



Korean Journal of Anesthesiology

Dexmedetomidine and propofol sedation requirements in an autistic rat model

Soha A. Elmorsy¹, Ghada F. Soliman¹, Laila A. Rashed², and Hamed M. Elgendy³

Departments of ¹Medical Pharmacology, ²Medical Biochemistry, Cairo University Faculty of Medicine, Giza, ³Department of Anesthesia, Assiut University Hospital, Assiut, Egypt & Hamad Medical Corporation, Weill Cornell Medicine-Qatar, Doha, Qatar

Background: Autism is a challenging neurodevelopmental disorder. Previous clinical observations have suggested altered sedation requirements for children with autism. Our study aimed to test this observation experimentally in an animal model and to explore its possible mechanisms.

Methods: Eight adult pregnant female Sprague-Dawley rats were randomly divided into two groups. Four were injected with intraperitoneal sodium valproate on gestational day 12 and four were injected with normal saline. On postnatal day 28, the newborn male rats were subjected to the open-field test to confirm autistic features. Each rat was injected intraperitoneally with a single dose of propofol (50 mg/kg) or dexmedetomidine (0.2 mg/kg). The times to loss of righting reflex (LORR) and to return of righting reflex (RORR) were recorded. On the following day, all rats were re-sedated and underwent electroencephalography (EEG). Thereafter, the rats were euthanized and their hippocampal gamma-aminobutyric acid type A (GABA_A) and glutamate N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor gene expressions were assessed.

Results: Autistic rats showed significantly longer LORR times and shorter RORR times than did the controls (median LORR times: 12.0 versus 5.0 min for dexmedetomidine and 22.0 versus 8.0 min for propofol; P < 0.05). EEG showed a low-frequency, high-amplitude wave pattern 2 min after LORR in the control rats. Autistic rats showed a high-frequency, low-amplitude awake pattern. Hippocampal GABA_A receptor gene expression was significantly lower and NMDA gene expression was greater in autistic rats.

Conclusions: This study supports the clinical observations of increased anesthetic sedative requirements in children with autism and our biochemical analyses using GABA_A and glutamate receptor gene expression highlight possible underlying mechanisms.

Keywords: Autism; Dexmedetomidine; GABA_A; Glutamate; Propofol.

Corresponding author: Hamed M. Elgendy, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Anesthesia, Assiut University Hospitals, Assiut, Egypt & Hamad Medical Corporation, Weill Cornell Medicine-Qatar, P.O. Box 82228, Doha, Qatar

Tel: 974-4011-4271, Fax: 974-4439-1511, Email: helgendy70@gmail.com

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3887-0226

It was presented at the Kasr Al Aini Medical Conference, December 2017, Cairo, Egypt.

Received: January 6, 2018. Revised: April 23, 2018 (1st); May 25, 2018 (2nd). Accepted: May 28, 2018.

Korean J Anesthesiol 2019 April 72(2): 169-177 https://doi.org/10.4097/kja.d.18.00005

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Autism is a heterogeneous neurodevelopmental disorder that affects the social communication abilities of an individual. Genetic, immunologic, and environmental factors are thought to interact during the vulnerable periods of neurogenesis [1]. Children with autism are repeatedly subjected to investigations that require the use of sedatives. These children require special consideration during their perioperative management, not only because of their clinical illness but also because of the stress on family members and the participating hospital staff [2].

Many sedatives are commonly used for pediatric procedures, especially in the population with autism. Propofol (2, 6-diisopropylphenol) is a common agent used in pediatric sedation [2]. Dexmedetomidine (Precedex) is a commonly used selective α_2 -adrenoreceptor agonist that offers advantages in pediatric sedation during noninvasive procedures such as magnetic resonance imaging [3].

Details of autism pathogenesis are still unclear. Gamma-aminobutyric acid type A (GABA_A) [4] receptor and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor [5], which is a specific type of ionotropic glutamate receptor, have been previously reported to play a role in the mechanism of autism.

However, few controlled studies [1,6], have been conducted on this cohort of patients. Recently, our research group observed a variation in propofol sedation requirements among autistic children undergoing the auditory brainstem response test when compared with others with delayed language development [7]. However, observational studies are vulnerable to confounding. Therefore, we initiated a study on an autistic rat model with the primary objective to investigate the sedation requirements by using two sedatives, propofol and dexmedetomidine, to support our observational study. The secondary objective was to explore the mechanism of autism in our model for better clarity by using GABA_A and glutamate (NMDA) receptor gene expression as well as electroencephalographic (EEG) recordings in both autistic and control rats.

Materials and Methods

Study design and animal model

Animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the ethical guidelines adopted by the Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University. Fig. 1 summarizes the study design. To study the sedative requirements in autistic patients, we induced an autism-like disorder in rat pups by injecting their mothers with valproate during conception. To secure the required number of rat pups, eight adult female Sprague-Dawley rats were obtained from the animal house of the National Ophthalmology Institute and were allowed to mate. The first gestational day was recorded as the first day spermatozoa were noted in the vagina. On the 12th day of gestation, the pregnant females were randomly divided into two groups of four females each: the first group was injected subcutaneously with sodium valproate (Depakine 100 mg/ml; Sanofi-Aventis, France) at a dose of 600 mg/kg dissolved in 0.9% saline for a concentration of 100 mg/ml [8]. The other four females provided rat pups for the control group and were treated subcutaneously with saline. After delivery, the rat pups were allowed to stay with their mothers until weaning. Only male pups were used for the experiments.





Examination for autistic features and study assessments

All pups were weighed weekly until the time of the experiments to compare weight gain between the two groups (autism model and control groups). For confirming the autistic features in the autism model group, eye opening was assessed daily starting from the 7th postnatal day (PND) [9] Rats were weaned at 21 days of age; they were then transferred to the Medical Pharmacology Department, Cairo University, and were housed in groups of 2-4 in standard housing cages with free access to food and water. To prevent any possible litter effect, the rat pups from each individual mother were independently randomized into the propofol or dexmedetomidine groups. The open-field test was conducted on PND 28; each animal was placed in the center of an open-field square chamber (60×60 cm, with 30cm height) [10]. Lines divided the bottom of the chamber into 16 squares. We assessed the number of grooming and rearing movements and counted the number of squares traversed by each rat during a 3-min period [11]. The video recording was used by an observer blinded to the group assignments to verify the number of squares counted.

Loss and return of righting reflex

To determine the sedative requirements, each rat was injected intraperitoneally (IP) with a single 50-mg/kg dose of propofol (Provive 1%; Claris Life sciences Limited, India) or 0.2 mg/kg dexmedetomidine hydrochloride (Precedex, 100 μ g/ml; Hospira Inc., USA). The doses were guided by the recommendations of Yuan et al. [12] for propofol and Doze et al. [13] for dexmedetomidine, but the choice of doses actually used was based on preliminary pilot experiments. Time to loss of righting reflex (LORR) was measured as the time in minutes from the IP injection to the complete loss of reflex righting from the prone position. Time to return of righting reflex (RORR) was measured as the time of spontaneous return to the supine position [14].

EEG recording

After return of righting from the first sedative dose, the rats were housed overnight. On the following day, 1.5 times the sedative dose was administered IP. Two minutes after LORR, electrodes were placed under the rat's scalp: the positive electrode on one side of the head, the negative electrode on the other side, and a reference electrode at the back of the head. EEG recording was captured on Power Lab (ML866; model number 430-0820; ADInstruments, USA). Shielded, low-weight, flexible cables, connected the electrodes to the input EEG dual bioamplifier (ML408; DBS337, ADInstruments, USA). EEG was recorded on a single channel. The recorded EEG was visually inspected, and the pattern was compared between the control and autism model animals to judge the depth of sedation [15].

Hippocampal extraction

Hippocampal extraction was performed according to the method described by Spijker [16]. Decapitation was done at the end of the experiments lasted one week (five working days), and the heads were kept in the refrigerator for 10 min. Through a midline incision in the skin and bone, the parietal bones were removed and the brain was exposed, extracted, and transferred to a metal plate placed on ice. The brain was cut along its midline and the two brain halves were gently separated. The hind brain, midbrain, and the olfactory part were removed from each half, and the brain was placed such that its medial surface faced upwards. Using a spatula, the brain tissue was held and the tip of another spatula was inserted close to the corpus callosum, thalamus, and striatum. This allowed the hippocampus to be seen easily; thereafter, the spatula was put on the medial surface of the hippocampus that was separated easily from the cortex, which could be differentiated by its different color. The hippocampus was immediately placed in an Eppendorf tube and stored at (-70.0°C) until polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis.

Quantitative analysis of the expression of GABA_A and glutamate NMDA receptor genes by using real-time PCR

Total RNA extraction

Total RNA was extracted from a tissue homogenate by using SV Total RNA Isolation System (Promega, USA) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The RNA concentrations and purity were measured using an ultraviolet spectrophotometer.

Complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis

cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of RNA by using Super-Script III First-Strand Synthesis System (#K1621; Fermentas, USA) as described by the manufacturer. Total RNA (1 µg) was mixed with 50 µM oligo (dT) 20, 50 ng/µl random primers, and 10 mM dNTP mix in a total volume of 10 µl. The mixture was incubated at 56°C for 5 min, and then placed on ice for 3 min. The reverse transcriptase (RT) master mix containing 2 µl of 10 × RT buffer, 4 µl of 25 mM MgCl₂, 2 µl of 0.1 M dithiothreitol, and 1 µl of SuperScript[®] III RT (200 U/µl) was added and incubated at 25°C for 10 min followed by a 50-min incubation at 50°C.

Real-time quantitative PCR

Real-time PCR amplification and analysis were performed using StepOneTM with software version 3.1 (Applied Biosystems, USA). The reaction contained SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Gene-specific primer pairs (Table 1) were designed using Gene Runner (Hasting Software, Inc., USA) by utilizing RNA sequences from the GenBank[®] is the NIH genetic sequence database gene bank. All primer sets had a calculated annealing temperature of 60°C. Real-time quantitative PCR was performed in a 25-µl reaction volume consisting of $2 \times$ SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), 900 nM of each primer, and 2 µl of cDNA. The amplification conditions were as follows: 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, and 40 cycles of denaturation for 15 s and annealing/extension at 60°C for 10 min. Data from the real-time assays were analyzed using StepOneTM with software version 3.1 (Applied Biosystems, USA). Relative gene expression of the studied gene mRNAs was calculated using the comparative Cycle threshold value (Ct method). All values were normalized to those of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase, which was used as the control housekeeping gene, and reported as fold change over background levels detected in the diseased groups.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0 (IBM Corp., USA). Sample size

	Primer sequence		
GABA _A receptor Glutamate	Forward primer 5'-AATTGAATTCCGCTACCAT-3' Reverse primer 5'-AATTAAGCTTTCCTGTGAC-3' Forward primer 5'-CTTGACAAGATGGGCAACAG-3'		
receptor (NMDA)	Reverse primer 5'-TCCTTCTCTCCGAGGATCAA-3'		
GAPDH	Forward primer 5'-TGAACGGGAAGCTCACTGG-3' Reverse primer 5'-TCCACCACCCTGTTGCTGTA-3'		

GABA_A: Gamma-aminobutyric acid type A, NMDA: *N*-methyl-Daspartate, GAPDH: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase. estimation was based on an assumed mean difference in the times to LORR or RORR of 10 min and a standard deviation of 6 at a two-sided alpha of 0.05 and beta of 0.2. Numerical data were examined for normality and were presented as medians and quartiles. Groups were compared using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. Grooming movements/behaviour was compared as a categorical variable by using the chi-squared test. To test for the correlation of LORR and RORR with the measured GABA_A and NMDA receptor gene expressions, Spearman rank correlation was used.

Results

Autistic features

Table 2 shows a comparison between the rats exposed to valproate injection and those with no exposure. No statistically significant difference was observed in weight between the two groups at birth or at the time of weaning. The rats with induced autism exhibited delayed eye opening. In the open-field test, the rats with autism traversed significantly fewer squares than did the control rats (P = 0.032). They also showed significantly fewer rearing movements than did the control rats (P = 0.016). Grooming behavior was not significantly different between the two groups.

Response to sedatives

As shown in Table 3, the rats with induced autism treated with propofol or with dexmedetomidine needed a significantly longer time period to lose their righting reflex than did the control rats. The autistic rats also needed significantly less time to RORR than did the control rats.

EEG pattern

Fig. 2 shows the EEG tracings taken 2 min after LORR in response to dexmedetomidine or propofol injection in the control and autistic rats. The EEG recordings from the control rats showed the pattern of high amplitude that is usually encoun-

Table 2. Comparison of Features between the Autism Model Group and Control Group

	Control group $(n = 13)$	Autism model group $(n = 14)$	P value
Birth weight (g)	4.2 (3.9–4.5)	3.6 (2.9–5.0)	0.276
Weight at 21 weeks (g)	47.8 (44.0-51.8)	32.5 (29.0-53.5)	0.171
Number of squares traversed	6.0 (4.0–11.0)	3.0 (2.0-7.0)	0.032
Rearing movements	6.0 (4.0–13.0)	3.0 (2.0-6.0)	0.016
Grooming movements	4/13	2/14	0.385

Values are presented as Median (IQR) or number. Mann Whitney U test was in this comparison.

Elmorsy et al.

tered with sedation, whereas the recordings from the autistic rats showed low-amplitude, high-frequency tracings going more with an awake state.

Correlation between time to LORR and hippocampal GABA_A and glutamate receptor gene expressions

Fig. 3 shows the results of the statistical test of correlation

confirming the observations on the scatter plots. A statistically significant negative correlation was observed between hippocampal GABA_A receptor gene expression and the time to LORR, and a statistically significant positive correlation was observed between hippocampal glutamate receptor gene expression and the time to LORR (P < 0.05).

Table 3. Measures of the Response to Sedatives in the Control and Autistic Rats

Tested drug		Control group	Autism model group	P value
Dexmedetomidine (n = 7 in the autism model group	Time to LORR (min)	5.0 (4.0-7.0)	12.0 (11.0–13.0)	0.002
and n = 6 in the control group)	Time to RORR (min)	30.0 (20.0-44.0)	10.0 (9.0–28.0)	0.037
Propofol (n = 7 in both groups)	Time to LORR (min)	8.0 (5.0–11.0)	22.0 (15.0–25.0)	0.001
	Time to RORR (min)	37.0 (25.0–67.0)	12.0 (10.0-40.0)	0.020

Values are presented as Median (IQR). LORR: loss of righting reflex, RORR: return of righting reflex. Mann Whitney U test was in this comparison.



Fig. 2. Electroencephalographic tracings obtained 2 min after after the loss of righting reflex from the control rats administered dexmedetomidine (A), control rats administered propofol (B), autistic rats administered dexmedetomidine (C), and autistic rats administered propofol (D).

PCR analysis of hippocampal $\mathsf{GABA}_{\mathsf{A}}$ and glutamate receptor gene expressions

Fig. 4 shows that for both dexmedetomidine and propofol treatments, the hippocampi from the autistic rats had significantly lower $GABA_A$ receptor gene expression and significantly

higher glutamate receptor gene expression than did the hippocampi from the control rats (P = 0.001). Within the control and autism model groups, no statistically significant differences were observed between $GABA_A$ gene expression in the hippocampi of rats treated with propofol and those treated with dexmedetomidine, nor was a significant difference observed in glutamate



Fig. 3. (A) Scatter plots for GABA_A receptor gene expression in the hippocampus and the time to LORR in all rats, (B) dexmedetomidineadministered rats, and (C) propofol-administered rats, (D) as well as for hippocampal glutamate gene expression and the time to LORR in all rats, (E) dexmedetomidine-administered rats, and (F) propofol-administered rats. LORR: loss of righting reflex, GABA_A: Gamma-aminobutyric acid type A.

receptor gene expression (P = 0.8).

Discussion

The present study investigated the response to sedatives in an autistic rat model that has been previously used by several investigators [8,17,18]. Pregnant rats were injected with sodium valproate to induce autism in their offspring.

Clinical observational studies have suggested an association between autism and intra-uterine exposure to valproate [19]. The autistic rats showed decreased exploration of their surroundings in the open-field test and significantly less rearing movements as previously observed by Imanaka et al. [20]. This supports the validity of the model used in the current study in mimicking the autistic features reported in humans. Tas et al. [21] have reported that autism is approximately four times more common in boys than in girls. Therefore, in our autistic model, we selected male pups for investigation.

The time to LORR in rats is equivalent to that of anesthetic-induced sedation in humans [22]. Autistic rats given both sedatives (propofol and dexmedetomidine) needed a significantly longer time to achieve LORR and recovered their reflex more quickly than did the control rats. These results are consistent with those of previous clinical observations [7].

Electrical brain activity is known to change during sleep. During the awake state, EEG tracings mainly take the form of low-amplitude, high-frequency waves, and during sleep, they take the form of slow-frequency, high-amplitude waves [23]. Other researchers have also reported similar EEG patterns during sedation [24]. In the present study, we performed EEG recordings on the following day by using 1.5 times the dose of the original sedative dose to ensure sufficient depth of sedation for EEG recording. We aimed to capture the EEG changes independent of any other factors, including repeated sedative doses administered on the same day. Moreover, the control rats showed typical low-frequency, high-amplitude waves 2 min after LORR. EEG recordings of autistic rats showed a more-or-less awake pattern even after LORR. Awake patterns during sedation have been shown by MacIver and Bland in rats under isoflurane anesthesia several seconds before they recovered their righting reflex [15]. When Cusmano and Mong exposed rats prenatally to valproate and then remotely monitored their EEGs after weaning, the rats spent significantly more time awake and less time in non-rapid eye movement sleep [25]. Observations in the current study indicate that the depth of attained sedation in the autistic rats was still shallow, at 2 min after LORR. This confirms the diminished response of the autistic rats to sedatives when compared to that of normal rats.

The hippocampus is an important channel for signals transmitted to the higher brain and plays a major role in modulating behavior and long-term memory. An experimental study has linked neuronal or biochemical defects in the hippocampus to the existence of autistic features in animals [26].

In this study, we determined the level of gene expression of GABA_A and glutamate receptor NMDA in the hippocampus. Animals with induced autism showed lower expression of the GABA_A receptor gene and higher expression of the hippocampal glutamate receptor gene. GABA_A receptors are ion channel receptors that generate fast inhibitory postsynaptic potentials that lead to the inhibition of various brain functions. Glutamate is a strong excitatory brain neurotransmitter that acts on both ionotropic (NMDA, and kainate receptors) and metabotropic receptors. Thus, changes in both GABA_A and glutamate receptor gene expression point to the brain being more on the excitatory



Fig. 4. GABA_A receptor and glutamate receptor gene expression in the hippocampi of the control and autistic rats. Polymerase chain reaction results for dexmedetomidine- and propofol-treated rats show that the hippocampi from the autistic rats have significantly lower GABA_A receptor and significantly higher glutamate receptor gene expression (P = 0.001). GABA_A: Gamma-aminobutyric acid type A.

side in autistic animals. This can explain their need for a longer time to achieve an adequate depth of sedation. In fact, an imbalance of excitatory/inhibitory neurotransmission has always been a recognized feature of autism [27].

Disorders of $GABA_A$ receptors and neurons have been shown in different autism models. Sgado et al. [17] detected alterations in the gene expression and morphology of GABAminergic neurons in the cortex. Impairment of GABAminergic transmission was shown electrophysiologically by Banerjee and his colleagues [18].

In the current study, the time to LORR correlated significantly and positively with hippocampal glutamate receptor gene expression and negatively with hippocampal GABA_A receptor gene expression. Alterations in the time to LORR were noted with both propofol and dexmedetomidine, even though they had distinct mechanisms of action. Propofol binds to the ligand-gated GAB-A_A ion channel receptors and can thus be affected by the decrease in GABA_A gene expression [28]. The decrease in GABA_A gene expression explains the altered response to propofol. In contrast, dexmedetomidine has a very selective agonistic action on α_2 -adrenoceptors. By reducing norepinephrine release, dexmedetomidine decreases neuronal activity in the locus coeruleus (LC) of the brain stem and leads to sedation [29]. Two possible explanations of the delayed response of autistic rats to dexmedetomidine are postulated. The first is based on the increase in glutamate in the rat brain. Alternatively, dexmedetomidine may partially and indirectly affect GABAminergic transmission. Nelson et al. [30] suggested that the inhibition of norepinephrine release from the LC by dexmedetomidine releases the inhibitory control over the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus (VPN). The VPN releases GABA and galanin, which lead to more LC inhibition. VPN also inhibits histamine release, thereby leading to a hypnotic response.

Vlassakova and Emmanouil [1] have reported that dexmedetomidine sedation requirements have no differences between patients with autism and neurobehavioral conditions. Gimbler Berglund et al. [6] investigated the possible correlation between neurological disorders and the required propofol sedative dose for patients undergoing dental procedures as well as the recovery time. To our knowledge, the existing literature has not shown the superiority of one drug over another for the intraoperative management of children with autism. Therefore, we recommend performing future controlled studies comparing different sedatives and analyzing their safety and efficacy in children with autism. In conclusion, the results of the present study support previous clinical observations that autism may alter the sedative requirements in children. Our biochemical analyses provide some explanation and highlight possible mechanisms for that observation. We recommend a detailed prospective clinical study.

Acknowledgments

The study team would like to acknowledge the efforts of Dr. Osama Abdelmageed, Associate Consultant of Anesthesia, King Abdullah Medical Complex, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, for his help in logistic matters associated with the study. We appreciate the great effort of Dr. Brian Gunn, Anesthesia Consultant, Al Wakra Hospital, Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar, for English editing of our manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Author Contributions

Soha A. Elmorsy (Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Resources; Validation; Visualization; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing) Ghada F. Soliman (Conceptualization; Data curation; Investigation; Methodology; Resources; Writing – original draft)

Laila A. Rashed (Conceptualization; Data curation; Investigation; Methodology; Resources; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing)

Hamed M. Elgendy (Conceptualization; Project administration; Resources; Supervision; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing)

ORCID

Soha A. Elmorsy, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2947-9353 Ghada F. Soliman, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4070-3854 Laila A. Rashed, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0847-1926 Hamed M. Elgendy, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3887-0226

References

- 1. Vlassakova BG, Emmanouil DE. Perioperative considerations in children with autism spectrum disorder. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol 2016; 29: 359-66.
- 2. Rainey L, van der Walt JH. The anaesthetic management of autistic children. Anaesth Intensive Care 1998; 26: 682-6.
- 3. Mason KP, Zurakowski D, Zgleszewski SE, Robson CD, Carrier M, Hickey PR, et al. High dose dexmedetomidine as the sole sedative for

pediatric MRI. Paediatr Anaesth 2008; 18: 403-11.

- 4. Chao HT, Chen H, Samaco RC, Xue M, Chahrour M, Yoo J, et al. Dysfunction in GABA signalling mediates autism-like stereotypies and Rett syndrome phenotypes. Nature 2010; 468: 263-9.
- 5. Brown MS, Singel D, Hepburn S, Rojas DC. Increased glutamate concentration in the auditory cortex of persons with autism and firstdegree relatives: a (1)H-MRS study. Autism Res 2013; 6: 1-10.
- 6. Gimbler Berglund I, Huus K, Enskär K, Faresjö M, Björkman B. Perioperative and anesthesia guidelines for children with autism: a nationwide survey from Sweden. J Dev Behav Pediatr 2016; 37: 457-64.
- 7. Elgendy H, Ahmed D, Elmorsy S, Aboloyoun A, Banjar A, Youssef T. Variation of anesthetic sedation requirements in children undergoing auditory brainstem response (ABR) test: a retrospective cross-sectional study. J Anesth Clin Res 2016; 7: 1000670.
- 8. Dufour-Rainfray D, Vourc'h P, Le Guisquet AM, Garreau L, Ternant D, Bodard S, et al. Behavior and serotonergic disorders in rats exposed prenatally to valproate: a model for autism. Neurosci Lett 2010; 470: 55-9.
- 9. Schmitz C, Rezaie P. The neuropathology of autism: where do we stand? Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 2008; 34: 4-11.
- 10. Edden RA, Crocetti D, Zhu H, Gilbert DL, Mostofsky SH. Reduced GABA concentration in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2012; 69: 750-3.
- 11. Pierce K, Courchesne E. Evidence for a cerebellar role in reduced exploration and stereotyped behavior in autism. Biol Psychiatry 2001; 49: 655-64.
- 12. Yuan D, Su G, Liu Y, Chi X, Feng J, Zhu Q, et al. Propofol attenuated liver transplantation-induced acute lung injury via connexin43 gap junction inhibition. J Transl Med 2016; 14: 194.
- 13. Doze V, Chen BX, Li Z, Maze M. Pharmacologic characterization of the receptor mediating the hypnotic action of dexmedetomidine. Acta Vet Scand Suppl 1989; 85: 61-4.
- 14. Chemali JJ, Kenny JD, Olutola O, Taylor NE, Kimchi EY, Purdon PL, et al. Ageing delays emergence from general anaesthesia in rats by increasing anaesthetic sensitivity in the brain. Br J Anaesth 2015; 115 Suppl 1: i58-i65.
- 15. MacIver MB, Bland BH. Chaos analysis of EEG during isoflurane-induced loss of righting in rats. Front Syst Neurosci 2014; 8: 203.
- 16. Spijker S. Dissection of rodent brain regions. In: Neuroproteomics. Edited by Li KW. Totowa, Humana Press. 2011.
- 17. Sgadò P, Genovesi S, Kalinovsky A, Zunino G, Macchi F, Allegra M, et al. Loss of GABAergic neurons in the hippocampus and cerebral cortex of Engrailed-2 null mutant mice: implications for autism spectrum disorders. Exp Neurol 2013; 247: 496-505.
- 18. Banerjee A, García-Oscos F, Roychowdhury S, Galindo LC, Hall S, Kilgard MP, et al. Impairment of cortical GABAergic synaptic transmission in an environmental rat model of autism. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2013; 16: 1309-18.
- 19. Baxter P. Valproate and folic acid in pregnancy: associations with autism. Dev Med Child Neurol 2014; 56: 604.
- 20. Imanaka A, Morinobu S, Toki S, Yamamoto S, Matsuki A, Kozuru T, et al. Neonatal tactile stimulation reverses the effect of neonatal isolation on open-field and anxiety-like behavior, and pain sensitivity in male and female adult Sprague-Dawley rats. Behav Brain Res 2008; 186: 91-7.
- 21. Tas A, Yagiz R, Tas M, Esme M, Uzun C, Karasalihoglu AR. Evaluation of hearing in children with autism by using TEOAE and ABR. Autism 2007; 11: 73-9.
- 22. Zhang Z, Ferretti V, Güntan İ, Moro A, Steinberg EA, Ye Z, et al. Neuronal ensembles sufficient for recovery sleep and the sedative actions of α2 adrenergic agonists. Nat Neurosci 2015; 18: 553-61.
- 23. Bhagwandin A, Gravett N, Lyamin OI, Oosthuizen MK, Bennett NC, Siegel JM, et al. Sleep and wake in rhythmic versus arrhythmic chronotypes of a microphthalmic species of African mole rat (Fukomys mechowii). Brain Behav Evol 2011; 78: 162-83.
- Pilge S, Jordan D, Kreuzer M, Kochs EF, Schneider G. Burst suppression-MAC and burst suppression-CP₅₀ as measures of cerebral effects of anaesthetics. Br J Anaesth 2014; 112: 1067-74.
- 25. Cusmano DM, Mong JA. In utero exposure to valproic acid changes sleep in juvenile rats: a model for sleep disturbances in autism. Sleep 2014; 37: 1489-99.
- 26. Auerbach BD, Osterweil EK, Bear MF. Mutations causing syndromic autism define an axis of synaptic pathophysiology. Nature 2011; 480: 63-8.
- 27. Rubenstein JL, Merzenich MM. Model of autism: increased ratio of excitation/inhibition in key neural systems. Genes Brain Behav 2003; 2: 255-67.
- 28. Trapani G, Altomare C, Liso G, Sanna E, Biggio G. Propofol in anesthesia. Mechanism of action, structure-activity relationships, and drug delivery. Curr Med Chem 2000; 7: 249-71.
- 29. Correa-Sales C, Rabin BC, Maze M. A hypnotic response to dexmedetomidine, an alpha 2 agonist, is mediated in the locus coeruleus in rats. Anesthesiology 1992; 76: 948-52.
- Nelson LE, Lu J, Guo T, Saper CB, Franks NP, Maze M. The alpha2-adrenoceptor agonist dexmedetomidine converges on an endogenous sleep-promoting pathway to exert its sedative effects. Anesthesiology 2003; 98: 428-36.