ORIGINAL ARTICLE

EP₄ receptor as a new target for bronchodilator therapy

James Buckley,¹ Mark A Birrell,¹ Sarah A Maher,¹ Anthony T Nials,² Deborah L Clarke,¹ Maria G Belvisi¹

ABSTRACT

 Additional materials are published online only. To view these files please visit the journal online (http://thorax.bmj. com).

¹Respiratory Pharmacology, Pharmacology and Toxicology Section, Imperial College London, Faculty of Medicine, National Heart and Lung Institute, London, UK ²Respiratory CEDD, GlaxoSmithKline Research and Development, Medicines Research Centre, Stevenage, Hertfordshire, UK

Correspondence to

Professor Maria G Belvisi, Respiratory Pharmacology, Pharmacology and Toxicology Section, Imperial College London, Faculty of Medicine, National Heart and Lung Institute, Sir Alexander Fleming Building, London SW7 2AZ, UK; m.belvisi@imperial.ac.uk

Received 30 December 2010 Accepted 6 April 2011 Published Online First 23 May 2011



This paper is freely available online under the BMJ Journals unlocked scheme, see http:// thorax.bmj.com/site/about/ unlocked.xhtml **Background** Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease are airway inflammatory diseases characterised by airflow obstruction. Currently approved bronchodilators such as long-acting β_2 adrenoceptor agonists are the mainstay treatments but often fail to relieve symptoms of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and severe asthma and safety concerns have been raised over long-term use. The aim of the study was to identify the receptor involved in prostaglandin E_2 (PGE₂)-induced relaxation in guinea pig, murine, monkey, rat and human airways *in vitro*.

Methods Using an extensive range of pharmacological tools, the relaxant potential of PGE_2 and selective agonists for the EP_{1-4} receptors in the presence and absence of selective antagonists in guinea pig, murine, monkey, rat and human isolated airways was investigated.

Results In agreement with previous studies, it was found that the EP₂ receptor mediates PGE₂-induced relaxation of guinea pig, murine and monkey trachea and that the EP₄ receptor mediates PGE₂-induced relaxation of the rat trachea. These data have been confirmed in murine airways from EP₂ receptor-deficient mice (*Ptger2*). In contrast to previous publications, a role for the EP_4 receptor in relaxant responses in human airways in vitro was found. Relaxant activity of AH13205 (EP₂ agonist) was also demonstrated in guinea pig but not human airway tissue, which may explain its failure in clinical studies. **Conclusion** Identification of the receptor mediating PGE₂-induced relaxation represents a key step in developing a novel bronchodilator therapy. These data explain the lack of bronchodilator activity observed with selective EP2 receptor agonists in clinical studies.

INTRODUCTION

Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are inflammatory diseases of the airway characterised by airflow limitation. The Global Burden of Disease Study¹ has projected that COPD, which ranked sixth as the cause of death in 1990, will become the third leading cause of death worldwide by 2020. Globally, approximately 300 million people have asthma, which is the most common chronic disease in children.² ³

Currently, the majority of patients with inflammatory diseases of the airway are treated with a combination of long-acting β agonists (LABAs) and corticosteroids, but significant issues exist with these therapies, particularly LABAs. While longand short-acting β agonists help to provide patients with short-term relief from airflow limitation, they

Key messages

What is the key question?

New bronchodilator therapies are needed, given that currently approved bronchodilators such as long-acting β_2 adrenoceptor agonists often fail to relieve symptoms of COPD and severe asthma and safety concerns have been raised over long-term use.

What is the bottom line?

A number of clinical studies have reported beneficial effects of inhaled PGE_2 on airway calibre in normal subjects and patients with asthma and COPD, and we have identified a role for the EP_4 receptor in these relaxant responses.

Why read on?

Identification of the receptor mediating PGE_2 induced relaxation represents a key step in developing a novel bronchodilator therapy.

do little to treat the underlying pathology. Furthermore, since the introduction of LABAs, there has been increasing concern that their use may be associated with an increased risk of death from asthma.⁴

A number of clinical studies have reported beneficial effects of inhaled prostaglandin E_2 (PGE₂) on airway calibre in normal subjects^{5–8} and in patients with chronic bronchitis and asthma.^{5 9 10} In addition, PGE₂ has anti-inflammatory properties in patients with asthma,^{9 11} providing an ideal dual therapy for the treatment of these diseases. Despite the benefits of inhaled PGE₂, the development of prostanoid agonists for the treatment of airway inflammatory diseases has been hindered as prostanoids induce irritancy of the upper airway resulting in a reflex cough.¹¹ PGE₂ can act on a number of receptors, so it was hoped that the beneficial bronchodilator and anti-inflammatory effects could be dissociated from the airway irritancy to provide a novel therapeutic target.

There are currently nine known prostanoid receptors. PGE_2 has relatively low affinity for the FP, IP, TP, DP and CRTh2 receptors and acts predominantly via the EP receptors. The EP receptors have been subclassified into EP₁, EP₂, EP₃ and EP₄ (encoded by *Ptger1-Ptger4* genes),¹²⁻¹⁴ and distinct signalling pathways initiate diverse and opposing downstream effects in different

tissues.^{13–15} Several investigators have documented bronchodilator activity *in vitro* and *in vivo* in a wide range of species including guinea pigs, mice and humans, and it has been suggested that EP₂ receptor activation is responsible for PGE₂ activity.^{16–19} However, a selective EP₂ receptor agonist (AH13205) with a promising preclinical profile did not appear to have any bronchodilator activity in man.¹⁶ Previous studies have been hampered by the lack of selective pharmacological tools. We now have a wide range of tool compounds and, by adopting a pharmacological approach and prostanoid receptor-deficient mice, we provide substantial evidence that, although the EP₂ receptor mediates PGE₂-induced relaxation in several species, it is in fact the EP₄ receptor that mediates relaxation of human airways. This is an important finding which could lead to the development of a new class of bronchodilator therapy.

METHODS

Male C57BL/6 mice (18-20 g), Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs (300-500 g) and Cynomolgus (Cyno) monkey (*Macaca fascicularis*) tissue were purchased from Harlan (Bicester, Oxon, UK) and male Sprague Dawley rats (250-275 g) were purchased from Charles River (Margate, UK). EP receptor gene-deleted mice were originally provided by Dr Shuh Narumiya, Kyoto University and breeding colonies were maintained at Imperial College, London. Human airway samples (trachea, major bronchus, secondary bronchi) were obtained from donor patients (n=8, 3 male) or recipients (emphysema, n=5, 2 male; cystic fibrosis, n=3, 1 male) for lung transplants performed at the Royal Brompton or Harefield Hospital. Approval was obtained from the Royal Brompton and Harefield ethics committee after receiving the relevant consent from patients and relatives.

The trachea (apart from murine) was opened longitudinally by cutting through the cartilage directly opposite to the smooth muscle layer. Unless stated otherwise, the epithelium was left intact and transverse segments were prepared and sutured in order to be suspended from steel hook transducers in 10 ml baths containing Krebs–Henseleit (KH) solution warmed to 37°C and bubbled with 95% O₂/5% CO₂. Indomethacin (10 μ M), a nonselective COX inhibitor, was present in KH solution in all experiments to prevent the production and release of endogenous prostanoids. Changes in force were measured isometrically using force displacement transducers connected to a data acquisition system as previously described.²⁰

Carbachol (CCh; 1 μ M) was used to induce increased tension in tissues over a 30 min period before the addition of any antagonists or their appropriate vehicles which were incubated for a further 30 min. This concentration of CCh was required to produce approximately 80% of the supramaximal response to 1 mM ACh in all species. Cumulative concentration—response curves were then constructed using the relevant drugs and subsequently, at the end of the experiment, the non-specific phosphodiesterase inhibitor papaverine (100 μ M) was used to assess the maximum capacity for relaxation of each tissue. For experiments on smaller airways, third to fourth generation bronchi (1–2 mm diameter) were dissected and sutured as described. For these experiments, a higher concentration of CCh (10 μ M) was necessary to induce the required level of increased tension.

Full details are given in the online supplement.

Data analysis and statistics

Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM of n independent observations. Concentration-response curves were analysed by non-linear regression using the PRISM curve-fitting program to

produce curves of best fit, from which EC_{50} values were subsequently derived. Estimates of antagonist affinity were calculated using the equation $pK_B{=}log\ (CR-1)\ -log\ [B]$ as described by Gaddum, 21 where CR is the concentration ratio calculated from the EC_{50} of agonist in the presence of the antagonist divided by the EC_{50} of the agonist alone, K_B is the equilibrium dissociation constant and [B] is the concentration of antagonist. In the experiments described here, the term pA_2 is substituted for pK_B as antagonists were used at one concentration only, which precludes assumptions being made about the nature of the antagonism.

RESULTS

Effect of PGE₂ on guinea pig tracheal strips

Under basal levels of tension (basal tone), increasing concentrations of PGE₂ (0.1 nM–10 μ M) resulted in a biphasic response producing a pronounced contraction at lower concentrations (35.4±4.0% of the maximum contraction to ACh, 10 mM) that was later attenuated by increasing the concentration of PGE₂ in the bath (figure 1A). This contractile response was completely absent in the presence of the EP₁ antagonist GW848687X (1 μ M). This was then confirmed using selective EP receptor agonists, with the EP₁ selective agonist ONO-D1-004 being the most potent and effective at inducing contraction of the guinea pig trachea (figure 1B).

When tension was induced in the guinea pig trachea using CCh $(1 \mu M)$ (induced tone), increasing concentrations of PGE₂ $(0.1 \text{ nM}-10 \mu\text{M})$ produced substantial relaxation (69.1±7.3% of the maximum relaxation to 100 µM papaverine). Due to the apparent contractile actions of PGE₂ via the EP₁ receptor, PGE₂induced relaxation in guinea pig trachea was performed in the presence of GW848687X (1 µM). Under these conditions, compared to its vehicle control, addition of AH6809 (10 $\mu M)$ to the bath before the administration of PGE2 antagonised the relaxation produced (figure 1C), resulting in a rightward shift in the curve $(pA_2=5.7)$ commensurate with an action at the EP₂ receptor (previous studies report antagonist affinities of approximately 5.9-6.3).²²⁻²⁴ Subsequently, the response to PGE₂ was also assessed in the presence of selective antagonists for EP₃, EP₄, IP and FP receptors (L-826266, 1 μM; GW627368X, 1 μM; RO3244794, 10 μM; and AL-8810, 10 μM, respectively). Compared with their vehicle controls, addition of these antagonists did not produce any effect on PGE₂-induced relaxation in guinea pig tracheal strips (figures not shown). When increasing concentrations of each EP receptor agonist (0.1 nM-1 µM) was applied to guinea pig tissue, only the EP₂ agonist ONO-AE1-259 induced substantial relaxation (52.8±3.62% of the maximum relaxation to 100 µM papaverine) (figure 1D). These data, alongside the AH6809 data, indicate that the EP_2 receptor mediates PGE₂-induced relaxation in guinea pig trachea consistent with previous data.¹⁶

Effect of PGE₂ on murine tracheal segments

In contrast to the guinea pig, the addition of increasing concentrations of PGE₂ to mouse tracheal tissue under basal tone failed to produce a contractile response (data not shown). However, when applied to murine tracheal segments under induced tone, PGE₂ induced a substantial relaxation response in the tissue (92.6 \pm 3.1% of the maximum response to 100 μ M papaverine) (figure 2A). This response to PGE₂ observed in tissue taken from C57BL/6 wild type mice was then compared with that produced in tissue from prostanoid receptor gene-deficient mice. Of the gene-deleted tissues tested (deficient for EP₁, EP₂,

Figure 1 Involvement of prostanoid EP receptors in prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂)induced contraction and relaxation of guinea pig tracheal strips. (A) Inhibition of PGE₂-induced contraction under basal tone by the EP₁ antagonist $(GW848687X, 1 \mu M)$ (PGE₂ + the appropriate vehicle (filled circle) or GW848687X in the presence of PGE₂ (square), n=4-6). (B) Response to selective EP receptor agonists under basal tone (ONO-D1-004 (filled circle), ONO-AE1-259 (circle), ONO-AE-248 (filled square) and ONO-AE1-329 $(square) = EP_{1-4}$ respectively, n=4). (C) Inhibition of PGE₂-induced relaxation under induced tone by AH6809 (10 μ M, EP₁/EP₂/DP antagonist), (PGE₂ + vehicle (filled circle), PGE₂ + AH6809 (square), n=4). (D) Response to selective EP receptor agonists under induced tone (ONO-AE1-259 (circle), ONO-AE-248 (filled square) and ONO-AE1-329 (square)=EP₂₋₄ respectively, n=4). Data represent mean ± SEM.



EP₃, EP₄, IP, FP, DP₁ or TP receptors), only the response of tracheal segments taken from Ptger2 (EP₂)-deficient mice differed substantially from tissue taken from wild type equivalents (figure 2C,D). The relaxation response produced by PGE₂ in *Ptger2^{-/-}* tracheal tissue was substantially reduced ($24.5\pm6.6\%$ of the maximum response to 100 μ M papaverine), indicating that PGE₂-induced relaxation in mouse tracheal tissue is largely dependent on EP₂ receptors. Furthermore, AH6809 antagonised PGE₂-induced relaxation in mouse tracheal segments under induced tone, producing a rightward shift in the curve (pA₂=5.9) commensurate with affinity at the EP₂ receptor in the relaxation in the relaxation.

produced by PGE₂ in IP receptor-deficient tissues (72.0 \pm 5.8% of the maximum response to 100 μ M papaverine vs 85.9 \pm 1.8% for the wild type control), possibly indicating a minor role for the IP receptor in PGE₂-induced relaxation in mouse tracheal segments. The maximum contractile responses to ACh and the maximum relaxation responses to papaverine were not changed in the prostanoid receptor deficient animals.

Effect of PGE₂ on rat tracheal strips

 PGE_2 (1 nM–10 μM) did not induce a contractile response in rat tracheal strips under basal tone. However, under induced tone, PGE_2 produced a concentration-dependent relaxation although

Figure 2 Involvement of the prostanoid EP receptors in prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂)-induced relaxation of murine tracheal segments under induced tone. (A) PGE₂-induced relaxation (PGE₂ (filled circle), ethanol vehicle control (circle), n=5). (B) Inhibition of PGE2-induced relaxation by AH6809 (10 μM , (EP_1/EP_2/DP_1 receptor antagonist). (PGE₂ + vehicle (filled circle), $PGE_2 + AH6809$ (square), n=4). (C) Effect of specific individual EP receptor gene deficiency on PGE₂induced relaxation compared with the respective response elicited in wildtype tissues (C57BL/6 wild type (filled circle), Ptger $1^{-/-}$ (square), Ptger $2^{-/-}$ (filled inverted triangle), Ptger $3^{-/-}$ (circle), n=4). (D) Effect of specific individual EP receptor gene deficiency on PGE₂-induced relaxation compared with the respective response elicited in wild-type tissues (129/Ola imes C57BL/6 wild type (filled circle), Ptger 4-(circle), n=4). Data represent mean±SEM.



smaller in magnitude than in other species (31.9±1.5% of the maximum response to $100 \,\mu M$ papaverine). EP receptor selective agonists (1 nM -10μ M) were evaluated and only the EP₄ agonist ONO-AE1-329 caused substantial relaxation (36.7±4.0% of maximum relaxation to 100 µM papaverine) (figure 3A). Furthermore, two structurally distinct EP₄ antagonists inhibited PGE₂-induced relaxation compared with their vehicle control (0.1% DMSO) (figure 3B). Both GW627368X (1 µM) and ONO-AE3-208 (100 nM) produced a substantial rightward shift in the curve ($pA_2=6.5\pm0.1$ and 8.9 ± 0.1 , respectively) commensurate with an action at the EP₄ receptor (previous studies report antagonist affinities of approximately 7 and 8.9 for GW627368X and ONO-AE3-208, respectively^{25 26}). Finally, the effect of removing the epithelium on the response of rat trachea to ONO-AE1-329 was investigated. When the responses of tracheal strips with the epithelium intact were compared with tracheal strips with epithelial cells removed, there was no substantial difference in relaxation to ONO-AE1-329 (epithelium intact: $logEC_{50} = -7.5$, maximum relaxation=338.3±99.7 mg; epithelium removed: $logEC_{50} = -7.6$, maximum relaxation=310.5±74.2 mg).

Effect of PGE₂ on Cyno monkey tissue

 PGE_2 produced a concentration-dependent relaxation of induced tone (53.4±5.9% of the maximum relaxation response to 100 μM papaverine) (figure 3C). Of the selective agonists, only the EP₂ selective agonist ONO-AE1-259 caused relaxation (36.5±8.0% of the maximum relaxation response to 100 μM papaverine), therefore indicating that, like guinea pig and mouse, PGE₂-induced relaxation of Cyno monkey trachea appears to be mediated by the EP₂ receptor.

Effect of PGE₂ on human airways

PGE₂ (0.1 nM–1 μ M) failed to produce a contractile response under conditions of basal tone but produced significant relaxation under conditions of induced tone (62.3% of the maximum relaxation to 100 μ M papaverine; data not shown). For comparison, the clinically used LABA formoterol produced 73.8±7.1% of maximum relaxation to papaverine with logEC₅₀=-8.9±0.2, consistent with values in previously published data.²⁷ Of the selective agonists tested, only the EP₄ selective agonist ONO-AE1-329 produced a substantial degree of relaxation (76.2±8.6% of maximum relaxation to 100 μ M papaverine) (figure 4A). AH6809 failed to antagonise PGE₂- induced relaxation in human airway samples (figure 4B). Furthermore. increasing concentrations of two EP₂ $(10^{-10} - 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ agonists—AH13205 and ONO-AE1-259 $(1 \text{ nM}-10 \mu\text{M})$ —failed to produce any significant relaxation (figures 4A and 5B). In experiments on human tissue we used the tool compound with the most optimal profile with regard to antagonist affinity and selectivity (ONO-AE3-208).²⁶ The EP₄ antagonist ONO-AE3-208 (100 nM) inhibited both PGE2- and ONO-AE1-329-induced relaxation $(pA_2=8.0\pm0.2 \text{ and } 8.7\pm0.3,$ respectively; figure 4C,D). Experiments comparing PGE₂ with ONO-AE3-208 in human tissue were conducted in the presence of SQ29548 (TP antagonist, 1 µM) to prevent PGE2-induced contraction via TP receptors. The relaxation response to ONO-AE1-329 was also tested against an alternative non-muscarinic spasmogen. In the presence of $10 \,\mu M$ histamine-induced tone, ONO-AE1-329 still produced substantial relaxation (63.4±19.6% of maximum relaxation to papaverine, $logEC_{50} = -7.6 \pm 0.5$). To ensure the EP₄-induced relaxation was not specific to larger airways and not subject to regional differences in the airways, the effect of PGE₂, ONO-AE1-259 and ONO-AE1-329 was measured in smaller calibre airways (third to fourth generation bronchi, 1-2 mm diameter). Responses in these airways were similar to those seen in trachea/primary bronchi with PGE₂ and ONO-AE1-329 producing relaxation (PGE₂: $43.1\pm5.4\%$ of maximum relaxation to papaverine, logEC₅₀=-7.2 (n=2); ONO-AE1-329: 32.1±5.0% of maximum relaxation to papaverine, $logEC_{50} = -7.6 \pm 0.1$ (n=3)), with ONO-AE1-259 having no substantial effect.

Finally, in order to confirm that the biological activity of AH13205 was similar to that observed in previous studies, we demonstrated its relaxant activity in guinea pig trachea studies (figure 5A).¹⁶ However, this relaxant activity was not parallelled in human airway tissue, which may explain its failure in clinical studies (figure 5B).

DISCUSSION

Inhaled β_2 adrenoceptor agonists are currently the gold standard bronchodilators prescribed to patients with respiratory diseases such as asthma and COPD. While long- and short-acting β agonists help to provide patients with short-term relief from airflow limitation, they do little to treat the underlying pathology. Furthermore, since the introduction of LABAs, there has been increasing concern about their safety, particularly when used as monotherapy.⁴ For these reasons, the search is on for



Figure 3 Involvement of the prostanoid EP receptors in prostaglandin E_2 (PGE₂)-induced relaxation of rat tracheal strips and monkey airway samples under induced tone. (A) Response of rat tracheal strips under induced tone to selective EP receptor agonists (ONO-D1-004 (filled circle), ONO-AE1-259 (circle), ONO-AE-248 (filled square) and ONO-AE1-329 (square)=EP₁₋₄ respectively, n=3). (B) Inhibition of PGE₂-induced relaxation of rat tracheal strips by ONO-AE-208 (100 nM) and GW627368X (1 μ M) (PGE₂ in the presence of the appropriate vehicle (filled circle), PGE₂ in the presence of ONO-AE3-208 (square) or GW62768X (circle), n=4). (C) Response of Cyno monkey airway samples under induced tone to selective EP receptor agonists (ONO-D1-004 (filled circle), 0NO-AE1-259 (circle), 0NO-AE-248 (filled square) and 0NO-AE1-329 (square)=EP₁₋₄, respectively, and PGE₂ (filled triangle), n=3). Data represent mean±SEM.

Figure 4 Involvement of EP prostanoid receptors in prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂)-induced relaxation of human airway smooth muscle under induced tone. (A) Comparative effects of selective EP receptor agonists (ONO-D1-004 (filled circle), ONO-AE1-259 (circle), ONO-AE-248 (filled square) and ONO-AE1-329 (square)=EP1-4, respectively, n=3-4). (B). PGE₂induced relaxation was not inhibited in the presence of AH6809 (EP1/EP2/DP antagonist, 10 μ M) (PGE₂ + vehicle (filled circle), PGE₂ + AH6809 (circle), n=8). (C) Inhibition of PGE₂-induced relaxation by ONO-AE3-208 (EP4 antagonist, 100 nM) in the presence of SQ29548 (TP antagonist, 1 µM) (PGE₂ + vehicle and SQ29548 (filled circle), PGE₂ + ONO-AE3-208 and SQ29548 (square), n=4). (D) Inhibition of ONO-AE1-329 (EP₄ agonist)-induced relaxation by ONO-AE3-208 (EP4 antagonist, 100 nM) (ONO-AE1-329 + vehicle (filled circle), ONO-AE1-329 +



alternative bronchodilator therapies which have an increased safety profile. Identification of a safe therapeutic agent which also possesses anti-inflammatory activity would make for an extremely attractive alternative to LABAs.

PGE₂ causes relaxation of animal²⁸ and human^{17 29} isolated airway smooth muscle. Inhaled PGE₂ is a bronchodilator in animals, ¹⁸ ²⁹ ³⁰ normal subjects^{5–8} and in patients with chronic bronchitis and asthma.⁵ ⁹ ¹⁰ In addition, PGE_2 has antiinflammatory properties in patients with asthma,^{9 11} providing an ideal dual therapy for the treatment of these diseases. Despite the benefits of inhaled PGE2, the development of prostanoid agonists for the treatment of airway inflammatory diseases has been hindered as prostanoids induce irritancy of the upper airway resulting in a reflex cough.¹¹

We recently identified the EP₃ receptor as being responsible for PGE₂-induced airway irritancy (in human, guinea pig and murine *in vitro* assays) and in a guinea pig cough model, so an opportunity exists to find a therapeutic agent devoid of this sensory irritant side effect if alternative receptors are identified as being responsible for the bronchodilator activity.³¹ Several investigators have documented bronchodilator activity both in vitro and in vivo in a wide range of species including guinea pigs, mice and humans, and it has been suggested that EP2 receptor activation is responsible for this activity.¹⁶⁻¹⁹ However, a selec-



Figure 5 Species differences in the response of airway smooth muscle under induced tone to the EP₂ selective agonist AH13205. (A) AH13205induced relaxation of guinea pig tracheal strips (n=6). (B) Response of human airway smooth muscle to AH13205 (n=3). Data represent mean±SEM.

tive EP₂ receptor agonist (AH13205) with a promising preclinical profile did not appear to have any bronchodilator activity in man.¹⁶ Recently, however, improved tools have become available (selective ligands and prostanoid receptor-deficient mice) so, in the light of the issues with current bronchodilators, it seemed pertinent to revisit this area of research.

To investigate which receptor PGE₂ acts to cause airway smooth muscle relaxation, a range of selective tools were tested on constricted isolated trachea tissue from guinea pigs, mice, monkeys, rats and humans. In all species PGE₂ caused a concentration-dependent relaxation of airway smooth muscle. Experiments using selective ligands on guinea pig, monkey and mouse tissue identified the EP₂ receptor as mediating this response, which is consistent with previous studies.^{16–19} This was further confirmed using mice deficient in individual prostanoid receptors, in that the response to PGE₂ present in wild-type animals was substantially diminished in Pgter2^{-/-} mice, again confirming previous data.¹⁸ ¹⁹ ³⁰ In contrast, but consistent with previous data, PGE2-induced relaxation of rat isolated tracheal smooth muscle was mediated via activation of the EP₄ receptor.³²

In contrast, the data from human tissue studies clearly showed that PGE_2 -induced relaxation is mediated via the EP_4 and not the $\ensuremath{\text{EP}}_2$ receptor. This conclusion is based on the in vitro relaxant activity of the selective EP₄ receptor agonist (ONO-AE1-329) and the lack of activity of the selective EP₂ receptor agonists (ONO-AE1-259, AH13205) in human airways. A role for the EP4 compared with the EP₂ receptor was also substantiated by the lack of effect of AH6809 ($EP_{1/2}/DP$ receptor antagonist) and the effectiveness of the EP₄ antagonist (ONO-AE3-208) in inhibiting PGE₂-induced relaxation of human airway smooth muscle. These data are in contrast to a previous publication describing a role for the EP₂ receptor in PGE₂-induced relaxation of human airway smooth muscle.¹⁷ However, there are some differences between our studies and previous ones which, in the main, can be explained by the lack of selectivity of the tools available at the time the previous studies were carried out. Compared with the study by Norel et al,¹⁷ our study has benefited from the use of optimised, more potent and selective agonists (EP2 receptor

| Table 1 Prostanoid EP receptor | agonist and | antagonist | affinities |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|

| | Ligand | Action | Affinity | Units | References |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|------------|
| Agonis | it | | | | |
| EP_1 | ONO-D1-004 | Full agonist | 6.8 (mouse) | рКі | 34 |
| EP2 | AH 13205 | Full agonist | 6 (human) | рКі | 35 |
| | ONO-AE1-259 | Full agonist | 8.5 (mouse) | рКі | 34 |
| | Butaprost | Full agonist | 5.9-7.0 (human) | рКі | 24 |
| | Misoprostol | Full agonist | 5.0 (human) | рКі | 24 |
| | ONO-AE-248 | Full agonist | 5.4 (mouse) | рКі | 34 |
| | ONO-AE1-329 | Full agonist | 5.7 (mouse) | рКі | 34 |
| EP3 | Misoprostol | Full agonist | 6.5 (human) | рКі | 24 |
| | Butaprost | Full agonist | 5.8 (human) | рКі | 24 |
| | ONO-AE-248 | Full agonist | 8.1 (mouse) | рКі | 34 |
| EP4 | ONO-AE1-329 | Full agonist | 8.0 (mouse) | рКі | 24 |
| | Misoprostol | Full agonist | 5.3 (human) | рКі | 24 |
| | Butaprost | Full agonist | 4.7 (human) | рКі | 24 |
| Antago | onist | | | | |
| EP_1 | AH6809 | Antagonist | 5.9 (human) | рКі | 24 |
| EP_2 | AH6809 | Antagonist | 5.9 (human) | рКі | 24 |
| EP ₄ | AH23848 | Antagonist | 4.9-5.6 (human) | рКі | 24 |
| | ONO-AE3-208 | Antagonist | 8.9 (mouse) | рКі | 26 |
| | GW627568 | Antagonist | 7.0-7.1 (human) | рКі | 25 |

agonist ONO-AE1-259; EP₄ receptor agonist ONO-AE1-329) compared with misoprostol which is a non-selective agonist at EP_{2-4} receptors³³ (table 1). Furthermore, we had the opportunity to use a highly selective EP₄ antagonist with greater affinity and selectivity for the receptor than AH23848B, which was used in the previous studies and is a weak antagonist at both the EP₄ and TP receptor (pKi 4.9-5.6 and 6.2, respectively), and AH6809, which was used as an EP₂ antagonist but also has affinity at the EP_1 and DP_1 receptors (table 1).³³ In the paper by Nials *et al*,¹⁶ the EP2 receptor dependence of the relaxant response (mostly investigated in non-human species) was suggested by the activity of misoprostol, AH13205 and butaprost which all have a relatively weak affinity for the EP_2 receptor.¹⁶ The relaxant activity of AH13205 in guinea pig trachea described in the study by Nials et al was reproduced in our studies, suggesting that the lack of effect on human airway relaxation was a real phenomenon. The absence of relaxant activity on human airway smooth muscle preparations also provides a likely explanation for the poor efficacy of the EP₂ receptor agonist in clinical trials as a bronchodilator in humans.¹⁶

Interestingly, it would appear that, of all the animal species tested, PGE_2 -induced relaxation of human airway smooth muscle and the role of the EP_4 receptor was most accurately represented in the rat. The responses in non-human primate tissue were not representative of those in human tissue, given the EP_2 receptor dependence of the relaxant response to PGE_2 . This would imply that bioassay of rat tissue would be amenable for medium throughput screening if drug discovery programmes were initiated in this area, thus limiting the use of valuable human airway specimens.

In conclusion, we believe that these data show that the EP_4 receptor is responsible for PGE_2 -induced relaxation of human airway smooth muscle. We recently identified the EP_3 receptor as being responsible for PGE_2 -induced airway irritancy and cough,³¹ so an opportunity exists to find a therapeutic agent devoid of this sensory irritant side effect liability. However, as PGE_2 also enhances plasma leakage in numerous anatomical sites via enhanced blood flow,¹³ it would be prudent to investigate the receptor responsible as an ideal bronchodilator should not worsen inflammatory exudation or oedema. In summary, EP_4

selective compounds could potentially be the next generation of bronchodilators identified since the introduction of β_2 adrenoceptors and anticholinergics more than half a century ago.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Nicole Dale for her assistance with some of the Cynomolgus (Cyno) monkey tissue experiments.

Funding The research project and SAM were funded by a project grant from the Medical Research Council (MRC) UK (G0800195). MAB was funded by a grant from the MRC (G0800196). DLC was funded by an MRC project grant Experimental Medicine Grant (G0502019). The human tissue experiments in this study were undertaken with the support of the NIHR Biomedical Research Unit in Advanced Lung Disease at the Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust and Imperial College London and partly funded by the NIHR Biomedical Research Unit funding scheme. JB was funded by a Studentship from a Capacity Building Award in Integrative Mammalian Biology funded by BSBRC, BPS, HEFCE KTN and MRC.

Competing interests None.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval This study was conducted with the approval of the Royal Brompton and Harefield ethics committee.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

- Rabe KF, Hurd S, Anzueto A, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2007;176:532–55.
- Lemanske RF Jr, Busse WW. 6. Asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;111: S502-9.
- Bateman ED, Hurd SS, Barnes PJ, et al. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention: GINA executive summary. Eur Respir J 2008;31:143-78.
- Nelson HS, Weiss ST, Bleecker ER, et al. The Salmeterol Multicenter Asthma Research Trial: a comparison of usual pharmacotherapy for asthma or usual pharmacotherapy plus salmeterol. Chest 2006;129:15–26.
- Kawakami Y, Uchiyama K, Irie T, et al. Evaluation of aerosols of prostaglandins E1 and E2 as bronchodilators. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1973;6:127–32.
- Seth RV, Clarke VS, Lewis RA, et al. Effect of propranolol on the airway response to prostaglandin E₂ in normal man. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1981;12:731-5.
- Walters EH, Bevan M, Davies BH. Interactions between response to inhaled prostaglandin E2 and chronic beta-adrenergic agonist treatment. *Thorax* 1982;37:430–7.
- Costello JF, Dunlop LS, Gardiner PJ. Characteristics of prostaglandin induced cough in man. Br J Clin Pharmacol 1985;20:355–9.
- Pavord ID, Wong CS, Williams J, et al. Effect of inhaled prostaglandin E2 on allergen-induced asthma. Am Rev Respir Dis 1993;148:87–90.
- Melillo E, Woolley KL, Manning PJ, et al. Effect of inhaled PGE2 on exercise-induced bronchoconstriction in asthmatic subjects. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994;149:1138–41.
- Gauvreau GM, Watson RM, O'Byrne PM. Protective effects of inhaled PGE2 on allergen-induced airway responses and airway inflammation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;159:31-6.
- Coleman RA, Smith WL, Narumiya S. International Union of Pharmacology classification of prostanoid receptors: properties, distribution, and structure of the receptors and their subtypes. *Pharmacol Rev* 1994;46:205–29.
- Narumiya S, Sugimoto Y, Ushikubi F. Prostanoid receptors: structures, properties and functions. *Physiol Rev* 1999;79:1193–226.
- Breyer RM, Bagdassarian CK, Myers SA, et al. Prostanoid receptors: subtypes and signaling. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 2001;41:661–90.
- Tsuboi K, Sugimoto Y, Ichikawa A. Prostanoid receptor subtypes. Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat 2002;68–69:535–56.
- Nials AT, Vardey CJ, Denyer LH, et al. AH13205, A selective prostanoid EP₂receptor agonist. Cardiovasc Drug Rev 1993;11:165–79.
- Norel X, Walch L, Labat C, et al. Prostanoid receptors involved in the relaxation of human bronchial preparations. Br J Pharmacol 1999;126:867-72.
- Sheller JR, Mitchell D, Meyrick B, et al. EP(2) receptor mediates bronchodilation by PGE(2) in mice. J Appl Physiol 2000;88:2214–18.
- Fortner CN, Breyer RM, Paul RJ. EP2 receptors mediate airway relaxation to substance P, ATP, and PGE2. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2001;281: L469-74.
- Birrell MA, De Alba J, Catley MC, *et al*. Liver X receptor agonists increase airway reactivity in a model of asthma via increasing airway smooth muscle growth. *J Immunol* 2008;181:4265–71.
- 21. Gaddum JH. Theories of drug antagonism. Pharmacol Rev 1957;9:211-18.
- Kiriyama M, Ushikubi F, Kobayashi T, et al. Ligand binding specificities of the eight types and subtypes of the mouse prostanoid receptors expressed in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Br J Pharmacol 1997;122:217-24.

- Boie Y, Stocco R, Sawyer N, et al. Molecular cloning and characterisation of the four rat prostaglandin E2 prostanoid receptor subtypes. Eur J Pharmacol 1997;304:227–41.
- Abramovitz M, Adam M, Boie Y, et al. The utilization of recombinant prostanoid receptors to determine the affinities and selectivities of prostaglandins and related analogs. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2000;1483:285–93.
- Wilson RJ, Giblin GM, Roomans S, et al. GW627368X ((N-{2-[4-(4, 9-diethoxy-1oxo-1, 3-dihydro-2H-benzo [f] isoindol-2-yl] phenyl] acetyl} benzene sulphonamide): a novel, potent and selective prostanoid EP4 receptor antagonist. Br J Pharmacol 2006;148:326-9.
- Kabashima K, Saji T, Murata T, *et al*. The prostaglandin receptor EP4 suppresses colitis, mucosal damage and CD4 cell activation in the gut. *J Clin Invest* 2002;109:883–93.
- Bouyssou T, Casarosa P, Naline E, et al. Pharmacological characterization of olodaterol, a novel inhaled beta2-adrenoceptor agonist exerting a 24-hour-long duration of action in preclinical models. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2010;334:53-62.
- Main IH. The inhibitory actions of prostaglandins on respiratory smooth muscle. Br J Pharmacol Chemother 1964;22:511–19.

- Sweatman WJ, Collier HO. Effect of prostaglandins on human bronchial smooth muscle. *Nature* 1968;217:69.
- Tilley SL, Hartney JM, Erikson CJ, *et al.* Receptors and pathways mediating the effects of prostaglandin E₂ on airway tone. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2000;284:L599–606.
- Maher SA, Birrell MA, Belvisi MG. Prostaglandin E2 mediates cough via the EP3 receptor: implications for future disease therapy. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;180:923-8.
- Lydford SJ, McKechnie K. Characterization of the prostaglandin E2 sensitive (EP)-receptor in the rat isolated trachea. Br J Pharmacol 1994;112:133-6.
- Harmar AJ, Hills RA, Rosser EM, et al. IUPHAR-DB: the IUPHAR database of G protein-coupled receptors and ion channels. Nucl Acids Res 2009;37 (Database issue):D680-5.
- Suzawa T, Miyaura C, Inada M, *et al.* The role of prostaglandin E receptor subtypes (EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4) in bone resorption: an analysis using specific agonists for the respective EPs. *Endocrinology* 2000;141:1554–9.
- Stillman BA, Breyer MD, Breyer RM. Importance of the extracellular domain for prostaglandin EP(2) receptor function. *Mol Pharmacol* 1999;56:545–51.

