## Investigation of spatial pattern of apnea disorder using geographic information system (GIS)-case study of Kermanshah metropolis

Journal of Public Health Research 2023, Vol. 12(2), 1–10 © The Author(s) 2023 DOI: 10.1177/22799036231181175 journals.sagepub.com/home/phj



Habibolah Khazaie<sup>1</sup>, Alireza Zanganeh<sup>2</sup>, Arash Ziapour<sup>3</sup>, Raziyeh Teimouri<sup>4</sup>, Shahram Saeidi<sup>2</sup>, Ali Zakiei<sup>1</sup>, Saeed Salimi<sup>5</sup>, Shahrzad Moghadam<sup>6</sup>, Reza Pourmirza Kalhori<sup>7</sup>, Mehdi Khezeli<sup>2</sup> and Naser Farahmandmoghadam<sup>8</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** Apnea disorder is influenced by social and environmental factors. By assessing its hot spots and geography, the foci of this disorder and its at-risk groups can be identified for health interventions. This study was conducted to investigate the spatial pattern of apnea disorder using GIS in the Kermanshah metropolis.

**Methods:** In cross-sectional study was conducted in kermanshah, the statistical population were 119 people (73.95% male and 26.05% female) of Kermanshah residents, which referred to the sleep center from 2012 to 2018 due to apnea disorder. Information was collected from the records of patients referred to the Sleep Disorder Center of Farabi Hospital, which is the only service center in the west part of Iran. The statistical tests were the mean center, standard distance, Getis-Ord Gi \* index, nearest neighbor index, and kernel density estimation test in GIS software.

**Results:** The spatial pattern of patients with apnea disorder has cluster formation in the Kermanshah metropolis. The age group of 50–54 had more apnea disorder than other age groups. In this age group, women were more prone to apnea than men. In terms of education, people with high education are more affected by this disorder; So that with the increase in education level, apnea disorder had also increased. Also, the findings showed that the disorder was more common in unemployed, married, overweight people with BMI (25–30), and obese people (30–40).

**Conclusion:** The spatial pattern of patients with apnea disorder was clustered and does not correspond to the high population density centers located in the marginal and slum areas of the city. These can be used by stakeholders, including governmental organizations and health authorities at the national-regional level.

## **Corresponding author:**

Alireza Zanganeh, Social Development and Health Promotion Research Center, Health Institute, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah 6715847141, Iran.

Email: ali.zangeneh88@gmail.com



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine Sleep Disorders Research Center, Research Institute for Health, Farabi Hospital, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Social Development and Health Promotion Research Center, Health Institute, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran
<sup>3</sup>Cardiovascular Research Center, Health Institute, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>UniSA Creative, University of South Australia, Adelaide, SA, Australia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>School of Medicine Sleep Disorders Research Center, Research Institute for Health, Farabi Hospital, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Geography and Urban Planning, University of Zanjan, Zanjan, Iran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Department of Medical Emergencies, School of Paramedical, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Clinical Research Development Center, Imam Khomeini and Mohammad Kermanshahi Hospitals, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

## **Keywords**

Sleep disorders, apnea, public health, gender, spatial pattern

Date received: 19 May 2022; accepted: 21 May 2023

## Significance for public health

Sleeping has long been considered an important factor in human health. Inadequate sleep is increasingly recognized as an important public health issue. GIS has been a good tool that helps us to describe and improve our understanding of disease distributions. This work is created a paradigm of next studies and used by stakeholders, including governmental organizations and health authorities at the national-regional level. The age group of 50–54 had more apnea disorder than other age groups. In this age group, women were more prone to apnea than men. the disorder was more common in unemployed, married, overweight people with BMI (25–30), and obese people (30–40). The spatial pattern of patients with apnea disorder was clustered and does not correspond to the high population density centers located in the marginal and slum areas of the city.

## Introduction

Sleeping has long been considered an important factor in human health.<sup>1</sup> Sleeping is an important physiological process, the experience of which has been different in individuals, in a way that has different effects on the health and well-being of individuals.<sup>2</sup> Disruption of the sleep-wake cycle has affected other physiological functions of the body such as loss of appetite, feeling tired, lack of concentration, exacerbation of diseases, and physical problems.<sup>3</sup> Sleep disorders can manifest as poor sleeping and insomnia; poor sleeping is a disorder of sleeping, including difficulty in falling asleep, and staying asleep, intermittent waking up, waking up too early in the morning, or a combination of these problems.<sup>4</sup> Insomnia also includes excessive sleep, insufficient sleep, poor quality sleep, difficulty falling asleep, frequent awakenings, and respiratory problems during sleep.5

The results of studies indicate the prevalence of sleep disorders among the general population.<sup>6</sup> Thus, between 30–45% of the world's population suffer from insomnia and poor sleeping.<sup>7</sup> Inadequate sleep is increasingly recognized as an important public health issue.<sup>8</sup> Population-based studies have shown that insufficient sleep may cause an increased risk of various diseases for many people.<sup>9,10</sup> Lack of early diagnosis can lead to a range of serious complications, including pulmonary and systemic hypertension, cardiovascular disease, stroke, cognitive impairment, and psychiatric symptoms such as irritability, depression, and anxiety.<sup>11,12</sup> The results of other studies have shown that to solve these problems, it is necessary to examine the social and environmental factors caused by lack of sleep.<sup>13,14</sup>

Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA) has been a usual sleeprelated breathing disorder. OSA syndrome was not a lifethreatening condition in itself, but it can result in severe problems such as cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. The disease can affect the quality of life.<sup>1</sup> In this regard, physical and social environmental features may lead to alterations in the timing, duration, and quality of sleep and contribute to the most prevalent sleep disorders: insomnia, sleep apnea, and circadian rhythm disorders.<sup>15</sup>

On the other hand, as stated in other studies, the determinants of inadequate sleep have been many social and environmental factors.<sup>16</sup> Numerous studies have examined the effects of the social environment on sleep.<sup>17,18</sup> One of the factors that are less considered in this regard is the geographical location. The results of other studies indicate that insufficient sleep has been an important health risk factor, but very few studies have been done on its geographical distribution. The geographical assessment of sleep disorder focus centers can identify new groups at risk and thus pave the way for the intervention of public health policymakers. As the results of other studies have shown, the determinants of the geographical diversity of sleep disorders should be considered, which can be useful for the development of public health campaigns.<sup>16</sup> Urban populations with lower socio-economic status are especially vulnerable to stressors such as: noise and air pollution. these results confirm for our study location that populations on the lower end of the socio-economic spectrum and minorities are disproportionately exposed to traffic and air pollution and at higher risk for adverse health outcomes. Scientific evidence indicates that there is a significant relationship between exposure to both air and noise pollution problem and socio-economic status, largely associated with resident location in geographical areas, with road traffic the major source. Other urban areas/environmental pollution stressors can contribute/exacerbate health impacts in low socio-economic status groups, including lifestyle factors and occupational exposure, and vulnerable individuals within low socio-economic status groups exposed to air and noise pollution are be able to experience increasing health impacts. There is some scientific evidence to suggest that there is an environmental injustice socio-economic issue regarding emissions generation, but little evidence regarding the ability of more wealthy individuals to avoid pollution, except with regard to choice over resident relocation.14

Advances in geographic statistical methods and geographic information systems (GIS) has provided powerful tools that help us to describe and improve our understanding of geographic distributions.<sup>19</sup> For many reasons, GIS has been a potentially powerful resource for community health, including their ability to integrate data from a variety of sources to generate new information and inherent visualization (mapping) applications. It can have durable effects on people's lives by solving problems creatively.<sup>20,21</sup> These findings will help to focus on public health and guide how to use limited resources to diagnose the consequences of sleep disorders about geography.<sup>16</sup> In this regard, the results of other studies have emphasized the use of GIS to investigate OSA disorder.<sup>22</sup>

Studies in the United States have shown that certain areas in a state are prone to sleep problems. Studies on the correlation between neighborhood characteristics and sleep have also shown that geographical patterns are formed locally. Even, no information was available recently to address the question of what pattern of insufficient sleep follows locally.<sup>16</sup>

On the other hand, sleep apnea syndrome is a relatively common sleep-related disorder with a high prevalence characterized by recurrent episodes of partial or complete upper airway obstruction during sleep.<sup>23</sup> Obstructive sleep apnea and insomnia are both the most common types of sleep disorders in the communities. These disorders cause detrimental effects on a person's health and work performance.<sup>24</sup> Inadequate sleep quality can have serious consequences for the community's health. This can lead to poor function in school or daily work, increase the risk of vehicle traffic or occupational accidents, increase medical and psychological costs, and ultimately reduce people's quality of life.<sup>25</sup> Therefore, identifying its centers and estimating the prevalence and distribution in the general population in the metropolis of Kermanshah that unequal economic, social and cultural opportunities have led to differences between its inhabitants,<sup>26</sup> and the burden of disease<sup>27</sup> and deaths by traffic accidents<sup>21</sup> is also have been increasing, can provide important information for general and mental health.

## **Materials and methods**

#### Study area

In cross-sectional study was conducted in Kermanshah. The statistical population of the study in this study was 119 (88 people, 73.95%) male and 31 people (26.05%) female) residents of Kermanshah who were referred to the sleep center from 2012 to 2018 due to apnea disorder. Information from the records of patients referred to the Sleep Disorder Center of Farabi Hospital, which is the only service center in the west of Iran, was gathered. The statistical tests were the mean center, standard distance, Getis-Ord Gi \* index, nearest neighbor index, and kernel density estimation test in GIS software.

## Measures

Due to the spatial and geographical nature of this study, GIS was used to determine the spatial distribution of apnea disorder. At first, documents of people with apnea disorder were evaluated. In the next step, the documents related to each patient were studied and information was extracted, which was stored in the Arc/GIS10.6 software environment as a database. After creating the database in Arc/ Catalog environment, the considered layers were created, and the information related to each patient was digitized in Arc/Map software. Finally, by testing, the spatial pattern was analyzed, and the output was mapped to a better understanding of this disordered pattern. The tests used in Arc/GIS10.6 included the following:

Determining the spatial pattern: Given unaware of the type of pattern that the data sets follow, spatial autocorrelation coefficients are often suitable for studying spatial patterns (35,34). In this study, the spatial patterns of apnea disorder in the Kermanshah metropolis were evaluated using the statistical parameters of the mean center, standard distance, Getis-Ord Gi \* index, nearest neighbor index, and kernel density estimation test.

## Mean center

The mean center is the average longitude and latitude coordinates of all features in the study area, which is calculated to follow the changes in the distribution and spatial distribution of features and to compare them as well. The mean center is calculated by the following equation<sup>28,29</sup>:

$$\mathbf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{Xi}{N} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{Yi}{N}$$

Where  $x_i$  and  $Y_i$  are the coordinates of complication, i, and n equal to the total number of features and complications.<sup>28,29</sup>

## Standard distance

The standard distance is a way to measure the concentration or scattering of geographic features around the center. Which can be calculated by the following formula.<sup>28,29</sup>

$$SD = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - x)^{-2}}{n}} + \frac{\sum (y_i - y)^{-2}}{n}$$

Getis-Ord Gi<sup>\*</sup> index: The statistical equation for calculating  $Gi^*$  is as follows:

$$\operatorname{Gi}^{*}(d) = \frac{\sum j W_{ij}(d) X_{j} - W_{i}^{*} \overline{X}^{*}}{s^{*} \left\{ \left[ \left( nS^{*}1i \right) - W_{i}^{*2} \right] / (n-1) \right\}^{1/2}}$$

 $W_{ij}$  is a spatial weight vector with values for all *j* cells in the distance of d from the I cell.  $W_i^*$  is the sum of the weights of  $S^*1i$ , the sum of the squares of the weights and  $s^*$  the standard deviation of the data in the cells.<sup>28,29</sup>

## Average nearest neighbor

The nearest neighbor index is based on measuring the distance of every land uses to the nearest neighbor and is used to determine the convergence and divergence of different types of land use. The purpose of this type of analysis is to determine whether the distribution of points is random or not, as well as the type of distribution pattern.<sup>28</sup> In the nearest neighbor index method, the distance from each land use to its closest neighbors is calculated based on the average distance. The nearest neighbor index is expressed as the ratio of the average observed distance to the expected distance. The expected distance in this method is obtained as a result of Z quantity analysis. So that if this value is between 1.96 to 1.96, there is no significant difference between the observed distribution and the random distribution; otherwise, the distribution will be clustered or monotone.

The nearest neighbor index is obtained from the following relation:

$$ANN = \frac{\overline{D}_o}{\overline{D}_E}$$

So that  $D_o$  the average distance between each indicator to the nearest neighbor is obtained from the following relation:

$$D_o = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{-n} di}{n}$$

The expected average distance for the index obtained is a random pattern:

The equation  $D_E$  is equal to the distance between index *i* and its nearest neighbor, *n* is equal to the total number of indicators, and is equal to the total study area.<sup>28,29</sup>

**Kernel density estimation test** The kernel density estimation test is also used to determine the foci of apnea disorder and population density.

## Results

In this study, 119 referred people from Kermanshah had apnea disorder, of which 73.95% were male and 26.05% were female. The mean age of patients with apnea was  $13.83 \pm 46.28$ , the mean age of women and men was

 $13.73\pm50.41$ , and  $13.56\pm44.82$  respectively. The age group of 50–54 were more affected than the other age group and women of this age group had more apnea disorder than men. Educated people were more affected and the disorder showed increasing trends with the increase of educational level. The finding further showed that the disorder was more prevalent in the unemployed, married, overweight and obese group, (Table 1).

# Investigation of the spatial pattern of apnea disorder

Findings of the study showed that the mean center of apnea disorder in Kermanshah metropolis was consistent with the geographical center of the city and its standard distance was the most concentrated in the central, western and northern parts of the city. The standard distance in men tended to the north, east, and northeast; But in women, it was more focused on the center and northwest (Figure 1).

The results of the nearest neighbor index regarding the study of the spatial pattern of apnea disorder showed that the nearest neighbor index was 0.66 and the value was Z=-7.02, which indicates the cluster formation of apnea disorder in the Kermanshah metropolis. Also, the calculated values about the spatial distribution of apnea disorder for Gi \* Getis-Ord were statistically significant and indicated the formation of clusters of this disorder (Figure 2).

Findings from kernel density estimation test to identify foci of apnea disorder showed that three centers were formed in Kermanshah metropolis, which two were hot spots in which the concentration of apnea disorder was observed more than other parts of the city. The following are the characteristics of hot and cold foci of apnea disorder:

- In focal and non-focal areas, the prevalence of the disorder was higher in men than in women.
- People with higher education were more likely to have apnea. The situation was similar inside and outside the centers.
- Apnea disorder was more common among the unemployed than the employed.
- Married people are more likely to develop apnea disorder.
- Apnea disorder was more common in people with overweight body mass index (25–30) and obese (30–40)

## Discussion

Inadequate sleep is one of the most important health risk factors; However, concerning its geographical distribution, few studies have been conducted in some developed countries. According to the authors' reviews by the time of this study; In Iran, a study was not found that examined the

Variable $n$ % $n$ % $n$ %           Age group         10–14         1         1.14         0         0         1         0.85           15–19         2         2.28         0         0         2         1.68           20–24         4         4.54         0         0         4         3.36           25–29         7         7.96         2         6.45         9         7.56           30–34         10         11.36         2         6.45         12         10.08           35–39         4         4.54         0         0         4         3.36           40–44         10         11.36         2         6.45         12         10.08           35–59         4         4.54         5         16.13         18         15.12           50–54         18         20.45         9         29.03         27         22.69           55–59         4         4.54         5         16.13         9         7.56           60–64         11         1.250         3         9.68         14         11.77           5			Male		Female		Total		
Age group $10-14$ 1 $1.14$ 0010.85 $15-19$ 22.280021.68 $20-24$ 44.540043.36 $25-29$ 77.9626.4597.56 $30-34$ 1011.3626.451210.08 $35-39$ 44.540043.36 $40-44$ 1011.3626.451210.08 $45-49$ 1314.77516.131815.12 $50-54$ 1820.45929.032722.69 $55-59$ 44.54516.1397.56 $60-64$ 1112.5039.681411.77 $65-69$ 22.2813.2232.52 $70-74$ 11.140010.85Total8810031100119100EducationIlliteracy89.10619.361411.77Low2123.86825.82924.37Mediocre2629.541032.263630.25High3337.50722.584033.61JobUnemployed6169.312993.559075.63Employed2730.6926.452924.37Marital statusSingle2629.54	Variable		n	%	n	%	n	%	
IS-IP         2         2.28         0         0         2         1.68           20-24         4         4.54         0         0         4         3.36           25-29         7         7.96         2         6.45         9         7.56           30-34         10         11.36         2         6.45         12         10.08           35-39         4         4.54         0         0         4         3.36           40-44         10         11.36         2         6.45         12         10.08           35-39         4         4.54         0         0         4         3.36           40-44         10         11.36         2         6.45         12         10.08           45-49         13         14.77         5         16.13         18         15.12           50-54         4         4.54         5         16.13         9         7.56           60-64         11         12.50         3         9.68         14         11.77           65-69         2         2.28         1         3.22         3         2.52           70-74         1	Age group	10-14	I	1.14	0	0	I	0.85	
20-24         4         4.54         0         0         4         3.36           25-29         7         7.96         2         6.45         9         7.56           30-34         10         11.36         2         6.45         12         10.08           35-39         4         4.54         0         0         4         3.36           40-44         10         11.36         2         6.45         12         10.08           45-49         13         14.77         5         16.13         18         15.12           50-54         18         20.45         9         29.03         27         22.69           60-64         11         12.50         3         9.68         14         11.77           65-69         2         2.28         1         3.22         3         2.52           70-74         1         1.14         2         6.45         3         2.52           70-74         1         1.14         100         10         0.85           100         119         100         110         0.26         3.61           120w         21         2.386 <td< td=""><td>001</td><td>15-19</td><td>2</td><td>2.28</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>1.68</td></td<>	001	15-19	2	2.28	0	0	2	1.68	
25-29         7         7.96         2         6.45         9         7.56           30-34         10         11.36         2         6.45         12         10.08           35-39         4         4.54         0         0         4         3.36           40-44         10         11.36         2         6.45         12         10.08           45-49         13         14.77         5         16.13         18         15.12           50-54         18         20.45         9         29.03         27         22.69           55-59         4         4.54         5         16.13         9         7.56           60-64         11         12.50         3         9.68         14         11.77           65-69         2         2.28         1         3.22         3         2.52           70-74         1         1.14         0         0         1         0.85           100         11         1.00         19         100         11.77         1.04         1.02         3.3         3.5           10-5         1.14         0         0         1.9         3.3		20–24	4	4.54	0	0	4	3.36	
30-341011.3626.451210.08 $35-39$ 44.540043.36 $40-44$ 1011.3626.451210.08 $45-49$ 1314.77516.131815.12 $50-54$ 1820.45929.032722.69 $55-59$ 44.54516.1397.56 $60-64$ 1112.5039.681411.77 $65-69$ 22.2813.2232.52 $70-74$ 11.1426.4532.52 $70-74$ 11.1400119100EducationIllteracy89.10619.361411.77 $Low$ 2123.86825.82924.37 $Mediocre$ 2629.541032.263630.25 $High$ 3337.50722.584033.61JobImployed6169.312993.559075.63Marriage6169.32293.559075.63BMI $2-25$ 111.130010.84 $2-25$ 111.130010.84 $2-30$ 3034.091135.484141.77 $2-5-30$ 4551.151754.846252.10 $30-40$ 11.1300		25–29	7	7.96	2	6.45	9	7.56	
35-3944.540043.36 $40-44$ 1011.3626.451210.08 $45-49$ 1314.77516.131815.12 $50-54$ 1820.45929.032722.69 $55-59$ 44.54516.1397.56 $60-64$ 1112.5039.681411.77 $65-69$ 22.2813.2232.52 $70-74$ 11.1426.4532.52 $70-74$ 11.140010.85Total8810031100119100EducationIlliteracy89.1069.361411.77Low2123.86825.82924.37Mediocre2629.541032.263630.25Imployed6169.312993.559075.63Marital statusSingle2629.5413.232722.68Maritage6169.322993.559075.63BMI $2-25$ 11.130010.84 $2-25$ 111.130010.84 $2-3-30$ 3034.091135.484111.77 $2-40$ 11.130010.84		30–34	10	11.36	2	6.45	12	10.08	
40-441011.3626.451210.08 $45-49$ 1314.77516.131815.12 $50-54$ 1820.45929.032722.69 $55-59$ 44.54516.1397.56 $60-64$ 1112.5039.681411.77 $65-69$ 22.2813.2232.52 $70-74$ 11.1426.4532.52 $70-74$ 11.14001190.085Total8810031100119100EducationIlliteracy89.10619.361411.77Low2123.86825.82924.37JobUnemployed6169.312993.559075.63Ignloyed2730.6926.452924.37Marital statusSingle2629.5413.232722.68Marriage6169.312993.559075.63Divorce11.1413.2221.69BMI $>20$ 11.130010.84 $20-25$ 111.25039.681411.77 $25-30$ 4551.151754.846252.10 $30-40$ 3034.091135.48413.445		35–39	4	4.54	0	0	4	3.36	
45-491314.77516.131815.12 $50-54$ 1820.45929.032722.69 $55-59$ 44.54516.1397.56 $60-64$ 1112.5039.681411.77 $65-69$ 22.2813.2232.52 $70-74$ 11.1426.4532.52 $+75$ 11.140010.85Total8810031100119100EducationIlliteracy89.10619.361411.77Low2123.86825.82924.37Mediocre2629.541032.263630.25JobUnemployed6169.312993.559075.63Imployed2730.6926.452924.37Marital statusSingle2629.5413.232722.68Marital statusSingle2629.5413.232722.68BMI>2011.1413.2221.69BMI>2011.130010.8420-251112.5039.681411.7725-304551.151754.846252.10 $0-40$ 3034.091135.484134.45 $0-40$ <		40-44	10	11.36	2	6.45	12	10.08	
50-5418 $20.45$ 9 $29.03$ $27$ $22.69$ $55-59$ 4 $4.54$ 5 $16.13$ 9 $7.56$ $60-64$ 11 $12.50$ 3 $9.68$ $14$ $11.77$ $65-69$ 2 $2.28$ 1 $3.22$ $3$ $2.52$ $70-74$ 1 $1.14$ $2$ $6.45$ $3$ $2.52$ $+75$ 1 $1.14$ $0$ $0$ $1$ $0.85$ Total88 $100$ $31$ $100$ $119$ $100$ EducationIlliteracy $8$ $9.10$ $6$ $19.36$ $14$ $11.77$ Low $21$ $23.86$ $8$ $25.8$ $29$ $24.37$ Mediocre $26$ $29.54$ $10$ $32.26$ $36$ $30.25$ High $33$ $37.50$ $7$ $22.58$ $40$ $33.61$ JobUnemployed $61$ $69.31$ $29$ $93.55$ $90$ $75.63$ Imployed $26$ $29.54$ $1$ $3.23$ $27$ $22.68$ Marriage $61$ $69.32$ $29$ $93.55$ $90$ $75.63$ Imployed $1$ $1.14$ $1$ $3.22$ $2$ $1.69$ BMI $>20$ $1$ $1.13$ $0$ $0$ $1$ $0.84$ $20-25$ $11$ $1.250$ $3$ $9.68$ $14$ $11.77$ $25-30$ $45$ $51.15$ $17$ $54.84$ $62$ $52.10$ $20-40$ $1$ $1.13$ $0$ $0$		45-49	13	14.77	5	16.13	18	15.12	
55-594 $4.54$ 5 $16.13$ 9 $7.56$ $60-64$ 11 $12.50$ 3 $9.68$ 14 $11.77$ $65-69$ 2 $2.28$ 1 $3.22$ $3$ $2.52$ $70-74$ 1 $1.14$ 2 $6.45$ $3$ $2.52$ $+75$ 1 $1.14$ 001 $0.85$ Total $88$ $100$ $31$ $100$ $119$ $100$ EducationIlliteracy $8$ $9.10$ $6$ $19.36$ $14$ $11.77$ Low $21$ $23.86$ $8$ $25.8$ $29$ $24.37$ Mediocre $26$ $29.54$ $10$ $32.26$ $36$ $30.25$ JobUnemployed $61$ $69.31$ $29$ $93.55$ $90$ $75.63$ Imployed $27$ $30.69$ $2$ $6.45$ $29$ $24.37$ Marital statusSingle $26$ $29.54$ $1$ $3.23$ $27$ $22.68$ Marriage $61$ $69.32$ $29$ $93.55$ $90$ $75.63$ Employed $1$ $1.14$ $1$ $3.22$ $2$ $1.69$ BMI $>20$ $1$ $1.13$ $0$ $0$ $1$ $0.84$ $20-25$ $11$ $12.50$ $3$ $9.68$ $14$ $11.77$ $25-30$ $45$ $51.15$ $17$ $54.84$ $62$ $52.10$ $40$ $1$ $1.13$ $0$ $0$ $1$ $0.84$		50–54	18	20.45	9	29.03	27	22.69	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		55–59	4	4.54	5	16.13	9	7.56	
$ \begin{array}{lllllll} & 65-69 & 2 & 2.28 & 1 & 3.22 & 3 & 2.52 \\ \hline 70-74 & 1 & 1.14 & 2 & 6.45 & 3 & 2.52 \\ \hline 75 & 1 & 1.14 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.85 \\ \hline Total & 88 & 100 & 31 & 100 & 119 & 100 \\ \hline Illiteracy & 8 & 9.10 & 6 & 19.36 & 14 & 11.77 \\ \hline Low & 21 & 23.86 & 8 & 25.8 & 29 & 24.37 \\ \hline Mediocre & 26 & 29.54 & 10 & 32.26 & 36 & 30.25 \\ \hline Mediocre & 26 & 29.54 & 10 & 32.26 & 36 & 30.25 \\ \hline Iigh & 33 & 37.50 & 7 & 22.58 & 40 & 33.61 \\ \hline Job & Unemployed & 61 & 69.31 & 29 & 93.55 & 90 & 75.63 \\ \hline Employed & 27 & 30.69 & 2 & 6.45 & 29 & 24.37 \\ \hline Marital status & Single & 26 & 29.54 & 1 & 3.23 & 27 & 22.68 \\ \hline Marriage & 61 & 69.32 & 29 & 93.55 & 90 & 75.63 \\ \hline Divorce & 1 & 1.14 & 1 & 3.22 & 2 & 1.69 \\ \hline BMI & >20 & 1 & 1.13 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.84 \\ \hline 20-25 & 11 & 12.50 & 3 & 9.68 & 14 & 11.77 \\ \hline 25-30 & 45 & 51.15 & 17 & 54.84 & 62 & 52.10 \\ \hline 30-40 & 30 & 34.09 & 11 & 35.48 & 41 & 34.45 \\ \hline <40 & 1 & 1.13 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.84 \\ \hline \end{array}$		60–64	11	12.50	3	9.68	14	11.77	
$ \begin{array}{lllll} & 1.14 & 2 & 6.45 & 3 & 2.52 \\ +75 & 1 & 1.14 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.85 \\ \hline \end{tabular}{100} & 100 & 119 & 100 & 100 & 119 & 100 \\ \hline \end{tabular}{100} & 110 & 210 & 23.86 & 8 & 25.8 & 29 & 24.37 \\ \hline \end{tabular}{100} & 21 & 23.86 & 8 & 25.8 & 29 & 24.37 & 24.37 & 24.64 & 10 & 32.26 & 36 & 30.25 & 160 & 30.25 & 160 & 30.25 & 160 & 33.61 & 30.25 & 360 & 33.61 & 30.25 & 360 & 35.61$		65–69	2	2.28	I	3.22	3	2.52	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		70–74	I	1.14	2	6.45	3	2.52	
Total         88         100         31         100         119         100           Education         Illiteracy         8         9.10         6         19.36         14         11.77           Low         21         23.86         8         25.8         29         24.37           Mediocre         26         29.54         10         32.26         36         30.25           Job         High         33         37.50         7         22.58         40         33.61           Job         Unemployed         61         69.31         29         93.55         90         75.63           Marital status         Single         26         29.54         1         3.23         27         22.68           Marriage         61         69.31         29         93.55         90         75.63           Marriage         61         69.32         29         93.55         90         75.63           Divorce         1         1.14         1         3.22         2         1.69           BMI         >20         1         1.13         0         0         1         9.84           25-30         45		+75	I	1.14	0	0	I	0.85	
Education         Illiteracy         8         9.10         6         19.36         14         11.77           Low         21         23.86         8         25.8         29         24.37           Mediocre         26         29.54         10         32.26         36         30.25           High         33         37.50         7         22.58         40         33.61           Job         Unemployed         61         69.31         29         93.55         90         75.63           Employed         27         30.69         2         6.45         29         24.37           Marital status         Single         26         29.54         1         3.23         27         22.68           Marriage         61         69.32         29         93.55         90         75.63           Divorce         1         1.14         1         3.22         2         1.69           BMI         >20         1         1.13         0         0         1         0.84           20-25         11         12.50         3         9.68         14         11.77           25-30         45         51.15 <td>Total</td> <td>88</td> <td>100</td> <td>31</td> <td>100</td> <td>119</td> <td>100</td>		Total	88	100	31	100	119	100	
Low2123.86825.82924.37Mediocre2629.541032.263630.25High3337.50722.584033.61JobUnemployed6169.312993.559075.63Employed2730.6926.452924.37Marital statusSingle2629.5413.232722.68Marriage6169.322993.559075.63Divorce11.1413.2221.69BMI>2011.130010.8420-251112.5039.681411.7725-304551.151754.846252.1030-403034.091135.484134.45<40	Education	Illiteracy	8	9.10	6	19.36	14	11.77	
Mediocre         26         29.54         10         32.26         36         30.25           High         33         37.50         7         22.58         40         33.61           Job         Unemployed         61         69.31         29         93.55         90         75.63           Employed         27         30.69         2         6.45         29         24.37           Marital status         Single         26         29.54         1         3.23         27         22.68           Marriage         61         69.32         29         93.55         90         75.63           Divorce         1         1.14         1         3.22         2         1.69           BMI         >20         1         1.13         0         0         1         0.84           20-25         11         12.50         3         9.68         14         11.77           25-30         45         51.15         17         54.84         62         52.10           30-40         30         34.09         11         35.48         41         34.45           <40		Low	21	23.86	8	25.8	29	24.37	
High3337.50722.584033.61JobUnemployed6169.312993.559075.63Employed2730.6926.452924.37Marital statusSingle2629.5413.232722.68Marriage6169.322993.559075.63Divorce11.1413.2221.69BMI>2011.130010.8420-251112.5039.681411.7725-304551.151754.846252.1030-403034.091135.484134.45<40		Mediocre	26	29.54	10	32.26	36	30.25	
Job         Unemployed         61         69.31         29         93.55         90         75.63           Employed         27         30.69         2         6.45         29         24.37           Marital status         Single         26         29.54         1         3.23         27         22.68           Marriage         61         69.32         29         93.55         90         75.63           Divorce         1         1.14         1         3.22         2         1.69           BMI         >20         1         1.13         0         0         1         0.84           20-25         11         12.50         3         9.68         14         11.77           25-30         45         51.15         17         54.84         62         52.10           30-40         30         34.09         11         35.48         41         34.45           <40		High	33	37.50	7	22.58	40	33.61	
Employed         27         30.69         2         6.45         29         24.37           Marital status         Single         26         29.54         1         3.23         27         22.68           Marriage         61         69.32         29         93.55         90         75.63           Divorce         1         1.14         1         3.22         2         1.69           BMI         >20         1         1.13         0         0         1         0.84           20–25         11         12.50         3         9.68         14         11.77           25–30         45         51.15         17         54.84         62         52.10           30–40         30         34.09         11         35.48         41         34.45           <40	Job	Unemployed	61	69.31	29	93.55	90	75.63	
Marital status         Single         26         29,54         I         3.23         27         22.68           Marriage         61         69.32         29         93.55         90         75.63           Divorce         1         1.14         1         3.22         2         1.69           BMI         >20         1         1.13         0         0         1         0.84           20–25         11         12.50         3         9.68         14         11.77           25–30         45         51.15         17         54.84         62         52.10           30–40         30         34.09         11         35.48         41         34.45           <40	-	Employed	27	30.69	2	6.45	29	24.37	
Marriage         61         69.32         29         93.55         90         75.63           Divorce         I         1.14         I         3.22         2         1.69           BMI         >20         I         1.13         0         0         I         0.84           20–25         II         12.50         3         9.68         14         11.77           25–30         45         51.15         17         54.84         62         52.10           30–40         30         34.09         11         35.48         41         34.45           <40	Marital status	Single	26	29.54	I	3.23	27	22.68	
Divorce         I         I.14         I         3.22         2         1.69           BMI         >20         I         I.13         0         0         I         0.84           20-25         II         I2.50         3         9.68         I4         I1.77           25-30         45         51.15         I7         54.84         62         52.10           30-40         30         34.09         II         35.48         41         34.45           <40		Marriage	61	69.32	29	93.55	90	75.63	
BMI         >20         I         I.13         0         0         I         0.84           20-25         I1         I2.50         3         9.68         I4         I1.77           25-30         45         51.15         I7         54.84         62         52.10           30-40         30         34.09         I1         35.48         41         34.45           <40		Divorce	I	1.14	I	3.22	2	1.69	
20-251112.5039.681411.7725-304551.151754.846252.1030-403034.091135.484134.45<40	BMI	>20	I	1.13	0	0	I	0.84	
25-304551.151754.846252.1030-403034.091135.484134.45<40		20–25	11	12.50	3	9.68	14	11.77	
30-40         30         34.09         11         35.48         41         34.45           <40		25–30	45	51.15	17	54.84	62	52.10	
<40 I I.I3 0 0 I 0.84		30–40	30	34.09	11	35.48	41	34.45	
		<40	I	1.13	0	0	I	0.84	

Table I. Comparison of demographic characteristics based on gender in Kermanshah metropolis.



Figure 1. Spatial distribution of apnea disorder, mean center and its standard distance in Kermanshah metropolis.

spatial pattern of apnea disorder. Therefore, this study was conducted to investigate the spatial pattern of apnea disorder using GIS in Kermanshah metropolis—west of Iran. The results showed that the mean center of apnea disorder corresponded to the geographical center of the city and its standard distance was the most concentrated in



Figure 2. Spatial pattern of apnea disorder based on the nearest neighbor index and Gi<sup>\*</sup> Getis-Ord in Kermanshah metropolis.

the central, western, and northern parts of the city. The standard distance in males tended to the north, east, and northeast; But in females, it was more focused on the center and northwest (Figure 1). This difference is probably due to the difference in the sex ratio of males and femals in these areas of the city. In this study, we identified three foci of apnea disorder. Its main focus was formed in Nobahar, Bargh, and Golestan neighborhoods (Figure 3). The results of other studies have shown that these neighborhoods are rich in Kermanshah. some characteristics of these neighborhoods are good economic situation, low population density, high level of education, and good access to medical centers.<sup>30,31</sup> On the other hand; The findings of our study indicated that, in the centers of apnea disorder, most patients had higher education. But in non-focal areas, most patients were illiterate and with low literacy (Table 2). This was in contrast to the results of other studies conducted in the United States. In their studies, low level of education, poor economic situation, unemployment, inadequate access to medical sleep clinics, and lack of infrastructure in this area were mentioned as effective factors.<sup>16,32</sup> This difference was probably because of unfavorable socio-economic conditions in the Kermanshah metropolis people living in poor and middle-class neighborhoods of Kermanshah did not refer to the disorder centers.33 They may not have been able to pay for polysomnography and its medical equipment including Auto CPAP.BiPAP, which are not covered by insurance. In addition, hapless people probably visit doctors less often due to unfavorable economic conditions.

Findings of our study showed that apnea disorder in the Kermanshah metropolis was formed in clustered shape (Figure 2). The apnea disorder is not randomly distributed and showed a spatial dependence. Clusters of higher apnea disorder in this city are associated with higher income. The identification of these clusters can guide tailored public health interventions. The results of other studies also have shown a cluster shape of sleep disorders. In these studies, the need for intervention in the centers has been emphasized.<sup>16</sup> The results of other studies have shown that the prevalence of obesity,<sup>34,35</sup> diabetes,<sup>36,37</sup> respiratory diseases,<sup>38,39</sup> cancer<sup>40,41</sup> in the centers of sleep disorders were higher than other areas. Probably the centers formed in the city of Kermanshah face a similar situation. Therefore, it is suggested that these issues be considered in future studies.

In this study, men were more likely than women to have apnea disorder. Comparison of foci of apnea disorder with non-focal areas also showed that men were affected more by this disorder (Tables 1 and 2, Figure 1). On the other hand, age group comparisons showed that men were more likely to have the disorder by age 44. But in the age groups of 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, and 65 years and above women were more affected by this disorder (Table 1). Perhaps, the living conditions of neighborhoods such as social, cultural, economical and environmental have affected the results of this study. These results were similar to some studies<sup>42</sup> and contradictory to some studies.43 The results of other studies have stated that the reason for this difference is the higher strength of the upper airways in women than men and the lower collapse of these airways in women.<sup>44</sup> Also, the protective role of female hormones and the effect of these hormones on dilator muscles and chemical sensitivity to hypoxia and hypercapnia in women, which is more than men, may have influenced this condition.45,46 On the other hand, other studies have mentioned the cause of this

Variables		Low		High		Low/hi	gh	Witho	ut density	Total	
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Gender	Male	47	81.03	24	63.16	71	73.96	17	73.91	88	73.95
	Female	11	18.97	14	36.84	25	26.04	6	26.09	31	26.05
	Total	58	100	38	100	96	100	23	100	119	100
Education	illiteracy	6	10.35	3	7.90	9	9.37	5	21.74	14	11.76
	Low	13	22.41	8	21.05	21	21.88	8	34.78	29	24.37
	Mediocre	19	32.76	13	34.21	32	33.33	4	17.39	36	30.25
	High	20	34.48	14	36.84	34	35.42	6	26.09	40	3.61
	Total	58	100	38	100	96	100	23	100	119	100
Job	Unemployed	42	72.41	29	76.32	71	73.96	18	78.26	90	75.63
	Employed	16	27.59	9	23.68	25	26.04	5	21.74	29	24.37
	Total	58	100	38	100	96	100	23	100	119	100
Marital	Single	13	22.42	11	28.95	24	25	3	13.04	27	22.69
status	Marriage	44	75.86	27	71.05	71	73.96	19	82.61	90	75.63
	Divorce	I	1.72	0	0	I	1.04	I	4.35	2	1.68
	Total	58	100	38	100	96	100	23	100	119	100
BMI	>20	0	0	I	2.63	Ι	1.04	0	0	I	0.84
	20–25	8	13.79	6	15.78	14	14.58	0	0	14	11.76
	25–30	30	51.72	20	52.63	50	52.08	12	52.17	62	52.10
	30-40	20	34.48	10	26.32	30	31.25	11	47.83	41	34.45
	<40	0	0	I	2.63	I	1.04	0	0	I	0.84
	Total	58	100	38	100	96	100	23	100	119	100

Table 2. Centers of apnea disorder in areas without density and high/low density in Kermanshah metropolis.

difference as environmental factors such as differences in lifestyle, quality of life, and demographic variables.<sup>43</sup>

other studies have not shown an association between body mass index and apnea.<sup>52,53</sup>

The findings of this study showed that unemployed people have more apnea disorder than employed people. The results of other studies were similar to our study.<sup>15,47</sup> In this regard, the results of studies indicate that the metropolis of Kermanshah has the first rank in unemployment in Iran for consecutive years.<sup>48</sup> This condition may have contributed to the development of apnea disorder in this city. As mentioned in other studies, inactivity and weight gain are more common in unemployed people. This condition can cause many physical problems such as depression and anxiety,<sup>49</sup> which causes insomnia and worrying thoughts in people.<sup>50</sup>

The results of our study showed that married people were more likely than others to have apnea disorder, which was similar to other studies.<sup>50,51</sup> The family problem is more widely identified when there is a sleeping partner present. Another reason could be that having sleep apnea is harmful to having a life partner in the first place. This in turn could lead to an reporting of sleep apnea in them. This situation is probably due to the financial problems and economic insecurity that exists in societies.<sup>25</sup> Therefore, it is recommended that this situation be examined in future studies. In terms of body mass index, the results showed that overweight (25–30) and obese (30–40) people had more apnea disorder than others. These results were similar to other studies that have shown that obesity has been a risk factor for obstructive sleep apnea.<sup>42</sup> However, many

## Strengths and limitations

The main limitation of the present study is that we are unable to discover the reasons for this geographical diversity. Previous studies have attributed this geographical diversity to regional differences in mental health, race/ethnicity, access to health care, socioeconomics, smoking, and climate patterns.<sup>16</sup> In this study, we could not control these factors. Also, the residents of poor and middle neighborhoods of the city do not want to go to the sleep disorders clinic due to financial inability is another limitation of this study that will probably affect the results of this study.

The strengths of this study were: 1- The first study in Iran that identified spatial patterns of apnea disorder. 2- this study is one of the limited ecological studies that has been done in the Kermanshah metropolis. 3- In statistical analysis, we used spatial autocorrelation analysis models as it was used in other studies.<sup>16</sup> 4- Addressing a public health gap related to sleep disorders to present to health decision-makers.

## Conclusions

In general, apnea disorder showed an irregular spatial pattern in the metropolis of Kermanshah. So that, Several foci and important centers have been identified. The most



Figure 3. Investigation of the spatial pattern of apnea disorder and population density based on kernel density estimation model in Kermanshah metropolis.

Table 3. Sleep stages of apnea disorder in areas without density and high/low density in Kermanshah metropolis.

Variables		Low		High		Low/high		Without density		Total	
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Sleep stages	Total sleep time (min) Sleep efficiency (%) REM latency (min) REM TIB (%)	$6.34 \pm 1.10$ $82.63 \pm 13.14$ $70.01 \pm 90.90$ $9.03 \pm 9.40$		6.49 ± 0.98 85.47 ± 11.85 66.46 ± 110.01 8 13 + 5 50		$6.41 \pm 0.98 \\ 84.05 \pm 12.49 \\ 68.37 \pm 100.45 \\ 858 \pm 7.45 \\ \end{array}$		$6.33 \pm 0.78$ $83.76 \pm 7.59$ $40.63 \pm 72.98$ $9.02 \pm 8.56$		$6.38 \pm 1.01$ $83.76 \pm 11.90$ $63.20 \pm 95.11$ $8.74 \pm 8.19$	
	REM ST (%) I I.96 ±		14.99	9.59 ± 6	.47	10.77±	10.73	10.64 ±	10.99	10.95 ±	12.14

important centers are located in Nobahar, Bargh, and Golestan neighborhoods. The mean center of apnea disorder corresponded to the geographic center of the city, and its standard distance was most concentrated in the central, western, and northern parts of the city. The standard distance in men tended to the north, east, and northeast; But in women, it was more concentrated toward the center and northwest. Comparison of foci of apnea disorder with nonfocal areas also showed that more men were affected by this disorder. Also, unemployed people have more apnea disorder than working people. These findings can be used by stakeholders, including governmental and health organization members at the national and regional levels. The results of this study can be used to diagnose the public health burden of sleep disorders in geographical areas. We encourage researchers in future studies to examine the relationship between the spatial pattern of sleep disorders and economic, social, and cultural factors and structures, and to determine the level of access to psychological counseling facilities.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the participants for their participation in this study.

#### Contributions

All authors participated and approved the study design. HK, AZ and AZ contributed to design the study, SS and MK collected the data, and analyzed by AZ. The final report and article were written by SS, SM, RT and AZ and All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Declaration of conflicting interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (IR.KUMS. REC.1397.300). Written informed consent was obtained from all group members. Consent to submit has been received explicitly from all co-authors, as well as from the responsible authorities—tacitly or explicitly—at the institute/organization where the work has been carried out, before the work is submitted.

#### Informed consent

Written informed consent was obtained from participation.

#### Patient consent for publication

Consent from the patient for the publication was taken before collecting the data from them.

## **ORCID** iD

Arash Ziapour Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-8687-7484

#### Availability of data and material

All the data supporting our findings have been presented in the manuscript; the datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### References

- Afkham Ebrahimi A, Bandi G, Salehi M, et al. Sleep parameters and the factors affecting the quality of sleep in patients attending selected clinics of Rasoul-e-Akram hospital. *Razi J Med Sci* 2008; 15(58): 31–38.
- Tang J, Liao Y, Kelly BC, et al. Gender and regional differences in sleep quality and insomnia: a general populationbased study in Hunan province of China. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 43690.

- Niu S-F, Chung M-H, Chen C-H, et al. The effect of shift rotation on employee cortisol profile, sleep quality, fatigue, and attention level: a systematic review. *J Nurs Res* 2011; 19(1): 68–81.
- Kaplan B. Kaplan and Sadock's Synopsis of Psychiatry., Behavioral Sciences/Clinical Psychiatry. *Tijdschr Psychiatr* 2016; 58(1): 78–79.
- Bryant PA, Trinder J and Curtis N. Sick and tired: does sleep have a vital role in the immune system? *Nat Rev Immunol* 2004; 4(6): 457–467.
- Schenck CH and Mahowald MW. Insights into polysomnography-confirmed rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder from new prevalence and gender data in the general population. *Sleep* 2018; 41: zsy020.
- Léger D, Poursain B, Neubauer D, et al. An international survey of sleeping problems in the general population. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2008; 24(1): 307–317.
- Altevogt BM and Colten HR. Sleep disorders and sleep deprivation: an unmet public health problem. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2006.
- Knutson KL. Does inadequate sleep play a role in vulnerability to obesity? *Am J Hum Biol* 2012; 24(3): 361–371.
- Grandner MA, Patel NP, Gehrman PR, et al. Problems associated with short sleep: bridging the gap between laboratory and epidemiological studies. *Sleep Med Rev* 2010; 14(4): 239–247.
- Altman NG, Izci-Balserak B, Schopfer E, et al. Sleep duration versus sleep insufficiency as predictors of cardiometabolic health outcomes. *Sleep Med* 2012; 13(10): 1261–1270.
- McNicholas WT and Bonsigore MR. B26 MCoECA. Sleep apnoea as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease: current evidence, basic mechanisms and research priorities. *Eur Respir J* 2007; 29(1): 156–178.
- Grandner MA, Hale L, Moore M, et al. Mortality associated with short sleep duration: the evidence, the possible mechanisms, and the future. *Sleep Med Rev* 2010; 14(3): 191–203.
- Roth TC, Rattenborg NC and Pravosudov VV. The ecological relevance of sleep: the trade-off between sleep, memory and energy conservation. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2010; 365(1542): 945–959.
- Billings ME, Hale L and Johnson DA. Physical and social environment relationship with Sleep Health and Disorders. *Chest* 2020; 157(5): 1304–1312.
- Grandner MA, Smith TE, Jackson N, et al. Geographic distribution of insufficient sleep across the United States: a county-level hotspot analysis. *Sleep Health* 2015; 1(3): 158–165.
- Park SE, Kim HM, Kim DH, et al. The association between sleep duration and general and abdominal obesity in Koreans: data from the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2001 and 2005. *Obesity* 2009; 17(4): 767–771.
- Buxton OM and Marcelli E. Short and long sleep are positively associated with obesity, diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease among adults in the United States. *Soc Sci Med* 2010; 71(5): 1027–1036.
- Zhou Y-B, Liang S, Chen G-X, et al. Spatial-temporal variations of Schistosoma japonicum distribution after an integrated national control strategy: a cohort in a marshland area of China. *BMC Public Health* 2013; 13(1): 297.

- Boulos MNK. Towards evidence-based, GIS-driven national spatial health information infrastructure and surveillance services in the United Kingdom. *Int J Health Geogr* 2004; 3(1): 1.
- Zangeneh A, Najafi F, Karimi S, et al. Spatial-temporal cluster analysis of mortality from road traffic injuries using geographic information systems in west of Iran during 2009–2014. *J Forensic Leg Med* 2018; 55: 15–22.
- 22. Dawson JD, Yu L, Seawell K, et al. Linking GPS data to GIS databases in naturalistic studies: examples from drivers with obstructive sleep apnea. In: *Paper presented at: Proceedings of the International Driving Symposium on Human Factors in Driver Assessment, Training, and Vehicle Design*, 2015.
- Azagra-Calero E, Espinar-Escalona E, Barrera-Mora J, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS). Review of the literature. *Med Oral Patologia Oral Cirugia Bucal* 2012; 17(6): E925–e929.
- Chervin RD. Sleepiness, fatigue, tiredness, and lack of energy in obstructive sleep apnea. *Chest* 2000; 118(2): 372–379.
- 25. Mollayeva T, Thurairajah P, Burton K, et al. The Pittsburgh sleep quality index as a screening tool for sleep dysfunction in clinical and non-clinical samples: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev* 2016; 25: 52–73.
- Reshadat S, Tohidi M, Ghasemi M, et al. Interrelationship between underprivileged neighborhoods and health promotion lifestyles: insights from Kermanshah, Iran. *MOJ Public Health* 2020; 28(6): 693–702.
- Khademi N, Reshadat S, Zangeneh A, et al. A comparative study of the spatial distribution of HIV prevalence in the metropolis of Kermanshah, Iran, in 1996-2014 using geographical information systems. *HIV Med* 2017; 18(3): 220–224.
- Khademi N, Reshadat S, Zanganeh A, et al. Identifying HIV distribution pattern based on clustering test using GIS software, Kermanshah, Iran. *HIV AIDS Rev* 2016; 15(4): 147–152.
- Reshadat S, Saeidi S, Zangeneh A, et al. A study of children's geographic access to health services (Health centers and clinical laboratories) in Kermanshah City. *Int J Pediatr* 2018; 6(2): 7241–7251.
- Zangeneh A, Roustaei S, Saedi S, et al. A comparative study of social, economic, cultural and spatial inequalities across urban neighborhoods. *Middle East J Disabil Stud* 2014; 4(1): 23–32.
- Reshadat S, Saedi S, Zangeneh A, et al. Spatial accessibility of the population to urban health centres in Kermanshah, Islamic Republic of Iran: a geographic information systems analysis. *East Mediterr Health J* 2015; 21(6): 389–395.
- Evans J, Skomro R, Driver H, et al. Sleep laboratory test referrals in Canada: sleep apnea rapid response survey. *Can Respir J* 2014; 21(1): e4–e10.
- Rostaei S, Ahadnejad Reveshty M, Asghari Zamani A, et al. Assessment of Urban Poverty Spatial Distribution in Kermanshah City. *Soc Welf Q* 2012; 14(45): 77–101.
- Pancoska P, Buch S, Cecchetti A, et al. Family networks of obesity and type 2 diabetes in rural Appalachia. *Clin Transl Sci* 2009; 2(6): 413–421.
- Tessaro I, Smith SL and Rye S. Knowledge and perceptions of diabetes in an Appalachian population. *Prev Chronic Dis* 2005; 2(2): A13.
- Grandner MA, Jackson NJ, Pigeon WR, et al. State and regional prevalence of sleep disturbance and daytime fatigue. J Clin Sleep Med 2012; 8(1): 77–86.
- 37. Grandner MA, Martin JL, Patel NP, et al. Age and sleep disturbances among American men and women: data from

the US Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. *Sleep* 2012; 35(3): 395–406.

- Wade WA, Petsonk EL, Young B, et al. Severe occupational pneumoconiosis among West Virginian coal miners: one hundred thirty-eight cases of progressive massive fibrosis compensated between 2000 and 2009. *Chest* 2011; 139(6): 1458–1462.
- Blackley D, Behringer B and Zheng S. Cancer mortality rates in Appalachia: descriptive epidemiology and an approach to explaining differences in outcomes. *J Community Health* 2012; 37(4): 804–813.
- Borak J, Salipante-Zaidel C, Slade MD, et al. Mortality disparities in Appalachia: reassessment of major risk factors. J Occup Environ Med 2012; 54(2): 146–156.
- 41. Casto BC, Sharma S, Fisher JL, et al. Oral cancer in Appalachia. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2009; 20(1): 274–285.
- Amra B and Monajem M. Gender difrances on polysomographic findings and clinical symptome in Iranian subjects with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *J Isfahan Med Sch* 2011; 123: 28.
- 43. Ye L, Pien GW and Weaver TE. Gender differences in the clinical manifestation of obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Med* 2009; 10(10): 1075–1084.
- Hardinge FM, Pitson DJ and Stradling JR. Use of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale to demonstrate response to treatment with nasal continuous positive airways pressure in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. *Respir Med* 1995; 89(9): 617–620.
- Hoddes E, Zarcone V, Smythe H, et al. Quantification of sleepiness: a new approach. *Psychophysiology* 1973; 10(4): 431–436.
- Vicario YI, Platón MR, Peralejo D, et al. Epworth Sleepiness Scale in a sample of the Spanish population [Letter to the editor]. *Sleep* 1997; 20(8): 676–677.
- Farajzadeh M, Hosseini M, Mohtashami J, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea in elderly and its related factors. *Iran J Nurs* 2016; 29(99): 1–9.
- Hosseinzade J, Hajbar KK, Rahmani T, et al. Estimation of Non–Accelerating inflation rate of unemployment in Iran s provinces based on date-filtering methodology. *Q J Appl Theor Econ* 2019; 6(1): 51–76.
- Farajzadeh M, Hosseini M, Mohtashami J, et al. The association between obstructive sleep apnea and depression in older adults. *Nurs Midwifery Stud* 2016; 5(2): e32585.
- Imani Giglou A, Zeighami Mohamadi S, Gholi F, et al. Evaluation of obstructive sleep apnea in myocardial infarction patients by stop-Bang Questionnaire in Cardiac Care Units (CCU) of Karaj Hospitals, 2009. J Res Dev Nurs Midwifery 2015; 12(1): 17–28.
- Hiestand DM, Britz P, Goldman M, et al. Prevalence of symptoms and risk of sleep apnea in the US population: Results from the national sleep foundation sleep in America 2005 poll. *Chest* 2006; 130(3): 780–786.
- Weitzenblum E, Chaouat A, Kessler R, et al. Overlap syndrome: obstructive sleep apnea in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2008; 5(2): 237–241.
- Martinez-Rivera C, Abad J, Fiz JA, et al. Usefulness of truncal obesity indices as predictive factors for obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Obesity* 2008; 16(1): 113–118.