

Phosphine-Stabilized Pnictinidenes

Dominik Raiser,^[a] Klaus Eichele,^[a] Hartmut Schubert,^[a] and Lars Wesemann*^[a]

Abstract: The reaction of the intramolecular germylenephosphine Lewis pair (o-PPh₂)C₆H₄GeAr* (1) with Group 15 element trichlorides ECl₃ (E=P, As, Sb) was investigated. After oxidative addition, the resulting compounds (*o*-PPh₂)C₆H₄(Ar*) Ge(Cl)ECl₂ (2: E=P, 3: E=As, 4: E=Sb) were reduced by using sodium metal or LiHBEt₃. The molecular structures of the phosphine-stabilized phosphinidene (*o*-PPh₂)C₆H₄(Ar*)Ge(Cl)P (5), arsinidene (*o*-PPh₂)C₆H₄(Ar*)Ge(Cl)As (6) and stibinidene (*o*-PPh₂)C₆H₄(Ar*)Ge(Cl)Sb (7) are presented; they feature a

Introduction

The stabilization and chemistry of pnictogen-centered molecules which contain Group 15 elements with a low oxidation state and low coordination number has been an area of ongoing and considerable interest in chemical research for many decades.^[1] Due to their high reactivity phosphinidenes were investigated as Lewis base adducts^[1d,2] and coordinated at transition metals.^[3] In this context, phosphine adducts of phosphinidenes (phosphanylidene- σ^4 -phosphoranes) (**A**,^[4] **B**^[2] Figure 1) and carbene adducts of phosphinidenes $^{[2h]}$ (C, $^{[2m]}$ D, $^{[2k]}$ E^[2j] Figure 1) are prominent derivatives featuring a broad chemistry.^[2h,i,I-n,5] In comparison to the extensively investigated chemistry of phosphinidenes studies on the higher homologues As^I (\mathbf{F} ,^[6] \mathbf{G} ,^[7] \mathbf{H} ,^[8] \mathbf{I} ^[9] Figure 1), Sb^I (\mathbf{J} ,^[10] \mathbf{K} ,^[11] \mathbf{L} ^[12] Figure 1) and Bi^I (M^[10,13]) are much less developed.^[14] A cyclic example of an arsanylidene-phosphorane F was obtained by a dehydrocoupling strategy.^[6] Chelating N,C ligands were also employed for stabilization of P^I, As^I, Sb^I and Bi^I species.^[15] The coordination chemistry of low-valent arsenic and antimony has been a very attractive field of research since their discovery.^[3b] Terminal as well as bridging coordination modes were found for a variety of transition metals.^[16]

We are currently investigating the chemistry of an intramolecular cyclic germylene-phosphine adduct **1**.^[17] This lowvalent germanium compound reacts through oxidative addition

 [a] Dr. D. Raiser, Dr. K. Eichele, Dr. H. Schubert, Prof. Dr. L. Wesemann Institut für Anorganische Chemie Universität Tübingen Auf der Morgenstelle 18, 72076 Tübingen (Germany) E-mail: lars.wesemann@uni-tuebingen.de

- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202102320
- © 2021 The Authors. Chemistry A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

two-coordinate low-valent Group 15 element. After chloride abstraction, a cyclic germaphosphene $[(o-PPh_2)C_6H_4(Ar^*)GeP]$ $[B(C_6H_3(CF_3)_2)_4]$ (8) was isolated. The ³¹P NMR data of the germaphosphene were compared with literature examples and analyzed by quantum chemical calculations. The phosphinidene was treated with $[iBu_2AIH]_2$, and the product of an Al–H addition to the low-valent phosphorus atom $(o-PPh_2)$ $C_6H_4(Ar^*)Ge(H)P(H)AI(C_4H_9)_2$ (9) was characterized.



Figure 1. Selected pnictinidene molecules. (Ar=Mes, Ar' = Dipp, Ar'' = 2,6-Mes₂C₆H₃, Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl).

with element halides of Groups 13 and 14: BX₃ (X=Cl, Br), PhBCl₂ and GeCl₂. After reduction of these addition products double bonds between Ge=B and Ge=Ge were characterized.^[17-18] In this manuscript we report on the addition of Group 15 halides PCl₃, AsCl₃ and SbCl₃ to the cyclic germylene-phosphine adduct. Furthermore, reduction of the oxidative addition products is presented.

Results and Discussion

The germylene-phosphine adduct 1 was reacted in *n*-hexane with the element trichlorides of phosphorus, arsenic, and antimony (Scheme 1) to give the addition products 2-4 as colorless solids in good yield (2: 84%, 3: 86%, 4: 89%). In the case of BiCl₃ we were not able to isolate the reaction product.

Chem, Eur. J. 2021, 2	7. 14073 – 14080	Wiley Online	Library
	, 1 10, 5 1 1000		

Full Paper doi.org/10.1002/chem.202102320





Scheme 1. Oxidative addition and reduction protocol. i) -40 °C, n-hexane, PCl₃ (2), AsCl₃ (3), SbCl₃ (4); ii) 2 and 4, Na, RT, Et₂O/toluene; iii) 3, RT, THF, LiHBEt₃. Ar* = 2,6-Trip₂C₆H₃, Trip = 2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl.

The chlorides 2-4 were characterized by elemental analysis, NMR spectroscopy and single crystal structure analysis. the molecular structure of the antimony dichloride 4 is presented in Figure 2. Details of the structure analyses and the molecular structures of 2 and 3 are presented in the Supporting Information. After oxidative addition of the trichlorides at the low-valent germanium atom the ECl₂ fragment reacts as an acceptor with the Ph₂P-phosphine moiety and forms a donoracceptor interaction.

Compounds 2-4 exhibit a trans dichloride geometry with almost linear ECl₂ fragments. This type of donor-acceptor interaction and nearly linear ECl₂ geometry was presented for phosphorus, arsenic and antimony in peri-substituted acenaphthenes and P, C as well as P, P chelating ligands.^[6-7,14c,19] Selected distances found in 2, 3 and 4 are listed in Table 1. The P-Cl distances of 2.142(1) and 2.607(1) Å are short and very long in



Figure 2. ORTEP of the molecular structure of 4. Ellipsoids are set at 50 % probability. Hydrogen atoms and iPr groups are omitted for clarity. Compounds 2 and 3 show comparable molecular structures; their ORTEPs may be found in the Supporting Information.



Figure 3. ORTEP of molecular structure of 6. Ellipsoids are set at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms and iPr groups are omitted for clarity.

comparison to the values found in the literature for trans PCl₂ moieties [2.252(1)-2.501(1) Å].^[19a,c] The long distance points toward an ionic bond in compound 2. The P-P distance in the Cl₂P–PPh₂ moiety of 2.2225(8) Å is slightly shorter than bond lengths found in the literature for peri-substituted acenaphthene derivatives Cl₂P–P*i*Pr₂ [2.257(1) Å], Cl₂P–PPh₂ [2.268(2) Å].^[19a,c] The As–Cl and Sb–Cl as well as the E–P interatomic distances of 3 and 4 are comparable with values found for Cl₂E-PR₂ moieties exhibiting a seesaw geometry connected to phosphine donors (E=As, Sb).^[6-7,14c,19c,e] The Ge-E distances in molecules 2-4 are slightly shorter than comparable bond lengths of literature examples.^[20]

Reduction of compounds 2-4 was carried out using either sodium or a solution of LiHBEt₃ in THF (Scheme 1). The germyl pnictinidenes 5-7 were isolated in moderate yield and analyzed by single crystal X-ray diffraction, elemental analyses, and NMR spectroscopy. The molecular structures of the arsinidene (6) and the stibinidene (7) are shown in Figures 3 and 4 and an ORTEP of the molecular structure of 5 may be found in the Supporting Information. The Ge-E bond lengths found in the reduction products 5-7 are shorter than the Ge-E bonds found in compounds 2-4 and are short for single bonds between the respective elements.^[20c,21] Furthermore, the E–P bond lengths of compounds 5-7 (Table 1) exhibit short interatomic distances of the reduced elements to phosphorus. The P-P distance in 5 of 2.103(1) Å can be compared with distances found in phosphine adducts of phosphinidenes N [2.1371(9), 2.1328(9) Å], P [2.148(5) Å] and **Q** [2.084(2) Å] (Figure 5)^[2f,o,4] and points toward partial double bond character.^[4,22] The ³¹P NMR signal of the phosphinidene in 5 (Table 2, -244.3 ppm, ${}^{1}J_{PP} = 531$ Hz) was

Table 1. Selected interatomic distances [Å] and angles [deg] in compounds 2–7.								
	E=P (2)	E=As (3)	E=Sb (4)	E=P (5)	E=As (6)	E=Sb (7)		
Ge–E	2.346(1)	2.439(1)	2.614(1)	2.267(1)	2.361(1) 2.369(1)	2.560(1)		
E-P	2.223(1)	2.351(1)	2.577(1)	2.103(1)	2.234(1) 2.233(1)	2.469(1)		
E-CI	2.142(1) 2.607(1)	2.323(1) 2.611(1)	2.504(1) 2.652(1)					
CI-E-CI	169.6(1)	167.5(1)	162.3(2)					
Ge-E-P	88.9(1)	76.8(2)	82.4(1)	90.1(2)	87.3(1) 87.2(1)	82.2(1)		
Ge—E—Cl	67.2(1) 104.7(1)	68.1(2) 102.3(2)	68.0(1) 99.3(1)					

Chem. Eur. J. 2021, 27, 14073-14080

www.chemeurj.org

14074 © 2021 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH









Figure 5. Selected phosphine adducts of phosphinidenes with ³¹P NMR data of phosphinidene phosphorus. $N_{r}^{[2o]} O_{r}^{[2d]} P_{r}^{[2f]}$ and $Q^{[23]}$ (Mes = 2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂, Mes^{*} = 2,4,6-^rBu₃C₆H₂).

Table 2. ³¹ P NMR data of 2, 5, and 8.					
	δ^{31} P(PPh ₂)	δ ^{31}P (P)	${}^{1}J_{\rm P} < {\sf C}_{-} >_{\rm P}$ (Hz)		
2	54.7	-103.6	468.3		
5	56.7	-244.3	531.0		
8	56.9	-81.4	455.3		

found at low frequencies indicating an ylid structure, with both lone pairs located at the phosphinidene phosphorus atom. In Figure 5 a selection of phosphinidenes exhibiting also a ³¹P NMR signal at low frequencies is presented.

To analyze the P–P bond of **5** DFT calculations were carried out (see the Supporting Information for details).^[24] Based on a natural bond orbitals analysis (NBO),^[25] the phosphorus lone pairs of the phosphinidene phosphorus atom in **5** are localized on the P atom. Furthermore, the Wiberg bond index^[26] of the P–P bond of 1.1568 also points toward the ylid resonance structure of phosphinidene **5** (Figure 5, see the Supporting Information for NBOs).^[27]

In the case of the arsinidene the As–P bond length in **6** of 2.234(1) Å lies in the range of published phosphine adducts of arsinidenes [2.2082(5)-2.2710(7) Å].^[6-7,14a,21f,27] To the best of our knowledge, a phosphine adduct of a stibinidene has not been characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction so far [Figure 5,

Table 1, **7** Sb–P 2.469(1) Å]. Tetrameric cations of type $[(Me_3P)_4Sb_4]^{4+}$ exhibit slightly longer Sb–P bonds [2.552(2)–2.578(2) Å].^[28] Stabilized by transition metal coordination a stibinidene was characterized as a Ph₃P-adduct in [(Ph₃P)SbMe {W(CO)₅}₂] [Sb–P 2.594(6) Å].^[16a] Thus, with compound **7** a rare case for a phosphine adduct of a stibinidene was isolated.

The reduction products 5-7 were investigated toward chloride abstraction (Scheme 2). In all cases reactions with reagents like Na[B{C₆H₃--3,5-(CF₃)₂]₄]^[29] or Na[Al(OC{CF₃})₂]^[30] have been carried out. Unfortunately, only in the case of the phosphorus compound 8 crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were isolated. Based on ³¹P NMR spectroscopy compounds 6 and 7 show formation of the desired cations upon reaction with the sodium salts of the WCA but the products could not be isolated. In Figure 6 an ORTEP of the cationic product of chloride abstraction is shown. The most striking feature in this molecular structure is the short Ge–P bond length of 2.163(2) Å, which is, together with the trigonal planar geometry around the Ge atom (sum of angles: 359.2°), a good indicator for a double bond between the Ge and P atoms. Examples for germaphosphenes were already published in the literature exhibiting a Ge=P bond length in the range of [2.138(3)-2.247(2) Å].[5h,31] The electronic structure of 8 was also investigated using guantum chemical calculations (see the Supporting Information for details). The HOMO and LUMO of the cation of 8 are shown in the Supporting Information together with results of NRT (natural resonance theory)^[25] analysis. The Wiberg bond index of the Ge-P bond of 1.358 point toward a partial Ge–P double bond character in the cation of 8 (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. Reactions of phosphinidene 5: halide abstraction (right) and hydride substitution together with Al–H addition (left) (NaBAr^F = Na[B{C₆H₃-3,5–(CF₃)₂]₄]).



Figure 6. ORTEP of molecular structure of **8**. Ellipsoids are set at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms and *i*Pr groups are omitted for clarity. Interatomic distances [Å] and angles [deg]: Ge–P1 2.163(2), P1–P2 2.158(2), Ge–C1 1.921(5), Ge–C2 1.928(6), C1–Ge2–C2 117.6(2), C1–Ge–P1 132.5(2), C2–Ge–P1 109.1(2), Ge–P1–P2 88.3(1).



Whereas the phosphorus donor unit (Ph₂P) shows almost no change of the chemical shift upon transformation of 2 to 5 to 8 (Table 2), the Ge-P moiety of cationic germaphosphene 8 exhibits with a signal in the $^{\rm 31}{\rm P}$ NMR spectrum at -81.4 ppm a shift of the Ge-P resonance in comparison to 5 of about 160 ppm to higher frequencies upon double bond formation. Remarkably, germaphosphenes synthesized by Sekiguchi and Escudié et al. exhibit ³¹P NMR signals of the Ge=P unit at much higher frequencies: 416.3 ppm [(*t*Bu₂MeSi)₂Ge=P-Mes* (**R**)]; 175 ppm [Mes₂Ge=P–Mes*] (Mes*=2,4,6- $tBu_3C_6H_2$).^[31c,f] To rationalize the difference in the ³¹P NMR chemical shift of 8 (-81.4 ppm) and R (416.3 ppm) quantum chemical calculations were carried out. Based on the optimized structures of the cation of 8 and germaphosphene R' (the optimized structure of **R'** from the original publication: [(Me₃Si)₂Ge=P-Mes] (Mes = 2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂ was used) the NMR shielding tensor for the respective phosphorus atoms was calculated using the ADF program package (see the Supporting Information for details).^[32] The calculated chemical shifts of the Ge-P phosphorus atoms in 8: (P1 exp. -81.4, calcd. -142 ppm, P2 exp. 56.9, calcd. 68.6 ppm) and R' (exp. 416.3, calcd. 370 ppm) exhibit for the phosphorus atoms of the Ge=P unit a relatively strong deviation from the experiment but the tendency of the chemical shift difference is convincing.^[32] On the other hand, the deviation between experiment and calculation for P2 in compound 8 of 12 ppm can be regarded as good agreement and an evaluation of the method. In the case of transition metal carbene complexes [M=C] exhibiting high frequency ¹³C NMR chemical shifts for the M=C unit, the magnetic shielding tensor is dominated by the paramagnetic term.^[33] The largest paramagnetic contribution belongs to a magnetic dipole allowed coupling of the $\sigma_{\mbox{\scriptsize MC}}$ and $\pi^*_{\mbox{\scriptsize MC}}$ orbitals. With a small gap between these orbitals a large $\sigma^{\mbox{\tiny para}}$ deshielding arises under the influence of the external magnetic field. Following the arguments published by Eisenstein et al.,^[33] the orientation of the principal components of the ³¹P NMR magnetic shielding tensor of both molecules 8 and R' was analyzed using the results of the ADF calculations. In both molecules σ_{22} is close to parallel to the Ge–P bond and $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle 33}$ is directed perpendicular to the P–P–Ge (8) or C-P-Ge (R') plane (see the Supporting Information). The direction of least shielding (σ_{11}) is located in-plane and perpendicular to the P-Ge bond. In this direction, the magnetic shielding exhibits a large difference between R' (-662 ppm) and 8 (225 ppm), switching from strong deshielding in R' to moderate shielding in 8. The major deshielding contribution along this direction arises from the paramagnetic mixing between σ_{GeP} and π^*_{GeP} , which has a smaller gap in **R**' compared to 8. In combination with increased shielding contributions to σ_{22} and σ_{33} in the cation **8**, the net result is a strongly deshielded phosphorus atom in R' in comparison to 8.

To substitute the chloride substituent at the germanium atom of the phosphinidene-phosphorane **5** against a hydride addition of diisobutylaluminium hydride (Scheme 2) was carried out. However, compounds **6** and **7** have not been reacted with diisobutylaluminium hydride. Based on NMR spectroscopy and crystal structure analysis besides hydride substitution an addition of an aluminium hydride unit at the phosphinidene phosphorus atom was observed (Figure 7). Because in reaction with half of an equivalent of [/Bu₂AlH]₂ only mixtures were isolated, 5 was reacted with one equivalent of dimeric [iBu₂AlH]₂. A very small amount of colorless crystals of 9 were isolated from a hexane solution. However due to the high solubility of both compound 9 and the by-product iBu₂AlCl, the product was contaminated with the aluminium by-product. In the molecular structure of 9 two different Al-P bond lengths of 2.392(1) Å (HP-AI) and 2.503(1) Å (AI-PPh₂) were found. The longer bond is only slightly shorter than the Al-P bond length found in the adduct Me₃AIPPh₃ [2.535(1) Å] and the shorter bond is comparable with known Al-P single bonds.^[34] In the ²⁷Al NMR spectrum of **9** a broad signal [~4600 Hz] was found at 71.2 ppm which lies in the typical range of tetra coordinate aluminium compounds stabilized by phosphorus donor ligands.^[35] Two sets of signals in a ratio of 78:22 were observed in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum reflecting two diastereomers with respect to the cis and trans position of the Ge-H and P-H hydrogen atoms. Based on the ¹H,¹H NOESY NMR spectra (Figures S31 and S32 in the Supporting Information) the major isomer was identified as the cis isomer. The signal for the Ph₂P unit of the major isomer was found at -18.1 ppm [minor isomer: -16.1 ppm] and the resonance of the P-H group at -282.9 ppm [minor isomer: -289.9 ppm]. These signals could be compared with ³¹P NMR signals found for phosphanylalu-[Mes*(CI)AI-PHMes manes -133 ppm, Mes*Al(PHMes)₂ -120 ppm]^[34d] and adducts thereof [Bbp(Im-Me₄)(Br)Al-PHMes -157 ppm, Bbp(DBU)(Br)Al-PHMes -152 ppm].^[34c] In the ³¹P NMR spectrum of 9 for the P-H unit a doublet of doublets due to hydrogen coupling $[{}^{1}J_{PH} = 183.4 \text{ Hz}, \text{ GeH } {}^{3}J_{PH} = 42.5 \text{ Hz}]$ was found (see the Supporting Information). For the minor isomer only coupling with the hydrogen atom at the phosphorus atom is resolved [${}^{1}J_{PH}$ = 152.6 Hz]. Based on ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ -EXSY NMR experiments slow exchange between the diastereomers of 9 was established. In the ¹H NMR spectrum the signal for the P–H unit was observed at $-0.71 \text{ ppm} (^{1}J_{PH} = 152.3 \text{ Hz})$ for the major isomer and at 0.10 ppm for the minor isomer. The signals of the



Figure 7. ORTEP of the molecular structure of **9**. Ellipsoids are set at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms, except for PH and AlH and *i*Pr groups, are omitted for clarity. Interatomic distances [Å] and angles [deg]: Ge–C1 1.981(2), Ge–C2 1.985(2), Ge–P1 2.294(1), P1–Al 2.392(1), Al–P2 2.503(1), Ge–H1 1.39(2), P1–H2 1.26(3), C2–Ge–P1 114.3(1), Ge–P1–Al 100.3(1), P1–Al–P2 103.0(1), C3–P2–Al 106.8(1).



Ge–H unit for the two isomers were resolved at 4.29 ppm (major isomer, dd, ${}^{2}J_{PH}$ 44.4 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ 11.6 Hz) and 4.20 ppm (minor isomer, t, J=6.7 Hz).

Conclusion

In a sequence of oxidative addition followed by reduction, a germylene-phosphine Lewis pair was treated with trichlorides of phosphorus, arsenic, and antimony. A phosphinidene, an arsinidene, and a stibinidene were stabilized in a germyl-phosphine chelating ligand and structurally characterized. After halide abstraction, a germaphosphene was isolated, and the ³¹P NMR data of the germaphosphene were analyzed by using quantum chemical calculations. The product of an Al–H addition was found upon reaction of the phosphinidene with [*i*Bu₂AlH]₂.

Experimental Section

All manipulations were carried out under argon by using standard Schlenk techniques or an MBraun Glovebox. Benzene, toluene, and tetrahydrofuran were distilled from sodium. Hexane and pentane were obtained from a MBRAUN solvent purification system and degassed by three times freeze pump thaw. [D₆]Benzene, [D₆] toluene, and [D₈]tetrahydryfuran were distilled from sodium and stored over molecular sieves. Terphenyl-Ge^{II} chloride (Ar*GeCI) and 1 were prepared according to literature procedures.^(17-18,36) Furthermore, chemicals were purchased commercially and used as received. Elemental analysis was performed at the Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität Tübingen using a Vario MICRO EL analyser.

NMR spectra were recorded with either a Bruker Avance III HD 300 NanoBay spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm BBFO probe head and operating at 300.13 (¹H), 75.47 (¹³C), 121.49 (³¹P) and 96.29 (¹¹B) MHz, a Bruker Avancell+400 NMR spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm QNP (guad nucleus probe) head and operating at 400.13 (¹H), 100.62 (¹³C), 161.97 (³¹P) MHz, a Bruker AVII + 500 NMR spectrometer with a variable temperature set up and a 5 mm TBO probe head and operating at 500.13 (¹H), 125.76 (¹³C), 202.47 (³¹P) and 160.46 (11B) MHz, a Bruker Avance III HDX 600 NMR spectrometer with a 5 mm Prodigy BBO cryo probe head operating at 600.13 (¹H), 150.90 (¹³C), 242.94 (³¹P) and 192.55 (¹¹B) MHz or a Bruker Avance III HDX 700 NMR spectrometer with a 5 mm Prodigy TCI cryo probe head operating at 700.29 (1H), 176.10 (13C) MHz. Chemical shifts are reported in δ values in ppm relative to external standard TMS (¹H, ¹³C), 85% aqueous H₃PO₄ (³¹P) and referenced in most cases on the residual proton signal of the solvent C_6D_6 (¹H 7.15 ppm; ¹³C 128.0 ppm). ³¹P, ¹¹B, ¹H and, ¹³C-spectra in [D₆]toluene, [D₆]benzene, [D₈]tetrahydrofuran were referenced to the chemical shift of the solvent ²H resonance frequency and Ξ =25.145020% for ¹³C, Ξ = 40.480742% for ${}^{31}P$, $\Xi = 32.083974\%$ ${}^{11}B$.^[37] The multiplicity of the signals is abbreviated as s=singlet, d=doublet, t=triplet, quint= quintet, sept = septet and m = multiplet or unresolved. The proton and carbon signals were assigned by detailed analysis of ¹H, ¹³C{¹H} or ¹³C{¹H} UDEFT, ¹H, ¹H COSY, ¹H, ¹³C HSQC, ¹H, ¹³C HMBC and ¹³C{¹H} DEPT 135 spectra. Selected 1D NMR spectra of the compounds and mixtures can be found in the Supporting Information, along with NMR data of the new compounds.

X-ray data were collected with a Bruker Smart APEX II diffractometer with graphite-monochromated $Mo_{K\alpha}$ radiation or a Bruker

APEX II Duo diffractometer with a Mo I μ S microfocus tube and TRIUMPH monochromator. The programs used were Bruker's APEX2 v2011.8-0, including SADABS for absorption correction, SAINT for data reduction and SHELXS for structure solution, as well as the WinGX suite of programs version 1.70.01 or the GUI ShelXle, including SHELXL for structure refinement.^[38]

DFT calculations of **5** and **8** were carried out using ORCA^[24] and the ADF^[32] program package was used for shielding calculations of **8** and **R'**. The NBO7 software was used to obtain natural bond orbitals.^[25]

Synthesis of compound (o-PPh₂)C₆H₄(Ar*)Ge(CI)PCI₂ (2). In a Schlenk tube, (o-PPh₂)C₆H₄GeAr* (1) (50.0 mg, 61.3 µmol, 1.00 equiv) was dissolved in *n*-hexane (5 mL) and PCI₃ (5.35 µL, 61.3 µmol, 1.00 equiv) was added by a cannula to the solution. After stirring for 2 h the clear orange reaction mixture turned to a yellow suspension. The colorless product 1 was isolated by filtration and washed with cold *n*-hexane (1 mL, -40° C). More product **2** was obtained by crystallization from the concentrated *n*-hexane solution at -40° C (48.8 mg, 51.2 µmol, 84%). Colorless single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained by slow evaporation of *n*-hexane to a concentrated toluene solution at room temperature. Anal. calcd. (%) for C₅₄H₆₃Cl₃GeP₃: C 68.06, H 6.66; found: C 68.17, H 6.99.

Synthesis of compound (o-PPh₂)C₆H₄(Ar*)Ge(Cl)AsCl₂ (3). (o-PPh₂) C₆H₄GeAr* (1) (100.0 mg, 123 µmol, 1.00 equiv) was dissolved in *n*-hexane (5 mL), and the solution was cooled to -40 °C. AsCl₃ (10.3 µL, 123 µmol, 1.00 equiv) was added to the solution and the reaction was stirred for 1 h at -40 °C. All volatiles were removed in vacuo at -40 °C and the remaining colorless product **3** was washed with cold (-40 °C) *n*-pentane (0.5 mL). The product **3** was obtained as a colorless powder (105 mg, 105 µmol, 86%). Colorless single crystals of **3** suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained from a concentrated toluene solution at -40 °C. Anal. calcd. (%) for C₅₄H₆₃Cl₃GePAs: C 65.06; H 6.37; found: C 65.21, H 6.03.

Synthesis of compound (o-PPh₂)C₆H₄(Ar*)Ge(Cl)SbCl₂ (4). SbCl₃ (14.0 mg, 61.3 µmol, 1.00 equiv) was dissolved in Et₂O (2 mL) and solution of (o-PPh₂)C₆H₄GeAr* (1) (50.0 mg, 61.3 µmol, 1.00 equiv) in Et₂O (3 mL) was added at -40 °C. The reaction mixture was slowly allowed to reach room temperature and after a total reaction time of 3 h all volatiles were removed *in vacuo*. The remaining colorless product 4 was washed with cold (-40 °C) *n*-pentane (0.5 mL). The product 4 was obtained as a colorless powder (56.6 mg, 54.2 µmol, 89%). Colorless single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained by slow evaporation of *n*-hexane to a concentrated toluene solution at room temperature. Anal. calcd. (%) for C₅₄H₆₃Cl₃GePSb: C 62.14, H 6.08; found: C 62.54, H 6.49.

Synthesis of compound (o-PPh₂)C₆H₄(Ar*)Ge(Cl)P (5). Na-Sand (5.31 mg, 231 µmol, 2.20 equiv) and 2 (100 mg, 105 µmol, 1.00 equiv) were stirred in toluene (5 mL) for up to 5 days. The reaction was monitored by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy and as soon as no educt 2 was detected all volatiles were removed *in vacuo*. Product 5 was extracted with cold (-40 °C) *n*-pentane (3 mL) and after removal of the solvent in vacuo obtained as a yellow powder (49.7 mg, 56.3 µmol, 54%). Yellow single crystals of 5 suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained from a concentrated *n*-pentane solution at -40 °C. Anal. calcd. (%) for C₅₄H₆₃ClGeP₂: C 73.53, H 7.20; found (%): C 73.76, H 7.06.

Synthesis of compound (o-PPh₂)C₆H₄(Ar*)Ge(Cl)As (6). To a solution of **3** (117 mg, 117 µmol, 1.00 equiv) in THF (2 mL) a 1 mol/L THF solution of LiBEt₃H (235 µL, 235 µmol, 2.00 equiv) was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture turned immediately orange and formation of H₂ was visible. After filtration and removal of all volatiles in vacuo product **6** was obtained as an orange



powder (yield 66.0 mg, 71.3 µmol, 61%). Yellow single crystals of **6** suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained from a concentrated *n*-pentane solution at -40°C. Anal. calcd. (%) for C₅₄H₆₃Cl₃GePAs: C 65.06; H 6.37; found: C 65.21, H 6.03.

Synthesis of compound (o-PPh₂)C₆H₄(Ar*)Ge(Cl)Sb (7). Na-Sand (4.51 mg, 196 µmol, 2.20 equiv) and **4** (93.0 mg, 89.1 µmol, 1.00 equiv) were stirred in toluene (3 mL) for up to 2 days. The reaction was monitored by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy and as soon as no educt **4** was detected all volatiles were removed *in vacuo*. Product **7** was extracted with cold (-40° C) *n*-pentane (3 mL) and after removal of the solvent in vacuo obtained as an orange powder (48.2 mg, 49.5 µmol, 56%). Orange single crystals of **7** suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained from a concentrated *n*-pentane solution at -40° C. Anal. calcd. (%) for C₅₄H₆₃ClGePSb: C 66.66; H 6.53; found: C 66.61, H 6.64.

Synthesis of compound $[(o-PPh_2)C_6H_4(Ar^*)GeP]^+ [B(C_6H_3(CF_3)_2)_4]^-$ (8). A Young NMR tube was charged with 5 (30.0 mg, 34.0 µmol, 1.00 equiv) and Na[B(C₆H₃(CF₃)₂)₄] (30.8 mg, 34.0 µmol, 1.00 equiv). C₆D₆ (0.3 mL) and 1,2-difluorbenzene (0.05 mL) were added. The yellow color of the solution intensified. Toluene (0.5 mL) was added to the solution and product 8 was obtained as yellow crystals at -40 °C (21.0 mg, 12.3 µmol, 36%). Yellow single crystals of 8 suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained from a concentrated toluene solution at room temperature. Notably in toluene first separation of an oil is visible before crystals of 8 are formed. Anal. calcd. (%) for C₆₈H₇₅BF24GeP₂: C 60.41, H 4.42; found (%): C 60.46, H 5.11.

Synthesis of compound (o-PPh₂)C₆H₄(Ar*)Ge(H)P(H)Al(C₄H₉)₂ (9). To a solution of 5 (20.0 mg, 22.7 µmol, 1.00 equiv) in C₆D₆ (0.4 mL) a 1 mol/L *n*-hexane solution of DIBAL–H (8.08 µL, 45.3 µmol, 2.00 equiv) was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture turned to a light yellow. All volatiles were removed *in vacuo* and the product 9 was crystallized from a concentrated *n*-pentane solution (3–5 drops) at -40 °C (9.8 mg, 9.6 µmol, 42 %). Colorless crystals of 9 suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained from a concentrated *n*-hexane solution (3–5 drops) at -40 °C. Due to the high solubility of 9 washing of the crystals could not be performed and removal of DIBAL–CI was not quantitative. But DIBAL–CI was not found in the molecular structure of 9. Anal. calcd. (%) for C₆₂H₈₃AlGeP* DIBAL–CI: C 72.07; H 8.37; found: C 72.10, H 8.43.

Deposition Numbers 2091902 (for 7), 2091903 (for 8), 2091904 (for 2), 2091905 (for 6), 2091906 (for 4), 2091907 (for 5), 2091908 (for 3), and 2091926 (for 9) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support by the state of Baden-Württemberg through bwHPC and the German research Foundation (DFG) through grant no INST 40/575-1 FUGG (Justus 2 cluster). Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: antimony · arsenic · germanium · phosphorus · pnictides

- a) M. Regitz, O. J. Scherer, Multiple Bonds and Low Coordination in Phosphorus Chemistry, Thieme, **1990**; b) L. Weber, Chem. Rev. **1992**, 92, 1839–1906; c) B. D. Ellis, C. L. B. Macdonald, Coord. Chem. Rev. **2007**, 251, 936–973; d) J. D. Protasiewicz, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. **2012**, 2012, 4539– 4549; e) T. Chu, G. I. Nikonov, Chem. Rev. **2018**, 118, 3608–3680; f) A. Doddi, M. Peters, M. Tamm, Chem. Rev. **2019**, 119, 6994–7112; g) L. Dostál, Coord. Chem. Rev. **2017**, 353, 142–158.
- [2] a) A. B. Burg, W. Mahler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 2388-2389; b) Z. Benkő, R. Streubel, L. Nyulászi, Dalton Trans. 2006, 4321-4327; c) D. Weber, E. Fluck, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1976, 424, 103-107; d) F. Zurmühlen, M. Regitz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1987, 26, 83-84; Angew. Chem. 1987, 99, 65-67; e) G. Fritz, T. Vaahs, H. Fleischer, E. Matern, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1989, 28, 315-316; Angew. Chem. 1989, 101, 324-325; f) B. A. Surgenor, M. Bühl, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. D. Woollins, P. Kilian, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10150-10153; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 10297-10300; g) P. Wawrzyniak, A. L. Fuller, A. M. Z. Slawin, P. Kilian, Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 2500-2506; h) O. Back, M. Henry-Ellinger, C. D. Martin, D. Martin, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2939-2943; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 3011-3015; i) Y. Wang, Y. Xie, M.Y. Abraham, R. J. Gilliard, P. Wei, H. F. Schaefer, P. v R Schleyer, G. H. Robinson, Organometallics 2010, 29, 4778-4780; j) R. R. Rodrigues, C. L. Dorsey, C. A. Arceneaux, T. W. Hudnall, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 162-164; k) U. S. D. Paul, C. Sieck, M. Haehnel, K. Hammond, T. B. Marder, U. Radius, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 11005-11014; I) R. Armbrust, M. Sanchez, R. Reau, U. Bergstraesser, M. Regitz, G. Bertrand, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 10785-10786; m) A. J. Arduengo, J. C. Calabrese, A. H. Cowley, H. V. R. Dias, J. R. Goerlich, W. J. Marshall, B. Riegel, Inorg. Chem. 1997, 36, 2151-2158; n) B. A. Surgenor, B. A. Chalmers, K. S. Athukorala Arachchige, A. M. Slawin, J. D. Woollins, M. Buhl, P. Kilian, Inorg. Chem. 2014, 53, 6856-6866; o) J. W. Dube, C. L. B. Macdonald, P. J. Ragogna, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 13026-13030; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 13203-13207; p) B. D. Ellis, C. L. B. Macdonald, Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 6864-6874; q) Y. Wang, T. Szilvási, S. Yao, M. Driess, Nat. Chem. 2020, 12, 801-807; r) A. Velian, C. C. Cummins, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 13978–13981; s) D. Rottschäfer, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, D. M. Andrada, R. S. Ghadwal, J. Org. Chem. 2020, 85, 14351-14359; t) M. K. Sharma, S. Blomeyer, T. Glodde, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, A. Hinz, M. van Gastel, R. S. Ghadwal, Chem. Sci. 2020, 11, 1975-1984; u) L. L. Liu, J. Zhou, L. L. Cao, D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 16971-16982; v) K. M. Szkop, M. B. Geeson, D. W. Stephan, C. C. Cummins, Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 3627–3631.
- [3] a) A. H. Cowley, Acc. Chem. Res. 1997, 30, 445–451; b) G. Huttner, K. Evertz, Acc. Chem. Res. 1986, 19, 406–413; c) F. Mathey, Dalton Trans. 2007, 1861–1868; d) H. Aktaş, J. C. Slootweg, K. Lammertsma, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2102–2113; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 2148–2159; e) S. C. Kosnik, M. C. Nascimento, J. F. Binder, C. L. B. Macdonald, Dalton Trans. 2017, 46, 17080–17092; f) A. Espinosa Ferao, A. García Alcaraz, S. Zaragoza Noguera, R. Streubel, Inorg. Chem. 2020, 59, 12829–12841; g) A. Doddi, D. Bockfeld, T. Bannenberg, P. G. Jones, M. Tamm, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 13568–13572; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 13786–13790; h) K. Lammertsma, in: New Aspects in Phosphorus Chemistry III (Ed.: J.-P. Majoral), Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 95–119.
- [4] S. Shah, G. P. A. Yap, J. D. Protasiewicz, J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 608, 12–20.
- [5] a) M. Balmer, Y. J. Franzke, F. Weigend, C. von Hänisch, Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 192–197; b) H. H. Karsch, E. Witt, F. E. Hahn, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1996, 35, 2242–2244; Angew. Chem. 1996, 108, 2380–2382; c) K. Schwedtmann, M. H. Holthausen, C. H. Sala, F. Hennersdorf, R. Fröhlich, J. J. Weigand, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 1409–1412; d) E. Matern, C. E. Anson, E. Baum, E. Sattler, I. Kovács, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2020, 2020, 1311–1318; e) J. E. Walley, L. S. Warring, E. Kertész, G. Wang, D. A. Dickie, Z. Benkő, R. J. Gilliard, Inorg. Chem. 2021, 60, 4733–4743; f) M. Fischer, S. Nees, T. Kupfer, J. T. Goettel, H. Braunschweig, C. Hering-Junghans, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 4106-4111; g) W. J. Transue, A. Velian, M. Nava, C. García-Iriepa, M. Temprado, C. C. Cummins, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 10822–10831; h) V. Nesterov, R. Baierl, F. Hanusch, A. E. Ferao, S. Inoue, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 14576–14580; i) A. Merschel, D. Rottschäfer, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, R. S. Ghadwal, Organometallics 2020, 39, 1719–1729.
- [6] B. A. Chalmers, M. Bühl, K. S. Athukorala Arachchige, A. M. Z. Slawin, P. Kilian, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 6247–6250.



- [7] J. W. Dube, P. J. Ragogna, Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 11768–11775.
- [8] A. Doddi, M. Weinhart, A. Hinz, D. Bockfeld, J. M. Goicoechea, M. Scheer, M. Tamm, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 6069–6072.
- [9] K. M. Melancon, M. B. Gildner, T. W. Hudnall, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 9264–9268.
- [10] M. M. Siddiqui, S. K. Sarkar, M. Nazish, M. Morganti, C. Köhler, J. Cai, L. Zhao, R. Herbst-Irmer, D. Stalke, G. Frenking, H. W. Roesky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 1301–1306.
- [11] C. L. Dorsey, R. M. Mushinski, T. W. Hudnall, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 8914–8917.
- [12] R. Kretschmer, D. A. Ruiz, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 8176–8179; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 8315–8318.
- [13] G. Wang, L. A. Freeman, D. A. Dickie, R. Mokrai, Z. Benkő, R. J. Gilliard Jr, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 4335–4339.
- [14] a) S. F. Gamper, H. Schmidbaur, Chem. Ber. 1993, 126, 601–604; b) H. J. Breunig, L. Balázs, Organometallics 2004, 23, 304–310; c) S. S. Chitnis, B. Peters, E. Conrad, N. Burford, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 12331–12333; d) F. García, R. J. Less, V. Naseri, M. McPartlin, J. M. Rawson, D. S. Wright, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7827–7830; Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 7973–7976; e) J. Krüger, C. Wölper, L. John, L. Song, P. R. Schreiner, S. Schulz, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2019, 2019, 1669–1678; f) M. K. Sharma, S. Blomeyer, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, A. Hinz, M. van Gastel, R. S. Ghadwal, Chem. Commun. 2020, 56, 3575–3578.
- [15] a) J. Hyvl, W. Y. Yoshida, A. L. Rheingold, R. P. Hughes, M. F. Cain, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 17562–17565; b) M. T. Nguyen, B. Gabidullin, G. I. Nikonov, *Dalton Trans.* 2018, *47*, 17011–17019; c) P. Šimon, F. de Proft, R. Jambor, A. Růžička, L. Dostál, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, *49*, 5468–5471; *Angew. Chem.* 2010, *122*, 5600–5603; d) L. Dostál, R. Jambor, M. Aman, M. Hejda, *ChemPlusChem* 2020, *85*, 2320–2340; e) I. Vránová, M. Alonso, R. Lo, R. Sedlák, R. Jambor, A. Růžička, F. D. Proft, P. Hobza, L. Dostál, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015, *21*, 16917–16928; f) I. Vránová, M. Alonso, A. Růžička, J. Turek, L. Dostál, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, *23*, 2340–2349; g) V. Kremláček, J. Hyvl, W. Y. Yoshida, A. Růžička, A. L. Rheingold, J. Turek, R. P. Hughes, L. Dostál, M. F. Cain, *Organometallics* 2018, *37*, 2481–2490.
- [16] a) B. Sigwarth, U. Weber, L. Zsolnai, G. Huttner, Chem. Ber. 1985, 118, 3114-3126; b) U. Weber, L. Zsolnai, G. Huttner, J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 260, 281-291; c) J. von Seyerl, G. Huttner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1978, 17, 843-844; Angew. Chem. 1978, 90, 911-912; d) G. Huttner, H.-D. Müller, A. Frank, H. Lorenz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1975, 14, 705-706; Angew. Chem. 1975, 87, 714–715; e) G. Huttner, H.-G. Schmid, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1975, 14, 433-434; Angew. Chem. 1975, 87, 454-455; f) G. Huttner, J. von Seyerl, M. Marsili, H.-G. Schmid, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1975, 14, 434-435; Angew. Chem. 1975, 87, 455-456; g) B. M. Gardner, G. Balázs, M. Scheer, F. Tuna, E. J. L. McInnes, J. McMaster, W. Lewis, A. J. Blake, S. T. Liddle, Nat. Chem. 2015, 7, 582–590; h) M. Seidl, C. Kuntz, M. Bodensteiner, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2771-2775; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 2810-2814; i) A. H. Cowley, N. C. Norman, M. Pakulski, D. Bricker, D. R. Russell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 8211-8218; j) R. Waterman, T. D. Tilley, Chem. Commun. 2006, 4030-4032; k) R. Waterman, T. D. Tilley, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2926-2929; Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 2992-2995; I) I. Vránová, M. Alonso, R. Jambor, A. Růžička, M. Erben, L. Dostál, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 7376-7380; m) l. Vránová, V. Kremláček, M. Erben, J. Turek, R. Jambor, A. Růžička, M. Alonso, L. Dostál, Dalton Trans. 2017, 46, 3556-3568; n) M. Kořenková, M. Hejda, R. Jirásko, T. Block, F. Uhlík, R. Jambor, A. Růžička, R. Pöttgen, L. Dostál, Dalton Trans. 2019, 48, 11912-11920; o) M. K. Sharma, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler, D. M. Andrada, R. S. Ghadwal, Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 14669-14672.
- [17] J. Schneider, K. M. Krebs, S. Freitag, K. Eichele, H. Schubert, L. Wesemann, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, 22, 9812–9826.
- [18] a) D. Raiser, C. P. Sindlinger, H. Schubert, L. Wesemann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 3151–3155; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 3175–3180; b) D. Raiser, H. Schubert, H. F. Bettinger, L. Wesemann, Chem. Eur. J. 2021, 27, 1981–1983; c) K. M. Krebs, D. Hanselmann, H. Schubert, K. Wurst, M. Scheele, L. Wesemann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 3424–3429.
- [19] a) P. Wawrzyniak, A. L. Fuller, A. M. Z. Slawin, P. Kilian, *Inorg. Chem.* 2009, 48, 2500–2506; b) P. Kilian, F. R. Knight, J. D. Woollins, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011, 17, 2302–2328; c) E. Hupf, E. Lork, S. Mebs, L. Chęcińska, J. Beckmann, *Organometallics* 2014, 33, 7247–7259; d) N. Burford, M. D'Eon, P. J. Ragogna, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 43, 734–738; e) P. J. Ragogna, N. Burford, M. D'Eon, R. McDonald, *Chem. Commun.* 2003, 1052–1053; f) R. Pietschnig, A. Orthaber, *Phosphorus*

Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 2011, 186, 1361–1363; g) M. Bender, E. Niecke, M. Nieger, R. Pietschnig, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 2006, 380–384.

- [20] a) H. Ranaivonjatovo, J. Escudie, C. Couret, J. P. Declercq, A. Dubourg, J. Satge, Organometallics 1993, 12, 1674–1681; b) S. A. Weicker, J. W. Dube, P. J. Ragogna, Organometallics 2013, 32, 6681–6689; c) S. Roller, M. Dräger, H. Joachim Breunig, M. Ateş, S. Güleş, J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 378, 327–337; d) B. P. Johnson, S. Almstätter, F. Dielmann, M. Bodensteiner, M. Scheer, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2010, 636, 1275–1285.
- [21] a) J. K. West, L. Stahl, Organometallics 2012, 31, 2042–2052; b) K. Izod, P. Evans, P. G. Waddell, M. R. Probert, Inorg. Chem. 2016, 55, 10510-10522; c) K. Izod, D. G. Rayner, S. M. El-Hamruni, R. W. Harrington, U. Baisch, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3636–3640; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 3710–3714; d) M. Drieß, H. Pritzkow, Chem. Ber. 1994, 127, 477–480; e) H. Cui, D. Xiao, L. Zhang, H. Ruan, Y. Fang, Y. Zhao, G. Tan, L. Zhao, G. Frenking, M. Driess, X. Wang, Chem. Commun. 2020, 56, 2167–2170; f) S. Yao, Y. Grossheim, A. Kostenko, E. Ballestero-Martínez, S. Schutte, M. Bispinghoff, H. Grützmacher, M. Driess, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 7465–7469; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 7573–7577; g) X. Chen, T. Simler, R. Yadav, M. T. Gamer, R. Köppe, P. W. Roesky, Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 9315–9318; h) A. Ugrinov, S. C. Sevov, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 14059–14064.
- [22] M. M. Hansmann, R. Jazzar, G. Bertrand, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8356–8359.
- [23] S. Shah, J. D. Protasiewicz, Chem. Commun. 1998, 1585–1586.
- [24] a) F. Neese, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2018, 8, e1327; b) F. Neese, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2012, 2, 73–78.
- [25] E. D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhoop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, J. A. Bohmann, C. M. Morales, P. Karafiloglou, C. R. Landis, F. Weinhold, *NBO7*, Theoretical Chemical Institute, University of Wisconsin, Madison, 2018.
 [26] K. B. Wibarg, Tatagladian 1069, 24 1000, 1000
- [26] K. B. Wiberg, *Tetrahedron* **1968**, *24*, 1083–1096.
- [27] a) A. Hinz, M. M. Hansmann, G. Bertrand, J. M. Goicoechea, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2018, 24, 9514–9519; b) R. C. Smith, P. Gantzel, A. L. Rheingold, J. D. Protasiewicz, *Organometallics* 2004, 23, 5124–5126; c) J. Zhou, L. L. Liu, L. L. Cao, D. W. Stephan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2019, 58, 5407–5412; *Angew. Chem.* 2019, 131, 5461–5466; d) B. D. Ellis, C. L. B. Macdonald, *Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 43, 5981–5986; e) H. H. Karsch, E. Witt, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1997, 529, 151–169.
- [28] a) S. S. Chitnis, A. P. M. Robertson, N. Burford, J. J. Weigand, R. Fischer, *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 2559–2574; b) S. S. Chitnis, Y.-Y. Carpenter, N. Burford, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 4863–4866; *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 4963–4966.
- [29] N. A. Yakelis, R. G. Bergman, Organometallics 2005, 24, 3579-3581.
- [30] a) I. Krossing, Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 490–502; b) I. Krossing, H. Brands, R. Feuerhake, S. Koenig, J. Fluorine Chem. 2001, 112, 83–90.
- [31] a) M. Draeger, J. Escudie, C. Couret, H. Ranaivonjatovo, J. Satge, Organometallics 1988, 7, 1010–1013; b) H. Ranaivonjatovo, J. Escudie, C. Couret, J. Satge, M. Drager, New J. Chem. 1989, 13, 389; c) V. Y. Lee, M. Kawai, A. Sekiguchi, H. Ranaivonjatovo, J. Escudie, Organometallics 2009, 28, 4262–4265; d) N. Del Rio, M. Lopez-Reyes, A. Baceiredo, N. Saffon-Merceron, D. Lutters, T. Müller, T. Kato, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1365–1370; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 1385–1390; e) J. Barrau, J. Escudie, J. Satge, Chem. Rev. 1990, 90, 283–319; f) J. Escudie, C. Couret, J. Satge, M. Andrianarison, J. D. Andriamizaka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3378–3379; g) N. Del Rio, A. Baceiredo, N. Saffon-Merceron, D. Hashizume, D. Lutters, T. Müller, T. Kato, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 4753–4758; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 4831–4836.
- [32] T. Z. E. J. Baerends, A. J. Atkins, J. Autschbach, O. Baseggio, D. Bashford, A. Bérces, F. M. Bickelhaupt, C. Bo, P. M. Boerrigter, L. Cavallo, C. Daul, D. P. Chong, D. V. Chulhai, L. Deng, R. M. Dickson, J. M. Dieterich, D. E. Ellis, M. van Faassen, L. Fan, T. H. Fischer, A. Förster, C. Fonseca Guerra, M. Franchini, A. Ghysels, A. Giammona, S. J. A. van Gisbergen, A. Goez, A. W. Götz, J. A. Groeneveld, O. V. Gritsenko, M. Grüning, S. Gusarov, F. E. Harris, P. van den Hoek, Z. Hu, C. R. Jacob, H. Jacobsen, L. Jensen, L. Joubert, J.W. Kaminski, G. van Kessel, C. König, F. Kootstra, A. Kovalenko, M. V. Krykunov, E. van Lenthe, D. A. McCormack, A. Michalak, M. Mitoraj, S. M. Morton, J. Neugebauer, V. P. Nicu, L. Noodleman, V. P. Osinga, S. Patchkovskii, M. Pavanello, C. A. Peeples, P. H. T. Philipsen, D. Post, C.C. Pye, H. Ramanantoanina, P. Ramos, W. Ravenek, J.I. Rodríguez, P. Ros, R. Rüger, P. R. T. Schipper, D. Schlüns, H. van Schoot, G. Schreckenbach, J. S. Seldenthuis, M. Seth, J. G. Snijders, M. Solà, M. Stener, M. Swart, D. Swerhone, V. Tognetti, G. te Velde, P. Vernooijs, L. Versluis, L. Visscher, O. Visser, F. Wang, T. A. Wesolowski, E. M. van Wezenbeek, G. Wiesenekker, S. K. Wolff, T. K. Woo, A. L. Yakovlev, ADF2019, SCM, Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam (The Netherlands) https://www.scm.com.

Chem. Eur. J. **2021**, *27*, 14073 – 14080 www.chemeurj.org

14079 © 2021 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



- [33] a) S. Halbert, C. Copéret, C. Raynaud, O. Eisenstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 2261–2272; b) C. P. Gordon, C. Raynaud, R. A. Andersen, C. Copéret, O. Eisenstein, Acc. Chem. Res. 2019, 52, 2278–2289.
- [34] a) M. Bodensteiner, U. Vogel, A. Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4629–4633; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 4700–4704; b) M. Kapitein, C. von Hänisch, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2015, 2015, 837–844; c) T. Agou, S. Ikeda, T. Sasamori, N. Tokitoh, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2018, 2018, 1984–1987; d) T. Agou, S. Ikeda, T. Sasamori, N. Tokitoh, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2016, 623–627; e) D. A. Wierda, A. R. Barron, Polyhedron 1989, 8, 831–834; f) K. Knabel, I. Krossing, H. Nöth, H. Schwenk-Kircher, M. Schmidt-Amelunxen, T. Seifert, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 1998, 1095– 1114; g) T. Chu, Y. Boyko, I. Korobkov, L. G. Kuzmina, J. A. K. Howard, G. I. Nikonov, Inorg. Chem. 2016, 55, 9099–9104.
- [35] M. Haouas, F. Taulelle, C. Martineau, Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 2016, 94–95, 11–36.

- [36] R. S. Simons, L. Pu, M. M. Olmstead, P. P. Power, Organometallics 1997, 16, 1920–1925.
- [37] R. K. Harris, E. D. Becker, S. M. Cabral de Menezes, R. Goodfellow, P. Granger, Pure Appl. Chem. 2001, 73, 1795–1818.
- [38] a) L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1999, 32, 837–838; b) C. B. Hübschle, G. M. Sheldrick, B. Dittrich, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2011, 44, 1281–1284; c) G. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 2008, 64, 112–122; d) Bruker AXS Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2007 2007; e) G. Sheldrick, SADABS, University of Göttingen, Germany, 2008.

Manuscript received: June 28, 2021 Accepted manuscript online: July 22, 2021 Version of record online: August 20, 2021