

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Androgen deprivation therapy and excess mortality in men with prostate cancer during the initial phase of the COVID-19 pandemic

Rolf Gedeberg^{1*}, Johan Styrke², Stacy Loeb³, Hans Garmo^{1,4}, Pär Stattin¹

1 Department of Surgical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, **2** Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences, Urology and Andrology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden, **3** Department of Urology and Population Health, New York University and Manhattan Veterans Affairs Medical Center, New York, New York, **4** Translational Oncology and Urology Research (TOUR), King's College London, Guy's Hospital, London, United Kingdom

* rolf.gedeberg@surgsci.uu.se



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Gedeberg R, Styrke J, Loeb S, Garmo H, Stattin P (2021) Androgen deprivation therapy and excess mortality in men with prostate cancer during the initial phase of the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS ONE* 16(10): e0255966. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255966>

Editor: Zoran Culig, Medizinische Universitat Innsbruck, AUSTRIA

Received: February 12, 2021

Accepted: July 18, 2021

Published: October 7, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Gedeberg et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data used in the present study was extracted from the Prostate Cancer Database Sweden (PCBaSe), which is based on the National Prostate Cancer Register (NPCR) of Sweden and linkage to several national health-data registers. The individual-level data contain potentially identifying and sensitive patient information and cannot be published due to legislation and ethical approval (<https://etikprovning Smyndigheten.se>). Use of the data from national health-data registers is further restricted by the Swedish Board of Health and

Abstract

Background

Men have a higher risk of death from COVID-19 than women and androgens facilitate entrance of the SARS-CoV-2 virus into respiratory epithelial cells. Thus, androgen deprivation therapy may reduce infection rates and improve outcomes for COVID-19. In the spring of 2020, Sweden was highly affected by COVID-19. The aim was to estimate the impact of androgen deprivation therapy on mortality from COVID-19 in men with prevalent prostate cancer by comparing all-cause mortality in the spring of 2020 to that in previous years.

Patients and methods

Using the Prostate Cancer data Base Sweden all men with prostate cancer on March 1 each year in 2015–2020 were followed until June 30 the same year. Exposure to androgen deprivation therapy was ascertained from filled prescriptions for bicalutamide monotherapy, gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists (GnRH), or bilateral orchidectomy.

Results

A total of 9,822 men died in March–June in the years 2015–2020, of whom 5,034 men were on androgen deprivation therapy. There was an excess mortality in 2020 vs previous years in all men. The crude relative mortality rate ratio for 2020 vs 2015–2019 was 0.93 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.83 to 1.04) in men on GnRH, and 0.90 (95% CI 0.78 to 1.05) in men on bicalutamide monotherapy. After multivariable adjustment these ratios were attenuated to 1.00 (95% CI 0.89 to 1.12) and 0.97 (95% CI 0.84 to 1.12), respectively. When restricting the analysis to the regions with the highest incidence of COVID-19 or to the time period between 2 April to 10 June when mortality in 2020 was increased >30% compared to previous years, the results were similar to the main analysis.

Welfare (<https://www.socialstyrelsen.se/en/>) and Statistics Sweden (<https://www.scb.se/en/>) which are Government Agencies providing access to the linked healthcare registers. The data can, however, be retrieved after application made to any of the steering groups of NPCR and PCBaSe. For detailed information, please see www.npcr.se/in-english, where registration forms, manuals, and annual reports from NPCR are available alongside a full list of publications from PCBaSe. The statistical program code used for the present study analyses can be provided on request (contact hans.garmo@rccmellan.se).

Funding: This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council 2020-05866.

Competing interests: Johan Styrke is a co-PI of the Covidenza trial of Enzalutamide for Covid 19: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04475601. Johan Styrke report no financial interest in the present study or in the Covidenza trial. Stacy Loeb reports equity in Gilead. Region Uppsala has, on behalf of NPCR, made agreements on subscriptions for quarterly reports quarterly reports from Patient-overview Prostate Cancer with Astellas, Janssen, and Bayer, as well as research projects with Astellas, Bayer, and Janssen. This does not alter our adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials. All remaining authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Conclusions

In this large national population-based cohort of men with prevalent prostate cancer, there was no clear evidence in support for an effect of androgen deprivation therapy on COVID-19 mortality.

Introduction

Men have consistently been shown to have approximately 50% higher risk of COVID-19 compared to women, and the increase in risk remained after adjustment for risk factors [1, 2]. A similarly increased risk in men has also been observed for critical care admission and death in COVID-19 [2–5]. These differences associated with sex have also been observed in children [6, 7].

One proposed mechanism for the susceptibility of men to COVID-19 is that androgen signaling facilitates entry of the virus into host cells [8]. Internalization of the SARS-CoV-2 virus relies on proteases such as the Transmembrane Protease Serine 2 (TMPRSS2) surface protein expressed by epithelial cells (e.g in the lung). *In vitro*, inhibition of the TMPRSS2 protease activity resulted in decreased entry of SARS-CoV-2 into pulmonary epithelial cells [8]. The regulation of TMPRSS2 expression is androgen-dependent, suggesting that androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) could be protective against COVID-19 [9]. The response to gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists would then from a mechanistic perspective be of primary interest. While this is an interesting hypothesis, further support from patient-relevant clinical outcomes is needed. An early study reported that men with prostate cancer not on ADT had a four-fold increased risk of COVID-19 and a more severe disease compared with men on ADT [10]. However, the results in this study were based on 118 men with COVID-19 of whom merely four were on ADT and 114 were not. Two subsequent small studies failed to replicate this association [11, 12]. Based on these findings, several clinical trials have been initiated to evaluate the benefit of ADT in men with COVID-19 [13]. However, in men with prostate cancer, use of ADT has been associated with adverse effects including an increased risk of cardiovascular disease [14] even within six months after initiation, and this increase has been particularly strong in men with prior cardiovascular disease [15]. Men hospitalized with COVID-19 often have cardiovascular risk factors [16], but it is unknown if very short-term ADT for COVID-19 affects cardiovascular risk. There are also numerous other potential mechanisms that could lead to the observed male susceptibility to COVID-19 [17].

We took advantage of a unique setting in which we had access to diagnosis, treatment and vital status up to July 2020 for virtually all men with prostate cancer in Sweden, with a source population of more than 10 million people that was strongly affected by the COVID-19 pandemic in the spring of 2020.

The aim of this study was to investigate if hormonal treatment for prostate cancer is associated with a reduction of mortality risk related to COVID-19.

Patients and methods

Data source

Men with prostate cancer were identified in The National Prostate Cancer Register (NPCR) of Sweden, which since 1998 captures 98% of all cases of prostate cancer in Sweden as compared to the Swedish Cancer Register to which registration is mandatory. NPCR contains comprehensive data on cancer characteristics and primary treatment [18].

By use of the Personal Identity Number unique for every Swedish citizen, data for men in NPCR diagnosed up to 31 Dec, 2019 was linked in July 2020 (i.e. with follow-up on vital status until 1 July 2020) to the Cancer Register [19], statistics on deaths submitted by the Swedish Tax Agency to Statistics Sweden, the Prescribed Drug Register [20], and the National Patient Register [21] held at The National Board of Health and Welfare [22], to create the Prostate Cancer data Base Sweden (PCBaSe) RAPID 2019. The study was approved by the Ethical Review Authority. Since this was a study exclusively based on existing large-scale registry data the requirement for individual informed consent was waived by the Authority.

Study population and study period

In PCBaSe an open cohort was defined with men with prevalent prostate cancer alive on 1 March 2020 and similar subsets for corresponding months in 2015–2019. Men from three regions in which GnRH agonists were provided directly from the hospital without prescription were excluded. The remaining 18 Swedish regions forming the source population for the study covered 91% of the Swedish population as of 31 December 2019. For complementary analyses, a more restricted time interval was defined from the first to the last date in 2020 with a mortality rate more than 30% above the average mortality rate in 2015–2019.

Separate analyses were also performed within the ten regions with the highest cumulative number of cases of COVID-19 as determined on 28 June 2020 from data published by the Public Health Agency of Sweden (S1 Fig).

Exposure

Exposure to ADT was ascertained from fillings in The Prescribed Drug Register for bicalutamide monotherapy (Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code L02BB03 and also the rare use (~2%) of flutamide ATC code L02BB01), gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists (L02AE). We have previously demonstrated a very high adherence to ADT and that it is rare that a man prescribed GnRH agonists does not continue his medication [23–27]. Men who in addition to GnRH agonist received 30 days of flare prophylaxis with bicalutamide were classified as exposed to GnRH agonist only. Data on bilateral orchidectomy (procedure code KFC10 or KFC15) were extracted from the National Patient Register.

Outcome

The number of deaths per day from all causes was counted by use of the date of death. These counts were used to compare mortality in 1 March to 30 June in 2020 to corresponding months in 2015–2019. Mortality for the entire Swedish population during these time periods is also provided to contextualize the results.

Covariates

Age at death (stratified), county of residence, prostate cancer risk category (absence/presence of distant metastases at the date of prostate cancer diagnosis), history of curative treatment (radical prostatectomy or radiotherapy), the Charlson Comorbidity Index and a Drug Comorbidity Index [28] were used as covariates in regression models. The Charlson Comorbidity Index was calculated from hospital discharge diagnoses registered in the National Patient Register during the 10-year period prior to start of follow-up [29, 30]. The Drug Comorbidity Index was calculated from filled prescriptions during a 365-day interval preceding the start of follow-up. Prescribed medications were categorized by chemical subgroup, i.e. the first five positions of the ATC code [28, 31]. Only ATC codes prevalent in at least 1% of observed deaths

were used to calculate the Drug Comorbidity Index. Calendar year and day in the study period were also used as covariates to generate more comparable time periods.

Statistics

The mortality rates by calendar day for each exposure group and calendar time period were calculated and plotted with locally weighted smoothing (LOESS). Using the log of numbers at risk as offset in a Poisson regression the rate of death was compared between 2020 and the average from previous years. Complementary analyses were performed in which the analyses were restricted to the 10 regions with the highest incidence of COVID-19, and the calendar period between 2 April to 10 June when excess mortality was above 30%.

Results

Baseline characteristics and exposure for study men are described in [Table 1](#).

There was a higher number of deaths from all causes in the general Swedish population during the period 1 March to 30 June 2020 compared to the mean for corresponding periods in the previous five years ([Fig 1](#)). In total, 9,822 men with prostate cancer died during March–June the years 2015–2020, of whom 5,034 men were on ADT and 4,788 men were not on ADT ([Fig 2 and Table 2](#)). The increase in mortality in March–June 2020 was slightly higher in men on GnRH vs men on bicalutamide. There were large differences in mortality rate according to the type of ADT, but the difference in relative mortality rate comparing the year 2020 to the previous five years within exposure groups was small.

Crude comparisons indicated a 7–14% lower excess mortality in men on any ADT compared to men not on ADT and adjustment for potential confounders attenuated these estimates. The crude relative mortality rate ratio comparing the increase in March–June 2020 vs the same period in 2015–2019 for men on any ADT compared to men not on ADT was 0.86 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.78 to 0.95). This difference was attenuated after adjustment for covariates to 0.96 (95% CI 0.87 to 1.06) ([Table 2](#)).

When restricting the analysis to the regions with the highest incidence of COVID-19, the results were essentially identical as in the main analysis ([Table 3](#)). In the analyses restricted to the time period between 2 April to 10 June when mortality in 2020 was increased >30% compared to previous years, men on GnRH had an adjusted relative mortality rate ratio of 0.89 (95% CI 0.77 to 1.03) and men on bicalutamide monotherapy 0.94 (95% CI 0.78 to 1.14).

Discussion

In this population-based cohort of men with prostate cancer, we found no difference in relative excess mortality in men with prostate cancer on GnRH agonists or bicalutamide monotherapy vs those not on ADT during the peak of the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in March–June 2020, compared to the average from corresponding months in 2015–2019. There was only a weak reduction in one of the restricted subgroup analyses, seen in both exposure groups. Considering the mechanistic hypothesis an effect would mainly be expected from exposure to GnRH agonists but no notable difference was seen in the outcome compared to bicalutamide monotherapy. Thus, there was no clear support for the hypothesis that ADT mitigates the course of COVID-19.

The hypothesis that ADT mitigates the course of COVID-19 has been corroborated by *in vitro* studies showing that androgens facilitate cellular uptake of the SARS-CoV-2 virus. In humans, men have a 50% higher risk of infection and hospitalization, critical care admission, and death from COVID-19 compared with women [[32–35](#)]. This increase in risk remained

Table 1. Baseline characteristics.

	2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020	
	(N = 86,400) ^a		(N = 91,403) ^a		(N = 96,212) ^a		(N = 100,712) ^a		(N = 105,679) ^a		(N = 110,371) ^a	
Age, N (%)												
≤60 years	4,507	(5.2)	4,620	(5.1)	4,788	(5.0)	4,852	(4.8)	4,968	(4.7)	5,072	(4.6)
61–70 years	24,556	(28.4)	24,569	(26.9)	24,384	(25.3)	24,121	(24.0)	24,028	(22.7)	23,939	(21.7)
71–75 years	20,541	(23.8)	22,792	(24.9)	24,430	(25.4)	25,484	(25.3)	25,917	(24.5)	25,818	(23.4)
76–80 years	16,680	(19.3)	17,882	(19.6)	19,510	(20.3)	21,511	(21.4)	23,965	(22.7)	26,463	(24.0)
>80 years	20,116	(23.3)	21,540	(23.6)	23,100	(24.0)	24,744	(24.6)	26,801	(25.4)	29,079	(26.3)
Charlson Comorbidity Index, N (%)												
0	53,476	(61.9)	56,373	(61.7)	59,267	(61.6)	61,887	(61.4)	64,883	(61.4)	68,317	(61.9)
1	14,649	(17.0)	15,564	(17.0)	16,324	(17.0)	17,067	(16.9)	17,841	(16.9)	18,599	(16.9)
2	10,837	(12.5)	11,574	(12.7)	12,356	(12.8)	13,051	(13.0)	13,692	(13.0)	14,048	(12.7)
3+	7,438	(8.6)	7,892	(8.6)	8,265	(8.6)	8,707	(8.6)	9,263	(8.8)	9,407	(8.5)
Drug Comorbidity Index, N (%)												
≤0	14,583	(16.9)	15,496	(17.0)	15,862	(16.5)	16,618	(16.5)	17,595	(16.6)	18,193	(16.5)
0–1	27,207	(31.5)	28,621	(31.3)	30,199	(31.4)	31,646	(31.4)	33,132	(31.4)	34,485	(31.2)
1–2	17,697	(20.5)	18,598	(20.3)	19,640	(20.4)	20,686	(20.5)	21,768	(20.6)	22,793	(20.7)
2–4	17,655	(20.4)	18,778	(20.5)	19,856	(20.6)	20,832	(20.7)	21,825	(20.7)	22,983	(20.8)
4+	9,258	(10.7)	9,910	(10.8)	10,655	(11.1)	10,930	(10.9)	11,359	(10.7)	11,917	(10.8)
Androgen deprivation therapy, N (%)												
GnRH	11,313	(13.1)	11,329	(12.4)	11,278	(11.7)	10,831	(10.8)	10,734	(10.2)	10,555	(9.6)
Bicalutamide monotherapy	8,656	(10.0)	9,588	(10.5)	10,537	(11.0)	11,427	(11.3)	12,432	(11.8)	13,172	(11.9)
None	66,431	(76.9)	70,486	(77.1)	74,397	(77.3)	78,454	(77.9)	82,513	(78.1)	86,644	(78.5)
Curative therapy, N (%)												
No	39,670	(45.9)	40,746	(44.6)	41,716	(43.4)	42,559	(42.3)	43,846	(41.5)	45,640	(41.4)
Yes	46,730	(54.1)	50,657	(55.4)	54,496	(56.6)	58,153	(57.7)	61,833	(58.5)	64,731	(58.6)
Distant metastases at diagnosis, N (%)												
No	82,163	(95.1)	86,971	(95.2)	91,612	(95.2)	95,958	(95.3)	100,779	(95.4)	105,324	(95.4)
Yes	4,237	(4.9)	4,432	(4.8)	4,600	(4.8)	4,754	(4.7)	4,900	(4.6)	5,047	(4.6)
County with high COVID-19 incidence^b, N (%)												
No	39,531	(45.8)	41,942	(45.9)	44,248	(46.0)	46,565	(46.2)	48,882	(46.3)	50,917	(46.1)
Yes	46,869	(54.2)	49,461	(54.1)	51,964	(54.0)	54,147	(53.8)	56,797	(53.7)	59,454	(53.9)

^a Note that the same individual may be present in multiple columns.

^b See online-only supplemental material for definition.

Comparison of baseline characteristics at start of follow up on 1 March each respective year for men with prevalent prostate cancer in Prostate Cancer data Base Sweden (PCBaSe) RAPID 2019.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255966.t001>

after adjustment for age, ethnicity, socio-economic factors, smoking, and concomitant and previous diseases [1].

It is therefore of interest to investigate if ADT mitigates COVID-19. An early study suggested a lower risk of COVID-19 in men with prostate cancer on ADT compared with men not on ADT [10]. Similarly, in a small observational study of 22 men on ADT these men had lower rates of hospitalization, supplemental oxygen requirements, endotracheal intubation, and mortality than 36 control men not on ADT [36]. In contrast, other pilot studies have reported no protective effect with ADT [11, 12, 37, 38]. However, all of these studies were severely hampered by their small number of exposed men and restricted adjustment for putative confounding factors.




Fig 1. Number of deaths per day in the Swedish general population during the period 1 March to 30 June 2020. The death rate is compared to the mean for corresponding months in 2015–2019.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255966.g001>

In our main analysis of almost 10,000 men with prostate cancer who died during the study period, and of whom half were on ADT, there was no difference in excess mortality in men on any type of ADT vs men not on ADT. In a restricted analysis of ten regions with the highest COVID-19 burden the results were similar to that in the full study group. In an analysis restricted to the period with the highest mortality, we observed a 12% decrease in excess mortality in men on ADT.

Despite our efforts to adjust for putative confounders, there was likely residual confounding. One way to assess confounding is to use the E-value which is defined as the weakest association that an unmeasured confounder would need to have with both exposure and outcome to fully eliminate an association [39]. The E-value was 1.53 for a relative mortality rate ratio of 0.88, which was the most extreme point estimate in all our analyses, including restricted subgroup analyses. An imbalance of exposure to ADT related to prostate cancer risk category [40, 41] as well as an association of risk category with mortality [42] of that magnitude is possible. The finding of no association in the main analysis and a weak association in only one out of




Fig 2. All-cause mortality in men with prostate cancer in March to June 2020 compared to the mean for corresponding months in 2015–2019. Data are presented for men not on androgen deprivation therapy (ADT), men on any ADT, men on bicalutamide monotherapy, and men on GnRH, including GnRH agonists and men who underwent bilateral orchidectomy. The number of deaths by calendar day per 10,000 men with prostate cancer at risk was plotted using loess smoothing. The shaded areas illustrate the range of mortality rates for the separate years 2015 to 2019.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255966.g002>

six subgroup analyses, likely biased by residual confounding, gives no clear support that any type of ADT mitigates COVID-19.

While there is a hypothetical mechanism for ADT to be potentially beneficial in the early phase of COVID-19, there are also suggestions and preliminary data that ADT could be detrimental in later stages of severe COVID-19, since it could exacerbate or activate an excessive and harmful inflammatory response [43]. It has even been hypothesized that testosterone replacement therapy could be beneficial [44].

There are some other mechanisms, unrelated to suppression of androgen signaling, for an apparent impact of ADT on incidence and severity of COVID-19. For instance, men with advanced prostate cancer on ADT are, compared to men with less advanced cancer who are

Table 2. Association between exposure to GnRH agonists, bicalutamide monotherapy, or any androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) and all-cause mortality in men with prostate cancer in PCBaSe RAPID 2019.

	No ADT		ADT					
			GnRH ^a		Bicalutamide monotherapy		Any ADT	
	March-June 2015–2019	March-June 2020	March-June 2015–2019	March-June 2020	March-June 2015–2019	March-June 2020	March-June 2015–2019	March-June 2020
Number of deaths	3,724	1,044	3,066	629	1,055	284	4,121	913
Observed person-time, 1,000 person-years	123.5	28.8	18.1	3.3	17.6	4.4	35.7	7.7
Mortality rate, per 1,000 person-years	30.2	36.2	169.0	188.7	59.9	64.9	115.3	118.4
Mortality rate ratio, year 2020 vs. 2015–2019	1.20		1.12		1.08		1.03	
Crude relative mortality rate ratio	Reference		0.93 (0.83–1.04)		0.90 (0.78–1.05)		0.86 (0.78–0.95)	
Adjusted^b relative mortality rate ratio	Reference		1.00 (0.89–1.12)		0.97 (0.84–1.12)		0.96 (0.87–1.06)	

^a GnRH includes men on GnRH agonists and men who underwent bilateral orchidectomy.

^b Adjustment was made for: Age at death in categories, county of residence, prostate cancer risk category, curative treatment, Charlson Comorbidity Index, Drug Comorbidity Index, calendar year, and day in the study period (linear).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255966.t002>

not on ADT, more likely to impose stricter protective measures such as physical distancing, self-isolation, strict hand hygiene, and wearing a face mask.

A limitation of our study is that the excess in all-cause mortality we observed may not be exclusively caused by COVID-19. The increased mortality during this pandemic has also to some part been attributed to reluctance to seek medical attention for other serious conditions [45]. In a recent study based on data from 29 high-income countries the difference between reported COVID-19 deaths and excess mortality in Sweden was among low, suggesting a high rate of correct adjudication of cause of death in Sweden [46]. Another limitation of our study is the lack of measurements to verify castrate testosterone levels. We found, however, high adherence to GnRH in a recent study in PCBaSe [23] and previous studies have shown that a large proportion of men on GnRH have castrate levels [47]. It would also have been of interest to study men with castration-resistant prostate cancer but we could not identify these men with the data available. Finally, it is unknown if the results from this observational study of old men with prostate cancer on ADT is applicable to the populations in current clinical trials employing short-term ADT in mostly middle-aged men diagnosed with COVID-19.

The strengths of this study are that it was based on a national, population-based cohort, with almost 10,000 deaths and that 5,000 of the deaths were among men on ADT, making it substantially larger than previous human studies combined. The study was performed in a national population of over 10 million people, with high mortality from COVID-19 in the spring of 2020. Furthermore, the study was based on a clinical cancer register and other health care registers with virtually complete capture of comprehensive data with known high quality [14, 48, 49].

In conclusion, there was no difference in relative excess mortality in men with prostate cancer on ADT vs those not on ADT during the peak of the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in March-June 2020 compared to the average from corresponding time periods in previous years. Thus, we found no clear support for the hypothesis that androgen deprivation therapy mitigates the disease course of COVID-19.

Table 3. Association between exposure to GnRH agonists, bicalutamide monotherapy, or any androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) and all-cause mortality in men with prostate cancer in PCBaSe.

	No ADT		ADT					
	March-June 2015–2019	March-June 2020	GnRH ^a		Bicalutamide monotherapy		Any ADT	
			March-June 2015–2019	March-June 2020	March-June 2015–2019	March-June 2020	March-June 2015–2019	March-June 2020
Restricted to the ten Swedish health-care regions with highest incidence of COVID-19								
Number of deaths	2,008	566	1,611	363	596	164	2,207	527
Observed person-time, 1,000 person-years	67.3	15.6	9.4	1.8	9.3	2.3	18.6	4.1
Mortality rate, per 1,000 person-years	29.8	36.3	172.2	203.7	64.3	70.9	118.6	128.7
Mortality rate ratio, 2020 vs. 2015–2019	1.22		1.18		1.10		1.09	
Crude relative mortality rate ratio	Reference		0.97 (0.84–1.13)		0.91 (0.74–1.10)		0.89 (0.78–1.02)	
Adjusted ^b relative mortality rate ratio	Reference		1.04 (0.89–1.20)		0.98 (0.80–1.19)		0.99 (0.87–1.13)	
Restricted to the time period 2 April to 10 June when there was >30% increase in mortality in 2020 compared to 2015–2019								
Number of deaths	2,099	649	1,735	359	589	171	2,324	530
Observed person-time per 1000 person-years	70.8	16.5	10.3	1.9	10.1	2.5	20.5	4.5
Mortality rate (per 1000 person-years)	29.7	39.4	167.7	184.4	58.3	68.2	113.6	119.0
Mortality rate ratio 2020 vs 2015–2019	1.33		1.10		1.17		1.05	
Crude relative mortality rate ratio	Reference		0.83 (0.72–0.96)		0.88 (0.73–1.07)		0.79 (0.69–0.90)	
Adjusted ^b relative mortality rate ratio	Reference		0.89 (0.77–1.03)		0.94 (0.78–1.14)		0.88 (0.77–1.00)	

^a GnRH includes men on GnRH agonists and men who underwent bilateral orchidectomy

^b Adjustment was made for: Age at death in categories, county of residence, prostate cancer risk category, curative treatment, Charlson Comorbidity Index, Drug Comorbidity Index, calendar year, and day in the study period (linear).

Analyses restricted to regions with highest incidence of COVID-19 by end of June 2020, and to the time period 2 April to 10 June 2020 when there was a peak in excess mortality.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255966.t003>

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Cumulative number of reported cases. The cumulative number of reported cases (per 100,000 population) of SARS-CoV-2 infection to the Public Health Agency of Sweden by the 28 June 2020. The ten regions with the highest cumulative number of cases were used in a subgroup analysis.

(PDF)

Acknowledgments

This project was made possible by the continuous work of the National Prostate Cancer Register of Sweden (NPCR) steering group: Pär Stattin (chairman), Ingela Franck Lissbrant (co-chair), Camilla Thellenberg, Eva Johansson, Lennart Åström, Magnus Törnblom, Stefan Carlsson, Marie Hjälml Eriksson, David Robinson, Mats Andén, Ola Bratt, Jonas Hugosson, Maria Nyberg, Per Fransson, Fredrik Sandin, and Karin Hellström.

Disclaimer

Rolf Gedeberg is also employed by the Medical Products Agency (MPA) in Sweden. The MPA is a Swedish Government Agency. The views expressed in this article may not represent the views of the MPA.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Rolf Gedeberg, Hans Garmo, Pär Stattin.

Data curation: Hans Garmo.

Formal analysis: Hans Garmo.

Funding acquisition: Pär Stattin.

Investigation: Rolf Gedeberg, Hans Garmo.

Methodology: Rolf Gedeberg, Hans Garmo, Pär Stattin.

Project administration: Pär Stattin.

Resources: Pär Stattin.

Supervision: Johan Styrke, Stacy Loeb, Pär Stattin.

Visualization: Hans Garmo.

Writing – original draft: Rolf Gedeberg, Johan Styrke, Stacy Loeb, Hans Garmo, Pär Stattin.

Writing – review & editing: Rolf Gedeberg, Johan Styrke, Stacy Loeb, Hans Garmo, Pär Stattin.

References

1. de Lusignan S, Dorward J, Correa A, Jones N, Akinyemi O, Amirthalingam G, et al. Risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 among patients in the Oxford Royal College of General Practitioners Research and Surveillance Centre primary care network: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020. Epub 2020/05/19. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30371-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30371-6) PMID: 32422204
2. Peckham H, de Grujter NM, Raine C, Radziszewska A, Ciurtin C, Wedderburn LR, et al. Male sex identified by global COVID-19 meta-analysis as a risk factor for death and ITU admission. *Nature Communications*. 2020; 11(1):6317. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19741-6> PMID: 33298944
3. Galloway JB, Norton S, Barker RD, Brookes A, Carey I, Clarke BD, et al. A clinical risk score to identify patients with COVID-19 at high risk of critical care admission or death: An observational cohort study. *J Infect*. 2020. Epub 2020/06/02. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.05.064> PMID: 32479771
4. Grasselli G, Greco M, Zanella A, Albano G, Antonelli M, Bellani G, et al. Risk Factors Associated With Mortality Among Patients With COVID-19 in Intensive Care Units in Lombardy, Italy. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2020. Epub 2020/07/16. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.3539> PMID: 32667669
5. Gupta S, Hayek SS, Wang W, Chan L, Mathews KS, Melamed ML, et al. Factors Associated With Death in Critically Ill Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 in the US. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2020. Epub 2020/07/16. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.3596> PMID: 32667668
6. Gaborieau L, Delestrain C, Bensaid P, Vizeneux A, Blanc P, Garraffo A, et al. Epidemiology and Clinical Presentation of Children Hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Suburbs of Paris. *J Clin Med*. 2020; 9(7). Epub 2020/07/18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9072227> PMID: 32674306
7. Götzinger F, Santiago-García B, Noguera-Julían A, Lanaspá M, Lancellata L, Calò Carducci FI, et al. COVID-19 in children and adolescents in Europe: a multinational, multicentre cohort study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2020. Epub 2020/07/01. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(20\)30177-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30177-2) PMID: 32593339
8. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Kruger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. *Cell*. 2020; 181(2):271–80 e8. Epub 2020/03/07. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.052> PMID: 32142651

9. Damodaran S, Lang JM, Jarrard DF. Targeting Metastatic Hormone Sensitive Prostate Cancer: Chemohormonal Therapy and New Combinatorial Approaches. *J Urol*. 2019; 201(5):876–85. Epub 2019/02/13. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JU.000000000000117> PMID: 30747897
10. Montopoli M, Zumerle S, Vettor R, Rugge M, Zorzi M, Catapano CV, et al. Androgen-deprivation therapies for prostate cancer and risk of infection by SARS-CoV-2: a population-based study (N = 4532). *Ann Oncol*. 2020. Epub 2020/05/11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2020.04.479> PMID: 32387456
11. Caffo O, Zagonel V, Baldessari C, Berruti A, Bortolus R, Buti S, et al. On the relationship between androgen-deprivation therapy for prostate cancer and risk of infection by SARS-CoV-2. *Ann Oncol*. 2020; 31(10):1415–6. Epub 2020/06/21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2020.06.005> PMID: 32562741
12. Koskinen M, Carpen O, Honkanen V, Seppänen MRJ, Miettinen PJ, Tuominen JA, et al. Androgen deprivation and SARS-CoV-2 in men with prostate cancer. *Ann Oncol*. 2020; 31(10):1417–8. Epub 2020/07/03. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2020.06.015> PMID: 32615154
13. ClinicalTrials.gov: The National Library of Medicine (NLM) at the National Institutes of Health (NIH); 2020 [29 November 2020].
14. Bosco C, Bosnyak Z, Malmberg A, Adolfsson J, Keating NL, Van Hemelrijck M. Quantifying observational evidence for risk of fatal and nonfatal cardiovascular disease following androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer: a meta-analysis. *Eur Urol*. 2015; 68(3):386–96. Epub 2014/12/09. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2014.11.039> PMID: 25484142
15. O'Farrell S, Garmo H, Holmberg L, Adolfsson J, Stattin P, Van Hemelrijck M. Risk and timing of cardiovascular disease after androgen-deprivation therapy in men with prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015; 33(11):1243–51. Epub 2015/03/04. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2014.59.1792> PMID: 25732167
16. Atkins JL, Masoli JAH, Delgado J, Pilling LC, Kuo CL, Kuchel GA, et al. Preexisting Comorbidities Predicting COVID-19 and Mortality in the UK Biobank Community Cohort. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2020. Epub 2020/07/21. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glaa183> PMID: 32687511
17. Chakravarty D, Nair SS, Hammouda N, Ratnani P, Gharib Y, Wagaskar V, et al. Sex differences in SARS-CoV-2 infection rates and the potential link to prostate cancer. *Communications Biology*. 2020; 3(1):374. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-1088-9> PMID: 32641750
18. Van Hemelrijck M, Garmo H, Wigertz A, Nilsson P, Stattin P. Cohort Profile Update: The National Prostate Cancer Register of Sweden and Prostate Cancer data Base—a refined prostate cancer trajectory. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2016; 45(1):73–82. Epub 2015/12/15. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyv305> PMID: 26659904
19. Barlow L, Westergren K, Holmberg L, Talback M. The completeness of the Swedish Cancer Register: a sample survey for year 1998. *Acta Oncol*. 2009; 48(1):27–33. Epub 2008/09/04. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02841860802247664> PMID: 18767000
20. Wallerstedt SM, Wettermark B, Hoffmann M. The First Decade with the Swedish Prescribed Drug Register—A Systematic Review of the Output in the Scientific Literature. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2016; 119(5):464–9. Epub 2016/04/27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bcpt.12613> PMID: 27112967
21. Ludvigsson JF, Andersson E, Ekblom A, Feychting M, Kim JL, Reuterwall C, et al. External review and validation of the Swedish national inpatient register. *BMC Public Health*. 2011; 11:450. Epub 2011/06/11. 1471-2458-11-450 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-450> PMID: 21658213
22. Hagel E, Garmo H, Bill-Axelsson A, Bratt O, Johansson J-E, Adolfsson J, et al. PCBaSe Sweden: A register-based resource for prostate cancer research. *Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology*. 2009; 43(5):342–9. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00365590903024577> PMID: 19921977
23. George G, Garmo H, Rudman S, Holmberg L, Robinson D, Stattin P, et al. Long-term adherence to GnRH agonists in men with prostate cancer. A nation-wide population-based study in prostate cancer data base Sweden. *Scand J Urol*. 2020; 54(1):20–6. Epub 2019/12/18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21681805.2019.1702093> PMID: 31842658
24. Grundmark B, Garmo H, Zethelius B, Stattin P, Lambe M, Holmberg L. Anti-androgen prescribing patterns, patient treatment adherence and influencing factors; results from the nationwide PCBaSe Sweden. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2012; 68(12):1619–30. Epub 2012/05/09. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-012-1290-x> PMID: 22562608
25. Cindolo L, De Francesco P, Petraghani N, Simiele F, Marchioni M, Logreco A, et al. Persistence and adherence to androgen deprivation therapy in men with prostate cancer: an administrative database study. *Minerva Urol Nefrol*. 2020; 72(5):615–21. Epub 2019/12/14. <https://doi.org/10.23736/S0393-2249.19.03595-1> PMID: 31833723
26. Lycken M, Drevin L, Garmo H, Larsson A, Andrén O, Holmberg L, et al. Adherence to guidelines for androgen deprivation therapy after radical prostatectomy: Swedish population-based study. *Scand J Urol*. 2020; 54(3):208–14. Epub 2020/04/28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21681805.2020.1750475> PMID: 32338176

27. Suttman H, Gleissner J, Huebner A, Mathes T, Baurecht W, Krützfeldt K, et al. Adherence Measures for Patients with Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer Treated with Abiraterone Acetate plus Prednisone: Results of a Prospective, Cluster-Randomized Trial. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2020; 12(9). Epub 2020/09/12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12092550> PMID: 32911627
28. Gedeberg R, Sund M, Lambe M, Plym A, Fredriksson I, Syrjä J, et al. An aggregated comorbidity measure based on the history of filled drug prescriptions—development and application in two separate cohorts. *Epidemiology*. 2021; In Press.
29. Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, Fong A, Burnand B, Luthi JC, et al. Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data. *Med Care*. 2005; 43(11):1130–9. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.mlr.0000182534.19832.83> PMID: 16224307
30. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis*. 1987; 40(5):373–83. Epub 1987/01/01. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9681\(87\)90171-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9681(87)90171-8) PMID: 3558716
31. Gedeberg R, Garmo H, Robinson D, Stattin P. Prescription-based prediction of baseline mortality risk among older men. *PLoS One*. 2020; 15(10):e0241439. Epub 2020/10/30. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241439> PMID: 33119680
32. Di Stadio A, Ricci G, Greco A, de Vincentiis M, Ralli M. Mortality rate and gender differences in COVID-19 patients dying in Italy: A comparison with other countries. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. 2020; 24(8):4066–7. Epub 2020/05/07. https://doi.org/10.26355/eurev_202004_20980 PMID: 32374012
33. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, et al. Presenting Characteristics, Comorbidities, and Outcomes Among 5700 Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 in the New York City Area. *JAMA*. 2020. Epub 2020/04/23. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.6775> PMID: 32320003
34. Jin JM, Bai P, He W, Wu F, Liu XF, Han DM, et al. Gender Differences in Patients With COVID-19: Focus on Severity and Mortality. *Front Public Health*. 2020; 8:152. Epub 2020/05/16. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00152> PMID: 32411652
35. Analysis on 54 Mortality Cases of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in the Republic of Korea from January 19 to March 10, 2020. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2020;35(12):e132. Epub 2020/04/02. <https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2020.35.e132>
36. Hughes RA, Heron J, Sterne JAC, Tilling K. Accounting for missing data in statistical analyses: multiple imputation is not always the answer. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2019; 48(4):1294–304. Epub 2019/03/18. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyz032> PMID: 30879056
37. Caffo O, Gasparro D, Di Lorenzo G, Volta AD, Guglielmini P, Zucali P, et al. Incidence and outcomes of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2020; 140:140–6. Epub 2020/10/23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2020.09.018> PMID: 33091718
38. Klein EA, Li J, Milinovich A, Schold JD, Sharifi N, Kattan MW, et al. Androgen Deprivation Therapy in Men With Prostate Cancer Does Not Affect Risk of Infection With SARS-CoV-2. *J Urol*. 2020;101097ju0000000000001338. Epub 2020/09/09. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ju.0000000000001338> PMID: 32897764
39. Sensitivity Analysis in Observational Research: Introducing the E-Value. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2017; 167(4):268–74. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M16-2607> PMID: 28693043
40. Cornford P, van den Bergh RCN, Briers E, Van den Broeck T, Cumberbatch MG, De Santis M, et al. EAU-EANM-ESTRO-ESUR-SIOG Guidelines on Prostate Cancer. Part II-2020 Update: Treatment of Relapsing and Metastatic Prostate Cancer. *Eur Urol*. 2020. Epub 2020/10/12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2020.09.046> PMID: 33039206
41. Nationellt vårdprogram prostatacancer [Swedish national treatment program prostate cancer]: Regional cancer centers in collaboration; 2020 [cited 2020 30 November 2020].
42. Rider JR, Sandin F, Andrén O, Wiklund P, Hugosson J, Stattin P. Long-term outcomes among noncuratively treated men according to prostate cancer risk category in a nationwide, population-based study. *Eur Urol*. 2013; 63(1):88–96. Epub 2012/08/21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2012.08.001> PMID: 22902040
43. Salciccia S, Del Giudice F, Eisenberg ML, Mastroianni CM, De Berardinis E, Ricciuti GP, et al. Androgen-deprivation therapy and SARS-Cov-2 infection: the potential double-face role of testosterone. *Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab*. 2020; 11:2042018820969019. Epub 2020/11/17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2042018820969019> PMID: 33194171
44. Hussain AN, Hussain F, Hashmi SK. Role of testosterone in COVID-19 patients—A double-edged sword? *Med Hypotheses*. 2020; 144:110287. Epub 2020/12/02. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110287> PMID: 33254589

45. Woolf SH, Chapman DA, Sabo RT, Weinberger DM, Hill L, Taylor DDH. Excess Deaths From COVID-19 and Other Causes, March-July 2020. *JAMA*. 2020; 324(15):1562–4. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.19545> PMID: 33044483
46. Islam N, Shkolnikov VM, Acosta RJ, Klimkin I, Kawachi I, Irizarry RA, et al. Excess deaths associated with covid-19 pandemic in 2020: age and sex disaggregated time series analysis in 29 high income countries. *BMJ*. 2021; 373:n1137. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n1137> PMID: 34011491
47. Novara G, Galfano A, Secco S, Ficarra V, Artibani W. Impact of surgical and medical castration on serum testosterone level in prostate cancer patients. *Urol Int*. 2009; 82(3):249–55. Epub 2009/05/15. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000209352> PMID: 19440008
48. Tomic K, Berglund A, Robinson D, Hjälm-Eriksson M, Carlsson S, Lambe M, et al. Capture rate and representativity of The National Prostate Cancer Register of Sweden. *Acta Oncol*. 2015; 54(2):158–63. Epub 2014/07/19. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0284186X.2014.939299> PMID: 25034349
49. Tomic K, Sandin F, Wigertz A, Robinson D, Lambe M, Stattin P. Evaluation of data quality in the National Prostate Cancer Register of Sweden. *Eur J Cancer*. 2015; 51(1):101–11. Epub 2014/12/04. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2014.10.025> PMID: 25465187