



A three-long noncoding RNA signature as a diagnostic biomarker for differentiating between triple-negative and non-triple-negative breast cancers

Man Liu, MD^{*}, Lu-Qi Xing, MD, Yi-Jing Liu, MD

Abstract

Background: Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is an aggressive cancer with unfavorable outcome and it is useful to explore noninvasive biomarkers for its early diagnosis. Here, we identified differentially expressed long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) in blood samples of patients with TNBC to assess their diagnostic value.

Methods: Differential expression of lncRNAs in plasma of patients with TNBC (n=25) and non-TNBC (NTNBC; n=35) and in healthy controls was compared by microarray analysis and validated by real-time PCR. IncRNA expression between plasma and BC tissues was compared using Pearson correlation test. Logit model was used to obtain a new IncRNA-based score. Receiver operating characteristic analysis was performed to assess the diagnostic value of the selected IncRNAs.

Results: Microarray data showed that 41 lncRNAs were aberrantly expressed. Among these, antisense noncoding RNA in the INK4 locus (*ANRIL*), hypoxia inducible factor 1alpha antisense RNA-2 (*HIF1A-AS2*), and urothelial carcinoma-associated 1 (*UCA1*) were markedly upregulated in plasma of patients with TNBC compared with patients with NTNBC (P < 0.01). *HIF1A-AS2* expression was positively associated with its tissue levels (r=0.670, P < 0.01). AUC (95% CI) of *ANRIL*, *HIF1A-AS2*, and *UCA1* was 0.785 (0.660–0.881), 0.739 (0.610–0.844), and 0.817 (0.696–0.905), respectively. TNBCSigLnc-3, a new score obtained using the logit model, showed excellent diagnostic performance, with AUC of 0.934 (0.839–0.982), sensitivity of 76.0%, and specificity of 97.1%.

Conclusion: ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1 expression was significantly increased in plasma of patients with TNBC, suggesting their use as TNBC-specific diagnostic biomarkers.

Abbreviations: *ANRIL* = antisense noncoding RNA in the INK4 locus, AUC = area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, BC = breast cancer, *HIF1A-AS2* = hypoxia inducible factor 1alpha antisense RNA-2, IncRNAs = long noncoding RNAs, NTNBC = non-triple-negative breast cancer, TNBC = triple-negative breast cancer, *UCA1* = urothelial carcinoma-associated 1.

Keywords: diagnosis, IncRNAs, receiver operating characteristic analysis, triple-negative breast cancer

1. Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is one of the most common malignant tumors, accounting for 28.6% of all newly diagnosed cancer cases among women in 2015, and is a major cause of cancer deaths among women.^[1] Triple-negative BC (TNBC), which lacks estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) expression and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (Her2) amplification,^[2] accounts for approximately 10% to 20% of all BC cases

Medicine (2017) 96:9(e6222)

Received: 30 December 2016 / Received in final form: 31 January 2017 / Accepted: 3 February 2017

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000006222

and is characterized by larger tumor size, higher grade, more positive lymph nodes, and poorer prognosis than other BC subtypes.^[3] Patients with TNBC do not respond to endocrine or Her2-targeted therapy, and treatment of TNBC involves a combination of commonly used BC therapies, including surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy regimens.^[4] Therefore, there is an urgent need to identify novel biomarkers and potential therapeutic targets for treating this aggressive TNBC phenotype.

Accumulating evidence indicates that in addition to short microRNAs, long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs, which are at least 200-nt long and do not encode proteins but regulate the expression of coding genes) are involved in human tumorigenesis.^[5] Functions of lncRNAs mainly include regulation of gene methylation, activation of gene transcription, conjugation with mRNAs and microRNAs to affect translation progression, etc.^[6,7] Although prognostic lncRNA expression signatures have been defined for some invasive breast carcinomas,^[5,8] limited information is available about lncRNA expression in TNBC.^[9–11]

The present study determined the feasibility of detecting and quantifying the expression level of lncRNAs in the plasma of patients with BC and assessed 3 lncRNAs (ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1) as novel noninvasive diagnostic biomarkers for differentiating between TNBC and non-TNBC (NTNBC) in the clinical setting. Aberrantly expressed lncRNAs in the plasma of

Editor: Shan Wang.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Department of Pathology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang, Henan, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Man Liu, Department of Pathology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Henan University of Science and Technology, NO. 24 Jinghua Road, Jianxi District, Luoyang, Henan Province 471003, People's Republic of China (e-mail: xmlyshop81@163.com).

Copyright © 2017 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

patients with BC were determined by performing microarray analysis and were validated by performing real-time PCR. The identified lncRNAs were investigated as candidate circulating biomarkers for diagnosing TNBC by performing receiver operating characteristic (ROC) and multivariate logistic regression analyses. Our data suggest that lncRNA expression patterns can help identify new molecular biomarkers for diagnosing TNBC.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patients and sample collection

Sixty consecutively hospitalized patients were recruited from The First Affiliated Hospital of Henan University of Science and Technology between July 2014 and December 2015. Inclusion criteria were as follows: female patients with histologically confirmed invasive ductal carcinoma and with an ER-/PR-/Her2positive phenotype, patients who did not receive any previous treatment, patients without any evidence of metastasis at diagnosis, and patients whose complete clinicopathological data were available. Patients who were previously diagnosed with BC, any other malignant disease, breast carcinoma in situ, or inflammatory BC were excluded. The study also included 40 healthy individuals who served as negative controls. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Henan University of Science and Technology, and all participants provided written informed consent. Whole peripheral venous blood samples were drawn into gold-top serum-separating tubes. Serum was extracted by centrifugation at $3000 \times g$ for 10 minutes) within 1 hour of blood sample collection and was stored at -80° C for RNA isolation. Specimens obtained during surgery were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and were stored at -80° C.

2.2. Immunohistochemical analysis

Immunohistochemical analysis was performed to determine ER, PR, and Her2 status by using standard protocols described previously.^[12] ER, PR, and Her2 status was confirmed by experienced pathologists. Refer to ER/PR, staining of >5% tumor cell nuclei was considered positive and staining of <5%tumor cell nuclei was considered negative. Her2/Neu staining score of 0 to 2+ was considered negative and of 3+ was considered positive. Nuclear antigen Ki67 values were also obtained, which was widely used in prognosis, predicting of relative responsiveness or resistance to chemotherapy or endocrine therapy, estimating of residual risk in patients on standard therapy.^[13,14] Histological/nuclear grading was assessed by performing hematoxylin-eosin staining and by using The Nottingham Grading System.^[15] Patients were divided into TNBC (n=25) and NTNBC (n=35) groups according to the results of the above analyses.

2.3. RNA extraction and complementary DNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from BC tissues by using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) or from $400\,\mu$ L serum samples by using TRIzol LS reagent (Life Technologies, Luoyang), strictly according to the manufacturer's protocol. The purity and quantity of total RNA were estimated by measuring absorbance at 260 (A260) and 280 nm (A280) with NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized

using 2.5 μ g total RNA, TaqMan MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, USA), and random hexamer primers in a final reaction volume of 50 μ L. Reverse transcription was performed at 25°C for 10 minutes, 37°C for 120 minutes, and 85°C for 5 minutes, and the cDNA obtained was stored at -20°C.

2.4. Microarray analysis

To screen candidate lncRNAs, samples were randomly selected from patients with NTNBC, patients with TNBC, and healthy individuals. Samples with an RNA integrity number of >8 were processed for hybridization. After isolation, lncRNA labeling was performed using Quick Amp Labeling kit (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA), according to the manufacturer's guidelines, and fluorescence labeling efficiency was determined using NanoDrop spectrophotometer. Hybridization was performed using Human LncRNA Array (v.4.0; Arraystar, Rockville, MD). Scanned images were imported into GenePix 4000B chip scanner (KangChen Bio-tech). Quantile normalization and subsequent data processing were performed using GeneSpringGX v. 11.0 software package (Agilent Technologies) for data analysis.

2.5. Real-time PCR

For real-time PCR, 2 µL cDNA solution was mixed with 7.2 µL nuclease-free water, 10 µL iTaq Universal SYBR Green supermix (Bio-Rad, CA), and 0.4 µL forward primer in a final reaction volume of 20 µL, according to the manufacturer's instructions. GAPDH was used as an endogenous control for data normalization because its expression level was relatively stable in the plasma. Sequences of primers used are as follows: ANRIL forward, 5'-ACACACATCAAAGGAGAATTTT-3'; ANRIL reverse, 5'-CCGTCTCTACTGTTACCTC-3'; HIF1A-AS2 forward, 5'- CTGAGAACTGCTTCACTCA-3'; HIF1A-AS2 reverse, 5'-TATGTTGTTAGAAAAGAAACATCATT-3'; UCA1 forward, 5'- GCTTAATCCAGGAGACAAAG-3'; UCA1 reverse, 5'- CATAGGTGTGAGTGGCG-3'; GAPDH forward, 5'-ACTGGCGTCTTCACC-3'; and GAPDH reverse, 5'- CGAA-CATGGGGGGCAT-3'. Real-time PCR was performed at 95°C for 2 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 1 minute, in Stratagene Mx3005p Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, CA). All amplifications were performed in triplicate. Cycle threshold for each lncRNA and GAPDH mRNA was recorded, and relative lncRNA expression level was quantified using 2- $\Delta\Delta$ cycle threshold method.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS software version 20.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc, CA) was used for plotting graphs. Data for continuous variables are presented as mean \pm standard deviation. Student *t* test or 2-sided χ^2 test was used to compare differences in plasma lncRNA levels between 2 groups. One-way analysis of variance was used to compare difference among more than 2 groups. Association between lncRNA expression in the plasma and matched BC tissues was determined using Pearson correlation test. ROC curve was constructed, and area under the curve (AUC) was used to assess the diagnostic values of lncRNAs. Multivariate analysis of markers was performed by constructing a logistic regression model, and a new lncRNA score was generated. Regression equation was validated using analysis of variance followed by *t* test. A *P* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.



Figure 1. Representative immunostaining patterns in prechemotherapy biopsy samples of patients with triple-negative breast cancer, according to pathological response.

3. Results

3.1. Clinical characteristics of study subjects

The median age of the patients was 53.4 years (range, 37–76 years). Histopathological diagnosis for all the patients with BC was established by performing core needle biopsy or resection. Results of immunohistochemical analysis showed that 12 patients had luminal A-type BC (ER/PR positivity and Her2 negativity; low score for Ki67), 32 patients had luminal B-type BC (ER/PR positivity and Her2 negativity or positivity; high score for Ki67), and 25 patients had TNBC (ER/PR and Her2 negativity). Patients with the former 2 types of BC were classified as having NTNBC in the present study. Representative results of immunohistochemical analysis for TNBC are shown in Fig. 1.

3.2. LncRNA signature in BC

To assess the diagnostic value of lncRNAs in BC, 40 healthy individuals were included in the present study. We performed microarray analysis of healthy individuals and patients with NTNBC and with TNBC. Interestingly, microarray data showed that 41 lncRNAs were aberrantly expressed among the 3 study groups. Of these, 19 lncRNAs were downregulated and 22 IncRNAs were upregulated in patients with TNBC compared with those in patients with NTNBC and healthy individuals (fold change, ≥ 1.5 , P < 0.05; Fig. 2). Among the upregulated lncRNAs, only 3 lncRNAs, namely, ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1, showed apparent difference in expression between patients with TNBC and NTNBC, thus prompting us to explore their potential for differentiating between these BC subtypes. Expression level of these 3 lncRNAs was determined in plasma samples of 60 patients with BC and 40 healthy individuals by performing real-time PCR. As expected, the relative expression of ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1 was significantly higher in patients with TNBC than in patients with NTNBC (P < 0.01 for all), which was consistent with the results of microarray analysis. Moreover, statistically significant difference was observed in the relative expression of these lncRNAs between patients with TNBC and healthy individuals. However, the expression level of HIF1A-AS2 was higher in patients with NTNBC than in healthy individuals (P < 0.01; Fig. 3B), which was inconsistent with the results of microarray analysis. The expression level of ANRIL and UCA1 showed no difference between NTNBC patients and healthy individuals (Fig. 3A and C). This discrepancy may be because of false-negative results obtained by performing microarray analysis. Because its ability to differentiate between TNBC and NTNBC, the Spearman correlation test was performed to determine the correlation between the expression levels of these lncRNAs in the plasma and in BC tissues. Results of Pearson correlation test showed that *HIF1A-AS2* expression in the plasma was positively correlated with its tissue levels (r=0.6702, P=0.0002). However, this relationship was not observed for *ANRIL* and *UCA1* (Fig. 4). Furthermore, the expression of these 3 lncRNAs was compared with various clinical parameters. Expression of *HIF1A-AS2* and *UCA1* was higher in patients with lymph node metastasis at the time of diagnosis (P<0.05), and expression of *ANRIL* was higher in patients with BC with high Ki67 score than low one (P<0.05) (Table 1).

3.3. Diagnostic performance of IncRNAs in patients with BC

ROC curves were constructed based on the above findings, and AUC was generated to assess the diagnostic values of the 3 IncRNAs. The AUC values of ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1 for differentiating between patients with TNBC and healthy individuals were 0.830 (0.716-0.912), 0.827 (0.713-0.910), and 0.849 (0.730-0.923), respectively (Fig. 5). More attention was paid if these biomarkers could work on distinguishing between TNBC and NTNBC. Therefore, we performed ROC analysis on patients with TNBC and NTNBC and found that the AUC values of ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1 were 0.785 (0.660-0.881), 0.739 (0.610-0.844), and 0.817 (0.696-0.905), respectively (Fig. 6). Detailed information on the ability of these 3 lncRNAs to differentiate between patients with TNBC and NTNBC is presented in Table 2. Multivariate logistic regression analysis indicated that the plasma levels of ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1 were potential risk factors for TNBC after adjusting for other clinical parameters (Table 3). Although ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1 can potentially distinguish between TNBC and NTNBC, their sensitivity and specificity are not high. Therefore, we used the logistic regression model to a new biomarker TNBCSigLnc-3 $(-10.25 + 1.07 \times ANRIL + 0.53 \times HIF1A-AS2 +$ $0.65 \times UAC1$). Figure 7A shows the orderly distribution of values of TNBCSigLnc-3 among 25 patients with TNBC and 35 patients with NTNBC, with a cutoff value at 0.42. Independent comparison with the 3 lncRNAs showed that TNBCSigLnc-3 had the highest AUC value of 0.934 (0.839–0.982), relatively high sensitivity of 76.0%, and highest specificity of 97.1% (Fig. 7B).

4. Discussion

Accumulating data suggest that deregulation of lncRNAs is associated with the modulation of oncogenic and tumor-



Figure 2. Microarray profiling of IncRNAs in the plasma samples of patients with breast cancer and healthy individuals. A heat map representation of differentially expressed IncRNAs in the 3 study groups; results represent a cutoff *P* value of 0.05 and a fold change of >1.5. Green and red bars indicate downregulated and upregulated IncRNAs, respectively. IncRNAs=long noncoding RNAs.

suppressing pathways.^[16,17] LncRNA signatures of normal cancer tissues and metastases are used to classify different cancer types, indicating the potential of these lncRNAs as biomarkers for diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy.^[18–20] One study investigated several lncRNAs expression levels among molecular breast cancer subtypes and indicated that lncRNA LINC00052, RP11-434D9.1, IGKV, and BC016831 could serve as biomarkers for diagnosis for TNBC.^[11] Chen et al^[21] studied the role of deregulated lncRNAs in TNBC tissues with lncRNA microarray chips and website bioinformatics tools and finally found that lncRNA LINC00993, which was strongly associated with ER

expression, played a key role in TNBC. However, few studies focused the expression of lncRNAs associated with TNBC in blood samples.^[8] Recent studies have suggested that some lncRNAs are present in serum, plasma, and other bodily fluids in a stable form protected from endogenous RNases, which makes them suitable markers for the noninvasive analysis of patient samples.^[22,23] The present study focused on the deregulated expression of lncRNAs in the plasma samples of patients with BC to establish them as novel noninvasive biomarkers for differentiating between TNBC and NTNBC. We performed microarray analysis to determine lncRNA profiles and identified 41



Figure 3. Relative expression levels of ANR/L, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1 in the plasma samples of healthy individuals, patients with triple-negative breast cancer, and patients with non-triple-negative breast cancer. ANR/L = antisense noncoding RNA in the INK4 locus, HIF1A-AS2 = hypoxia inducible factor 1 alpha antisense RNA-2, UCA1 = urothelial carcinoma-associated 1.



Figure 4. Correlation of IncRNA levels between the plasma and breast cancer tissues of patients with triple-negative breast cancer. IncRNAs=long noncoding RNAs.

aberrantly expressed lncRNAs. Of these, only ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1 were upregulated in patients with TNBC compared with NTNBC, which was validated further by performing real-time PCR. However, only HIF1A-AS2 expression in the plasma was significantly correlated with its level in BC tissues; moreover, HIF1A-AS2 showed differential expression in patients with NTNBC and healthy individuals. Nonetheless, research concerning lncRNAs as biomarkers for BC is still in its infancy.

Thus far, *ANRIL* upregulation is considered the primary feature of many carcinomas, including BC.^[24] Lin et al^[25] found that *ANRIL* expression was higher in nonsmall cell lung cancer tissues than adjacent nontumor tissues and was associated with high TNM stage and advanced lymph node metastasis. Similarly, *ANRIL* expression was higher in hepatocellular carcinoma tissues than in adjacent tumor-free tissues, and patients with high *ANRIL* expression showed significantly poor overall survival.^[26] In addition, *ANRIL* overexpression in patients with serous ovarian cancer was associated with an aggressive tumor phenotype and poor prognosis. An in vitro study suggested that *ANRIL* plays an important role in regulating cell migration/ invasion by regulating Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition

(MET) and Matrix Metalloproteinase-3 in serous ovarian cancer.^[27] Studies have also shown that ANRIL knockdown significantly inhibits the proliferation, invasion, and metastasis of gastric cancer cells^[28] and thyroid cancer cells.^[29] Importantly, Royds et al^[30] investigated rs11515 single nucleotide polymorphism in breast tumors and suggested that this polymorphism was more frequent and was associated with an aggressive tumor phenotype because of increased ANRIL and decreased p16^{INK4a} expression. A recent study measured mRNA levels of the gene encoding ANRIL in 456 breast carcinomas tissues and found that ANRIL mRNA expression was higher in breast carcinomas tissues than in normal breast tissues, which was exclusively and weakly correlated with ER and PR status and showed a complex association with epithelial-mesenchymal transition markers.^[31] We determined ANRIL expression in the plasma of patients with BC and found that its expression was surprisingly higher in patients with TNBC than in patients with NTNBC. These findings prompted us to determine the diagnostic value of ANRIL in TNBC, which has not been performed to date. We also obtained similar results for HIF1A-AS and UCA1. The antisense long noncoding RNA hypoxia inducible factor 1alpha antisense RNA-2 (HIF1A-AS2), which was located in chromosome

Table 1

Relation between plasma	i IncRNAs levels (ΔCt) and	clinical characteristics of 60) patients with breast cancer.
-------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------

		ANRIL		HIF1A-AS2		UCA1	
Clinical characteristics	Number of cases	$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$	P value ^{\dagger}	$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$	P value [†]	$\text{Mean}{\pm}\text{SD}$	P value [†]
Age, y							
<50	27 (45.0%)	1.53 ± 0.61	0.459	3.71 ± 1.44	0.190	4.30±1.36	0.331
≥50	33 (55.0%)	1.61 ± 0.67		4.66 ± 1.70		5.88±1.46	
Tumor size, cm							
<2	37 (61.6%)	1.47 ± 0.55	0.099	3.98±1.52	0.304	4.19±1.30	0.172
≥2	23 (38.4%)	1.82 ± 0.74		5.24 ± 1.76		6.25±1.88	
Lymph node metastasis							
Yes	25 (41.6%)	1.97 ± 0.57	0.337	4.15±1.49	0.012*	4.23±1.45	0.037*
No	35 (58.4%)	1.54 ± 0.68		6.01 ± 1.71		5.05 ± 1.93	
Ki67 index, %							
<15	22 (36.6%)	1.92 ± 0.71	0.033*	4.28 ± 1.78	0.271	4.33 ± 1.52	0.064
≥15	38 (63.4%)	1.49 ± 0.56		6.17 ± 1.55		7.05 ± 2.27	
Histological/nuclear grading							
1	9 (15.0%)	1.85 ± 0.62	0.115	4.98±1.78	0.301	4.76±1.72	0.105*
2	31 (51.6%)	1.67±0.81		6.29 ± 1.55		4.17±1.96	
3	20 (33.4%)	1.93 ± 0.54		5.34 ± 1.55		6.82 ± 2.05	

ANRIL = antisense noncoding RNA in the INK4 locus, Ct = cycle threshold, HIF1A-AS2 = hypoxia inducible factor 1alpha antisense RNA-2, IncRNAs=long noncoding RNAs, UCA1 = urothelial carcinomaassociated 1.

* Indicates statistical significance.

⁺ Comparisons of plasma lncRNAs levels were performed by applying Student t test or 2-sided χ^2 test. Comparisons between more than 2 groups were performed using 1-way analysis of variance.



Figure 5. Diagnostic performance of ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1 in the plasma samples of healthy individuals and patients with triple-negative breast cancer. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. ANRIL = antisense noncoding RNA in the INK4 locus, HIF1A-AS2 = hypoxia inducible factor 1alpha antisense RNA-2, UCA1 = urothelial carcinoma-associated 1.

14q23.2, was reported overexpressed in several tumor tissues, such as chronic myeloid leukemia and neuroblastoma,^[32] but the studies about the exact significance of HIF1A-AS2 ware limited. Antisense lncRNA HIF1A-AS2 is highly expressed in gastric cancer, and its expression is correlated with TNM stage, tumor invasion, lymph node metastasis, and poor prognosis and knockdown of HIF1A-AS2 expression by siRNA could inhibit cell proliferation in vitro and tumorigenesis in vivo.^[33] In addition, a recent study determined the tumor suppressor function of HIF1A-AS2 in glioblastoma multiforme^[34,35] and similarly, researchers suggested that silencing HIF1A-AS2 could lead to cell proliferation inhibition, cell migration suppression, and apoptosis induction in bladder cancer cells.^{[35]¹}To our knowledge, no study has explored the function of HIF1A-AS2 in BC to date. The present study is the first to investigate HIF1A-AS2 expression in patients with TNBC and NTNBC. ROC analysis generated a relatively satisfied diagnostic value of HIF1A-AS2 for TNBC. Urothelial carcinoma-associated 1 (UCA1) is a new lncRNA-encoding gene belonging to human endogenous Retrovirus-H family and was originally identified in bladder transitional cell carcinoma. Because UCA1 is highly expressed in bladder transitional cell carcinoma, it was suggested as a biomarker for diagnosing bladder cancer.^[36] Zheng et al^[37] detected UCA1 expression in 112 pairs of tumorous and adjacent normal tissues of patients with gastric cancer and found that high

UCA1 expression was correlated with poor differentiation, tumor size, invasion depth, TNM stage, and poor overall survival. Similarly, UCA1 expression was upregulated in BC tissues, indicating that UCA1 plays an oncogenic role in BC both in vitro and in vivo.^[38] One study found that UCA1 promoted the invasiveness of BC cells.^[39] Another study showed that endogenous UCA1 knockdown significantly reduced the number of invading cells, suggesting that UCA1 upregulation increased the invasiveness of BC cells by activating Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway.^[40] Li et al^[41] investigated the expression level of UCA1 in acquired tamoxifen resistance in estrogen receptor (ER)positive breast cancer cells and argued that downregulation of UCA1 could enhance the sensitivity of breast cancer cells to tamoxifen resistance directly interact with miR-143. In contrast, Lee et al^[42] suggest that special AT-rich sequence binding protein 1 is the upstream regulator of UCA1 expression and depletion of UCA1 could suppress tumor growth and cell survival of breast cancer cells. Consistently, we found that UCA1 expression was upregulated in the plasma of patients with TNBC compared with that in healthy individuals. Based on this finding, we performed ROC analysis to assess the diagnostic values of the 3 lncRNAs. We found that the 3 lncRNAs had good diagnostic ability to differentiate between patients with TNBC and healthy individuals. Moreover, we explored the diagnostic value of these lncRNAs for distinguishing between TNBC and NTNBC.



Figure 6. Diagnostic performance of ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1 in the plasma samples of patients with triple-negative breast cancer and patients with non-triple-negative breast cancer patients. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. ANRIL = antisense noncoding RNA in the INK4 locus, HIF1A-AS2 = hypoxia inducible factor 1alpha antisense RNA-2, UCA1 = urothelial carcinoma-associated 1.

Table 2			

The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis of incrina for distinguish TNBC from NTNBC.								
LncRNAs	AUC	SE	95% CI	P value	Youden	Cut-off	Sensitivity	Specificity
ANRIL	0.785	0.063	0.660-0.881	< 0.001	0.531	2.04	76.0%	77.1%
HIF1A-AS2	0.739	0.069	0.610-0.844	< 0.001	0.486	6.81	60.0%	88.6%
UAC1	0.817	0.057	0.696-0.905	< 0.001	0.583	6.13	84.0%	74.3%
TNBCSigLnc-3	0.934	0.030	0.839-0.982	< 0.001	0.743	0.42	76.0%	97.1%

ANRIL = antisense noncoding RNA in the INK4 locus, AUC = area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, CI = confidence interval, HIF1A-AS2 = hypoxia inducible factor 1alpha antisense RNA-2, IncRNAs = long noncoding RNAs, NTNBC = nontriple-negative breast cancer, SE = standard error, TNBC = triple-negative breast cancer, UCA1 = urothelial carcinoma-associated 1; *P* value, compared with AUC of 0.5. TNBCSigLnc-3 = $-10.25+1.07 \times ANRIL+0.53 \times HIF1A-AS2+0.65 \times UAC1$.

Table 3							
Multivariate logistic analyses for plasma IncRNAs levers in patients with breast cancer.							
Parameter TNBC vs NTNBC	В	Wald	OR (95% CI)	P values			
LNM (negative = 0, positive = 1)	1.068	1.224	1.17 (0.50–1.41)	0.453			
Ki67 index (<15=0, \geq 15=1)	1.925	3.016	1.33 (0.42-2.77)	0.314			
ANRIL ($<2.04=0$, $\geq 2.04=1$)	1.728	6.363	7.45 (1.18–25.85)	0.006			
HIF1A-AS2 (< $6.81 = 0$, ≥ $6.81 = 1$)	2.425	7.998	15.07 (4.89–114.55)	0.002			
UCA1 (< $6.13 = 0$, $\geq 6.13 = 1$)	1.970	9.114	9.58 (2.04–37.21)	0.004			

The cutoff value of IncRNAs in patients with breast cancer was derived from receiver operating characteristic curves.

ANRIL = antisense noncoding RNA in the INK4 locus, B = partial regression coefficient, CI = confidence interval, HIF1A-AS2 = hypoxia inducible factor 1alpha antisense RNA-2, IncRNAs = long noncoding RNAs, LNM = lymph node metastasis, NTNBC = nontriple-negative breast cancer, OR = odds ratio, TNBC = triple-negative breast cancer, UCA1 = urothelial carcinoma-associated 1.



Figure 7. Diagnostic performance of TNBCSigLnc-3 in the plasma samples of patients with breast cancer. A, Orderly distribution of TNBCSigLnc-3 values between patients with triple-negative breast cancer and patients with nontriple-negative breast cancer. B, Pairwise comparison of receiver operating characteristic curves of 4 subjects. TNBC=triple-negative breast cancer.

ROC analysis showed that the AUC values of ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1 were 0.785 (0.660–0.881), 0.739 (0.610–0.844), and 0.817 (0.696–0.905), respectively. Moreover, multivariate logistic regression analysis indicated that plasma levels of ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1 were risk factors for TNBC after adjusting for other parameters (Table 2). Next, we constructed a regression equation (TNBCSigLnc-3 = -10.25 + 1.07×ANRIL + 0.53×HIF1A-AS2 + 0.65×UAC1) based on these 3 lncRNAs. The AUC value of TNBCSigLnc-3 was 0.934 (0.839–0.982), which was superior to that of ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1 alone. To our knowledge, our study is the first to show that plasma lncRNAs ANRIL, HIF1A-AS2, and UCA1 have excellent diagnostic value for TNBC.

However, this study has a limitation. On one hand, as a pilot study, we explored the diagnostic value of lncRNAs in a small cohort and did not confirm our findings in another group with a larger number of subjects. On the other hand, as time limited, we did not systematically evaluate their predictive value for prognosis or response to chemotherapy in patients with TNBC, which would have provided useful alternatives for personalized treatment of this heterogeneous malignancy. We will focus on these aspects in our future studies.

5. Conclusion

In summary, our results expand the findings of previous studies regarding the role of lncRNAs in BC, especially TNBC, and show that the expression of circulating lncRNAs is deregulated in BC. Our data indicate the ideal diagnostic value of lncRNAs *ANRIL*, *HIF1A-AS2*, and *UCA1* to differentiate between patients with TNBC and NTNBC. Because of the high diagnostic value of combined lncRNA analyses in the present study, we anticipate

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin 2015;65:5–29.
- [2] Foulkes WD, Smith IE, Reis-Filho JS. Triple-negative breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2010;363:1938–48.
- [3] Yin WJ, Lu JS, Di GH, et al. Clinicopathological features of the triplenegative tumors in Chinese breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2009;115:325–33.
- [4] Basso SM, Santeufemia DA, Fadda GM, et al. Advances in the treatment of triple-negative early breast cancer. Med Chem 2016;12:268–72.
- [5] Redis RS, Sieuwerts AM, Look MP, et al. CCAT2, a novel long noncoding RNA in breast cancer: expression study and clinical correlations. Oncotarget 2013;4:1748–62.
- [6] Chen YA, Aravin AA. Non-coding RNAs in transcriptional regulation: the review for current molecular biology reports. Curr Mol Biol Rep 2015;1:10–8.
- [7] Latos PA, Pauler FM, Koerner MV, et al. Airn transcriptional overlap, but not its lncRNA products, induces imprinted Igf2r silencing. Science 2012;338:1469–72.
- [8] Han L, Ma P, Liu SM, et al. Circulating long noncoding RNA GAS5 as a potential biomarker in breast cancer for assessing the surgical effects. Tumour Biol V 37 2016;6847–54.
- [9] Malih S, Saidijam M, Malih N. A brief review on long noncoding RNAs: a new paradigm in breast cancer pathogenesis, diagnosis and therapy. Tumour Biol V 37 2016;1479–85.
- [10] Rao D, Kimler BF, Nothnick WB, et al. Transgelin: a potentially useful diagnostic marker differentially expressed in triple-negative and nontriple-negative breast cancers. Hum Pathol 2015;46:876–83.
- [11] Lv M, Xu P, Wu Y, et al. LncRNAs as new biomarkers to differentiate triple negative breast cancer from non-triple negative breast cancer. Oncotarget 2016;7:13047–59.
- [12] Takeda R, Naka A, Ogane N, et al. Impact of expression levels of platinum-uptake transporters copper transporter 1 and organic cation transporter 2 on resistance to anthracycline/taxane-based chemotherapy in triple-negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer (Auckl) 2015;9:49–57.
- [13] Dowsett M, Nielsen TO, A'Hern R, et al. Assessment of Ki67 in breast cancer: recommendations from the International Ki67 in Breast Cancer working group. J National Cancer Instit 2011;103:1656–64.
- [14] Synnestvedt M, Borgen E, Russnes HG, et al. Combined analysis of vascular invasion, grade, HER2 and Ki67 expression identifies early breast cancer patients with questionable benefit of systemic adjuvant therapy. Acta Oncol (Stockholm, Sweden) 2013;52:91–101.
- [15] Elston CW, Ellis IO. Pathological prognostic factors in breast cancer. I. The value of histological grade in breast cancer: experience from a large study with long-term follow-up. Histopathology 2002;41:154–61.
- [16] Fatica A, Bozzoni I. Long non-coding RNAs: new players in cell differentiation and development. Nat Rev Genet 2014;15:7–21.
- [17] Deng R, Liu B, Wang Y, et al. High expression of the newly found long noncoding RNA Z38 promotes cell proliferation and oncogenic activity in breast cancer. J Cancer 2016;7:576–86.
- [18] Meng J, Li P, Zhang Q, et al. A four-long non-coding RNA signature in predicting breast cancer survival. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2014;33:84.
- [19] Chen H, Xu J, Hong J, et al. Long noncoding RNA profiles identify five distinct molecular subtypes of colorectal cancer with clinical relevance. Mol Oncol 2014;8:1393–403.
- [20] Zhou M, Zhong L, Xu W, et al. Discovery of potential prognostic long non-coding RNA biomarkers for predicting the risk of tumor recurrence of breast cancer patients. Sci Rep 2016;6:31038.
- [21] Chen C, Li Z, Yang Y, et al. Microarray expression profiling of dysregulated long non-coding RNAs in triple-negative breast cancer. Cancer Biol Ther 2015;16:856–65.

- [22] Qi P, Zhou XY, Du X. Circulating long non-coding RNAs in cancer: current status and future perspectives. Mol Cancer 2016;15:39.
- [23] Isin M, Ozgur E, Cetin G, et al. Investigation of circulating lncRNAs in Bcell neoplasms. Clin Chim Acta 2014;431:255–9.
- [24] Turnbull C, Ahmed S, Morrison J, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies five new breast cancer susceptibility loci. Nat Genet 2010; 42:504–7.
- [25] Lin L, Gu ZT, Chen WH, et al. Increased expression of the long noncoding RNA ANRIL promotes lung cancer cell metastasis and correlates with poor prognosis. Diagn Pathol 2015;10:14.
- [26] Hua L, Wang CY, Yao KH, et al. High expression of long non-coding RNA ANRIL is associated with poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2015;8:3076–82.
- [27] Qiu JJ, Lin YY, Ding JX, et al. Long non-coding RNA ANRIL predicts poor prognosis and promotes invasion/metastasis in serous ovarian cancer. Int J Oncol 2015;46:2497–505.
- [28] Zhang EB, Kong R, Yin DD, et al. Long noncoding RNA ANRIL indicates a poor prognosis of gastric cancer and promotes tumor growth by epigenetically silencing of miR-99a/miR-449a. Oncotarget 2014;5: 2276–92.
- [29] Zhao JJ, Hao S, Wang LL, et al. Long non-coding RNA ANRIL promotes the invasion and metastasis of thyroid cancer cells through TGF-beta/Smad signaling pathway. Oncotarget 2016;7: 57903–18.
- [30] Royds JA, Pilbrow AP, Ahn A, et al. The rs11515 polymorphism is more frequent and associated with aggressive breast tumors with increased ANRIL and decreased p16 (INK4a) expression. Front Oncol 2015;5:306.
- [31] Meseure D, Vacher S, Alsibai KD, et al. Expression of ANRIL-polycomb complexes-CDKN2A/B/ARF genes in breast tumors: identification of a two-gene (EZH2/CBX7) signature with independent prognostic value. Mol Cancer Res 2016;14:623–33.
- [32] Rossignol F, Vache C, Clottes E. Natural antisense transcripts of hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha are detected in different normal and tumour human tissues. Gene 2002;299:135–40.
- [33] Chen WM, Huang MD, Kong R, et al. Antisense long noncoding RNA HIF1A-AS2 is upregulated in gastric cancer and associated with poor prognosis. Dig Dis Sci 2015;60:1655–62.
- [34] Mineo M, Ricklefs F, Rooj AK, et al. The long non-coding RNA HIF1A-AS2 facilitates the maintenance of mesenchymal glioblastoma stem-like cells in hypoxic niches. Cell Rep 2016;15:2500–9.
- [35] Chen M, Zhuang C, Liu Y, et al. Tetracycline-inducible shRNA targeting antisense long non-coding RNA HIF1A-AS2 represses the malignant phenotypes of bladder cancer. Cancer Lett 2016;376: 155–64.
- [36] Wang XS, Zhang Z, Wang HC, et al. Rapid identification of UCA1 as a very sensitive and specific unique marker for human bladder carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2006;12:4851–8.
- [37] Zheng Q, Wu F, Dai WY, et al. Aberrant expression of UCA1 in gastric cancer and its clinical significance. Clin Transl Oncol 2015;17: 640–6.
- [38] Huang J, Zhou N, Watabe K, et al. Long non-coding RNA UCA1 promotes breast tumor growth by suppression of p27 (Kip1). Cell Death Dis 2014;5:e1008.
- [39] Chen S, Shao C, Xu M, et al. Macrophage infiltration promotes invasiveness of breast cancer cells via activating long non-coding RNA UCA1. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2015;8:9052–61.
- [40] Xiao C, Wu CH, Hu HZ. LncRNA UCA1 promotes epithelialmesenchymal transition (EMT) of breast cancer cells via enhancing Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2016;20:2819–24.
- [41] Li X, Wu Y, Liu A, et al. Long non-coding RNA UCA1 enhances tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer cells through a miR-18a-HIF1alpha feedback regulatory loop. Tumour Biol 2016;37:14733–43.
- [42] Lee JJ, Kim M, Kim HP. Epigenetic regulation of long noncoding RNA UCA1 by SATB1 in breast cancer. BMB Rep 2016;49:578–83.