Original Article

Null association between ACE gene I/D polymorphism and diabetic nephropathy among multiethnic Malaysian subjects

Jaime J. Jayapalan, Sekaran Muniandy, Siew P. Chan¹

Departments of Molecular Medicine and ¹Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

BACKGROUND: Wide inter-ethnic allelic variations of the Angiotensin Converting Enzyme (*ACE*) i nsertion–deletion (I/D) gene polymorphism were thought to be responsible for the conflicting gene–diabetic nephropathy disease association worldwide. We have investigated the genetic susceptibility of the *ACE* gene to diabetic nephropathy in the multiethnic Malaysian population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: A total of 137 healthy (control) and 256 diabetic subjects were recruited. The diabetic subjects were further subdivided according to their nephropathy status based on urinary albumin–creatinine ratio (ACR) and glomerular filtration rate (GFR). Triple primer polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used for *ACE* I/D genotyping. Subsequently, populationwide genetic analysis and gene–disease association studies were performed.

RESULTS: The genotype frequencies in all subgroups were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Similar allelic and genotypic frequency of *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism was observed between healthy controls versus pooled type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) subjects, and normoalbuminuria versus microalbuminuria, macroalbuminuria and End Stage Renal Failure (ESRF) (P > 0.05). Neither ethnicity nor gender exerted any influence on the *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism (P > 0.05), with the exception of the Chinese ethnic group which exhibited a higher frequency of ID genotype (P =0.042). A multinomial logistic regression model showed that predictive factors including age, systolic blood pressure (SBP), high density lipoprotein (HDL) and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1C) were independently associated with diabetic nephropathy, in that order.

CONCLUSION: The I/D polymorphism of the *ACE* gene is not significantly associated with both T2DM and/or diabetic nephropathy in this Malaysian population regardless of ethnicity and gender.

Key words: *ACE I/D* polymorphism, type 2 diabetes mellitus, diabetic nephropathy, Malaysian population

DOI: 10.4103/0971-6866.69351

Introduction

Diabetic nephropathy is a syndrome characterized by albuminuria in which the urinary albumin excretion rate rises progressively over time leading to declining glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and renal fibrosis with loss of renal function, coupled with arterial hypertension, increased cardiovascular disease risk and eventually end stage renal disease (ESRD).^[1,2] This disease is marked by the initial appearance of microalbuminuria.^[3] Annual ESRD incidence worldwide has been reported to escalate at an alarming rate, in particular, due to diabetic kidney disease.^[4,5]

In addition to other recognized promoters of diabetic nephropathy including chronic hyperglycemia,^[6] systemic and intra-renal hypertension,^[7] dyslipidemia,^[8] smoking,^[9] obesity, aging, high degree of insulin resistance, male sex, race, possibly high dietary protein intake^[1] and familial clustering of diabetic nephropathy,^[10] the effects of genetic polymorphisms cannot be overlooked. Polymorphisms in genes encoding PPAR-γ,^[11] eNOS,^[12] GLUT-1,^[13] aldose reductase,^[14] MTHFR,^[15] apo-E^[16] and components of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) including angiotensinogen, Ang-II receptor type 1,^[17] and particularly, the *ACE* gene,^[18] have been implicated in the pathogenesis of diabetic nephropathy.

ACE mediates regulation of blood volume, arterial pressure, cardiac and vascular function, and electrolyte metabolism. Insertion-deletion (I/D) of a 287-bp fragment at intron 16 of the *ACE* gene results in the

Address for correspondence: Prof. Sekaran Muniandy, Department of Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. E-mail: sekaran@um.edu.my

genotypes II, ID and DD.^[19] Polymorphism was thought to affect ACE-mediated physiological functions^[20] and to cause differences in plasma ACE expression levels.^[21] Conflicting findings in different populations on the relationship between *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism and diabetic nephropathy led us to investigate *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism as a risk factor for the development of diabetic nephropathy in the multiethnic Malaysian population.

Materials and Methods

Subject selection and recruitment

Informed consent was obtained from all subjects with approval granted by the Medical Ethics Committee, prior to sample collection.

Non-diabetic, non-nephropathic, normotensive healthy unrelated control subjects were randomly selected and recruited from local community centers (n = 137). Patients enrolled in the study consisted of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients with various stages of nephropathy on follow-up at the outpatient diabetes clinic (n = 196) and those undergoing dialysis treatment (n = 60). The subjects were initially grouped into four categories based on the urinary albumin-creatinine ratio (ACR): normoalbuminuria (<29 µg/mg creatinine), microalbuminuria (30-299 µg/mg creatinine), proteinuria (>300 µg/mg creatinine) while ESRF subjects were on dialysis.^[3] These subjects were further re-grouped into two subgroups using urinary ACR as the cut-off point: Group 1: T2DM without significant nephropathy (ACR \leq 300 µg/mg creatinine); Group 2: T2DM with significant nephropathy (ACR \geq 300 µg/mg creatinine), to facilitate statistical analysis according to ethnicity and gender.

Demographic and clinical characteristics

Anthropometric data such as age and sex were obtained for each subject and relevant clinical parameters including duration (dx) of diabetes, ACR, serum creatinine, GFR, oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1C), systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP), triglyceride (TG) and high density lipoprotein-C (HDL-C) measurement were recorded.

ACR was calculated based on the microalbumin level

in the urine sample and serum creatinine level measured by immunoturbidimetric assay^[22,23] and modified Jaffe's kinetic reaction,^[24] respectively. The Modified Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) equation was used to estimate the GFR.^[25]OGTT was performed on control subjects following the guidelines described. $^{\mbox{\tiny [26]}}$ The HbA $_{\rm 1C}$ was measured as the ratio of total Hb to HbA_{1c} determined by the cyanidefree colorimetric method and immunoturbidimetric assay, [27,28] respectively. At least two readings of SBP and DBP were measured from the subjects who had rested adequately before measurement, using a digital electronic blood pressure (Omron Model M5, Omron Healthcare, Singapore). The measurement of serum TG was based on the kinetic bichromatic enzymatic technique^[29] whilst the accelerator selective detergent methodology (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Camberley, UK) was used to measure the HDL-C concentration.

ACE I/D genotyping

Genomic DNA was isolated using a Wizard[®] Genomic DNA purification kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Triple primer polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and subsequent agarose gel electrophoresis were essentially employed as described.^[30] Distinct banding patterns enabled the genotypic determination of the *ACE* I/D allele as II, ID and DD genotypes. Genotypes were validated by single pass DNA sequencing (Research Biolabs, Singapore) on randomly selected samples. All samples were analyzed in duplicate and were stored at –20°C.

Data analyses

Genepop DOS version 3.3 Population Genetics Software Package was used to test genetic equilibrium of the *ACE* gene.^[31]

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 14.0 (Chicago, IL, US) was used to perform the statistical analysis. Parametric one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used to compare means of a quantitative variable between two or more groups when equal variances were assumed, while the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used when the assumption for equal variances was not met. Levene's test of homogeneity was used to verify the assumption. Subsequently, Tukey's HSD and Dunnett's T3 *post hoc* test were used, respectively, to ensure that the overall

probability of declaring any significant differences between all possible pairs of groups is maintained at a significant level of 0.05. Chi-square test was used for association studies between the *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism and disease etiology, ethnicity and gender. The stepwise multinomial logistic regression procedure was used to assess and identify the significant predictors of diabetic nephropathy in our population. *P* values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1. All subjects included were characterized according to the nephropathy status. ACR ranges observed between the subgroups were in agreement with the ranges outlined.^[3] Similarly, there was no overlap between the ACR categories, thus providing a clear demarcation of subgroups.

Significant mean age and HDL level differences between the groups were observed. The healthy subjects were younger and had higher levels of HDL-C compared to the type 2 diabetic nephropathy subgroups (P < 0.05).

We have also observed significant mean rank differences in serum creatinine, GFR, HbA_{1C}, SBP, DBP and TG between the groups (P < 0.05). The lowest mean serum creatinine concentration was exhibited by

the healthy controls, followed by the patient subgroups in an ascending manner, according to the severity of nephropathy. On the other hand, the healthy controls were observed to have the highest mean GFR, followed by normoalbuminuric, microalbuminuric, proteinuric and the ESRF subjects. Also, mean HbA_{1C}, SBP, DBP and TG measured among the healthy controls were significantly lower compared to patient subgroups (P < 0.05).

ACE I/D genotyping

DNA extraction using fresh blood samples yielded high concentration of DNA [Figure 1]. Typical triple primer PCR results are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 1: Gel showing DNA extracts (lane 1: 1 kb DNA ladder, lanes 2–10: DNA extracts)

Parameter	Healthy control	T2DM					
		Normo (N)	Micro (M)	Proteinuria (P)	ESRF (E)		
n	137	81	48	67	60		
Ethnicity (M:C:I)	63:23:51	23:22:36	20:11:17	31:10:26	38:14:8		
Gender (♂/♀)	39/98	31/50	19/29	30/37	30/30		
ACR (µg/mg creatinine)	NA	8.01 (2.8–21.7)	97.95 (33.3-241.6)	735.95 (354.2-3062.2)	NA		
Age (years)*	45.1 ± 8.8ª	57.0 ± 10.2	59.8 ± 10.2	62.7 ± 9.5 ^b	57.2 ± 9.0		
Serum creatinine (µmol/l)†	63.3 ± 13.9°	76.3 ± 250.1	103.3 ± 45.6	200.1 ± 113.5	695.0 ± 317.6		
GFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²) [†]	107.3 ± 22.4^{d}	90.0 ± 27.7	67.6 ± 25.3	39.3 ± 27.2	10.7 ± 12.8		
OGTT-2hPG (mmol/l)	5.8 ± 0.9	NA	NA	NA	NA		
HbA1C (%) [†]	5.55 ± 0.33 ^e	7.71 ± 1.73	8.20 ± 2.24	8.18 ± 2.22	7.49 ± 1.69		
Diabetes dx (years)	NA	10.2 ± 7.9	13.1 ± 9.4	16.3 ± 9.4	18.6 ± 6.9		
SBP (mm Hg) [†]	119.6 ± 11.0f	136.6 ± 17.4 ^g	145.6 ± 25.1	147.7 ± 25.2	162.0 ± 34.1		
DBP (mm Hg) [†]	75.5 ± 7.9^{h}	80.9 ± 10.9	80.7 ± 14.9	79.5 ± 11.9	81.6 ± 13.7		
TG (mmol/l) [†]	1.2 ± 0.6^{i}	1.4 ± 0.7^{i}	1.7 ± 0.9	2.2 ± 2.1	2.2 ± 1.6		
HDL (mmol/l)*	1.33 ± 0.32 ^k	1.16 ± 0.42	1.13 ± 0.33	1.06 ± 0.37	1.01 ± 0.41		

n = number of subjects; M = Malay; C = Chinese; I = Indian; NA = not applicable; All were expressed in mean \pm SD except ACR in median (10th-90th percentile); *One-way ANOVA and Tukey *post hoc* test; [†]Kruskal-Wallis and Dunnett's T3 *post hoc* test; ^a*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^b*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^c*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^e*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^e*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^e*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^e*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^e*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with groups N and E; ^h*P* < 0.05 compared with

Genetic Susceptibility of ACE gene polymorphism on T2DM with nephropathy

The genotypes and allele frequency of the *ACE* gene among healthy controls and subjects categorized by the stages of diabetic nephropathy are tabulated in Table 2. The frequencies of *ACE* I and D allele were consistent with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in all



Figure 2: ACE I/D genotype determination under the optimized PCR condition. Band sizes of 495 and 265 bp designated the II genotype, while band sizes of 495, 265 and 210 bp designated the ID genotype and a band size of 210 bp indicates DD genotype (lane 1: 50 bp DNA ladder, lanes 2–3: ID genotype, lanes 4–5: II genotype and lane 6–7: DD genotype)

subgroups (P > 0.05). Using the chi-square test, we found that there was no significant association between *ACE* genotype and susceptibility to T2DM (P = 0.900). There was also no significant association between *ACE* genotype and severity of diabetic nephropathy; normoalbuminuria versus microalbuminuria (P = 0.085), normoalbuminuria versus macroalbuminuria (P = 0.256) and normoalbuminuria versus ESRF (P = 0.313).

With respect to ACE alleles, Chi-square tests resulted in *P* values as follows; healthy versus pooled T2DM (*P* = 0.741), normoalbuminuria versus microalbuminuria (*P* = 0.112), normoalbuminuria versus macroalbuminuria (*P* = 0.467) and normoalbuminuria versus ESRF (*P* = 0.235). Since all *P* values were greater than 0.05, it demonstrates null association between the *ACE* alleles and diabetic nephropathy, irrespective of severity of nephropathy.

Influence of ethnicity and gender on diabetic nephropathy

Regrouping of the patient subjects using ACR at a cut-off point at 300 μ g/mg creatinine resulted in Group1 (n = 129) and Group2 (n = 127). Table 3 shows the distribution of *ACE* genotype and *ACE* alleles in healthy, T2DM without nephropathy (Group 1) and T2DM with nephropathy (Group 2) subjects based on ethnicity. Malay and Indian ethnic groups showed comparable distribution for the *ACE* genotypic frequency between

Table 2: Frequencies of ACE genotypes and alleles in healthy controls and T2DM patients with various stages of nephropathy

ACE	Healthy (H) (n = 137)		T2DM (n = 256)							
n			Normo (N) (n = 81)		Micro (M) (n = 48)		Macro (P) (n = 67)		ESRF (E) (n = 60)	
	n	n Freq.	n	Freq.	n	Freq.	n	Freq.	n	Freq.
Genotype										
II	61	44.5	31	38.3	24	50.0	26	38.8	27	45.0
ID	56	40.9	31	38.3	20	41.7	32	47.8	25	41.7
DD	20	14.6	19	23.5	4	8.3	9	13.4	8	13.3
	P value*	versus H				¹ N	IS†			
			P value	versus N	2	NS	3	NS	4	NS
Allele										
I	178	0.65	93	0.57	68	0.71	84	0.63	79	0.66
D	96	0.35	69	0.43	28	0.29	50	0.37	41	0.34
	P value*	versus H				¹ N	IS†			
			P value	versus N	2	NS	3	NS	4	NS

E; Categorical variables, therefore Chi-square was used for the association study; [†]no significant association between ACE I/D gene polymorphism and pooled T2DM subjects was found when further demarcated according to ethnicity and gender (P > 0.05)

the groups, demonstrating a null association between *ACE* genotype and diabetic nephropathy. The Chinese ethnic group however, showed a significant difference in the *ACE* genotypic distribution between groups. A greater incidence of ID genotype (66.7%) among the Group 2 subjects was observed. All ethnic groups demonstrated comparable *ACE* allelic distribution, thus demonstrating a null association between *ACE* allele and diabetic nephropathy.

Table 4 shows the influence of gender on ACE genotypic and allelic distribution in healthy, Group 1 and Group 2 subjects. The distribution of ACE genotypes and alleles was not different between the groups for both male and female categories.

Potential predictors of diabetic nephropathy

All potential risk factors for diabetic nephropathy including age, ethnicity, blood pressure, HDL, triglyceride, gender, *ACE* genotype and HbA_{1c} were assessed by stepwise logistic regression procedure. T2DM patients

with proteinuria and ESRF were evaluated with reference to healthy controls by this model. The results of fitting the stepwise logistic regression model for diabetic nephropathy are presented in Table 5. Factors that are independently associated with diabetic nephropathy are age, SBP, HDL, and HbA_{1C} in that order.

Discussion

The true genetic susceptibility of ACE I/D gene polymorphism on disease pathogenesis remains unelucidated due to contrasting outcomes worldwide. Table 6 shows the various findings linking the influence of ACE I/D gene polymorphism to diabetic nephropathy. Publication bias, differences in study design, patient selection, case and control definition, effect of disease progression or regression,^[32] mistyping of genotypes, small sample size and particularly, ethnic differences were thought to be the causes of these outcomes.^[33]

A number of meta-analyses have been conducted

Ethnicity	ACE		Healthy (n = 63)	Group 1 (n = 43)	Group 2 (n = 69)	P value*
Malay (n = 175)	Genotype	11	30 (47.6)	22 (51.2)	35 (50.7)	0.931
		ID	26 (41.3)	18 (41.9)	26 (37.7)	
		DD	7 (11.1)	3 (7.0)	8 (11.6)	
	Allele	I	86 (68.3)	62 (72.1)	96 (69.6)	0.914
		D	40 (31.7)	24 (27.9)	42 (30.4)	
Chinese (n = 80)	Genotype	II	13 (56.5)	14 (42.4)	7 (29.2)	0.042
		ID	6 (26.1)	12 (36.4)	16 (66.7)	
		DD	4 (17.4)	7 (21.2)	1 (4.2)	
	Allele	I	32 (69.6)	40 (60.6)	30 (62.5)	0.781
		D	14 (30.4)	26 (39.4)	18 (37.5)	
Indian (n = 138)	Genotype	П	18 (35.3)	19 (35.8)	11 (32.4)	0.903
		ID	24 (47.1)	21 (39.6)	15 (44.1)	
		DD	9 (17.6)	13 (24.5)	8 (23.5)	
	Allele	I	60 (58.8)	59 (55.7)	37 (54.4)	0.866
		D	42 (41.2)	47 (44.3)	31 (45.6)	

association study; n = number of cases; percentages in parentheses

Table 4: Gender and diabetic nephropathy								
Gender	ACE		Healthy (n = 39)	Group 1 (n = 50)	Group 2 (n = 60)	P value*		
Male (n = 149)	Genotype	11	18 (46.2)	28 (56.0)	22 (36.7)	0.176		
		ID	14 (35.9)	15 (30.0)	31(51.7)			
		DD	7 (17.9)	7 (14.0)	7 (11.7)			
	Allele	I	50 (64.1)	71 (71.0)	75 (62.5)	0.914		
		D	28 (35.9)	29 (29.0)	45 (37.5)			
Female (n = 244)	Genotype	П	43 (43.9)	27 (34.2)	31 (46.3)	0.506		
		ID	42 (42.9)	36 (45.6)	26 (38.8)			
		DD	13 (13.3)	16 (20.3)	10 (14.9)			
	Allele	I	128 (64.0)	90 (57.0)	88 (65.7)	0.781		
		D	68 (34.7)	68 (43.0)	46 (34.3)			

Group 1, T2DM without nephropathy; Group 2, T2DM with nephropathy; *Categorical variables, therefore Chi-square was used for the association study; n = number of cases; percentages in parentheses

Effoct	Likelihood ratio test
nephropathy	
Table 5: Stepv	vise logistic regression model for diabetic

Effect	Likelihood ratio test					
	Chi-square	df	P value			
Age SBP	26.076	2	0.000			
SBP	43.515	2	0.000			
HDL	8.092	2	0.017			
HbA1C	87.585	2	0.000			

df = Degree of freedom. Factors that are not associated with diabetic nephropathy are not shown

Table 6: Association studies between ACE I/D genepolymorphism and diabetic nephropathy worldwide

Population	Total	Case	Control	Result
	samples	definition*	definition	
Malaysian	240	T2DM	Healthy control	Negative
Malay		DN	WN	Negative
Malaysian	138	T2DM	Healthy control	Negative
Chinese		DN	WN	Negative
Malaysian	189	T2DM	Healthy control	Negative
Indian		DN	WN	Negative
Caucasian-	509	DN	Healthy control	NA
American ^[38]			WN	Positive
Caucasian-	108	DN	Healthy control	NA
Polish ^[39]			WN	Negative
Taiwanese ^[40]	599	T2DM	Healthy control	Positive
		DN	WN	Positive
Japanese ^[41]	467	T2DM	Healthy control	Negative
		DN	WN	Positive
Korean ^[42]	191	T2DM	Healthy control	NA
		DN	WN	Positive
Chinese ^[43]	168	DN	Healthy control	NA
			WN	Negative
Chinese ^[44]	1281	DN	Healthy control	NA
			WN	Positive
South	460	DN	Healthy control	Negative
Indian ^[18]			WN	Positive
South	109	DN	Healthy control	NA
Indian ^[45]			WN	Positive
North	117	DN	Healthy control	Negative
Indian ^[46]			WN	NA
Turkish ^[47]	377	DN	Healthy control	NA
			ŴN	Negative
Turkish ^[48]	112	T2DM	Healthy control	Positive
		DN		Negative

*Case and control definition for diabetic nephropathy (DN) and T2DM without nephropathy (WN), respectively, may be different between studies. WN = T2DM subjects without nephropathy; NA = not applicable

to clarify the role of ethnicity on the association of *ACE* gene polymorphism with diabetic nephropathy. Kunz *et al.*,^[34] failed to demonstrate a conclusive correlation in his meta-analysis due to methodological limitations, while Tarnow *et al.*,^[35] supported the association of *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism with diabetic nephropathy only among Northeast Asians. In contrast, Fujisawa *et al.*,^[36] concluded that *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism was a universal risk factor for diabetic nephropathy. However, Ng *et al.*,^[37] presented a comprehensive report

based on a larger sample size (n = 14,727) supporting the genetic association of *ACE* I/D polymorphism with diabetic nephropathy among Asians.

In the present study, we investigated the possible association of *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism with diabetic nephropathy among Malaysians and took advantage of the presence of the three different ethnic groups, namely Malay, Chinese and Indian, in Malaysia. We observed that a) *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism was significantly associated with neither T2DM nor diabetic nephropathy, b) ethnicity did not alter the null influence of *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism on diabetic nephropathy except among the Chinese T2DM subjects with nephropathy and c) gender-specific associations were not present between the *ACE* genotype and diabetic nephropathy.

Assuming that the influence of *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism on disease pathogenesis is ethnicity/ population specific, it is not surprising that we observed a null association between *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism and diabetic nephropathy for the healthy control and patient groups which comprised three different ethnic groups [Table 3]. In contrast, Ramachandran *et al.*,^[49] reported a positive association between *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism with diabetes and hypertension among Malaysian subjects.

Demarcation of subjects according to ethnicity shows that the Malay, Chinese and Indian ethnic groups with both T2DM and diabetic nephropathy were not independently associated with *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism, disputing the finding of Ng *et al.*^[37] To our knowledge, there have not been any previous studies in the Malay ethnic group on the association between the *ACE* gene and diabetic nephropathy. Sasongko *et al.*,^[50] conducted a study on the Javanese-Indonesians demonstrating a negative association between *ACE* gene polymorphism, however, with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. Therefore, our current study provides a novel finding demonstrating a null association between *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism and diabetic nephropathy, with regard to the Malay ethnic group.

Our findings among the Indian ethnic group were similar to that of a previous study by Kumar *et al.*,^[46] but were not in agreement with that of Viswanathan *et al.*,^[45] even though both the latter studies were conducted on

Asian Indians. Later, however, Movvaa *et al.*,^[18] resolved the dispute between the latter two studies using a larger sample size and appropriate case–control definition, hence ascertaining the D alleles as a risk factor for renal complication in T2DM subjects among Asian Indians. Similarly, the discrepancies found among the Indian ethnic group in this study could most likely be due to errors in the technical/methodological aspects rather than real genetic differences.^[51]

Our observation with regard to the Chinese ethnic group is in contrast with the findings on Southern Chinese and other Far East Chinese populations, which demonstrated strong evidence of the deleterious effect of the D allele in the etiology of T2DM^[40,52,53] and diabetic nephropathy.^[40-42,44] Nevertheless, our findings are in agreement with those of Wong et al.[43] who investigated the prognostic effect of an ACE I/D gene polymorphism in the diabetic nephropathy on Chinese population in Hong Kong, China. Over the years, allelic frequencies' differences with lower D allele and higher I allele frequencies among Caucasians have been used as a reason for the conflicting outcomes compared to other Asians. Unfortunately, in this case, the fact is that Malaysian Chinese and other Far East populations shared a remarkable similarity in terms of ACE I/D allelic distribution,^[30] so this argument may not apply. A more stringent case definition of diabetic nephropathy and a larger sample size to elucidate the association of ACE I/D gene polymorphism on diabetic nephropathy in the Chinese ethnic group in Malaysia may be necessary.

Interestingly, we also found an independent association between ID genotype and diabetic nephropathy among the Chinese when patients were further subgrouped according to the severity of nephropathy. Although the ID genotype is generally the most frequent type of *ACE* genotype,^[54] the plausibility of ID genotype as a potential predictor for diabetic nephropathy is highly unlikely. The D allele was thought to behave as a recessive trait, requiring the presence of two alleles to contribute to the progression of ESRF.^[42] In addition, the II, ID and DD genotypes were previously demonstrated to display lowest, intermediate and highest levels of serum ACE levels, respectively.^[19] In line with this, the DD genotype has always been held accountable for exerting its deleterious effects on various disease pathogenesis including diabetic nephropathy.

We did not observe any gender-dependent association between the *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism and T2DM or diabetic nephropathy. Although an increase in D allele frequencies was observed among the females, it was not significant enough to alter the genotypic presentation that was thought to be a predisposing factor for the progression of renal disease. The influence of gender on the deterioration of renal function remains controversial. Many have suggested that decline in renal function of patients with chronic renal disease is more rapid in men than in women.^[55] The receptor-mediated effects of sex hormone estrogen was thought to exert potent antioxidant actions contributing to renoprotective effects in females. In contrast, other reports point to females being predisposed to diabetic renal disease.^[56]

We conclude that in this study the role of *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism susceptibility to both T2DM and/or diabetic nephropathy was not demonstrated. Factors including ethnicity and gender did not alter the null influences of *ACE* I/D gene polymorphism on disease patterns in our population. Although statistically sufficient sample sizes were used in the present study, it would be beneficial to extend the sample size to confirm and validate our present findings. In addition, profiling of polymorphic genes encoding for components of the RAS might confer relevant insight into genetic predisposition to diabetic nephropathy.

Acknowledgments

We wish to express our deep gratitude to our academic statistician, Assoc. Prof. Karuthan Chinna, and population geneticist, Dr. Tang Pek Yee, for their invaluable contribution.

References

- 1. Marshal SM. Recent advances in diabetic nephropathy. Postgrad Med J 2004;80:624-33.
- Ruggenenti P, Remuzzi G. Nephropathy of type 1 and type 2 diabetes: Diverse pathophysiology, same treatment. Nephrol Dial Trans 2000;15:1900-2.
- Molitch ME, DeFronzo RA, Franz MJ, Keane WF, Mogensen CE, Parving HH, *et al*. Nephropathy in diabetes. Diabetes Care 2004;27:S79-83.
- 4. Lim YN, Lim TO, Lee DG, Wong HS, Ong LM, Shaariah W, et al. A report of the Malaysian dialysis registry of

the National Renal Registry, Malaysia. Med J Malaysia 2008;63:5-8.

- United States Renal Data System (USRDS). Annual Data Report: Atlas of Chronic Kidney Disease and End-Stage Renal Disease in the United States. Bethesda, Maryland: National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; 2008.
- Ritz E, Orth SR. Nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 1999;341:1127-33.
- Evans TC, Capell P. Diabetic nephropathy. Clin Diabetes 2000;18:7-13.
- Appel GB, Radhakrishnan J, Avram MM, Defronzo RA, Escobar-Jimenez F, Campos MM, *et al.* Analysis of metabolic parameters as predictors of risk in the RENAAL study. Diabetes Care 2003;26:1402-7.
- Sawicki PT, Didjurgeit U, Muhlhauser I, Bender R, Heinemann L, Berger M. Smoking is associated with progression of diabetic nephropathy. Diabetes Care 1994;17:126-31.
- Fogarty DG, Hanna LS, Wantman M, Warram JH, Krowleski AS, Rich SS. Segregation analysis of urinary albumin excretion in families with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 2000;49:1057-63.
- Pollex RL, Mamakeesick M, Zinman B, Harris SB, Hegele RA, Hanley AJ. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma polymorphism Pro12Ala is associated with nephropathy in type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes Complications 2007;21:166-71.
- Ksiazek P, Wojewoda P, Muc K, Buraczynska M. Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene intron 4 polymorphism in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Mol Diagn 2003;7:119-23.
- Makni K, Jarraya F, Reba M, Mnif F, Boudawara M, Hamza N, *et al.* Risk genotypes and haplotypes of the GLUT1 gene for type 2 diabetic nephropathy in the Tunisian population. Ann Hum Biol 2008;35:490-8.
- So WY, Ma RC, Ozaki R, Tong PC, Ng MC, Ho CS, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibition in type 2 diabetic patients: Interaction with ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism. Kidney Int 2006;69:1438-43.
- 15. Mtiraoui N, Ezzidi I, Chaieb M, Marmouche H, Aouni Z, Chaieb A, *et al.* MTHFR C677T and A1298C gene polymorphisms and hyperhomocysteinemia as risk factors of diabetic nephropathy in type 2 diabetes patients. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2007;75:99-106.
- Ilhan N, Kahraman N, Seckin D, Ilhan, N, Colak R. Apo E gene polymorphism on development of diabetic nephropathy. Cell Biochem Funct 2007;25:527-32.
- 17. Ahluwalia TS, Ahuja M, Rai TS, Kohli HS, Bhansali A, Sud K, *et al.* ACE variants interact with the RAS pathway to confer risk and protection against type 2 diabetic nephropathy. DNA Cell Biol 2009;28:141-50.
- Movvaa S, Alluric RV, Komandur S, Vattam K, Eppa K, Mukkavali KK, *et al.* Relationship of angiotensin-converting enzyme gene polymorphism with nephropathy associated with Type 2 diabetes mellitus in Asian Indians. J Diabetes Complications 2007;21:237-41.
- Rigat B, Hubert C, Alhenc-Gelas F, Cambien F, Corvol P, Soubrier F. An insertion/deletion polymorphism in the angiotensin I-converting enzyme gene accounting for half the variance of serum enzyme levels. J Clin Invest 1990;86:1343-6.
- 20. Bhavani BA, Padma1 T, Sastry BKS, Krishna Reddy N,

Nausheen K. The Insertion I/ Deletion D polymorphism of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) gene increase the susceptibility to hypertension and or diabetes. Int J Hum Genet 2005;5:247-52.

- Cousterousse O, Allegrini J, Lopez M, Alhenc–Gelas F. Angiotensin I-converting enzyme in human circulating mononuclear cell: Genetic polymorphism of expression in T–lymphocytes. Biochem J 1993;290:33-40.
- 22. Fielding BA, Price DA, Houlton CA. Enzyme immunoassay for urinary albumin. Clin Chem 1983;29:355-7.
- Hellsing K. Influenced polymer on the antigen-antibody reaction in a continuous flow system. In Automated Immunoprecipitin Reactions. Colloquium on AIP. New York: Technicon Inst Corp; 1972. p. 17.
- 24. Jaffe MZ. Methods determining creatinine. Physiol Chem 1886;10:39-40.
- Levey AS, Coresh J, Balk E, Kausz AT, Levin A, Steffers MW, et al. National Kidney Foundation practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: Evaluation, classification, and stratification. Ann Intern Med 2003;139:137-47.
- 26. American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2008. Diabetes Care 2008;31:S12-54.
- Goldstein DE, Little RR, Wiedmeyer HM, England JD, McKenzie EM. Glycated hemoglobin: Methodologies and clinical applications. Clin Chem 1986;32:B64-70.
- Jeppsson JO, Kobold U, Finke A, Hoelzel W, Hoshino T, Miedema K, *et al.* Approved IFCC reference method for the measurement of HbA1C in human blood. Clin Chem Lab Med 2002;40:78-89.
- Rautela GS, Hall RG, Bekiesz CL, Wermus GR. A kinetic method for the rapid and automatic measurement of triglycerides in biological fluids. Clin Chem 1974;20:857.
- Jayapalan JJ, Muniandy S, Chan SP. Angiotensin-1 converting enzyme I/D gene polymorphism: Scenario in Malaysia. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2008;39:917-21.
- Raymond M, Rousset F. GENEPOP (version 1.2): Population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. J Heredity 1995;86:248-9.
- Parving HH, Mauer M, Ritz E. Diabetic Nephropathy. In: Brenner BM, editor. Brenner and Rector's the kidney. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Publ; 2004. p. 1777-805.
- Ha SK, Seo JK. Insertion/deletion polymorphism in ACE gene as a predictor for progression of diabetic nephropathy. Kidney Int Suppl 1997;52:S28–32.
- Kunz R, Bork JP, Fritsche L, Ringel J, Sharma AR. Association between the angiotensin-converting enzymeinsertion/deletion polymorphism and diabetic nephropathy: A methodologic appraisal and systematic review. J Am Soc Nephrol 1998;9:1653-63.
- Tarnow L, Gluud C, Parving HH. Diabetic nephropathy and the insertion/deletion angiotensin-converting enzyme gene. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1998;13:1125-30.
- Fujisawa T, Ikegami H, Kawaguchi Y, Hamada Y, Ueda H, Shintani M, *et al.* Meta-analysis of association of insertion/deletion polymorphism of angiotensin I-converting enzyme gene with diabetic nephropathy and retinopathy. Diabetologia 1998;41:47-53.
- 37. Ng DP, Tai BC, Koh D, Tan KW, Chia KS. Angiotensin-1 converting enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphism and its association with diabetic nephropathy: A meta-analysis of studies reported between 1994 and 2004 and comprising

14,727 subjects. Diabetologia 2005;48:1008-16.

- Jeffers BW, Estacio RO, Raynolds MV, Schrier RW. Angiotensin-converting enzyme gene polymorphism in non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus and its relationship with diabetic nephropathy. Kidney Int 1997;52:473-7.
- Moleda P, Majkowska L, Safranow K, Adler G, Goracy I. Relationship between I/D polymorphism of angiotensin I converting enzyme gene and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetic patients. Przegl Lek 2007;64:134-9.
- 40. Hseih MC, Lin SR, Hseih TJ, Hsu CH, Chen HC, Shin SJ, *et al.* Increased frequency of angiotensin-converting enzyme DD genotype in patients with type 2 diabetes in Taiwan. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2000;15:1008-13.
- 41. Doi Y, Yoshizumi H, Yoshinari M, lino K, Yamamoto M, Ichikawa K, *et al.* Association between a polymorphism in the angiotensin converting enzyme gene and microvascular complications in Japanese patients with NIDDM. Diabetologia 1996;39:97-102.
- 42. Park HC, Choi SR, Kim BS, Lee TH, Kang BS, Choi KH, et al. Polymorphism of the ACE Gene in dialysis patients: Overexpression of DD genotype in type 2 diabetic endstage renal failure patients. Yonsei Med J 2005;46:779-87.
- Wong TY, Chan JC, Poon E, Li PK. Lack of association of angiotensin-converting enzyme (DD/II) and angiotensinogen M235T gene polymorphism with renal function among Chinese patients with type II diabetes. Am J Kidney Dis 1999;33:1064-70.
- 44. Wang Y, Ng MC, So WY, Tong PC, Ma RC, Chow CC, et al. Prognostic effect of insertion/deletion polymorphism of the ACE gene on renal and cardiovascular clinical outcomes in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2005;28:348-54.
- Viswanathan V, Zhu Y, Bala K, Dunn S, Snehalatha C, Ramachandran A, *et al.* Association between ACE gene polymorphism and diabetic nephropathy in South Indian patients. JOP 2001;2:83-7.
- Kumar A, Mohindru K, Sehajpal PK. Angiotensin-I converting enzyme polymorphism and diabetic nephropathy in North India. Int J Hum Genet 2005;5:279-83.
- 47. Eroglu Z, Cetinkalp S, Erdogan M, Kosova B, Karadeniz M, Kutukculer A, *et al.* Association of the angiotensinogen M235T and angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/ deletion gene polymorphisms in Turkish type 2 diabetic

patients with and without nephropathy. J Diabetes Complications 2008;22:186-90.

- Ergen HA, Hatemi H, Agachan B, Camlica H, Isbir T. Angiotensin-I converting enzyme gene polymorphism in Turkish type 2 diabetic patients. Exp Mol Med 2004;36:345-50.
- 49. Ramachandran V, Ismail P, Stanslas J, Shamsudin N, Moin S, Mohd Jas R. Association of insertion/deletion polymorphism of angiotensin-converting enzyme gene with essential hypertension and type 2 diabetes mellitus in Malaysian subjects. J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst 2008;9:208-14.
- Sasongko TH, Sadewa AH, Kusuma PA, Damanik MP, Lee MJ, Ayaki H, *et al.* ACE gene polymorphism in children with nephrotic syndrome in the Indonesian population. Kobe J Med Sci 2005;51:41-47.
- Grimm R, Rettig R. Association studies between the angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphism and hypertension: Still interesting. J Hypertens 2002;20:1049-51.
- 52. Feng Y, Niu T, Xu X, Chen C, Li Q, Qian R, *et al.* Insertion/ deletion polymorphism of the ACE gene is associated with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 2002;51:1986-8.
- 53. Daimon M, Oizumi T, Saitoh T, Kameda W, Hirata A, Yamaguchi H, *et al.* The D allele of the angiotensinconverting enzyme insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphism is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes in a population-based Japanese sample. Endocr J 2003;50:393-8.
- 54. Araz M, Yilmaz N, Gungor K, Okan V, Kepekci Y, Aynacioglu AS. Angiotensin-converting enzyme gene polymorphism and microvascular complications in Turkish type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2001;54:95-104.
- 55. Savage S, Nagel NJ, Estacio RO, Lukken N, Schrier RW. Clinical factors associated with urinary albumin excretion in type II diabetes. Am J Kidney Dis 1995;25:836-44.
- Garza R, Medina R, Basu S, Pugh JA. Predictors of the rate of renal function decline in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Am J Nephrol 1997;17:59-67.

Source of Support: Nil Conflict of Interest: None declared.

86