

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Trauma Case Reports



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tcr

Case Report

Off-label: The results of adjunctive bone morphogenetic protein for challenging femur fractures; a review of two cases

Julia Anne Prodoehl^a, Yianni Bakaes^{b,*}, Michael Tucker^a, Frank Voss^c

^a Prisma Health Midlands Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, 2 Medical Park Rd, Columbia, SC 29203, United States of America

^b University of South Carolina School of Medicine Columbia, 2 Medical Park Rd, Columbia, SC 29203, United States of America

^c Medical University of South Carolina Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, 135 Rutledge Ave., Charleston, SC 29425, United States of America

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) Off-label use Femur fracture Heterotropic ossification

ABSTRACT

Background: Although bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) are used as an adjunct to promote healing, they may have unintended effects such as heterotopic ossification (HO). The literature is limited regarding the effect of using off-label BMPs for femur fractures.

Case presentation: We report two outcomes after off-label use of BMPs for the treatment of femur fractures and propose a possible explanation for the difference.

Conclusions: BMPs are critical osteoinductive factors in injured bone and muscle that facilitate bony healing. However, it may be important to recognize the potentially negative effects of adding BMP to bone graft material in certain cases to stimulate bone repair. We hope this case series helps surgeons consider the risks and benefits of using BMP for femur fractures, and therefore to decide with caution when BMP is indicated.

Background

Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) belong to the transforming growth factor (TGFb) superfamily of proteins and are known to be active in multiple growth and differentiation processes [1,2]. Previous studies have cited that, in fractures, the concentration of BMPs at the non-union fracture site is a key factor for successful treatment [3,4]. With the use of recombinant DNA technology, high concentrations of single growth factors such as BMPs can be introduced for bone regeneration [5,6]. Although the use of BMP is currently only FDA approved for spinal fusion procedures and open tibial shaft fractures, off-label BMP use continues to be used for other orthopaedic injuries, specifically fractures of the tibia and humerus [7–9].

Although BMPs are often associated with positive outcomes, a reported complication related to the use of BMPs in the extremities is heterotopic bone formation [10-12]. Heterotopic ossification (HO) is the pathological formation of ectopic bone in soft tissues. It often occurs following severe trauma, and BMP signaling is believed to play a key role in the overall HO process [13]. We present two cases where off-label BMP was used for a femur fracture and led to two different outcomes.

Case 1

A 51-year-old African American female presented to our trauma service in 2009 following a high-speed motor vehicle collision (MVC). She suffered injuries including an open right tibia fracture, cervical spine fracture, and a complex left femoral neck and shaft

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcr.2024.100979

Accepted 31 January 2024 Available online 1 February 2024 2352-6440/© 2024 Published by Elsevier Ltd. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

E-mail address: ybakaes15@gmail.com (Y. Bakaes).

fracture. Around 10–15 years prior, she had a previous injury that required an antegrade left femoral nail. Her femoral neck fracture from the MVC was around the proximal aspect of this pre-existing femoral nail. This nail had become ingrown within the femoral canal. For removal, the bent nail had to be transected and the proximal portion of the femur required an osteotomy to access the nail. The proximal portion of the nail was removed with anterior and lateral osteotomies, and the distal part was left in the femur. There was significant bone loss of the anterior and lateral proximal femur. The femoral neck and proximal femur were fixed with a proximal locking femoral plate that spanned the femoral shaft fracture. Then, cancellous bone allograft with one vial of BMP-2 was used to backfill the large defects in the anterior and lateral portions of the base of the femoral neck and shaft of the femur.

Postoperatively, she developed stiffness in her hip and knee. She was found to have extensive HO which nearly bridged the space between the trochanter and the ilium, and extended to her knee. Due to her stiffness, she required two surgeries for HO excision and manipulation. One surgery was for her left hip and the other for her left knee. This patient had over 10 years of documented follow-up. Most recently, she had 3–80 degrees of motion in her left knee. She had painless but limited external and internal rotation of her left hip, and normal intact sensation in her left lower extremity. However, she continues to have difficulty with ambulation (Fig. 1).

Case 2

A 64-year-old Caucasian female with severe polyarticular rheumatoid arthritis and a history of bilateral hip and knee replacements presented in 2011 after a fall. She sustained a left interprosthetic femur fracture. She underwent open reduction and internal fixation using a 6-hole large fragment AO locking plate along the medial side of the knee and a 10-hole lateral locking femoral plate with cerclage wires. Unicortical screws and 4-hole cloverleaf structures were applied around the femur to ensure stable proximal femur fixation. Cancellous bone allograft with one vial of BMP-2 was then placed at the fracture site in an area of vascularized bone to aid in



Fig. 1. A and B, AP of the left femur injury radiographs demonstrating a midshaft femur and femoral neck fracture with a bent intramedullary nail. C and D, AP of the left femur several months post operatively with HO formation forming around the hip to the midshaft femur. E and F, AP of the left femur with HO formation extending to the ilium.

healing. She had one year of postoperative follow-up documentation, and she had an uneventful and successful healing process with no HO formation in her left lower extremity (Fig. 2).

Conclusions

BMPs are promising growth factors that are involved in the enhancement of bone healing [14,15]. They can induce differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells into osteogenic cells capable of producing bone [16,17]. Although this can be beneficial in patients with orthopaedic injuries, BMPs also have the potential to lead to HO and stimulate bone growth in unintended areas. Our case series demonstrated two patients who were both treated with cancellous bone allograft with one vial of BMP-2, but had very different outcomes.

Certain patient-specific risk factors such as high energy injury, multiple medical comorbidities, and multiple previous surgeries have been cited to contribute to poor bone healing [18]. These risk factors may have impacted the healing of the two patients in our case study. The patient in case 1 had a prior surgery to the area and a high energy impact injury; the patient in case 2 had multiple lower extremity surgeries and a relevant comorbidity that may have impacted recovery. Patient risk factors may be important for a surgeon to consider when anticipating potential complications. We speculate that the risk factors of these respective patients led to the surgeon deciding to use BMP to promote healing.

The literature has documented that the complicated balance between BMPs and severe natural BMP antagonists represent one intrinsic source for pathologic fracture healing [19]. Additionally, a significant reduction in BMP expression has been found in the



Fig. 2. A and B, AP and lateral of the injury radiographs demonstrating left distal third femoral shaft periprosthetic fracture with lateral and posterior displacement. C and D, AP of the left femur post operatively several months following fixation without evidence of HO formation.

cartilaginous areas of non-healing fractures [20]. However, the physiologic nature of a traumatic injury has been shown to naturally induce osteoinductive factors such as BMP [21]. It may be important for a surgeon to understand this protein balance when considering which surgical patient should receive BMPs to promote positive outcomes and limit complications such as HO. The literature is limited regarding use of BMPs for femur fractures, and our case series adds to the available data on this topic.

Although the mechanism of acquired HO is still not fully understood, the literature has noted that more severe levels of muscular trauma can lead to an HO incidence as high as 64.6 % [22]. Therefore, we speculate that the patient in case 1 may have developed HO due to an intense natural upregulation of BMPs due to the severe nature of the trauma. The addition of more BMPs synthetically may have further upregulated this pattern and led to the debilitating postoperative HO. Although arthroplasty is also a risk factor for developing HO and the patient in case 2 previously had 4 of these procedures, the risk has been reported to be lower than intense trauma [23]. We believe that the extensive acute injuries experienced by the patient in case 1 may help explain their negative outcome.

The use of BMPs in orthopaedic surgery has grown in recent years, and surgeons may wish to continue using them to promote patient healing and bone growth. However, it may be important for surgeons to consider that most fractures heal without the use of osteoinductive factors [3]. Additionally, patient-specific factors and the mechanism of injury may have a crucial impact on their ability to heal and how they will respond to BMPs. It may be valuable for surgeons to consider that patients who sustain severe acute trauma already have a natural, acute upregulation of BMPs. Adding a high concentration of synthetic BMPs to the area may induce HO. Although BMPs are useful for healing when there is bone loss or when the patient has poor healing factors, they have the potential to lead to increased patient morbidity and should be used with caution. Overall, further investigation regarding the specifics of BMPs and their clinical indications may be warranted to elucidate the most appropriate cases that would benefit from their use. Additionally, it may be interesting to evaluate different subtypes of BMP for patients with femur fractures. Both patients in this study were treated with BMP-2, which has been shown to have a similar complication rate when compared with BMP-7 but a higher rate of radiographic healing and consolidation of nonunion for lower limb injuries [24,25]. Although the use of BMP-2 has been generally associated with positive outcomes, future research could focus on specific BMP subtypes that limit the formation of HO.

Ethics

The Prisma Health-Upstate Institutional Review Board reviewed the study and waived the need for IRB approval.

Consent to publish

IRB approval waived, no identifiable information was displayed in the study.

Funding

There were no sources of funding for this article.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Julia Anne Prodoehl: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft. Yianni Bakaes: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Validation, Writing – review & editing. Michael Tucker: Conceptualization, Resources. Frank Voss: Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors have no disclosures and no declaration of interests. No funding was received for this study. The authors did not use generative AI or AI-assisted technologies in the writing process.

Data availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

References

- H. Cheng, W. Jiang, F.M. Phillips, R.C. Haydon, Y. Peng, L. Zhou, et. al., Osteogenic activity of the fourteen types of human bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), J. Bone Joint Surg. Am. 85-A (2003) 1544–1552.
- [2] S. Saito, K. Yano, S. Sharma, H.E. McMahon, S. Shimasaki, Characterization of the post-translational modification of recombinant human BMP-15 mature protein, Protein Sci. 17 (2008) 362–370.
- [3] E. Argintar, S. Edwards, J. Delahay, Bone morphogenetic proteins in orthopaedic trauma surgery, Injury 42 (2011) 730–734.

- [4] E.D. Arrington, W.J. Smith, H.G. Chambers, Bucknell AL, Complications of iliac crest bone graft harvesting. Clin Orthop Relat Res, Davino NA, 1996, pp. 300–309.
- [5] S.D. Cook, M.W. Wolfe, S.L. Salkeld, D.C. Rueger, Effect of recombinant human osteogenic protein-1 on healing of segmental defects in non-human primates, J. Bone Joint Surg. Am. 77 (1995) 734–750.
- [6] T.N. Gerhart, C.A. Kirker-Head, M.J. Kriz, et. al., Healing segmental femoral defects in sheep using recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein, Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res. (1993) 317–326.
- [7] Schultz DG. P000058 letter of approval. 7-2-2002. Department of Health and Human Services, Center for Devices and Radiological Health. Available at: http:// www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh docs/pdf/P000058a.pdf. Accessed 4/27/2023.
- [8] Schultz DG. P000054 letter of approval. 4-30-2004. Department of Health and Human Services, Center for Devices and Radiological Health. Available at: http:// www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf/p000054a.pdf. Accessed 4/27/2023.
- [9] M. Ronga, A. Fagetti, G. Canton, E. Paiusco, M.F. Surace, P. Cherubino, Clinical applications of growth factors in bone injuries: experience with BMPs, Injury 44 (Suppl. 1) (Jan 2013) S34–S39, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-1383(13)70008-1 (PMID: 23351868).
- [10] T.W. Axelrad, B. Steen, D.W. Lowenberg, W.R. Creevy, T.A. Einhorn, Heterotopic ossification after the use of commercially available recombinant human bone morphogenetic proteins in four patients, J. Bone Joint Surg. (Br.) 90 (2008) 1617–1622.
- [11] S. Boraiah, O. Paul, D. Hawkes, M. Wickham, D.G. Lorich, Complications of recombinant human BMP-2 for treating complex tibial plateau fractures: a preliminary report, Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res. 467 (2009) 3257–3262.
- [12] R.W. Wysocki, M.S. Cohen, Ectopic ossification of the triceps muscle after application of bone morphogenetic protein-7 to the distal humerus for recalcitrant nonunion: a case report, J. Hand. Surg. [Am.] 32 (2007) 647–650.
- [13] Wu J, Ren B, Shi F, Hua P, Lin H. BMP and mTOR signaling in heterotopic ossification: does their crosstalk provide therapeutic opportunities? J. Cell. Biochem. Aug 2019;120(8):12108–12122. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.28710. Epub 2019 Apr 15. PMID: 30989716.
- [14] A.H. Reddi, Role of morphogenetic proteins in skeletal tissue engineering and regeneration, Nat. Biotechnol. 16 (1998) 247–252, https://doi.org/10.1038/ nbt0398-247.
- [15] B. Bragdon, O. Moseychuk, S. Saldanha, D. King, J. Julian, A. Nohe, Bone morphogenetic proteins: a critical review, Cell. Signal. 23 (2011) 609–620, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2010.10.003.
- [16] J.M. Granjeiro, R.C. Oliveira, J.C. Bustos-Valenzuela, M.C. Sogayar, R. Taga, Bone morphogenetic proteins: from structure to clinical use, Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res. 38 (2005) 1463–1473, https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-879X2005001000003.
- [17] X. Zhang, J. Guo, Y. Zhou, G. Wu, The roles of bone morphogenetic proteins and their signaling in the osteogenesis of adipose-derived stem cells, Tissue Eng. B Rev. 20 (2014) 84–92, https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.teb.2013.0204.
- [18] Bibbo C, Nelson J, Ehrlich D, Rougeux B. Bone morphogenetic proteins: indications and uses. Clin. Podiatr. Med. Surg. Jan 2015;32(1):35–43. doi: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cpm.2014.09.005. Epub 2014 Oct 18. PMID: 25440416.
- [19] M. Fajardo, C.J. Liu, K. Egol, Levels of expression for BMP-7 and several BMP antagonists may play an integral role in a fracture nonunion: a pilot study, Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res. 467 (2009) 3071–3078.
- [20] F.N. Kwong, J.A. Hoyland, A.J. Freemont, C.H. Evans, Altered relative expression of BMPs and BMP inhibitors in cartilaginous areas of human fractures progressing towards nonunion, J. Orthop. Res. 27 (2009) 752–757.
- [21] D.E. Garland, A clinical perspective on common forms of acquired heterotopic ossification, Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res. 263 (Feb 1991) 13–29 (PMID: 1899635).
- [22] J. Anthonissen, C. Ossendorf, J.L. Hock, et al., The role of muscular trauma in the development of heterotopic ossification after hip surgery: an animal-model study in rats, Injury 47 (2016) 613–616.
- [23] J.A. Forsberg, J.M. Pepek, S. Wagner, et al., Heterotopic ossification in high-energy wartime extremity injuries: prevalence and risk factors, J. Bone Joint Surg. Am. 91 (2009) 1084–1091.
- [24] J.D. Conway, L. Shabtai, A. Bauernschub, S.C. Specht, BMP-7 versus BMP-2 for the treatment of long bone nonunion, Orthopedics 37 (12) (Dec 2014) e1049–e1057, https://doi.org/10.3928/01477447-20141124-50 (PMID: 25437077).
- [25] Haubruck P, Tanner MC, Vlachopoulos W, Hagelskamp S, Miska M, Ober J, Fischer C, Schmidmaier G. Comparison of the clinical effectiveness of Bone Morphogenic Protein (BMP) -2 and -7 in the adjunct treatment of lower limb nonunions. Orthop. Traumatol. Surg. Res. Dec 2018;104(8):1241–1248. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2018.08.008. Epub 2018 Oct 4. PMID: 30293748.