# **Research Report**

# TgF344-AD Rat Model of Alzheimer's Disease: Spatial Disorientation and Asymmetry in Hemispheric Neurodegeneration

Boriss Sagalajev<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Lina Lennartz<sup>a,b</sup>, Lukas Vieth<sup>c,d</sup>, Cecilia Tasya Gunawan<sup>a,b</sup>, Bernd Neumaier<sup>c,d</sup>, Alexander Drzezga<sup>e,f</sup>, Veerle Visser-Vandewalle<sup>a,b</sup>, Heike Endepols<sup>c,d,e</sup> and Thibaut Sesia<sup>a,b</sup> <sup>a</sup>University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Department of Stereotactic and Functional Neurosurgery, Cologne, Germany <sup>b</sup>European Graduate School of Neuroscience (EURON), Maastricht, Netherlands <sup>c</sup>University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Institute of Radiochemistry and Experimental Molecular Imaging, Cologne, Germany <sup>d</sup>Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine, Nuclear Chemistry (INM-5), Jülich, Germany <sup>e</sup>University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Cologne, Germany <sup>f</sup>Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine, Molecular Organization of the Brain (INM-2), Jülich, Germany

Received 11 May 2023 Accepted 22 August 2023 Pre-press 8 September 2023 Published 26 September 2023

# Abstract.

**Background:** The TgF344-AD ratine represents a transgenic animal model of Alzheimer's disease. We previously reported spatial memory impairment in TgF344-AD rats, yet the underlying mechanism remained unknown. We, therefore, set out to determine if spatial memory impairment in TgF344-AD rats is attributed to spatial disorientation. Also, we aimed to investigate whether TgF344-AD rats exhibit signs of asymmetry in hemispheric neurodegeneration, similar to what is reported in spatially disoriented AD patients. Finally, we sought to examine how spatial disorientation correlates with working memory performance.

**Methods:** TgF344-AD rats were divided into two groups balanced by sex and genotype. The first group underwent the delayed match-to-sample (DMS) task for the assessment of spatial orientation and working memory, while the second group underwent positron emission tomography (PET) for the assessment of glucose metabolism and microglial activity as *in-vivo* markers of neurodegeneration. Rats were 13 months old during DMS training and 14–16 months old during DMS testing and PET.

ISSN 2542-4823 © 2023 – The authors. Published by IOS Press. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Boriss Sagalajev, Kerpener Str. 62, LFI Building, Room 2.026, D-50937, Cologne, Germany. Tel.: +49 221 478 82772; E-mail: boriss.sagalajev@uk-koeln.de.

**Results:** In the DMS task, TgF344-AD rats were more likely than their wild-type littermates to display strong preference for one of the two levers, preventing working memory testing. Rats without lever-preference showed similar working memory, regardless of their genotype. PET revealed hemispherically asymmetric clusters of increased microglial activity and altered glucose metabolism in TgF344-AD rats.

**Conclusions:** TgF344-AD rats display spatial disorientation and hemispherically asymmetrical neurodegeneration, suggesting a potential causal relationship consistent with past clinical research. In rats with preserved spatial orientation, working memory remains intact.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, brain imaging, glucose, microglia, PET, rat model, spatial orientation, working memory

# INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD) remains incurable despite advances in understanding this condition's neuropathology and continuous efforts for new therapeutic approaches. One main obstacle to effective therapy is that the brain has already suffered extensive or unredeemable damage when patients are diagnosed. At the diagnostic stage, the AD brain is characterized by the formation of extracellular amyloid plaques (AP) from amyloid-beta (AB) peptide fibrils and of intracellular neurofibrillary tangles (NFT) from hyperphosphorylated tau (p-tau) protein [1]. Accumulation of AP and NFT leads to degeneration of neurons and synapses and eventually to the progressive cognitive decline typical of AD [2]. Accordingly, the AP and neuroinflammation correlate with the severity of cognitive impairment in AD patients, while drugs independently targeting both biomarkers fail at yielding significant clinical benefits [3]. AD starts decades before its clinical presentation and diagnosis [4]. Unfortunately, carrying out studies at such an early stage in prospective patients is difficult for practical and ethical reasons. Nevertheless, many challenges to answering pressing questions about the neuropathology of AD can be tackled with suitable transgenic rat models.

The "amyloid cascade hypothesis" states that Aβaggregation initiates a chain of pathophysiological reactions that ends in neuronal loss and the development of dementia [5]. The hypothesis is supported by genetic evidence that any mutation in the amyloid precursor protein (APP) or in presenilin 1 or 2 (PS1/PS2) eventually leads to Aβ accumulation and thus to the development of early-onset AD in humans [1]. This line of evidence led to the generation of Aβoverproducing transgenic mice, which until recently served as the "gold standard" in AD animal modeling, but which did not develop robust tauopathy nor neuronal loss unless additional human transgenes were introduced [6-8]. Rats, on the other hand, are phylogenetically closer to humans with regards to key physiological aspects such as the number of tau isoforms [9, 10]. Therefore, the transgenic rat line TgF344-AD, which was generated from Fischer 344 rats, is one of the most suitable animal models for AD research, as it manifests in an age-dependent manner, similar to patients, the complete repertoire of AD's pathological hallmarks: cerebral amyloidosis, tauopathy, oligomeric AB, gliosis, apoptotic loss of neurons, and behavioral impairment [9]. Specifically, the TgF344-AD rat line expresses two mutant human genes: the "Swedish" APP (APPsw) and the  $\Delta$  exon 9 PS1 (*PS1* $\Delta$ *E9*). Numerous studies have validated the phenotype of TgF344-AD rats [11-14], which, since their first description, have been used to test efficacy of deep brain stimulation [15, 16],  $A\beta$ attenuation therapy [17], anti-inflammatory agents [18], and low-dose brain radiation [19]. Converging data suggest that the time resolution of the symptoms' progression in this transgenic line not only allows for studying AD at both early and advanced stages but also at a prodromal stage [20-23].

In our previous study, we showed that spatial memory is impaired in TgF344-AD rats, yet the exact mechanism remained unknown [15]. We, therefore, set out to determine if spatial memory deficits in TgF344-AD rats result from the development of spatial disorientation, which, as in AD patients [2, 24–26], may result from the asymmetry in hemispheric neurodegeneration. We used positron emission tomography (PET) to detect changes in glucose metabolism and microglial activity as a means of assessing neurodegeneration in vivo [27]. Also, we subjected TgF344-AD rats to the delayed match-to-sample (DMS) task to assess their ability to differentiate between left and right sides as well as to simultaneously measure their working memory performance.

#### 1087

# METHODS

# Ethical approval

All experiments were approved by the local regulatory authority (*Landesamt für Natur, Umwelt und Verbraucherschutz Nordrhein-Westfalen* (LANUV), North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany; permission no. 84-02.04.2015.A490 and 81-02.04.2022.A045) and were carried out in accordance with the EU Directive 2010/63/EU on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes. ARRIVE guidelines on reporting of animal research were followed.

#### Animals

A colony of TgF344-AD rats was bred at the University of Cologne as part of the Materials Transfer Agreement (Rat Resource and Research Center, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, USA). To avoid any potential effects that carrying the transgenes may have on maternity, we only allowed transgene-positive male rats to mate with wild-type Fischer 344 female rats (Janvier Labs, Le Genest-Saint-Isle, France). Transgenic pups did not show obvious AD signs, so no differences could be made a priori between them and their wild-type littermates. The genotype was, therefore, determined with PCR using ear skin biopsy taken at the time of weaning (Transne-tyx Inc., New York, NY, USA).

Rats were divided into two groups balanced by sex and genotype. The first group consisted of 10 transgenic  $(7q + 3\sigma)$  and 10 wild-type  $(5q + 5\sigma)$  rats, which underwent the DMS task. The second group consisted of 6 transgenic  $(3q + 3\sigma)$  and 6 wild-type  $(3q + 3\sigma)$ rats, which underwent PET for the measurement of microglial activity, as well as of 5 transgenic  $(2q + 3\sigma)$ and 6 wild-type  $(3q + 3\sigma)$  rats, which underwent PET for the measurement of glucose metabolism. A slight bias in the ratio of female to male transgenic rats was attributed to the varying number of pups of different sexes per litter. DMS training started when the rats were 13 months old, while DMS testing started when they were 14 months old. Similarly, PET started when the rats were 14–16 months old.

Between the experiments, the rats were kept together in cages littered with low-dust spruce granulate and enriched with cardboard tubes, paper towels, and aspen bricks. While access to food in the PET group was ad libitum, in the DMS group it was restricted to 3–8 h per day. This was done to maintain the rats' weight at 85–90% of their ad-libitum value

and thus increase their motivation to obtain a sucrose pellet during the DMS task. No restrictions on water intake were imposed for either group.

All experiments were conducted from 9 AM to 6 PM. However, due to different light cycles in different animal facilities, DMS rats (normal 12:12 h) were tested a few hours after dawn, while PET rats (inverse 12:12 h) a few hours after dusk. Rats are crepuscular animals, meaning they are most active during dawn and dusk. Therefore, despite the different light cycles, both the PET and DMS rats were tested when they were least active, making them comparable in this regard. However, to mitigate any potential effects that varying light conditions could have had on our findings, we conducted only within-group analyses.

#### DMS task

#### Habituation

Spatial orientation and working memory were evaluated using the DMS task according to a procedure adapted from Dunnett et al. using isolated operant chambers [28]. The chambers had a pellet dispenser and two retractable levers to the left and right of the feeder. Before the DMS task, the rats were handled for five minutes daily for several days to decrease their stress levels. The handling was followed by a habituation period of at least two days when the rats stayed for 30 min inside the operant chambers. Then the training part of the DMS task started.

#### Training

The training consisted of five stages. The first four stages familiarized the rat with the mechanism of pressing levers and obtaining a sucrose pellet as a reward (45 mg, unflavored Dustless Precision Pellets; Bio-Serv., Flemington, NJ, USA). Throughout the training stages, an incorrect response (pressing the incorrect lever or failing to press any lever) produced a timeout of 5 s, during which the overhead lights were turned off and no sucrose pellet was delivered. The trials were separated by 10 s. The stages went as follows:

- Stage I: The two levers are extended, and the rat is rewarded for every single lever press.
- Stage II: Only one lever is extended, with the side of the lever being randomized across trials. Once the extended lever is pressed, it retracts and then extends again without delay. The rat is rewarded following the second lever press.

- Stage III: Similar to stage II, except that the active lever changes side every block of three successful trials.
- Stage IV: Similar to stage III, except that the active lever changes side randomly between trials.
- Stage V: The rat must press the lever presented at random on the left or right side to initiate the trial; it is the sample lever for that trial. After the sample lever retracts, both levers extend without delay. The rat is rewarded for pressing (i.e., matching) the sample lever. This stage is similar to the DMS testing part (described below) but without the delay between the sample and match phases.

Every experimental day, stages I-II had 60 trials each, while stages III-IV lasted until the rat had 30 pellets (max one pellet per trial). Finally, stage V ended after 60 min or when all 60 trials were completed, whichever came first. Only when the rat achieved a minimum of 75% correct responses in stage V, did it proceed to the testing part of the DMS task.

#### Testing

During the testing part of the DMS task, the delay between sample and match phases was randomly set to 1, 2, 4, 8, or 16 s. Each delay was presented 12 times per experimental day, resulting in a total of 60 trials. The primary measure was the longest delay with a success rate of over 75%, as only then the rat was considered to use directed strategy.

#### **Statistics**

The statistical analysis was done in Python (version 3.9.13) using SciPy (version 1.9.1) and Pingouin (version 0.5.3) libraries. Figures were made in Python using Matplotlib (version 3.7.0) and Seaborn (version 0.12.2) libraries.

An unpaired two-tailed *t*-test was used to assess the learning rate and lever preference during DMS training, while a two-way mixed ANOVA with Geisser-Greenhouse (GG) correction was used to assess working memory during DMS testing. In addition, a Fisher's exact test was conducted to establish the association between lever preference and genotype. Results were considered significant at a *p*value below 0.05 only when statistical power  $(1-\beta)$ exceeded 0.8 at a significance level ( $\alpha$ ) of 0.05.

# PET imaging

# Image acquisition

<sup>[18</sup>F]FDG, purchased from Life Radiopharma Bonn GmbH (Germany), was used to assess brain glucose metabolism at rest. The rats were briefly anesthetized with isoflurane in O<sub>2</sub>/air 3:7 (induction 5%, maintenance 2%), and 58–69 MBg  $[^{18}F]$ FDG in 500 µl was injected intraperitoneally. The rats were then placed in a recovery cage, where they spent the following 50 min awake. Subsequently, they were anesthetized again and fixed with a tooth bar in an animal holder (Minerve, Esternay, France) with a respiratory mask for isoflurane delivery. Rats were warmed by heated airflow to keep body temperature at 37°C. Their eyes were protected from drying out by applying eye and nose ointment (Bepanthen, Bayer, Germany). The breathing rate was monitored and kept at 40-60 breaths/min by adjusting isoflurane concentration. A static PET scan was conducted using a Focus 220 micro PET scanner (CTI-Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with a resolution at the center of the field of view of 1.4 mm. The emission scan started 60 min after [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG injection with an acquisition time of 30 min. It was followed by a 10min transmission scan using a <sup>57</sup>Co point source for attenuation correction. This protocol takes advantage of metabolic trapping of [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG [29], which allows awake tracer uptake and subsequent scanning under anesthesia [30].

[<sup>18</sup>F]DPA-714 was synthesized at the Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH (Germany). The rats were anesthetized with isoflurane in O<sub>2</sub>/air 3:7 (induction 5%, maintenance 2%), and a catheter for tracer injection was inserted into the lateral tail vein. After fixation in the animal holder, the emission scan started with intravenous injection of 58-67 MBq [<sup>18</sup>F]DPA-714 in 500 µl. Acquisition time was 30 min, followed by a transmission scan as described for [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG. After the scan was finished, the catheter was removed, and the rats woke up in their home cages.

#### Image reconstruction and statistics

After full 3D rebinning (span 3, ring difference 47), summed images were reconstructed using an iterative OSEM3D/MAP procedure [31], resulting in voxel sizes of  $0.38 \times 0.38 \times 0.80$  mm. For all further processing of the images including statistics, the software VINCI 4.72 for MacOS X (Max Planck Institute for Metabolism Research, Cologne, Germany) was used. Images were co-registered and intensity-normalized to a reference region. To this end, an elliptical volume of interest (VOI) was placed inside a background region, which was a consistently selected tracer-negative brain area in the midbrain for [<sup>18</sup>F]DPA-714 (4 mm<sup>3</sup>) [32], and the cerebellum for [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG (25 mm<sup>3</sup>) [33]. Each image was divided by the mean value of the reference VOI, resulting in the "standardized uptake value ratio" (SUVR<sub>bg</sub> for [<sup>18</sup>F]DPA-714 and SUVR<sub>Cer</sub> for [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG). No further postprocessing (e.g., Gauss filtering or spatial morphing) was done.

For comparison of transgenic vs. wild-type rats, a *t*-test was performed for each tracer at the voxel level, followed by a threshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE) procedure with subsequent permutation testing [34] to correct for multiple comparisons. Results were considered significant at a *p*-value below 0.05 only when statistical power  $(1-\beta)$  exceeded 0.8 at a significance level ( $\alpha$ ) of 0.05.

# RESULTS

# DMS task

Behavioral impairments were assessed using the DMS task divided into two parts: training and testing. During the last stage of the training part, there was no delay between the match and sample phases. Hence, the rats were expected to rely only on their spatial orientation abilities to determine which lever to press, left or right. The criterion for completing the last stage was to achieve a correct response rate of 75%. There was a statistically significant difference in the minimum number of days necessary to complete the last stage between the wild-type and transgenic rats (unpaired two-tailed *t*-test, t(18) = 2.84, p = 0.01). Specifically, all ten wild-type rats took only five days to complete the last stage, while only half of the ten transgenic rats were able to complete it in the same period (Fig. 1A, B). The remaining five transgenic rats could not be trained because they pressed one of the two levers significantly more (Fig. 1C, D; unpaired two-tailed t-test, t(18) = -2.45, p < 0.05) and therefore were excluded from the next part of the DMS task, that is, working memory testing. A Fisher's exact test showed that there was a significant association between lever preference and genotype (p < 0.05).

During working memory testing, a delay between the match and sample phases was introduced. In each trial, the delay was randomly set to either 1, 2, 4, 8, or 16 s. We averaged the number of correct responses for

each delay every three consecutive days to smoothen the curve depicting rats' daily performance. We then determined the peak performance of each rat and compared it between the groups (Fig. 1E). We compared peak performance rather than final performance to exclude data collected after the rat lost interest in the task. A two-way mixed ANOVA with GG correction was performed to assess the effect of genotype (between-subjects factor) and delay (within-subjects factor) on performance. It showed that the interaction between the effects of genotype and delay was statistically insignificant (F(4, 64) = 1.06, uncorrected)p=0.38). Likewise, the main effect of genotype on performance was statistically insignificant (F(1), 16) = 1.68, uncorrected p = 0.21). In contrast, the main effect of delay was significant (F(4, 64) = 33.49, GG corrected p < 0.0001). Our results, therefore, show that performance declined as delay increased, vet the decline in performance was independent of genotype.

#### PET imaging

PET imaging with the TSPO ligand [<sup>18</sup>F]DPA-714 showed confined clusters of increased microglial activity in transgenic rats compared to wild-type rats, with no significant global changes observed (Fig. 2A). Bilateral clusters were found in the dorsal subiculum and temporal association cortex, while unilateral clusters were found in the retrosplenial cortex (left), reticular formation (left), ventral thalamus (right), lateral posterior thalamus (left), and hippocampus (left), with the latter having the highest SUVR<sub>bg</sub> (1.21 ± 0.06 in transgenic rats vs 1.03 ± 0.06 in wild-type rats).

Similarly, PET imaging with [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG showed confined clusters of altered glucose metabolism in transgenic rats compared to wild-type rats, with no significant global changes observed (Fig. 2B). Hypometabolic clusters were found in the dorsal subiculum (right), hippocampus (right), hypothalamus (right), ventral thalamus (left), subthalamic nucleus (left), and pituitary gland (bilateral). The most pronounced hypometabolic cluster was found in the reticular formation (bilateral), with an SUVR<sub>Cer</sub> of  $0.88 \pm 0.03$  in transgenic rats vs.  $0.99 \pm 0.05$  in wild-type rats. The only hypermetabolic cluster was found in the retrosplenial cortex (bilateral), with an SUVR<sub>Cer</sub> of  $1.21 \pm 0.03$  in transgenic rats vs.  $1.13 \pm 0.03$  in wild-type rats.



Fig. 1. *DMS task*. A) Five out of ten transgenic (TG) rats were unable to complete the last stage of the training part, that is, when the delay between the match and sample phases was still absent. B) For statistical analysis, their last day of training was used as the minimum length necessary to learn the DMS task (## unpaired two-tailed *t*-test, t(18) = 2.84, p = 0.01). C, D) The difference in the learning rate between transgenic and wild-type (WT) rats was due to a strong preference that the non-learners had for one of the two levers (# unpaired two-tailed *t*-test, t(18) = -2.45, p < 0.05). E) Only rats without a strong preference for either lever proceeded to the testing part where the delay between the match and sample phases was 1–16 seconds. The results of a two-way mixed ANOVA with GG correction showed a decline in performance as the delay increased (F(4, 64) = 33.49, GG corrected p < 0.0001). However, this decline was independent of genotype (genotype: F(1, 16) = 1.68, uncorrected p = 0.21; interaction: F(4, 64) = 1.06, uncorrected p = 0.38). Different symbols are used to show individual rats.



Fig. 2. *PET imaging.* Shown are averaged PET images of (A) microglial activation measured with [<sup>18</sup>F]DPA-714 (6 transgenic and 6 wild-type rats) and (B) glucose metabolism measured with [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG (5 transgenic and 6 wild-type rats). Respective t-maps show significant differences (corrected for multiple testing) between groups. Red voxels: higher tracer uptake in transgenic rats. Blue voxels: higher tracer uptake in wild-type rats. White dashed lines in A indicate regions obscured by spillover from the ventricular system and pituitary gland, where natural TSPO expression leads to high tracer binding. DS, dorsal subiculum; Hip, hippocampus; Hyp, hypothalamus; LP, lateral posterior thalamus; Pi, pituitary gland; Ra, raphe; RC, retrosplenial cortex; Rt, reticular formation; STh, subthalamic nucleus; TeA, temporal association cortex; VT, ventral thalamus. Scale bar: 5 mm.

# DISCUSSION

Our behavioral data demonstrate that half of TgF344-AD rats developed a clear preference for one of the two levers (left vs. right) during the training phase of the DMS task (delay = 0 s), indicating the presence of spatial disorientation. This is consistent with the results of our previous study showing that TgF344-AD rats exhibit spatial memory deficits in a modified Barnes maze, which can be attenuated by deep brain stimulation [15]. Interestingly, our present study also shows that TgF344-AD rats without spatial disorientation perform equally well as their wild-type littermates in the testing phase of the DMS task (delay = 1-16 s), suggesting that their working memory is intact. This finding is consistent with the results

of Muñoz-Moreno et al., who used the nonmatch version of the same task and showed that TgF344-AD rats exhibit a similar percentage of correct responses as their wild-type counterparts across all delays [35]. In a novel object recognition (NOR) test, TgF344-AD rats are reported to show no side bias [20], which somewhat contradicts our results of spatial disorientation in the DMS task. However, the reason for this difference is likely because in the NOR test, rats can face objects from any direction, while in the DMS task, rats can face levers only from one direction. This ensured that, in our DMS task, the left lever always remained on the left, while the right lever on the right.

In a transgenic mouse model of AD, whole-brain glucose hypermetabolism was shown to precede glucose hypometabolism [36, 37], which in turn is shown to positively corelate with microglial activity in earlyonset AD patients [27]. Activation of microglia and astrocytes around AP is classically regarded to mark the onset of neuroinflammation in AD [38]. Our PET data on resting glucose metabolism and microglial activity suggest a mosaic of focal changes, which is in line with magnetic resonance imaging studies showing disruption of structural and functional networks in TgF344-AD rats as early as 5 months of age, confirming the notion of AD as a disconnection syndrome [35, 39].

Our PET results show an increase in microglial activity in the hippocampus, dorsal subiculum, thalamic nuclei, as well as in the temporal association and retrosplenial cortices of TgF344-AD rats. This increase is consistent with another PET study that used the same TSPO ligand (i.e., [<sup>18</sup>F]DPA-714), showing no change in TgF344-AD rats at 6 months of age, yet an increase in neuroinflammation at 12 months in the hippocampus and at 18 months in the thalamus and frontal cortex [20]. In the hippocampus of TgF344-AD rats, TSPO overexpression was previously reported at 12 months of age in astrocytes, and at 24 months of age in microglia, supporting the notion of TSPO as a neuroinflammatory marker [40]. Our PET findings are also consistent with existing magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) data on increased neuronal dysfunction in the hippocampus of TgF344-AD rats. However, while the MRS data are based on a decrease in N-acetyl-aspartate levels occurring at 18 months of age [20], our study found neuronal dysfunction in the form of impaired glucose metabolism and increased microglial activity in TgF344-AD rats that were 14–16 months of age.

Of relevance in our study are hypometabolic changes in the hypothalamus and pituitary gland of TgF344-AD rats, as they support the recent hypothesis that dysregulation of the hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal axis may help in the diagnosis of prodromal AD [41]. Also important in our study is the coincidence of spatial disorientation with increased microglial activity and altered glucose metabolism in the thalamus and retrosplenial cortex since dysfunction of these two interdependent structures represents one of the earliest signs of prodromal AD in patients [42]. Similarly, in a mouse model of amyloid pathology, dysfunction of the thalamus and retrosplenial cortex precedes overt AP formation [43].

Asymmetric neuroinflammation has previously been reported in A $\beta$  mouse models (expressing mutant human APP alone or in combination with PS1/PS2) and was accompanied by fibrillary amyloidosis in the affected areas [44]. The asymmetry in our PET data is in line with clinical observations that brain atrophy in AD patients is bilateral but not always symmetrical [2, 24–26]. Therefore, some AD patients may develop atrophy predominantly in one of the hemispheres. Often such patients have contralateral spatial neglect, which is not compensated by the healthier hemisphere since it is also partially affected by neurodegeneration [24]. This is consistent with our PET findings, showing that unilateral microglial activation in TgF344-AD rats is accompanied by contralateral glucose hypometabolism in structures such as the ventral thalamus and hippocampus.

In conclusion, TgF344-AD rats display hemispherically asymmetrical neurodegeneration and spatial disorientation, with past clinical research suggesting a causal relationship between the two [2, 24–26]. When, however, TgF344-AD rats do not develop spatial disorientation, their working memory remains intact.

# Limitations

Although wild-type rats may exhibit functional hemispheric asymmetry [45] that can progress with age [46], our data show not that hemispheric asymmetry is absent in wild-type rats, but only that it is more pronounced in transgenic rats. Also, one has to exercise caution when establishing an association between rats used for the DMS task and PET imaging, as the former, but not the latter, were subjected to food restriction known to enhance cognitive abilities [47]. Therefore, food restriction as a prerequisite of the DMS task may have actually decreased its sensitivity to detect behavioral changes. Additionally, the resting glucose metabolism in PET does not necessarily reflect brain activity in the DMS task. Moreover, while the rats were awake during the DMS task and PET measurement of resting glucose metabolism, they were anesthetized during PET measurement of microglial activity. Studies indicate that isoflurane can provide neuroprotection by alleviating microglial activation [48, 49]. Therefore, microglial activation in TgF344-AD rats may have been stronger if they were awake.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful to Julia Mauz for her support in the data collection process.

#### FUNDING

This work was supported by the *Investitionsfonds* program from the University Hospital Cologne.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors have no conflict of interest to report.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

Data supporting the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

# REFERENCES

- Andersen E, Casteigne B, Chapman WD, Creed A, Foster F, Lapins A, Shatz R, Sawyer RP (2021) Diagnostic biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease. *Biomark Neuropsychiatry* 5, 100041.
- [2] Scheltens P, De Strooper B, Kivipelto M, Holstege H, Chetelat G, Teunissen CE, Cummings J, van der Flier WM (2021) Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet* 397, 1577-1590.
- [3] Imbimbo BP, Lozupone M, Watling M, Panza F (2020) Discontinued disease-modifying therapies for Alzheimer's disease: Status and future perspectives. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 29, 919-933.
- [4] Petersen RC (2004) Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. J Intern Med 256, 183-194.
- [5] Hardy J, Allsop D (1991) Amyloid deposition as the central event in the aetiology of Alzheimer's disease. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 12, 383-388.
- [6] Oddo S, Caccamo A, Shepherd JD, Murphy MP, Golde TE, Kayed R, Metherate R, Mattson MP, Akbari Y, LaFerla FM (2003) Triple-transgenic model of Alzheimer's disease with plaques and tangles: Intracellular Aβ and synaptic dysfunction. *Neuron* 39, 409-421.
- [7] Wilcock DM, Lewis MR, Van Nostrand WE, Davis J, Previti ML, Gharkholonarehe N, Vitek MP, Colton CA (2008) Progression of amyloid pathology to Alzheimer's disease pathology in an amyloid precursor protein transgenic mouse model by removal of nitric oxide synthase 2. *J Neurosci* 28, 1537-1545.
- [8] Jankowsky JL, Slunt HH, Ratovitski T, Jenkins NA, Copeland NG, Borchelt DR (2001) Co-expression of multiple transgenes in mouse CNS: A comparison of strategies. *Biomol Eng* 17, 157-165.
- [9] Cohen RM, Rezai-Zadeh K, Weitz TM, Rentsendorj A, Gate D, Spivak I, Bholat Y, Vasilevko V, Glabe CG, Breunig JJ, Rakic P, Davtyan H, Agadjanyan MG, Kepe V, Barrio JR, Bannykh S, Szekely CA, Pechnick RN, Town T (2013) A transgenic Alzheimer rat with plaques, tau pathology, behavioral impairment, oligomeric aβ, and frank neuronal loss. J Neurosci 33, 6245-6256.
- [10] Do Carmo S, Cuello AC (2013) Modeling Alzheimer's disease in transgenic rats. *Mol Neurodegener* 8, 37.
- [11] Berkowitz LE, Harvey RE, Drake E, Thompson SM, Clark BJ (2018) Progressive impairment of directional and spatially precise trajectories by TgF344-Alzheimer's disease rats in the Morris Water Task. *Sci Rep* 8, 16153.

- [12] Muñoz-Moreno E, Tudela R, Lopez-Gil X, Soria G (2020) Brain connectivity during Alzheimer's disease progression and its cognitive impact in a transgenic rat model. *Netw Neurosci* 4, 397-415.
- [13] da Rocha AS, Ferreira PCL, Bellaver B, Peixoto GGS, Venturin GT, Greggio S, da Costa JC, Souza DO, Zimmer ER (2021) Longitudinal assessment of [18F]FDG-PET in the TgF344-AD rat. *Alzheimers Dement* 17, e054136.
- [14] Sare RM, Cooke SK, Krych L, Zerfas PM, Cohen RM, Smith CB (2020) Behavioral phenotype in the TgF344-AD rat model of Alzheimer's disease. *Front Neurosci* 14, 601.
- [15] Koulousakis P, van den Hove D, Visser-Vandewalle V, Sesia T (2020) Cognitive improvements after intermittent deep brain stimulation of the nucleus basalis of Meynert in a transgenic rat model for Alzheimer's disease: A preliminary approach. J Alzheimers Dis 73, 461-466.
- [16] Leplus A, Lauritzen I, Melon C, Kerkerian-Le Goff L, Fontaine D, Checler F (2019) Chronic fornix deep brain stimulation in a transgenic Alzheimer's rat model reduces amyloid burden, inflammation, and neuronal loss. *Brain Struct Funct* 224, 363-372.
- [17] Bazzigaluppi P, Beckett TL, Koletar MM, Hill ME, Lai A, Trivedi A, Thomason L, Dorr A, Gallagher D, Librach CL, Joo IL, McLaurin J, Stefanovic B (2019) Combinatorial treatment using umbilical cord perivascular cells and Aβ clearance rescues vascular function following transient hypertension in a rat model of Alzheimer disease. *Hypertension* 74, 1041-1051.
- [18] Di Lucente J, Freitas HR, Wagner KM, Hammock BD, Maezawa I, Jin L-W (2021) Efficacy of soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibition in a rat model of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 17, e054073.
- [19] Ceyzeriat K, Zilli T, Fall AB, Millet P, Koutsouvelis N, Dipasquale G, Frisoni GB, Tournier BB, Garibotto V (2021) Treatment by low-dose brain radiation therapy improves memory performances without changes of the amyloid load in the TgF344-AD rat model. *Neurobiol Aging* **103**, 117-127.
- [20] Chaney AM, Lopez-Picon FR, Serriere S, Wang R, Bochicchio D, Webb SD, Vandesquille M, Harte MK, Georgiadou C, Lawrence C, Busson J, Vercouillie J, Tauber C, Buron F, Routier S, Reekie T, Snellman A, Kassiou M, Rokka J, Davies KE, Rinne JO, Salih DA, Edwards FA, Orton LD, Williams SR, Chalon S, Boutin H (2021) Prodromal neuroinflammatory, cholinergic and metabolite dysfunction detected by PET and MRS in the TgF344-AD transgenic rat model of AD: A collaborative multi-modal study. *Theranostics* 11, 6644-6667.
- [21] Goodman AM, Langner BM, Jackson N, Alex C, McMahon LL (2021) Heightened hippocampal β-adrenergic receptor function drives synaptic potentiation and supports learning and memory in the TgF344-AD rat model during prodromal Alzheimer's disease. J Neurosci 41, 5747-5761.
- [22] Ratner MH, Downing SS, Guo O, Odamah KE, Stewart TM, Kumaresan V, Robitsek RJ, Xia W, Farb DH (2021) Prodromal dysfunction of α5GABA-A receptor modulated hippocampal ripples occurs prior to neurodegeneration in the TgF344-AD rat model of Alzheimer's disease. *Heliyon* 7, e07895.
- [23] Kreuzer M, Keating GL, Fenzl T, Hartner L, Sinon CG, Hajjar I, Ciavatta V, Rye DB, Garcia PS (2020) Sleep/wake behavior and EEG signatures of the TgF344-AD rat model at the prodromal stage. *Int J Mol Sci* 21, 9290.

- [24] Venneri A, Pentore R, Cotticelli B, Della Sala S (1998) Unilateral spatial neglect in the late stage of Alzheimer's disease. *Cortex* 34, 743-752.
- [25] Low A, Mak E, Malpetti M, Chouliaras L, Nicastro N, Su L, Holland N, Rittman T, Rodriguez PV, Passamonti L, Bevan-Jones WR, Jones PS, Rowe JB, O'Brien JT (2019) Asymmetrical atrophy of thalamic subnuclei in Alzheimer's disease and amyloid-positive mild cognitive impairment is associated with key clinical features. *Alzheimers Dement* (*Amst*) **11**, 690-699.
- [26] Frings L, Hellwig S, Spehl TS, Bormann T, Buchert R, Vach W, Minkova L, Heimbach B, Kloppel S, Meyer PT (2015) Asymmetries of amyloid-β burden and neuronal dysfunction are positively correlated in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain* 138, 3089-3099.
- [27] Tondo G, Iaccarino L, Caminiti SP, Presotto L, Santangelo R, Iannaccone S, Magnani G, Perani D (2020) The combined effects of microglia activation and brain glucose hypometabolism in early-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther* 12, 50.
- [28] Dunnett SB, Rogers DC, Jones GH (1989) Effects of nucleus basalis magnocellularis lesions in rats on delayed matching and non-matching to position tasks. *Eur J Neurosci* 1, 395-406.
- [29] Pauwels EK, Ribeiro MJ, Stoot JH, McCready VR, Bourguignon M, Maziere B (1998) FDG accumulation and tumor biology. *Nucl Med Biol* 25, 317-322.
- [30] Cui D, Mesaros A, Burdeos G, Voigt I, Giavalisco P, Hinze Y, Purrio M, Neumaier B, Drzezga A, Obata Y, Endepols H, Xu X (2020) Dnmt3a2/Dnmt3L overexpression in the dopaminergic system of mice increases exercise behavior through signaling changes in the hypothalamus. *Int J Mol Sci* 21, 6297.
- [31] Qi J, Leahy RM, Cherry SR, Chatziioannou A, Farquhar TH (1998) High-resolution 3D Bayesian image reconstruction using the microPET small-animal scanner. *Phys Med Biol* 43, 1001-1013.
- [32] Zinnhardt B, Belloy M, Fricke IB, Orije J, Guglielmetti C, Hermann S, Wagner S, Schafers M, Van der Linden A, Jacobs AH (2019) molecular imaging of immune cell dynamics during de- and remyelination in the cuprizone model of multiple sclerosis by [(18)F]DPA-714 PET and MRI. *Theranostics* 9, 1523-1537.
- [33] Guenther T, Küntzelmann A, Haberkorn U, Essig M, Schröder J, Schönknecht P (2011) Comparison between global and cerebellar intensity normalization of FDG PET images in patients with mild cognitive impairment or Alzheimer's disease. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 21, A45.
- [34] Smith SM, Nichols TE (2009) Threshold-free cluster enhancement: Addressing problems of smoothing, threshold dependence and localisation in cluster inference. *Neuroimage* 44, 83-98.
- [35] Muñoz-Moreno E, Tudela R, Lopez-Gil X, Soria G (2018) Early brain connectivity alterations and cognitive impairment in a rat model of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther* 10, 16.
- [36] Sancheti H, Kanamori K, Patil I, Diaz Brinton R, Ross BD, Cadenas E (2014) Reversal of metabolic deficits by lipoic acid in a triple transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease: A 13C NMR study. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 34, 288-296.

- [37] Sancheti H, Patil I, Kanamori K, Diaz Brinton R, Zhang W, Lin AL, Cadenas E (2014) Hypermetabolic state in the 7-month-old triple transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease and the effect of lipoic acid: A 13C-NMR study. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 34, 1749-1760.
- [38] Zetterberg H, Bendlin BB (2021) Biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease – preparing for a new era of diseasemodifying therapies. *Mol Psychiatry* 26, 296-308.
- [39] Tudela R, Muñoz-Moreno E, Sala-Llonch R, Lopez-Gil X, Soria G (2019) Resting state networks in the TgF344-AD rat model of Alzheimer's disease are altered from early stages. *Front Aging Neurosci* 11, 213.
- [40] Tournier BB, Tsartsalis S, Ceyzeriat K, Fraser BH, Gregoire MC, Kovari E, Millet P (2020) Astrocytic TSPO upregulation appears before microglial TSPO in Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* 77, 1043-1056.
- [41] Ahmad MH, Fatima M, Mondal AC (2019) Role of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, hypothalamicpituitary-gonadal axis and insulin signaling in the pathophysiology of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychobiol*ogy 77, 197-205.
- [42] Aggleton JP, Pralus A, Nelson AJ, Hornberger M (2016) Thalamic pathology and memory loss in early Alzheimer's disease: Moving the focus from the medial temporal lobe to Papez circuit. *Brain* 139, 1877-1890.
- [43] Poirier GL, Amin E, Good MA, Aggleton JP (2011) Earlyonset dysfunction of retrosplenial cortex precedes overt amyloid plaque formation in Tg2576 mice. *Neuroscience* 174, 71-83.
- [44] Sacher C, Blume T, Beyer L, Biechele G, Sauerbeck J, Eckenweber F, Deussing M, Focke C, Parhizkar S, Lindner S, Gildehaus FJ, von Ungern-Sternberg B, Baumann K, Tahirovic S, Kleinberger G, Willem M, Haass C, Bartenstein P, Cumming P, Rominger A, Herms J, Brendel M (2020) Asymmetry of fibrillar plaque burden in amyloid mouse models. J Nucl Med 61, 1825-1831.
- [45] Denenberg VH (1983) Lateralization of function in rats. Am J Physiol 245, R505-509.
- [46] Kristofikova Z, Ricny J, Ort M, Ripova D (2010) Aging and lateralization of the rat brain on a biochemical level. *Neurochem Res* 35, 1138-1146.
- [47] Mattson MP, Moehl K, Ghena N, Schmaedick M, Cheng A (2018) Intermittent metabolic switching, neuroplasticity and brain health. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 19, 63-80.
- [48] Sun M, Deng B, Zhao X, Gao C, Yang L, Zhao H, Yu D, Zhang F, Xu L, Chen L, Sun X (2015) Isoflurane preconditioning provides neuroprotection against stroke by regulating the expression of the TLR4 signalling pathway to alleviate microglial activation. *Sci Rep* 5, 11445.
- [49] Xu X, Kim JA, Zuo Z (2008) Isoflurane preconditioning reduces mouse microglial activation and injury induced by lipopolysaccharide and interferon-gamma. *Neuroscience* 154, 1002-1008.