



Impact of Insulin Resistance on Acetylcholine-Induced Coronary Artery Spasm in Non-Diabetic Patients

Kwan Woo Kang^{1*}, Byoung Geol Choi^{2*}, and Seung-Woon Rha³

¹Department of Medicine, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul; ²Research Institute of Health Sciences, Korea University College of Health Science, Seoul; ³Cardiovascular Center, Korea University Guro Hospital, Seoul, Korea.

Purpose: Coronary artery spasm (CAS) and diabetes mellitus (DM) are implicated in endothelial dysfunction, and insulin resistance (IR) is a major etiological cause of type 2 DM. However, the association between CAS and IR in non-diabetic individuals has not been elucidated. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the impact of IR on CAS in patients without DM.

Materials and Methods: A total of 330 eligible patients without DM and coronary artery disease who underwent acetylcholine (Ach) provocation test were enrolled in this study. Inclusion criteria included both hemoglobin A1c <6.0% and fasting glucose level <110 mg/dL without type 2 DM. Patients were divided into quartile groups according the level of homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR): 1Q (n=82; HOMA-IR<1.35), 2Q (n=82; 1.35 \leq HOMA-IR<1.93), 3Q (n=83; 1.93 \leq HOMA-IR<2.73), and 4Q (n=83; HOMA-IR \geq 2.73).

Results: In the present study, the higher HOMA-IR group (3Q and 4Q) was older and had higher body mass index, fasting blood glucose, serum insulin, hemoglobin A1c, total cholesterol, and triglyceride levels than the lower HOMA-IR group (1Q). Also, poor IR (3Q and 4Q) was considerably associated with frequent CAS. Compared with Q1, the hazard ratios for Q3 and Q4 were 3.55 (95% CI: 1.79–7.03, *p*<0.001) and 2.12 (95% CI: 1.07–4.21, *p*=0.031), respectively, after adjustment of baseline risk confounders. Also, diffuse spasm and accompanying chest pain during Ach test were more strongly associated with IR patients with CAS. **Conclusion:** HOMA-IR was significantly negatively correlated with reference diameter measured after nitroglycerin and significantly positively correlated with diffuse spasm and chest pain.

Key Words: Coronary artery spasm, insulin resistance, acetylcholine

INTRODUCTION

Coronary artery spasm (CAS) is a form of endothelial dysfunction implicated in acute coronary syndrome (ACS) or vasospastic angina (VSA).¹⁻⁶ Diabetes mellitus (DM) and im-

Received: May 9, 2018 Revised: July 29, 2018 Accepted: August 31, 2018

Corresponding author: Seung-Woon Rha, MD, PhD, FACC, FAHA, FESC, FSCAI, FAPSIC, Cardiovascular Center, Korea University Guro Hospital, 148 Gurodong-ro, Guro-gu, Seoul 08308, Korea.

Tel: 82-2-2626-3020, Fax: 82-2-864-3062, E-mail: swrha617@yahoo.co.kr

*Kwan Woo Kang and Byoung Geol Choi contributed equally to this work. •The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

© Copyright: Yonsei University College of Medicine 2018

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. paired glycemic control are well-known risk factors for cardiovascular disease and are associated with poor clinical outcomes, such as high mortality and poor quality of life.^{7,8} Insulin resistance (IR) is the main etiological cause of type 2 DM and is associated with worse clinical outcomes.^{7,8} Despite the observed relationships among cardiovascular dysfunction, DM, and IR, associations between CAS and IR in non-diabetic individuals has not been elucidated. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the impact of IR on CAS in patients without DM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study utilized data from a single-center, prospective, all-comer registry implemented in 2004 that was designed to reflect "real world" practice. The design of this registry has been described previously in detail.⁹⁻¹⁴ Data were collected by a trained study-coordinator with a standardized case report form. Standardized definitions of all patient-related variables and clinical diagnoses were used. The participants or their legal guardians were given a thorough, literal, and verbal explanation of the study procedures before granting written consent to participate in the study. The Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Korea University Guro Hospital (KUGH) approved all of the consenting procedures. The authors of this manuscript have certified that the information contained herein is true and correct as reflected in the records of the IRB (#KUGH10045). The KUGH IRB specifically approved this entire study.

A total of 10177 patients with typical or atypical chest pain who received coronary angiography (CAG) at the Cardiovascular Center of KUGH in Seoul, South Korea between November 2004 and May 2014 were enrolled in this study. Among these, 5812 patients with typical or atypical chest pain without significant coronary artery disease (CAD) [defined as having a stenosis diameter of more than 70% on the quantitative coronary angiography (QCA)] underwent intracoronary acetylcholine (Ach) provocation test. Inclusion criteria included both hemoglobin A1c <6.0 % and fasting glucose level <110 mg/dL without diabetes medication or prior diagnosis thereof.8 Fasting glucose, serum insulin, and hemoglobin A1c level of enrolled patients were measured, and homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) index was calculated before conducting CAG. Patients were excluded if they had any of the following conditions: coronary artery bypass graft, prior percutaneous coronary intervention, prior cerebrovascular disease, advanced heart failure (New York Heart Association class III or IV), serum creatinine $\geq 2 \text{ mg/dL}$, or myocardial bridge, since these conditions could be major causes for adverse cardiovascular events and could bias the results. A total of 330 eligible patients without DM were divided into quartile groups: 1Q (n=82; HOMA-IR<1.35), 2Q (n=82; 1.35≤HOMA-IR<1.93), 3Q (n=83; 1.93≤HOMA-IR<2.73), and 4Q (n=83; HOMA-IR≥2.73). The incidence of CAS and angiographic and clinical parameters during the Ach provocation test were compared among the different quartile groups.

Study definition

Hypertension was diagnosed according to a history of hypertension and treatment with medication, diet, and/or exercise. Dyslipidemia was defined as having a total cholesterol level ≥200 mg/dL or undergoing current treatment with lipid-lowering drugs for controlling increased blood lipid level. Current cigarette smoking was defined as active smoking within the past 12 months. Significant CAS was defined as having greater than 70% luminal narrowing of the artery during the Ach provocation test regardless of ischemic ECG changes or presence of chest pain. Myocardial bridge was defined as phasic systolic compression of the coronary artery with a decrease of more than 30% in diameter on an angiogram after intracoronary nitroglycerin infusion, mostly in the anterior-posterior cranial or right anterior oblique cranial projection. Multi-vessel spasm was defined as significant CAS occurring at more than two major epicardial arteries. Diffuse spasm was defined as significant CAS with site length measuring more than 20 mm. Apontaneous spasm was defined as focal or diffuse narrowing greater than 30% at baseline CAG, compared to the reference vessel diameter after nitroglycerin administration via an intracoronary route. The HOMA-IR index was calculated as follows: [fasting plasma insulin (μ U/mL)×fasting glucose (mg/dL)]/405.¹⁵

Acetylcholine provocation test

The design of the Ach provocation test has been described before.9-13 Initial investigation for CAG included clinical history taking and non-invasive stress tests, such as treadmill test, stress echocardiography, and radionuclide study. Then, CAG was performed to confirm the presence of significant CAD. CAG was performed immediately without functional studies in case of typical resting ischemic chest pain to confirm VSA. Vasodilators or vasoconstrictors, such as nitrates, calcium channel blockers, beta blockers, nicorandil, and molsidomine, were discontinued at least 72 hours before CAG. CAS induction was tested by intracoronary injection of Ach immediately after diagnostic angiography by either a trans-radial or trans-femoral approach. Ach was injected by incremental doses of 20 (A1), 50 (A2), and $100 (A3) \mu g/min$ into the left coronary artery on a 1-minute period with 5-minute intervals up to the maximal tolerated dose under continuous monitoring by electrocardiogram and measuring of blood pressure. Provocation of the right coronary artery was not done routinely due to safety issues, as the insertion of a temporary pacemaker is required to prevent advanced atrioventricular block during Ach infusion. Angiography was repeated after each Ach dose until a significant focal or diffuse narrowing greater than 70% was observed. If significant focal or diffuse vasoconstriction (>70%) of coronary arteries was induced at any dose, Ach infusion was stopped. An intracoronary injection of 0.2 mg of nitroglycerine was administered after completing the Ach provocation test, followed by CAG 2 minutes later. End-systolic images for each segment of the left coronary artery were chosen according to the corresponding points on the electrocardiographic trace (QRS onset or end of T wave) and analyzed using a proper QCA system in the catheterization laboratory (FD-20, Phillips, Amsterdam, the Netherlands). Coronary artery diameters were measured with QCA before and after administration of Ach at the site that showed the greatest changes following drug administration. Reference vessel diameters were measured at proximal and distal portions of each artery. The mean reference vessel diameter was used to assess diameter narrowing by QCA.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). For continuous variables, differences among three groups were evaluated by ANOVA or Joncheere-

Terpstra, and post-hoc analysis between two groups was evaluated by Hochberg or Dunnett-T3 test. Data are expressed as a mean±standard deviation. For discrete variables, differences among the three groups are expressed as counts and percentages, and were analyzed with χ^2 or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. Multivariable Cox-hazards regression that included baseline confounding factors was used for assessing independent impact factors. We tested all available variables that could be of potential relevance: age, sex and cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension, dyslipidemia, current smokers and current alcohol drinkers, and insignificant coronary stenosis). A two-tailed *p* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Table 1. Baseline Clinical and Laboratory Characteristics

Variables	1 Quartile (n=82)	2 Quartile (n=82)	3 Quartile (n=83)	4 Quartile (n=83)	<i>p</i> value
Sex, male	33 (40.2)	21 (25.6)	35 (42.2)	37 (44.6)	0.054
Age (yr)	53±12	55±10	57±13	55±13	0.068
BP					
Systolic BP (mm Hg)	143±25	137±20	138±20	140±17	0.788
Diastolic BP (mm Hg)	82±14	78±11	78±12	79±11	0.637
Heart rate (beats per minute)	71±11	70±12	72±14	74±14	0.252
LV ejection fraction (%)	59.2±3.4	59.7±1.9	60.2±2.1	58.8±4.1	0.918
Body mass index (kg/m²)	23.7±2.6	23.8±3.3	24.3±2.9	25.6±3.0	< 0.001
Risk Factors					
Hypertension	33 (40.2)	34 (41.5)	36 (43.4)	43 (51.8)	0.434
Dyslipidemia	20 (24.4)	17 (20.7)	23 (27.7)	24 (28.9)	0.624
Smokers history	23 (28.0)	16 (19.5)	26 (31.3)	26 (31.3)	0.278
Current	20 (24.4)	10 (12.2)	18 (21.7)	17 (20.5)	0.231
Alcohol drinkers	31 (37.8)	27 (32.9)	36 (43.4)	35 (42.2)	0.506
Current	27 (32.9)	27 (32.9)	32 (38.6)	34 (41.0)	0.622
Laboratory findings					
Fasting blood glucose (mg/dL)	92±9	92±7	97±8	103±9	<0.001
Insulin (µU/mL)	3.89±1.49	7.18±0.92	9.58±1.23	15.6±5.14	<0.001
HOMA-IR	0.88±0.31	1.64±0.17	2.28±0.22	3.99±1.32	<0.001
Hemoglobin A1c (%)	5.5±0.3	5.5±0.3	5.6±0.3	5.7±0.3	<0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	175±27	180±35	183±38	188±45	0.097
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	112±75	101±54	117±70	142±109	0.012
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	52±14	54±12	52±13	52±14	0.537
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	114±26	113±33	115±35	119±40	0.784
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.73±0.16	0.63±0.15	0.72±0.18	0.73±0.16	0.319
Medication history					
Calcium channel blockers	31 (37.8)	34 (41.5)	35 (42.2)	38 (45.8)	0.780
Beta blockers	4 (4.9)	4 (4.9)	5 (6.0)	7 (8.4)	0.747
Diuretics	1 (1.2)	3 (3.7)	5 (6.0)	4 (4.8)	0.433
ARBs	0 (0.0)	5 (6.1)	6 (7.2)	7 (8.4)	0.081
ACEIs	1 (1.2)	2 (2.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0.291
Statins	2 (2.4)	4 (4.9)	3 (3.6)	8 (9.6)	0.167

RESULTS

To assess the association between HOMA-IR and Ach-in-

duced CAS, patients were divided into quartile groups accord-

ing to HOMA-IR levels: 1Q (n=82; HOMA-IR<1.35), 2Q (n=82;

1.35≤HOMA-IR<1.93), 3Q (n=83; 1.93≤HOMA-IR<2.73), and

4O (n=83; HOMA-IR≥2.73). There were considerable differ-

ences in baseline characteristics, such as age, sex (male), body

mass index, glycemic control (hemoglobin A1c) and levels of

lipid profile (total cholesterol, triglyceride), among the quar-

tile groups (Table 1). The higher HOMA-IR groups (3Q and

4Q) were older; had higher body mass index, fasting blood glucose, serum insulin, hemoglobin A1c, total cholesterol, and

BP, blood pressure; LV, left ventricular; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; ARB, angiotensin receptor blockers; ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors.

Data are presented as a n (%) or mean \pm standard deviation. 1 Quartile (n=82; HOMA-IR<1.35), 2 Quartile (n=82; 1.35 \leq HOMA-IR<1.93), 3 Quartile (n=83; 1.93 \leq HOMA-IR<2.73), and 4 Quartile (n=83; HOMA-IR \geq 2.73).

triglyceride levels; and received more angiotensin receptor blockers and statin treatments than the lower HOMA-IR group (1Q). As shown in Table 2, there were significant differences in angiographic and clinical parameters among the quartile groups during Ach provocation test. The higher HOMA-IR groups (3Q and 4Q) had more CAS and chest pain than the lower HOMA-IR group (Q1) (Fig. 1, Table 2). As shown in Table 3, HOMA-IR was positively correlated with CAS regardless of adjusting for baseline confounders in Cox regression analysis.

The higher HOMA-IR groups (3Q and 4Q) had higher CAS risk than the lower HOMA-IR group (Q1). Taking HOMA-IR above 2.0 as a cut off, mostly including Q3 and Q4, the hazard

ratios (HRs) for CAS were 1.95 (95% CI: 1.25–3.02), and 2.10 (95% CI: 1.29–3.42) in un-adjusted or adjusted Cox regression analysis, respectively. In CAS patients, HOMA-IR was significantly negatively correlated with reference diameter measured after nitroglycerin and significantly positively correlated with diffuse spasm (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, which analyzed non-diabetic subjects, the main findings were as follows: 1) IR is considerably linked

Variables	1 Quartile (n=82)	2 Quartile (n=82)	3 Quartile (n=83)	4 Quartile (n=83)	<i>p</i> value
CAS positive	30 (36.6)	41 (50.0)	56 (67.5)	44 (53.0)	0.001
Spontaneous spasm	20 (24.4)	16 (19.5)	18 (21.7)	17 (20.5)	0.885
EKG change	1 (1.2)	3 (3.7)	2 (2.4)	2 (2.4)	0.794
ST-segment elevation	1 (1.2)	1 (1.2)	1 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	0.797
ST-segment depression	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.2)	0.394
T-inversion	0 (0.0)	1 (1.2)	1 (1.2)	1 (1.2)	0.801
Atrial fibrillation	0 (0.0)	1 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0.386
Chest pain	20 (24.4)	31 (37.8)	39 (47.0)	35 (42.2)	0.019
AV block	25 (30.5)	21 (25.6)	20 (24.1)	17 (20.5)	0.520
Coronary stenosis					
Mild (<30%)	32 (39.0)	36 (43.9)	44 (53.0)	43 (51.8)	0.223
Mild (30–50%)	5 (6.1)	5 (6.1)	8 (9.6)	9 (10.8)	0.580
Moderate (50–70%)	7 (8.5)	4 (4.9)	5 (6.0)	5 (6.0)	0.805

CAS, coronary artery spasm; EKG, electrocardiogram; AV, atrioventricular; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance. Data are presented as n (%). 1 Quartile (n=82; HOMA-IR<1.35), 2 Quartile (n=82; 1.35 \leq HOMA-IR<1.93), 3 Quartile (n=83; 1.93 \leq HOMA-IR<2.73), and 4 Quartile (n=83; HOMA-IR<2.73).



Fig. 1. Incidence of Ach-induced CAS. Adjusted Cox regression analysis model included age, sex (male), hypertension, dyslipidemia, current smokers, current alcohol drinkers, body mass index, and status of glycemic control (hemoglobin A1c). Ach, Acetylcholine; CAS, coronary artery spasm; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HR, hazard ratio.

Variables	Un-adjusted HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
HOMA-IR		0.002		0.004
Q1 vs. Q2	1.73 (0.92–3.23)	0.084	1.74 (0.90–3.38)	0.099
Q1 vs. Q3	3.59 (1.89–6.83)	<0.001	3.55 (1.79–7.03)	<0.001
Q1 vs. Q4	1.95 (1.04–3.64)	0.035	2.12 (1.07-4.21)	0.031
Below 2.0 vs. above 2.0	1.95 (1.25–3.02)	0.003	2.10 (1.29–3.42)	0.003

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance.

Adjusted Cox regression analysis included age, sex (male), hypertension, dyslipidemia, current smokers, current alcohol drinkers, body mass index, and status of glycemic control (hemoglobin A1c). 1 Quartile (n=82; HOMA-IR<1.35), 2 Quartile (n=82; 1.35 \leq HOMA-IR<1.93), 3 Quartile (n=83; 1.93 \leq HOMA-IR<2.73), and 4 Quartile (n=83; HOMA-IR>2.73).

	Table 4. Angiographic	Characteristics in	Patients with Acet	vlcholine-Induced Corona	ry Artery	Spasm
--	-----------------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------------	-----------	-------

Variables	1 Quartile (n=30)	2 Quartile (n=41)	3 Quartile (n=56)	4 Quartile (n=44)	<i>p</i> value
Quantitative coronary angiography					
RD, mm (after NTG injection)	2.55±0.63	2.46±0.79	2.28±0.51	2.22±0.50	0.042
MND, mm (during Ach test)	0.81±0.39	0.69±0.48	0.70±0.34	0.61±0.23	0.245
MND, % (during Ach test)	68.7±14.4	71.6±14.9	68.9±13.1	72.1±9.2	0.778
Acetylcholine dose					
A1 (20 μg/min)	2 (6.7)	4 (9.8)	2 (3.6)	3 (6.8)	0.676
A2 (50 μg/min)	7 (23.3)	15 (36.6)	22 (39.3)	12 (27.3)	0.367
A3 (100 μg/min)	21 (70.0)	22 (53.7)	32 (57.1)	29 (65.9)	0.434
Spasm site					
Left anterior descending	29 (96.7)	39 (95.1)	50 (89.3)	41 (93.2)	0.555
Left circumflex	11 (36.7)	17 (41.5)	19 (33.9)	18 (40.9)	0.853
Spasm location					
Proximal to distal	10 (33.3)	21 (51.2)	15 (26.8)	22 (50.0)	0.035
Mid to distal	9 (30.0)	15 (36.6)	24 (42.9)	14 (31.8)	0.585
Proximal only	6 (20.0)	4 (9.8)	6 (10.7)	3 (6.8)	0.347
Mid only	5 (16.7)	1 (2.4)	9 (16.1)	3 (6.8)	0.089
Distal only	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (3.6)	2 (4.5)	0.390
Diffuse spasm	20 (66.7)	39 (95.1)	44 (78.6)	38 (86.4)	0.013
Multi-vessel spasm	10 (33.3)	15 (36.6)	13 (23.2)	15 (34.1)	0.483

RD, reference diameter; MND, minimum narrowing diameter; NTG, nitroglycerin; Ach, acetylcholine.

Data are presented as a n (%) or mean \pm standard deviation. 1 Quartile (n=82; HOMA-IR<1.35), 2 Quartile (n=82; 1.35 \leq HOMA-IR<1.93), 3 Quartile (n=83; 1.93 \leq HOMA-IR<2.73), and 4 Quartile (n=83; HOMA-IR \geq 2.73).

to age, sex, body mass index, and high levels of triglyceride. Also, 2) HOMA-IR is positively correlated with CAS incidence during Ach provocation test.

Obstructive CAS, which is implicated in endothelial dysfunction, induces ACS and VSA.¹⁻⁶ Meanwhile, IR subjects are at a greatly increased risk of developing type 2 DM and is associated with obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and metabolic syndromes.^{7,8,16,17} Many studies have shown associations among IR and its effects on vasculature and endocrine system.^{2,18,19} However, associations between CAS and IR in non-diabetic individuals has not been studied. A few studies have reported that IR is closely linked to endothelial dysfunction and causes endothelial dysfunction in peripheral circulation; however, whether it impairs endothelial function in coronary circulation has not been proven.^{20,21} This is the first report on the associations between IR and CAS in non-DM subjects.

In the present study, poor IR was strongly associated with CAS in patients without DM. Compared with Q1, the HRs for Q3 and Q4 were 3.55 (95% CI: 1.79-7.03, p<0.001) and 2.12 (95% CI: 1.07-4.21, *p*=0.031), respectively, after adjustment of baseline risk confounders. Also, diffuse spasm and accompanying chest pain during Ach provocation test were more strongly associated with IR patients with CAS (Tables 3 and 4). In our previous study, which assessed 986 patients who underwent Ach provocation test, we found no relationships among DM, blood sugar control status, and CAS.9 However, in the present study on non-DM subjects, IR showed a strong, positive association with CAS. These findings suggest that there may be different mechanisms at play between prognostic CAS and IR according to DM status. Lee, et al.22 reported the optimal cut off of HOMA-IR for type 2 DM is 1.97 (AUC=0.807; 95% CI=0.777-0.886; sensitivity=65.5%, specificity=82.9%). In the present study

ΥMJ

on non-DM subjects, the HOMA-IR of higher IR groups, such as Q3 (1.93 \leq HOMA-IR<2.73) and Q4 (HOMA-IR \geq 2.73), was similar to the HOMA-IR of DM patients. As shown in Fig. 1, CAS incidence was highest in Q3 and decreased in Q4, supporting our hypothesis.

At the cellular level, insulin works via two pathways. The phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)-dependent signaling pathway is involved in nitric oxide (NO) production and vasodilation in vascular endothelium.^{23,24} Another signaling pathway is the mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK)-dependent insulin-signaling pathway that regulates the secretion of vasoconstrictor endothelin-1 (ET-1) and intracellular adhesion molecules 1, as well as vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 expression in vascular endothelium.^{23,24} However, such signaling pathways seem to be impaired in an IR state. Glucotoxicity, lipotoxicity, and inflammation are often present in an IR state.²⁵ Various cytokines produced in inflammation inhibit NO production and vascular endothelial vasodilation by down-regulating the PI3K signaling pathway. Moreover, endothelial IR is generally characterized by selective impairment in PI3K-NO signaling but an intact MAPK-dependent pathway.^{23,24} This causes reduced expression of endothelial NO synthase protein and NO and increased release of vasoconstrictor ET-1, collectively resulting in endothelial vasoconstriction.² Such endothelial dysfunction mechanisms support our results of a positive correlation between IR patients and a greater risk of having CAS.

Our study showed that insulin-resistant groups (Q3 and Q4) had higher blood insulin levels that fall under hyperinsulinemia. As previously mentioned, these patients also had more frequent CAS. Nakagomi, et al.²⁶ demonstrated that IR associated with compensatory hyperinsulinemia increases the risk of other cardiac events in VSA. Also, another study reported that compensatory hyperinsulinemia in IR patients causes endothelial dysfunction.²³ Hence, in a hyperinsulinemia state, the insulin-induced vasodilatory effect is impaired. Also, at baseline, the IR groups showed higher prevalences of old age, male gender, and high body mass index and triglyceride levels (Table 1). These factors are well-known risk factors for type 2 DM and IR.⁸

There were several limitations in the present study. First, IR was calculated by the HOMA-IR index, which is not a direct method. Other well-designed prospective studies are necessary to derive a definite conclusion. Second, medication history is important to furthering detailed analyses. However, in this study, medication sub-type and duration were not demonstrated clearly due to retrospective analysis design. Third, the present study was analyzed retrospectively, and multivariable Cox-proportional regression analysis was performed to minimize confounding factors that might have influenced the results. Also, the registry was designed with an all-comer prospective registry from 2004. We could not adjust for all limiting factors not included in the medical records or collected through telephone contact.

To summarize our study, IR was positively associated with

CAS on non-DM subjects. Recent studies have shown that improving lifestyle and medication interventions not only improve the symptoms and prevent complications of diabetes but also prevent the onset of type 2 DM.²⁷⁻²⁹ Therefore, medication interventions along with an improving lifestyle should be suggested for these patients.

ORCID

Seung-Woon Rha https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9456-9852

REFERENCES

- 1. Kugiyama K, Ohgushi M, Motoyama T, Sugiyama S, Ogawa H, Yoshimura M, et al. Nitric oxide-mediated flow-dependent dilation is impaired in coronary arteries in patients with coronary spastic angina. J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;30:920-6.
- Yasue H, Nakagawa H, Itoh T, Harada E, Mizuno Y. Coronary artery spasm--clinical features, diagnosis, pathogenesis, and treatment. J Cardiol 2008;51:2-17.
- 3. Stern S, Bayes de Luna A. Coronary artery spasm: a 2009 update. Circulation 2009;119:2531-4.
- 4. Lanza GA, Careri G, Crea F. Mechanisms of coronary artery spasm. Circulation 2011;124:1774-82.
- Ong P, Athanasiadis A, Hill S, Vogelsberg H, Voehringer M, Sechtem U. Coronary artery spasm as a frequent cause of acute coronary syndrome: The CASPAR (Coronary Artery Spasm in Patients With Acute Coronary Syndrome) Study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;52:523-7.
- Laporte F, Moulin F, Brembilla-Perrot B. Sudden death caused by atypical variant angina. Arch Cardiovasc Dis 2011;104:480-1.
- 7. Diabetes mellitus: a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease. A joint editorial statement by the American Diabetes Association; The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; The Juvenile Diabetes Foundation International; The National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; and The American Heart Association. Circulation 1999;100:1132-3.
- 8. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2013;36 Suppl 1:S67-74.
- Li YJ, Hyun MH, Rha SW, Chen KY, Jin Z, Dang Q, et al. Diabetes mellitus is not a risk factor for coronary artery spasm as assessed by an intracoronary acetylcholine provocation test: angiographic and clinical characteristics of 986 patients. J Invasive Cardiol 2014; 26:234-9.
- Choi BG, Park SH, Rha SW, Ahn J, Choi SY, Byun JK, et al. Threeyear follow-up of patients with acetylcholine-induced coronary artery spasm combined with insignificant coronary stenosis. Int J Cardiol 2017;238:66-71.
- 11. Choi BG, Jeon SY, Rha SW, Park SH, Shim MS, Choi SY, et al. Impact of renin-angiotensin system inhibitors on long-term clinical outcomes of patients with coronary artery spasm. J Am Heart Assoc 2016;5:e003217.
- Choi BG, Rha SW, Park T, Choi SY, Byun JK, Shim MS, et al. Impact of cigarette smoking: a 3-year clinical outcome of vasospastic angina patients. Korean Circ J 2016;46:632-8.
- Choi BG, Park SH, Rha SW, Park JY, Choi SY, Park Y, et al. Five-year clinical outcomes in patients with significant coronary artery spasm: a propensity score-matched analysis. Int J Cardiol 2015;184: 533-9.
- Im SI, Rha SW, Choi BG, Choi SY, Kim SW, Na JO, et al. Angiographic and clinical characteristics according to intracoronary acetylcholine dose in patients with myocardial bridge. Cardiology 2013;

125:250-7.

- 15. Legro RS, Castracane VD, Kauffman RP. Detecting insulin resistance in polycystic ovary syndrome: purposes and pitfalls. Obstet Gynecol Surv 2004;59:141-54.
- DeFronzo RA, Ferrannini E. Insulin resistance. A multifaceted syndrome responsible for NIDDM, obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Diabetes Care 1991;14:173-94.
- 17. Petersen KF, Dufour S, Savage DB, Bilz S, Solomon G, Yonemitsu S, et al. The role of skeletal muscle insulin resistance in the pathogenesis of the metabolic syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007; 104:12587-94.
- JCS Joint Working Group. Guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of patients with vasospastic angina (coronary spastic angina) (JCS 2008): digest version. Circ J 2010;74:1745-62.
- 19. Muniyappa R, Montagnani M, Koh KK, Quon MJ. Cardiovascular actions of insulin. Endocr Rev 2007;28:463-91.
- Baron AD. Hemodynamic actions of insulin. Am J Physiol 1994; 267(2 Pt 1):E187-202.
- Steinberg HO, Chaker H, Leaming R, Johnson A, Brechtel G, Baron AD. Obesity/insulin resistance is associated with endothelial dysfunction. Implications for the syndrome of insulin resistance. J Clin Invest 1996;97:2601-10.
- 22. Lee CH, Shih AZ, Woo YC, Fong CH, Leung OY, Janus E, et al. Optimal cut-offs of homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) to identify dysglycemia and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a

15-year prospective study in Chinese. PLoS One 2016;11:e0163424.

- Arcaro G, Cretti A, Balzano S, Lechi A, Muggeo M, Bonora E, et al. Insulin causes endothelial dysfunction in humans: sites and mechanisms. Circulation 2002;105:576-82.
- 24. DeFronzo RA. Insulin resistance, lipotoxicity, type 2 diabetes and atherosclerosis: the missing links. The Claude Bernard Lecture 2009. Diabetologia 2010;53:1270-87.
- Potenza MA, Addabbo F, Montagnani M. Vascular actions of insulin with implications for endothelial dysfunction. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2009;297:E568-77.
- 26. Nakagomi A, Saiki Y, Kosugi M, Kohashi K, Yoshikawa Y, Yamane Y, et al. Effect of insulin resistance associated with compensatory hyperinsulinemia on the long-term prognosis in patients with vasospastic angina. Int J Cardiol 2013;167:2222-7.
- Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. N Engl J Med 2002;346: 393-403.
- Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hämäläinen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. N Engl J Med 2001;344:1343-50.
- 29. Rha SW, Choi BG, Seo HS, Park SH, Park JY, Chen KY, et al. Impact of statin use on development of new-onset diabetes mellitus in Asian population. Am J Cardiol 2016;117:382-7.