

Genome Sequence of Escherichia coli Tailed Phage Utah

Justin C. Leavitt,^{a*} Alexandra J. Heitkamp,^b Ananda S. Bhattacharjee,^{c*} Eddie B. Gilcrease,^b Sherwood R. Casjens^{a,b}

Biology Department, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA^a; Pathology Department, Division of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah, USAb; Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA^c

ABSTRACT Escherichia coli bacteriophage Utah is a member of the chi-like tailed phage cluster in the Siphoviridae family. We report here the complete 59,024-bp sequence of the genome of phage Utah.

The lytic double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) tailed bacteriophage Utah was isolated in 2015 at the University of Utah as a laboratory contaminant that makes clear plaques on a lawn of Escherichia coli SKB178 [\(1\)](#page-1-0). It also makes smaller plaques on Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium strain LT2 and infects only flagellated Salmonella cells (data not shown). Its virion morphology was determined by negative-staining transmission electron microscopy, which revealed an isometric head that is hexagonal in outline and about 60 nm in diameter, a 230-nm-long flexible noncontractile tail that has about 45 transverse striations, and a single long curly tail fiber.

Phage Utah was propagated on E. coli SKB178 [\(1\)](#page-1-0), and its DNA was sequenced by Illumina MiSeq 150-bp paired-end run methodology with a 350-bp insert library at the High Throughput Genomics Core Facility, University of Utah. Quality-controlled trimmed reads were assembled to a single linear sequence contig with 20-fold coverage using Geneious 9.0.5 [\(2\)](#page-1-1). Dideoxynucleotide sequencing runs [\(3\)](#page-1-2) primed to run off the ends of the virion DNA molecule showed that the linear assembled sequence represents the complete phage Utah genome and that its chromosome has 12-bp 5'-overhanging cohesive ends, with the sequence 5'-GGTGCGCAGAGC at the left (5') end. The 59,024-bp-long phage Utah genome has 56.4% G+C. We annotate 74 genes in the genome, which include large terminase, portal, prohead protease, major capsid, and tail tape measure virion assembly genes, as well as lysis genes and DNA metabolism genes that encode a putative helicase, primase, and DNA polymerase.

The genome sequence shows that phage Utah is a close relative of phage chi (χ) and belongs to the chi-like phage cluster [\(4\)](#page-1-3); it is 90.4% identical to chi in nucleotide sequence by the DNA Strider alignment algorithm [\(5\)](#page-1-4). Its closest known relative is Salmonella phage iEPS5 at 96.2% overall identity. The completely and nearly completely sequenced members of this phage cluster currently include phage Utah, Salmonella phages chi (accession no. KM458633) [\(6\)](#page-1-5), iEPS5 (accession no. KC677662) [\(7\)](#page-1-6), SPN19 (accession no. JN871591), SPN35 (accession no. KR296689), SPN37 (accession no. KR296691), FSL_SP-019, FSL_SP-30, FSL_SP-039, FSL_SP-088, FSL_SP-099, and FSL_SP-124 (accession no. KC139571 to KC139631, KC139519, KC139514, KC139512, KC139667 to KC139680, and KC139515, respectively) [\(8\)](#page-1-7), BP21C (accession no. AIT13784), 118970_sal1 (accession no. KU927500), and a phage that apparently contaminated the S. enterica DT104 genome sequencing project (accession no. CVKM01000024, genome project PRJEB2189), as well as Enterobacter cancerogenus phage Enc34 (accession no. JQ340774) [\(9\)](#page-1-8), Providencia stuartii phage RedJac (accession no. JX296113) [\(10\)](#page-1-9), and Proteus mirabilis phage pPM_01 (accession no. KP063118). The phages in this group that have been examined (chi, iEPS5, and Utah) adsorb specifically to flagella and use

VIRUSES

Received 7 November 2016 **Accepted** 23 January 2017 **Published** 30 March 2017

Citation Leavitt JC, Heitkamp AJ, Bhattacharjee AS, Gilcrease EB, Casjens SR. 2017. Genome sequence of Escherichia coli tailed phage Utah. Genome Announc 5:e01494-16. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01494-16) [doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01494-16.](https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01494-16)

Copyright © 2017 Leavitt et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Address correspondence to Sherwood R. Casjens, [sherwood.casjens@path.utah.edu.](mailto:sherwood.casjens@path.utah.edu)

* Present address: Justin C. Leavitt, Department of Animal Science, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA; Ananda S. Bhattacharjee, Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences, East Boothbay, Maine, USA.

active flagella as their receptor for adsorption [\(7,](#page-1-6) [11](#page-1-10)[–](#page-1-11)[13\)](#page-1-12). These chi-like phages have similar gene contents, gene orders, and genome sizes (between 58 and 61 kbp), and they form a very well-defined cluster that is only distantly related to other described phage types [\(4,](#page-1-3) [6,](#page-1-5) [8\)](#page-1-7). Interestingly, among the chi-like phage group's closest, but still quite distant, relatives are the Xylella fastidiosa phages Salvo and Sano [\(14\)](#page-1-13), and these xylella phages utilize a different external cell structure, type IV pili, as receptors.

Accession number(s). The complete genome sequence of phage Utah is available in the GenBank database with accession number [KY014601.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/KY014601)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by NIH grant GM114817 (to S.R.C.). The University of Utah High Throughput Genomics Core Facility was supported by grant P30CA042014 from the National Cancer Institute.

We thank David Belnap for help with negative-stain electron microscopy and Kelly Hughes for Salmonella strains.

REFERENCES

- 1. Georgopoulos CP, Hendrix RW, Casjens SR, Kaiser AD. 1973. Host participation in bacteriophage lambda head assembly. J Mol Biol 76:45– 60. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836\(73\)90080-6.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(73)90080-6)
- 2. Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A, Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A. 2012. Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics 28:1647–1649. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199) [bioinformatics/bts199.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199)
- 3. Sanger F, Nicklen S, Coulson AR. 1977. DNA sequencing with chainterminating inhibitors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 74:5463–5467. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.74.12.5463) [doi.org/10.1073/pnas.74.12.5463.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.74.12.5463)
- 4. Grose JH, Casjens SR. 2014. Understanding the enormous diversity of bacteriophages: the tailed phages that infect the bacterial family Enterobacteriaceae. Virology 468 – 470:421– 443. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2014.08.024) [j.virol.2014.08.024.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2014.08.024)
- 5. Douglas SE. 1994. DNA Strider. A Macintosh program for handling protein and nucleic acid sequences. Methods Mol Biol 25:181–194. [https://doi.org/10.1385/0-89603-276-0:181.](https://doi.org/10.1385/0-89603-276-0:181)
- 6. Hendrix RW, Ko CC, Jacobs-Sera D, Hatfull GF, Erhardt M, Hughes KT, Casjens SR. 2015. Genome sequence of Salmonella phage χ . Genome Announc 3(1):e01229-14. [https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01229-14.](https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01229-14)
- 7. Choi Y, Shin H, Lee JH, Ryu S. 2013. Identification and characterization of a novel flagellum-dependent Salmonella-infecting bacteriophage, iEPS5.

Appl Environ Microbiol 79:4829 – 4837. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00706-13) [AEM.00706-13.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00706-13)

- 8. Moreno Switt AI, Orsi RH, den Bakker HC, Vongkamjan K, Altier C, Wiedmann M. 2013. Genomic characterization provides new insight into Salmonella phage diversity. BMC Genomics 14:481. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-481) [10.1186/1471-2164-14-481.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-481)
- 9. Kazaks A, Dislers A, Lipowsky G, Nikolajeva V, Tars K. 2012. Complete genome sequence of the Enterobacter cancerogenus bacteriophage Enc34. J Virol 86:11403–11404. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01954-12.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01954-12)
- 10. Onmus-Leone F, Hang J, Clifford RJ, Yang Y, Riley MC, Kuschner RA, Waterman PE, Lesho EP. 2013. Enhanced de novo assembly of high throughput pyrosequencing data using whole genome mapping. PLoS One 8:e61762. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061762.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061762)
- 11. Ravid S, Eisenbach M. 1983. Correlation between bacteriophage chi adsorption and mode of flagellar rotation of Escherichia coli chemotaxis mutants. J Bacteriol 154:604 – 611.
- 12. Samuel AD, Pitta TP, Ryu WS, Danese PN, Leung EC, Berg HC. 1999. Flagellar determinants of bacterial sensitivity to chi-phage. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:9863–9866. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.17.9863.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.17.9863)
- 13. Schade SZ, Adler J, Ris H. 1967. How bacteriophage chi attacks motile bacteria. J Virol 1:599 – 609.
- 14. Ahern SJ, Das M, Bhowmick TS, Young R, Gonzalez CF. 2014. Characterization of novel virulent broad-host-range phages of Xylella fastidiosa and Xanthomonas. J Bacteriol 196:459 – 471. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01080-13) [JB.01080-13.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01080-13)