergy of b0-120 H2/10 (cnergy of b0-120 H2/10 (cnergy of b0-120 H2/10 (cnergy of b0-120 H2/10 cnergy	aF0	Basic pitch of A	oppQ	Smoothing value around JITA of O
aJITTPercentage of JITA value of AoF240.480.960.120oferangy of 240-480 121/(Intergy of 960-120 121/(Intergy	aJITA	Mean ratio of change in pitch period of A	oF60_120_240_480	(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 240~480 Hz) of O
aPPQsmoothing value around JTA of AoF60.120.0E90.0E.00(emergy of 60-120 Hz)/(emergy of 60	aJITT	Percentage of JITA value of A	oF240_480_960_1920	(energy of 240~480 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of O
aF60.120.F240.48icregy of 60-120 H2/(energy of 240-480 H2/(energy of AoF1Formant of irst in 4 frequency periods of OaF240.480.960.120icregy of 60-120 H2/(icregy of AiE2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of OaF60.120.960.120icregy of 60-120 H2/(icregy of 96-120 H2/(icregy of 96-120 H2/(icregy of 96-120 H2/(icregy of 96-120 H2/(icregy of 120 H2/)iE1Difference of frequencies (iE2-F1)aF1Formant of second in 4 frequency periods OAuITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of UaF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods OAuITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of UaF2Basic pitch of EuPQQSmoothing value around JITA of UeF0Mean ratio of change in pitch period of L20.204.083(energy of 60-120 H2/(icentry of 960-120 H2/)(icentry of 960-120 H2/)(aPPQ	Smoothing value around JITA of A	oF60_120_oF960_1920	(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of O
a F240.480.960.1920energy of 240-480 H2)/(energy of 90-1920 H2) of AoF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of Oa F60.120.960.1920(energy of 60-120 H2)/(energy of 90-1920 H2) of A0F2 F1Difference of frequencies (oF2-F1)a F1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of A a UTTUTTPercentage of UTA value of Ua F2F1alf 2/F1UTTPercentage of UTA value of Ua F2Bais pitch of EUPPQSmoothing value around ITA of Ue F0Bais pitch of EUPPQCentrgy of 60-120 H2)/(energy of 240-480 H2)/(ener	aF60_120_F240_480	(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 240~480 Hz) of A	oF1	Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of O
aF60.120.960.192(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of A)oF2.F1Difference of frequencies (oF2.F1)aF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of AuF0Basic pitch of UaF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of AuTTAMean ratio of change in pitch period of UaF2.F1aF2/F1uPTQSmoothing value around JTTA of UeH7Mean ratio of change in pitch period of EuP60.120.240.480(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) /(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) of UeJTTAMean ratio of change in pitch period of EuF60.120.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) /(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) of UeFPQSmoothing value around JTTA of EuF60.120.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) /(energy of 240-480 Hz) /(energy of 240-480 Hz) of UeF240.480 960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) /(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) of Uenergy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) /(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) of UeF40.480 960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) /(energy of 240-480 Hz) /(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) /(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) /(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) /(energy	aF240_480_960_1920	0 (energy of 240~480 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of A	oF2	Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of O
aF1Formant of second in 4 frequency periods OAuF0Macro dense in the dense of th	aF60_120_960_1920	(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of A	oF2_F1	Difference of frequencies (oF2-F1)
aF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of AUITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of UaF2.F1a K2/F1UITCPercentage (JTLA value of Ue/T0Bais pitch of EUPQSmoothing value around JTTA of Ue/T1AMean ratio of change in pitch period of EUPQcenergy of 60-120 H2)/(energy of 240-480	aF1	Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of A	uF0	Basic pitch of U
aF2.F1aF2/F1uJTTPercentage of JTA value of UeF0Basic pitch of EuPPQSmoothing value around JTA of UeJTTAMean ratio of change in pitch period of EuF60.120.240.480(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) of UeJTTFPercentage of JTA value of EuF20.480.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) of UeJPQSmoothing value around JTA of EuF20.480.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) of UeF0.120.240.480(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) of EuF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of UeF240.480.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) of EuF2Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of UeF240.480.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 390-120 Hz)/(energy of 390-120 Hz) of EuF2Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of EeF240.480.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 60	aF2	Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of A	uJITA	Mean ratio of change in pitch period of U
eF0Bais pitch of EuPQMonothing value around JITA of UeJTTAMan ratio of change in pitch period of EuF60.120.240.480cmergy of 60-120 H2)/(mergy of AeJTTAPreentage of JITA value of EuF20.480.960.00cmergy of 240-480 H2)/(mergy of AePPQSmoothing value around JITA of EuF60.120.960.190cmergy of 240-480 H2)/(fer gy of AeF00.120.240.480cmergy of 60-120 H2)/(mergy of AuF61.00cmergy of 60-120 H2)/(mergy of AeF00.120.240.480cmergy of 60-120 H2)/(mergy of AuF1premat of second in 4 frequency periods of UeF240.480.960cmergy of 60-120 H2)/(mergy of AuF2.FIpremat of second in 4 frequency periods of UeF60.120.960.120cmergy of 60-120 H2)/(mergy of AuF2.FIDifference of frequencies (HP-eFD)eF240.480.960spoit first in 4 frequency periods of EiF0.470Difference of frequencies (HP-eFD)eF2Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of EiF0.470Difference of frequencies (HP-eFD)eF2Difference of frequencies (F2-FI)aMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of AiF1TAMen ratio of change in pitch period of IiMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of CiF1TApreentage of JITA value of IuMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of UiF1TApreentage of JITA value of IuMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of UiF1TAspoit and scond I JITA of IuMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of UiF1TAmergy of	aF2_F1	aF2/F1	uJITT	Percentage of JITA value of U
eIITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of EuF60.120.240.480(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) of UeIITTPercentage of JITA value of EuF240.480.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of UePPQSmoothing value around JITA of EuF60.120.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of UeF60.120.240.480(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 	eF0	Basic pitch of E	uPPQ	Smoothing value around JITA of U
eIITTPercentage of JITA value of EuF240.480.960.120(energy of 200-480 Hz)/(energy of 0ePPQSmoothing value around JITA of EuF60.120.960.1920(energy of 00-120 Hz)/(energy of 0eF60.120.240.480(energy of 00-120 Hz)/(energy of 0uF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of UeF240.480.960.1920(energy of 240-480 Hz)/(Energy of 0uF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of UeF240.480.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 0uF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of UeF60.120.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 0uF2.FIDifference of frequencies (uF2-FI)eF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of EiF0.aF0Difference of frequencies (uF0-aF0)eF2.F1Difference of frequencies (eF2-FI)aMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of AiF0Basic pitch of IaMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of EiJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of IMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of IiJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of IaMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of IiJITA(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 200-120 Hz)/(energy of 200-120 Hz)/(energy of 200-120 Hz)/(energy of 200-120 Hz)South in terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of IiJITA(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 200-120 Hz)aMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of IiJITA(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 200-120 Hz) of ISouth certis	eJITA	Mean ratio of change in pitch period of E	uF60_120_240_480	(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 240~480 Hz) of U
ePPQsmoothing value around JITA of EuF60 120.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 0 960-1920 Hz) of UeF60 120.240.480(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of EuF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of UeF240.480.900.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of EuF2.FIDifference of frequencies (uF2-FI)eF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of U160.0F0Difference of frequencies (uF0-aF0)eF2Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of U160.0F0Difference of frequencies (uF0-aF0)eF2Difference of frequencies (uF2-FI)aMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of AiF0Basic pitch of IaMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of AiJTTAMean ratio of change in pitch period of IiMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of IiJTTAPercentage of JITA value of IuMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of UiF0.0120.240.480(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz)/(1mMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of UiJTTA(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz)/(1coefficients of Ucoefficients of UiF0.0120.240.480(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz)/(1coefficients of Ucoefficients of UiF0.0120.240.480(energy of 240-480 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz)/(1period so IIcoefficients of UiF0.0120.290.192(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz)/(1SF0Main tensity	eJITT	Percentage of JITA value of E	uF240_480_960_1920	(energy of 240~480 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of U
eF60.120.240.480(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) of EuF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of UeF240.480.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of EuF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of UeF60.120.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of EuF2.F1Difference of frequencies (uF2-F1)eF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of EiF0.aF0Difference of frequencies (uF0-aF0)eF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of EuF0.aF0Difference of frequencies (uF0-aF0)eF2.F1Difference of frequencies (eF2-F1)aMFCC4Cefficients of AiF0Basic pitch of IeMFCC4Cefficients of AiJTTAMean ratio of change in pitch period of IiMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of IiJTTAPercentage of JITA value of IoMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of OiF0Smoothing value around JITA of IuMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of OiF240.480.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz) of IcoCRRPearson correlation coefficients between F0 and intensityiF240.480.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of ISF0Mean pitch of sentenceiF2F0rmant of first in 4 frequency periods of ISF0Mean pitch of sentenceiF2iF2if1SF0Mean pitch of sentenceiF2if1SF0Standard deviation of intensityiF2F0<	ePPQ	Smoothing value around JITA of E	uF60_120_960_1920	(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of U
eF240.480.960.1920(energy of 240-480 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of EuF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of UeF60.120.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of EuF2.F1Difference of frequencies (uF2-F1)eF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of EiF0.aF0Difference of frequencies (uF0-aF0)eF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of EuF0.aF0Difference of frequencies (uF0-aF0)eF2.F1Difference of frequencies (eF2-F1)aMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of AiF0Basic pitch of IeMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of EiJTTAMean ratio of change in pitch period of IiMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of IiJTTAPercentage of JITA value of IuMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of OiF60.120.240.480(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 240-480 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of IuMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of UiF60.120.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of IuMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of UiF60.120.240.480(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of Ip5050th percentile of F0iF60.120.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of Ip5050th percentile of frequencies iiF60.120.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of Ip5050th percentile of intensityiF60.120.960.1920(energy of 60-120 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of Ip5050th p	eF60_120_240_480	(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 240~480 Hz) of E	uF1	Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of U
eF60.120.960.1920(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960-1920 Hz) of EuF2.F1Difference of frequencies (uF2-F1)eF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of EiF0.aF0Difference of frequencies (uF0-aF0)eF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of EuF0.oF0Difference of frequencies (uF0-aF0)eF2.F1Difference of frequencies (eF2-F1)aMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of AiF0Basic pitch of IeMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of EiJTTAMean ratio of change in pitch period of IiMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral 	eF240_480_960_1920	0 (energy of 240~480 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of E	uF2	Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of U
eF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of EiF0_aF0Difference of frequencies (iF0-aF0)eF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of EuF0_oF0Difference of frequencies (uF0-oF0)eF2_F1Difference of frequencies (eF2-F1)aMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of AiF0Basic pitch of IeMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of IiJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of IiMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of IiJITTPercentage of JITA value of IoMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of IiPPQsmoothing value around JITA of IuMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of UiF60_120_240_480(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 240~480 Hz) of ICORRParason correlation coefficients between F0 and intensityiF60_120_960_120(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of ISF0Soth percentile of intensityiF60_120_960_120(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of ISF0Soth percentile of intensityiF60_120_960_120(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of ISF0Mean pitch of sentenceiF2Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of ISTDStandard deviation of mean pitch of sentenceiF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of ISTDStandard deviation of intensityiF2Difference of frequencies (iF2-FI)STSIntensity averageoF0Basic pitch of OSSFDStandard deviatio	eF60_120_960_1920	(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of E	uF2_F1	Difference of frequencies (uF2-F1)
eF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of EuF0_oF0Difference of frequencies (uF0-oF0)eF2_F1Difference of frequencies (eF2-F1)aMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of AiF0Basic pitch of IeMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of EiJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of IiMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of IiJITTPercentage of JITA value of IoMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral 	eF1	Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of E	iF0_aF0	Difference of frequencies (iF0-aF0)
eF2_F1Difference of frequencies (eF2-F1)aMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of AiF0Basic pitch of IeMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of EiJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of IiMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of IiJITAPercentage of JITA value of IoMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of OiPPQSmoothing value around JITA of IuMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of OiF60_120_240_480(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 240~480 Hz) of ICORRPearson correlation coefficients between F0 and intensityiF240_480_960_1920(energy of 240~480 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of IP50Soth percentile of F0iF60_120_960_1920(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of II50Soth percentile of intensityiF2Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of I 960~1920 Hz) of ISF0Mean pitch of sentenceiF2_F1Difference of frequencies (iF2-F1)SITSIntensity averageoF0Basic pitch of OSISTDStandard deviation of intensityoF1Mean ratio of change in pitch period of OSSPDTime to read one sentenceoJITAPercentage of JITA value of OTotal65	eF2	Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of E	uF0_oF0	Difference of frequencies (uF0-oF0)
iF0Basic pitch of IeMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of EiJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of IiMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of IiJITTPercentage of JITA value of IoMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of OiPPQSmoothing value around JITA of IuMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of UiF60.120.240.480energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 240~480 Hz) of ICORRPearson correlation coefficients between F0 and intensityiF240.480.960.1920energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 90~1920 Hz) of IP50Soth percentile of F0iF20.120.240.480energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 90~1920 Hz) of IP50Soth percentile of F0iF210.480.960.1920energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 90~1920 Hz) of ISF0Mean pitch of sentenceiF20.120.240.480frequency periods of ISTDStandard deviation of mean pitch of sentenceiF21Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of ISTDStandard deviation of intensityiF2Difference of frequencies (iF2-F1)STTSIntensity averageoF0Basic pitch of OSSPDTime to read one sentenceoF1TPercentage of JITA value of OSSPDTime to read one sentence	eF2_F1	Difference of frequencies (eF2-F1)	aMFCC4	The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of A
iJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of IiMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of IiJITTPercentage of JITA value of IoMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of OiPPQSmoothing value around JITA of IuMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of OiF60_120_240_480(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 240~480 Hz) of ICORRPearson correlation coefficients between F0 and intensityiF240_480_960_1920(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of IP50Soth percentile of F0iF60_120_960_1920(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of II50Soth percentile of intensityiF40Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of I 960~1920 Hz) of ISF0Mean pitch of sentenceiF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of I 960~1920 Hz) of ISTDStandard deviation of mean pitch of sentenceiF2_F1Difference of frequencies (iF2-F1)SITSIntensity averageoF0Basic pitch of OSSPDTime to read one sentenceoF1TAMean ratio of change in pitch period of OSSPDTime to read one sentenceoJITTAPercentage of JITA value of OTotal65	iF0	Basic pitch of I	eMFCC4	The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of E
iJITTPercentage of JITA value of IoMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of OiPPQSmoothing value around JITA of IuMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of UiF60_120_240_480(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 240~480 Hz) of ICORRPearson correlation coefficients between F0 and intensityiF240_480_960_1920(energy of 240~480 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of IP50Soth percentile of F0iF60_120_960_1920(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of II50Soth percentile of intensityiF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of I 960~1920 Hz) of ISF0Mean pitch of sentenceiF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of I 152_F1STDStandard deviation of mean pitch of sentenceiF2_F1Difference of frequencies (iF2-F1)SITSIntensity averageoF0Basic pitch of OSSPDTime to read one sentenceoJITAPercentage of JITA value of OTotal65	iJITA	Mean ratio of change in pitch period of I	iMFCC4	The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of I
iPPQSmoothing value around JITA of IuMFCC4The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of UiF60_120_240_480(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 240~480 Hz) of ICORRPearson correlation coefficients between F0 and intensityiF240_480_960_1920(energy of 240~480 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of IP50Soth percentile of F0iF60_120_960_1920(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of II50Soth percentile of intensityiF60_120_960_1920(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of ISF0Mean pitch of sentenceiF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of I 152STDStandard deviation of mean pitch of sentenceiF2_F1Difference of frequencies (iF2-F1)SITSIntensity averageoF0Basic pitch of OSSPDTime to read one sentenceoJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of OTotal65	iJITT	Percentage of JITA value of I	oMFCC4	The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of O
iF60_120_240_480(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 240~480 Hz) of ICORRPearson correlation coefficients between F0 and intensityiF240_480_960_1920(energy of 240~480 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of IP5050th percentile of F0iF60_120_960_1920(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of II5050th percentile of intensityiF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of I iF2SF0Mean pitch of sentenceiF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of I iF2_F1STDStandard deviation of mean pitch of sentenceiF2Difference of frequencies (iF2-F1)SITSIntensity averageoF0Basic pitch of OSISTDStandard deviation of intensityoJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of OSSPDTime to read one sentenceoJITTPercentage of JITA value of OTotal65	iPPQ	Smoothing value around JITA of I	uMFCC4	The terms of Mel frequency cepstral coefficients of U
iF240_480_960_1920(energy of 240~480 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of IP5050th percentile of F0iF60_120_960_1920(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of II5050th percentile of intensityiF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of I iF2SF0Mean pitch of sentenceiF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of I iF2-F1SSTDStandard deviation of mean pitch of sentenceiF2_F1Difference of frequencies (iF2-F1)SITSIntensity averageoF0Basic pitch of OSISTDStandard deviation of intensityoJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of OSSPDTime to read one sentenceoJITTPercentage of JITA value of OTotal65	iF60_120_240_480	(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 240~480 Hz) of I	CORR	Pearson correlation coefficients between F0 and intensity
iF60_120_960_1920(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of II5050th percentile of intensityiF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of ISF0Mean pitch of sentenceiF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of ISSTDStandard deviation of mean pitch of sentenceiF2_F1Difference of frequencies (iF2-F1)SITSIntensity averageoF0Basic pitch of OSISTDStandard deviation of intensityoJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of OSSPDTime to read one sentenceoJITTPercentage of JITA value of OTotal65	iF240_480_960_1920) (energy of 240~480 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of I	P50	50th percentile of F0
iF1Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of ISF0Mean pitch of sentenceiF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of ISSTDStandard deviation of mean pitch of sentenceiF2_F1Difference of frequencies (iF2-F1)SITSIntensity averageoF0Basic pitch of OSISTDStandard deviation of intensityoJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of OSSPDTime to read one sentenceoJITTPercentage of JITA value of OTotal65	iF60_120_960_1920	(energy of 60~120 Hz)/(energy of 960~1920 Hz) of I	I50	50th percentile of intensity
iF2Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of ISSTDStandard deviation of mean pitch of sentenceiF2_F1Difference of frequencies (iF2-F1)SITSIntensity averageoF0Basic pitch of OSISTDStandard deviation of intensityoJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of OSSPDTime to read one sentenceoJITTPercentage of JITA value of OTotal65	iF1	Formant of first in 4 frequency periods of I	SF0	Mean pitch of sentence
iF2_F1Difference of frequencies (iF2-F1)SITSIntensity averageoF0Basic pitch of OSISTDStandard deviation of intensityoJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of OSSPDTime to read one sentenceoJITTPercentage of JITA value of OTotal65	iF2	Formant of second in 4 frequency periods of I	SSTD	Standard deviation of mean pitch of sentence
oF0Basic pitch of OSISTDStandard deviation of intensityoJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of OSSPDTime to read one sentenceoJITTPercentage of JITA value of OTotal65	iF2_F1	Difference of frequencies (iF2-F1)	SITS	Intensity average
oJITAMean ratio of change in pitch period of OSSPDTime to read one sentenceoJITTPercentage of JITA value of OTotal65	oF0	Basic pitch of O	SISTD	Standard deviation of intensity
oJITT Percentage of JITA value of O Total 65	oJITA	Mean ratio of change in pitch period of O	SSPD	Time to read one sentence
	oJITT	Percentage of JITA value of O	Total	65

TABLE 1: All features used in this study and brief descriptions.

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

TABLE 2: Mean and standard deviation of age and BMI by each group.

	Female: 20-30	Female: 40-50	Female: 60	Male: 20-30	Male: 40-50	Male: 60
Age	28.22 ± 6.326	48.7 ± 5.555	67.14 ± 5.254	27.34 ± 5.433	49.24 ± 5.257	66.75 ± 4.995
BMI	21.76 ± 2.489	23.76 ± 3.048	24.96 ± 3.042	23.71 ± 2.971	24.67 ± 3.090	23.59 ± 2.3

TABLE 3: Selected features by feature selection in each group (N: number of selected features).

Model (group)	Ν	Selected features
Female: 20–30	25	aJITT, aPPQ, aF60_120_F240_480, aF240_480_960_1960, aF60_120_960_1960, aF1, eF0, eJITA, ePPQ, eF240_480_960_1960, eF2, iPPQ, iF60_120_960_1960, oF0, oJITT, oF1, oF2, uF0, uJITT, aMFCC4, eMFCC4, oMFCC4, uMFCC4, SF0, SITS
Female: 40–50	29	aF0, aJITA, aJITT, aF240_480_960_1960, aF2, eF0, eJITT, ePPQ, eF2_F1, iJITA, iPPQ, iF60_120_240_480, iF240_480_960_1960, iF60_120_960_1960, oF0, oF240_480_960_1960, oF1, oF2, uF0, uPPQ, uF60_120_960_1960, uF1, uF2, uF2_F1, aMFCC4, uMFCC4, CORR, I50, SISTD
Female: 60	22	aJITA, aJITT, aF60_120_F240_480, aF240_480_960_1960, eJITT, ePPQ, eF240_480_960_1960, eF2_F1, iF60_120_240_480, iF240_480_960_1960, iF60_120_960_1960, iF2, oF0, oJITT, oF2, oF2_F1, uF0, uJITA, uF60_120_240_480, uF60_120_960_1960, uMFCC4, SISTD
Male: 20-30	8	aJITA, aPPQ, eF2, iF1, oJITT, uPPQ, eMFCC4, uMFCC4
Male: 40-50	24	aF0, eF0, eJITA, eJITT, eF60_120_960_1960, eF1, eF2, eF2_F1, iF0, iJITA, iPPQ, iF60_120_240_480, iF240_480_960_1960, iF2, oJITA, oJITT, oPPQ, oF60_120_oF960_1960, oF1, oF2, uF0, uF60_120_960_1960, eMFCC4, SF0
Male: 60	23	aJITT, aF60_120_F240_480, aF60_120_960_1960, aF1, eF240_480_960_1960, eF1, eF2_F1, iJITA, iPPQ, iF240_480_960_1960, iF60_120_960_1960, iF1, iF2, iF2_F1, oF60_120_240_480, uF2, uF0_oF0, oMFCC4, P50, I50, SSTD, SITS, SSPD

TABLE 4: Specific performance results (with feature selection, N: number of subjects of each class).

Model (group)	Class	Ν	Sensitivity	False positive rate (1-specificity)	Precision	F Measure
Female: 20–30	Normal	364	0.926	0.774	0.766	0.838
	Overweight	133	0.226	0.074	0.526	0.316
Formala: 40 50	Normal	201	0.512	0.311	0.575	0.542
1 cillaic. 40–50	Overweight	244	0.689	0.488	0.632	0.659
Female: 60	Normal	41	0.366	0.173	0.455	0.405
	Overweight	104	0.827	0.634	0.768	0.796
Male: 20–30	Normal	175	0.52	0.325	0.576	0.547
	Overweight	206	0.675	0.48	0.623	0.648
Male: 40–50	Normal	77	0.377	0.14	0.537	0.443
	Overweight	179	0.86	0.623	0.762	0.808
Male: 60	Normal	35	0.429	0.239	0.469	0.448
	Overweight	71	0.761	0.571	0.73	0.745

gender-specific groups without feature selection. A goal of the experiment was to measure the ability to distinguish the normal and the overweight in each group using full features. Also, we want to identify a more compact and discriminatory feature set for detailed classification of each group. Therefore, in the second step, we applied a feature subset selection method to all data sets used in the first experiment. 12 classification models were built in the first and second steps.

3.1. Performance Evaluations. All of the performances in experiments applied to feature selection (FS-feature sets) in age- and gender-specific experiments were superior than those in experiments without feature selection (full-feature

sets). Figures 2 and 3 show that the improvements in AUC and accuracy offered by feature selection were statistically significant. The accuracies for the 6 groups using full-feature sets ranged from 50.9 to 68.8%. After feature selection, the accuracies for the 6 groups using FS-feature sets ranged from 60.4 to 73.8%, and the average accuracy of the 6 groups improved by about 8.4% compared with the use of full-feature sets. The highest accuracy among the groups was 73.8% (female: 20–30), and the lowest accuracy was 60.4% (male: 20–30).

However, AUC results based on sensitivity and false positive rates (1 specificity) were slightly different from the accuracy results. AUC using FS-feature sets ranged from



FIGURE 2: Accuracy comparison of experiment results between full-feature set and FS-feature set in 6 groups.



FIGURE 3: AUC comparison of experiment results between full-feature set and FS-feature set in 6 groups.

0.628 to 0.738. The accuracy of the female: 60 group was lower than that of female: 20–30 and male: 40–50, but the AUC of female: 60 was the highest among the 6 groups. The specific performance results of female: 60 using the FS-feature set included a sensitivity of 0.366, FPR of 0.173, precision of 0.455, and F measure of 0.405 in the normal weight class and a sensitivity of 0.827, FPR of 0.634, precision of 0.768, and F measure of 0.796 in the overweight class. The lowest AUC of 0.628 was observed in the male: 20–30 group. Specific experiment results of all groups are described in Table 4.

The confusion matrix (also called a contingency table) in Table 5 describes more detailed performances of 6 models according to age and gender. For example, the classification model of the female: 20–30 group correctly predicted that 337 of 364 subjects with actual normal weight belonged to the "normal" class and that 30 of 133 subjects with actual overweight belonged to the "overweight" class. Moreover, the female: 40–50 model correctly predicted that 103 of 201 subjects with actual normal weight belonged to the "normal" class and that 168 of 244 subjects with actual overweight belonged to the "overweight" class.

Our experiments show that classification of normal and overweight status in the female: 40–50 and male: 20–30 groups was slightly difficult, compared with the other 4 groups and that classification of normal status and overweight status in the female: 20–30 and female: 60 groups was superior compared with the other groups. The classification performance with wrapper-based feature selection was better than that without feature selection. Many of features selected by feature selection differed according to age- and genderspecific groups (see Table 3).

3.2. Statistical Analysis of Features Associated with Normal Weight and Overweight. The statistical data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Comparisons between normal and overweight groups were performed using independent two-sample *t*-tests, and the *P* values were adjusted using the Benjamin-Hochberg method to control the false discovery rate; *P* values <0.05 and adjusted *P* values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Only statistically significant features among all features selected by wrapper-based feature subset selection in each group are described in Table 6. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS Statistics 19 and R package 2.15.0 for Windows.

In the female 20–30 group, 7 features were significantly different between the normal and the overweight classes (P < 0.05 and adjusted P < 0.05). In this group, aF60_120_F240_480, aF240_480_960_1960, aF60_120_ 960_1960, and eF240_480_960_1960 (features related to the ratios of energies) were significantly different between the 2 classes (P < 0.001, adjusted P = 0.005; P < 0.001, adjusted P = 0.005; P < 0.001, adjusted P = 0.005; and P < 0.001, adjusted P = 0.01, resp.). These results indicate that the ratios of voice energies over the fixed frequency band in normal subjects are higher than those of the overweight subjects in this group. There were statistically significant differences with respect to eMFCC4 and oMFCC4 between the 2 classes (P < 0.05, adjusted P < 0.05; and P < 0.01, adjustedP < 0.05, resp.); particularly, the MFCC4 of vowel E and MFCC4 of vowel O of normal subjects (1.277 \pm 6.836 and -4.087 ± 5.624 , resp.) were higher than those of overweight subjects (-0.801 ± 8.315 and -5.989 ± 7.191 , resp.) in this group. In addition, SITS was significantly different between the 2 classes (P < 0.005, adjusted P = 0.01). This result indicates that the average intensity of sentences in normal subjects (56.14 \pm 7.515) is higher than that of overweight subjects (53.73 ± 8.074) among females aged 20–39 years.

In the male 20–30 group, one eMFCC4 feature was significantly different between the normal and the overweight classes (P < 0.001, adjusted P < 0.01). The MFCC4 of vowel E in normal subjects was higher than that of overweight subjects in this group. None of the features were significantly different within the other groups.

Classification model ^a							
Group	Actual	Predic	ted	Subjects ^b			
		Overweight	Normal	Overweight	Normal		
Female: 20-30	Overweight	30	103	122	264		
1'emaie. 20–30	Normal	27	337	155	304		
Female: 40–50	Overweight	168	76	244	201		
	Normal	98	103	244			
Female: 60	Overweight	86	18	10.4	41		
Telliale. 00	Normal	26	15	104	41		
Male: 20_30	Overweight	139	67	206	175		
Wale. 20–30	Normal	84	91	200	175		
Male: 40–50	Overweight	154	25	170	77		
	Normal	48	29	1/9	//		
Male: 60	Overweight	54	17	71	25		
	Normal	20	15	/1	55		

TABLE 5: Confusion matrix (also called contingency table or error matrix) of 6 models according to age and gender in classification experiments with feature selection.

Results of confusion matrix by classification model; ^bnumber of subjects of each class (overweight and normal) in original data.

Group	Feature	Class	Mean	Std.	Т	P value	Adj. P value
	aF60_120_F240_480	Normal	0.834	0.390	2 474	<0.001	0.005
		Overweight	0.699	0.365	3.4/4	<0.001	
	aF240_480_960_1960	Normal	2.285	0.818	2 510	<0.001	0.005
		Overweight	1.996	0.806	5.510	<0.001	
	2E60 120 060 1060	Normal	2.135	1.416	3 619	<0.001	0.005
Female: 20–30	aroo_120_900_1900	Overweight	1.631	1.248	5.018	<0.001	
	eF240_480_960_1960	Normal	3.033	0.627	3.342	< 0.001	< 0.01
		Overweight	2.818	0.660			
	aMECC4	Normal	1.277	6.836	2 5 9 1	<0.05	<0.05
	emircc4	Overweight	-0.801	8.315	2.301	<0.05	<0.05
		Normal	-4.087	5.624	2 757	<0.01	<0.05
	0MFCC4	Overweight	-5.989	7.191	2.737		<0.03
	SITS	Normal	56.14	7.515	3.106	<0.005	0.01
		Overweight	53.73	8.074		<0.005	
Male: 20–30	eMFCC4	Normal	5.057	6.678	3.393	<0.001	<0.01
		Overweight	2.679	6.929		<0.001	<0.01

TABLE 6: Statistical analysis results by independent two sample *t*-test and Benjamin-Hochberg's method.

P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The *P* values were adjusted using the Benjamin-Hochberg method to control the false discovery rate. Only statistically significant features among all features selected by wrapper-based feature subset selection in each group are described in this table (Std: standard deviation, Adj: adjusted).

Despite the high accuracy and AUC of classification in the female ≥ 60 group, no statistically significant differences were detected between the normal and overweight classes. Furthermore, we did not find features with a broad range of applicability for classifying the normal and overweight statuses in the age-or gender-specific classifications. We will discuss these problems further in Section 3.4.

3.3. Scalability and Applications. Some studies on patient BMI and weight estimation have focused on emergency medical services and telemedicine because the precise estimation

of weight and BMI status in emergency medical care is very important for accurate counter-shock voltage calculation, drug dosage estimation, intensive care, and elderly trauma management [29, 54–57]. Although some issues must be addressed for accurate prediction of the BMI status, our method may have potential applications in telemedicine, remote healthcare, and real-time monitoring services to monitor the BMI status of patients with long-term obesityrelated diseases. Additionally, our method can be applied in the diagnosis of individual constitution types in remote healthcare. Pham et al. suggested that the BMI and cheek-tojaw width ratio were the most important predictive factors for the TaeEum (TE) constitution type [58], and Chae et al. proposed that the TE type tends to have a higher BMI than other types [59]. Furthermore, several studies mentioned that constitution types differed in speech features and body shape (BMI) [60–62]. Thus, through more studies on voice signals, u-healthcare, body shape, and constitutions, the proposed classification method for BMI can be used to diagnose a constitution for personalized medical care, as the BMI is important in both alternative and Western medicines.

3.4. Limitations and Future Work. In our study, voice data of subjects were collected by a recording equipment in hospital site and research center site. In order to apply real-time diagnosis in telemedicine or u-healthcare system, additional and important studies such as noise filter, adjustment technique, and handling of atypical speech in emergency, should be performed because of noise or interference generated by network or equipment during telecommunication.

Our method classified only normal and overweight classes and used voice data collected only from Korea. So, in order to more accurately classify a broad range of classes such as underweight, normal, overweight, obese 1, obese 2, and obese 3—according to WHO standard classification in various ethnic groups, we must collect more and varied data sets.

In our classification experiments, the AUC with feature selection in the female ≥ 60 group was the highest among all groups, although there were no significantly different features between the 2 classes among surviving features from the feature subset selection in the female ≥ 60 group. We consider 2 aspects that could be responsible for the occurrence of this problem. First, this could be due to a combination problem of features in wrapper-based feature subset selection and classification problems. From the perspective of machine learning and data mining, machine learning for wrapper-based feature selection is considered a perfect black box. In general, greater numbers of features exhibiting significant differences lead to better machine-learning performance. However, we cannot guarantee that a classification using only significant features (i.e., those with *P* values < 0.05) always performs better than one using a combination of significant and less significant features. Therefore, the most important factor is the selection and combination of the features of each group. For example, Guyon and Elisseeff [43] suggest that the performance of variables that are ineffective by themselves can be improved significantly when combined with others. Furthermore, adding presumably redundant variables can result in noise reduction and consequently better class separation. The other possible reason for the observed problem is the lack of samples, which can force under- or overfitting in machine learning. The small sample size is a critical limitation of this study, because our sample size was not representative of the population. Thus, this study should be designated as a pilot observational study. In order to reduce or understand this problem, we require more samples and are currently collecting more samples.

In the future, we will investigate the extraction of useful features that demonstrate statistical significance in all ageand gender-specific groups, build a more accurate classification model, and collect more data for better classification performance. Furthermore, we will examine the association of the BMI with features such as respiration rate from nonstructured speech signals using a new protocol.

4. Conclusions

The classification of normal and overweight according to body mass index (BMI) is only possible through the measurement and calculation of weight and height. This study suggested a novel method for BMI classification by speech signal and showed the possibility of predicting a diagnosis of normal status or overweight status on the basis of voice and machine learning. We found discriminatory feature subsets for diagnosing normal or overweight individuals by feature selection. We proved that several features have a statistically significant difference between normal and overweight classes in the female: 20–30 group and male: 20–30 group through statistical analysis of the features selected by feature selection in each group. Our findings showed the possibility to predict BMI diagnosis using a combination of voice features without additional weight and height measurements, even if significant features are rare and weak. The prediction performance with feature selection was higher than that without feature selection. However, the accuracy and AUC achieved by our classification experiment were not yet sufficient for rigorous diagnosis and medical purposes. Therefore, we need more research about discriminatory features of broad range, rich data, and a more accurate classification model.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grant funded by the Korea government (MEST) (20120009001, 2006-2005173).

References

- T. J. Parsons, O. Manor, and C. Power, "Physical activity and change in body mass index from adolescence to midadulthood in the 1958 British cohort," *International Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 197–204, 2006.
- [2] H. Hirose, T. Takayama, S. Hozawa, T. Hibi, and I. Saito, "Prediction of metabolic syndrome using artificial neural network system based on clinical data including insulin resistance index and serum adiponectin," *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, vol. 41, pp. 1051–1056, 2011.
- [3] D. Gallagher, M. Visser, D. Sepúlveda, R. N. Pierson, T. Harris, and S. B. Heymsfieid, "How useful is body mass index for comparison of body fatness across age, sex, and ethnic groups?" *American Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 143, no. 3, pp. 228–239, 1996.
- [4] E. Anuurad, K. Shiwaku, A. Nogi et al., "The new BMI criteria for Asians by the regional office for the western pacific region of WHO are suitable for screening of overweight to prevent metabolic syndrome in elder Japanese workers," *Journal of Occupational Health*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 335–343, 2003.
- [5] L. L. Yan, M. L. Daviglus, K. Liu et al., "BMI and health-related quality of life in adults 65 years and older," *Obesity Research*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 69–76, 2004.

- [6] Asia Pacific Cohort Studies Collaboration, "Body mass index and cardiovascular disease in the Asia-Pacific Region: an overview of 33 cohorts involving 310 000 participants," *International Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 33, pp. 751–758, 2004.
- [7] C. M. Lee, S. Colagiuri, M. Ezzati, and M. Woodward, "The burden of cardiovascular disease associated with high body mass index in the Asia-Pacific region," *Obesity Reviews*, vol. 12, pp. e454–e459, 2011.
- [8] L. Li, A. P. De Moira, and C. Power, "Predicting cardiovascular disease risk factors in midadulthood from childhood body mass index: utility of different cutoffs for childhood body mass index," *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 93, no. 6, pp. 1204–1211, 2011.
- [9] H. S. Park, Y. S. Yun, J. Y. Park, Y. S. Kim, and J. M. Choi, "Obesity, abdominal obesity, and clustering of cardiovascular risk factors in South Korea," *Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 411–418, 2003.
- [10] J. Y. Kim, H. M. Chang, J. J. Cho, S. H. Yoo, and S. Y. Kim, "Relationship between obesity and depression in the Korean working population," *Journal of Korean Medical Science*, vol. 25, no. 11, pp. 1560–1567, 2010.
- [11] H. Fonseca, A. M. Silva, M. G. Matos et al., "Validity of BMI based on self-reported weight and height in adolescents," *Acta Paediatrica*, vol. 99, pp. 83–88, 2010.
- [12] Y. F. Liao, M. L. Chuang, C. S. Huang, and Y. Y. Tsai, "Upper airway and its surrounding structures in obese and nonobese patients with sleep-disordered breathing," *Laryngoscope*, vol. 114, no. 6, pp. 1052–1059, 2004.
- [13] W. T. Fitch and J. Giedd, "Morphology and development of the human vocal tract: a study using magnetic resonance imaging," *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 106, no. 3, pp. 1511–1522, 1999.
- [14] S. Evans, N. Neave, and D. Wakelin, "Relationships between vocal characteristics and body size and shape in human males: an evolutionary explanation for a deep male voice," *Biological Psychology*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 160–163, 2006.
- [15] N. J. Lass, "Correlational study of speakers' heights, weights, body surface areas, and speaking fundamental frequencies," *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 1218–1220, 1978.
- [16] N. J. Lass, J. K. Phillips, and C. A. Bruchey, "The effect of filtered speech on speaker height and weight identification," *Journal of Phonetics*, vol. 8, pp. 91–100, 1980.
- [17] W. A. van Dommelen and B. H. Moxness, "Acoustic parameters in speaker height and weight identification: sex-specific behaviour," *Language and speech*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 267–287, 1995.
- [18] J. González, "Formant frequencies and body size of speaker: a weak relationship in adult humans," *Journal of Phonetics*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 277–287, 2004.
- [19] H. J. Kunzel, "How well does average fundamental frequency correlate with speaker height and weight?" *Phonetica*, vol. 46, no. 1–3, pp. 117–125, 1989.
- [20] D. G. Childers and K. Wu, "Gender recognition from speech. Part II: fine analysis," *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 90, pp. 1841–1856, 1991.
- [21] L. Bruckert, J. S. Liénard, A. Lacroix, M. Kreutzer, and G. Leboucher, "Women use voice parameters to assess men's characteristics," *Proceedings of the Royal Society*, vol. 273, no. 1582, pp. 83–89, 2006.

- [22] P. Belin, S. Fecteau, and C. Bédard, "Thinking the voice: neural correlates of voice perception," *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 129–135, 2004.
- [23] M. Jessen, "Speaker classification in forensic phonetics and acoustics," in *Speaker Classification I*, pp. 180–204, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2007.
- [24] I. Mporas and T. Ganchev, "Estimation of unknown speaker's height from speech," *International Journal of Speech Technology*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 149–160, 2009.
- [25] J. Gonzalez, "Estimation of speakers' weight and height from speech: a re-analysis of data from multiple studies by lass and colleagues," *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, vol. 96, no. 1, pp. 297– 304, 2003.
- [26] R. Greisbach, "Estimation of speaker height from formant frequencies," *Forensic Linguistics*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 265–277, 1999.
- [27] G. Muhammad, T. A. Mesallam, K. H. Malki, M. Farahat, M. Alsulaiman, and M. Bukhari, "Formant analysis in dysphonic patients and automatic Arabic digit speech recognition," *BioMedical Engineering OnLine*, vol. 10, article 41, 2011.
- [28] K. Umapathy and S. Krishnan, "Feature analysis of pathological speech signals using local discriminant bases technique," *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 457–464, 2005.
- [29] W. L. Hall, G. L. Larkin, M. J. Trujillo, J. L. Hinds, and K. A. Delaney, "Errors in weight estimation in the emergency department: comparing performance by providers and patients," *Journal of Emergency Medicine*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 219–224, 2004.
- [30] R. S. Lin, S. D. Horn, J. F. Hurdle, and A. S. Goldfarb-Rumyantzev, "Single and multiple time-point prediction models in kidney transplant outcomes," *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 944–952, 2008.
- [31] G. D. Fiol and P. J. Haug, "Classification models for the prediction of clinicians' information needs," *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 82–89, 2009.
- [32] A. de Leiva, M. E. Hernando, M. Rigla et al., "Telemedical artificial pancreas: PARIS (Pancreas Artificial Telemedico Inteligente) research project," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 32, pp. S211– 216, 2009.
- [33] J. M. Wojcicki, P. Ladyzynski, J. Krzymien et al., "What we can really expect from telemedicine in intensive diabetes treatment: results from 3-year study on type 1 pregnant diabetic women," *Diabetes Technology and Therapeutics*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 581–589, 2001.
- [34] W. Y. Jen, "The adoption of mobile weight management services in a virtual community: the perspective of college students," *Telemedicine and e-Health*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 490–497, 2010.
- [35] J. R. Warren, K. J. Day, C. Paton et al., "Implementations of health information technologies with consumers as users: findings from a systematic review," *Health Care and Informatics Review Online*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 2–17, 2010.
- [36] M. J. Morón, A. Gómez-Jaime, J. R. Luque, and E. Casilari, "Development and evaluation of a Python telecare system based on a Bluetooth Body Area Network," *Eurasip Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*, vol. 2011, Article ID 629526, 2011.
- [37] A. C. Norris, "Scope, Benefits and limitations of telemedicine," in *Essentials of Telemedicine and Telecare*, pp. 30–35, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK, 2002.
- [38] K. H. Kim, B. Ku, S. Kang, Y. S. Kim, J. S. Jang, and J. Y. Kim, "Study of a vocal feature selection method and vocal properties for discriminating four constitution types," *Evidence-Based*

Complementary and Alternative Medicine, vol. 2012, Article ID 831543, 10 pages, 2012.

- [39] WHO Expert Consultation, "Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies," *The Lancet*, vol. 363, pp. 157–163, 2004.
- [40] T. Haas, S. Svacina, J. Pav, R. Hovorka, P. Sucharda, and J. Sonka, "Risk calculation of type 2 diabetes," *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 41, no. 3-4, pp. 297–303, 1994.
- [41] K. K. Khokhar, G. Kaur, and S. Sidhu, "Prevalence of obesity in working premenopausal and postmenopausal women of Jalandhar District, Punjab," *Journal of Human Ecology*, vol. 29, pp. 57–62, 2010.
- [42] World Health Organisation, The Asia-Pacific Perspective: Redefining Obesity and Its Treatment, Health Communications, Sydney, Australia, 2000.
- [43] I. Guyon and A. Elisseeff, "An introduction to variable and feature selection," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 3, pp. 1157–1182, 2003.
- [44] R. Kohavi and G. H. John, "Wrappers for feature subset selection," Artificial Intelligence, vol. 97, no. 1-2, pp. 273–324, 1997.
- [45] S. le Cessie and J. C. van Houwelingen, "Ridge estimators in logistic regression," *Applied Statistics*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 191–201, 1992.
- [46] H. Ian, Data Mining: Practical Machine Learning Tools and Techniques, Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, Calif, USA, 2nd edition, 2005.
- [47] J. Han and M. Kamber, *Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques*, Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, Calif, USA, 2nd edition, 2006.
- [48] B. J. Lee, M. S. Shin, Y. J. Oh, H. S. Oh, and K. H. Ryu, "Identification of protein functions using a machine-learning approach based on sequence-derived properties," *Proteome Science*, vol. 7, article 27, 2009.
- [49] P. N. Tan, M. Steinbach, and V. Kumar, *Introduction to Data Mining*, Addison Wesley, Boston, Mass, USA, 2006.
- [50] J. Huang and C. X. Ling, "Using AUC and accuracy in evaluating learning algorithms," *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 17, pp. 299–310, 2005.
- [51] D. J. Hand, "Evaluating diagnostic tests: the area under the ROC curve and the balance of errors," *Statistics in Medicine*, vol. 29, no. 14, pp. 1502–1510, 2010.
- [52] C. E. Metz, "ROC analysis in medical imaging: a tutorial review of the literature," *Radiological physics and technology*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 2–12, 2008.
- [53] R. Kumar and A. Indrayan, "Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for medical researchers," *Indian Pediatrics*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 277–287, 2011.
- [54] D. Krieser, K. Nguyen, D. Kerr, D. Jolley, M. Clooney, and A. M. Kelly, "Parental weight estimation of their child's weight is more accurate than other weight estimation methods for determining children's weight in an emergency department?" *Emergency Medicine Journal*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 756–759, 2007.
- [55] T. R. Coe, M. Halkes, K. Houghton, and D. Jefferson, "The accuracy of visual estimation of weight and height in preoperative supine patients," *Anaesthesia*, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 582– 586, 1999.
- [56] S. Menon and A. M. Kelly, "How accurate is weight estimation in the emergency department?" *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 113–116, 2005.

- [57] R. J. Moran, R. B. Reilly, P. De Chazal, and P. D. Lacy, "Telephony-based voice pathology assessment using automated speech analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 468–477, 2006.
- [58] D. D. Pham, J. H. Do, B. Ku, H. J. Lee, H. Kim, and J. Y. Kim, "Body mass index and facial cues in Sasang typology for young and elderly persons," *Evidence-Based Complementary* and Alternative Medicine, vol. 2011, Article ID 749209, 2011.
- [59] H. Chae, I. K. Lyoo, S. J. Lee et al., "An alternative way to individualized medicine: psychological and physical traits of Sasang typology," *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 519–528, 2003.
- [60] B. J. Lee, B. Ku, K. Park, K. H. Kim, and J. Y. Kim, "A new method of diagnosing constitutional types based on vocal and facial features for personalized medicine," *Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology*, vol. 2012, Article ID 818607, 2012.
- [61] S. W. Lee, E. S. Jang, J. Lee, and J. Y. Kim, "Current researches on the methods of diagnosing sasang constitution: an overview," *Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 43–49, 2009.
- [62] J. H. Do, E. S. Jang, B. Ku, J. S. Jang, H. Kim, and J. Y. Kim, "Development of an integrated Sasang constitution diagnosis method using face, body shape, voice, and questionnaire information," *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 12, article 9, 2012.