# scientific reports



# **OPEN Author Correction: Synergistic** efficacy of colistin and silver nanoparticles impregnated human amniotic membrane in a burn wound infected rat model

Published online: 13 September 2022

Nadia Wali, Aroosh Shabbir, Nadia Wajid, Nasir Abbas & Syed Zeeshan Haider Naqvi

Correction to: Scientific Reports https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-10314-9, published online 19 April 2022

The original version of this Article contained errors in Tables 2 and 3. Under the heading 'Resistant', the values in the column '%age' were a duplicate of the values in the column 'proportion'. The correct and incorrect values appear below.

#### Incorrect Table 2:

	Resistant
Antimicrobials	%age
Colistin	0.00
Meropenem	0.50
Gentamicin	0.54
Amikacin	0.55
Cefoperazone-sulbactam	0.57
Imipenem	0.53
Piperacillin-tazobactam	0.57
Levofloxacin	0.77
Ciprofloxacin	0.72
Ampicillin-sulbactam	0.85
Cefepime	0.71
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	0.57
Ceftazidime	0.62

#### Correct Table 2:

	Resistant
Antimicrobials	%age
Colistin	0
Meropenem	50
Gentamicin	54
Amikacin	55
Cefoperazone-sulbactam	57
Imipenem	53
Piperacillin-tazobactam	57
Levofloxacin	77
Continued	

	Resistant
Antimicrobials	%age
Ciprofloxacin	72
Ampicillin-sulbactam	85
Cefepime	71
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	57
Ceftazidime	62

## Incorrect Table 3:

	Resistant
Antimicrobials	%age
Colistin	0.00
Amikacin	0.52
Gentamicin	0.68
Cefoperazone-sulbactam	0.68
Meropenem	0.70
Imipenem	0.72
Piperacillin-tazobactam	0.76
Levofloxacin	0.82
Ciprofloxacin	0.82
Ampicillin-sulbactam	0.85
Cefepime	0.86
Aztreonam	0.92
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	0.96

## Correct Table 3:

	Resistant
Antimicrobials	%age
Colistin	0
Amikacin	52
Gentamicin	68
Cefoperazone-sulbactam	68
Meropenem	70
Imipenem	72
Piperacillin-tazobactam	76
Levofloxacin	82
Ciprofloxacin	82
Ampicillin-sulbactam	85
Cefepime	86
Aztreonam	92
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	96

Additionally, in Table 4, under 'P aeruginosa', 'Colistin-dHAM (%age)', the values for 'aComparison between and within groups (7th day), p value = 0.000\*' and 'Comparison between and within groups (14th day), p value = 0.012\*' were interchanged. The correct and incorrect values appear below.

#### Incorrect Table 4:

		Treatment groups (zones of inhibition in mm)
Days	Statistical measures	Colistin-dHAM (%age)
P. aeruginosa		
<sup>a</sup> Comparison between and within groups (7th day), <i>p</i> value = 0.000*		
7th day	Mean ± SD b(p values)	36.0 ± 6.1 (p: 0.001*)
Comparison between and within groups (14th day), p value = 0.012*		
14th day	Mean ± SD (p values)	32.3 ± 11.0 (p: 0.014*)

### Correct Table 4:

		Treatment groups (zones of inhibition in mm)
Days	Statistical measures	Colistin-dHAM (%age)
P. aeruginosa		
<sup>a</sup> Comparison between and within groups (7th day), <i>p</i> value = 0.000*		
80 pt	Mean ± SD b(p values)	32.3 ± 11.0 (p: 0.014*)
Comparison between and within groups (14th day), p value = 0.012*		
14th day	Mean ± SD (p values)	36.0 ± 6.1 (p: 0.001*)

The original Article has been corrected.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>.

© The Author(s) 2022