

Citation: Huang W-M, Feng J-Y, Cheng H-M, Chen S-Z, Huang C-J, Guo C-Y, et al. (2020) The role of pulmonary function in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: Looking beyond chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. PLoS ONE 15(7): e0235152. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0235152

Editor: Ify Mordi, University of Dundee, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: December 20, 2019

Accepted: June 9, 2020

Published: July 7, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Huang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The study was supported by Taipei Veterans General Hospital (V100C-145, V101C-092, V102C-119, V103B-017, V104C-172, and V104E12-003-MY3), Ministry of science and technology (MOST 103-2314-B-010-050-MY2), and Ministry of Health and Welfare, Taiwan grant (MOHW107-TDU-B-211-123001, MOHW108RESEARCH ARTICLE

The role of pulmonary function in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: Looking beyond chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Wei-Ming Huang^{1,2}, Jia-Yih Feng^{2,3}, Hao-Min Cheng^{2,4}, Su-Zhen Chen^{1,2}, Chi-Jung Huang^{2,4}, Chao-Yu Guo⁵, Wen-Chung Yu^{1,2}, Chen-Huan Chen^{1,2,5}, Shih-Hsien Sung₀^{1,2,5}*

1 Department of Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, 2 Department of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University School of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan, 3 Department of Chest Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, 4 Department of Medical Education, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, 5 Department of Public Health, National Yang-Ming University School of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan

* mr.sungsh@gmail.com

Abstract

Background

The prognostic value of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) as a comorbidity in heart failure has been well documented. However, the role of pulmonary function indices in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) remains to be elucidated.

Methods

Subjects with HFpEF received pulmonary function tests and echocardiogram. Total lung capacity (TLC), residual volume (RV), forced expiratory flow rate between 25% and 75% of vital capacity (FEF25-75), forced expiratory volume in the 1st second (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), and vital capacity (VC) were measured. Echocardiographic indices, including pulmonary artery systolic pressure (PASP), the ratio of early ventricular filling flow velocity to the septal mitral annulus tissue velocity (E/e'), and left ventricular mass (LVM), were recorded. National Death Registry was linked for the identification of mortality.

Results

A total of 1194 patients (72.4±13.2 years, 59% men) were enrolled. PASP, E/e' and LVM were associated with either obstructive (RV/TLC, FEV1 and FEF25-75) or restrictive (VC and TLC) ventilatory indices. During a mean follow-up of 23.0±12.8 months, 182 patients died. Subjects with COPD had a lower survival rate than those without COPD. While VC, FVC, RV/TLC, and FEV1 were all independently associated with all-cause mortality in patients without COPD, only FEF25-75 was predictive of outcomes in those with COPD.

TDU-B-211-133001, MOHW109-TDU-B-211-114001), and the National Death Registry.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Conclusions

The abnormalities of pulmonary function were related to the cardiac hemodynamics in patients with HFpEF. In addition, these ventilatory indices were independently associated with long-term mortality, especially in those without COPD.

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is prevalent in chronic heart failure (HF) patients with either reduced (HFrEF) or preserved left ventricular ejection fraction (HFpEF) [1, 2]. Due to the lack of routine spirometric examinations in heart failure patients, self-reported COPD history only identifies a minority of COPD in these patients, resulting in a notably under-diagnosis of COPD in patients with HF [3]. The coexistence of COPD in HF is associated with a worse prognosis, in terms of mortality and HF hospitalizations [1, 2]. On the other hand, more than 20% of the patients with stable COPD actually had concomitant HF, and the others were at high risks of developing HF [4, 5].

The cardio-pulmonary interplay was described in a general population of 15,010 subjects, demonstrating that forced expiratory volume in the 1st second (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC) and their ratio (FEV1/FVC) were associated with left ventricular systolic and diastolic function, and N-terminal pro-B type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) levels [6]. Ries et al. further observed a significant restrictive change of lung and reduction of FEV1 when pulmonary artery wedge pressure (PAWP) was of \geq 20 mmHg in patients undergoing cardiac catheterization [7]. Submucosal edema related to the decompensation of HF is proposed to cause airway obstruction [8]. Whilst lung volume was reduced as a function of disease severity in patients with HFrEF [9], heart transplantation would normalize total lung capacity (TLC), FEV1 and FVC [10, 11], suggesting a causal relationship between cardiac performance and pulmonary function.

HFpEF involves cardiovascular aging and multiple comorbidities, including COPD [12, 13]. Lung function abnormalities highly prevail in patients with HFpEF, and may deteriorate during exercise [14, 15]. However, the pathophysiology and clinical relevance between heart and lung functions in HFpEF patients, regardless of the presence of COPD, remain to be elucidated. Therefore, we conducted the present study to evaluate the cardiopulmonary correlations and the prognostic impacts of pulmonary function parameters in patients with HFpEF.

Methods

Study population

The study population was drawn from an administrative registry to **in**vestigate **H**eart **a**nd Lung int**er**action (INHALER registry). The registry from August 2005 to December 2012 was composed of 8963 ambulatory outpatients who complained of exertional dyspnea. All of them have received both pulmonary function tests and echocardiographic studies. A total of 1587 subjects were diagnosed to have heart failure, based on a history of HF hospitalization or the Framingham Heart Failure Diagnostic Criteria [16], whereas 2289 subjects had COPD, diagnosed by a pulmonologist according to typical symptoms and a pre-bronchodilator FEV1/ FVC ratio <0.7. Subjects with heart failure and LVEF \geq 50% were defined to have HFpEF. Patients with severe hepatic disease, hematopoietic diseases, active malignancy, or asthma were excluded from this analysis. The investigation was conformed to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. The institutional review committee of Taipei Veterans General Hospital approved the use of the registry data for research purposes, and the informed consent was waived.

Data of demographic characteristics, hemogram, biochemistry, and echocardiography were prospectively input in a web-based medical recording system. Estimated glomerular filtration (eGFR) rate was calculated by the Chinese Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation (cMDRD) [17]. In addition, the prescribed medications were also retrieved from the system. Renin-angiotensin system blockers were referred to either angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers. Used bronchodilators, including oral theophylline, inhaled long-acting beta agonists (LABA), long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMA), and steroid, were also recorded.

The left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was derived from the 2D-guided M-mode echocardiography with Teichholz method [18]. Left ventricular end-diastolic dimension (LVEDD), end-systolic dimension (LVESD), left ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVEDV), left ventricular mass (LVM), and left atrial (LA) dimension were obtained. E/A ratio represented the ratio of left ventricular early (E) to late (A) filling flow velocity. E/e' was the ratio of early ventricular filling flow velocity (E) to the septal mitral annulus tissue velocity (e'), and an E/e' of >15 indicated high left ventricular end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP). Pulmonary artery systolic pressure (PASP) was also estimated, and a PASP of >35mmHg was referred to pulmonary hypertension.

Pulmonary function test was performed by standard spirometry (CPFS/D USB, Medical Graphics, St Paul, Minnesota, USA) in all patients and body plethysmograph (MasterScreen Body Plethysmograph, Erich Jaeger GmbH, Würzburg, Germany) in 818 subjects. According to the statement of American Thoracic Society standards, residual volume (RV), TLC, FEV1 and FVC were presented as the percentage of their predicted values [19]. The forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of vital capacity (FEF25-75) was calculated. The severity of obstructive ventilation defect was graded according to the predicted %FEV1 (mild >80%; moderate 50–80%; severe 30–50%; or very severe < 30%). The severity of the restrictive ventilation defect was graded according to the predicted %TLC (normal range > 80%; mild 70–80%; moderate 50–70%; severe/very severe <50%).

The ventilatory abnormalities were further categorized into 4 types: obstructive type indicated by FEV1/FVC<70% and predicted FVC% \geq 80; restrictive type indicated by FEV1/FVC<70% and predicted FVC%<80; mixed type indicated by FEV1/FVC<70% and predicted FVC%<80; and normal [19].

Follow-up

The study population was followed for up to 3 years. The causes and dates of death were identified from the National Death Registry [20].

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics were compared by Chi-square tests and Student's t-test as appropriate. Normally distributed continuous variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Categorical variables were reported as the absolute numbers and relative frequencies. Cox proportional hazards models were used to evaluate the independence of pulmonary function indices in the prediction of mortality with adjustments for age, sex, hemoglobin, eGFR, and PASP. Forward stepwise multiple Cox regression analyses were used to compare the predictive values between pulmonary function indices, after accounting for age, sex, hemoglobin, eGFR, and PASP. The correlates of pulmonary function parameters were examined by the linear regression analyses, and their determinants were evaluated by the stepwise multiple linear regression analyses. The attributable proportions were then calculated. All the statistics were performed using SPSS v.20.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All the tests performed were two-sided and a P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 1194 patients (age 72.4±13.2 years, 59% men) with HFpEF were enrolled in this analysis (Fig 1), of whom 329 subjects (27.6%) had COPD. Table 1 disclosed the comparison of baseline characteristics between the patients with and without COPD. In short, patients with COPD were older, more likely to be men and had atrial fibrillation. The prevalence of hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease, and stroke were similar in both groups. Patients with COPD had lower LVEF and larger LA dimension, but LVM, LVEDD, LVEDV, stroke volume (SV), PASP and E/e' were not different compared to those without COPD. As for the pulmonary function indices, the predicted %TLC, predicted %RV and RV/TLC ratio were higher in subjects with COPD, whereas the FEF25-75, predicted %FEV1, and FEV1/FVC ratio were lower in subjects with COPD compared to those without. Both the predicted %VC and % FVC were similar in both groups.

The prescribed medications, including β -blockers, RAS blockers and mineralocorticoid antagonists, were similar in both groups. However, patients with COPD were more likely to be prescribed with diuretics and bronchodilators.

The abnormalities of pulmonary function in HFpEF

The distribution of the pulmonary function abnormalities was shown in Fig 2. Compared to patients without COPD, obstructive ventilatory defects were more prevalent in patients with COPD that 74.8% of them had more than mild obstructive defect (p < 0.001) [21]. Even in patients without COPD, 44.6% of the patients with HFpEF patients had predicted %FEV1 of <80%.



Fig 1. The flow chart of the study population.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235152.g001

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population.

Ágy ara n70.1 ± 1.3 %76.7 9.9<0001		HFpEF without COPD (n = 865)	HFpEF with COPD $(n = 329)$	P value
Make goods, n (%)(43) (3.2)(3.0)Hypertasion440 (47.9)166 (50.4)0.345Bybertes mallus207 (23.9)66 (0.1)0.315Dates mallus207 (23.9)66 (0.1)0.321Atrial Brollation90 (0.4)49 (14.9)0.031Stroke66 (7.4)28 (8.5)0.024Broke67 (7.4)28 (8.5)0.024IVEF, %70 9 + 9.66.0 2 + 9.70.007IVEF, %70 9 + 9.66.0 2 + 9.70.007IVEF, %70 9 + 9.66.0 2 + 9.70.007IVEF, %195 5 + 81.1197 6 + 8.30.233IVEDD, man468 8 8.140.2 ± 9.10.235SV.nd177 9 + 9.1381.6 ± 7.390.337SV.nd179 9 + 9.181.6 ± 7.390.037SV.nd179 9 + 9.181.6 ± 7.390.037SV.nd179 9 + 9.181.6 ± 7.390.037SV.nd154 6 913.0 ± 6.10.041Spall EF13.4 ± 6.913.0 ± 6.10.042Spall EF13.4 ± 6.913.0 ± 6.10.005Predicted VV, %75.1 ± 20.77.5 ± 18.80.061Predicted VV, %17.5 ± 20.70.051Predicted VV, %17.1 ± 20.41.007Predicted FVC, %17.1 ± 20.40.005Fredicted FVC, %17.1 ± 20.40.005Fredicted FVC, %17.1 ± 20.40.005Fredicted FVC, %17.1 ± 20.40.006Fredicted FVC, %17.1 ± 20.40.006F	Age, years	70.1 ± 13.8	76.7 ± 9.9	< 0.001
Grammidity, n(%)InterfactInterfactHypertension0.010(7.9)1.66(0.0.1)0.015Diddets mellitu0.77(2.3)0.6(0.0.1)0.015Coronay artery disease0.93(0.4)0.49(14.7)0.031Strok0.90(0.4)0.49(14.7)0.031Strok0.60(7.0)0.49(14.7)0.031Strok0.60(7.0)0.92(15.7)0.007Eblocardiography0.915.3 E81.10.922.19.70.007LVER.%0.165.3 E81.10.120.2 51.900.033LVED.Van0.164.4 5.90.130.2 4.51.910.033LVED.Van0.054.100.054.100.054SV.min0.054.100.054.100.054SV.min0.054.100.054.100.054.10SymBurg0.054.11.130.104.110.054.10SymBurg0.054.11.130.104.110.054.10SymBurg0.054.11.130.104.110.054.10Pedicted TIC.%0.054.11.130.054.100.004Predicted TIC.%0.054.11.130.054.100.005Fredicted TIC.%0.054.11.130.054.100.005Fredicted TIC.%0.054.11.130.054.100.005Fredicted FIC.%0.054.11.130.054.100.005Fredicted FIC.%0.054.11.130.054.100.005Fredicted FIC.%0.054.11.130.054.100.005Fredicted FIC.%0.054.11.130.054.100.005Fredicted FIC.%0.054.11.130.054.100.005Fredicted FI	Male gender, n (%)	451 (52.4)	248 (75.4)	<0.001
Hyperwsin 10 (±79) 166 (50.4) 0.345 Diabetes molitus 207 (23.9) 66 (20.1) 0.155 Coronary stry disease 335 (41.5) 147 (41.7) 0.321 Ariaf florillation 90 (10.4) 49 (14.9) 0.031 Stroke 64 (7.4) 28 (65.) 0.245 Edecardiograph 0.07 LVEF, % 7.09 ± 9.6 69.2 ± 9.7 0.007 LVERs, gm 105.5 ± 81.1 197.6 ± 82.1 0.671 LVEDU, and 116.4 ± 45.9 120.2 ± 51.9 0.230 LVEDU, and 7.9 ± 31.3 81.6 ± 33.9 0.333 Admeter, mm 42.0 ± 9.5 43.3 ± 10.9 0.004 Syr al 0.05 ± 0.47 0.86 ± 0.41 0.014 Syr al 0.31.2 ± 1.4 10.2 ± 51.9 0.031 Prediced RV, % 10.3 ± 31.4 110.2 ± 50.1 0.054 Syr al 0.30 ± 0.1 0.734 0.733 Prediced RV, % 10.3 ± 31.4 110.2 ± 50.1 0.005 Pred	Co-morbidity, n (%)			
Dates mellius 207 (239) 66 (21) 0.151 Caronary artery disease 359 (41.5) 147 (40.7) 0.321 Artal förlillinden 90 (10.4) 49 (14.9) 0.031 Stroke 64 (7.4) 28 (8.5) 0.245 Etheardiagraphy	Hypertension	410 (47.9)	166 (50.4)	0.345
Coronay artery disease 359 (41.5) 147 (47.7) 0.321 Atria fibrillation 90 (10.4) 90 (14.2) 0.031 Stroke 64 (7.4) 28 (8.3) 0.235 Edocardiography	Diabetes mellitus	207 (23.9)	66 (20.1)	0.155
Arral Brillation 90 (0.4) 49 (149) 0.031 Stroke $64(7.4)$ $28 (8.5)$ 0.245 Elevaratiography $$	Coronary artery disease	359 (41.5)	147 (44.7)	0.321
Sroke 64(7.4) 28(8.5) 0.243 Educardiography LVFE, % 7.0 9 ± 9.6 6.9 2 ± 9.7 0.007 LV mass gm 195.3 ± 81.1 197.6 ± 82.1 0.671 LVEDV, ml 116.4 ± 5.9 120.2 ± 51.9 0.230 LVEDV, ml 48.8 ± 81.1 49.3 ± 9.1 0.0387 SV, ml 7.99 ± 9.3 81.6 ± 33.9 0.333 LA diameter, mm 42.0 ± 9.5 43.9 ± 10.9 0.004 EAr ratio 0.95 ± 0.47 0.86 ± 0.41 0.014 Sy, ml 0.95 ± 0.47 0.86 ± 0.41 0.014 Syptal EE' 13.4 ± 6.9 13.0 ± 6.1 0.743 PASm, mnHg 40.1 ± 7.7 41.8 ± 19.4 0.181 Pulmanary function test 0.005 Predicted RV, % 103.1 ± 31.4 110.2 ± 36.1 0.005 Predicted RVC, % 75.1 ± 20.7 7.6 ± 19.8 0.364 RVTLC ratio, % 81.2 ± 13.0 6.5 ± 24.0 0.0061 Predicted FVC,	Atrial fibrillation	90 (10.4)	49 (14.9)	0.031
Echoardingraphy Image: constraint of the second seco	Stroke	64 (7.4)	28 (8.5)	0.245
IVEF.% 709 ± 9.6 692 ± 9.7 0.007 IV mas, gm 1953 ± 81.1 197.6 ± 82.1 0.671 IVEDD, m 1164 ± 45.9 1202 ± 51.9 0.230 IVEDD, mm 48.8 ± 8.1 493 ± 9.1 0.387 SV, ml 79.9 ± 31.3 81.6 ± 33.9 0.333 LA diameter, mm 42.0 ± 9.5 $43.3 + 10.9$ 0.004 E/A ratio 0.96 ± 0.47 0.86 ± 0.41 0.014 Symifg 40.1 ± 1.7 41.8 ± 19.4 0.131 Palmonary function test $ -$ Predicted XV, % 103.1 ± 31.4 110.2 ± 36.1 0.005 Predicted XV, % 103.1 ± 31.4 110.2 ± 36.1 0.005 Predicted XV, % 10.5 ± 30.8 89.9 ± 18.2 0.007 Predicted XV, % 10.5 ± 30.8 0.055 0.354 RVTLC isto, % 86.2 ± 18.3 89.9 ± 18.2 0.007 Predicted XV, % 17.5 ± 0.86 0.65 ± 0.33 <0.001 Predicted FV1, % 80.2 ± 12	Echocardiography			
IV mass, gm 195.3 ± 81.1 197.6 ± 82.1 0.671 IVEDD, mm 116.4 ± 659 120.2 ± 51.9 0.230 IVEDD, mm 48.8 ± 81.1 49.3 ± 9.1 0.387 SV, ml 79.9 ± 31.3 81.6 ± 33.9 0.353 IA diameter, nm 42.0 ± 9.5 43.9 ± 10.9 0.004 E/A ratio 0.96 ± 0.47 0.86 ± 0.41 0.014 SynmEig 40.1 ± 17.7 41.8 ± 19.4 0.181 PASR, mmFig 40.1 ± 17.7 41.8 ± 19.4 0.181 Pedicted RV, % 103.1 ± 31.4 110.2 ± 36.1 0.005 Predicted RV, % 65.2 ± 18.3 89.9 ± 18.2 0.007 Predicted TLC, % 75.1 ± 20.7 7.6 ± 19.8 0.34 RV/TLC ratio, % 46.1 ± 11.8 44.6 ± 10.8 0.005 FEF 25 to 75%, L/s 1.75 ± 0.86 0.65 ± 0.33 <0.001	LVEF, %	70.9 ± 9.6	69.2 ± 9.7	0.007
IVEDV, ml 1164 \pm 459 120 \pm 51.9 0.230 IVEDD, mm 48 \pm 8.1 49.3 \pm 9.1 0.387 SV, ml 79 \pm 9.3.3 81.6 \pm 33.9 0.353 LA diameter, mm 420 \pm 9.5 43.9 \pm 10.9 0.004 E/A ratio 0.96 \pm 0.47 0.86 \pm 0.41 0.014 Synal 13.0 \pm 6.1 0.743 0.87 PASP, mmHg 40.1 \pm 17.7 41.8 \pm 19.4 0.181 Palmonry function test	LV mass, gm	195.3 ± 81.1	197.6 ± 82.1	0.671
LVEDD, mm 488 ± 8.1 49.3 ± 9.1 0.387 SV, ml 779 ± 31.3 81.6 ± 33.9 0.353 LA diameter, mm 42.0 ± 9.5 43.9 ± 10.9 0.004 E/A ratio 0.96 ± 0.47 0.86 ± 0.41 0.014 Syptal E/E 13.3 ± 6.9 13.0 ± 6.1 0.743 PASP, mmHg 40.1 ± 17.7 41.8 ± 19.4 0.181 Planonary function test	LVEDV, ml	116.4 ± 45.9	120.2 ± 51.9	0.230
SV, nl 79.9 ± 31.3 81.6 ± 33.9 0.333 LA dameter, mm 42.0 ± 9.5 43.9 ± 10.9 0.004 E/A ratio 0.96 ± 0.47 0.86 ± 0.41 0.014 Septal E/E' 13.4 ± 6.9 13.0 ± 6.1 0.743 PASP, mmHg 40.1 ± 17.7 41.8 ± 19.4 0.181 Palmonary function test	LVEDD, mm	48.8 ± 8.1	49.3 ± 9.1	0.387
LA diameter, mm 42.0 ± 9.5 43.9 ± 10.9 0.004 E/A ratio 0.96 ± 0.47 0.86 ± 0.41 0.014 Sepial E/F 13.4 ± 6.9 13.0 ± 6.1 0.743 PASP, mmHg 40.1 ± 17.7 41.8 ± 19.4 0.181 Parametric et st	SV, ml	79.9 ± 31.3	81.6 ± 33.9	0.353
E/A ratio 0.96 ± 0.47 0.86 ± 0.41 0.014 Septal E/E' 13.4 ± 6.9 13.0 ± 6.1 0.743 PASP, mmHg 40.1 ± 17.7 41.8 ± 19.4 0.181 Palmonary function test	LA diameter, mm	42.0 ± 9.5	43.9 ± 10.9	0.004
Septal E/E' 13.4 ± 6.9 13.0 ± 6.1 0.743 PASP, nmHg 40.1 ± 17.7 41.8 ± 19.4 0.181 Pulmoary function test	E/A ratio	0.96 ± 0.47	0.86 ± 0.41	0.014
PASP, mmHg 40.1 ± 17.7 41.8 ± 19.4 0.181 Padmonzy function test	Septal E/E'	13.4 ± 6.9	13.0 ± 6.1	0.743
Pulmonary function test Image: constraint of the state	PASP, mmHg	40.1 ± 17.7	41.8 ± 19.4	0.181
Predicted RV, % 103.1 ± 31.4 110.2 ± 36.1 0.005 Predicted TLC, % 86.2 ± 18.3 89.9 ± 18.2 0.007 Predicted VC, % 75.1 ± 20.7 76.5 ± 19.8 0.364 RV/TLC ratio, % 46.1 ± 11.8 48.6 ± 10.8 0.005 FEF 25 to 75% L/s 1.75 ± 0.86 0.65 ± 0.33 <0.001	Pulmonary function test			
Predicted TLC, % 86.2 ± 18.3 89.9 ± 18.2 0.007 Predicted VC, % 75.1 ± 20.7 76.5 ± 19.8 0.364 RV/TLC ratio, % 46.1 ± 11.8 48.6 ± 10.8 0.005 FFE 25 to $75\%, L/s$ 1.75 ± 0.86 0.65 ± 0.33 <0.001 Predicted FEV1, % 80.2 ± 23.0 65.1 ± 24.0 <0.001 Predicted FVC, % 73.1 ± 22.0 75.8 ± 23.1 0.064 FEV1/FVC ratio, % 81.4 ± 7.2 59.4 ± 9.4 <0.001 Hemogram and Biochemistry <0.001 Hemoglobin, g/d 12.1 ± 2.1 12.0 ± 1.8 0.815 ceFR, ml/min/1.73 m ² 72.9 ± 29.8 68.7 ± 27.6 0.066 Sodium, mEq/L 4.17 ± 0.57 4.14 ± 0.57 0.493 Baseline Medications, n (%) $0.97(23.4)$ 0.094 RAS blockers $244 (28.2)$ $77 (23.4)$ 0.094 RAS blockers $206 (37.7)$ $147 (47.7)$ 0.27 Branchodilitators, n (%)	Predicted RV, %	103.1 ± 31.4	110.2 ± 36.1	0.005
Predicted VC, % 75.1 \pm 20.7 76.5 \pm 19.8 0.364 RV/TLC ratio, % 46.1 \pm 11.8 48.6 \pm 10.8 0.005 FEF 25 to 75%, L/s 1.75 \pm 0.86 0.65 \pm 0.33 <0.001	Predicted TLC, %	86.2 ± 18.3	89.9 ± 18.2	0.007
RV/TLC ratio, % 46.1 ± 11.8 48.6 ± 10.8 0.005 FEF 25 to 75%, L/s 1.75 ± 0.86 0.65 ± 0.33 <0.001 Predicted FEV1, % 80.2 ± 23.0 65.1 ± 24.0 <0.001 Predicted FEV1, % 80.2 ± 23.0 65.1 ± 24.0 <0.001 Predicted FEV1, % 80.2 ± 23.0 65.1 ± 24.0 <0.001 Predicted FEV1, % 73.1 ± 22.0 75.8 ± 23.1 0.064 FEV1/FVC ratio, % 81.4 ± 7.2 59.4 ± 9.4 <0.001 Hemogram and Biochemistry $Hemogram (20.001)$ $=0.066$ $=0.066$ Hemogram (20.001) 12.1 ± 2.1 12.0 ± 1.8 0.815 eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m ² 72.9 ± 29.8 68.7 ± 27.6 0.066 Sodium, mEq/L 138.9 ± 3.8 139.1 ± 3.5 0.523 Potassium, mEq/L 41.17 ± 0.57 4.14 ± 0.57 0.493 Baseline Medications, n (%) $ \beta$ -blockers $244 (28.2)$ $77 (23.4)$ 0.094 RAS blockers $401 (46.4)$ <td< td=""><td>Predicted VC, %</td><td>75.1 ± 20.7</td><td>76.5 ± 19.8</td><td>0.364</td></td<>	Predicted VC, %	75.1 ± 20.7	76.5 ± 19.8	0.364
FEF 25 to 75%, L/s 1.75 ± 0.86 0.65 ± 0.33 <0.001 Predicted FEV1, % 80.2 ± 23.0 65.1 ± 24.0 <0.001 Predicted FVC, % 73.1 ± 22.0 75.8 ± 23.1 0.064 FEV1/FVC ratio, % 81.4 ± 7.2 59.4 ± 9.4 <0.001 Hemogram and Biochemistry $ -$ Hemoglobin, g/dl 12.1 ± 2.1 12.0 ± 1.8 0.815 eGFR ml/min/1.73 m ² 72.9 ± 29.8 68.7 ± 27.6 0.066 Sodium, mEq/L 138.9 ± 3.8 139.1 ± 3.5 0.523 Potassium, mEq/L 4.17 ± 0.57 4.14 ± 0.57 0.493 Baseline Medications, n (%) $ -$ Mineralocorticoid antagonist $172 (19.9)$ $73 (22.2)$ 0.378 Loop diuretics $326 (37.7)$ $147 (44.7)$ 0.0027 Bronchodilators, n (%) $ -$ Combination of hypothylline $76 (8.8)$ $49 (14.9)$ 0.002 Construction of hypothylline $76 (8.8)$ $49 (14.9)$ 0.002 Monotherapy, LABA $5 (0.6)$ $6 (1.8)$ 0.053 Combination of hypothylline $72 (42.8)$ $0.041 (4.4)$	RV/TLC ratio, %	46.1 ± 11.8	48.6 ± 10.8	0.005
Predicted FEV1, % 80.2 ± 23.0 65.1 ± 24.0 <0.001 Predicted FVC, % 73.1 ± 22.0 75.8 ± 23.1 0.064 FEV1/FVC ratio, % 81.4 ± 7.2 59.4 ± 9.4 <0.001 Hemogram and Biochemistry 12.1 ± 2.1 12.0 ± 1.8 0.815 eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m² 72.9 ± 29.8 68.7 ± 27.6 0.066 Sodium, mEq/L 138.9 ± 3.8 139.1 ± 3.5 0.523 Potassium, mEq/L 4.17 ± 0.57 4.14 ± 0.57 0.493 Baseline Medications, n (%) $172 (19.9)$ $77 (23.4)$ 0.094 Mineralocorticoid antagonist $172 (19.9)$ $73 (22.2)$ 0.378 0.027 Ioop diuretics $326 (37.7)$ $147 (44.7)$ 0.027 Monotherapy, LABA $5 (0.6)$ $6 (1.8)$ 0.053 Monotherapy, LABA $12 (1.4)$ $53 (16.1)$ <0.001	FEF 25 to 75%, L/s	1.75 ± 0.86	0.65 ± 0.33	< 0.001
Predicted FVC, % 73.1 ± 22.0 75.8 ± 23.1 0.064 FEV1/FVC ratio, % 81.4 ± 7.2 59.4 ± 9.4 <0.001 Hemogram and BiochemistryHemoglobin, g/dl 12.1 ± 2.1 12.0 ± 1.8 0.815 eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m² 72.9 ± 29.8 68.7 ± 27.6 0.066 Sodium, mEq/L 138.9 ± 3.8 139.1 ± 3.5 0.523 Potassium, mEq/L 4.17 ± 0.57 4.14 ± 0.57 0.493 Baseline Medications, n (%) β -blockers $244 (28.2)$ $77 (23.4)$ 0.094 RAS blockers $401 (46.4)$ $167 (50.8)$ 0.174 Mineralocorticoid antagonist $172 (19.9)$ $73 (22.2)$ 0.378 Loop diuretics $326 (37.7)$ $147 (44.7)$ 0.002 Monotherapy, theophylline $76 (8.8)$ $49 (14.9)$ 0.002 Monotherapy, LABA $5 (0.6)$ $6 (1.8)$ 0.053 Combinition g(branchodilatore $24 (28.2)$ $27 (23.4)$ 0.001	Predicted FEV1, %	80.2 ± 23.0	65.1 ± 24.0	<0.001
FEV1/FVC ratio, % 81.4 ± 7.2 59.4 ± 9.4 <0.001 Hemogram and BiochemistryHemoglobin, g/dl 12.1 ± 2.1 12.0 ± 1.8 0.815 eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m² 72.9 ± 29.8 68.7 ± 27.6 0.066 Sodium, mEq/L 138.9 ± 3.8 139.1 ± 3.5 0.523 Potassium, mEq/L 4.17 ± 0.57 4.14 ± 0.57 0.493 Baseline Medications, n (%) β -blockers $244 (28.2)$ $77 (23.4)$ 0.094 RAS blockers401 (46.4) $167 (50.8)$ 0.174 Mineralocorticoid antagonist $172 (19.9)$ $73 (22.2)$ 0.378 Loop diuretics $326 (37.7)$ $147 (44.7)$ 0.002 Monotherapy, theophylline $76 (8.8)$ $49 (14.9)$ 0.002 Monotherapy, LABA $5 (0.6)$ $6 (1.8)$ 0.053 Monotherapy, LAMA $12 (1.4)$ $53 (16.1)$ <0.001	Predicted FVC, %	73.1 ± 22.0	75.8 ± 23.1	0.064
Henogram and Biochemistry Image: model of the system of the	FEV1/FVC ratio, %	81.4 ± 7.2	59.4 ± 9.4	<0.001
Hemoglobin, g/dl 12.1 ± 2.1 12.0 ± 1.8 0.815 eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m² 72.9 ± 29.8 68.7 ± 27.6 0.066 Sodium, mEq/L 138.9 ± 3.8 139.1 ± 3.5 0.523 Potassium, mEq/L 4.17 ± 0.57 4.14 ± 0.57 0.493 Baseline Medications, n (%) $$	Hemogram and Biochemistry			
eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m ² 72.9 ± 29.8 68.7 ± 27.6 0.066 Sodium, mEq/L 138.9 ± 3.8 139.1 ± 3.5 0.523 Potassium, mEq/L 4.17 ± 0.57 4.14 ± 0.57 0.493 Baseline Medications, n (%) 0.994 0.004 0.004 β -blockers $244 (28.2)$ $77 (23.4)$ 0.094 RAS blockers $401 (46.4)$ $167 (50.8)$ 0.174 Mineralocorticoid antagonist $172 (19.9)$ $73 (22.2)$ 0.378 Loop diuretics $326 (37.7)$ $147 (44.7)$ 0.002 Monotherapy, theophylline $76 (8.8)$ $49 (14.9)$ 0.002 Monotherapy, LABA $5 (0.6)$ $6 (1.8)$ 0.053 Combination of kranchodilators $24 (28)$ $44 (12.4)$ $50 (0.01$	Hemoglobin, g/dl	12.1 ± 2.1	12.0 ± 1.8	0.815
Sodium, mEq/L 138.9 ± 3.8 139.1 ± 3.5 0.523 Potassium, mEq/L 4.17 ± 0.57 4.14 ± 0.57 0.493 Baseline Medications, n (%) $$	eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m ²	72.9 ± 29.8	68.7 ± 27.6	0.066
Potassium, mEq/L 4.17 ± 0.57 4.14 ± 0.57 0.493 Baseline Medications, n (%) β -blockers 244 (28.2) 77 (23.4) 0.094 RAS blockers 401 (46.4) 167 (50.8) 0.174 Mineralocorticoid antagonist 172 (19.9) 73 (22.2) 0.378 Loop diuretics 326 (37.7) 147 (44.7) 0.027 Bronchodilators, n (%) 0.002 Monotherapy, theophylline 76 (8.8) 49 (14.9) 0.002 Monotherapy, LABA 5 (0.6) 6 (1.8) 0.053 Combination of branchodilators 24 (2.8) $24 (2.8)$ $24 (2.8)$	Sodium, mEq/L	138.9 ± 3.8	139.1 ± 3.5	0.523
Baseline Medications, n (%) β-blockers 244 (28.2) 77 (23.4) 0.094 RAS blockers 401 (46.4) 167 (50.8) 0.174 Mineralocorticoid antagonist 172 (19.9) 73 (22.2) 0.378 Loop diuretics 326 (37.7) 147 (44.7) 0.027 Bronchodilators, n (%) Monotherapy, theophylline 76 (8.8) 49 (14.9) 0.002 Monotherapy, LABA 5 (0.6) 6 (1.8) 0.053 Monotherapy, LAMA 12 (1.4) 53 (16.1) <0.001	Potassium, mEq/L	4.17 ± 0.57	4.14 ± 0.57	0.493
β-blockers 244 (28.2) 77 (23.4) 0.094 RAS blockers 401 (46.4) 167 (50.8) 0.174 Mineralocorticoid antagonist 172 (19.9) 73 (22.2) 0.378 Loop diuretics 326 (37.7) 147 (44.7) 0.027 Bronchodilators, n (%) Monotherapy, theophylline 76 (8.8) 49 (14.9) 0.002 Monotherapy, LABA 5 (0.6) 6 (1.8) 0.053 Combination of branchodilators 24 (2.8) 44 (12.4)	Baseline Medications, n (%)			
RAS blockers 401 (46.4) 167 (50.8) 0.174 Mineralocorticoid antagonist 172 (19.9) 73 (22.2) 0.378 Loop diuretics 326 (37.7) 147 (44.7) 0.027 Bronchodilators, n (%) Monotherapy, theophylline 76 (8.8) 49 (14.9) 0.002 Monotherapy, LABA 5 (0.6) 6 (1.8) 0.053 Monotherapy, LAMA 12 (1.4) 53 (16.1) <0.001	β-blockers	244 (28.2)	77 (23.4)	0.094
Mineralocorticoid antagonist 172 (19.9) 73 (22.2) 0.378 Loop diuretics 326 (37.7) 147 (44.7) 0.027 Bronchodilators, n (%) Monotherapy, theophylline 76 (8.8) 49 (14.9) 0.002 Monotherapy, LABA 5 (0.6) 6 (1.8) 0.053 Monotherapy, LAMA 12 (1.4) 53 (16.1) <0.001	RAS blockers	401 (46.4)	167 (50.8)	0.174
Loop diuretics 326 (37.7) 147 (44.7) 0.027 Bronchodilators, n (%) 0.027 Monotherapy, theophylline 76 (8.8) 49 (14.9) 0.002	Mineralocorticoid antagonist	172 (19.9)	73 (22.2)	0.378
Bronchodilators, n (%) 49 (14.9) 0.002 Monotherapy, theophylline 76 (8.8) 49 (14.9) 0.002 Monotherapy, LABA 5 (0.6) 6 (1.8) 0.053 Monotherapy, LAMA 12 (1.4) 53 (16.1) <0.001	Loop diuretics	326 (37.7)	147 (44.7)	0.027
Monotherapy, theophylline 76 (8.8) 49 (14.9) 0.002 Monotherapy, LABA 5 (0.6) 6 (1.8) 0.053 Monotherapy, LAMA 12 (1.4) 53 (16.1) <0.001	Bronchodilators, n (%)			
Monotherapy, LABA 5 (0.6) 6 (1.8) 0.053 Monotherapy, LAMA 12 (1.4) 53 (16.1) <0.001	Monotherapy, theophylline	76 (8.8)	49 (14.9)	0.002
Monotherapy, LAMA 12 (1.4) 53 (16.1) <0.001 Combination of branchedilators 24 (2.8) 44 (12.4) <0.001	Monotherapy, LABA	5 (0.6)	6 (1.8)	0.053
Combination of branchadilators 24 (2.8) 44 (12.4)	Monotherapy, LAMA	12 (1.4)	53 (16.1)	<0.001
Combination of of onchounations 24 (2.0) 44 (15.4) <0.001	Combination of bronchodilators	24 (2.8)	44 (13.4)	<0.001
Steroid plus either bronchodilator 32 (3.7) 51 (15.5) <0.001	Steroid plus either bronchodilator	32 (3.7)	51 (15.5)	<0.001

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; E/A ratio: ratio of the early (E) to late (A) ventricular filling velocities; E/E': ratio of early ventricular filling velocity (E) to early diastolic tissue velocity mitral annulus; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration; FEF 25 to 75%: forced expiratory flow at 25–75% of the pulmonary volume; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1st second; FVC: forced vital capacity, HFpEF: heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; β -blockers: heart failure specific β -blockers, including bisoprolol, carvedilol, and metoprolol; LA diameter: the diameter of left atrium; LABA: long-acting beta-adrenoceptor agonist; LAMA: long-acting muscarinic antagonists; LVEDD: left ventricular end-diastolic dimension; LVEDV: left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; LV mass: left ventricular mass; PASP: pulmonary artery systolic pressure; RAS blockers: renin-angioten system blockers, including angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers; RV: residual volume; SV: stroke volume; TLC: total lung capacity; VC: vital capacity.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235152.t001



Fig 2. The distributions of the pulmonary function abnormalities. The severity of obstructive ventilation defect was graded according to the predicted %FEV1 (mild >80%; moderate 50–80%; severe 30–50%; or very severe < 30%). The severity of the restrictive ventilation defect was graded according to the predicted %TLC (normal range > 80%; mild 70–80%; moderate 50–70%; severe/very severe <50%).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235152.g002

In contrast, the distributions of restrictive ventilatory abnormalities were similar in both patients with and without COPD. 31.8% and 35.8% of the HFpEF patients with and without COPD had restrictive ventilatory pattern, respectively.

Predictors of mortality in subjects with HFpEF

There were 182 deaths during a mean follow-up duration of 23.0 ± 12.8 months. Patients with COPD had a lower survival rate compared to those without. (log rank p = 0.043) (Fig 3) Among the whole study population or subjects without COPD, age, hemoglobin, eGFR, PASP, predicted %TLC, predicted %VC, RV/TLC ratio, FEF25-75, predicted %FEV1, predicted % FVC, and FEV1/FVC ratio were all related to long-term survival. (Table 2) After accounting for age, gender, hemoglobin, eGFR and PASP, the predicted %VC, RV/TLC ratio, predicted % FEV1 and predicted %FVC remained associated with mortality. (Table 3) In a forward stepwise Cox regression analysis among the pulmonary function indices, predicted %VC was the strongest predictor getting into the model in the whole study population [hazard ratios and 95% confidence interval: 0.984 (0.973–0.994) and in subjects without COPD [0.978 (0.965–0.992)].

In subjects with COPD, hemoglobin, eGFR, PASP, predicted %TLC, predicted %VC, FEF25-75, predicted %FEV1 and predicted %FVC were correlated with 3-year mortality. (Table 2) After accounting for age, gender, hemoglobin, eGFR and PASP, FEF25-75 was the only pulmonary function index predictive of 3-year mortality. [0.281 (0.082–0.965)] (Table 3).

The associations between cardiac performance and pulmonary function in HFpEF

PASP and E/e' constructed the best correlation model for FEF25-75 and RV/TLC ratio. PASP and E/e' contribute to the correlation of FEF25-75 by 91.5% and 8.5%, and RV/TLC ratio by 90.3% and 9.7%, respectively. (Table 4) In contrast, PASP and LVM contributed to the



3-Year all-cause Mortality

Fig 3. The Kaplan–Meier survival curve analysis of the study population, stratified by the presence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235152.g003

Table 2.	Predictors o	f 3-year mortalit	y identified b	y univariate (Cox regression a	nalysis

	Total population		HFpEF without (COPD	HFpEF with COPD		
	HR (95% CI)	P value	HR (95% CI)	P value	HR (95% CI)	P value	
Age, years	1.025 (1.012-1.039)	< 0.001	1.026 (1.011-1.042)	0.001	1.014 (0.986-1.043)	0.334	
Gender (Male)	1.093 (0.810-1.474)	0.562	1.040 (0.727-1.487)	0.830	0.981 (0.540-1.782)	0.981	
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	0.875 (0.813-0.943)	< 0.001	0.903 (0.828-0.986)	0.022	0.797 (0.686-0.927)	0.003	
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	0.988 (0.983-0.994)	< 0.001	0.990 (0.984-0.996)	0.002	0.984 (0.974-0.995)	0.003	
Septal E/E'	1.021 (0.999–1.043)	0.063	1.018 (0.991-1.046)	0.189	1.035 (0.992-1.079)	0.111	
PASP (mmHg)	1.020 (1.014-1.026)	< 0.001	1.021 (1.012-1.030)	< 0.001	1.018 (1.009–1.027)	< 0.001	
Predicted RV%	1.000 (0.994-1.005)	0.882	1.000 (0.993-1.007)	0.954	0.998 (0.990-1.007)	0.715	
Predicted TLC%	0.984 (0.975-0.993)	0.001	0.982 (0.971-0.994)	0.003	0.985 (0.972-0.999)	0.041	
Predicted VC%	0.975 (0.966-0.983)	< 0.001	0.970 (0.959-0.981)	< 0.001	0.982 (0.968-0.996)	0.012	
RV/TLC ratio, %	1.034 (1.018-1.050)	< 0.001	1.038 (1.019–1.057)	< 0.001	1.024 (0.996-1.052)	0.098	
FEF 25–75%, L/s	0.567 (0.461-0.697)	< 0.001	0.553 (0.427-0.716)	< 0.001	0.179 (0.070-0.455)	< 0.001	
Predicted FEV1%	0.976 (0.970-0.982)	< 0.001	0.975 (0.968-0.982)	< 0.001	0.977 (0.965-0.990)	< 0.001	
Predicted FVC%	0.973 (0.967-0.980)	< 0.001	0.971 (0.963-0.978)	< 0.001	0.977 (0.965-0.989)	< 0.001	
FEV1/ FVC ratio, %	0.992 (0.980-1.004)	0.187	1.027 (1.003-1.052)	0.028	0.976 (0.951-1.001)	0.055	

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration, FEF 25 to 75%: forced expiratory flow at 25–75% of the pulmonary volume, FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1st second, FVC: forced vital capacity, PASP: pulmonary artery systolic pressure, RV: residual volume, TLC: total lung capacity, VC: vital capacity

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235152.t002

	Total population		HFpEF without O	COPD	HFpEF with COPD		
	HR (95% CI)	P value	HR (95% CI)	P value	HR (95% CI)	P value	
Predicted TLC%	0.996 (0.984-1.009)	0.548	0.997 (0.981-1.014)	0.720	0.994 (0.976-1.013)	0.557	
Predicted VC%	0.984 (0.973-0.994)	0.003	0.978 (0.965-0.992)	0.002	0.990 (0.973-1.008)	0.259	
RV/TLC ratio, %	1.027 (1.006–1.049)	0.012	1.032 (1.005–1.059)	0.019	1.024 (0.989–1.031)	0.179	
FEF 25-75%, L/s	0.857 (0.661-1.110)	0.243	0.852 (0.615–1.181)	0.337	0.281 (0.082-0.965)	0.044	
Predicted FEV1%	0.989 (0.981-0.997)	0.006	0.986 (0.977-0.996)	0.007	0.988 (0.972-1.005)	0.160	
Predicted FVC%	0.986 (0.978-0.995)	0.002	0.983 (0.973–0.994)	0.002	0.989 (0.973-1.005)	0.167	
FEV1/ FVC ratio, %	1.004 (0.990-1.019)	0.576	0.981 (0.949–1.014)	0.258	0.976 (0.951-1.001)	0.055	

Table 3. Predictors of 3-year mortality identified by *multivariate Cox regression analysis.

 * after accounting for age, gender, hemoglobin, eGFR and PASP

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration, FEF 25 to 75%: forced expiratory flow at 25–75% of the pulmonary volume, FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1st second, FVC: forced vital capacity, PASP: pulmonary artery systolic pressure, RV: residual volume, TLC: total lung capacity, VC: vital capacity

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235152.t003

correlation of predicted %VC by 95.0% and 5.0%, and predicted %TLC by 88.7% and 11.3%, respectively. However, only PASP levels was associated with predicted %FEV1.

The presence of high LVEDP were 16.0%, 19.1%, 21.5% and 23.1% along with the 4 grades of the predicted %FEV1 (p = 0.1429) and 13.3%, 19.6%, 19.8% and 29.7% along with the 4 grades of the predicted %TLC (p < 0.001) (Fig 4A and 4C). The presence of pulmonary hypertension was 25.8%, 38.8%, 50.2% and 63.9% along with the 4 grades of predicted %FEV1 (p < 0.001) and 35.2%, 36.4%, 54.8% and 65.3% along with the 4 grades of predicted %TLC (p < 0.001) (Fig 4B and 4D).

Discussion

The present study demonstrated a high prevalence of 27.6% concomitant COPD in patients with HFpEF, who had poorer long-term survival than the others. In addition, the pulmonary function indices were independently associated with the clinical outcomes in patients with or without COPD. For subjects without COPD, both obstructive and restrictive lung impairments were related to the long-term survival. In contrast, only the small airway functional index, FEF25-75, was predictive of mortality in those with COPD. The study further showed the significant correlations between cardiac performance and pulmonary functions, while pulmonary hypertension and LVEDP were the major determinants of ventilatory abnormalities.

Table 4.	*Multivariate linear re	gression analysis t	o determine the inder	pendent predictors of	pulmonary fur	nction parameters in HFr	DEF.
		H ¹ C ⁰ C ¹ C			p		

Obstructive ventilation			Restrictive ventilation			Small airway function		
Predicetd FEV1% (R-squared = 0.080)			Predicted VC % (R-squared = 0.102)			FEF 25 to 75% (R-squared = 0.059)		
	В	P value	B P value				В	P value
PASP	-0.284	< 0.001	PASP	-0.300	< 0.001	PASP	-0.205	< 0.001
			LV mass	-0.081	0.030	Septal E/E'	-0.084	0.011
RV to TLC ratio (R-squared = 0.052)		Predicted TLC% (R-squared = 0.071)						
	В	P value		В	P value			
PASP	0.191	< 0.001	PASP	-0.235	<0.001			
Septal E/E'	0.086	0.034	LV mass	-0.093	0.015			

*stepwise adjusted septal E/E', PASP, LA diameter, and Left ventricular mass

FEF 25 to 75%: forced expiratory flow at 25–75% of the pulmonary volume, FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1st second, FVC: forced vital capacity, LA diameter: the diameter of left atrium, LV mass: left ventricular mass, PASP: pulmonary artery systolic pressure, RV: residual volume, TLC: total lung capacity, VC: vital capacity

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235152.t004



Fig 4. The prevalence of high left ventricular end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP) and pulmonary hypertension, according to the quartile distributions of the predicted %FEV1 (>93%, 77–93%, 60–77%, and <60%) and the predicted %TLC (>99%, 87–99%, 77–87%, and <77%).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235152.g004

The findings may support that pulmonary function impairment could reflect the left ventricular dysfunction and was related to poor clinical outcomes in patients with HFpEF, regardless of the presence of COPD.

Prevalence of lung disease in HFpEF

HFpEF has been considered as a syndrome composing of heterogeneous co-morbidities, and COPD is one of the major morbidity accounting for 15% to 25% of the HF patients [1, 2]. Given that both HF and COPD share similar symptoms and signs, a standard work flow is required to make proper diagnoses [22]. While pulmonary edema might be masked in the chest radiograph in patients with COPD [23], B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) or NT-proBNP levels could be helpful to differentiate the two diseases [24].

In previous reports, the diagnosis of COPD was made based on clinical findings and medical records rather than comprehensive pulmonary function tests [1, 2, 25, 26]. Brenner et al. suggested that pulmonary function tests were warranted to make a valid diagnosis of COPD in patients with HFrEF [27]. In this study of HFpEF, we conducted a full-scale survey of spirometry. The study clearly showed that predicted %TLC and RV/TLC ratio were higher in patients with COPD than those without COPD.

Cardiopulmonary interaction in HFpEF

The ventilatory abnormalities in patients with HF may be resulted from the space-occupying phenomenon due to cardiomegaly [28], impaired alveolar-capillary gas exchange due to chronic lung congestion [29], and airway narrowing due to submucosal edema [8]. Baum et al. had demonstrated the significant associations between FVC and FEV1 and left ventricular end-diastolic volume, LVEDD, LVEF, stroke volume, and E/e' in a general population of 15,010 subjects [6]. In addition, FVC, FEV1 and FEV1/FVC ratio were all predictive of the presence of HF with either reduced or preserved LVEF [6]. The improvement of pulmonary functions after cardiac resynchronization therapy or heart transplantation may support the dynamics of cardiac performance as the causes of the abnormal ventilation [10, 11, 30].

While lung function abnormalities prevail in patients with HFpEF [14], Obokata et al. had further demonstrated that ventilation reserve reduced along with the increase of PAWP and pulmonary artery pressures [31]. The present study also showed PASP as the dominant factor related to either obstructive or restrictive ventilatory impairment in patients with HFpEF. In addition, LVEDP, as indexed by E/e' and LVM, were also associated with the pulmonary function indices. Beyond the common obstructive and restrictive ventilatory indices, this may be the first study illustrating the influence of cardiac performance on the small airway function, as indexed by FEF25-75. When patients with COPD were excluded from this analysis, PASP, E/e' and LVM remained related to the pulmonary function indices. (S1 Table) Due to the complexity of cardio-pulmonary interplay, the association between cardiac performance and ventilation abnormalities did not always imply causation.

Prognostics impacts of pulmonary functions in HFpEF

In the Norwegian Heart Failure Registry of 4,132 HF patients, COPD was independently associated with a 19% excessive risk of mortality during a mean follow-up duration of 13.3 months [32]. In addition, Andrea et al. further suggested that impaired pulmonary function was predictive of long-term mortality in a relative small population of 71 HFpEF patients [33]. While obstructive ventilation, rather than restrictive airflow pattern, was correlated with long-term survival in patients with HFrEF [34], Andrea et al. also proposed that the presence of airflow limitation was a major prognostic factor for mortality and cardiovascular hospitalization in patients with HFpEF [33].

In this study, we reported similar findings that COPD was a risk factor of all-cause mortality in Asian patients with HFpEF. Both obstructive and restrictive ventilatory indices were independently associated with long-term outcomes in patients without COPD. However, only the small airway function, as indexed by FEF25-75, was independently predictive of mortality in those without COPD. Because the significance of FEF25-75 was marginal (p value = 0.044), it was possible that the results were due to chance alone. However, given that both FEV1 and FEF25-75 were reduced in COPD patients, the study result might support FEF25-75 as a more sensitive marker for small airway function. Our novel findings suggest distinct pathophysiology in patients with and without COPD, reflecting how cardiac performance impacts the pulmonary functions.

Study limitations

There were several study limitations in this work. Most of the HF population in this registry was composed of elderly subjects, therefore HFpEF was prevalent. Selection bias arising from

the unobserved variables might have been present. However, we have adjusted for the available confounders to evaluate the independent prognostic values of pulmonary function in patients with HFpEF. Although neither NT-proBNP nor BNP was available in the study, the diagnosis of COPD and HF was conducted by the clinicians based on the echocardiographic and pulmonary function examinations. While body plethysmograph was only available in 818 patients, we may not have sufficient power to conclude that predicted %TLC was not related to mortality in multivariate Cox proportional hazard model. However, subjects with or without body plethysmograph shared similar risks for mortality. Also, we quantitate small airway function by FEF25-75, not by nitrogen washout test or impulse oscillometry. Lastly, data of HF re-hospitalization was not available in the present study. Further work was needed to address the associations for mortality and morbidity.

Conclusion

In the ambulatory patients with HFpEF, 27.6% of them had concomitant COPD. Subjects with COPD had poorer long-term survival than those without COPD. For patients without COPD, ventilatory abnormalities were associated with cardiac performance and predictive of long-term survival. Among patients with COPD, only FEF25-75 was associated with clinical outcomes. The results may suggest the ventilatory abnormalities prevails in subjects with HFpEF, regardless of COPD, and it is related to long-term outcomes. The present study may support the need for comprehensive pulmonary function tests in patients with HFpEF for clinical risk stratifications.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Multivariate linear regression analysis to determine the independent predictors of pulmonary function parameters in HFpEF subjects without COPD. (DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Chen-Huan Chen, Shih-Hsien Sung.

Funding acquisition: Chen-Huan Chen, Shih-Hsien Sung.

Investigation: Chao-Yu Guo, Wen-Chung Yu.

Methodology: Wei-Ming Huang, Jia-Yih Feng, Hao-Min Cheng, Chao-Yu Guo.

Software: Wei-Ming Huang, Chi-Jung Huang.

Supervision: Jia-Yih Feng, Wen-Chung Yu.

Writing - original draft: Wei-Ming Huang.

Writing - review & editing: Su-Zhen Chen.

References

- van Deursen VM, Urso R, Laroche C, Damman K, Dahlstrom U, Tavazzi L, et al. Co-morbidities in patients with heart failure: an analysis of the European Heart Failure Pilot Survey. European journal of heart failure. 2014; 16(1):103–11. Epub 2014/01/24. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.30 PMID: 24453099.
- Macchia A, Monte S, Romero M, D'Ettorre A, Tognoni G. The prognostic influence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in patients hospitalised for chronic heart failure. European journal of heart failure. 2007; 9(9):942–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejheart.2007.06.004 PMID: 17627878.

- Iversen KK, Kjaergaard J, Akkan D, Kober L, Torp-Pedersen C, Hassager C, et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in patients admitted with heart failure. J Intern Med. 2008; 264(4):361–9. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2008.01975.x PMID: 18537871.
- Curkendall SM, DeLuise C, Jones JK, Lanes S, Stang MR, Goehring E Jr., et al. Cardiovascular disease in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Saskatchewan Canada cardiovascular disease in COPD patients. Annals of epidemiology. 2006; 16(1):63–70. Epub 2005/07/26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2005.04.008</u> PMID: 16039877.
- Rutten FH, Moons KG, Cramer MJ, Grobbee DE, Zuithoff NP, Lammers JW, et al. Recognising heart failure in elderly patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in primary care: cross sectional diagnostic study. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2005; 331(7529):1379. Epub 2005/12/03. https:// doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38664.661181.55 PMID: 16321994.
- Baum C, Ojeda FM, Wild PS, Rzayeva N, Zeller T, Sinning CR, et al. Subclinical impairment of lung function is related to mild cardiac dysfunction and manifest heart failure in the general population. International journal of cardiology. 2016; 218:298–304. Epub 2016/05/31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard</u>. 2016.05.034 PMID: 27240155.
- Ries AL, Gregoratos G, Friedman PJ, Clausen JL. Pulmonary function tests in the detection of left heart failure: correlation with pulmonary artery wedge pressure. Respiration; international review of thoracic diseases. 1986; 49(4):241–50. Epub 1986/01/01. https://doi.org/10.1159/000194886 PMID: 3715210.
- Cardiac asthma. Lancet (London, England). 1990; 335(8691):693–4. Epub 1990/03/24. PMID: 1969063.
- Olson TP, Beck KC, Johnson BD. Pulmonary function changes associated with cardiomegaly in chronic heart failure. Journal of cardiac failure. 2007; 13(2):100–7. Epub 2007/03/31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cardfail.2006.10.018 PMID: 17395049.
- Ulrik CS, Carlsen J, Arendrup H, Aldershvile J. Pulmonary function in chronic heart failure. Changes after heart transplantation. Scandinavian cardiovascular journal: SCJ. 1999; 33(3):131–6. Epub 1999/ 07/10. https://doi.org/10.1080/14017439950141740 PMID: 10399799.
- Lizak MK, Zakliczynski M, Jarosz A, Zembala M. The influence of chronic heart failure on pulmonary function tests in patients undergoing orthotopic heart transplantation. Transplantation proceedings. 2009; 41 (8):3194–7. Epub 2009/10/28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2009.07.072 PMID: 19857708.
- Kwon BJ, Kim DB, Jang SW, Yoo KD, Moon KW, Shim BJ, et al. Prognosis of heart failure patients with reduced and preserved ejection fraction and coexistent chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. European journal of heart failure. 2010; 12(12):1339–44. Epub 2010/09/24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/eurjhf/ hfg157 PMID: 20861127</u>.
- Wolsk E, Claggett B, Kober L, Pocock S, Yusuf S, Swedberg K, et al. Contribution of cardiac and extracardiac disease burden to risk of cardiovascular outcomes varies by ejection fraction in heart failure. European journal of heart failure. 2018; 20(3):504–10. Epub 2017/12/02. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.</u> 1073 PMID: 29193462.
- Andrea R, Lopez-Giraldo A, Falces C, Sobradillo P, Sanchis L, Gistau C, et al. Lung function abnormalities are highly frequent in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. Heart Lung Circ. 2014; 23(3):273–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2013.08.003 PMID: 24021236.
- Olson TP, Johnson BD, Borlaug BA. Impaired Pulmonary Diffusion in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. JACC Heart failure. 2016; 4(6):490–8. Epub 2016/06/04. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jchf.2016.03.001 PMID: 27256752.
- McKee PA, Castelli WP, McNamara PM, Kannel WB. The natural history of congestive heart failure: the Framingham study. The New England journal of medicine. 1971; 285(26):1441–6. Epub 1971/12/23. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM197112232852601 PMID: 5122894.
- Ma YC, Zuo L, Chen JH, Luo Q, Yu XQ, Li Y, et al. Modified glomerular filtration rate estimating equation for Chinese patients with chronic kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2006; 17(10):2937–44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2006040368</u> PMID: 16988059.
- Teichholz LE, Kreulen T, Herman MV, Gorlin R. Problems in echocardiographic volume determinations: echocardiographic-angiographic correlations in the presence of absence of asynergy. The American journal of cardiology. 1976; 37(1):7–11. Epub 1976/01/01. https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9149(76) 90491-4 PMID: 1244736.
- Culver BH, Graham BL, Coates AL, Wanger J, Berry CE, Clarke PK, et al. Recommendations for a Standardized Pulmonary Function Report. An Official American Thoracic Society Technical Statement. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2017; 196(11):1463–72. Epub 2017/12/02. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201710-1981ST PMID: 29192835.
- Sung SH, Cheng HM, Wang KL, Yu WC, Chuang SY, Ting CT, et al. White coat hypertension is more risky than prehypertension: important role of arterial wave reflections. Hypertension. 2013; 61(6):1346– 53. Epub 2013/04/24. https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.111.00569 PMID: 23608649.

- 21. Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, Weinberger SE, Hanania NA, Criner G, van der Molen T, et al. Diagnosis and management of stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a clinical practice guideline update from the American College of Physicians, American College of Chest Physicians, American Thoracic Society, and European Respiratory Society. Annals of internal medicine. 2011; 155(3):179–91. Epub 2011/08/ 04. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-155-3-201108020-00008 PMID: 21810710.
- Janssen DJ, Spruit MA, Uszko-Lencer NH, Schols JM, Wouters EF. Symptoms, comorbidities, and health care in advanced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or chronic heart failure. Journal of palliative medicine. 2011; 14(6):735–43. Epub 2011/04/23. <u>https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2010.0479</u> PMID: 21510771.
- Hublitz UF, Shapiro JH. Atypical pulmonary patterns of congestive failure in chronic lung disease. The influence of pre-existing disease on the appearance and distribution of pulmonary edema. Radiology. 1969; 93(5):995–1006. Epub 1969/11/01. https://doi.org/10.1148/93.5.995 PMID: 5350699.
- Hawkins NM, Virani S, Ceconi C. Heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: the challenges facing physicians and health services. European heart journal. 2013; 34(36):2795–803. Epub 2013/07/09. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartij/eht192 PMID: 23832490.
- Kjoller E, Kober L, Iversen K, Torp-Pedersen C. Importance of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease for prognosis and diagnosis of congestive heart failure in patients with acute myocardial infarction. European journal of heart failure. 2004; 6(1):71–7. Epub 2004/03/12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejheart.2003</u>. 09.008 PMID: 15012921.
- 26. Mentz RJ, Schmidt PH, Kwasny MJ, Ambrosy AP, O'Connor CM, Konstam MA, et al. The impact of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in patients hospitalized for worsening heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: an analysis of the EVEREST Trial. Journal of cardiac failure. 2012; 18(7):515–23. Epub 2012/07/04. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.2012.04.010 PMID: 22748484.
- Brenner S, Guder G, Berliner D, Deubner N, Frohlich K, Ertl G, et al. Airway obstruction in systolic heart failure—COPD or congestion? International journal of cardiology. 2013; 168(3):1910–6. Epub 2013/02/ 02. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.12.083 PMID: 23369673.
- Hosenpud JD, Stibolt TA, Atwal K, Shelley D. Abnormal pulmonary function specifically related to congestive heart failure: comparison of patients before and after cardiac transplantation. The American journal of medicine. 1990; 88(5):493–6. Epub 1990/05/01. https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9343(90) 90428-g PMID: 2337106.
- Guazzi M. Alveolar-capillary membrane dysfunction in heart failure: evidence of a pathophysiologic role. Chest. 2003; 124(3):1090–102. Epub 2003/09/13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.124.3.1090</u> PMID: 12970042.
- Cundrle I Jr., Johnson BD, Somers VK, Scott CG, Rea RF, Olson LJ. Effect of cardiac resynchronization therapy on pulmonary function in patients with heart failure. The American journal of cardiology. 2013; 112(6):838–42. Epub 2013/06/12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2013.05.012 PMID: 23747043.
- Obokata M, Olson TP, Reddy YNV, Melenovsky V, Kane GC, Borlaug BA. Haemodynamics, dyspnoea, and pulmonary reserve in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. European heart journal. 2018; 39(30):2810–21. Epub 2018/05/23. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehy268 PMID: 29788047.
- De Blois J, Simard S, Atar D, Agewall S, Norwegian Heart Failure R. COPD predicts mortality in HF: the Norwegian Heart Failure Registry. J Card Fail. 2010; 16(3):225–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.</u> 2009.12.002 PMID: 20206897.
- Andrea R, Lopez-Giraldo A, Falces C, Lopez T, Sanchis L, Gistau C, et al. Pulmonary function predicts mortality and hospitalizations in outpatients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. Respiratory medicine. 2018; 134:124–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmed.2017.12.004 PMID: 29413499.
- Plesner LL, Dalsgaard M, Schou M, Kober L, Vestbo J, Kjoller E, et al. The prognostic significance of lung function in stable heart failure outpatients. Clin Cardiol. 2017; 40(11):1145–51. https://doi.org/10. 1002/clc.22802 PMID: 28902960.