

Check for updates

# Risk of cancer in pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease: A nationwide population-based study with a matched control group

Sehoon Park<sup>1,2</sup>, Soojin Lee<sup>2,3</sup>, Yaerim Kim<sup>2</sup>, Yeonhee Lee<sup>2,3</sup>, Min Woo Kang<sup>2,3</sup>, Kyungdo Han<sup>4</sup>, Seoung Seok Han<sup>2,5</sup>, Hajeong Lee<sup>2,5</sup>, Jung Pyo Lee<sup>3,5,6</sup>, Kwon Wook Joo<sup>2,3,5</sup>, Chun Soo Lim<sup>3,5,6</sup>, Yon Su Kim<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Dong Ki Kim<sup>2,3,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea <sup>3</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea <sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Statistics, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, Korea <sup>5</sup>Kidney Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea <sup>6</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, SMG-SNU Boramae Medical Center, Seoul, Korea

**Background:** Cancer risk and epidemiology in pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease (CKD) warrant further investigation in a large-scale cohort.

**Methods:** We performed a nationwide population-based study using the national health insurance database of Korea. We screened records from 18,936,885 individuals who received a national health examination  $\geq$  2 times from 2009 to 2016. Pre-dialysis CKD was identified based on serum creatinine and dipstick albuminuria results. Individuals with preexisting cancer history, renal replacement therapy, or transient CKD were excluded. A control group without evidence of kidney function impairment and matched for age, sex, low-income status, and smoking history was included. Risk of cancers, as identified in the claims database, was investigated using a multivariable Cox regression model including matched variables and other unmatched clinical characteristics as covariates.

**Results:** A total of 471,758 people with pre-dialysis CKD and the same number of matched controls were included. Urinary (adjusted hazard ratio [HR], 1.97; 95% confidence interval [95% CI], 1.82–2.13) and hematopoietic (adjusted HR, 1.53; 95% CI, 1.38–1.68) malignancy risk was increased in pre-dialysis CKD and all CKD stages. However, the risk of digestive cancer was lower in the pre-dialysis CKD group (adjusted HR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.87–0.92). The risk of digestive, respiratory, thyroid, and prostate malignancy demonstrated a non-linear association with CKD stage, with stage 1 or stage 4/5 CKD without dialysis demonstrating relatively lower risk.

**Conclusion:** Cancer risk varied in pre-dialysis CKD compared to controls, and the association between cancer risk and CKD stage varied depending on the cancer type.

Keywords: Cancer, Chronic kidney disease, Comorbidity, Epidemiology, Neoplasms

Edited by Soo Wan Kim, Chonnam National University, Gwangju, Korea

Correspondence: Dong Ki Kim

Copyright © 2019 by The Korean Society of Nephrology

© This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received November 5, 2018; Revised December 1, 2018; Accepted December 23, 2018

Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03080, Korea. E-mail: dkkim73@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5195-7852

Cancer is a leading cause of death worldwide [1]. Importantly, cancer is involved in the majority of non-cardiovascular deaths in people with chronic kidney disease (CKD) [2]. As both CKD and malignancy increase with global aging, cancer in CKD patients will continue to become more clinically important [3,4].

Because early diagnosis of malignancy is a crucial factor that improves prognosis, understanding the epidemiologic distribution of cancer is particularly important. Several studies in dialysis-dependent patients or kidney transplant recipients identified that specific malignancies, such as urinary tract neoplasms, are highly prevalent in patients with impaired kidney function [5-10]. In addition, studies that investigated the risk of cancer in CKD patients without renal replacement therapy (RRT) found increased cancer-specific incidence or mortality in pre-dialysis CKD [11–15]. Nevertheless, a larger population-based study is warranted as previous studies included limited sample sizes of individuals with laboratory confirmed kidney dysfunction [11,12]. Results from a larger study could guide healthcare providers regarding screening for malignancy in the globally growing population of individuals with mild to moderate renal dysfunction [3,4]. However, it has been difficult to perform studies that include a sufficient number of pre-dialysis CKD patients due to the lack of longitudinal measurements of kidney function in most nationwide databases, which are needed to stratify CKD stages.

Here, we aimed to epidemiologically assess the typespecific cancer risk in a large cohort of pre-dialysis CKD by reviewing records from a national health screening program in which over 10 million people per year receive health examinations that include serum creatinine and dipstick albuminuria measurements [16]. We hypothesized that the degree of kidney dysfunction would be associated with site-specific cancer risk.

#### Methods

### Ethical considerations

The Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital (IRB No. E-1801-027-913) approved the study. The usage of the National Health Insurance Data-

base (NHID) was approved by the attending government organization. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

# National Health Insurance Database and national general health screening in Korea

The NHID, provided by the National Health Insurance Service (NHIS) of Korea, is a database that includes a claims database and information on socio-demographic variables, national general health screening, and mortality [16]. With the national health screening program, over 10 million Korean people receive a health examination each year including serum creatinine and urinalysis albumin measurements at each screening [17]. This charge-free general health screening is provided for workplace subscribers and for every Korean over 40 years old at least biannually, and the overall examination rate has been over 70% since 2011. In addition, the NHIS applies unique insurance codes for those with a confirmed malignancy diagnosis, both for inpatient and outpatient care. The codes are required to receive additional coverage for cancer-related medical fees, resulting in a reliable method of cancer identification in the claims database.

## Study population

Individuals who were screened  $\geq 2$  times between 2009 and 2016 using the kinetic Jaffe's method for serum creatinine were included. We excluded 1) those with a previous history of cancer, 2) those receiving RRT at baseline (including both dialysis and kidney transplantation) or diagnosed for cancer after initiation of RRT, 3) those who were less than 19 years old, 4) those who had transient or fluctuating kidney function impairment (inconsistent albuminuria or reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR, < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>]), and 5) those with missing information for the included variables. In the control group, those who had kidney disease related the 10th revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) diagnostic codes (Supplementary Methods 1) were excluded [18].

### Study groups

The CKD group included patients with pre-dialysis

CKD, which we defined by the presence of consecutive laboratory evidence of CKD (e.g., dipstick albuminuria or eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) for  $\geq$  2 sequential health screenings. The 1:1 matched control group was constructed from individuals without any CKD indicative laboratory results (albuminuria or reduced eGFR [< 60  $mL/min/1.73 m^{2}$ ) at each included health examination. Controls were matched based on age, sex, low-income status, and smoking history (none, previous, or current). We collected additional characteristics (e.g., history of diabetes, hypertension and body mass index) that were not used for matching but for which we adjusted in further analyses. Therefore, the control group was matched based on age, sex, and social factors in the general population rather than the presence significant comorbidities. The pre-dialysis CKD individuals were additionally categorized into the following groups according to baseline kidney function and dipstick albuminuria results from their first health examination: CKD stage 1, those who exhibited eGFR  $\ge$  90 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> and consecutive presence of a consecutive dipstick albuminuria; CKD stage 2, those with eGFR < 90 and  $\geq$  60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> and presence of a consecutive dipstick albuminuria; CKD stage 3, those who exhibited eGFR < 60 and  $\geq$  30 mL/  $min/1.73 m^2$ ; and CKD stage 4/5, those who exhibited  $eGFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m^2$  but who were not on RRT [19].

#### Data collection

The baseline characteristics collected from the NHID included age, sex, low-income status, history of smoking, and body mass index of the study subjects. Low-income status was defined as having an income lower than the nation's 20th percentile. Serum creatinine data were collected from the examination records and we calculated the eGFR values using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) method. History of underlying diabetes mellitus and hypertension was identified by the ICD-10 diagnostic codes and prescription history of relevant medications.

#### Study outcomes

The cancer risk was the main study outcome. Site-specific malignancy diagnoses were additionally reviewed for different body systems and organs using the ICD-10 diagnostic codes (Supplementary Method 1) [12]. The risk and incidence of cancer were also investigated for each CKD stage. Finally, cancer-associated mortalities included all-cause mortalities within 3 years of a cancer diagnosis because direct causes of death were not identified in the NHID.

#### Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are displayed as median (interquartile ranges) values. Categorical variables are displayed as numbers (percentages). Baseline differences among the study groups were investigated with the chi-



#### Figure 1. Diagram showing the study population.

CKD, chronic kidney disease; ICD-10, the 10th revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems; RRT, renal replacement therapy; TB, tuberculosis. squared test and the Kruskal–Wallis test. The differences in risk of each cancer were investigated using the multivariable Cox regression analysis with multiple adjustments, and the fully-adjusted model included both the matched variables (age, sex, low-income status, smoking history) and additional unmatched characteristics (history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus and body mass index). The association between underlying CKD and cancer-associated mortality for each type of cancer was also analyzed using the Cox regression analysis. The results from the fully-adjusted models are described in the text and figures otherwise significantly different trends were suspected between the models. We performed statistical analysis using the SAS ver. 9.4 program (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) with two-sided P values < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

# Results

# Study population

Total of 471,758 individuals with pre-dialysis CKD were included in the study, with the same number of individuals in the matched control group (Fig. 1). Within the CKD individuals, their CKD stages were stratified with 41,108 individuals exhibiting stage 1, 59,403 exhibiting CKD stage 2, 359,224 exhibiting CKD stage 3, and 12,023 exhibiting CKD stages 4/5 without RRT. The median follow-up duration was 4.77 years in the CKD group and 4.80 years in the matched control group.

# **Baseline characteristics**

Due to the 1:1 matching, the variables included in the matching process had identical distributions between the CKD and the control groups (Table 1). The median age of the study population was 64 (55–71) years, and 51.5% were males. Regarding unmatched but adjusted variables, the CKD group exhibited more frequent hypertension and diabetes mellitus and exhibited a higher body weight and body mass index than the matched control group. When the CKD group was stratified according to their baseline kidney function (Supplementary Table 1), the higher stage CKD groups were older, included more males, and presented with more hypertension.

## Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population

Characteristic	Matched control (n = 471,758)	Pre-dialysis CKD (n = 471,758)	P value
Matched variable			
Age (yr)	64 (55–71)	64 (55–71)	_
< 60	157,945 (33.5)	157,945 (33.5)	
≥60	313,813 (66.5)	313,813 (66.5)	
Sex, male	243,137 (51.5)	243,137 (51.5)	_
Smoking history			_
Non-smoker	314,287 (66.6)	314,287 (66.6)	
Ex-smoker	81,017 (17.2)	81,017 (17.2)	
Current-smoker	76,454 (16.2)	76,454 (16.2)	
Low income status	104,690 (22.2)	104,690 (22.2)	_
Unmatched variable			
Height (cm)	160 (153–167)	160 (153–167)	0.110
Weight (kg)	62 (55–69)	62 (55–69)	< 0.001
Body mass index (kg/m²)	24.1 (22.1–26.1)	24.4 (22.4–26.6)	< 0.001
Serum Cr (mg/dL)	0.8 (0.7-1.0)	1.1 (1.0-1.4)	< 0.001
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	81 (71–93)	62 (55–70)	< 0.001
Hypertension	202,849 (43.0)	304,933 (64.6)	< 0.001
Diabetes mellitus	67,596 (14.3)	143,701 (30.5)	< 0.001

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or number (%).

CKD, chronic kidney disease; Cr, creatinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

Table 2. Cancer incidences in the study	population
---	------------

	Matched contr	rol (n = 471,758)	Pre-dialysis Cl	⊢dialysis CKD (n = 471,758)			
Neoplasm	Events (n)	Incidence rate (/100,000 PY)	Events (n)	Incidence rate (/100,000 PY)			
All neoplasms	22,416	989.92	22,971	1,019.76			
Oral cavity, lip, and pharynx	223	10.29	227	10.08			
Digestive system	10,782	476.15	10,028	445.18			
Stomach	4,425	195.42	3,767	167.23			
Colorectal	2,916	128.78	2,864	127.14			
Liver or bile duct	1,389	61.34	1,397	62.02			
Respiratory tract	2,498	110.32	2,511	111.47			
Lung	2,268	100.16	2,295	101.88			
Female genital	667	29.46	668	29.66			
Uterine cervix	274	12.10	310	13.76			
Uterus & ovary	378	16.69	345	15.32			
Male genital	2,199	97.11	2,260	100.33			
Testis	10	0.44	16	0.07			
Prostate	2,171	95.88	2,242	99.50			
Urinary tract	1,041	45.97	2,108	93.58			
Kidney	317	14.00	797	35.38			
Other urinary tract	729	32.19	132	58.47			
Hematopoietic system	724	31.97	1,051	46.66			
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	362	15.99	386	17.14			
Hodgkin lymphoma	9	0.39	19	0.84			
Multiple myeloma	108	4.77	157	6.97			
Leukemia	183	8.08	254	11.28			
Thyroid	2,148	94.86	1,935	85.90			
Breast	1,067	47.12	1,116	49.54			

CKD, chronic kidney disease; PY, person-year.

#### Risk of cancer in people with pre-dialysis CKD

The number of newly diagnosed malignancies and cancer incidences are presented in Table 2. The total cancer incidence was 1,019.76/100,000 person-years in the predialysis CKD group, which was higher than 989.92/100,000 person-years in the matched control group. The digestive system had the largest cancer incidence, both in the predialysis CKD group (445.18/100,000 person-years) and the matched control group (476.15/100,000 person-years). However, cancer incidence varied depending on the body system or organ affected. Based on regression analysis (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 2), the CKD group demonstrated increased risk of urinary tract and hematopoietic malignancies. In contrast, risk of stomach and thyroid cancers was decreased in the CKD group prior to adjustment for additional unmatched variables. After additionally adjusting for hypertension, diabetes and body mass index in our multivariable model, the risk of all digestive malignancies and stomach, colorectal and liver neoplasms was lower in the pre-dialysis CKD group than the controls. Testicular cancer risk was significantly increased in the CKD group only in the fully-adjusted model; however, the confidence interval was large due to the limited numbers of included events.

#### Risk of cancer according to CKD stage

The number of events and cancer incidences at each stage of CKD are presented in Supplementary Table 3. The risk of malignancies at each CKD stage demonstrated various trends in our regression analyses (Supplementary Table 4). Among the cancer categories assessed, those with risk that was significantly different from the matched control group are shown in Fig. 3. The urinary and hematopoietic system malignancy risk was signifi-

Category	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	
<all neoplasms=""></all>	1.01 (0.99-1.03)	+
<oral cavity,="" lip,="" pharynx=""></oral>	0.96 (0.80-1.17)	⊢ <b>-</b> -1
<digestive> Stomach Colorectal Liver or intrahepatic</digestive>	0.89 (0.87-0.92) 0.83 (0.80-0.87) 0.93 (0.88-0.98) 0.90 (0.83-0.97)	H H H
<respiratory and="" intrathoracic=""> Lung</respiratory>	0.97 (0.92-1.03) 0.97 (0.92-1.03)	
<female genital=""> Cervical cancer Uterus &amp; ovary</female>	1.01 (0.90-1.13) 1.15 (0.97-1.36) 0.91 (0.78-1.06)	⊧≢⊣ ⊮⊸∎⊸↓ ⊧─₽┤
<male genital=""> Testis Prostate</male>	1.06 (0.99-1.12) 2.32 (1.03-5.23) 1.06 (1.00-1.13)	■+ 
<urinary system=""> Kidney Urinary tract</urinary>	1.97 (1.82-2.13) 2.41 (2.11-2.76) 1.75 (1.59-1.92)	
<hematopoietic> Non-hodgkin lymphoma Hodgkin lymphoma Multiple myeloma Leukimia</hematopoietic>	1.53 (1.38-1.68) 1.11 (0.96-1.29) 1.96 (0.87-4.44) 1.50 (1.16-1.93) 1.43 (1.18-1.75)	
<others> Breast Thyroid</others>	1.06 (0.97-1.15) 0.88 (0.82-0.93)	0 1 2 3 Adjusted HR

Figure 2. Forest plot presenting the cancer risk in the pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease group compared to the matched control group. The boxes indicate the hazard ratios (HRs), and the horizontal lines indicate the 95% confidence intervals (95% Cls). The adjusted HR were obtained from a fully-adjusted multivariable model that included the matched variables (age, sex, low-income status, and smoking history) and unmatched characteristics (history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and body mass index).



Figure 3. Forest plot showing the cancer risk at each chronic kidney disease stage compared to the matched control group. Cancer types with representative differences are shown. The adjusted hazard ratios (HR) were obtained from a fully-adjusted multivariable model that included the matched variables (age, sex, low-income status, and smoking history) and unmatched characteristics (history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus and body mass index).

RRT, renal replacement therapy; 95% Cl, 95% confidence interval.

cantly increased at every CKD stage; however, some subcategory risks did not reach significance in certain CKD stages. Meanwhile, the risk of gastrointestinal tract cancers, including stomach and colorectal malignancies, was significantly lower in people with CKD stage 1 or 4/5 without RRT. A similarly non-linear association was also observed for lung, prostate and thyroid cancers. The risk of liver cancer was significantly increased in CKD stage 2 but decreased in stage 3 or higher when compared to the matched control group.

# Cancer-associated mortality in people with pre-dialysis CKD

Among those who developed malignancies, the 3-year mortality rate was 3,325/22,416 (14.8%) in the matched control group and 3,821/22,971 (16.6%) in the pre-dialysis CKD group. The risk of cancer-associated mortality was increased in people with baseline CKD in composite cancers and also in several malignancy categories (Table 3). However, colorectal, lung, liver, urinary system, cervix, thyroid, breast, and testis neoplasms and lymphomas did not demonstrate significantly increased mortality in individuals with CKD. Finally, people with baseline CKD had a lower risk of cancer-associated mortality following diagnosis for multiple myeloma or leukemia than the matched controls.

#### Cancer-associated mortality according to CKD stage

The 3-year mortality rate following a cancer diagnosis according to each baseline CKD stage is presented in Supplementary Table 5. The risk of cancer-associated mortality following diagnosis for a composite malignancy had a non-linear association with CKD stage, as the stage 1 and 4/5 without RRT groups demonstrated prominently increased risk of death. However, the statistical significance of this association was modest for each cancer type, and the statistical power varied. A similar

	Table 3. Cancer-associated mortalit	y risk of cancer patient	s according to the presence	e of pre-dialysis chronic	kidney disease
--	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------	----------------

	Univeriable	0		Multi	variable				
Neoplasm	Ullivaliable	e	Model 1		Model 2				
	HR (95% CI)	Р	Adjusted HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Р	Adjusted HR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Р			
All neoplasms	1.13 (1.07-1.18)	< 0.001	1.13 (1.08-1.19)	< 0.001	1.09 (1.04-1.14)	< 0.001			
Oral cavity-lip-pharynx	1.58 (1.03-2.42)	0.040	1.70 (1.11-2.61)	0.020	1.57 (1.01-2.44)	0.050			
Digestive	1.15 (1.08-1.23)	< 0.001	1.17 (1.10-1.25)	< 0.001	1.13 (1.05-1.20)	< 0.001			
Stomach	1.21 (1.05-1.38)	0.010	1.22 (1.07-1.40)	0.000	1.20 (1.05-1.38)	0.010			
Colorectal	1.19 (1.01-1.39)	0.030	1.17 (1.00-1.37)	0.050	1.12 (0.95-1.31)	0.190			
Liver or intrahepatic	1.06 (0.93-1.20)	0.400	1.08 (0.96-1.23)	0.210	1.08 (0.94-1.23)	0.270			
Respiratory and intrathoracic	1.10 (1.00-1.20)	0.050	1.08 (0.98-1.18)	0.110	1.03 (0.94-1.13)	0.560			
Lung	1.09 (1.00-1.20)	0.060	1.07 (0.98-1.17)	0.150	1.03 (0.93-1.13)	0.590			
Female genital	1.52 (1.09-2.13)	0.010	1.49 (1.07-2.09)	0.020	1.47 (1.04-2.07)	0.030			
Cervical cancer	1.05 (0.58-1.90)	0.870	1.12 (0.62-2.03)	0.710	1.18 (0.64-2.15)	0.600			
Uterus & ovary	1.99 (1.31-3.04)	< 0.001	1.79 (1.17-2.73)	0.010	1.73 (1.11–2.71)	0.020			
Male genital	1.38 (1.12-1.71)	< 0.001	1.40 (1.13-1.73)	< 0.001	1.32 (1.06-1.64)	0.010			
Testis	0.60 (0.04-9.66)	0.720	1.09 (0.01-88.26)	0.970	NA				
Prostate	1.39 (1.13-1.73)	< 0.001	1.42 (1.14-1.75)	< 0.001	1.33 (1.07-1.66)	0.010			
Urinary tract	1.01 (0.81-1.27)	0.900	1.09 (0.87-1.36)	0.460	1.05 (0.83-1.32)	0.710			
Kidney	0.76 (0.51-1.13)	0.170	0.75 (0.50-1.11)	0.150	0.72 (0.48-1.08)	0.120			
Other urinary tract	1.21 (0.92-1.58)	0.180	1.28 (0.98-1.68)	0.080	1.22 (0.92-1.62)	0.170			
Hematopoietic	0.90 (0.75-1.09)	0.290	0.95 (0.79-1.15)	0.620	0.88 (0.73-1.07)	0.200			
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	1.06 (0.78-1.43)	0.720	1.11 (0.82-1.5)	0.500	1.02 (0.75-1.40)	0.890			
Hodgkin lymphoma	0.67 (0.20-2.22)	0.510	0.77 (0.20-3.04)	0.710	0.45 (0.07-2.93)	0.400			
Multiple myeloma	0.59 (0.41-0.86)	0.010	0.68 (0.47-0.99)	0.050	0.64 (0.43-0.95)	0.030			
Leukemia	0.70 (0.52-0.94)	0.020	0.78 (0.58-1.05)	0.110	0.72 (0.53–0.99)	0.040			
Thyroid	1.08 (0.65-1.79)	0.780	1.21 (0.72-2.02)	0.470	0.470 1.23 (0.72–2.10)				
Breast	1.64 (0.97-2.77)	0.070	1.57 (0.93-2.66)	0.090	0 1.48 (0.86–2.55)				

Three-year mortality after diagnosis of cancer was considered cancer-associated mortality. The reference group was the matched control group.

HR, hazard ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; NA, not analyzable.

<sup>a</sup>HRs and 95% CIs were obtained using a multivariable model that is adjusted for the matched variables (age, sex, low-income status and history of smoking).

<sup>b</sup>HRs and 95% CIs were obtained using the fully-adjusted model that included matched variables (age, sex, low-income status, smoking history) and unmatched characteristics (history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus and body mass index).

non-linear association reached significance for digestive malignancies. Additionally, stage 4/5 CKD without RRT was associated with significantly increased risk of cancerassociated mortality for malignancies of the male genital system (prostate) and urinary system (kidney) and for colorectal cancers.

Supplementary materials are presented online (available at https://doi.org/10.23876/j.krcp.18.0131).

## Discussion

Through this nationwide population-based study, we identified the type-specific cancer incidence in nearly half a million people with pre-dialysis CKD. When compared to the matched control group, the risk of urinary and hematopoietic system malignancies was higher in the pre-dialysis CKD population. Notable non-linear associations between CKD stage and the risk of several cancer types were observed. In addition, the presence of baseline CKD was associated with increased mortality after the development of malignancies in certain cancer categories.

The major strength of this study was the ability to assess cancer epidemiology and risk in one of the largest cohorts of individuals with pre-dialysis CKD confirmed by consecutive laboratory measurements. Increased risk of malignancies has been well established in patients with end-stage renal disease or after renal transplantation [5,7,10,20]. Several studies also suggested that the risk of cancer was elevated in pre-dialysis CKD patients, and lower eGFR values were reported to be related to a higher risk of cancer [11,13,14,20]. However, the limited numbers of people with confirmed kidney function impairment in these previous studies confined their interpretation [11–13,20]. Our study included the largest number of pre-dialysis CKD patients, and with this advantage we were able to report the cancer incidences of each malignancy category in pre-dialysis CKD. Therefore, these results could guide healthcare providers when evaluating malignancy risk in the growing number of individuals with pre-dialysis CKD.

Overall incidence of malignancies in the CKD population, which reached over 1,000/100,000 person-years, was much higher than reported incidences in the general population [21–23]. The type-specific incidences were also higher than the general population, and this might be related to the increased age of the CKD group. Therefore, clinicians should consider appropriate cancer screenings based on age in pre-dialysis CKD patients. In addition, considering the globally increasing number of individuals with CKD and the increasing age of the population, the importance of potential malignancy will continue to grow in people with renal function impairment [1].

Risk of cancer in pre-dialysis CKD patients, when compared to the matched control group, varied greatly by cancer types. As previous studies have reported, predialysis CKD patients exhibited a prominently higher risk of urinary system or hematopoietic malignancies [11,13]. Unexpectedly, individuals with kidney function impairment had relatively lowered risk of thyroid and digestive malignancies compared to matched controls. The risk of stomach cancer was also lower in all CKD stage groups compared to the controls, even after adjusting for multiple clinical variables. The risk of colorectal and thyroid malignancies was non-linearly associated with CKD stage. Specifically, individuals with "hyperfiltrative" (stage 1) or "advanced" (stage 4/5 without RRT) CKD demonstrated decreased risk of colorectal and thyroid malignancies. A similar non-linear association was also identified for several other cancer types, including liver, lung, and prostate cancers, with CKD stage 1 or 4/5 without RRT associating with a relatively lower risk of cancer. A non-linear association between renal function and adverse clinical outcomes has been reported in other studies but has not been reported previously for malignancy outcomes [24,25]. Both renal hyperfiltration and profound kidney dysfunction have been related to critically increased risk of mortality or cardiovascular events [24,25]. Additionally, the inverse relationship between the risk of cardiovascular and non-cardiovascular outcomes might exist in people with CKD [26–28]. The findings in this study could have been influenced by the creatinine-based calculation of eGFR, as a higher eGFR may be a result of low creatinine, which can be caused by other problems such as low muscle mass. This is partially supported by our results that stage 1 CKD associated with an increased risk of cancer-associated mortality, as cancer cachexia is an important prognostic factor that is independent from body mass index [29]. However, future studies should examine the mechanism of the non-linear association between renal function and risk of cancer.

The presence of baseline CKD was associated with worse prognosis for certain types of subsequently diagnosed cancers. Although we could not review the causespecific mortalities, this is not surprising given the limited cancer therapy options for individuals with impaired renal function and the increased likelihood of comorbidities [2,15,30]. Therefore, given the increasing number of CKD patients, an appropriate treatment strategy for this patient group would be important [31]. On the other hand, the prognoses for certain hematologic malignancies, including leukemia and multiple myeloma, were better in the CKD population. This may be due to a limitation of our study in that we did not discriminate the subtypes or stages of the studied malignancies. Also, information on cancer treatment was not included. Therefore, chronic leukemia or indolent course myeloma might have been included in these groups considering the older study population, making these results inconclusive. Additionally, this study could inherently contain selection bias, as only those who received multiple general health screenings were included. Individuals with illness and those who were already on follow-up with their attending hospitals would be less likely to receive the nationwide exam. Future studies that involve detailed collection of information, including cancer type, stage, and treatment, are necessary to fully investigate the association between renal dysfunction and cancer prognosis.

Several points need to be interpreted with caution in our study. First, although we reported the cancer incidences in one of the largest cohorts of pre-dialysis CKD, the study is a single-nation study. As cancer epidemiology varies among countries, these results may not be applicable to other countries [21–23]. Second, use of the national health screening program might be affected by the presence of CKD, and patients with serious illness would likely be on follow-up at the attending hospitals and not receive general health screening. Therefore, this could have resulted in selection bias. In addition, the likelihood of cancer screening may be different in CKD patients compared to the general population [32,33], which could have also introduced sample bias. This is particularly possible for malignancies such as thyroid cancer in which screening behavior affects its incidence [34]. Third, results regarding post-malignancy mortality are inconclusive, as detailed subtypes or stages of the cancer types were not available, follow-up duration was limited, and cancer-specific mortality data were not available in our study. Also, it remains unclear whether the defined duration of 3 years adequately reflects actual cancer-associated mortality. Lastly, our study could not provide an explanation for the lower risk of stomach cancer in pre-dialysis CKD patients when compared to the controls or the non-linear association between cancer risk of certain malignancy types and CKD stage. Our inability to provide these mechanisms renders this study descriptive, so further study in another large cohort with additional information to assess mechanism is necessary.

In conclusion, increased risk of hematologic and urinary system malignancies was observed in pre-dialysis CKD. The incidence of digestive and thyroid cancers was lower in individuals with pre-dialysis CKD than in the matched control group and the risk of certain cancer types showed a non-linear association with the stages of CKD. Taken together, healthcare providers should be aware of the diverse risk of various cancers in patients with pre-dialysis CKD.

## **Conflicts of interest**

All authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### Acknowledgments

The study used the database from the NHIS (No. 2018-1-135). This study was supported by a grant from the Korean Society of Nephrology (GAMBRO 2012).

#### References

- Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012;380:2095-2128.
- [2] Fried LF, Katz R, Sarnak MJ, et al. Kidney function as a predictor of noncardiovascular mortality. J Am Soc Nephrol 2005;16:3728-3735.
- [3] Jha V, Garcia-Garcia G, Iseki K, et al. Chronic kidney disease: global dimension and perspectives. *Lancet* 2013;382: 260-272.
- [4] Meguid El Nahas A, Bello AK. Chronic kidney disease: the global challenge. *Lancet* 2005;365:331-340.
- [5] Komaki Y, Komaki F, Micic D, Ido A, Sakuraba A. Risk of

colorectal cancer in chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2018;52:796-804.

- [6] Lin MY, Kuo MC, Hung CC, et al. Association of dialysis with the risks of cancers. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0122856.
- [7] Maisonneuve P, Agodoa L, Gellert R, et al. Cancer in patients on dialysis for end-stage renal disease: an international collaborative study. *Lancet* 1999;354:93-99.
- [8] Kasiske BL, Snyder JJ, Gilbertson DT, Wang C. Cancer after kidney transplantation in the United States. *Am J Transplant* 2004;4:905-913.
- [9] Webster AC, Craig JC, Simpson JM, Jones MP, Chapman JR. Identifying high risk groups and quantifying absolute risk of cancer after kidney transplantation: a cohort study of 15,183 recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2007;7:2140-2151.
- [10] Butler AM, Olshan AF, Kshirsagar AV, et al. Cancer incidence among US Medicare ESRD patients receiving hemodialysis, 1996-2009. Am J Kidney Dis 2015;65:763-772.
- [11] Mok Y, Matsushita K, Ballew SH, et al. Kidney function, proteinuria, and cancer incidence: The Korean Heart Study. Am J Kidney Dis 2017;70:512-521.
- [12] Weng PH, Hung KY, Huang HL, Chen JH, Sung PK, Huang KC. Cancer-specific mortality in chronic kidney disease: longitudinal follow-up of a large cohort. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011;6:1121-1128.
- [13] Wong G, Hayen A, Chapman JR, et al. Association of CKD and cancer risk in older people. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2009;20: 1341-1350.
- [14] Christensson A, Savage C, Sjoberg DD, et al. Association of cancer with moderately impaired renal function at baseline in a large, representative, population-based cohort followed for up to 30 years. *Int J Cancer* 2013;133:1452-1458.
- [15] Iff S, Craig JC, Turner R, et al. Reduced estimated GFR and cancer mortality. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2014;63:23-30.
- [16] Seong SC, Kim YY, Khang YH, et al. Data resource profile: the national health information database of the National Health Insurance Service in South Korea. *Int J Epidemiol* 2017;46:799-800.
- [17] Seong SC, Kim YY, Park SK, et al. Cohort profile: the National Health Insurance Service-National Health Screening Cohort (NHIS-HEALS) in Korea. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e016640.
- [18] Sundararajan V, Henderson T, Perry C, Muggivan A, Quan H, Ghali WA. New ICD-10 version of the Charlson comorbidity index predicted in-hospital mortality. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2004;57:1288-1294.
- [19] Hood ML. A narrative review of recent progress in under-

standing the relationship between tuberculosis and protein energy malnutrition. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2013;67:1122-1128.

- [20] Wong G, Staplin N, Emberson J, et al. Chronic kidney disease and the risk of cancer: an individual patient data meta-analysis of 32,057 participants from six prospective studies. *BMC Cancer* 2016;16:488.
- [21] Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration, Fitzmaurice C, Allen C, et al. Global, regional, and national cancer incidence, mortality, years of life lost, years lived with disability, and disability-adjusted life-years for 32 cancer groups, 1990 to 2015: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study. JAMA Oncol 2017;3:524-548.
- [22] Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin 2017;67:7-30.
- [23] Jung KW, Won YJ, Oh CM, Kong HJ, Lee DH, Lee KH; Community of Population-Based Regional Cancer Registries. Cancer statistics in Korea: incidence, mortality, survival, and prevalence in 2014. *Cancer Res Treat* 2017;49:292-305.
- [24] Park M, Yoon E, Lim YH, Kim H, Choi J, Yoon HJ. Renal hyperfiltration as a novel marker of all-cause mortality. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2015;26:1426-1433.
- [25] Reboldi G, Verdecchia P, Fiorucci G, et al. Glomerular hyperfiltration is a predictor of adverse cardiovascular outcomes. *Kidney Int* 2018;93:195-203.
- [26] Li M, Cima MJ, Milner DA Jr. If it's not one thing, it's another: an inverse relationship of malignancy and atherosclerotic disease. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0126855.
- [27] Rasmussen-Torvik LJ, Shay CM, Abramson JG, et al. Ideal cardiovascular health is inversely associated with incident cancer: the Atherosclerosis Risk In Communities study. *Circulation* 2013;127:1270-1275.
- [28] Razzolini R, Tarantini G, Ossena G, et al. Non-cardiovascular mortality, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and statins: a meta-regression analysis. *Cardiology* 2008;109: 110-116.
- [29] Martin L, Birdsell L, Macdonald N, et al. Cancer cachexia in the age of obesity: skeletal muscle depletion is a powerful prognostic factor, independent of body mass index. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:1539-1547.
- [30] Perazella MA. Onco-nephrology: renal toxicities of chemotherapeutic agents. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2012;7:1713-1721.
- [31] Izzedine H, Perazella MA. Onco-nephrology: an appraisal of the cancer and chronic kidney disease links. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2015;30:1979-1988.
- [32] James L, Wong G, Craig J, Howard K, Howell M, Tong A.

Nephrologists' perspectives on cancer screening in patients with chronic kidney disease: an interview study. Nephrology (Carlton) 2018 Apr 6 [Epub]. DOI: 10.1111/nep.13269.

[33] Wong G, Webster AC, Chapman JR, Craig JC. Reported cancer screening practices of nephrologists: results from a national survey. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2009;24:2136-2143.

[34] Ahn HS, Kim HJ, Welch HG. Korea's thyroid-cancer "epidemic"--screening and overdiagnosis. N Engl J Med 2014;371:1765-1767.

<tbody<tr>Body systemsOrgansICD-LO CodesOral cavity, lip, pharynxC00-14DigestiveC15-26StomachC16ColorectalC18-19Liver or intrahepaticC22Respiratory and intrathoracicC30-39LungC34Female genitalC51-58Cervical cancerC53Uterus &amp; ovaryC54-56Male genitalC60-63TestisC62ProstateC61Urinary tractC64-68KidneyC64HematopoieticC81-96</tbody<tr>	Pody systems	Organo	
Oral cavity, lip, pharynxC00-14DigestiveC15-26StomachC16ColorectalC18-19Liver or intrahepaticC22Respiratory and intrathoracicC30-39LungC34Female genitalC51-58Cervical cancerC53Uterus & ovaryC54-56Male genitalC60-63TestisC62ProstateC61Urinary tractC64-68KidneyC64Urinary tractC65-68HematopoieticC81-96		Organs	000 14
DigestiveC15-26StomachC16ColorectalC18-19Liver or intrahepaticC22Respiratory and intrathoracicC30-39LungC34Female genitalC51-58Cervical cancerC53Uterus & ovaryC54-56Male genitalC60-63TestisC62ProstateC61Urinary tractC64-68KidneyC64Urinary tractC65-68HematopoieticC81-96	Drai cavity, lip, priarynx		000-14
StomachC16ColorectalC18-19Liver or intrahepaticC22Respiratory and intrathoracicC30-39LungC34Female genitalC51-58Cervical cancerC53Uterus & ovaryC54-56Male genitalC60-63TestisC62ProstateC61Urinary tractC64-68KidneyC64Urinary tractC65-68HematopoieticC81-96	Digestive		015-26
ColorectalC18-19Liver or intrahepaticC22Respiratory and intrathoracicC30-39LungC34Female genitalC51-58Cervical cancerC53Uterus & ovaryC54-56Male genitalC60-63TestisC62ProstateC61Urinary tractC64-68KidneyC64Urinary tractC65-68HematopoieticC81-96		Stomach	C16
Liver or intrahepaticC22Respiratory and intrathoracicC30-39LungC34Female genitalC51-58Cervical cancerC53Uterus & ovaryC54-56Male genitalC60-63TestisC62ProstateC61Urinary tractC64-68KidneyC64Urinary tractC65-68HematopoieticC81-96		Colorectal	C18-19
Respiratory and intrathoracicC30-39LungC34Female genitalC51-58Cervical cancerC53Uterus & ovaryC54-56Male genitalC60-63TestisC62ProstateC61Urinary tractC64-68KidneyC64Urinary tractC65-68HematopoieticC81-96		Liver or intrahepatic	C22
LungC34Female genitalC51-58Cervical cancerC53Uterus & ovaryC54-56Male genitalC60-63TestisC62ProstateC61Urinary tractC64-68KidneyC64Urinary tractC65-68HematopoieticC81-96	Respiratory and intrathoracic		C30-39
Female genitalC51-58Cervical cancerC53Uterus & ovaryC54-56Male genitalC60-63TestisC62ProstateC61Urinary tractC64-68KidneyC64Urinary tractC65-68HematopoieticC81-96		Lung	C34
Cervical cancerC53Uterus & ovaryC54-56Male genitalC60-63TestisC62ProstateC61Urinary tractC64-68KidneyC64Urinary tractC65-68HematopoieticC81-96	Female genital		C51-58
Uterus & ovaryC54-56Male genitalC60-63TestisC62ProstateC61Urinary tractC64-68KidneyC64Urinary tractC65-68HematopoieticC81-96		Cervical cancer	C53
Male genitalC60-63TestisC62ProstateC61Urinary tractC64-68KidneyC64Urinary tractC65-68HematopoieticC81-96		Uterus & ovary	C54-56
TestisC62ProstateC61Urinary tractC64-68KidneyC64Urinary tractC65-68HematopoieticC81-96	Male genital		C60-63
Prostate C61 Urinary tract C64-68 Kidney C64 Urinary tract C65-68 Hematopoietic C81-96		Testis	C62
Urinary tract C64-68 Kidney C64 Urinary tract C65-68 Hematopoietic C81-96		Prostate	C61
Kidney C64 Urinary tract C65-68 Hematopoietic C81-96	Urinary tract		C64-68
Urinary tract C65-68 Hematopoietic C81-96		Kidney	C64
Hematopoietic C81-96		Urinary tract	C65-68
	Hematopoietic		C81-96
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma C82-86		Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	C82-86
Hodgkin lymphoma C81		Hodgkin lymphoma	C81
Multiple myeloma C92		Multiple myeloma	C92
Leukemia C91-95		Leukemia	C91-95
Other	Other		
Thyroid C73		Thyroid	C73
Breast C50		Breast	C50

#### Supplementary Method 1. ICD-10 diagnostic codes to determine the variables

Kidney disease codes: N03, N052, N053, N054, N055, N056, N072, N073, N074, N01, N18, N19, N25.

Cancer codes: Specific insurance codes that were issued by the National Health Insurance Service of Korea (V193) were reviewed to identify the confirmed malignancies. When the ICD-10 diagnostic codes were concomitantly applied for a patient multiple times, the patient was determined to have the corresponding site-specific cancer.

Charactoristic	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4 or 5 without	Pvaluo
	(n = 41,108)	(n = 59,403)	(n = 359,224)	RRT (n = 12,023)	r value
Matched variable					
Age (yr)	46 (37–55)	54 (44–62)	66 (60-72)	66 (56-72)	< 0.001
< 60	34,616 (84.2)	39,769 (66.9)	79,749 (22.2)	3,811 (31.7)	< 0.001
≥60	6,492 (15.8)	19,634 (33.1)	279,475 (77.8)	8,212 (68.3)	< 0.001
Sex, male	12,823 (31.2)	19,050 (32.1)	204,605 (57.0)	6,659 (55.4)	< 0.001
Smoking history					< 0.001
Non-smoker	19,130 (46.5)	29,852 (50.3)	256,785 (71.5)	8,520 (70.9)	
Ex-smoker	7,562 (18.4)	13,105 (22.1)	58,351 (16.2)	1,999 (16.6)	
Current-smoker	14,416 (35.1)	16,446 (27.7)	44,088 (12.3)	1,504 (12.5)	
Low income status	8,065 (19.6)	12,453 (21.0)	81,301 (22.6)	2,871 (23.9)	< 0.001
Unmatched variables					
Height (cm)	167 (160-173)	165 (159–171)	158 (152-165)	158 (151–165)	< 0.001
Weight (kg)	71 (61-81)	68 (60-76)	61 (54-68)	59 (51–67)	< 0.001
Body mass index (kg/m²)	25.6 (22.9-28.4)	25.0 (22.8–27.3)	24.2 (22.3–26.3)	23.5 (21.3–25.8)	< 0.001
Serum Cr (mg/dL)	0.8 (0.7-0.9)	1.0 (0.9-1.1)	1.2 (1.0-1.4)	2.4 (1.9–3)	< 0.001
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	104 (95–117)	75 (68–81)	52 (46–57)	24 (19–27)	< 0.001
Hypertension	20,668 (50.3)	35,853 (60.4)	238,053 (66.3)	10,359 (86.2)	< 0.001
Diabetes mellitus	14,584 (35.5)	22,204 (37.4)	102,121 (28.4)	4,792 (39.9)	< 0.001

# Supplementary Table 1. Baseline characteristics according to chronic kidney disease stages

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or number (%).

Cr, creatinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; RRT, renal replacement therapy.

	Universidad		Multivariable									
Neoplasm	Univariable		Model 1		Model 2							
	HR (95% CI)	Р	Adjusted HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Р	Adjusted HR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Р						
All neoplasms	1.031 (1.012-1.050)	0.001	1.033 (1.014-1.052)	< 0.001	1.009 (0.989-1.028)	0.3838						
Oral cavity, lip, pharynx	0.980 (0.816-1.177)	0.829	0.983 (0.819-1.180)	0.852	0.964 (0.797-1.165)	0.704						
Digestive	0.936 (0.911-0.961)	< 0.001	0.938 (0.912-0.963)	< 0.001	0.891 (0.866-0.917)	< 0.001						
Stomach	0.856 (0.820-0.894)	< 0.001	0.858 (0.821-0.896)	< 0.001	0.834 (0.798–0.873)	< 0.001						
Colorectal	0.988 (0.938-1.040)	0.641	0.989 (0.940-1.042)	0.686	0.931 (0.883-0.982)	0.009						
Liver or intrahepatic	1.013 (0.941-1.091)	0.726	1.017 (0.944-1.095)	0.663	0.898 (0.831-0.971)	0.007						
Respiratory and intrathoracic	1.014 (0.959-1.071)	0.634	1.016 (0.962-1.074)	0.566	0.969 (0.915-1.027)	0.289						
Lung	1.021 (0.963-1.082)	0.491	1.023 (0.966-1.084)	0.437	0.972 (0.915-1.032)	0.351						
Female genital	1.007 (0.905-1.121)	0.896	1.005 (0.902-1.118)	0.932	1.007 (0.902-1.125)	0.900						
Cervical cancer	1.136 (0.965-1.336)	0.125	1.134 (0.964-1.334)	0.130	1.150 (0.974-1.359)	0.100						
Uterus & ovary	0.919 (0.794-1.063)	0.256	0.916 (0.792-1.060)	0.239	0.910 (0.783-1.057)	0.218						
Male genital	1.035 (0.976-1.097)	0.257	1.041 (0.982-1.104)	0.178	1.055 (0.993-1.121)	0.086						
Testis	1.610 (0.731-3.548)	0.238	1.616 (0.733-3.561)	0.234	2.317 (1.028-5.227)	0.043						
Prostate	1.039 (0.980-1.103)	0.198	1.046 (0.986-1.110)	0.134	1.058 (0.995-1.125)	0.072						
Urinary system	2.037 (1.891-2.194)	< 0.001	2.042 (1.896-2.200)	< 0.001	1.969 (1.823–2.126)	< 0.001						
Kidney	2.525 (2.217-2.876)	< 0.001	2.529 (2.221-2.881)	< 0.001	2.411 (2.108-2.757)	< 0.001						
Other urinary tract	1.818 (1.661-1.990)	< 0.001	1.823 (1.665–1.996)	< 0.001	1.750 (1.593–1.922)	< 0.001						
Hematopoietic	1.463 (1.331-1.608)	< 0.001	1.466 (1.333-1.611)	< 0.001	1.525 (1.383-1.681)	< 0.001						
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	1.075 (0.931-1.241)	0.324	1.077 (0.933-1.243)	0.311	1.110 (0.957-1.287)	0.169						
Hodgkin lymphoma	2.128 (0.963-4.704)	0.062	2.135 (0.966-4.718)	0.061	1.961 (0.867-4.438)	0.106						
Multiple myeloma	1.468 (1.149-1.875)	0.002	1.471 (1.151-1.879)	0.002	1.497 (1.162-1.928)	0.002						
Leukemia	1.402 (1.159-1.695)	0.001	1.405 (1.162-1.700)	< 0.001	1.434 (1.179–1.746)	< 0.001						
Thyroid	0.904 (0.850-0.962)	0.001	0.903 (0.849-0.960)	0.001	0.877 (0.823–0.934)	< 0.001						
Breast	1.051 (0.966-1.143)	0.246	1.048 (0.964-1.140)	0.272	1.056 (0.968-1.151)	0.218						

# **Supplementary Table 2.** Cancer risk in the pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease population compared to that in the matched control group

HR, hazard ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

<sup>a</sup>HRs and 95% CIs were obtained using a multivariable model that was adjusted for the matched variables (age, sex, low-income status and history of smoking). <sup>b</sup>HRs and 95% CIs were obtained using the fully-adjusted model that included the matched variables (age, sex, low-income status, and smoking history) and unmatched characteristics (history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus and body mass index).

	S	tage 1	S	itage 2	S	itage 3	Stage 4/5 without RRT				
Neoplasm	(n =	41,108)	(n =	59,403)	(n =	359,224)	(n = 12,023)				
Neopiasin	Event (n)	Incidence rate (/100,000 PY)	Event (n)	Incidence rate (/100,000 PY)	Event (n)	Incidence rate (/100,000 PY)	Event (n)	Incidence rate (/100,000 PY)			
All neoplasms	1,120	641.64	2,248	898.48	19,099	1,079.86	504	851.66			
Oral cavity, lip, pharynx	10	5.73	25	9.99	189	10.69	3	5.07			
Digestive	497	284.73	1,049	419.26	8,256	466.80	226	381.90			
Stomach	170	97.39	375	149.88	3,139	177.48	83	140.25			
Colorectal	117	67.03	291	116.31	2,388	135.02	68	114.91			
Liver or intrahepatic	87	49.84	198	79.14	1,082	61.18	30	50.69			
Respiratory and intrathoracic	85	48.70	228	91.13	2,144	121.22	54	91.25			
Lung	77	44.11	212	84.73	1,958	110.71	48	81.11			
Female genital	30	17.19	48	19.19	574	32.45	16	27.04			
Cervical cancer	15	8.59	20	7.99	268	15.15	7	11.83			
Uterus & ovary	15	8.59	26	10.39	297	16.79	7	11.83			
Male genital	58	33.23	176	70.34	1,983	112.12	43	72.66			
Testis	1	0.57	2	0.80	12	0.68	1	1.69			
Prostate	57	32.66	175	69.94	1,968	111.27	42	70.97			
Urinary tract	84	48.12	198	79.14	1,781	100.70	45	76.04			
Kidney	40	22.92	64	25.58	674	38.11	19	32.11			
Other urinary tract	44	25.21	134	53.56	1,112	62.87	27	45.63			
Hematopoietic	76	43.54	135	53.96	808	45.69	32	54.07			
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	22	12.60	41	16.39	318	17.98	5	8.45			
Hodgkin lymphoma	2	1.15	1	0.40	16	0.90	0	0			
Multiple myeloma	15	8.59	14	5.60	124	7.01	4	6.76			
Leukemia	18	10.31	26	10.39	206	11.65	4	6.76			
Thyroid	182	104.27	233	93.13	1,488	84.13	32	54.07			
Breast	51	29.22	81	32.37	957	54.11	27	45.63			

# Supplementary Table 3. Cancer incidences according to chronic kidney disease stage

PY, person-year; RRT, renal replacement therapy.

	•	•						
Moonlocm	Stage 1		Stage 2		Stage 3		Stage 4 or 5 withou	RRT
IIICOIDON	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Р	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Ρ	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Ρ	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Ρ
All neoplasms	0.997 (0.937-1.061)	0.930	1.081 (1.034–1.130)	< 0.001	1.008 (0.988-1.029)	0.418	0.788 (0.721-0.862)	< 0.001
Oral cavity, lip, pharynx	0.781 (0.407–1.501)	0.459	1.060 (0.692-1.623)	0.790	0.980 (0.804-1.195)	0.844	0.449 (0.143–1.407)	0.169
Digestive	0.908 (0.828-0.995)	0.040	0.997 (0.934-1.064)	0.931	0.885 (0.859-0.911)	< 0.001	0.708 (0.620-0.808)	< 0.001
Stomach	0.747 (0.639–0.874)	< 0.001	0.859 (0.771–0.957)	0.006	0.842 (0.803-0.883)	< 0.001	0.657 (0.528-0.817)	< 0.001
Colorectal	0.794 (0.657–0.959)	0.017	1.035 (0.915-1.172)	0.584	0.933 (0.882–0.986)	0.014	0.782 (0.614-0.995)	0.046
Liver or intrahepatic	1.041 (0.832–1.304)	0.724	1.257 (1.077-1.468)	0.004	0.856 (0.788-0.929)	< 0.001	0.659 (0.458—0.948)	0.025
Respiratory and intrathoracic	0.705 (0.566-0.878)	0.002	0.961 (0.837-1.105)	0.579	0.993 (0.935-1.054)	0.817	0.710 (0.541-0.931)	0.013
Lung	0.715 (0.567-0.900)	0.004	0.996 (0.862-1.150)	0.955	0.992 (0.932-1.056)	0.803	0.690 (0.517-0.919)	0.011
Female genital	1.020 (0.697–1.492)	0.921	1.038 (0.768–1.402)	0.808	1.008 (0.899-1.130)	0.895	0.886 (0.538-1.459)	0.634
Cervical cancer	1.387 (0.806–2.386)	0.238	1.126 (0.707–1.793)	0.001	1.147 (0.965-1.363)	0.120	0.951 (0.447–2.023)	0.895
Uterus & ovary	0.770 (0.451–1.317)	0.340	0.904 (0.601-1.361)	0.790	0.925 (0.791-1.082)	0.330	0.679 (0.320–1.440)	0.313
Male genital	0.705 (0.54-0.921)	0.010	0.880 (0.752-1.030)	0.110	1.098 (1.031–1.169)	0.003	0.699 (0.516-0.947)	0.021
Testis	1.336 (0.153–11.67)	0.794	2.084 (0.432–10.046)	0.360	2.376 (1.000–5.647)	0.050	8.560 (1.037-70.687)	0.046
Prostate	0.708 (0.541-0.926)	0.012	0.887 (0.758-1.039)	0.138	1.101 (1.034–1.172)	0.003	0.689 (0.507–0.936)	0.017
Urinary tract	1.366 (1.087–1.718)	0.008	1.766 (1.510–2.065)	< 0.001	2.046 (1.891–2.214)	< 0.001	1.538 (1.139–2.078)	0.005
Kidney	1.446 (1.021–2.049)	0.038	1.569 (1.188–2.072)	0.002	2.626 (2.289–3.013)	< 0.001	2.195 (1.376–3.501)	0.001
Other urinary tract	1.115 (0.817-1.520)	0.492	1.753 (1.450–2.119)	< 0.001	1.802 (1.637–1.985)	< 0.001	1.287 (0.874–1.894)	0.201
Hematopoietic	2.482 (1.933–3.186)	< 0.001	2.358 (1.947–2.856)	< 0.001	1.393 (1.257–1.544)	< 0.001	1.716 (1.201–2.454)	0.003
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	1.293 (0.825–2.026)	0.262	1.337 (0.957-1.867)	0.088	1.093 (0.936-1.277)	0.262	0.535 (0.221-1.299)	0.167
Hodgkin lymphoma	4.477 (0.853–23.491)	0.076	1.136 (0.138–9.361)	0.906	1.974 (0.854-4.564)	0.112	NA	NA
Multiple myeloma	2.824 (1.569–5.084)	0.001	1.508 (0.848-2.682)	0.162	1.420 (1.089–1.851)	0.010	1.456 (0.532–3.980)	0.464
Leukemia	2.211 (1.324–3.692)	0.002	1.726 (1.128–2.641)	0.012	1.382 (1.126–1.696)	0.002	0.835 (0.309–2.259)	0.723
Thyroid	0.846 (0.720–0.993)	0.041	0.888 (0.772–1.022)	0.097	0.889 (0.830-0.952)	< 0.001	0.547 (0.385–0.777)	< 0.001
Breast	0.757 (0.565-1.014)	0.062	0.881 (0.699–1.111)	0.285	1.099 (1.004–1.202)	0.040	0.962 (0.655-1.414)	0.844
Uazard ratios (UD) and QE% confider	or intervals (OE% CI) ware atta	inod from the	a fully adjucted model that inc	or of the	tobod wariables (ado sov lou	toto otot	ue and emolying history) and	podotomon

Supplementary Table 4. Cancer risk according to chronic kidney disease stages compared to the matched control group

Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% Cl) were obtained from the fully-adjusted model that included the matched variables (age, sex, low-income status, and smoking history) and unmatched characteristics (history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus and body mass index). Reference group was the matched control group.

NA, not analyzable due to limited number of events; RRT, renal replacement therapy.

	t RRT	Ρ	0.005	0.217	0.022	0.137	0.002	0.157	0.428	0.512	0.817	NA	NA	0.018	NA	0.016	0.034	0.029	0.458	0.818	0.986	NA	0.947	0.946	0.402	0.249
	Stage 4 or 5 without	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.317 (1.087–1.595)	3.564 (0.474–26.792)	1.379(1.048 - 1.815)	1.549 (0.870–2.758)	2.245 (1.350–3.735)	1.473 (0.862–2.517)	1.176 (0.787–1.757)	1.150 (0.757–1.748)	0.792 (0.109–5.734)	NA	NA	2.207 (1.148-4.243)	NA	2.227 (1.158-4.282)	1.895(1.051 - 3.419)	2.483 (1.096–5.625)	1.379 (0.590–3.221)	1.072 (0.593–1.936)	1.013 (0.244-4.199)	NA	0.952 (0.227-4.001)	1.050 (0.254-4.340)	2.366 (0.316–17.707)	2.411 (0.540-10.774)
		Ρ	0.023	0.074	0.006	0.014	0.251	0.460	0.826	0.726	0.067	0.814	0.024	0.012	NA	0.009	0.969	0.042	0.223	0.178	0.893	0.277	0.020	0.021	0.569	0.294
<b>b</b>	Stage 3	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.059 (1.008–1.113)	1.512 (0.961–2.380)	1.102(1.028 - 1.181)	1.196 (1.037–1.380)	1.103 (0.933–1.303)	1.053 (0.918-1.209)	1.011 (0.918 - 1.114)	1.018 (0.922-1.123)	1.390 (0.977–1.979)	1.078 (0.577-2.013)	1.688 (1.073–2.657)	1.329 (1.066–1.659)	NA	1.344 (1.076–1.679)	0.995 (0.786–1.261)	0.648 (0.426-0.985)	1.195 (0.897–1.591)	0.871 (0.713-1.065)	1.022(0.741 - 1.411)	0.278 (0.028–2.791)	0.617 (0.411–0.927)	0.684 (0.496–0.943)	1.174 (0.677–2.036)	1.350 (0.771–2.363)
•		Ρ	< 0.001	0.155	0.014	0.388	0.457	0.097	0.283	0.634	0.045	0.500	0.018	0.101	NA	0.108	0.292	0.864	0.153	0.544	0.874	NA	0.310	0.719	0.595	0.028
<b>b</b>	Stage 2	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.249 (1.112–1.403)	2.205 (0.742-6.557)	1.224 (1.042-1.438)	1.175 (0.815-1.695)	0.842 (0.535–1.325)	1.254 (0.960–1.639)	1.133 (0.902–1.424)	1.058 (0.838-1.337)	2.589 (1.021–6.562)	2.016 (0.263-15.436)	3.649 (1.245–10.693)	0.382 (0.121–1.208)	NA	0.390 (0.123–1.231)	1.295 (0.800–2.096)	0.912 (0.316–2.632)	1.490 (0.863–2.573)	0.881 (0.585–1.327)	1.062 (0.506–2.230)	NA	0.477 (0.114–1.993)	1.148 (0.542–2.433)	1.492 (0.341–6.526)	4.021 (1.165–13.877)
		Ρ	< 0.001	0.498	0.003	0.415	0.216	0.627	0.154	0.336	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.086	0.273	NA	0.256	0.206	0.176	0.781	0.800	0.898	0.961	0.590	0.931	0.377	0.242
	Stage 1	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.454 (1.210–1.748)	1.693 (0.369–7.759)	1.465(1.140 - 1.883)	1.323 (0.675–2.591)	1.533 (0.779–3.016)	0.884 (0.538–1.453)	1.344 (0.895–2.019)	1.226 (0.810–1.856)	5.997 (2.075–17.338)	22.589 (3.976–128.338)	3.604 (0.836-15.540)	1.753 (0.643-4.778)	NA	1.788 (0.656-4.875)	1.654 (0.759–3.603)	2.086 (0.718–6.057)	1.181 (0.367–3.797)	0.926 (0.510–1.680)	0.909 (0.210–3.940)	0.910 (0.021–38.601)	1.322 (0.479–3.652)	1.043 (0.398–2.739)	2.535 (0.323–19.904)	3.402 (0.437–26.463)
	Machac		All neoplasms	Oral cavity-lip-pharynx	Digestive	Stomach	Colorectal	Liver or intrahepatic	Respiratory and intrathoracic	Lung	Female genital	Cervical cancer	Uterus & ovary	Male genital	Testis	Prostate	Urinary system	Kidney	Other urinary tract	Hematopoietic	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	Hodgkin lymphoma	Multiple myeloma	Leukemia	Thyroid	Breast

Supplementary Table 5. The 3-year mortality risk after cancer diagnosis for each chronic kidney disease stage

in in the second s <u>\_\_\_</u>0 (agc, Instant rates (Thr) and 90% commence merivals (90% of are not the runy-autosed model mounting the matcher (history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus and body mass index). Reference group was the matched control group. NA, not analyzable due to limited number of events; RRT, renal replacement therapy. Haza