

### **Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics**

www.elsevier.com/locate/gpb www.sciencedirect.com



### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

# **Transcriptome Analysis of Monozygotic Twin Brothers with Childhood Primary Myelofibrosis**



Nan Ding<sup>1,2,#,a</sup>, Zhaojun Zhang<sup>1,3,#,b</sup>, Wenyu Yang<sup>4,c</sup>, Lan Ren<sup>1,2,d</sup>, Yingchi Zhang<sup>4,e</sup>, Jingliao Zhang<sup>4,f</sup>, Zhanqi Li<sup>4,g</sup>, Peihong Zhang<sup>4,h</sup>, Xiaofan Zhu<sup>4,i</sup>, Xiaojuan Chen<sup>4,\*,j</sup>, Xiangdong Fang<sup>1,2,3,\*,k</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CAS Key Laboratory of Genome Sciences and Information, Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

<sup>2</sup> College of Life Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

<sup>3</sup> Sino-Danish College, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

<sup>4</sup> State Key Laboratory of Experimental Hematology, Institute of Hematology and Blood Diseases Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Tianjin 300020, China

Received 30 August 2016; revised 22 November 2016; accepted 2 December 2016 Available online 7 February 2017

Handled by P. Paul Liu

#### **KEYWORDS**

Primary myelofibrosis; RNA-seq; Apoptosis; Monozygotic twin;

Abstract Primary myelofibrosis (PMF) is a chronic myeloproliferative disorder in human bone marrow. Over 50% of patients with myelofibrosis have mutations in JAK2, MPL, or CALR. However, these mutations are rarely detected in children, suggesting a difference in the pathogenesis of childhood PMF. In this study, we investigated the response to drug treatment of a monozygotic twin pair with typical childhood PMF. The twin exhibited different clinical outcomes despite following

Corresponding authors.

- <sup>#</sup> Equal contribution.
- <sup>a</sup> ORCID: 0000-0002-1045-1695.
- <sup>b</sup> ORCID: 0000-0003-0490-6507.
- <sup>c</sup> ORCID: 0000-0002-7801-9962.
- <sup>d</sup> ORCID: 0000-0002-2069-7103.
- e ORCID: 0000-0003-2237-7477.
- f ORCID: 0000-0002-2441-3235.
- <sup>g</sup> ORCID: 0000-0001-7981-0767.
- <sup>h</sup> ORCID: 0000-0003-1780-4948.
- <sup>i</sup> ORCID: 0000-0003-3760-8143.
- <sup>j</sup> ORCID: 0000-0001-7330-0993.
- <sup>k</sup> ORCID: 0000-0002-6628-8620.

Peer review under responsibility of Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences and Genetics Society of China.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gpb.2016.12.002 1672-0229 © 2017 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences and Genetics Society of China. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

E-mail: chenxj76@163.com (Chen X), fangxd@big.ac.cn (Fang X).

Childhood; Hematological disease the same treatment regimen. The transcriptomic profiles of patient samples after drug treatment (E2 and Y2) were significantly different between the twin pair, which is consistent with the observation that the drug treatment was effective only in the younger brother, despite the twin being genetically identical. Bioinformatics analysis of the drug-responsive genes showed that the JAK-STAT pathway was activated in the cured younger brother, which is opposite to the pathway inhibition observed in adult PMF cases following treatment. Moreover, **apoptosis** and cell cycle processes were both significantly influenced by drug treatment in the sample of younger brother (Y2), implying their potential association with the pathogenesis of **childhood** PMF. Gene mutations in *JAK2*, *MPL*, or *CALR* were not observed; however, mutations in genes including *SRSF2* and *SF3B1* occurred in this twin pair with **childhood** PMF. Gene fusion events were extensively screened in the twin pair samples and the occurrence of *IGLV2-14-IGLL5* gene fusion was confirmed. The current study reported at transcriptomic level the different responses of **monozygotic twin** brothers with **childhood** PMF for further research and clinical applications.

### Introduction

Primary myelofibrosis (PMF) is an agnogenic myeloid metaplasia resulting from abnormal clonal myeloid stem cells in the bone marrow (BM) [1-3]. PMF is characterized by BM diffuse fibrosis, splenomegaly, and extramedullary hematopoiesis, while the fibrous tissue produces few red blood cells (RBCs), leading to a failure of hematopoiesis [1-3]. PMF is frequently observed prior to the onset of other diseases such as acute myeloid leukemia (AML) [4,5]. Effective approaches for the treatment of this disease include allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) [6] and splenectomy [7]. The incidence of PMF is approximately 1 case per 100,000 people, with a median age of onset of 60 years [8]. PMF is extremely rare in children [9], although sporadic childhood PMF cases have been described throughout the world [2,6,10]. Up till now, most studies have focused more on the clinical and cytogenetic phenotypes associated with the disease, rather than on drug therapies and related mechanisms.

CALR, JAK2 and MPL encode calreticulin, Janus kinase 2, and myeloproliferative leukemia virus oncogene, respectively. Mutations in these genes are believed to directly or indirectly activate JAK-STAT pathway [1,11] and have been reported to be important for the development of PMF in adults [1]. The JAK2-V617F mutation was reportedly present in approximately 50% of adult patients with PMF, and was associated with poor survival and an increased likelihood of transfusion dependence [12]. MPL mutations rarely coexist with the JAK2-V617F mutation in PMF patients [13], and have been associated with an increased risk of transfusion dependence and lower hemoglobin levels at diagnosis [14]. Tefferi et al. showed, in a study involving 254 patients, that CALR mutations were associated with young age, high platelet count, and low Dynamic International Prognostic Scoring (DIPSS)plus score [15]. Although CALR, JAK2, and MPL have been described as causative genes in adult PMF, the causes of childhood PMF remain elusive. Among the three pediatric PMF cases reported by Slone and colleagues, only one case possessed a JAK2-V617F mutation and exhibited blindness, whereas the other two cases showed clinical courses that are rarely observed in adult PMF patients and thus remained agnogenic [16]. Therefore, the mechanisms underlying the pathogenesis of childhood PMF remain a mystery.

In this study, we examined a male monozygotic twin pair with PMF but showing discordant disease courses. Morphologically characterized as grade III PMF, these patients did not carry mutations in *JAK2*, *CALR*, or *MPL*. The patients underwent the same drug treatment, *i.e.*, androgen combined with prednisone; however, their responses were different. We analyzed the transcriptomes of the twin brothers before and after treatment, and identified drug-responsive genes. This allowed us to examine the underlying molecular mechanisms of differential responses to drug treatment and potential pathogenic mechanisms in childhood PMF.

#### Results

### The identification of monozygotic twin brothers with childhood PMF

The monozygotic twin brothers were born in November, 2007. At one year of age they were diagnosed with recurrent epistaxis and skin ecchymosis, and then at two years of age they were diagnosed with an expanded abdomen. During this period, the patients developed hepatosplenomegaly with the liver and spleen measuring 1.5 cm and 3.0 cm below the costal margin, respectively in the elder brother, and 1.5 cm and 5.0 cm below the costal margin, respectively in the younger brother. Routine peripheral blood counts revealed anemia and thrombocytopenia in both patients. However, blood biochemistry, bleeding and coagulation behavior, and hemoglobin electrophoresis tests appeared to be normal (Table S1). The initial treatments administered at local hospitals were unknown, which did not relieve the symptoms of the patients. The patients underwent discontinuous blood infusion treatment before being admitted to the Blood Disease Hospital at the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (CAMS), Peking Union Medical College (PUMC) at four years and seven months of age.

#### Cytogenetic and morphological analysis and therapy choices

Smear examination of the patients' BM samples prior to drug treatment showed hyperactive hyperplasia of mature granulocytes and RBCs, as well as megakaryophthisis (Table S1). Additionally, a slight increase in immature cells was also observed in the patients' BM biopsies. Megakaryocytes with abnormal morphology were detected in the BM, and there was extensive fibrous tissue hyperplasia that was classified as grade III reticulin fibers, supporting the diagnosis of primary myelofibrosis (Figure 1A and B; Table S1). PCR amplification and sequencing analysis showed that no mutations in JAK2, MPL, and CALR were detected (data not shown).

Based on these symptoms, both patients underwent drug treatment with oral androgen (2 mg/d) and prednisone (5 mg/d). Surprisingly, the twin brothers responded differentially to the same treatment. In the younger brother, the enlargement of the liver and spleen was significantly attenuated, and he became transfusion independent. At a reexamination at five years and five months of age, his liver and spleen had both decreased to normal size. Additionally, his BM biopsy was normal as well. The younger brother continued to take androgen, but not prednisone. At a reexamination when he was seven years old, he was diagnosed as cured and discontinued treatment completely.

The elder brother, however, continued to display hepatosplenomegaly, with the liver and spleen measuring 2 cm and 15 cm below the costal margin, respectively, at 5 years and 5 months of age. A discontinuous RBC transfusion treatment was given to this patient once a month, and platelet infusion was discontinued between four years and seven months of age and 5 years and 5 months of age. At five years and five months of age, collagen fibrosis with atypical morphology was readily detected (Figure 1C). In addition, megakaryophthisis, active hyperplasia of granulocytes and RBCs, and pancytopenia revealed by blood counts continued to be evident. Clearly, the drug treatment was less effective in the elder brother than in the younger brother (Figure 1C and D; Table S1). Therefore, treatment regimen for the elder brother was adjusted at five years and five months of his age. This includes adding oral thalidomide (25 mg/d) to androgen/prednisone and lowering the frequency of RBC transfusion to once every three months on average. Following these adjustments, the elder brother was improving at the reexamination when he was seven years of age (Figure 2). He had normal white blood cell and platelet counts and physical examination showed spleen measuring 3 cm below the costal margin without hepatomegaly.

#### Transcriptome analysis of monozygotic twin brothers with PMF

To investigate the possible mechanisms underlying the differential responses of the twin pair to identical drug treatment regimen, the transcriptomes from the BM samples before and after treatment were analyzed using an Illumina sequencing platform. We obtained 16,299,013 and 14,148,939 sequencing reads for the elder brother before (E1) and after (E2) drug treatment, respectively, of which 76.5% and 76.9% were mapped to the reference human genome. The number of sequencing reads for the younger brother after treatment (Y2) was 20,669,243, of which 77% were mapped to the reference human genome. The BM sample from the younger brother before treatment (Y1) was not collected for sequencing purpose. In total 11,910 expressed genes were identified with a cutoff of fragments per kilobase of exon per million (FPKM) >1 in at least one sample. The transcriptome profiles of the monozygotic twin brothers differed following treatment (Figure 3), which is consistent with the differential drug responses of the twin despite being genetically identical. The younger brother showed clear progress after 10 months of treatment, but the androgen/prednisone drug combination



**Figure 1** Histological analysis of bone marrow biopsies from monozygotic twin brothers before and after treatment A. Grade III fibrosis in sample E1 (silver staining, 100× magnification). B. Substantial collagen fibrosis with an associated stream-like arrangement of megakaryocytes in sample E1. White arrow indicates megakaryocyte, and yellow arrow indicates collagen fibrosis (H&E staining, 200× magnification). C. Partial collagen fibrosis and megakaryocytes showing a mildly atypical morphology in sample E2 (H&E, 200× magnification). D. Mildly atypical megakaryocytes with no collagen fibrosis in sample Y2 (H&E, 200× magnification).



Figure 2 Clinical treatments for monozygotic twin brothers

The boxed areas describe treatment regimens for each of the patients. E1 and E2 denote bone marrow samples taken from the elder brother, before and after treatment, respectively; Y2 denotes the bone marrow sample taken from the younger brother after treatment. RBC, red blood cell.





was clearly ineffective in the elder brother. The expression of 1451 genes was significantly altered in the elder brother following treatment, although we could not rule out the possibility that these could be attributed to drug-induced non-specific perturbations. On the other hand, some genes were expressed at the comparable levels in the elder brother before and after treatment, whereas significant alterations in the expression of these genes were revealed in the younger brother following treatment. In total, 1187 genes were differentially expressed between E1 and Y2 (fold change >2, P < 0.05). Among them, expression of 824 genes remained similar without showing significant changes between E1 and E2 (Table S2). These genes were defined to be responsive to the androgen/prednisone drug treatment regimen, which could be potential causative genes for childhood PMF.

# Gene set enrichment analysis of genes responsive to drug treatment

We performed the gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) on the 824 drug-responsive genes to find regions of chromosomal enrichment and potential transcriptional regulators of these genes. Totally 49 drug-responsive genes were clustered at the chr19p13 and chr19q13 regions. Of these, expression of 34 genes was up-regulated after treatment, whereas expression of the remaining 15 genes was down-regulated in Y2 (Table 1). Chromosome 19 is therefore likely to be the genomic region that is most sensitive to drug treatment in this case. We also performed transcription factor (TF) enrichment analysis on these 824 drug-responsive genes to identify TFs that potentially regulate their expression. As a result, 40 genes were found to be potentially regulated by the TFs E2F and GATA (Figure 4).

#### Drug treatment affects apoptosis and cell cycle

Gene Ontology (GO) analysis was performed to identify functions that are enriched in the drug-responsive gene set. Biological processes associated with apoptosis, the cell cycle, and erythroid differentiation were found to be enriched (Figure 5A). Alterations in expression of genes that are associated with apoptosis and cell cycle suggest that drug treatment could

Chromosomal location	Gene	Expression level (FPKM)			Cono	Expression level (FPKM)		
		E1	E2	Y2	Gene	E1	E2	Y2
Chr19p13	EMR1	4.36	7.67	32.03	PRKACA	17.35	33.60	37.74
	EMR4P	1.19	1.49	8.53	LPPR2	17.70	29.29	38.23
	JAK3	14.58	27.20	67.77	DUS3L	10.22	8.03	4.99
	SBNO2	26.63	39.43	91.30	TUBB4B	145.66	86.30	70.89
	BST2	47.48	88.57	125.07	FAM129C	24.45	24.14	11.58
	ZNF254	1.21	2.33	3.16	RETN	2311.82	2052.35	1074.50
	RFX2	2.38	2.87	6.11	NFIX	3.09	3.68	1.35
	CD97	110.26	128.59	274.62	C19orf77	17.45	13.92	7.36
	VAVI	33.29	63.52	80.39	SPC24	9.67	6.42	2.87
	FLJ45445	56.41	43.17	136.00	PRDX2	209.35	228.38	56.45
	FAM125A	4.02	7.59	9.59	KLF1	27.88	43.17	6.02
	PLIN5	6.23	4.66	13.59				
Chr19q13	FFAR3	1.05	0.81	6.87	CHMP2A	92.85	165.22	207.17
	FFAR2	72.74	70.19	285.00	CCDC97	7.85	15.67	16.48
	FPR1	330.85	610.35	1282.02	ZNF274	3.55	7.06	7.30
	RASGRP4	24.25	46.31	76.76	EGLN2	37.02	64.84	75.43
	DHX34	8.96	16.55	25.89	SHKBP1	53.12	77.82	107.71
	LILRA5	54.72	75.30	156.33	GMFG	508.96	783.09	1025.10
	CACNG6	0	0.06	1.57	ZNF264	1.25	2.32	2.51
	NUCB1	34.86	63.55	83.02	C19orf48	19.13	15.94	9.11
	FCGRT	67.99	135.41	159.42	ARHGAP33	8.69	4.38	2.83
	VASP	102.26	176.70	238.84	WDR62	3.30	2.00	1.04
	GRAMD1A	25.08	35.30	57.30	BLVRB	255.04	283.60	78.55
	ZNF224	3.03	6.06	6.90	APOC1	30.95	21.16	9.34
	KIAA0355	1.71	2.60	3.84	UBE2S	33.79	20.23	9.50

Table 1 Forty-nine genes on chr19p13 or chr19q13 showing differential expression in twin brothers with childhood PMF following treatment

significantly affect these biological processes in patients with childhood PMF. PMF is often accompanied by erythrocyte disorders in patient [17]. Notably, our transcriptomic analysis showed that factors associated with erythroid differentiation, such as *TAL1*, *ALAS2*, *SP1*, *LYN*, *EPB42*, *HCLS1*, *VEGFA*, *BCL6*, *BPGM*, *TRIM10*, and *KLF1*, were differentially expressed in both E2 and Y2 samples (Figure 5B). The enrichment for erythroid differentiation factors is probably related to abnormal extramedullary hematopoiesis observed in PMF [17]. This generally can be treated with low doses of thalidomide together with prednisone to modulate the activity of the immune system and prevent angiogenesis, thus preventing myelofibrosis and improving hematopoiesis in the BM [18].

## The JAK-STAT pathway is activated in the younger brother after drug treatment

While investigating the key genes involved in childhood PMF, we found that 20 genes, including *JAK2*, were involved in all of the GO functions enriched in the drug-responsive set (Figure 5C). These genes, including *AKT1*, *JAK2*, *BCL2L1*, *SOS2*, *STAT5B*, and *SOCS3*, are associated with the activation of the JAK-STAT signaling pathway (Figure 6). JAK-STAT signaling pathway has been shown to be inhibited in patients that are cured of adult PMF [1]. Therefore, molecular response to combined androgen/prednisone drug treatment seems to differ markedly between patients with childhood PMF and those with adult PMF. The V617F mutation of *JAK2* is well-studied as the main cause for myelofibrosis [7];

however, this mutation was not detected in the twin pair patients examined in this study. Instead, we detected another two synonymous SNPs in the coding sequence of JAK2, suggesting the existence of undiscovered causative mechanisms in the development of childhood PMF.

#### Fusion genes are detected in childhood PMF

The significant changes in gene expression between the E2 and Y2 prompted us to further investigate the pathogenesis of PMF at the whole transcriptome level. The investigation of nonrandom chromosomal translocation breakpoints has led to the identification of many genes crucial for malignant transformation and shed light on the pathogenesis and prognosis of hematopoietic diseases [19]. We therefore performed fusion detection analysis at the transcriptome level to find potential fusion genes. Paired-end reads were mapped to the reference human genome, in which the two ends mapped to different genes or reads spanning two genes were considered indicative of potential fusion candidates. Consequently, a total of 23 gene fusions were identified in twin brothers by using TopHat-fuse analysis (Table 2). The IGLV2-14-IGLL5 gene fusion had the highest number of reads and was selected for scrutinization. IGLV2-14 and IGLL5 are both located on chromosome 22 but they are 134,265 bp away from each other. The IGLV2-14-IGLL5 gene fusion occurred between exon 2 of IGLV2-14 and exon 2 of IGLL5, and was identified in patients for the first time in the current study. IGLV2-14 encodes immunoglobulin lambda variable 2-14, which is likely to be associated with

*Note*: E1 and E2 denote the elder brother before and after treatment, respectively, and Y2 denotes the younger brother after treatment. Upregulated and downregulated genes are indicated in red and green, respectively. PMF, primary myelofibrosis; FPKM, fragments per kilobase of exon per million (FPKM).



Figure 4 Profiling of gene expression and transcription factor enrichment for 40 DEGs regulated by E2F and GATA

Drug-responsive genes were subjected to gene set enrichment preranked analysis. The purple-white-green heatmap shows the enrichment of transcription factors potentially regulating the DEGs, and the red–orange–blue heatmap shows the expression defined as FPKM for each gene. Genes were ranked according to the fold change in expression between E1 and Y2 samples. FPKM, fragments per kilobase of exon per million; DEG, differentiallyexpressed gene.

chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) [20]. *IGLL5* encodes the immunoglobulin lambda-like polypeptide 5, which has not been extensively investigated yet. *IGLL5* was expressed in lymphomas [21]. Is of note that in lymph nodes breast cancer patients, *IGLL5* is frequently fused with variants of *IGLV1* that is located  $\sim$  500 kb upstream of *IGLL5* [22]. Our sequencing and PCR analyses validated the presence of this fusion gene in twin brothers before and after drug treatment (Figure 7).

To confirm whether the fusion gene is present in other childhood hematological diseases, we also examined BM samples of childhood Diamond-Blackfan anemia (DBA) and idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP). The fusion gene was detected in DBA, but not in childhood ITP patient or normal human umbilical cord blood mononuclear cells (UBMCs) (data not shown), indicating the fusion gene of *IGLV2-14-IGLL5* is not specific for childhood PMF. Taken together, these findings show that fusion genes could be the potential causative agents in the pathogenesis of childhood PMF.

### SRSF2 and SF3B1 gene mutations occurred in both adult and childhood PMF

Besides JAK2, MPL, and CARL, mutations in other genes, including ASXL1, EZH2, IDH2, and U2AF1, are known to have prognostic relevance in PMF as well [23,24]. In this study, we comprehensively screened samples from the patients with childhood PMF for gene mutations (Table S3). An average of 5754 SNPs (5455 in E1sample, 5694 in E2 sample, and 6114 in Y2 sample) were identified using the RNA-seq data. This screen confirmed that no mutations occurred in the JAK2, CALR, or MPL genes in any of the samples. To identify gene mutations relevant to childhood PMF, we focused on the 4122 SNPs that were shared among the three samples. Two genes with mutations were found to be differentially expressed in the younger brother, including SRSF2 and SF3B1. Mutations in these two genes have been shown to be relevant to adult PMF by influencing RNA splicing [1]. There are more than one missense mutation found in SRSF2 and SF3B1 in each of the three samples (SNPs rs237057 and rs237058 for SRSF2; rs788018 and rs788023 for SF3B1), respectively. All these four mutations have also been detected in pediatric patients with AML [25]. In summary, although no mutations were detected in the genes JAK2, MPL, and CALR, two gene mutations involved in both the adult and childhood forms of PMF were detected. The pathogenic role of such mutations in disease progression in both adult and childhood PMF remains poorly understood.

#### Discussion

PMF is a severe form of myeloproliferative neoplasms, and fibrosis of the BM causes abnormal hematopoiesis both in the BM and in other organs. It is rare in children, and only a handful of childhood PMF cases, accompanied by other diseases and with disparate clinical symptoms, have been reported to date [6,10]. A close relationship between PMF and leukemia has been demonstrated by Tavares, with PMF diagnosed either prior to acute leukemia or after leukemia treatment (http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/956806-overview#a3). In the current study, we noted that prior to androgen/prednisone drug treatment, the monozygotic twin brothers exhibited typical reticulin fibers (grade III) in BM, as well as palpable hepatosplenomegaly, anemia, megakaryophthisis, and active hyperplasia of granulocytes and RBCs. The absence of mutations in the known causative genes JAK2, CALR, and MPL suggests that the pathogenic mechanisms in the current pediatric PMF case could be attributed to other genetic variations.

Α				В
Term	Function	Count	FDR	
GO:0042981	Regulation of apoptosis	80	2.36E-07	<sup>10</sup> 1 E1 E2 Y2
GO:0043067	Regulation of programmed cell death	80	3.75E-07	9 -
GO:0010941	Regulation of cell death	80	4.51E-07	≥ <sup>8-</sup>
GO:0006916	Anti-apoptosis	31	4.36E-05	¥ 7-
GO:0007049	Cell cycle	72	4.51E-05	
GO:0000278	Mitotic cell cycle	43	1.32E-04	
GO:0007067	Mitosis	31	1.97E-04	
GO:0000280	Nuclear division	31	1.97E-04	
GO:000087	M phase of mitotic cell cycle	31	2.94E-04	
GO:0043066	Negative regulation of apoptosis	40	8.52E-04	
GO:0000279	M phase	38	1.01E-03	TALT ALASE SPI LYN EPBAE HOLS VEGFF BOLD BPGM TRIMTE KLET
GO:0022403	Cell cycle phase	44	1.12E-03	Erythrocyte differentiation associated genes
GO:0043069	Negative regulation of programmed cell death	40	1.20E-03	
GO:0060548	Negative regulation of cell death	40	1.30E-03	•
GO:0012501	Programmed cell death	56	3.13E-03	
GO:0008219	Cell death	62	5.92E-03	
GO:0022402	Cell cycle process	52	6.97E-03	E1
GO:0016265	Death	62	7.16E-03	E2
GO:0006915	Apoptosis	54	9.36E-03	
GO:0007242	Intracellular signaling cascade	93	1.04E-02	Y2
GO:0030218	Erythrocyte differentiation	11	3.72E-02	通りこの国のおけれたでのた国内ケインの国
GO:0007243	Protein kinase cascade	37	3.92E-02	
				AFA ROS SEA SEA SEA SEA SEA SEA SEA SEA SEA SE
				É É

Figure 5 Enriched functions for genes that are differentially expressed between the twin brothers after treatment

**A.** GO analysis was performed using the DAVID tool, with a FDR < 0.05 defined as significant. **B.** Bar plot shows the expression (FPKM) of 11 genes associated with erythrocyte differentiation across the three samples. **C.** The expression profiles of 20 genes that are frequently associated with the regulation of apoptosis, erythrocyte differentiation, and cell cycle. Red and blue bars represent high and low levels of expression, respectively. E1 and E2 denote the BM samples from elder brother before and after treatment, respectively, and Y2 denotes the BM sample from younger brother after treatment. FPKM, fragments per kilobase of exon per million; FDR, false discovery rate; FC, fold change.

It is of note that the twin brothers, despite with identical genetic backgrounds, exhibited different responses to the same drug treatment regime. The point mutations and gene fusions detected in the current study occurred in both brothers, and did not differ before and after drug treatment. We thus speculate that the difference in response to treatment could be due to specific changes at the epigenetic or transcriptional level. Interestingly, we observed alterations in the expression of genes relevant to epigenetic regulation, including TET2 and DNMT3A, which have previously been reported to be associated with PMF (Table S2) [1]. JAK2 is responsible for the activation of molecules involved in RBC production [26], and thus the increase in JAK2 gene expression in the cured younger brother is probably involved in the disappearance of his anemia symptoms. Therefore, transcriptional changes leading to enhanced expression of the genes of the JAK/STAT pathway could, in concert with epigenetic changes, explain the different responses to treatment.

The genetic profiles of adult patients with PMF have been extensively studied, and a number of promising gene candidates have been reported. However, very few studies have been performed on pediatric patients with PMF, which could be partially due to the rarity of pediatric PMF. In this study, we have investigated the mechanisms underlying the response to treatment with androgen and prednisone, as well as potential causative factors in childhood PMF, by comparing the transcriptome profiles of identical twin brothers before and after treatment. We reported that disturbances in apoptotic and cell cycle processes are likely to have roles in the development of childhood PMF. The JAK2-STAT pathway is known to be hyper-activated in adult PMF as a result of the mutation JAK2-V617F [1], and is inhibited by drug treatments received by these patients. Surprisingly, the JAK2-STAT pathway was found to be activated in the twin brothers following treatment, demonstrating that while childhood PMF involves different pathogenic mechanisms, the same pathway is involved. We found that the expression profiles of the twin brothers differed after 10 months of drug treatment. As the patients displayed discordant outcomes following the same treatment regimen, we examined those genes that were differentially expressed between the patients. These genes were defined as drugresponsive, supporting our hypothesis that differential gene expression is important in the response to drugs. Such differential gene expression could be the possible cause of the poor response to treatment of the elder brother.

Interestingly, a total of 49 differentially-expressed genes (DEGs) were clustered at the chromosomal locations chr19p13 and chr19q13. Forty of these genes possess binding motifs for the GATA and E2F TF families, suggesting that regulation by these factors, or proteins with similar DNA-binding domains, could be responsible for the differential response to treatment observed in these patients. The *BCR-ABL* fusion gene, caused by the reciprocal translocation of chromosomes 9 and 22, is a well-documented causative agent in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) [27]. However, the PMF patients in this study were shown to be *BCR-ABL*-negative (data not shown). Due to





**A.** The JAK-STAT signaling pathway was activated in the Y2 sample. **B.** The chemokine signaling pathway was also activated in the Y2 sample. Expression of JAK-family coding genes was up-regulated in the Y2 sample. Red and green nodes indicate coding genes with up-regulated and down-regulated expression in the Y2 sample, respectively.

Table 2 Gene fusions detected in the monozygotic twin brothers with childhood PMF

Sample	Left				Right	No. of spanning	No. of spanning	
	Gene	Chr	Break-point	Gene	Chr	Break-point	reads	mate pairs
Y2	IGLV2-14	Chr22	23101695	IGLL5	Chr22	23235960	19	42
E1	IGLV2-14	Chr22	23101695	IGLL5	Chr22	23235960	10	32
E2	C22orf32	Chr22	42475957	FAM109B	Chr22	42473283	8	6
Y2	IGLV1-44	Chr22	22735711	IGLL5	Chr22	23235959	7	23
Y2	IGLV1-36	Chr22	22786798	IGLL5	Chr22	23235959	7	2
E2	IGLV2-14	Chr22	23101695	IGLL5	Chr22	23235960	7	34
Y2	C22orf32	Chr22	42475957	FAM109B	Chr22	42473283	6	10
Y2	PFKFB3	Chr22	6268327	LOC399715	Chr10	6368508	6	4
Y2	SUDS3	Chr22	118825899	SUDS3P1	Chr5	177398633	5	16
Y2	C22orf32	Chr22	42475908	FAM109B	Chr22	42473283	3	10
E1	C22orf32	Chr22	42475906	FAM109B	Chr22	42473281	2	5
E1	ELL	Chr19	18632730	FKBP8	Chr19	18652804	2	5
Y2	PARP10	Chr8	145064223	GRINA	Chr8	145065395	2	3
Y2	AC090587.5	Chr11	3875965	STIM1	Chr11	3988781	2	25
E1	AC090587.5	Chr11	3875965	STIM1	Chr11	3988781	2	11
E1	NADK	Chr1	1711343	SSU72	Chr1	1500295	2	3
E1	C22orf32	Chr22	42476117	FAM109B	Chr22	42473283	1	5
Y2	MFAP3	Chr5	153569748	GALNT10	Chr5	153674375	1	6
Y2	PRR4	Chr12	11199784	LOC100129361	Chr12	11323863	1	5
Y2	LINC00854	Chr17	41371857	TMEM106A	Chr17	41371241	1	4
E2	JAZF1	Chr7	28031599	JAZF1-AS1	Chr7	28220548	1	5
E2	LOC96610	Chr22	22677321	IGLL5	Chr22	23235960	1	17
Y2	MAP3K3	Chr17	61767860	LIMD2	Chr17	61776667	1	8

*Note:* E1 and E2 denote the elder brother before and after treatment, respectively, and Y2 denotes the younger brother after treatment. PMF, primary myelofibrosis; Chr, chromosome.



Figure 7 The fusion gene IGLV2-14-IGLL5 detected in monozygotic twin brothers with PMF

**A.** A representative fusion gene of *IGLV2-14-IGLL5* on chromosome 22 was detected from transcriptomic sequencing data. **B.** The *IGLV2-14-IGLL5* fusion gene was confirmed by PCR amplification. M represents marker. The expected size of 378 bp is marked with an arrow. **C.** The Sanger sequencing profiles for three samples. The break points were marked with a vertical line. E1 and E2 denote the elder brother before and after treatment, respectively, and Y2 denotes the younger brother after treatment.

limitations in the genomic data, we were unable to verify the existence of genomic mutations or instability at chromosome 19 in our patients with childhood PMF. The interesting observation that a number of DEGs were clustered in two specific regions of chromosome 19 would be investigated further.

Our GO analysis showed that the drug-responsive genes were associated with apoptosis, the cell cycle, and erythroid differentiation. To identify a key gene with multiple cellular roles, we examined 20 genes that were frequently associated with the enriched functions. Most of these genes are either regulated by JAK2 or inhibit JAK2 [28-33]. In adults with myelofibrosis, which is commonly associated with the JAK2-V617F mutant, symptoms were relieved by the JAK inhibitor ruxolitinib [31]. Verstovsek et al. evaluated the long-term outcomes of 107 adult myelofibrosis patients who received ruxolitinib and found that the ruxolitinib treatment was associated with better overall survival [32]. However, ruxolitinib would be ineffective in the current case, as the JAK-STAT pathway was inactive in the uncured elder brother. The expression of JAK2, one of the 20 genes considered, was significantly higher in the BM of the cured patient than in the uncured patient. The higher expression of the JAK1, JAK2, and JAK3 genes and their downstream targets AKT1, STAM, and GRB2 in the cured patient indicates that the JAK-STAT signaling pathway was activated in the BM, possibly to regulate the cell cycle and apoptotic processes. Cytokines rely on the JAK family for control of cell growth and immune responses (Figure 6) [21]. We hypothesize that the drug-induced activation of JAK2 gene expression enhances the apoptotic response to DNA damage. Taken together, our results suggest the involvement of different pathogenic mechanisms in childhood PMF, and imply that the JAK gene family is involved in this disease in a manner that is independent of the documented JAK2-V617F mutation.

#### Conclusion

This study, examining a monozygotic twin pair with childhood PMF, provides a suitable model for the investigation of the pathogenesis of PMF as well as the underlying molecular mechanisms involved in the response to drug treatment. We find that following treatment, the brothers have very different clinical outcomes and transcriptome profiles. In the cured PMF patient, the response to treatment is found to involve apoptosis, the cell cycle, and erythrocyte differentiation. While the JAK-STAT pathway is activated in the cured pediatric patient, it has previously been shown to be inhibited in cases of adult PMF. Gene fusion and mutations are found to occur in twin brothers with childhood PMF. In summary, this study provides valuable clinical and sequencing information for a monozygotic twin pair with rarely-seen childhood PMF.

#### Materials and methods

#### Sample preparation

A pair of four-year-old twin brothers, whose monozygosity was confirmed by hospital records, was diagnosed with PMF on the basis of clinical examination, blood counts and morphology. After written informed consent was obtained from the patients' guardians, one BM sample was collected from the elder brother before treatment (at 4 years and 7 months of age) and each BM sample from both twin brothers after treatment (5 years and 5 months of age) for transcriptome sequencing. The twin brothers showed almost exactly the same clinical features and morphologies before treatment, and at this point a BM sample was collected from the elder brother only. Sample collection was performed with the permission of the children's parents, and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Hematology, Blood Disease Hospital, CAMS, PUMC.

#### RNA preparation, library preparation, and sequencing

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA integrity was measured using 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis, and residual genomic DNA was removed with RNase-free DNase I (Ambion, Austin, TX). mRNA libraries were constructed using an Illumina mRNA-Seq Library Preparation Kit. The size distribution and concentration of the mRNA in the libraries were determined using an Agilent Bioanalyzer DNA 2000 Chip (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). The RNA-seq libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq2000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA), using a 101-bp, paired-end, non-strand-specific sequencing strategy.

#### Data processing

Quality control analysis of the raw data was performed using FASTQC software (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac. uk/projects/fastqc). The human hg19 reference genome was downloaded from the iGenomes database, and the associated .GTF files were downloaded from the Ensembl website (http://asia.ensembl.org/index.html). Raw sequencing reads (.fastq format) were mapped to the hg19 reference genome using TopHat version 2.0.9 software with default parameters [33,34]. The Cuffdiff tool was used to identify DEGs using default parameters [35,36]. Transcript abundance was defined as FPKM for RNA-seq data. A gene is considered expressed with FPKM >1 in at least one sample and significantly differentially-expressed with fold change >2 and P < 0.05. Genes that were differentially expressed before and after treatment were grouped for clustering analysis. K-means clustering was performed using the R package ConsensusClusterPlus [37]. Enrichment analysis for GO biological processes and TFs, as well as hallmark analysis, was performed by using GSEA [38]. Tophat-fusion-post was used to filter out false fusions and annotate fusion genes [39]. Global gene fusions were further filtered to include those with >10 reads spanning the break-point [39]. SNPs were called using GATK, SAMtools, and BEDtools according to the pipeline described in SNPiR [40]. The transcriptome data had been deposited in the Genome Sequencing Archive (accession No. PRJCA000343).

#### Unsupervised clustering

Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of filtered gene expression profiles (FPKM >1) was performed using R software to examine relationships between the three samples [41]. The distance  $(d_{XY})$  between genes and samples was evaluated using the Pearson correlation coefficient  $(r_{XY})$ , where  $d_{XY} = 1 - r_{XY}$ .

The Pearson correlation coefficient function is defined as:

$$r = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{X - \overline{X}}{S_X} \right) \left( \frac{Y - \overline{Y}}{S_Y} \right)$$

where

$$S_X = \frac{1}{n-1} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(X_i - \overline{X}\right)^2}, \ S_Y = \frac{1}{n-1} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i - \overline{Y}\right)^2}$$

and *n* represents the number of samples,  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  indicate the observed values for the two variables, and  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  are the mean values for the two variables, respectively.

#### Fusion gene validation

Total RNAs were extracted from patient BM biopsy samples of PMF, DBA, and ITP with TRIzol Reagent, and reversely transcribed using RevertAidTM First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). cDNA was utilized as template to detect gene fusion with PCR amplification in Applied Biosystems using the primer pairs for *IGLV2-14-IGLL5* fusion gene: forward: 5'-AACAGCACCCAGG CAAAG-3'; reverse: 5'-TCTCCACTCCCGCCTTG-3'. The PCR products were visualized by 1.2% agarose DNA gel electrophoresis and sequenced by Beijing Genomics Institute, CAS (Beijing, China).

#### Authors' contributions

XC, XF, and ZZ conceived and designed the experiments; ND analyzed the data; ZZ, LR, WY, YZ, JZ, ZL, and PZ performed the experiments and suggested analyses; ND and ZZ wrote the paper; XZ, XC, and XF revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declared that they have no conflicts of interest.

#### Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 31471115, 31401160, 81300393, and 81300394), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Stem Cell and Regenerative Medicine Research, China (Grant No. XDA0104 0405), the National "Twelfth Five-Year" Plan for Science & Technology Support, China (Grant No. 2013BAI01B09), the National Key Scientific Instrument and Equipment Development Projects of China (Grant No. 2011YQ03013404), the National High-tech R&D Program of China (Grant Nos. 2015AA020101 and 2015AA020108), the State Key Laboratory of Experimental Hematology Pilot Project (Grant No. ZK13-05), and the Nature Science Fund of Tianjin Municipal Science and Technology Commission, China (Grant No. 12ZCDZSY18100).

### Supplementary material

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. gpb.2016.12.002.

#### References

- [1] Tefferi A. Myeloproliferative neoplasms: a decade of discoveries and treatment advances. Am J Hematol 2016;91:50–8.
- [2] Noor-Fadzilah Z, Leong CF, Sabariah MN, Cheong SK. Childhood idiopathic myelofibrosis: a case report and review of literature. Malays J Pathol 2009;31:129–32.
- [3] Alshemmari SH, Rajan R, Emadi A. Molecular pathogenesis and clinical significance of driver mutations in primary myelofibrosis: a review. Med Princ Pract 2016;25:501–9.
- [4] Inoue S, Limsuwan A, McQueen R. Spontaneous resolution of myelofibrosis and pancytopenia followed by the development of acute myeloid leukemia with an extramedullary mass. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 1998;20:268–70.
- [5] Hung IJ, Kuo TT, Sun CF. Subcutaneous panniculitic T-cell lymphoma developing in a child with idiopathic myelofibrosis. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 1999;21:38–41.
- [6] Hussein AA, Hamadah T, Domm J, Al-Zaben A, Frangoul H. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for infants with idiopathic myelofibrosis. Pediatr Transplant 2013;17:815–9.
- [7] Maia RC, Bonamino MH, Robaina MC, Amaral N, Bonecker S, Zalcberg IR, et al. An unusual long-term outcome of a child with primary myelofibrosis harboring a JAK2 mutation. Blood Cells Mol Dis 2015;55:347–50.
- [8] Tefferi A. Myelofibrosis with myeloid metaplasia. N Engl J Med 2000;342:1255–65.
- [9] Sekhar M, Prentice HG, Popat U, Anderson D, Janmohammed R, Roberts I, et al. Idiopathic myelofibrosis in children. Br J Haematol 1996;93:394–7.
- [10] Bavikar RR, Kulkarni RK, Rathod AD, Hastak MS. Idiopathic myelofibrosis in an infant. Indian J Pediatr 2011;78:734–6.
- [11] Marty C, Harini N, Pecquet C, Chachoua I, Gryshkova V, Villeval JL, et al. Calr mutants retroviral mouse models lead to a myeloproliferative neoplasm mimicking an essential thrombocythemia progressing to a myelofibrosis. Blood 2014;124:157.
- [12] Campbell PJ, Griesshammer M, Dohner K, Dohner H, Kusec R, Hasselbalch HC, et al. V617F mutation in JAK2 is associated with poorer survival in idiopathic myelofibrosis. Blood 2006;107:2098–100.
- [13] Beer PA, Campbell PJ, Scott LM, Bench AJ, Erber WN, Bareford D, et al. MPL mutations in myeloproliferative disorders: analysis of the PT-1 cohort. Blood 2008;112:141–9.
- [14] Guglielmelli P, Pancrazzi A, Bergamaschi G, Rosti V, Villani L, Antonioli E, et al. Anaemia characterises patients with myelofibrosis harbouring Mpl mutation. Br J Haematol 2007;137:244–7.
- [15] Tefferi A, Lasho TL, Finke CM, Knudson RA, Ketterling R, Hanson CH, et al. CALR vs JAK2 vs MPL-mutated or triple-

negative myelofibrosis: clinical, cytogenetic and molecular comparisons. Leukemia 2014;28:1472–7.

- [16] Slone JS, Smith MC, Seegmiller AC, Sidonio RF, Yang E. Idiopathic myelofibrosis in children: primary myelofibrosis, essential thrombocythemia, or transient process? J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2013;35:559–65.
- [17] Walia M, Mehta R, Paul P, Saluja S, Kapoor S, Sharma M. Idiopathic myelofibrosis with generalized periostitis in a 4-yearold girl. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2005;27:278–82.
- [18] Gao H, Wang J, Hu F, Xu Z. Observation on effect and adverse reactions of low-dose thalidomide combined with prednisone in treating primary myelofibrosis in 14 cases. China Pharm 2014; 23:96–8.
- [19] Rowley JD. Chromosomes in leukemia and beyond: from irrelevant to central players. Ann Rev Genomics Hum Genet 2009;10:1–18.
- [20] Stamatopoulos K, Belessi C, Hadzidimitriou A, Smilevska T, Kalagiakou E, Hatzi K, et al. Immunoglobulin light chain repertoire in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood 2005;106:3575–83.
- [21] Arora K, Chebib I, Zukerberg L, Gandhi M, Rivera M, Ting D, et al. Branched-chain in situ hybridization for kappa and lambda light chains: a powerful ancillary technique for determining B-cell clonality in cytology samples. Cancer Cytopathol 2016; 124:203–12.
- [22] Liang F, Qu H, Lin Q, Yang Y, Ruan X, Zhang B, et al. Molecular biomarkers screened by next-generation RNA sequencing for non-sentinel lymph node status prediction in breast cancer patients with metastatic sentinel lymph nodes. World J Surg Oncol 2015;13:258.
- [23] Vannucchi AM, Lasho TL, Guglielmelli P, Biamonte F, Pardanani A, Pereira A, et al. Mutations and prognosis in primary myelofibrosis. Leukemia 2013;27:1861–9.
- [24] Tefferi A, Finke CM, Lasho TL, Wassie EA, Knudson R, Ketterling RP, et al. U2AF1 mutations in primary myelofibrosis are strongly associated with anemia and thrombocytopenia despite clustering with JAK2V617F and normal karyotype. Leukemia 2014;28:431–3.
- [25] Choi HW, Kim HR, Baek HJ, Kook H, Cho D, Shin JH, et al. Alteration of the SETBP1 gene and splicing pathway genes SF3B1, U2AF1, and SRSF2 in childhood acute myeloid leukemia. Ann Lab Med 2015;35:118–22.
- [26] Kaushansky K. The chronic myeloproliferative disorders and mutation of JAK2: Dameshek's 54 year old speculation comes of age. Best Pract Res Clin Haematol 2007;20:5–12.
- [27] Salesse S, Verfaillie CM. BCR/ABL: from molecular mechanisms of leukemia induction to treatment of chronic myelogenous leukemia. Oncogene 2002;21:8547–59.
- [28] Komura E, Chagraoui H, Mansat de Mas V, Blanchet B, de Sepulveda P, Larbret F, et al. Spontaneous STAT5 activation induces growth factor independence in idiopathic myelofibrosis: possible relationship with FKBP51 overexpression. Exp Hematol 2003;31:622–30.
- [29] Kleppe M, Kwak M, Koppikar P, Riester M, Keller M, Bastian L, et al. JAK-STAT pathway activation in malignant and nonmalignant cells contributes to MPN pathogenesis and therapeutic response. Cancer Discov 2015;5:316–31.
- [30] Chaligne R, Tonetti C, Besancenot R, Marty C, Kiladjian JJ, Socie G, et al. SOCS3 inhibits TPO-stimulated, but not spontaneous, megakaryocytic growth in primary myelofibrosis. Leukemia 2009;23:1186–90.
- [31] Santos FPS, Verstovsek S. JAK2 inhibitors for myelofibrosis: why are they effective in patients with and without JAK2V617F mutation? Anticancer Agents Med Chem 2012;12:1098–109.
- [32] Verstovsek S, Kantarjian HM, Estrov Z, Cortes JE, Thomas DA, Kadia T, et al. Long-term outcomes of 107 patients with myelofibrosis receiving JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor ruxolitinib: survival

advantage in comparison to matched historical controls. Blood 2012;120:1202–9.

- [33] Trapnell C, Pachter L, Salzberg SL. TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. Bioinformatics 2009;25:1105–11.
- [34] Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol 2013;14:R36.
- [35] Trapnell C, Roberts A, Goff L, Pertea G, Kim D, Kelley DR, et al. Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNAseq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. Nat Protoc 2012;7:562–78.
- [36] Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, van Baren MJ, et al. Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. Nat Biotechnol 2010;28:511–5.

- [37] Wilkerson MD, Hayes DN. ConsensusClusterPlus: a class discovery tool with confidence assessments and item tracking. Bioinformatics 2010;26:1572–3.
- [38] Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledgebased approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102(43):15545–50.
- [39] Kim D, Salzberg SL. TopHat-Fusion: an algorithm for discovery of novel fusion transcripts. Genome Biol 2011;12:R72.
- [40] Piskol R, Ramaswami G, Li JB. Reliable identification of genomic variants from RNA-seq data. Am J Hum Genet 2013;93:641–51.
- [41] Ding N, Wang S, Yang Q, Li Y, Cheng H, Wang J, et al. Deep sequencing analysis of microRNA expression in human melanocyte and melanoma cell lines. Gene 2015;572:135–45.