RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Nuclear genomic control of naturally occurring variation in mitochondrial function in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Patricia Jumbo-Lucioni^{1,4†}, Su Bu^{1†}, Susan T Harbison^{2†}, Juanita C Slaughter¹, Trudy FC Mackay³, Douglas R Moellering^{1*} and Maria De Luca^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Mitochondria are organelles found in nearly all eukaryotic cells that play a crucial role in cellular survival and function. Mitochondrial function is under the control of nuclear and mitochondrial genomes. While the latter has been the focus of most genetic research, we remain largely ignorant about the nuclear-encoded genomic control of inter-individual variability in mitochondrial function. Here, we used *Drosophila melanogaster* as our model organism to address this question.

Results: We quantified mitochondrial state 3 and state 4 respiration rates and P:O ratio in mitochondria isolated from the thoraces of 40 sequenced inbred lines of the Drosophila Genetic Reference Panel. We found significant within-population genetic variability for all mitochondrial traits. Hence, we performed genome-wide association mapping and identified 141 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with differences in mitochondrial respiration and efficiency ($P \le 1 \times 10^{-5}$). Gene-centered regression models showed that 2–3 SNPs can explain 31, 13, and 18% of the phenotypic variation in state 3, state 4, and P:O ratio, respectively. Most of the genes tagged by the SNPs are involved in organ development, second messenger-mediated signaling pathways, and cytoskeleton remodeling. One of these genes, *sallimus (sls)*, encodes a component of the muscle sarcomere. We confirmed the direct effect of *sls* on mitochondrial respiration using two viable mutants and their coisogenic wild-type strain. Furthermore, correlation network analysis revealed that *sls* functions as a transcriptional hub in a co-regulated module associated with mitochondrial respiration and is connected to *CG7834*, which is predicted to encode a protein with mitochondrial respiration transfer flavoprotein activity. This latter finding was also verified in the *sls* mutants.

Conclusions: Our results provide novel insights into the genetic factors regulating natural variation in mitochondrial function in *D. melanogaster*. The integrative genomic approach used in our study allowed us to identify *sls* as a novel hub gene responsible for the regulation of mitochondrial respiration in muscle sarcomere and to provide evidence that *sls* might act via the electron transfer flavoprotein/ubiquinone oxidoreductase complex.

Background

Mitochondria are organelles found in nearly all eukaryotic cells that participate in many fundamental cellular processes. A primary role of mitochondria is to utilize oxygen and nutrients to form adenosine triphosphate (ATP) via a process called oxidative phosphorylation (OxPhos) [1]. In addition, mitochondria are important in cellular Ca²⁺ signaling, the regulation of apoptosis, and as a main source of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [2]. ROS are generated and coordinated by redox-coupled reactions in multiple sites within the mitochondrial electron transport chain (ETC) and play critical roles in retrograde signaling [3] and physiological cell signaling and transduction [4]. However, if produced in excess, ROS can oxidize and damage various cellular components, including mitochondrial proteins, membranes, lipids, and nuclear and mitochondrial genomes [5]. Thus,



© 2012 Jumbo-Lucioni et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: dmoellering@uab.edu; mdeluca2@uab.edu

⁺Equal contributors

¹Department of Nutrition Sciences, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

mitochondrial dysfunction and ROS formation can have widespread adverse effects on many cellular processes and have been implicated in pathological conditions as diverse as heart failure, hypoxia, diabetes, neurodegenerative diseases, and the physiological process of aging [5].

The OxPhos system consists of five large multi-protein complexes, four of which (complexes I-IV) make up the ETC [1]. During OxPhos, electrons from reduced substrates, such as nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) and flavin adenine dinucleotide (FADH₂), which are generated in the Krebs cycle, are fuelled into complexes I (NADH dehvdrogenase) and II (succinate dehydrogenase) of the ETC. The electrons are then transferred through the complexes III (cytochrome bc_1) oxidoreductase) and IV (cytochrome c oxidase) ultimately reducing oxygen to water, with protons concurrently pumped across the mitochondrial inner membrane in complexes I, III, and IV. This establishes an electrochemical potential difference across the inner membrane and a motive force for protons to re-enter through ATP synthase (complex V). ATP synthase captures the potential energy released upon protons reentry by converting adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and inorganic phosphate to ATP. In this manner, electron transport is coupled to OxPhos [1]. The efficiency with which mitochondria convert oxygen into ATP to perform useful work is known as mitochondrial energy coupling efficiency or P:O ratio [6]. In a perfectly coupled system, protons would only re-enter the mitochondrial matrix through ATPsynthase in the presence of ADP. In isolated mitochondrial suspensions, this form of respiration is classified as 'state 3' (i.e. the O2 is consumed in the presence of saturating amounts of respiratory substrate and ADP). However, it has been known for several decades that under normal conditions protons leak back through the mitochondrial membrane into the matrix via a mechanism that does not involve ATP synthase [7]. This uncouples respiration from OxPhos. Proton leak increases exponentially with the membrane potential ("non-Ohmic" pattern) [8] and is greatest under non-phosphorylating conditions, such as 'state 4' respiration (i.e. O_2 is consumed in the presence of respiratory substrate and absence of ADP) in isolated mitochondria. Thus, mitochondria in the intact cell would normally respire at a rate somewhere between state 3 and state 4 respiration rates depending on the energy demand, substrate availability, oxygen, ADP availability, and proton leak back into the matrix. The mechanisms that account for proton leak are poorly understood, but phospholipids and fatty acid composition of the mitochondrial inner membrane and the expression and activation of uncoupling proteins (UCPs) are proposed contributors to the leak [9,10].

In all Metazoa, the large OxPhos complexes are encoded mainly by nuclear genes and, to a small extent, by mitochondrial genes. The only exception is represented by complex II subunits that are entirely encoded by nuclear genes [11]. Given the impact mitochondria have for cellular survival and function, numerous mutations in both mitochondrial- and nuclear-encoded OxPhos genes have been reported to be responsible for rare pathological disorders [12]. Genetic studies have also provided evidence of associations between mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) polymorphisms and aging [13] as well as age-related metabolic disorders, such as type-2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease [14]. However, despite substantial progress in the field, we remain largely ignorant about the genomic regulation of natural variation in mitochondrial respiration. This is important for understanding the evolution of these traits in natural populations and also essential for the development of mitochondria-specific therapeutic strategies for the treatment and prevention of disorders related to mitochondrial dysfunction. To address this critical gap in our knowledge of mitochondrial biology, in this study we used Drosophila melanogaster as our model organism. We chose D. melanogaster for several reasons. First, this organism has emerged in recent years as a powerful model to elucidate the genomic basis that controls naturally occurring variation in quantitative traits, such as mitochondrial respiration traits [15]. Second, the OxPhos system of insect mitochondria resembles that of mammalian mitochondria [16-18], with the mitochondrial respiration being affected by the same inhibitors and uncouplers that affect the mammalian system [19,20]. Third, D. melanogaster possesses four genes coding for close relatives of the UCPs. One of the four fly genes (Bmcp) has been shown to be a Drosophila mitochondrial uncoupler of OxPhos [21]. Finally, several genetic mechanisms controlling energy metabolism and homeostasis are shared between invertebrates and mammals [22-25]. Thus, insights gained from genomic studies in Drosophila are likely to apply to mammals.

First, we investigated whether there is variability in mitochondrial respiration and coupling efficiency among 40 inbred, sequenced lines of the Drosophila Genetic Reference Panel (DGRP), a newly established *D. melano-gaster* genomics resource [26]. This was accomplished by quantifying state 3 and state 4 respiration rates and the P:O ratios in mitochondria isolated from the thoraces (mainly composed of flight muscles) of young flies using NADH-linked respiratory substrates. Our study revealed significant genetic variation in mitochondrial function. As such, we next sought to identify the genomic architecture underlying such variability. Mitochondrial OxPhos is under dual genetic control, therefore genetic variation in both mitochondrial and nuclear

genes and/or genetic interactions between nuclear and mitochondrial alleles (intergenomic epistasis) could be responsible for the observed variability in mitochondrial function [27]. Previous studies by Ballard and coworkers have provided empirical evidence that variation in the mitochondrial genome influence multiple aspects of respiration in wild-caught D. simulans flies [28,29]. However, much less is known about the effects of variation in nuclear genes on mitochondrial respiration, despite the fact that mostly nuclear genes are involved in mitochondrial metabolism. For example, while both nuclear and mitochondrial genes encode the respiration subunits, their transcription rely on nuclear-encoded factors. Some of these factors are directed to the mitochondria, where they control the transcription of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). Others act on nuclear genes required for the assembly and function of the respiratory chain [30]. Also, it is well established that to adjust the rate of ATP production to both short term and long term changes in cellular energy demand, mitochondrial respiration is subject to complex regulation via reversible phosphorylation of OxPhos enzyme complexes [31]. Additionally, a growing body of evidence points to a critical role for second messenger-mediated signal transduction mechanisms in the regulation of mitochondrial OxPhos [32]. Hence, it is plausible that allelic differences in genes involved in these mechanisms may affect mitochondrial function. D. melanogaster is also particularly amenable for studying the nuclear-encoded genomic control of naturally occurring variation in mitochondrial function since previous population studies have shown that the level of naturally occurring variation in the mtDNA of D. melanogaster is low compared to other Drosophila species [33,34]. Western hemisphere populations of D. melanogaster have been reported to be the least diverse with a single dominant haplogroup [34], suggesting that nuclear-encoded genes might explain some of the variation for mitochondrial respiration traits among the DGRP lines.

The 40 DGRP lines were previously quantified for transcript abundance [35] and their nuclear genomes have been sequenced [26]. This provided us with the opportunity to perform genome-wide association (GWA) and quantitative trait transcript (QTT) mappings to identify nuclear-encoded genes and molecular networks responsible for the control of naturally occurring variation in mitochondrial respiration. Using these approaches, we identified *sls* as a transcription regulator of mitochondrial respiration in D. melanogaster. The product of sls is a protein with homology to the NH2terminal half of vertebrate titin [36]. As in mammals, Drosophila titin is a component of the muscle sarcomere and is required for both muscle and chromosome structure and elasticity [36]. Our results thus implicate a structural protein as a novel factor contributing to variation in individual mitochondrial respiration.

Results and discussion

Natural variation in mitochondrial respiration and efficiency among *D. melanogaster* lines

We found significant differences in the function of thoracic mitochondria isolated from the 40 DGRP lines (Figure 1 and Table 1). Our results indicate that 20%, 15%, and 17% of the variability in mitochondrial state 3, state 4, and P:O ratio, respectively, is attributed to genetic factors. We also observed significant differences between males and females, with females on average having higher mitochondrial respiration rates (Figure 1A and B) and coupling efficiency (Figure 1C) than males. Despite marked sexual dimorphism, the direction of the sex differences for state 3 and state 4 respiration rates was not affected by the genotype in our sample, as indicated by the absence of significant line-by-sex interactions (Table 1). A marginal effect, however, was found for P:O ratio (Table 1), suggesting that some of the loci regulating mitochondrial efficiency might have a sex-specific effect.

The sex-related differences in mitochondrial function observed in our study are consistent with the wellrecognized differences between males and females in the control of substrate metabolism and energy homeostasis that occur across different species [37]. Also consistent with previous work is our finding that females have greater mitochondrial function than males. For example, Ballard et al. [38] showed higher mitochondrial efficiency in female D. simulans flies under similar mitochondrial respiration conditions used in our study. Furthermore, studies in mammalian models reported that female rodents had higher mitochondrial oxidative capacity and efficiency for substrate oxidation across several tissues [39-43]. The mechanisms underlying the sexual dimorphism in mitochondrial bioenergetic traits are not known, but the way evolution selects and optimizes certain genes for each sex has been indicated as a potential explanation [44]. Throughout evolution, genes from the mitochondrial genome and the X chromosome spend relatively more time under selection in females due to their asymmetric inheritance [44-46] and are therefore expected to be better optimized to function in females than in males [44]. Since females usually engage in more energetically demanding behaviors than males to attain reproductive success, it has been proposed that sexual differences may have arisen as an evolutionary adaptation to such differences in energetic demands [47].

Variation in mitochondrial respiration can be influenced by differences in mitochondrial density. To address this issue, we measured the activity of the marker



enzyme citrate synthase (CS) in a panel of eight of the 40 inbred wild-type lines. The eight lines were selected to represent the range of variability in mitochondrial respiration rates and coupling efficiency seen in our sample. We measured CS activity in whole-fly homogenates and isolated mitochondria and calculated the mitochondrial protein density from the ratio between the CS activity of the whole-fly homogenates and that of the

isolated mitochondria as described in [48]. Only one line was significantly different from the others in mitochondrial density (see Additional file 1). Also, there was no correlation between mitochondrial density and respiration rates or P:O ratio (Additional file 1), indicating that the variability in mitochondrial function among the DGRP lines is likely independent of the number of mitochondria.

Table 1	Analysis of	Variance of	the mitoch	ondrial traits	for the 40	DGRP core lines
---------	-------------	-------------	------------	----------------	------------	-----------------

Trait	Source	df ^a	МS ^b	F	P-value	σ ^{2 c}
State 3 respiration rate	Line	39	1544364.61	6.18	<.0001	91195.80
	Sex	1	52476638.76	210.31	<.0001	Fixed
	Line × Sex	39	250041.46	1.25	0.1482	6979.40
	Error	495	200057.3			200057.30
State 4 respiration rate	Line	39	14874.15	3.29	0.0002	732.72
	Sex	1	88804.24	19.64	<.0001	Fixed
	Line × Sex	39	4524.33	1.05	0.3956	28.58
	Error	495	2138211.59			4319.60
P:O ratio	Line	39	0.24	2.62	0.0017	0.01
	Sex	1	0.58	6.31	0.0161	Fixed
	Line \times Sex	39	0.09	1.41	0.0567	0.004
	Error	465	0.07			0.07

^aDegrees of freedom. ^bMean Squares computed from Type III Sums of Squares. ^cRestricted maximum likelihood estimates of variance component.

Phenotypic correlations between energy metabolism and life-history traits

Next, we asked whether there was a phenotypic correlation between mitochondrial respiratory rates and coupling efficiency among the 40 lines. We did not observe any correlation between state 3 and state 4 in both the sex-pooled analysis (P = 0.584) (Figure 1D) and the analysis stratified by sex (females: P = 0.716; males: P = 0.349). Given that in our study phenotypic correlations are mainly estimates of their genetic component, the results suggest that different genes regulate adaptive variation in these two traits. However, the P:O ratio was negatively correlated with state 3 in the sexpooled analysis (P = 0.005) (Figure 1E) and with state 4 in females (P = 0.021) (Figure 1F). These latter findings are consistent with the previously reported dependence of mitochondrial efficiency on the rate of respiration in rat skeletal muscle [49] and the negative effect of the heat-producing proton leak on ATP production [6], and raise the important question of why inter-individual variability in mitochondrial coupling efficiency is maintained in natural populations. The 40 DGRP lines were previously assessed for several behavioral and lifehistory traits, including body weight [50], competitive fitness, copulation latency, locomotion, chill-coma recovery, starvation resistance, and lifespan [35], as well as energy storage and metabolic rate [50]. Hence, we tested for phenotypic correlations between these traits and the mitochondrial phenotypes. The results of the analysis are reported in Table 2. At P < 0.05, we observed a positive correlation between mitochondrial state 4 and starvation resistance (P = 0.005) in the analysis averaged across sexes and in males (P = 0.01). State 4 was also negatively correlated with copulation latency in females (P = 0.017). Furthermore, we found that state 3 was positively associated with glycogen levels in females (P = 0.03) and P:O ratio with body weight in males (P = 0.009). Although the correlations were not significant after Bonferroni correction for multiple tests (significance threshold: P < 0.003), they are in agreement with the physiological relationship among mitochondrial efficiency, nutrient availability, cell proliferation and death, and ROS production reported in mammals [51], and highlight the pivotal role of mitochondrial function in organismal energy homeostasis. Factors that adversely impact mitochondrial coupling and efficiency in eukaryotes have a deleterious impact on energy conservation [52]. Over 90% of cellular ROS are produced in the mitochondria [53]. The magnitude of ROS production is largely dependent on the protonmotive force across the inner membrane (membrane potential, or $\Delta \Psi$), so it can be strongly decreased by proton leak-induced mild uncoupling of mitochondrial OxPhos [54]. A balance must exist between generation and use of the electro-chemical gradient (ATP production and leak) so that the proton-motive force being generated under low energy requirements produces physiological levels of ROS involved in signaling and is still able to produce sufficient quantities of ATP. Otherwise the balance of cellular bioenergetics is lost. Excess ROS production under low energy requirement conditions of saturating reducing equivalents, high protonmotive force, well-coupled system, and exhaustion of antioxidant capacity, can oxidize mitochondrial components and impair mitochondrial function, which in turn, trigger apoptosis [51]. Additionally, ROS can negatively affect insulin signaling and therefore influence glycogen content [55,56], which we previously reported to be

	BW ^a	GLY	TAG	GLYC	MR	LC	FT	CL	SR	сс	LS
A											
ST3	-0.210	0.267	-0.280	0.089	-0.149	-0.046	0.209	-0.089	0.017	-0.193	0.178
ST4	0.176	-0.041	-0.043	-0.210	0.073	0.012	-0.134	-0.271	0.439**	-0.097	0.146
P:O ratio	0.278	0.136	0.180	-0.010	0.203	0.161	-0.092	-0.260	0.059	0.254	-0.105
В											
ST3	-0.201	0.344*	-0.252	0.027	-0.178	-0.039	0.249	-0.214	-0.006	-0.293	0.157
ST4	0.122	-0.017	-0.236	-0.253	-0.052	-0.046	-0.099	-0.376*	0.204	-0.090	0.058
P:O ratio	0.074	0.039	0.284	0.016	0.099	0.120	-0.023	0.157	0.016	0.280	-0.086
с											
ST3	-0.177	0.090	-0.186	0.156	-0.077	-0.062	0.124	-0.111	0.079	-0.080	0.101
ST4	0.166	-0.034	0.184	-0.094	0.015	0.077	-0.136	-0.098	0.393**	0.287	0.147
P:O ratio	0.407**	0.217	0.011	-0.030	0.234	0.171	-0.117	0.056	0.151	0.157	0.004

Table 2 Phenotypic correlations between energy metabolism and life-history traits averaged across sexes (A), for females (B), and for males (C)

^aPearson correlation coefficients. ST3, mitochondrial State 3 respiration; ST4, mitochondrial State 4 respiration; BW, body weight; GLY, glycogen; TAG, triacylglycerol; GLYC, glycerol; MR, metabolic rate; LC, Locomotion; FT, competitive fitness; CL, copulation latency; SR, starvation resistance; CC, chill-coma recovery; LS, lifespan. **P* ≤0.05; ***P* ≤0.01.

positively correlated with starvation resistance in the 40 DGRP line [50]. But in that same system greater efficiency would exist to produce ATP under conditions of high energy demand, such as growth, reproduction, muscle flight maintenance, and survival by starvation.

GWA analysis of mitochondrial respiration and efficiency traits

For the GWA analyses, we used 1,312,183 SNPs with a minor allele frequency of at least 10%. The analysis averaged across sexes detected 40, 6, and 25 SNPs associated with variation in state 3, state 4, and P:O ratio, respectively, at a $P \le 1 \times 10^{-5}$ (Figure 2 and Additional file 2A). Given 1,312,183 SNPs, only 13 significant associations

would be expected by chance at a $P \le 1 \times 10^{-5}$, thus the number of SNPs associated with state 3 and P:O ratio exceeded that expected by chance. We used genecentered regression models to rank these SNPs in order of relative importance and to estimate the amount of phenotypic variance explained. We found that 2–3 SNPs could explain 31.1% of the phenotypic variance in state 3, 12.9% of the phenotypic variance in state 4, and 18.0% of the variance in P:O ratio (Table 3). Of the SNPs associated with state 3, state 4, and P:O ratio, 22, 2, and 25, respectively, were located within the transcription unit of annotated *D. melanogaster* genes (Additional file 2A). Because some genes were represented by two different SNPs and some SNPs were located in more than one



Trait Analysis		Variable	SNP Loc	ation	Estimate	t	P-value	
		Intercept	_		2009.79	55.49	< 0.0001	
ST3	Sex Average	<i>3L_</i> 651118	Gale (u3)		-290.43	-5.56	< 0.0001	
	$r^2 = 0.666$	3R_18209173	CG31169 (cds)		209.42	-5.78	<0.0001	
		Intercept	-		237.31	44.29	< 0.0001	
ST4	Sex Average	3R_16981874	SNF4Aga	ımma (in)	-15.71	-5.08	<0.0001	
	$r^2 = 0.686$	2R_3529122	CG43340 (#)		18.15	5.84	<0.0001	
		Intercept	-		2.693	124.52	<0.0001	
P:O ratio	Sex Average	2L_18554474	Pde11(in)		0.046	3.71	0.0007	
	$r^2 = 0.744$	3L_2682962	<i>CG12187</i> (in)		-0.070	-5.12	<0.0001	
		3L_9640097	<i>fry</i> (in)		-0.053	-3.90	0.0004	
В								
Trait	Analysis	Source of Variation	df ^a	МS ^ь	F	P-value	σ ^{2 c}	
		Haplotype	3	13648093	24.3	<0.0001	144977	
ST3	Sex Average	Line (Haplotype)	36	577585	1.9	0.0014	19080	
		Error	535	302190	_	_	302038	
		Haplotype	3	127247	22.4	<0.0001	677.3	
ST4	Sex Average	Line (Haplotype)	36	5689	1.3	0.1430	84.7	
		Error	535	4498	_	_	4495.6	
		Haplotype	6	1.26	21.8	<0.0001	15.0	
P:O ratio*	Sex Average	Line (Haplotype)	33	0.06	0.9	0.6922	0.0	
		Error	505	0.07	-	_	67.0	
-								

Table 3 Multiple regression predictive models (A) and analyses of variance of haplotypes (B)

Markers are listed in the order in which they are entered in the model. Estimates of effects are for (Minor allele – Major allele). ST3, mitochondrial State 3 respiration; ST4, mitochondrial State 4 respiration. In, intronic; cds, coding sequence; #, missense; u3, 3' UTR. ^aDegrees of freedom. ^bMean Squares computed from Type III Sums of Squares. ^cRestricted maximum likelihood estimates of variance component . * σ^2 multiplied by 10³.

gene, these analyses depicted 20, 2, and 27 nuclearencoded candidate genes associated with variation in state 3, state 4, and P:O ratio, respectively. We also tested for association between SNPs and each trait using the data stratified by sex. Three, 12, and 18 additional genes regulating mitochondrial state 3, state 4, and P:O ratio, respectively, were identified by the sex-stratified association analyses at a $P \le 1 \times 10^{-5}$ (Additional file 2B). Only two genes, capricious and Phosphodiesterase 1c, were associated with SNPs affecting both mitochondrial OxPhos capacity and coupling efficiency. Capricious encodes a leucine-rich repeat protein that has been previously shown to play a role in the development of the tracheal tubes, the oxygen delivery system in insects [57]. Consistently, we detected five other genes, O/Eassociated zinc finger protein, center divider, headcase, karst, and Cad96Cb, that are involved in tracheal development [58], suggesting that delivery of molecular oxygen has a major influence in ensuring efficient mitochondrial function and sufficient adaptation of the D. melanogaster muscle to different energy demand. Phosphodiesterase 1c encodes a calcium/calmodulin regulated protein with 3'5'-cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase activity that regulates intracellular levels of the cyclic nucleotide second messengers, cAMP and

cGMP [59]. Although the mechanisms underlying the statistical associations are not yet known, this latter finding is particularly intriguing given the growing body of evidence from studies in mammals (reviewed in [32,60]) and yeast [61] suggesting a critical role for second messenger-mediated signal transduction mechanisms in the regulation of mitochondrial OxPhos. One example is represented by the cAMP/cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) signal transduction pathway. Previous studies reported that cAMP not only activates the cytosolic PKA signaling, but also the mitochondrial signaling complex PKA/A-kinase-anchoring protein 1, which, in turn, regulates ATP production by phosphorylation of mitochondrial proteins, including subunits of complexes I and IV [62]. The regulation of complex IV by this signaling pathway is also mediated through its activation of transcription factors that control the expression of cytochrome *c* oxidase [32,61]. Similarly to PKA, the calciumcalcineurin phoshatase and protein kinase C signaling pathways have been implicated in the regulation of the OxPhos system in mammals [32]. Our analysis corroborates these previous findings showing that variation in *Calcineurin* A1 and CG31140 (predicted to encode a protein with diacylglycerol kinase activity) is associated with variation in state 3 and P:O ratio, respectively.

Intracellular cAMP production and signaling are regulated by G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs), which represent the largest group of integral membrane proteins involved in signal transduction and exert a wide variety of biological functions, including neurotransmission, photoreception, chemoreception, metabolism, and cell differentiation and migration [63,64]. GPCRs predominantly exert their effects through interaction of their intracellular domains with heterotrimeric Gproteins [65]. Additionally, evidence has emerged in recent years indicating that GPCR signaling involves a complex network of interacting targeting and regulatory proteins that leads to cross-communication between separate signaling units [66]. For example, many GPCRs engage in crosstalk with receptor tyrosine kinases [67], the most relevant of which is the transactivation of the epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor that allows GPCRs to initiate the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK signaling pathway controlling cell proliferation, differentiation, and survival [67]. Interestingly, we found that the majority of the genes associated with state 3 and P:O ratio encode proteins involved in these signaling pathways. These include *dopamine receptor 2, tyramine* β hydroxylase (which encodes an enzyme catalyzing the last step in the synthesis of the invertebrate neurotransmitter octopamine [58]), locomotion defects (which encodes a protein that physically binds to the $G\alpha$ subunit of the heterotrimeric G-proteins [58]), star (which encodes a transmembrane protein that is a member of the EGFR signaling [58]), alphabet (which encodes a serine/threonine phosphatase that acts as a negative regulator of the Ras/ERK pathway [58]), still life (which encodes a protein with Rho guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor activity [58]), and RhoGEF (see Additional file 2).

Another intriguing finding is the association between an intronic variant in the SNF4/AMP-activated protein kinase gamma subunit and variation in mitochondrial state 4 respiration rates (Additional file 2A). As mentioned above, state 4 in isolated mitochondria is strongly influenced by proton leak. AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is a central sensor of cellular energy status and allocation [68]. As in mammals, Drosophila AMPK is an heterotrimer, with an alpha catalytic, a gamma regulatory, and a beta scaffolding subunits [69]. Drosophila AMPK is also activated by AMP and shares many of the same targets with mammalian AMPK [69]. Interestingly, recent work showed that flies deficient in AMPK are sensitive to starvation, a trait we have shown to be correlated to mitochondrial state 4 [68]. Previous in vitro studies also reported that loss of mitochondrial function and reduced ATP (highly correlated to mitochondrial proton leak) causes AMPK activation in flies [70]. Furthermore, AMPK increases the expression of uncoupling proteins in mammals [71,72]. Overall these observations suggest that population variation at the *SNF4/AMP-activated protein kinase gamma subunit* locus may be maintained as part of a mechanism controlling the production of ROS and therefore their signaling activities [73]. Additional data, however, will be needed to confirm this hypothesis.

Together, the above observations indicate that there is great utility in using the DGRP as a primary screen for follow-up testing of mutants of focal genes. Also, a notable result of our GWA study is that none of the nuclear genes encoding the subunits of the ETC enzyme complexes or the components required for the assembly and function of the respiratory chain was depicted by the SNPs that showed statistically significant association with the mitochondrial phenotypes. A growing body of evidence indicates that epistatic interactions between natural genetic variants in the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes affect mitochondrial function per se, as well as fitness and several life-history traits within and across populations [27,29,74-77]. Although these previous studies have made a significant contribution towards our understanding of the adaptive evolution of these complex traits, little is still known about the molecular nature of the nuclear-mitochondrial gene combinations. To this end, our results provide a new direction for future research seeking to identify the set of genetic variants involved in these mitonuclear epistatic interactions.

Variation in *sls* has a mtDNA-independent effect on mitochondrial respiration

Our GWA analysis pinpointed sls as a novel candidate gene influencing inter-individual variability in state 3 respiration rate (Additional file 2A). sls encodes a protein with homology to the NH2-terminal half of vertebrate titin that is a component of the muscle sarcomere and is required for both muscle and chromosome structure and elasticity [36]. The 75.44 Kb sls gene consists of 37 exons (Figure 3A) and several alternative splicing have been described [78]. At least six SIs splice protein isoforms are expressed in the thoracic muscles of D. melanogaster, including the most abundant short isoform kettin (or sls-RA) (Figure 3A), which is present in the indirect flight muscles and contribute to their high passive stiffness necessary to generate stretch activation [78]. A total of 1693 SNPs in the sls gene have been previously reported to segregate in the 40 lines [26]. Of these, ten (synonymous coding), one (synonymous coding), and two (intronic and splice site intronic) were independently associated with state 3, state 4, and P:O ratio, respectively, at a nominal $P \le 1 \times 10^{-4}$ (which is a less stringent *P*-value threshold than the $P \le 1 \times 10^{-5}$ used in the GWA analysis) (Figure 3A). To confirm the direct effect of sls on mitochondrial function, we used two viable alleles of the gene, *sls*^{d00134} and *sls*^{d07587}, which

were established by the Exelixis Project via *P*-element (*XP*) insertion into the exon 6 and intron 2, respectively, of the *sls* gene in the w^{II18} strain [79]. We assessed mitochondrial function in mitochondria isolated from the thoraces of flies homozygous for each mutant allele and those homozygous for the corresponding wild-type allele. We found that homozygous sls^{d00134} flies, on average, had 17% lower mitochondrial state 3 (Figure 3B) and 18% higher state 4 respiration rates than controls (Figure 3C). Compared to controls, homozygous sls^{d07587} flies also displayed a 36% reduction in state 3 respiration rates (Figure 3C). No statistically significant differences were detected in the P:O ratio between any of the mutants and their controls as well as in the

mitochondrial state 4 respiration rates between sls^{d07587} and control flies (data not shown). Additionally, we did not find any difference in mitochondrial protein density between w^{1118} and sls^{d00134} (sls^{d00134} : 0.58 ± (standard error) 0.04 and w^{1118} : 0.62 ± 0.06; P = 0.555) or sls^{d07587} (sls^{d07587} : 0.33 ± 0.03 and w^{1118} : 0.38 ± 0.05; P = 0.454) flies. Thus, these results are consistent with a regulation of mitochondrial respiration by sls.

Gene expression networks underlying variation in mitochondrial respiration

Genetic and functional studies in humans and animal models have provided strong evidence of the existence of co-expression genetic networks that control energy



all panels, error bars represent standard errors for n = 14-24 independent replicates. Statistical significance was determined by a two-way ANOVA.

metabolism and homeostasis [80]. This is particularly true for muscle mitochondrial function considering the efficiency and adaptive plasticity of skeletal muscle bioenergetics in response to environmental changes [81]. The whole-body nuclear transcriptome profile of the 40 DGRP lines was previously assessed [35] under similar experimental conditions to this study. This previous work identified a total of 10,096 genetically variable transcripts [35]. Thus, we performed a genome-wide screen to determine whether variation in any of these transcripts was correlated with variation in mitochondrial function [15]. Because of the small sample size, we used a lenient *P*-value of 0.01 as a threshold for our analysis and found 105, 59, and 89 QTTs associated with state 3, state 4, and P:O ratio, respectively, in the analysis averaged across sexes (see Additional file 3). Given that the transcriptome data is not thorax-specific and the gene expression and mitochondrial data were collected in two different laboratories at different times, caution is needed in interpreting these results. But despite these limitations, we sought to use a weighted gene coexpression network analysis [35] to provide insight into how variation in the state 3 QTTs could give rise to variation in the trait. We identified five modules of correlated transcripts, ranging from 2 to 69 probe sets (Figure 4A and Additional file 4). Visualization of module 4 (Figure 4B) illustrates that *sls* is one of the most highly connected genes. Variation in *sls* gene expression is most highly correlated with variation in expression of *spalt major (salm)*, which encodes a DNA binding



Figure 4 Gene expression networks underlying variation in mitochondrial state 3 respiration rates. (Panel **A**) Heat map of correlated probe sets after module formation for state 3 mitochondrial respiration rates (5 modules). Each point represents the correlation between two genes. The color scale bar indicates the values of the correlation. (Panel **B**) Network of correlated ($|r| \ge 0.6$) transcripts for state 3 module 4. Node showed as yellow in the network represents the *s/s* gene, which was also identified by the GWA scan. (Panel **C** and **D**) Gene expression levels were measured by RT-qPCR using mRNA extracted from whole body of w^{1118} female flies and s/s^{d07587} (Panel **C**) or s/s^{d00134} (Panel **D**) female flies. Transcript levels of each gene were normalized to rp49. Values represent average of 4–6 independent replicates. Error bars represent standard error. Means designated by the same letter are not statistically significantly different from one another.

transcription factor [58]. *salm* plays a critical role in the development of Drosophila indirect flight muscles [82]. *salm* induces the fate of fibrillar muscles (contained specifically in the indirect flight muscles) during Drosophila metamorphosis by regulating the gene expression profile of components of the sarcomere, including *sls* and *CG7834* [82]. The latter is predicted to encode a Drosophila ortholog of the human *Electrontransfer-flavoprotein, beta polypeptide* gene [58] and its transcript abundance is correlated with *sls* and other QTTs in the co-regulated state 3 module 4 (Additional file 4).

Based on our results from the correlation network analysis, we hypothesized that the sls^{d00134} and sls^{d07587} mutations perturbed the same underlying transcriptional network as the natural variants. To test this idea, we compared the transcript abundance of four of the module 4 QTTs (CG12050, CG2656, CG7834, Bteb2, and CG14291) in homozygous mutant and control flies. Given that *sls*^{d07587} is a mutant generated by the insertion of a P-element in the intron 2 of the sls gene (Figure 3A), we also examined the effects of this Pelement insertion on two of the sls transcript isoform variants (sls-RA and sls-RP). We found that the expression of both sls isoforms was significantly reduced in the *sls*^{d07587} flies (Figure 4C). In addition, consistent with the positive correlation observed in our network analysis, we observed that CG12050, CG2656, and CG7834 had significantly lower expression in both sls^{d07587} (Figure 4C) and sls^{d00134} flies (Figure 4D) compared to controls. The molecular function of CG12050 and CG2656 is unknown; however, as mentioned above, CG7834 is predicted to encode a protein with mitochondrial electron transfer flavoprotein (ETF) activity. Across different species, ETFs accept electrons from the FADH₂ produced in the first step of the fatty acid β -oxidation and transfer them to the mitochondrial membrane-bound ETF ubiquinone oxidoreductase complex [83]. Thus, our finding suggest that sls might control mitochondrial respiration rates by affecting the respiratory chain [84].

Conclusions

The present study demonstrates that natural populations of *D. melanogaster* exhibit genetic variation in mitochondrial respiration and efficiency. The GWA data also suggest that natural variation regulating long-term adaptation of *D. melanogaster* flight muscle mitochondrial function occurs in nuclear-encoded genes involved in a network of interconnected signaling pathways induced by extracellular stimuli, such as neurotransmitters, to maintain cellular homeostasis. Finally, the integrative genomic approach used in our study allowed us to identify *sls*, with homology to the human *Titin* (*TTN*) gene, as a novel hub gene responsible for the regulation of mitochondrial respiration in muscle sarcomere and to provide evidence that *sls* might act via the ETF ubiquinone oxidoreductase complex.

Methods

Drosophila stocks

The 40 unrelated wild-derived D. melanogaster inbred lines used in this study are a subset of the sequenced DGRP lines, which were established from a sample of isofemale lines collected in the Raleigh Farmer's Market (North Carolina) and inbred to near-homozygosity by 20 generations of full-sib mating [26]. The sls^{d00134} and sls^{d07587} stocks were obtained from the Harvard Exelixis Stock collection (https://drosophila.med.harvard.edu/) and the w^{1118} (stock no: 6326) co-isogenic control line from the Bloomington Stock Center (http://flystocks.bio.indiana. edu). Each stock was maintained at constant parental density for at least two generations to minimize environmental effects. To control for larval density, we allowed the parents of the experimental flies to mate for 3 hours to generate egg collections on apple juice/agar medium in laying plates. After 24 hours, groups of 100 first-instar larvae were picked from the surface of the medium and put into replicate vials. To minimize the influence of genetic variation in reproduction on energy metabolism, all the phenotypic assays were performed on virgin flies that were randomly collected from the replicate vials for each line under brief CO2 exposure. For mitochondrial function assays, seven replicate vials per line were used, with each vial containing 20 single-sexed individuals aged 3-5 days. All lines were tested over a 2-year period and the lines and replicate vials were assayed in random order. Flies were reared in vials containing 10 ml of standard cornmeal, agar, molasses, and yeast medium, at a constant temperature of 25°C, relative humidity, and 12hr/12hr light/dark cycle.

Mitochondrial respiration rate assay

Mitochondria were isolated from the thorax of the flies as described previously [20], with minor modification. All mitochondrial isolation steps were performed on ice. Live flies were chilled briefly on ice and thoraces were separated from the heads and abdomens. Isolated thoraces were placed into 200µl of ice-cold isolation buffer [250 mM sucrose, 5 mM Tris-HCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% (w/v) bovine serum albumin (BSA), pH 7.4 at 4°C; [20]) supplemented with protease inhibitors (leupeptin 1mg/ ml, aprotinin 1mg/ml and pepstatin 1mg/ml) in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube. The samples were pounded gently 126 times over a 2 minute period, using a motorized micromortar. Mashed flies were filtered through a 5 micron nylon mesh, and the volume was raised to 400µl by washing the nylon membrane with additional isolation buffer. After a cycle of low-speed centrifugation followed by centrifugation of the filtered solution for 10 min at 3000 g at 4°C, the pellet was re-suspended in 100µl of isolation buffer. Protein concentrations in the mitochondrial fractions were determined using a Lowry assay.

Using freshly isolated mitochondria, mitochondrial respiration assays were performed using a polarographic oxygen sensor (Oroboros oxygraph, OROBOROS® INSTRUMENTS, Innsbruck, Austria) with 0.2 mg/ml of freshly isolated mitochondria incubated in respiration medium (120mM KCl, 5mM KH₂PO₄, 3mM Hepes, 1mM EDTA, 1mM MgCl₂, and 0.2% BSA, pH 7.2; [85]). Oxygen consumption rates were measured at 25°C [86]. As implemented by [20], we measured state 3 and state 4 respiration rates using the NAD⁺-linked substrates pyruvate 5mM/proline 5mM to deliver electrons into mitochondrial complex I. NAD⁺-linked substrates were added to the chamber and allowed to equilibrate for 1 min, followed by the addition of ADP at a concentration of 400µM to elicit ADP-dependent state 3. This was followed by the determination of the state 4 respiration rate, once all the added ADP had been exhausted and a steady state is reached [87]. P:O ratio, e.g. the relationship between ATP synthesis and oxygen consumption, was calculated as the amount of ADP consumed per oxygen being reduced during state 3.

All assays were performed within three hours of mitochondrial isolation. Data was analyzed using the software *DatLab* Version 4.1.0.8.

Quantitative genetic analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.1. We used a mixed model ANOVA to partition variation in each trait among the inbred lines according to the model, $y = \mu + L + S + LxS + \varepsilon$, where μ is the overall mean, L and S are the main effects of Line (Random) and Sex (Fixed), *LxS* is the random effect of sex-by-line interaction, and ε is the within-vial error variance. Pearson phenotypic correlations among traits were calculated by SAS PROC CORR using pooled data and data stratified by sex.

Genotype-phenotype associations

The line mean of each mitochondrial parameter was associated with all segregating sites in the DGRP present in four or more DGRP lines, and having sequence coverage levels greater than two and less than thirty [26]. We used the ANOVA model $y = \mu + M + S + M \times S + L(M) + \varepsilon$ to evaluate each segregating site, where M is the effect of SNP (marker) genotype, L is line, and S is sex. Genotype-phenotype associations were also performed for males and females separately using the reduced model $y = \mu + M + \varepsilon$. We calculated the standardized effect size (a/σ_G) as one-half the difference between marker classes divided by the overall genotypic standard deviation [88]. We used the r^2 measure to compute linkage disequilibrium among significant markers [89].

To estimate the amount of genetic variance explained by the SNPs, we applied multiple regression models using gene-centered forward selection. We chose SNPs for the model that were highly significant and not in strong LD with each other (i.e., $P < 10^{-8}$ for r^2 between SNPs). We imputed SNP genotypes for markers with missing data. We fitted SNPs to the model, beginning with the most significant marker, until the r^2 for variance was maximal. We identified haplotypes among replicate line means and analyzed the data for sexes combined and sexes separate using the model $y = \mu + H$ $+L(H) + \varepsilon$, where *H* is haplotype and *L* is line. We estimated the phenotypic variance explained as $\sigma_{\rm H}^2/(\sigma_{\rm H}^2 + \sigma_{\rm L}^2)$ + $\sigma_{\rm E}^2$), where $\sigma_{\rm H}^2$ is the among-haplotype variance component, $\sigma_{\rm L}^2$ is the among-line variance component, and $\sigma_{\rm E}^2$ is the error component.

Transcript-phenotype associations and transcriptional networks

The gene expression analysis in the 40 DGRP lines has been described previously [35]. Associations between genetically variable transcripts with each mitochondrial respiration trait were assessed by regression analysis as previously described [35]. Briefly, regression models of the form $y = \mu + S + T + S \times T + \varepsilon$, where *S* is sex, *T* is the mitochondrial trait, and ε is the error term, were computed for each probe set.

The genetic correlations between all QTTs associated with each trait at P < 0.01 were computed after removing the correlation between these transcripts and the trait. This was achieved by fitting the model $y = \mu + E + S + E \times S + \varepsilon$ (*Y* is the trait, *E* is the covariate median log₂ expression level, *S* is the sex effect and ε the residual error) and extracting the residuals to compute pair-wise transcript correlations for module construction [35]. Modules of transcripts associated with each trait with coordinated patterns of expression across the 40 lines were then quantified as described previously [90].

Quantitative RT-PCR

We isolated total RNA using the TriPure RNA isolation kit (Roche). Isolated RNA was then used to make cDNA, using the First Strand Synthesis kit (Invitrogen). We performed RT-qPCR using a SYBR Green Master mix and 50 ng total of cDNA per reaction and run in a Stratagene Mx3000P[®] qPCR machine. The primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in Additional file 5. Statistical significance was determined by the two-tailed Student's *t* test.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Variation in mitochondrial protein density among eight of the 40 *D. melanogaster* DGRP wild-derived inbred lines. This file includes the distribution of line means for mitochondrial protein density in eight of the 40 DGRP lines and scatter plots of correlations between mitochondrial traits.

Additional file 2: List of SNPs significantly correlated with mitochondrial respiration and coupling efficiency in the analyses averaged across sexes (Panel A) and stratified by sex (Panel B). This file includes SNP position, FlyBase accession number and name of the genes tagged by the SNPs and near intergenic SNPs, *P*-value from the ANOVA of the difference in trait means between the two SNP classes, minor allele frequency of the SNPs.

Additional file 3: List of transcripts significantly correlated with mitochondrial respiration and coupling efficiency. This file includes Affymetrix Probe identification number, FlyBase accession number and name of the gene transcript, *P*-value from the regression analyses, and gene ontology information.

Additional file 4: Modules of correlated transcripts associated with mitochondrial function. This file shows the average correlation of a transcript with all other transcripts in its module (degree) and the average correlation of all transcripts in the module (average degree).

Additional file 5: Nucleotide sequence of primers used for qRT-PCRs. This file reports the gene symbol, direction of the primer, and nucleotide sequence.

Abbreviations

DGRP: Drosophila Genetic Reference Panel; GWA: Genome-Wide Association; QTT: Quantitative Trait Transcript; ATP: Adenosine Triphosphate; OxPhos: Oxidative Phosphorylation; ETC: Electron Transport Chain; ROS: Reactive Oxygen Species; NADH: Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide; FADH₂: Flavin Adenine Dinucleotide; ADP: Adenosine Diiphosphate; UCP: Uncoupling Protein; mtDNA: Mitochondrial DNA; CS: Citrate Synthase; PKA: CAMP-Dependent Protein Kinase; GPCR: G-Protein Coupled Receptors; EGF: Epidermal Growth Factor; AMPK: AMP-Activated Protein Kinase; ETF: Electron Transfer Flavoprotein.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have non- financial competing interests.

Authors' contributions

DRM, PJL, and MD conceived the study and participated in its design and coordination. PJL, SB, DRM, and JCS performed research. STH, TFCM and MD analyzed the data. MD wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors read, critically revised the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Michelle Moses Chambers for help with Drosophila husbandry procedures. We also thank Dr. David Rand and an anonymous reviewer for proving insightful comments on the manuscript. We are enormously grateful to Dr. Carlos Krundieck for designing and making available the motorized micro-mortar used for the mitochondrial assays. This study was supported by a BARB Core/Diabetes Research Training Center NIDDK Grant P60 DK079626, Diabetes Research Training Center Pilot Feasibility grant to DRM, and NIH Grants R01 GM45146 to TFCM and R01 DK084219 to MD.

Author details

¹Department of Nutrition Sciences, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294, USA. ²Laboratory of Systems Genetics, National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, Bethesda, MD 20892-1654, USA. ³Department of Genetics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA. ⁴Present address: Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA.

Received: 8 June 2012 Accepted: 16 November 2012 Published: 22 November 2012

References

- Saraste M: Oxidative phosphorylation at the fin de siecle. Science 1999, 283:1488–1493.
- Duchen MR: Contributions of mitochondria to animal physiology, from homeostatic sensor to calcium signalling and cell death. J Physiol 1999, 516(Pt 1):1–17.

- Butow RA, Avadhani NG: Mitochondrial signaling, the retrograde response. Mol Cell 2004, 14:1–15.
- 4. Thannickal VJ, Fanburg BL: Reactive oxygen species in cell signaling. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2000, 279:L1005–L1028.
- Gao L, Laude K, Cai H: Mitochondrial pathophysiology, reactive oxygen species, and cardiovascular diseases. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 2008, 38:137–155. vi.
- Harper ME, Green K, Brand MD: The efficiency of cellular energy transduction and its implications for obesity. Annu Rev Nutr 2008, 28:13–33.
- 7. Loomis W, Lipmann F: Reversible inhibition of the coupling between phosphorylation and oxidation. *J Biol Chem* 1948, **173**:807.
- Nobes CD, Brown GC, Olive PN, Brand MD: Non-ohmic proton conductance of the mitochondrial inner membrane in hepatocytes. *J Biol Chem* 1990, 265:12903–12909.
- 9. Skulachev VP: Uncoupling, new approaches to an old problem of bioenergetics. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1998, **1363**:100–124.
- 10. Brand MD, Turner N, Ocloo A, Else PL, Hulbert AJ: Proton conductance and fatty acyl composition of liver mitochondria correlates with body mass in birds. *Biochem J* 2003, **376**:741–748.
- Saccone C, Lanave C, De GA: Metazoan OXPHOS gene families, evolutionary forces at the level of mitochondrial and nuclear genomes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2006, 1757:1171–1178.
- 12. Wallace DC, Fan W: The pathophysiology of mitochondrial disease as modeled in the mouse. *Genes Dev* 2009, **23**:1714–1736.
- Passarino G, Rose G, Bellizzi D: Mitochondrial function, mitochondrial DNA and ageing, a reappraisal. *Biogerontology* 2010, 11:575–588.
- Raule N, Sevini F, Santoro A, Altilia S, Franceschi C: Association studies on human mitochondrial DNA, methodological aspects and results in the most common age-related diseases. *Mitochondrion* 2007, 7:29–38.
- 15. Mackay TF, Stone EA, Ayroles JF: The genetics of quantitative traits, challenges and prospects. *Nat Rev Genet* 2009, 10:565–577.
- Clary DO, Wolstenholme DR: The mitochondrial DNA molecular of Drosophila yakuba, nucleotide sequence, gene organization, and genetic code. J Mol Evol 1985, 22:252–271.
- Watanabe MI, Williams CM: Mitochondria in the flight muscles of insects. I. Chemical composition and enzymatic content. J Gen Physiol 1951, 34:675–689.
- Gregg CT, Heisler CR, Remmert LF: Oxidative phosphorylation and respiratory control in housefly mitochondria. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1960, 45:561–570.
- Van den Bergh S: Insect mitochondria. In *Methods in enzymology*. Edited by Estabrook RW, Pullman ME. New York: Academic; 1967:117–122.
- Miwa S, St-Pierre J, Partridge L, Brand MD: Superoxide and hydrogen peroxide production by Drosophila mitochondria. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2003, 35:938–948.
- 21. Fridell YW, Sanchez-Blanco A, Silvia BA, Helfand SL: Functional characterization of a Drosophila mitochondrial uncoupling protein. *J Bioenerg Biomembr* 2004, **36**:219–228.
- 22. Leopold P, Perrimon N: Drosophila and the genetics of the internal milieu. *Nature* 2007, **450**:186–188.
- Schlegel A, Stainier DY: Lessons from "lower" organisms, what worms, flies, and zebrafish can teach us about human energy metabolism. *PLoS Genet* 2007, 3:e199.
- De Luca M, Chambers MM, Casazza K, Lok KH, Hunter GR, Gower BA, et al: Genetic variation in a member of the laminin gene family affects variation in body composition in Drosophila and humans. *BMC Genet* 2008, 9:52.
- De Luca M, Klimentidis YC, Casazza K, Chambers MM, Cho R, Harbison ST, et al: A conserved role for syndecan family members in the regulation of whole-body energy metabolism. *PLoS One* 2010, 5:e11286.
- Mackay TF, Richards S, Stone EA, Barbadilla A, Ayroles JF, Zhu D, et al: The Drosophila melanogaster genetic reference panel. Nature 2012, 482:173–178.
- 27. Dowling DK, Friberg U, Lindell J: Evolutionary implications of non-neutral mitochondrial genetic variation. *Trends Ecol Evol* 2008, **23**:546–554.
- Katewa SD, Ballard JW: Sympatric Drosophila simulans flies with distinct mtDNA show difference in mitochondrial respiration and electron transport. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 2007, 37:213–222.

- Ballard JW, Melvin RG, Katewa SD, Maas K: Mitochondrial DNA variation is associated with measurable differences in life-history traits and mitochondrial metabolism in Drosophila simulans. *Evolution* 2007, 61:1735–1747.
- Goffart S, Wiesner RJ: Regulation and co-ordination of nuclear gene expression during mitochondrial biogenesis. *Exp Physiol* 2003, 88:33–40.
 Padliarini DJ. Dixon JE: Mitochondrial modulation. reversible
- phosphorylation takes center stage? *Trends Biochem Sci* 2006, 31:26–34.
 Boneh A: Regulation of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation by
- Bonen A: Regulation of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation by second messenger-mediated signal transduction mechanisms. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2006, 63:1236–1248.
- Ballard JW, Kreitman M: Unraveling selection in the mitochondrial genome of Drosophila. *Genetics* 1994, 138:757–772.
- Solignac M: Mitochondrial DNA in the Drosophila melanogaster complex. Genetica 2004, 120:41–50.
- Ayroles JF, Carbone MA, Stone EA, Jordan KW, Lyman RF, Magwire MM, et al: Systems genetics of complex traits in Drosophila melanogaster. Nat Genet 2009, 41:299–307.
- 36. Machado C, Andrew DJ: D-Titin, a giant protein with dual roles in chromosomes and muscles. J Cell Biol 2000, 151:639–652.
- 37. Cortright RN, Koves TR: Sex differences in substrate metabolism and energy homeostasis. *Can J Appl Physiol* 2000, **25**:288–311.
- Ballard JW, Melvin RG, Miller JT, Katewa SD: Sex differences in survival and mitochondrial bioenergetics during aging in Drosophila. *Aging Cell* 2007, 6:699–708.
- Valle A, Guevara R, Garcia-Palmer FJ, Roca P, Oliver J: Sexual dimorphism in liver mitochondrial oxidative capacity is conserved under caloric restriction conditions. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2007, 293:C1302–C1308.
- Justo R, Boada J, Frontera M, Oliver J, Bermudez J, Gianotti M: Gender dimorphism in rat liver mitochondrial oxidative metabolism and biogenesis. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2005, 289:C372–C378.
- Justo R, Frontera M, Pujol E, Rodriguez-Cuenca S, Llado I, Garcia-Palmer FJ, et al: Gender-related differences in morphology and thermogenic capacity of brown adipose tissue mitochondrial subpopulations. *Life Sci* 2005, 76:1147–1158.
- Rodriguez-Cuenca S, Pujol E, Justo R, Frontera M, Oliver J, Gianotti M, et al: Sex-dependent thermogenesis, differences in mitochondrial morphology and function, and adrenergic response in brown adipose tissue. J Biol Chem 2002, 277:42958–42963.
- Guevara R, Santandreu FM, Valle A, Gianotti M, Oliver J, Roca P: Sex-dependent differences in aged rat brain mitochondrial function and oxidative stress. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2009, 46:169–175.
- Tower J: Sex-specific regulation of aging and apoptosis. Mech Ageing Dev 2006, 127:705–718.
- 45. Giles RE, Blanc H, Cann HM, Wallace DC: Maternal inheritance of human mitochondrial DNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1980, 77:6715–6719.
- Driver C, Tawadros N: Cytoplasmic genomes that confer additional longevity in Drosophila melanogaster. *Biogerontology* 2000, 1:255–260.
- Rogowitz GL, Chappell MA: Energy metabolism of eucalyptus-boring beetles at rest and during locomotion, gender makes a difference. *J Exp Biol* 2000, 203:1131–1139.
- Magwere T, Pamplona R, Miwa S, Martinez-Diaz P, Portero-Otin M, Brand MD, et al: Flight activity, mortality rates, and lipoxidative damage in Drosophila. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2006, 61:136–145.
- Mogensen M, Sahlin K: Mitochondrial efficiency in rat skeletal muscle, influence of respiration rate, substrate and muscle type. *Acta Physiol Scand* 2005, 185:229–236.
- Jumbo-Lucioni P, Ayroles JF, Chambers MM, Jordan KW, Leips J, Mackay TF, et al: Systems genetics analysis of body weight and energy metabolism traits in Drosophila melanogaster. BMC Genomics 2010, 11:297.
- Ockner R: Integration of metabolism, energetics, and signal transduction, unifying foundations in cell growth and death, cancer, atherosclerosis, and Alzheimer disease. New York: Klumer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2004.
- Dubessay P, Garreau-Balandier I, Jarrousse AS, Fleuriet A, Sion B, Debise R, et al: Aging impact on biochemical activities and gene expression of Drosophila melanogaster mitochondria. *Biochimie* 2007, 89:988–1001.
- 53. Brown GC: Control of respiration and ATP synthesis in mammalian mitochondria and cells. *Biochem J* 1992, **284**(Pt 1):1–13.
- 54. Brookes PS: Mitochondrial H(+) leak and ROS generation, an odd couple. Free Radic Biol Med 2005, **38**:12–23.

- Hoehn KL, Salmon AB, Hohnen-Behrens C, Turner N, Hoy AJ, Maghzal GJ, et al: Insulin resistance is a cellular antioxidant defense mechanism. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009, 106:17787–17792.
- Anderson EJ, Lustig ME, Boyle KE, Woodlief TL, Kane DA, Lin CT, et al: Mitochondrial H2O2 emission and cellular redox state link excess fat intake to insulin resistance in both rodents and humans. J Clin Invest 2009, 119:573–581.
- 57. Krause C, Wolf C, Hemphala J, Samakovlis C, Schuh R: Distinct functions of the leucine-rich repeat transmembrane proteins capricious and tartan in the Drosophila tracheal morphogenesis. *Dev Biol* 2006, **296**:253–264.
- Drysdale RA, Crosby MA: FlyBase, genes and gene models. Nucleic Acids Res 2005, 33:D390–D395.
- Day JP, Dow JA, Houslay MD, Davies SA: Cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases in Drosophila melanogaster. *Biochem J* 2005, 388:333–342.
- Huttemann M, Lee I, Samavati L, Yu H, Doan JW: Regulation of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation through cell signaling. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2007, 1773:1701–1720.
- Leadsham JE, Gourlay CW: cAMP/PKA signaling balances respiratory activity with mitochondria dependent apoptosis via transcriptional regulation. *BMC Cell Biol* 2010, 11:92.
- 62. Acin-Perez R, Salazar E, Kamenetsky M, Buck J, Levin LR, Manfredi G: Cyclic AMP produced inside mitochondria regulates oxidative phosphorylation. *Cell Metab* 2009, 9:265–276.
- Vassilatis DK, Hohmann JG, Zeng H, Li F, Ranchalis JE, Mortrud MT, et al: The G protein-coupled receptor repertoires of human and mouse. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003, 100:4903–4908.
- 64. Broeck JV: Insect G protein-coupled receptors and signal transduction. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 2001, 48:1–12.
- 65. Kristiansen K: Molecular mechanisms of ligand binding, signaling, and regulation within the superfamily of G-protein-coupled receptors, molecular modeling and mutagenesis approaches to receptor structure and function. *Pharmacol Ther* 2004, **103**:21–80.
- 66. Dumaz N, Marais R: Integrating signals between cAMP and the RAS/RAF/ MEK/ERK signalling pathways. Based on the anniversary prize of the Gesellschaft fur Biochemie und Molekularbiologie Lecture delivered on 5 July 2003 at the Special FEBS Meeting in Brussels. FEBS J 2005, 272:3491–3504.
- 67. Luttrell DK, Luttrell LM: Not so strange bedfellows, G-protein-coupled receptors and Src family kinases. *Oncogene* 2004, 23:7969–7978.
- Johnson EC, Kazgan N, Bretz CA, Forsberg LJ, Hector CE, Worthen RJ, et al: Altered metabolism and persistent starvation behaviors caused by reduced AMPK function in Drosophila. PLoS One 2010, 5:e12799.
- Pan DA, Hardie DG: A homologue of AMP-activated protein kinase in Drosophila melanogaster is sensitive to AMP and is activated by ATP depletion. *Biochem J* 2002, 367:179–186.
- Mandal S, Guptan P, Owusu-Ansah E, Banerjee U: Mitochondrial regulation of cell cycle progression during development as revealed by the tenured mutation in Drosophila. *Dev Cell* 2005, 9:843–854.
- Foretz M, Ancellin N, Andreelli F, Saintillan Y, Grondin P, Kahn A, et al: Short-term overexpression of a constitutively active form of AMP-activated protein kinase in the liver leads to mild hypoglycemia and fatty liver. Diabetes 2005, 54:1331–1339.
- Xie Z, Zhang J, Wu J, Viollet B, Zou MH: Upregulation of mitochondrial uncoupling protein-2 by the AMP-activated protein kinase in endothelial cells attenuates oxidative stress in diabetes. *Diabetes* 2008, 57:3222–3230.
- 73. Mittler R, Vanderauwera S, Suzuki N, Miller G, Tognetti VB, Vandepoele K, et al: ROS signaling, the new wave? Trends Plant Sci 2011, 16:300–309.
- Rand DM, Clark AG, Kann LM: Sexually antagonistic cytonuclear fitness interactions in Drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics* 2001, 159:173–187.
- Montooth KL, Meiklejohn CD, Abt DN, Rand DM: Mitochondrial-nuclear epistasis affects fitness within species but does not contribute to fixed incompatibilities between species of Drosophila. *Evolution* 2010, 64:3364–3379.
- Arnqvist G, Dowling DK, Eady P, Gay L, Tregenza T, Tuda M, et al: Genetic architecture of metabolic rate, environment specific epistasis between mitochondrial and nuclear genes in an insect. Evolution 2010, 64:3354–3363.
- Ellison CK, Burton RS: Disruption of mitochondrial function in interpopulation hybrids of Tigriopus californicus. *Evolution* 2006, 60:1382–1391.

- Burkart C, Qiu F, Brendel S, Benes V, Haag P, Labeit S, et al: Modular proteins from the Drosophila sallimus (sls) gene and their expression in muscles with different extensibility. J Mol Biol 2007, 367:953–969.
- Thibault ST, Singer MA, Miyazaki WY, Milash B, Dompe NA, Singh CM, et al: A complementary transposon tool kit for Drosophila melanogaster using P and piggyBac. Nat Genet 2004, 36:283–287.
- 80. Voy BH: Systems genetics, a powerful approach for gene-environment interactions. J Nutr 2011, 141:515–519.
- Takahashi M, Chesley A, Freyssenet D, Hood DA: Contractile activityinduced adaptations in the mitochondrial protein import system. *Am J Physiol* 1998, 274:C1380–C1387.
- Schonbauer C, Distler J, Jahrling N, Radolf M, Dodt HU, Frasch M, et al: Spalt mediates an evolutionarily conserved switch to fibrillar muscle fate in insects. *Nature* 2011, 479:406–409.
- Watmough NJ, Frerman FE: The electron transfer flavoprotein, ubiquinone oxidoreductases. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2010, 1797:1910–1916.
- Brand MD, Hafner RP, Brown GC: Control of respiration in non-phosphorylating mitochondria is shared between the proton leak and the respiratory chain. *Biochem J* 1988, 255:535–539.
- Ferguson M, Mockett RJ, Shen Y, Orr WC, Sohal RS: Age-associated decline in mitochondrial respiration and electron transport in Drosophila melanogaster. *Biochem J* 2005, 390:501–511.
- Sacktor B, Sanborn R: The effect of temperature on oxidative phosphorylation with insect flight muscle mitochondria. J Biophys Biochem Cytol 1956, 2:105–107.
- Affourtit C, Quinlan CL, Brand MD: Measurement of proton leak and electron leak in isolated mitochondria. *Methods Mol Biol* 2012, 810:165–182.
- Falconer DS, Mackay TFC: Introduction to quantitative genetics. 4th edition. Essex, England: Pearson Education Group (Longman Group Ltd.); 1996.
- Hill WG, Robertson A: The effects of inbreeding at loci with heterozygote advantage. *Genetics* 1968, 60:615–628.
- 90. Stone EA, Ayroles JF: Modulated modularity clustering as an exploratory tool for functional genomic inference. *PLoS Genet* 2009, **5**:e1000479.

doi:10.1186/1471-2164-13-659

Cite this article as: Jumbo-Lucioni *et al.*: Nuclear genomic control of naturally occurring variation in mitochondrial function in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *BMC Genomics* 2012 13:659.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar

) BioMed Central

• Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit