

Probiotic supplements for relieving stress in healthy participants

A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Ning Zhang, MD^a, Xing Liao, MD^b, Yanan Zhang, MB^c, Menglin Li, MD^a, Weiguang Wang, MD^a, Shuangqing Zhai, MD^{a,*}

Abstract

Background: Psychological stress is a ubiquitous subjectively negative emotional experience, but excessive psychological stress has adverse effects on the happiness in our lives and physical and mental health, and may cause many health problems. Studies have found that probiotics have a certain role in alleviating negative emotions, reducing abnormal behaviors, improving cognitive function, and also showing the great potential of probiotics in relieving psychological stress. At present, many clinical trials have been carried out to intervene in populations with psychological stress with probiotic supplements, but there still lack of targeted systematic review and meta-analysis.

Methods and analysis: The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Embase, MEDLINE, Psycoinfo, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature will be searched to obtain the eligible randomized controlled trials published up to March 1, 2019. Meanwhile, the references to relevant publications will also be reviewed to identify other studies, and will re-search before finial summary for analysis. EndNote X7 will be used as a document manager for duplicate checking and screening of literature. The risk of bias will be assessed and the date of included studies will be analyzed by Revman V5.3.5.

Results: The primary outcome will be subjective stress level, general mild psychiatric symptoms of participants. The secondary outcome will be cortisol level and adverse effects likely to be related to treatment.

Conclusion: The systematic review and meta-analysis will provide evidence to assess the efficacy and safety of probiotics in relieving psychological stress.

PROSPERO registration number: PROSPERO CRD42019122930.

Abbreviation: Cls = confidence intervals.

Keywords: meta-analysis, probiotic supplements, protocol, psychological stress, systematic review

1. Introduction

1.1. Description of the condition

When an individual perceives that demands from society, work and study tax, or exceed his or her adaptive capacity,

This study was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 81774159).

^a School of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, ^b Center of Evidence Based Traditional Chinese Medicine, Institute of Basic Research in Clinical Medicine, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences,

^c Jinzhou Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China.

* Correspondence: Shuangqing Zhai, School of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, No.11, Beisanhuandonglu, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100029, China (e-mail: 601299@bucm.edu.cn).

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Medicine (2019) 98:20(e15416)

Received: 3 April 2019 / Accepted: 4 April 2019

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000015416

psychological stress unavoidably occurs.^[1] For the human being, psychological stress is more than a subjectively negative experience.^[2] Generally, stress response is important for enhancing adaptability and coping with threatening situations.^[3] However, excessive psychological stress is negative,^[4] not only increases the risk of diseases, included hypertension,^[5] cardiovascular diseases,^[6] digestive system diseases,^[7] and most neuropsychiatric disorders^[8,9], but also may cause reduced happiness in life,^[10] job burnout,^[11] unhealthy lifestyles,^[12,13] and other consequences. Statistics showed that in the UK, at least one-third of work-related diseases are caused by stress, resulting in a large loss of working time.^[14] Therefore, finding an effective means to prevent stress, relieve stress, and reduce the adverse effects of stress on individuals, families, and society has become a hot issue in the present research, especially in the medical field.

1.2. Description of intervention

A large number of diverse intestinal microbes are planted in the human gut, which are symbiotic with the host and participates extensively in the life activities of the host.^[15,16] Studies have found that^[17–19] intestinal microbes can regulate the mood, cognition, and nervous system function of the host through

The authors have no funding and conflicts of interest to disclose.

various means such as nerve, immunity, and endocrine (ie, braingut axis). Stress is an important factor in the intestinal microecological disorders, and may cause more health problems.^[17]

Probiotics are active micro-organisms. When applied in sufficient quantities, probiotics can play a beneficial role by improving the intestinal tract for ecological balance.^[20] Animal and human studies have confirmed that in some cases, probiotics can increase or decrease the synthesis of certain neurotransmitters and biologically active factors such as serotonin,^[21] brain-derived neurotrophic factor,^[22] cortisol,^[23] thereby alleviating the subjective stress level of the participants, as well as related mental symptoms such as anxiety and depression. Because probiotics have a positive role in mood, cognition, and other psychological processes, probiotics are also known as "psychobiotics"^[24,25] and may be a potential therapy or auxiliary means for stress-related mental disorders.

1.3. Why this systematic review is needed

So far, a large number of clinical studies on the application of probiotic supplements to intervene in stress response have been carried out worldwide. However, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Embase, and MEDLINE databases were searched, and evidenced reviews or protocols on "whether probiotics have effects on relieving psychological stress in healthy participants" were not found. Therefore, this systematic review is urgently needed.

2. Methods

2.1. Study registration

The protocol has been registered in PROSPERO, the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews with registration number CRD42019122930 on February 21, 2019.

2.2. Ethics and dissemination

Ethical approval is not necessary as this paper is a reanalysis of the original study, which does not involve participations' privacy issues. The results of this study will provide information on the effectiveness and safety of probiotics supplements to alleviate psychological stress in healthy populations. This protocol will be disseminated by a peer-reviewed journal, thus providing evidences for clinical practice.

2.3. Participants

The healthy participants with no known major health issues, regardless of age, gender, ethnicity, and region will be included. Pregnant women will be excluded in the selection criteria for this study due to potential adverse effects.

2.4. Interventions and comparisons

Studies that use oral probiotic supplements as interventions will be included. The probiotics can be in the form of tablets, powders, capsules, soft capsules, fermented milk, or fortified foods containing probiotics. Studies in which probiotics do not survive, (eg, after heat-killed) or use prebiotics as intervention alone will be excluded.

Trials will be included if they use placebo as a control. If compared with the control group, the effect of probiotics alone

can be used in the treatment group, for example using probiotic yogurt and normal yogurt as comparison, will also be eligible.

2.5. Types of studies

All randomized controlled trials that reporting subjective stress level of participants will be included. If mean deviation and standard deviation of the study results cannot be obtained, then the study will be excluded. If the results of a study are reported multiple times, we will combine these reports and consider them as 1 study.

2.6. Outcome measures 2.6.1. Primary outcomes.

- (1) Subjective stress level: measured using the Perceived Stress Scale, Berocca Stress Index, Personal Strain Questionnaire of the Occupational Stress Inventory-Revised, or Visual Analog Scales, and so on.
- (2) General mild psychiatric symptoms: measured using the General Health Questionnaire, Psychological General Well-Being Schedule, State/Energy Visual Analogue Scales, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale, Depression Anxiety Stress Scale, Visual Analog Scales, Geriatric Depression Scale, or Hopkins Symptom Checklist-90, and so on.

2.6.2. Secondary outcomes.

- (1) Cortisol level (saliva, plasma or serum, and so on);
- (2) Adverse effects likely to be related to treatment.

2.7. Search strategy

Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, MEDLINE, EMBASE, PsycINFO, and Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature will be searched, and the references to relevant publications will also be retrieved to identify additional studies. The searches will be re-run before the final analyses. The detailed search strategy is seen in Tables 1 to 5.

2.8. Data collection and analysis

2.8.1. Study selection. The retrieved literature will be imported into EndnoteX7 and the duplicate data will be removed. Preliminary screening of the literature will be conducted by 2 authors independently through reading the title and abstract. The full text of these potentially eligible studies will be retrieved and

Table 1 **CENTRAL** search strategy.

- MeSH descriptor: [Stress, Psychological] explode all trees #1
- ("mental health*" or "mental Hygiene*" or stress* or burn?out): ti, ab, kw #2
- #3 #1 or #2
- ("oxidative stress"): ti, ab, kw #4
- #5 #3 not #4
- MeSH descriptor: [Probiotics] this term only #6
- (probiotic* or synbiotic* or lactobacill* or bifidobacter* or "Streptococcus #7 thermophil*" or leuconostoc* or pediococc* or lactococc* or saccharomycv or "bacillus subtil" or $\operatorname{enterococc}$): ti, ab, kw #8 #6 or #7

CENTRAL = cochrane central register of controlled trials.

^{#9} #5 and #8

Table 2

Medline search strategy through Ovid.

1	exp Stress, Psychological/
2	Mental Health/
3	("mental health [*] " or "mental Hygiene [*] " or stress [*] or burn?out).tw.
4	"oxidative stress".tw.
5	(1 or 2 or 3) not 4
6	probiotics/ or synbiotics/
7	(probiotic [*] or synbiotic [*] or lactobacill [*] or bifidobacter [*] or "Streptococcus thermophil [*] " or leuconostoc [*] or pediococc [*] or lactococc [*] or saccharomyc [*] or "bacillus subtil [*] " or enterococc [*]).tw.
8	6 or 7
9	randomized controlled trial.pt.
10	controlled clinical trial.pt.
11	randomized.ab.
12	drug therapy.sh.
13	randomly.ab.
14	trial.ab.
15	groups.ab.
16	placebo.ab.
17	9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16
18	animals.sh. not (humans.sh. and animals.sh.)
19	17 not 18
20	5 and 8 and 19

independently assessed for eligibility by those 2 authors. Any disagreement between them over the eligibility of particular studies will be resolved through discussion with a third reviewer. The specific process of the selection procedure is presented in a preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses flow chart (Fig. 1).

2.8.2. Data extraction. A standardized, pre-piloted form will be used to extract data from the included studies for assessment of applicability of the data extraction form. Extracted information will include: study design, study population and participant demographics and baseline characteristics, details of the intervention and control conditions, study methodology, recruitment and study completion rates, measurement methods, measurement times and results for each outcome measure included, as well as information for assessment of the risk of bias. Two review authors will extract data independently, and discrepancies will be identified and resolved through discussion (with a third author when necessary).

2.8.3. *Risk of bias assessment.* Review Manager V5.3.5 software will be used for all bias risk assessments. The risk of bias in the included study will be assessed by considering the following characteristics:

- (1) Random sequence generation (selection bias);
- (2) Allocation concealment (selection bias);
- (3) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias);
- (4) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias);
- (5) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias);
- (6) Selective reporting (reporting bias);
- (7) Other bias.

Disagreements between the review authors over the risk of bias in particular studies will be resolved by discussion, with involvement of a third review author when necessary.

2.8.4. Measures of treatment effect. For continuous variable, if the measurement tool is same, we will use the mean difference

Tab	le 3
Emba	ase search strategy.
#1	'mental health'/exp
#2	'mental stress'/exp
#3	('mental health"' or 'mental hygiene"' or 'stress"' or burn?out):ti,ab,kw
#4	#1 OR #2 OR #3
#5	'oxidative stress': ti, ab, kw
#6	#4 NOT #5
#7	'probiotic agent'/exp
#8	'synbiotic agent'/de
#9	('probiotic [*] , or 'synbiotic [*] , or 'lactobacill [*] , or 'bifidobacter [*] , or 'streptococcus thermophil [*] , or 'leuconostoc [*] , or 'pediococc [*] , or 'lactococc [*] , or 'saccharomyc [*] , or 'bacillus subtil [*] , or 'enterococc [*]):ti, ab kw
#10	#7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10
#11	'clinical trial'/exp
#12	'randomized controlled trial'/exp
#13	'randomization'/exp
#14	'single blind procedure'/de
#15	'double blind procedure'/de
#16	'crossover procedure'/de
#17	'placebo'/de
#18	'randomi\$ed': ti, ab, kw
#19	'rct':ti,ab,kw
#20	'randomly':ab
#21	'groups':ab
#22	('singl [*] ' NEAR/3 'blind [*] '):ti,ab,kw
#23	('doubl [*] ' NEAR/3 'blind [*] '):ti,ab,kw
#24	('tripl [*] ' NEAR/3 'blind [*] '):ti,ab,kw
#25	('singl [*] ' NEAR/3 'mask [*] '):ti,ab,kw
#26	('doubl [*] ' NEAR/3 'mask [*] '):ti,ab,kw
#27	('tripl [*] ' NEAR/3 'mask [*] '):ti,ab,kw
#28	'prospective study'/de
#29	('cross over' or 'crossover' or 'cross-over'): ti, ab, kw
#30	'placebo [*] ': ti, ab, kw
#31	'prospective': ti, ab, kw
#32	#12 or #13 or #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18 or #19 or #20 or #21 or
	#22 or #23 or #24 or #25 or #26 or #27 or #28 or #29 or #30 or #31 or #32
#33	#6 and #10 and #33

with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to analyze the treatment effect. If the measurement tool is different, we will use standardized mean difference to eliminate the effects of different measurement units of the multiple studies. For dichotomous

Table	e 4		
PsycINFO search strategy through Ovid.			
1	exp mental health/		
2	exp stress/		
3	("mental health * " or "mental Hygiene * " or stress * or burn?out).tw.		
4	1 or 2 or 3		
5	"oxidative stress [*] ".tw.		
6	4 not 5		
7	Probiotics.mp.		
8	Synbiotics.mp.		
9	(probiotic [*] or synbiotic [*] or lactobacill [*] or bifidobacter [*] or "Streptococcus thermophil [*] " or leuconostoc [*] or pediococc [*] or lactococc [*] or saccharomyc [*] or "bacillus subtil [*] " or enterococc [*]).tw.		
10	7 or 8 or 9 or 10		
11	6 and 10		

Table 5 CINAHL search strategy through EBSCOhost.		
S2	MH "Stress, Psychological+"	
S3	TI ("mental health" or "mental Hygiene" or stress or burn?out) or AB ("menta health" or "mental Hygiene" or stress or burn?out)	
S4	S1 or S2 or S3	
S5	TI "oxidative stress" OR AB "oxidative stress"	
S6	S4 NOT S5	
S7	MH "Probiotics"	
S8	"Synbiotics"	
S9	TI (probiotic or prebiotic or synbiotic or lactobacilly or bifidobacter or "Streptococcus thermophil " or leuconostoc or pediococc or lactococc or saccharomyc or "bacillus subtil " or enterococc or oligosaccharide) or AB (probiotic or prebiotic or synbiotic or lactobacilly or bifidobacter or "Streptococcus thermophily" or leuconostocy or pediococcy or lactococcy or saccharomyc or "bacillus subtil " or enterococc or oligosaccharide)	
S10	0 S7 OR S8 OR S9	
S11	S6 AND S10	

CINAHL = cumulative index to nursing and allied health literature.

variable, we will use the relative risk with 95% CIs to analyze the effects of treatment.

2.8.5. Dealing with missing data. If the research report cannot provide all data in the data extraction form, we will try to contact the corresponding author or first author to obtain the data by email. If the data cannot be obtained, the available data will be analyzed.

2.8.6. Assessment of heterogeneity. We will use tests for heterogeneity to assess the heterogeneity of the statistics of multiple studies. If I^2 value is less than 50% and *P* value is >.10, it is considered that the heterogeneity between multiple studies is acceptable. A range of 0% to 40% of I^2 indicates the heterogeneity might not be important; a range of 30% to 60% indicates possible moderate heterogeneity among multiple studies; a range of 50% to 90% indicates substantial heterogeneity; a range of 75% to 100% indicates considerable



Figure 1. Flow diagram of the study selection process. Explains the specific process of the selection procedure with a PRISMA flow chart. PRISMA = preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

heterogeneity. We will select models based on the heterogeneity between studies. If heterogeneity exists between multiple studies, we will perform sensitivity analysis and subgroup analysis to process heterogeneity and analyze heterogeneity sources.

2.8.7. Subgroup analysis. It should be noted that this is a qualitative synthesis and while subgroup analyses may be undertaken. It is not possible to specify the groups in advance. If the necessary data are available, subgroup analyses might be done according to the age of participants, length of intervention, or the type of probiotics (including single-strain probiotics formulation).

2.8.8. Sensitivity analysis. We will eliminate the studies with more than 1 risk of high bias, or 2 or more risks of unknown bias by item. It will be observed that whether the quality of the study will affect the results of the study.

2.8.9. Assessment of reporting biases. If more than 10 studies are included, we will use Review Manager V5.3.5 software to assess potential reporting bias. If there is a clear reporting bias, we will assess the impact of bias on the outcome.

3. Discussion

As we are concerned, excessive stress is threatening our physical and mental health and triggering more and more public health problems.^[26,27] As an important type of "spiritual microbes," probiotics show good prospects in relieving stress and preventing stress-related health problems. Although many animal experiments and clinical studies have been conducted, the efficacy of probiotic supplements for relieving stress has not been scientifically and systematically evaluated. The purpose of this systematic review is to assess the effectiveness and safety of probiotics in relieving stress in healthy populations, and we hope that this study will provide additional evidence. Through preliminary search, we found that there are still many clinical studies underway. These studies are very important for the conclusion, so we consider updating the systematic review after 5 years.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Ning Zhang, Weiguang Wang, Shuangqing Zhai.

Data curation: Ning Zhang, Yanan Zhang, Menglin Li.

- Formal analysis: Xing Liao, Yanan Zhang, Menglin Li.
- Investigation: Yanan Zhang, Menglin Li.

Methodology: Xing Liao, Weiguang Wang.

Project administration: Shuangqing Zhai, Xing Liao.

Software: Ning Zhang.

Supervision: Shuangqing Zhai, Xing Liao.

Validation: Shuangqing Zhai, Xing Liao.

Visualization: Shuangqing Zhai, Ning Zhang.

- Writing original draft: Ning Zhang, Shuangqing Zhai, Yanan Zhang.
- Writing review and editing: Shuangqing Zhai, Xing Liao, Ning Zhang.

References

 Cohen S, Janicki-Deverts D, Miller GE. Psychological stress and disease. JAMA 2007;298:1685–7.

- [2] Epel ES, Crosswell AD, Mayer SE, et al. More than a feeling: a unified view of stress measurement for population science. Front Neuroendocrinol 2018;49:146–69.
- [3] McEwen BS. Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: central role of the brain. Physiol Rev 2007;87:873–904.
- [4] Schneiderman N, Ironson G, Siegel SD. Stress and health: psychological, behavioral, and biological determinants. Annu Rev Clin Psychol 2005;1:607–28.
- [5] Steptoe A, Kivimäki M, Lowe G, et al. Blood pressure and fibrinogen responses to mental stress as predictors of incident hypertension over an 8-year period. Ann Behav Med 2016;50:898–906.
- [6] Esler M. Mental stress and human cardiovascular disease. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2017;74:269–76.
- [7] Murray CD, Flynn J, Ratcliffe L, et al. Effect of acute physical and psychological stress on gut autonomic innervation in irritable bowel syndrome. Gastroenterology 2004;127:1695–703.
- [8] Bianchi R, Schonfeld IS, Laurent E. Burnout or depression: both individual and social issue. Lancet 2017;390:230.
- [9] Conway CC, Starr LR, Espejo EP, et al. Stress responsivity and the structure of common mental disorders: transdiagnostic internalizing and externalizing dimensions are associated with contrasting stress appraisal biases. Abnorm Psychol 2016;125:1079–89.
- [10] Teh HC, Archer JA, Chang W, et al. Mental well-being mediates the relationship between perceived stress and perceived health. Stress Health 2015;31:71–7.
- [11] Iacovides A, Fountoulakis KN, Kaprinis S, et al. The relationship between job stress, burnout and clinical depression. J Affect Disord 2003;75:209–21.
- [12] Spanagel R, Noori HR, Heilig M. Stress and alcohol interactions: animal studies and clinical significance. Trends Neurosci 2014;37:219–27.
- [13] Lawless MH, Harrison KA, Grandits GA, et al. Perceived stress and smoking-related behaviors and symptomatology in male and female smokers. Addict Behav 2015;51:80–3.
- [14] Despréaux T, Saint-Lary O, Danzin F, et al. Stress at work. BMJ 2017;357:j2489.
- [15] Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Gut-brain axis in 2016: brain-gut-microbiota axis mood, metabolism and behaviour. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;14:69–70.
- [16] Mayer EA, Tillisch K, Gupta A. Gut/brain axis and the microbiota. J Clin Invest 2015;125:926–38.
- [17] Mayer EA, Knight R, Mazmanian SK, et al. Gut microbes and the brain: paradigm shift in neuroscience. J Neurosci 2014;34:15490–6.
- [18] Borre YE, O'Keeffe GW, Clarke G, et al. Microbiota and neurodevelopmental windows: implications for brain disorders. Trends Mol Med 2014;20:509–18.
- [19] Sandhu KV, Sherwin E, Schellekens H, et al. Feeding the microbiota-gutbrain axis: diet, microbiome, and neuropsychiatry. Transl Res 2017;179:223–44.
- [20] Gibson GR, Roberfroid MB. Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: introducing the concept of prebiotics. J Nutr 1995;125: 1401–12.
- [21] Cao YN, Feng LJ, Liu YY, et al. Effect of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG supernatant on serotonin transporter expression in rats with postinfectious irritable bowel syndrome. World J Gastroenterol 2018;24: 338–50.
- [22] Liang S, Wang T, Hu X, et al. Administration of Lactobacillus helveticus NS8 improves behavioral, cognitive, and biochemical aberrations caused by chronic restraint stress. Neuroscience 2015; 310:561–77.
- [23] Kato-Kataoka A, Nishida K, Takada M, et al. Fermented milk containing Lactobacillus casei strain Shirota preserves the diversity of the gut microbiota and relieves abdominal dysfunction in healthy medical students exposed to academic stress. Appl Environ Microbiol 2016;82:3649–58.
- [24] Dinan TG, Stanton C, Cryan JF. Psychobiotics: a novel class of psychotropic. Biol Psychiatry 2013;74:720–6.
- [25] Romijn AR, Rucklidge JJ. Systematic review of evidence to support the theory of psychobiotics. Nutr Rev 2015;73:675–93.
- [26] Jackson M. The stress of life: a modern complaint? Lancet 2014;383:300–1.
- [27] Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR, et al. Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. Nat Rev Neurosci 2009;10:434–45.