

Research Article

Effect of Laryngeal Mask Air Way Insertion versus Endotracheal Intubation over Hemodynamic Responses in Pediatrics Patient Who Underwent Ophthalmic Surgery at Menelik II Hospital, Addis Ababa: A Prospective Observational Study Design

Mohammed Suleiman Obsa ¹, Azeb Lencha Sholla,² Betelhem Girma Baraki,² Getahun Dendir Welde ¹, Temesgen Bati Gelgel,³ and Melese Meleku Kuruche⁴

¹Wolaita Sodo University, Anesthesia Department, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia

²Addis Ababa University, Anesthesia Department, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

³Wolaita Sodo University, School of Public Health, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia

⁴Wolaita Sodo University, School of Nursing, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia

Correspondence should be addressed to Mohammed Suleiman Obsa; msuleiman43@gmail.com

Received 19 February 2020; Accepted 16 March 2020; Published 1 June 2020

Academic Editor: Davide Cattano

Copyright © 2020 Mohammed Suleiman Obsa et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. The airway of an anesthetized child is usually maintained with an endotracheal tube or laryngeal mask airway. However, both are related with some level of pressor response which may be risky in several groups of patient. **Methods.** An institutional-based prospective observational study design was employed. A systematic random sampling technique was used to select study participants. Data were entered into Epi info version 7 and transported to SPSS version 20 for analysis. Normality of the data was checked using Shapiro–Wilk tests. An independent *t* test was used to determine the mean differences between the two groups while the paired sample *t* test was used to determine the mean differences within the groups. A *p* value of less than 0.05 was used as a cut-off point for the presence of association. **Results.** The changes in systolic and diastolic blood pressure were returned to baseline values at five and three minutes in both groups, respectively. However, the changes in the heart rate and mean arterial pressure returned to baseline values in five minutes in the ETT group and three minutes in the LMA group. At baseline, the difference in systolic blood pressure between the two groups was not statistically significant ($p = 0.328$). **Conclusions.** A significant hemodynamic pressor response was observed after the insertion of both LMA and ETT groups. However, the LMA group has less hemodynamic change as compared to the ETT group. Therefore, the practice of LMA insertion was strongly recommended.

1. Background

Laryngeal mask airway (LMA) and endotracheal tube (ETT) intubation are among the most important artificial airway devices used at the time of general anesthesia [1]. Traditionally, ETT insertion has been recognized as the foundation of maintaining adequate airway management. LMA offers a much less invasive way of maintaining airway as it does not pass through glottis. Both are noxious stimuli which elicit transient or marked sympathetic response [2].

The increased blood pressure and heart rate occurring due to reflex sympathetic discharge from response of laryngotracheal stimulation may have little consequences in healthy individuals, but may be more severe or even dangerous in patients with hypertension, myocardial insufficiency, and cardiovascular disease [3, 4]. In addition, the sudden rise in blood pressure can lead to left ventricular failure, cerebral hemorrhage, and myocardial ischaemia [5].

Many drugs and techniques have been used to attenuate pressor responses following intubation, but no single technique has gained universal acceptance. Use of LMA in

place of ETT tube has been shown to have less hemodynamic response as its insertion requires neither visualization of cords nor the penetration of larynx [3]. Some studies found differences in hemodynamic changes [6–8], but other did not find any difference between LMA and ETT [6].

Many studies have shown the effect of different combinations of anesthetic drugs on reducing the side effect of tracheal intubation on patients' hemodynamic parameters [9, 10]. Despite of combinations of various anesthetic agents used, there was higher increase in hemodynamic changes in the ETT group than in the LMA group [11, 12]. However, a significant difference was not observed with propofol anesthesia [13]. Similarly, administering sevoflurane and remifentanyl combination did not find significant difference in hemodynamic changes [14].

In this study, we compared hemodynamic changes after LMA insertion and endotracheal ETT intubation to find out a better way of controlling the airway.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Setting. An institutional-based prospective observational study design was carried out at Menelik II Hospital from February 30 to April 30, 2017.

2.2. Study Participants. All pediatric patients who underwent elective ophthalmic surgery at Menelik II referral hospital were source population while all selected elective pediatric patients who underwent ophthalmic surgery with ETT or LMA at Menelik II hospital from February 30 to April 30, 2017 were study populations. In this study, ASA I and ASA II pediatric patients aged between 1–12 years, patients with anticipated difficult air way, patients who underwent only mask ventilation, and parents who are unwilling to give written consent were excluded.

2.2.1. Sample Size. Continuous outcomes formula was used to calculate the sample size based on a previous study done in India [15], which showed a heart rate mean and standard deviation of 121.16 ± 19.90 and 111.24 ± 9.20 among the endotracheal intubation and laryngeal mask airway groups, respectively.

$$n = (S_1^2 + S_2^2) \left(Z \frac{\alpha}{2} + Z\beta \right)^2, \quad (1)$$

$$(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2,$$

where $Z \alpha/2 = 1.96$ for a $p = 0.05$ (95% confidence interval), $Z\beta = 0.84$ for 20% beta error, S = standard deviation, and μ = heart rate mean.

$$n = (19.90)^2 + (9.20)^2 (1.96 + 0.84)^2 (121.16 - 111.24)^2,$$

$$n = 38 \text{ patients in each group.} \quad (2)$$

Sample size was increased by 10% in order to replace for any dropouts so that the total sample size (n) became 42 in each group.

2.2.2. Sampling Procedure. A systematic random sampling technique was used to select study participants. The mid-year population from situational analysis was 1080, and the size of population in 2 months was 360. Accordingly, the calculated sampling interval $K_{th} = N/n = 360/84 = 4$ and then, a random number 2 was chosen by a lottery method and every 4th subsequent patients on the day of data collection were included. This process was continued till the required sample size was achieved.

2.3. Data Collection Tools and Procedures. Structured questionnaires were used to gather information from patient's charts and to interview patient's family. Two Bachelor of Science (BSC) holder's and one Master of Science (MSC) holder's anesthetists with at least four year work experience were involved as data collectors and supervisors, respectively. Preoperative vital signs were considered as baseline line vital signs. Monitoring included electrocardiography, heart rate, pulse oximetry, end-tidal carbon dioxide measurement, and noninvasive blood pressure were applied, and an intravenous (IV) access was secured. Jaw thrust with mask ventilation was used for 3 min with 2% halothane in oxygen. All patients were induced by 2.5 mg/kg propofol, 1 mg/kg of ketamine, and 0.8 mg/kg pethidine followed by induction of anesthesia with 1 mg/kg of suxamethonium. After ensuring adequacy of ventilation, vecuronium bromide 0.1 mg/kg was given for neuromuscular (NM) blockade. Surgery was started after five minutes of insertion of airway devices. Anesthesia was maintained using halothane in oxygen and vecuronium top ups for NM blockade. At the end of the surgery, the residual NM blockade was antagonized with neostigmine and atropine in appropriate dosages.

2.3.1. Data Quality Assurance. A pretest was done on 5% of the sample size outside study area. Training was given for data collectors and supervisors. Investigator made regular supervision and follow-up.

2.3.2. Data Management and Analyzing Procedure. Data were entered into Epi info version 7 computer programs and exported to SPSS version 20 computer programs for further analysis and cleaning. Before further analysis, normality of the data was checked using Shapiro–Wilk tests. An independent sample t test and a paired sample t test were used to determine the mean differences between the two groups and within the groups, respectively. In the meantime a p value < 0.05 was used to determine the presence of association.

2.4. Operational Definitions

Hemodynamic changes: changes in vital signs to airway manipulation.

Vital Signs: in this study it included BP, MAP, and PR.

Easy insertion: insertion of ETT or LMA in one attempt.

Difficult insertion: insertion of ETT or LMA more than two attempts.

3. Result

3.1. Socio-Demographic Characteristics. A total of 84 pediatric ophthalmic patients were enrolled in the study of which the mean age of respondents for LMA was $6.45 \pm \text{SD}$ (3.217) while the mean age of respondents for the ETT group was $6.57 \pm \text{SD}$ (3.013). The overall mean age of respondents was $7.10 \pm \text{SD}$ (6.033) (minimum 1 and maximum 12). It was also found that the overall mean weight of patients was $23.38 \pm \text{SD}$ (15.803) (minimum 10 and maximum 32). 95.23% and 92.85% of patients were intubated with one attempt of LMA and ETT insertion, respectively (Table 1).

3.2. Mean Heart Rate of Pediatric Patients. The mean changes in the heart rate following ETT intubation and LMA insertion were 29.63 and 15.48, respectively. The elevation in the heart rate significantly persisted for a longer period of time in the ETT group. These elevations were returned to the baseline value in 5 minutes in the ETT group and 3 minutes in the LMA group. By 5 minutes, there was no significant difference between the two groups (p value = 0.627) (Table 2).

3.3. Mean Systolic Blood Pressure of Pediatric Patients. At baseline, the difference in systolic blood pressure (SBP) between the two groups was not statistically significant ($p = 0.328$). The increase within and between the two groups was statistically significant after insertion at ($p < 0.05$). It took 5 minutes for both ETT and LMA values to return to baseline (Table 3).

3.4. Mean Diastolic Blood Pressure of Pediatric Patients. The baseline diastolic blood pressure was comparable between the two groups. After insertion, both groups showed an increase in diastolic blood pressure (DBP) that was statistically significant within and between the groups. At three minutes, the difference within the LMA group was not statistically significant (p value = 0.143) while the difference within the ETT group was statistically significant (p value = 0.003) (Table 4).

3.5. Mean Arterial Blood Pressure of Pediatric Patients. There is no significant difference in the baseline mean arterial pressure (MAP) between the two groups. After instrumentation, the ETT group had an increase in MAP that

was higher and more persistent as compared to the LMA group (Table 5).

4. Discussion

Pediatric ophthalmic surgery usually requires general anesthesia and tracheal intubation that may have deleterious effects on cardiovascular function [16, 17]. LMA has been found to be superior to tracheal intubation in terms of maintaining stable vital signs [18] but positive pressure ventilation could become a challenge in certain cases. LMA offers the advantage of providing a better seal in the oropharynx to allow ventilation at much higher pressure and to protect the stomach from gastric insufflation [19]. In our country Ethiopia, pressor response to insertion of supraglottic devices have not been compared to tracheal intubation and changes in vital signs following insertion have not been evaluated.

According to the result of the present study, there was a variation in hemodynamic parameters after the insertion of both LMA and ETT. Similarly, another study also found that a significant increase in mean value was observed among the ETT group [20, 21]. The findings of our study were also closely correlated with another multiple clinical studies as hemodynamic changes were less during the LMA placement than during tracheal intubation [22–24]. This may be likely due to insertion of an LMA is easier and takes a shorter time as compared to the ETT insertion so that the degree of stimulating sympathetic nervous system was slower and shorter.

According to the result of the present study, the LMA group showed a significant increase in HR and SBP, following insertion. However, the changes in the LMA group were significantly lower compared to the ETT group which agrees with other study [25]. This may be because LMA did not go through the trachea subsequently that caused less stimulation of the supraglottic region causing less activation of the hemodynamic response reflex. This explanation was supported by another study [26, 27].

Similar to our study, several other studies have demonstrated that the hemodynamic response to LMA is short lived as compared to that of ETT [23, 27]. The results of this study are also similar to those of the study done in Nigeria and Austria [20, 28]. The greater and more persistent changes in cardiovascular parameters seen with the ETT group is probably due to the sympathoadrenal response caused by stimulation of the supraglottic region and that of the trachea [23]. This may also be likely due to insertion of laryngoscope with ETT may take longer time to perform which could translate to a longer stimulation period, leading to a greater hemodynamic response [29].

The limitations of this study were a large-scale prospective study that could not be conducted because of the time constraint and double blinding which was not possible due to operation theatre setup. This could mean that an element of observation bias was not completely removed from the study.

TABLE 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of study subjects.

Group	Number	Age in years mean \pm SD	Weight (kgs) mean \pm SD	Sex		ASA	
				Male	Female	ASA I	ASA II
LMA	42	6.45 \pm 3.217	20.90 \pm 6.435	20 (47.6%)	22 (52.4%)	38 (90.5%)	4 (9.5%)
ETT	42	6.57 \pm 3.013	23.48 \pm 15.810	21 (50%)	21 (50%)	40 (95.2%)	2 (4.8%)

TABLE 2: The mean heart rate among ETT and LMA of study subjects.

Heart rate	ETT mean \pm SD	<i>p</i> value for difference within ETT group	LMA Mean \pm SD	<i>p</i> value for difference within LMA group	<i>p</i> value for difference between ETT and LMA groups
Baseline HR	113.55 \pm 25.885	—	116.86 \pm 26.276	—	0.546
After insertion	143.18 \pm 26.368	0.000	132.34 \pm 26.453	0.000	0.003
At 1 min.	142.24 \pm 25.236	0.000	130.78 \pm 25.342	0.000	0.001
At 3 min.	139.24 \pm 25.324	0.000	115.64 \pm 22.158	0.156	0.316
At 5 min.	112.86 \pm 24.467	0.328	110.43 \pm 18.948	1.238	0.627

TABLE 3: Mean systolic blood pressure among ETT and LMA of study subjects.

Systolic blood pressure	ETT mean \pm SD	<i>p</i> value for difference within ETT group	LMA Mean \pm SD	<i>p</i> value for difference within LMA group	<i>p</i> value for difference between ETT and LMA groups
Baseline SBP	109.67 \pm 19.034	—	110.95 \pm 13.458	—	0.328
After insertion	132.58 \pm 23.863	0.000	122.42 \pm 14.165	0.000	0.004
At 1 min.	131.68 \pm 24.376	0.000	122.85 \pm 13.254	0.002	0.336
At 3 min.	125.45 \pm 22.862	0.468	115.12 \pm 12.247	0.078	0.439
At 5 min.	109.15 \pm 17.186	0.124	109.64 \pm 12.125	0.146	0.303

TABLE 4: Mean diastolic blood pressure among ETT and LMA of study subjects.

Diastolic blood pressure	ETT mean \pm SD	<i>p</i> value for difference within ETT group	LMA Mean \pm SD	<i>p</i> value difference within LMA group	<i>p</i> value for difference between ETT and LMA groups
Baseline DBP	73.45 \pm 14.492	—	72.31 \pm 11.104	—	0.532
After insertion	84.32 \pm 15.860	0.000	78.16 \pm 15.134	0.000	0.002
At 1 min.	85.27 \pm 16.103	0.000	74.28 \pm 14.176	0.000	0.213
At 3 min.	73.85 \pm 13.628	0.003	72.25 \pm 10.173	0.143	0.219
At 5 min.	70.44 \pm 12.182	0.274	69.35 \pm 10.114	0.154	0.623

TABLE 5: Mean arterial blood pressure among ETT and LMA of study subjects.

MAP	ETT mean \pm SD	<i>p</i> value for difference within ETT group	LMA Mean \pm SD	<i>p</i> value for difference within LMA group	<i>p</i> value for difference between ETT and LMA groups
Baseline MAP	91.79 \pm 14.851	—	86.38 \pm 12.253	—	0.015
After insertion	115.14 \pm 17.213	0.000	106.64 \pm 18.135	0.000	0.001
At 1 min.	112.34 \pm 16.947	0.000	100.84 \pm 18.214	0.006	0.416
At 3 min.	98.48 \pm 16.153	0.007	85.78 \pm 10.378	0.035	0.115
At 5 min.	89.58 \pm 14.143	0.454	84.14 \pm 10.211	0.113	0.237

5. Conclusions

In this study, the magnitude and extent of increase in the heart rate, systolic blood pressure, and mean arterial pressure were significantly higher after endotracheal intubation than LMA insertion. Therefore, anesthetists should commonly use LMA for providing adequate airway management and reserve ETT for those who are contraindicated to use LMA. Further studies should be conducted on larger populations using a comparative randomized clinical trial to get a bigger picture of how the effect of the LMA and ETT would be in the Ethiopian population.

Abbreviations

AAU: Addis Ababa University
LMA: Laryngeal mask airway
ETT: Endotracheal tube.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Ethical Approval

Ethical approval was obtained from Addis Ababa University ethical review committee.

Consent

Informed written consent was secured from every guardian of study participants. Confidentiality and anonymity were ensured.

Disclosure

The funder has no role in study design, analysis, manuscript preparation, and decision for publication.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

AL has contributed to conception, design of the study, data acquisition, data entry, data analyses, result interpretation, manuscript development, and revision. MS, MM, BG, GD, and TB have contributed to conception, initial design of the study, data acquisition, data analyses, result interpretation, and manuscript development. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Addis Ababa University for providing financial support.

References

- [1] L. Kilickan, N. Baykara, Y. Gurkan, and K. Toker, "The effect on intraocular pressure of endotracheal intubation or laryngeal mask use during TIVA without the use of muscle relaxants," *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 343–346, 1999.
- [2] B. Puthur, "A comparative study on cardiovascular response and ease of insertion in classical laryngeal mask airway, Proseal Laryngeal Mask Airway and I-Gel during Surgery under General Anaesthesia," *Journal of Evidence Based Medicine and Healthcare*, vol. 2, no. 20, 2015.
- [3] L. Dubé and J.-C. Granry, "The therapeutic use of magnesium in anesthesiology, intensive care and emergency medicine: a review," *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia/Journal Canadien D'anesthésie*, vol. 50, no. 7, pp. 732–746, 2003.
- [4] M. Ziyaeifard, R. Azarfarin, and G. Massoumi, "A comparison of intraocular pressure and hemodynamic responses to insertion of laryngeal mask airway or endotracheal tube using anesthesia with propofol and remifentanyl in cataract surgery," *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 503–507, 2012.
- [5] C. A. Hagberg, *Benumof's Airway Management*, Elsevier Health Sciences, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2007.
- [6] B. Ghai, A. Sharma, and S. Akhtar, "Comparative evaluation of intraocular pressure changes subsequent to insertion of laryngeal mask airway and endotracheal tube," *Journal of Postgraduate Medicine*, vol. 47, no. 3, p. 181, 2001.
- [7] J. Igboke, I. Desalu, F. Akinsola, and O. Kushimo, "Intraocular pressure changes in a Nigerian population--effects of tracheal tube and laryngeal mask airway insertion and removal," *The Nigerian Postgraduate Medical Journal*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 99–104, 2009.
- [8] M. Gulati, M. Mohta, S. Ahuja, and V. P. Gupta, "Comparison of laryngeal mask airway with tracheal tube for ophthalmic surgery in paediatric patients," *Anaesthesia and Intensive Care*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 383–389, 2004.
- [9] L. Bouvet, X. Da-Col, T. Rimmelé, B. Allaouchiche, D. Chassard, and E. Boselli, "Optimal remifentanyl dose for laryngeal mask airway insertion when co-administered with a single standard dose of propofol," *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia/Journal Canadien D'anesthésie*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 222–229, 2010.
- [10] W. J. Jeon, K. H. Kim, J. K. Suh, and S. Y. Cho, "The use of remifentanyl to facilitate the insertion of the Cobra perilaryngeal airway," *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, vol. 108, no. 5, pp. 1505–1509, 2009.
- [11] A. M. Whitford, S. W. Hone, B. O'hare, J. Magner, and P. Eustace, "Intra-ocular pressure changes following laryngeal mask airway insertion: a comparative study," *Anaesthesia*, vol. 52, no. 8, pp. 794–796, 1997.
- [12] M. Ziyaeifard, R. Azarfarin, and G. Massoumi, "A comparison of intraocular pressure and hemodynamic responses to insertion of laryngeal mask airway or endotracheal tube using anesthesia with propofol and remifentanyl in cataract surgery," *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences: The Official Journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences*, vol. 17, no. 17, pp. 503–507, 2012.
- [13] T. M. Akhtar, P. McMurray, W. J. Kerr, and G. N. C. Kenny, "A comparison of laryngeal mask airway with tracheal tube for intra-ocular ophthalmic surgery," *Anaesthesia*, vol. 47, no. 8, pp. 668–671, 1992.
- [14] H. K. Eltzschig, R. Darsow, T. H. Schroeder, H. Hettesheimer, and H. Guggenberger, "Effect of tracheal intubation or

- laryngeal mask airway insertion on intraocular pressure using balanced anesthesia with sevoflurane and remifentanyl," *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 264–267, 2001.
- [15] T. Abulu, *Assessment of Laryngeal Mask Airway Insertion Conditions, With Co-Administration Of Thiopentone, Fentanyl And Halothane*, AAU, Anand, Gujarat, 2015.
- [16] J. E. Wynands and D. E. Crowell, "Intraocular tension in association with succinylcholine and endotracheal intubation: a preliminary report," *Canadian Anaesthetists' Society Journal*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 39–43, 1960.
- [17] J. Badheka, R. Jadliwala, V. Chhaya, V. Parmar, A. Vasani, and A. Rajyaguru, "I-gel as an alternative to endotracheal tube in adult laparoscopic surgeries: a comparative study," *Journal of Minimal Access Surgery*, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 251, 2015.
- [18] K. Lamb, M. F. M. James, and P. K. Janicki, "The laryngeal mask airway for intraocular surgery: effects on intraocular pressure and stress responses," *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 143–147, 1992.
- [19] C. Keller and J. Brimacombe, "Mucosal pressure and oropharyngeal leak pressure with the ProSeal versus laryngeal mask airway in anaesthetized paralysed patients," *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, vol. 85, no. 2, pp. 262–266, 2000.
- [20] W. Oczenski, H. Krenn, A. A. Dahaba et al., "Hemodynamic and catecholamine stress responses to insertion of the combitube[registered sign], laryngeal mask airway or tracheal intubation," *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, vol. 88, no. 6, pp. 1389–1394, 1999.
- [21] A. N. Shetty, V. Shinde, and L. Chaudhari, "A comparative study of various airway devices as regards ease of insertion and haemodynamic responses," *Indian Journal of Anaesthesia*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 134–137, 2004.
- [22] M. H. Eghbal and M. A. Sahmeddini, "Comparison larygeal mask airway with the endotracheal tube for the external dacryocystorhionostomy surgery. A randomized clinical trial," *Middle East Journal of Anaesthesiology*, vol. 22, no. 22, pp. 283–288, 2013.
- [23] T. Asai and S. Morris, "The laryngeal mask airway: its features, effects and role," *Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia*, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 930–960, 1994.
- [24] J. E. Mandel, "Laryngeal mask airways in ear, nose, and throat procedures," *Anesthesiology Clinics*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 469–483, 2010.
- [25] D. R. Derbyshire, A. Chmielewski, D. Fell, M. Vater, K. Achola, and G. Smith, "Plasma catecholamine responses to tracheal intubation," *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, vol. 55, no. 9, pp. 855–860, 1983.
- [26] K. Shah, "ProSeal laryngeal mask airway as an alternative to standard endotracheal tube in securing upper airway in the patients undergoing beating-heart coronary artery bypass grafting," *Annals of Cardiac Anaesthesia*, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 61, 2017.
- [27] S. Takahashi, T. Mizutani, M. Miyabe, and H. Toyooka, "Hemodynamic responses to tracheal intubation with laryngoscope versus lightwand intubating device (trachlight) in adults with normal airway," *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, vol. 95, no. 2, pp. 480–484, 2002.
- [28] K. J. Khalid, *Comparison of Haemodynamic Responses to Laryngeal Mask Airway Insertion and Laryngoscopy with Endotracheal Intubation in Adults Undergoing Elective Surgery at Muhimbili*, Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, 2012.
- [29] M. J. L. Bucx, R. T. M. GEEL, P. A. E. Scheck, and T. Stijnen, "Cardiovascular effects of forces applied during laryngoscopy," *Anaesthesia*, vol. 47, no. 12, pp. 1029–1033, 1992.