

Nitrogen Kinetic Isotope Effects of Nitrification by the Complete Ammonia Oxidizer Nitrospira inopinata

Shurong Liu,^{a,b,c} Man-Young Jung,^{a,d,e} Shasha Zhang,^a Michael Wagner,^{a,b,f} 🕑 Holger Daims,^{a,b} Wolfgang Wanek^{a,b}

^aUniversity of Vienna, Centre for Microbiology and Environmental Systems Science, Vienna, Austria

mSphere[®]

^bUniversity of Vienna, The Comammox Research Platform, Vienna, Austria

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR MICROBIOLOGY

^cShenzhen Campus of Sun Yat-Sen University, School of Agriculture, Shenzhen, China

^dDepartment of Biology Education, Jeju National University, Jeju, Republic of Korea

eInterdisciplinary Graduate Program in Advance Convergence Technology and Science, Jeju National University, Jeju, Republic of Korea

fAalborg University, Department of Chemistry and Bioscience, Aalborg, Denmark

ABSTRACT Analysis of nitrogen isotope fractionation effects is useful for tracing biogeochemical nitrogen cycle processes. Nitrification can cause large nitrogen isotope effects through the enzymatic oxidation of ammonia (NH₃) via nitrite (NO₂⁻) to nitrate (NO_3^{-}) (${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ and ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2-\rightarrow NO3-}$). The isotope effects of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and archaea (AOA) and of nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB) have been analyzed previously. Here, we studied the nitrogen isotope effects of the complete ammonia oxidizer (comammox) Nitrospira inopinata that oxidizes NH₃ to NO₃⁻. At high ammonium (NH₄⁺) availability (1 mM) and pH between 6.5 and 8.5, its ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ ranged from -33.1 to -27.1% based on substrate consumption (residual substrate isotopic composition) and -35.5 to -31.2% based on product formation (cumulative product isotopic composition), while the ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2 \rightarrow NO3}$ ranged from 6.5 to 11.1% based on substrate consumption. These values resemble isotope effects of AOB and AOA and of NOB in the genus Nitrospira, suggesting the absence of fundamental mechanistic differences between key enzymes for ammonia and nitrite oxidation in comammox and canonical nitrifiers. However, ambient pH and initial NH_4^+ concentrations influenced the isotope effects in N. inopinata. The ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ based on product formation was smaller at pH 6.5 (-31.2%) compared to pH 7.5 (-35.5%) and pH 8.5 (-34.9‰), while ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NO2 \rightarrow NO3-}$ was smaller at pH 8.5 (6.5‰) compared to pH 7.5 (8.8‰) and pH 6.5 (11.1%). Isotopic fractionation via ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ and ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2-\rightarrow NO3-}$ was smaller at 0.1 mM NH_4^+ compared to 0.5 to 1.0 mM NH_4^+ . Environmental factors, such as pH and NH₄⁺ availability, therefore need to be considered when using isotope effects in ¹⁵N isotope fractionation models of nitrification.

IMPORTANCE Nitrification is an important nitrogen cycle process in terrestrial and aquatic environments. The discovery of comammox has changed the view that canonical AOA, AOB, and NOB are the only chemolithoautotrophic organisms catalyzing nitrification. However, the contribution of comammox to nitrification in environmental and technical systems is far from being completely understood. This study revealed that, despite a phylogenetically distinct enzymatic repertoire for ammonia oxidation, nitrogen isotope effects of ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\to NO2-}$ and ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NO2\to NO3-}$ in comammox do not differ significantly from those of canonical nitrifiers. Thus, nitrogen isotope effects are not suitable indicators to decipher the contribution of comammox to nitrification in environmental samples. Moreover, this is the first systematic study showing that the ambient pH and NH4+ concentration influence the isotope effects of nitrifiers. Hence, these key parameters should be considered in comparative analyses of isotope effects of nitrifiers across different growth conditions and environmental samples.

Editor Jorge L. M. Rodrigues, University of California, Davis

Copyright © 2021 Liu et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Holger Daims, holger.daims@univie.ac.at. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 15 July 2021 Accepted 29 November 2021 Published 8 December 2021





KEYWORDS comammox, isotope fractionation, kinetic isotope effect, nitrification

Natural abundance isotope techniques have proven useful for studying nitrogen (N) transformation processes in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems (1–3). Several process-oriented models that integrate isotope effects have been reported (4, 5). However, the successful integration of N isotopic composition into N cycle models requires the knowledge of the accurate isotope effects of each N transformation process. Until now, multiple N isotope effects have been reported based on soil and groundwater studies and for microbial isolates (3).

Nitrification represents a two-step N cycle process where ammonia (NH₃) is first oxidized to nitrite (NO_2^{-}) by ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and archaea (AOA), followed by the oxidation of NO_2^- to nitrate (NO_3^-) by nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB). Intriguingly, nitrification has shown much larger isotope effects than other N cycle processes, including biological N_2 fixation, mineral N uptake, ammonification, and denitrification (3). Isotope effects (${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NO2}$) for ammonia oxidation to NO₂⁻ have been determined in enriched and pure cultures of AOB and AOA (6-9). The AOB strain Nitrosomonas europaea exhibited an isotope effect of -38 to -32%, whereas Nitrosomonas marina, Nitrosomonas sp. C-113a, and Nitrosospira tenuis showed smaller isotope effects in the range of -25 to -14% (6, 7). Measured isotope effects of AOA were in the same range as those of AOB, with a large variation of $-21\% \pm 10\%$ (8), regardless of whether the AOA had been cultured from marine or geothermal sources (9). The factors causing the large variations of the measured isotope effects in AOA and AOB have remained largely unknown. Possible causes include differences in the pH and in initial NH_4^+ concentrations between studies, amino acid substitutions in ammonia monooxygenase (AMO; the key enzyme for ammonia oxidation in AOB and AOA), and any isotope effect possibly involved in the subsequent oxidation of the AMO product hydroxylamine (NH₂OH) to NO₂⁻, and with gaseous N losses via nitric oxide (NO) or nitrous oxide (N_2O) (7, 9).

Only a few studies have addressed isotopic fractionation during NO₂⁻ oxidation. The isotope effect of the key nitrite-oxidizing enzyme, nitrite oxidoreductase (NXR) (${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2\rightarrow NO3}$), became a focus of attention when the difference of $\delta^{15}N$ between NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ in marine samples turned out to be surprisingly large (10, 11). This was unexpected, because processes consuming NO_2^- (such as NO_2^- oxidation) or NO_3^- (denitrification) should increase the δ^{15} N residual NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻, respectively, and lead to a smaller offset in the δ^{15} N than observed. Hence, these results were taken as an indication for a process that actually decreased the $\delta^{15}NO_2^{-}$ relative to $\delta^{15}NO_3^{-}$ (12). Indeed, a subsequent study revealed an inverse isotope effect of NXR, where the substrate (NO2-) became more depleted in ¹⁵N compared to the product (NO_3^{-}) in the marine NOB Nitrococcus mobilis (10). This contrasts with most other enzymatic processes of the N cycle, where the substrate becomes ¹⁵N enriched and the product ¹⁵N depleted. Three explanations for such inverse kinetic isotope effects were studied, i.e., equilibrium isotope effects between NO₂⁻ and nitrous acid before reaction, reaction reversibility at the enzyme level, and real inverse kinetic isotope fractionation (10). The inverse isotope effect of NXR most likely originates at the enzyme level, where larger force constants in the transition state explain the inverse kinetic isotope effect when stretching vibrational contributions dominate the kinetic isotope effect (13). Follow-up studies demonstrated inverse isotope effects of the NOB Nitrococcus and Nitrobacter to be similar and around 20.5‰, whereas the inverse isotope effects of the NOB Nitrospira and Nitrospina were less pronounced and close to 9.5% (11, 14), and those of anammox bacteria were larger with 30.1 to 45.3‰ (15). The cause for the quantitatively different isotope effects of ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2 \rightarrow NO3-}$ in the diverse NOB remained unclear. Possibly responsible factors include the orientation of the membrane-attached NXR toward either the cytoplasm or periplasm, kinetic differences among the known forms of NXR, and the reversibility of NO_2^- oxidation by this enzyme (i.e., its capability to also catalyze NO_3^- reduction) (14).

Complete ammonia oxidizers (comammox organisms), which oxidize NH_3 to NO_3^- on their own, were recently discovered in the genus *Nitrospira* (16, 17). Comammox





FIG 1 Kinetic isotope effect of *N. inopinata* cultivated in CaCO₃-buffered medium with 1 mM ammonium (NH₄⁺) initial concentration. (A) Concentrations of NH₄⁺, nitrite (NO₂⁻), and nitrate (NO₃⁻). (B) Isotopic signatures of NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻, and NO₃⁻. (C) ¹⁵ $\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2^{-}}$ based on the residual substrate (ε_{AMO-RS}).

organisms are widespread in natural and engineered ecosystems (18). They possess a distinct form of AMO, which is phylogenetically moderately related to the AMO of betaproteobacterial AOB (16, 17). Generally, it is assumed that NH_3 and not NH_4^+ is the substrate for AMO in AOB, AOA, and comammox (19, 20). The only available comammox isolate, Nitrospira inopinata, has a very high substrate affinity for ammonia that exceeds the affinities of all characterized AOB and several AOA (21). Comammox bacteria share a highly similar NXR with canonical (only NO₂-oxidizing) Nitrospira, but the affinity of *N. inopinata* for NO₂⁻ is much lower than that reported for canonical *Nitrospira* (21, 22). The unique kinetic properties of comammox, and the distinct AMO, raise the question of whether NH_3 and NO_2^- oxidation by comammox might show comparable or different isotope effects than found in the canonical nitrifiers. So far, however, no isotope fractionation data from comammox have been available. In this study, we analyzed the N kinetic isotope effects of ammonia oxidation (${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2}$) and nitrite oxidation (${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2 \rightarrow NO3}$) of a pure culture of *N. inopinata*. In addition, we explored whether the isotope effect is influenced by selected environmental factors (medium pH and initial NH_4^+ concentration). The results provide important constraints for the interpretation of natural abundance stable isotope ratios for N compounds in systems where comammox Nitrospira are prevalent.

RESULTS

Experiment 1: ammonia oxidation with an initial concentration of 1 mM NH_4^+ . Within 2 weeks of this incubation experiment, N. inopinata oxidized the initially provided 1 mM NH_4^+ to approximately 90% NO_3^- and 10% NO_2^- (Fig. 1A). A transient accumulation of NO_2^- was also observed in previous studies with N. inopinata, where the residual NO₂⁻ was finally converted to NO₃⁻ during prolonged incubations after NH_4^+ depletion (16, 21). The ratio of NH_4^+ consumption to NO_2^- plus NO_3^- formation was close to 1.0, indicating that ammonia was almost stoichiometrically oxidized to NO_2^- and NO_3^- (Fig. 1A). The initial $\delta^{15}N$ of NH_4^+ was -0.6%. The $\delta^{15}N$ of NH_4^+ increased exponentially with incubation time, along with an increase of δ^{15} N of NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻. Moreover, the δ^{15} N of NO₂⁻ was depleted compared to the δ^{15} N of NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ during the incubation (Fig. 1B). The ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ was $-27.1\%\pm0.8\%$ based on the residual substrate (equation 2, Fig. 1C, and Table 1) and $-32.2\% \pm 1.4\%$ based on the cumulative product (Table 1), which was in agreement with the ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ (–38 to –14‰) of canonical AOB and AOA (7, 8). The $^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NO2-\rightarrow NO3-}$ was 7.6‰ \pm 0.2‰ based on the residual substrate from the Solver model (Table 1), which was close to the canonical Nitrospira NOB (9‰) (11, 14).

Experiment 2: nitrite oxidation with an initial concentration of 1 mM NO₂. In a subsequent experiment, the ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2 \rightarrow NO3}$ was directly measured from a batch incubation with NO₂⁻ as a substrate. Since *N. inopinata* is unable to utilize NO₂⁻ as an N

NH₄+ (mM)	рН	NH_4^+/NO_2^- oxidation rate (μ M/h)	NH_4^+ oxidation rate [μ mol N (mg protein) ⁻¹ h ⁻¹]	<i>е</i> _{амо-rs} (‰)	${ { { { $	ε _{NXR-RS} (‰)
1	6.5	15.8 ± 2.6	V _{max} (12.8)	-30.1 ± 0.5	-31.2 ± 0.3	11.1 ± 0.6
1	7.5	18.6 ± 0.7	V _{max} (12.8)	-31.6 ± 0.5	-35.5 ± 0.2	$\textbf{8.8}\pm\textbf{0.6}$
1	8.5	14.0 ± 1.7	V _{max} (12.8)	-33.1 ± 0.8	-34.9 ± 1.6	6.5 ± 1.0
0.1	8.2	5.8 ± 0.3^b	2.1 ± 0.1	-19.7	-17.3	6.2
0.25	8.2	8.6 ± 0.4	3.1 ± 0.1	-21.1 ± 1.3	-21.2 ± 2.3	10.8 ± 1.1
0.5	8.2	10.7 ± 0.5	3.8 ± 0.2	-24.8 ± 0.2	-24.3 ± 1.9	10.5 ± 2.3
1	8.2	6.2 ± 0.4^{c}		-27.1 ± 0.8	-32.2 ± 1.4	7.6 ± 0.2
1	8.2	39.5 ± 4.6				9.2 ± 0.5

TABLE 1 Modeled kinetic isotope effects (mean \pm s.d., n = 3) of AMO and NXR of *Nitrospira inopinata^a*

^{*a*}Modeled kinetic isotope effects (mean \pm standard deviation, *n* = 3) of AMO and NXR of *Nitrospira inopinata* based on the Solver model at pH 6.5 to 8.5 with initial NH₄⁺ concentrations of 0.1 to 1 mM.

^bAOA/AOB medium buffered with CaCO₃ (pH around 8.2) was used for this batch experiment with 0.1, 0.25, and 0.5 mM NH₄⁺.

^cThe batch experiment was performed with CaCO₃-buffered medium but with much less biomass.

source for assimilation (16), growth was not expected to occur during the incubation experiment with NO₂⁻. Thus, we used an already highly concentrated cell suspension to analyze the ¹⁵ $\varepsilon_{NO2\longrightarrow NO3}$. Within 2 days of incubation, the initially provided 1 mM NO₂⁻ was almost stoichiometrically oxidized to NO₃⁻ (Fig. 2A). The ratio of NO₂⁻ oxidation to NO₃⁻ production was 1.03 ± 0.03. The initial δ^{15} N of NO₂⁻ was -25‰, and the δ^{15} N of both NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ decreased along with NO₂⁻ oxidation. In agreement with previous studies of canonical NOB (see introduction), the δ^{15} N of NO₂⁻ was depleted compared to the δ^{15} N of NO₃⁻ during NO₂⁻ oxidation (Fig. 2B). The calculated, inverse isotope effect of ¹⁵ $\varepsilon_{NO2\longrightarrow NO3}$ was 9.2‰ ± 0.5‰ based on the Rayleigh models for the residual substrate (Fig. 2C), which was similar with the above-mentioned ¹⁵ $\varepsilon_{NO2\longrightarrow NO3}$. (7.6‰ ± 0.2‰) calculated from the Solver model during NH₃ oxidation. Figure 2D shows the calculated ¹⁵ $\varepsilon_{NO2\longrightarrow NO3}$ based on the cumulative product.



FIG 2 Kinetic isotope effect of *N. inopinata* cultivated in CaCO₃-buffered medium with 1 mM NO₂⁻ initial concentration. (A) Concentrations of NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻. (B) Isotopic signatures of NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻. (C and D) ¹⁵ $\varepsilon_{NO2--NO3-}$ based on the residual substrate (ε_{NXR-RS}) (C) and cumulative product (ε_{NXR-CP}) (D).



FIG 3 Kinetic isotope effect of *N. inopinata* cultivated in CaCO₃-buffered medium with 0.1, 0.25, and 0.5 mM NH_4^+ initial concentration. (A to C) Concentrations of NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , and NO_3^- . (D to F) Isotopic signatures of NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , and NO_3^- . (G to I) $^{15}\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2}$ - based on the residual substrate (ε_{AMO-RS}).

Experiment 3: ammonia oxidation with an initial concentration of 0.1, 0.25, and 0.5 mM NH_4^+ . Similar patterns of NH_4^+ oxidation, NO_2^- production and consumption, and NO_3^- production were observed for all tested initial NH_4^+ concentrations (Fig. 3A to C). However, the NH_4^+ oxidation rates increased with higher initial NH_4^+ concentrations (Table 1). The concentration of transiently accumulated NO₂⁻ also increased with the initial NH₄⁺ concentration (Fig. 3A to C). The δ^{15} N of NH₄⁺ increased with ongoing NH₄⁺ oxidation, along with an increase in the δ^{15} N of NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻. After about 93% of the NH₄⁺ had been consumed, a pronounced decrease in the δ^{15} N of NO_2^- was observed, which was consistent with the net consumption of NO_2^- (Fig. 3, especially Fig. 3D to F). The $^{15}\!arepsilon_{\rm NH4+\to NO2^-}$ values based on the residual substrate were significantly (P < 0.05) larger for the 0.5 mM initial NH₄⁺ concentration (-24.8‰) compared to that for the 0.25 mM initial NH₄⁺ concentration (-21.1‰). The ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ was smallest (-19.1‰) for the 0.1 mM initial NH_4^+ concentration among all the tested initial NH₄⁺ concentrations (Fig. 3G to I). The calculated ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ values based on the cumulative product were similar to those based on the residual substrate, with values of -17.3, -21.2, and -24.3% for the 0.1, 0.25, and 0.5 mM NH₄⁺ addition, respectively (Table 1). As outlined below (see Discussion), the weaker ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ at the **mSphere**





FIG 4 Kinetic isotope effect of *N. inopinata* cultivated with 1 mM NH_4^+ (initial concentration) at pH 6.5, 7.5, and 8.5. (A to C) Concentrations of NH_4^+ , NO_2^- and NO_3^- . (D to F) Isotopic signatures of NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , and NO_3^- . (G to I) ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2^-}$ based on the residual substrate (ε_{AMO-RS}).

lowest NH₄⁺ concentration could be due to the low NH₄⁺ oxidation rates with 0.1 mM NH₄⁺ [2.1 μ mol N (mg protein)⁻¹ h⁻¹; total protein content was ~2.8 μ g ml⁻¹], which was significantly smaller than the V_{max} [12.8 μ mol N (mg protein)⁻¹ h⁻¹] of *N. inopinata* (21). The ¹⁵ $\varepsilon_{NO2-\rightarrow NO3-}$ values based on the Solver model were 6.2, 10.8, and 10.5% for the 0.1, 0.25, and 0.5 mM NH₄⁺ treatments, respectively (Table 1). No significant difference of ¹⁵ $\varepsilon_{NO2-\rightarrow NO3-}$ was observed between the 0.25 and 0.5 mM initial NH₄⁺ concentration treatments.

Experiment 4: ammonia oxidation at different pH values with an initial concentration of 1 mM NH₄⁺. In this experiment, the maximum NH₄⁺ oxidation rates (day 3 to 4 for pH 6.5 and 7.5; day 4 to 5 for pH 8.5) were significantly (P < 0.05) lower at pH 8.5 than at pH 7.5 (Table 1 and Fig. 4A to C). The NO₂⁻ concentration was significantly (P < 0.05) higher at pH 6.5 (361 μ M) than that at pH 8.5 (234 μ M), which was consistent with the trend of maximum NO₂⁻ oxidation rate (this was calculated during the period of NO₂⁻ oxidation when NH₄⁺ was almost completely consumed) that was significantly (P < 0.05) lower at pH 6.5 (9.7 μ M h⁻¹) than at pH 8.5 (13.5 μ M h⁻¹). The δ^{15} N of NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻, and NO₃⁻ showed similar patterns as in the other experiments, i.e., the δ^{15} N of NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻, and NO₃⁻ increased simultaneously with ammonia oxidation until more than 90% NH₄⁺ was oxidized, followed by a decrease in the δ^{15} N of



TABLE 2 Compilation of kinetic isotope effects of canonical AOA, AOB, and NOB

Nitrifier		Initial				
group	Strain	substrate (mM)	рН	ε _{RS} (‰)	ε _{cp} (‰)	Reference
AOA	Nitrosopumilus adriaticus	1	7.6	-32 ± 1	-40 ± 1	Mooshammer et al. (33)
	Nitrososphaera viennensis	1-2	7.5	-32 ± 1	-39	Mooshammer et al. (33)
	Nitrososphaera gargensis	0.25	8.2	-22 ± 0	-33 ± 2	This study (Fig. S1 and S2)
	Nitrosocosmicus oleophilus	1	7.5	-36 ± 5	-36 ± 5	This study (Fig. S1 and S2)
	AOA enrichment CN25				-22 ± 5	Santoro and Casciotti (8)
	AOA enrichment CN75	0.01-0.075			-21 ± 10	Santoro and Casciotti (8)
	AOA enrichment CN150				-22 ± 5	Santoro and Casciotti (8)
	"Candidatus Nitrosocaldus"	0.2	8.2-8.6		-25 ± 2	Nishizawa et al. (9)
	"Candidatus Nitrosocaldus"	14	8.0		-32 ± 1	Nishizawa et al. (9)
AOB	Nitrosomonas europaea	4.7-25	7.5	-35 ± 3	-32 ± 6	Mariotti et al. (6)
	Nitrosomonas europaea	38			-32 to -25	Yoshida (30)
	Nitrosomonas europaea	1			-38 ± 2	Casciotti et al. (7)
	Nitrosomonas marina	2			-14 ± 4	Casciotti et al. (7)
	Nitrosomonas sp. C-113a	2	8.0		-19 ± 1	Casciotti et al. (7)
	Nitrosospira tenuis	1			-25 ± 1	Casciotti et al. (7)
	Nitrosomonas eutropha	1			-33 ± 2	Casciotti et al. (7)
	Nitrosomonas sp. C-113a					Casciotti et al. (43)
	Nitrosococcus oceani	0.005-0.05	8.2		-46 to -30	Casciotti et al. (43)
	Nitrosospira briensis					Casciotti et al. (43)
NOB	Nitrococcus mobilis			20 ± 3		Buchwald and Casciotti (11)
	Nitrobacter sp. Nb 355	0.05	8.2	21 ± 3		Buchwald and Casciotti (11)
	Nitrospira marina			9 ± 2		Buchwald and Casciotti (11)
	Nitrospira sp. Ecomares 2.1	0.5-1	7.5	10 ± 1		Jacob et al. (14)
	Nitrospina watsonii 347	0.6-1.6		10 ± 1		Jacob et al. (14)
	Nitrospira moscoviensis	1	7.5	9 ± 1		This study (Fig. S3)

NO₂[−] until the end of the incubations (Fig. 4D to F). There was no significant difference for the ¹⁵ $\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NO2^-}$ calculated based on the residual substrate among the three pH levels (Table 1 and Fig. 4G to I), but the ¹⁵ $\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NO2^-}$ based on product formation was significantly (P < 0.05) weaker at pH 6.5 than at pH 7.5 and pH 8.5 (Table 1). Interestingly, the δ^{15} N of NO₂[−] was significantly (P < 0.05) lower at pH 6.5 than at pH 8.5 than at pH 8.5 than at pH 8.5 the beginning of the experiment. Moreover, the pH affected the isotope effect of NO₂[−] oxidation significantly, where the ¹⁵ $\varepsilon_{\rm NO2\rightarrow NO3^-}$ was significantly (P < 0.05) weaker at pH 8.5 than that at pH 7.5 and 6.5, based on the Solver model (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Isotope effects of ammonia and nitrite oxidation by *N. inopinata.* The measured N isotope effect for ammonia oxidation (${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2}$.) by *N. inopinata* (residual substrate [RS], -33.0 to -30.7%; cumulative product [CP], -35.5 to -31.2%) with an initial substrate concentration of 1 mM NH₄⁺ fell into the range of ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2}$ values determined previously for AOB (-38.2 to -14.2%) and AOA (-41 to -13%) (7-9) (Table 2), as well as the measured N isotope effect of the two AOA species *Nitrososphaera gargensis* (RS, -22.3%); CP, -32.8%) and *Nitrosocosmicus oleophilus* that were also determined in this study (RS, -36.1%); CP, -36.3%) (see Fig. S1 and S2 in the supplemental material). However, isotope fractionation data are currently still lacking for many phylogenetic lineages of AOB and AOA. The most similar isotope effects, compared with *N. inopinata*, have been reported for *Nitrosomonas europaea*



(-38.2‰) and *Nitrosomonas eutropha* (-32.8‰) (6, 7) (Table 2). In this context, it is noteworthy that comammox *Nitrospira*, betaproteobacterial AOB, and AOA possess three phylogenetically different types of AMO (16, 17, 23). Moreover, the characterized comammox *Nitrospira* and many AOA have a much higher substrate affinity for NH₃ than AOB (21, 24, 25). Despite the distinct phylogenetic and kinetic properties of the AMO forms, no difference in the magnitude of ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ between comammox, AOB, and AOA became apparent. This result may indicate that the enzymatic mechanism and transition states of the NH₃ oxidation step catalyzed by AMO are similar across all ammonia oxidizers. However, the reported ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ values varied strongly, even within one species or strain, in previous research (7–9). Hence, the kinetic isotope effects of ammonia oxidizers may be modulated by environmental factors, some of which have been investigated in our study (see below).

Like canonical NOB (10, 11, 14), N. inopinata displayed an inverse ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2 \rightarrow NO3}$, meaning that $^{15}NO_2^{-}$ was preferentially oxidized to NO_3^{-} during NO_2^{-} oxidation. The measured value of $^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NO2\longrightarrow NO3^-}$ (9.2‰ \pm 0.5‰ at pH 8.2 and 1 mM NO₂⁻) was in line with previously determined ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2 \rightarrow NO3}$ values (9.1 to 10.2‰) of canonical Nitrospira NOB (11, 14) (Table 2 and Fig. S3). The NXR of N. inopinata clusters together with the NXR of canonical Nitrospira in phylogenetic analyses of the substrate-binding alpha subunit and the electron-channeling beta subunit of this enzyme (16). This close phylogenetic relationship is consistent with the highly similar kinetic isotope effects of comammox and canonical Nitrospira. Other NOB such as Nitrobacter (20.6‰) and Nitrococcus (12.8‰) showed remarkably stronger kinetic isotope effects than Nitrospira (10, 11). Interestingly, Nitrobacter and Nitrococcus have a lower whole-cell affinity (higher $K_{m(app)}$) for NO₂⁻ than Nitrospira (14, 22). Therefore, the differences in the kinetic isotope effect were suggested to be linked to the NO₂⁻ affinity of NOB, possibly caused by a different stability of the transition state in high- versus low-affinity NXR forms (14). However, this explanation turns out to be unlikely, considering that the isotope effect of the NXR of N. inopinata resembles that of other Nitrospira strains, whereas its whole-cell nitrite affinity is low and in the same range as the whole-cell affinity of Nitrobacter species (21). Instead, it may be more relevant that the NXR of Nitrospira (including comammox species) is located in the periplasmic space (where it may interact with the cytoplasmic membrane), whereas the membrane-attached NXR of Nitrobacter and Nitrococcus is oriented toward the cytoplasm (references 26 and 27 and references cited therein). The cellular localization of NXR determines whether transport of NO_2^- and NO_3^- over the cytoplasmic membrane is needed, which might also influence the kinetic isotope effect through the properties of the nitrite/nitrate transporter: it may limit the expression of the isotope effect of NXR if NO_2^- transport (has almost no isotope fractionation as a diffusional process) becomes limiting relative to NXR activity. The localization of NXR also affects the energy efficiency of nitrite oxidation, because only a periplasmic NXR contributes directly to proton motive force (26, 28). Moreover, the periplasmic and cytoplasmic NXR types represent phylogenetically unrelated lineages within the type II dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) reductase-like family of molybdopterin-containing enzymes (26, 29). The different magnitude of the kinetic isotope effect in NOB likely reflects the distinct functional properties and evolutionary history of the periplasmic and cytoplasmic NXR forms. This possibility was discussed previously (14) and gains further support from our results.

Effects of substrate concentration and pH on the kinetic isotope effects of comammox bacteria. Nitrogen isotope effects of ammonia oxidizers varied largely among previous studies, even within AOB and AOA (7–9). This variability might partly be caused by different enzyme (AMO) structures. However, a substantial variation in kinetic isotope effects can occur even within a single isolate, as reported for the AOB species *Nitrosomonas europaea* (6, 7, 30). Such variability indicates that the cultivation conditions and growth stage and specific factors, such as concentration-dependent diffusion limitations of substrate availability for the critical enzyme or the accumulation of intermediates in an N transformation pathway, can significantly affect the kinetic isotope effect (illustrated in Fig. 5). For comammox, possible effects of environmental conditions on the kinetic isotope effects of ammonia oxidation and nitrite oxidation,





FIG 5 Schematic overview of N processes and isotope fractionation effects involved in NH₃ oxidation, NO₂⁻ oxidation, formation of intermediates, and growth of the comammox strain *Nitrospira inopinata*. Average kinetic isotope effects of NH₃ and NO₂⁻ oxidation are presented for the residual substrate (NH₄⁺, ε_{RS1} ; NO₂⁻, ε_{RS2}) and the cumulative product (NO₂⁻, ε_{CP1}) with the addition of 1 mM NH₄⁺ at pH 7.5. Isotope fractionation of NH₃/NH₄⁺ equilibration and NH₃ uptake refer to reference 32. This schematic illustration is modified from reference 33.

respectively, have not yet been studied. Here, we analyzed the effects of two conditions, the initial NH_4^+ concentration and culture pH, on the kinetic isotope effects of complete nitrification by *N. inopinata*.

Previous studies with AOB and AOA mostly found ${}^{15}\varepsilon$ to be below -20%, which is the range seen in our experiments with comammox at high initial NH₄⁺ concentrations (Tables 1 and 2). However, we observed salient decreasing trends of ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ with decreasing NH_4^+ concentrations between 1 and 0.1 mM. This result is consistent with previous data from an enrichment of thermophilic canonical AOA, where smaller isotope effects were found at lower NH_4^+ concentrations (0.25 mM) compared to those in experiments with high NH_4^+ concentrations (10 mM) (9). Also for denitrifiers, a decrease of the N isotope effect was observed along with decreasing NO₃⁻ concentrations in the medium (31). In our study, the NH₃ oxidation rates were lower at 0.1 mM $NH_{a^{+}}$ [2.1 μ mol N (mg protein)⁻¹ h⁻¹] than at 0.25 mM [3.1 μ mol N (mg protein)⁻¹ h^{-1}] and 0.5 mM NH₄⁺ [3.8 μ mol N (mg protein)⁻¹ h^{-1}], which were much lower than the V_{max} [12.8 μ mol N (mg protein)⁻¹ h⁻¹] of *N. inopinata* (21). Thus, the rate-limiting step for NH_3 oxidation at low NH_4^+ concentrations was probably more dependent on NH₃ diffusion/transport from the extracellular space into the periplasm, where the active sites of the enzymes involved in ammonia oxidation are likely located. Therefore, under these conditions, ${}^{15}\!\!\!\mathcal{E}_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NO2^{-}}$ did not reflect the enzymatic isotope effect, but rather the equilibrium isotope effect ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NH3}$ at low NH₄⁺ concentrations, which is around -19% (32). However, when NH₄⁺ concentrations are higher and transport does not limit the AMO activity, enzymatically catalyzed NH₃ oxidation will become the limiting step, and ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ based on the residual substrate ($\varepsilon_{\rm RS1}$) will converge to the enzymatic isotope effect of AMO. Moreover, the isotope effect based on ε_{RS1} is also influenced by NH_4^+ assimilation for biomass formation and can therefore diverge from that based on residual substrate of ammonia oxidation. Compared to ε_{RS1} , ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ based on product formation (ε_{CP1}) is affected by other factors, including N intermediate (NH₂OH and NO) accumulation and N gas (N₂O and NO) loss. Mooshammer et al. (33) demonstrated N assimilation to be the main factor responsible for the difference between $\varepsilon_{\rm RS1}$ and $\varepsilon_{\rm CP1}$ in the AOA species Nitrososphaera viennensis. In our experiment, we did not observe any significant change in the total protein content of the *N*. *inopinata* cultures at 0.1, 0.25, and 0.5 mM NH_4^+ during the incubation. The absence of detectable growth may explain the similar isotope effects of $\varepsilon_{\rm RS1}$ and ε_{CP1} at all three NH₄⁺ concentrations. It appears that the NH₄⁺ concentration also influenced the isotope effect of NO_2^- oxidation, as the modeled ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2-\rightarrow NO3-}$ was considerably smaller at the lowest tested initial NH_4^+ concentration (0.1 mM; Table 1). The

reason could be the low maximal NO₂⁻ concentration (around 10 μ M) during NH₃ and NO₂⁻ oxidation with 0.1 mM NH₄⁺ (Fig. 3A). *N. inopinata* has quite a poor affinity (372 ± 55 μ M) for NO₂⁻ during NO₂⁻ oxidation (21), and NO₂⁻ accumulated to higher concentrations in the experiments with 0.25 and 0.5 mM NH₄⁺ (Fig. 3B and C). Accordingly, the kinetic isotope effect of NO₂⁻ oxidation was more pronounced at these higher concentrations (Table 1).

Medium pH is another factor potentially affecting kinetic isotope effects. *N. inopinata* has strong activities of NH₃ and NO₂⁻ oxidation in the pH range of 6.5 to 8.5, with lower NH₃ oxidation rates at pH 8.5 than those at pH 7.5 (Table 1). The NH₃ oxidation rates were not significantly different between pH 6.5 and 7.5, while the NO₂⁻ oxidation rates (which were calculated in the later period of NH₃ oxidation when NH₄⁺ was almost completely consumed) were significantly lower at pH 6.5 than that at pH 8.5. The pH also influenced the ¹⁵ $\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2^-}$ and ¹⁵ $\varepsilon_{NO2-\rightarrow NO3-}$. The ε_{RS1} did not change significantly among different pH values, while the ε_{CP1} was much lower at pH 6.5 than that at pH 7.5 and pH 8.5 (Table 1). As discussed before, ε_{CP1} was probably affected by the isotopic fractionation during intermediate formation (33). With *N. inopinata* cultures, release of small amounts of NH₂OH, NO, and N₂O from cells has been observed during the oxidation of NH₃ to NO₂⁻ (34, 35). Any pH-dependent shifts in the amounts of these released compounds could lead to changes of ¹⁵ $\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2^-}$ (Fig. 5).

A pH-dependent shift was also observed for the ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2-\rightarrow NO3-}$, which decreased significantly from 11.1‰ to 6.0‰ when the pH increased from 6.5 to 8.5 (Table 1). As stated above, we assume that a limited NO₂⁻ availability caused the observed decrease of ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2-\rightarrow NO3-}$ in the experiment with an initial NH₄⁺ concentration of only 0.1 mM (Table 1). We observed that the concentration of transiently accumulated NO_2^- was lowest at pH 8.5 (compared to pH 6.5 and 7.5) during the whole NH₃ oxidation period (Fig. 4A to C), which was in agreement with the lower rate of NH_3 oxidation at pH 8.5 (Table 1). In addition, the maximum NO_2^- oxidation rate was higher at pH 8.5 than that at pH 6.5 and 7.5. Thus, the relatively high rate of NO_2^- oxidation and low rate of NH_3 oxidation together led to the lower NO₂⁻ concentrations and thus can make NO₂⁻ diffusion the limiting step for NO_2^- oxidation at pH 8.5, especially for N. inopinata that has a low affinity for NO₂⁻ during NO₂⁻ oxidation. The effect of pH on NO₂⁻ selfdecomposition was unlikely the cause of the different isotope effect in the pH range of 6.5 to 8.5. In our experiments, we found no significant change of the N balance and of $\delta^{15}N_{NH3+NO2+NO3}$ at pH 6.5 to 8.5 (Fig. S4). This is consistent with the findings of Casciotti et al. (10), where no change of δ^{15} N was observed in the δ^{15} N_{NO2+NO3} in incubations of nitrite oxidizers and in control flasks in the pH range of 7.8 to 8.8. Until now, there has been no systematic investigation of the pH effect on ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2-\rightarrow NO3-}$ of canonical NOB. Recently, a new NOB from the genus Nitrospira has been cultivated from an alkaline lake (36). It would be worthy to explore the ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2-NO3-}$ of alkali-tolerant NOB and the mechanisms of NO₂⁻ oxidation at alkaline conditions in further studies.

Conclusions. In summary, our results demonstrate that the ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ and ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2\rightarrow NO3-}$ of comammox *N. inopinata* ranged from -33% to -27% and 6.5% to 9‰, respectively, with nonlimiting NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ supply as the substrate at pH 7.5 to 8.5. Both substrate concentration and pH affected the ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ and ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2\rightarrow NO3-}$ of *N. inopinata* during NH₃ oxidation. At low NH₄⁺ concentrations, especially when NH₃ oxidation rates were much smaller than the V_{max} of *N. inopinata*, the ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ was closer to ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NH3}$ and did not reflect the enzymatic isotope effect of *N. inopinata*. Medium pH affected the ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NH4+\rightarrow NO2-}$ of *N. inopinata* based on the cumulative product, due to the effect of pH on intermediate formation of *N. inopinata*. The exact reasons responsible for the weaker isotope effects of ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO2\rightarrow NO3-}$ at higher pH remained elusive. Further studies should target the effects of pH and substrate concentration on the kinetic isotope effects of canonical AOB, AOA, and NOB to investigate the underlying mechanisms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cultivation of Nitrospira inopinata. Cultures of N. inopinata were maintained at 37°C with 1 mM NH₄Cl in a CaCO₃-buffered AOM medium containing (per liter) (37): 50 mg KH₂PO4, 50 mg MgSO₄·7H₂O,

75 mg KCl, 584 mg NaCl, 4 g $CaCO_3$ (solid buffer), 1 ml selenium-tungstate solution (SWS), and 1 ml trace element solution (TES). For the composition of TES and SWS, please refer to Widdel et al. (38). The pH of the medium was maintained at approximately 8.2. The cultivation conditions and experiments for *Nitrososphaera gargensis*, *Nitrosocosmicus oleophilus*, and *Nitrospira moscoviensis* are described in Text S1 in the supplemental material.

Incubation experiments. (i) Experiment 1: ammonia oxidation with an initial concentration of 1 mM NH₄⁺. Metabolically active (i.e., ammonia-oxidizing) *N. inopinata* cells (190 ml) were harvested by centrifugation (5,000 × *g*, 30 min), washed twice with CaCO₃-buffered medium (pH ~8.2), and resuspended in 300 ml of CaCO₃-buffered medium containing 1 mM NH₄⁺. Subsequently, the cell suspension was equally distributed into three autoclaved 250-ml glass bottles. On days 0, 7, 9, 13, and 14 after inoculation, 8-ml aliquots of each replicate were transferred into 15-ml plastic tubes and centrifuged (10,000 × *g*, 10 min). Aliquots (1 ml) of the supernatant were transferred into 1-ml Eppendorf tubes for NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ isotope analysis, respectively, and 5-ml aliquots of the supernatant were transferred into 15-ml plastic tubes for NH₄⁺ isotope analysis. All aliquots of supernatants were frozen at -20°C immediately after sampling.

(ii) Experiment 2: nitrite oxidation with an initial concentration of 1 mM NO₂⁻. Metabolically active *N. inopinata* cells (2,000 ml) were harvested by centrifugation (5,000 \times *g*, 30 min), washed once, and resuspended in 120 ml of CaCO₃-buffered medium containing 1 mM NO₂⁻. The cell suspension was equally distributed into three autoclaved 100-ml glass bottles. Samples were taken at 0, 11, 23, 35, and 47 h after inoculation and were centrifuged and stored as described above for experiment 1.

(iii) Experiment 3: ammonia oxidation with an initial concentration of 0.1, 0.25, and 0.5 mM NH_4^+ . Metabolically active *N. inopinata* cells (700 ml) were harvested by centrifugation (5,000 × *g*, 30 min), washed once, and resuspended in 1,000 ml of CaCO₃-buffered medium without NH_4^+ . Subsequently, 900 ml of the cell suspension was equally split into nine autoclaved 250-ml glass bottles. For the different NH_4^+ treatments, 0.02, 0.05, and 0.1 ml of a sterile 0.5 M NH_4^+ solution was added to three bottles, respectively, resulting in triplicates per NH_4^+ concentration. Samples were taken at 0, 4, 7.5, 16.5, 22, and 28 h (0.1 mM NH_4^+), at 0, 7.5, 16.5, 22, 28, and 46 h (0.25 mM NH_4^+), and at 0, 22, 31.5, 43, 54, and 66 h (0.5 mM NH_4^+) after inoculation. The samples were centrifuged and stored as described above for experiment 1.

(iv) Experiment 4: ammonia oxidation at different pH values with an initial concentration of **1 mM NH**₄⁺. A stock culture of *N. inopinata* was transferred from CaCO₃-buffered medium to medium buffered with 2 mM NaHCO₃, followed by two rounds of growth and transfer in this medium, in order to remove solid CaCO₃. Metabolically active cells (1,200 ml) were then harvested by centrifugation (8,000 \times g, 15 min), washed with 2 mM NaHCO₃-buffered medium, and resuspended in 10 ml of the same medium. This cell suspension was used to inoculate nine glass bottles containing 100 ml medium. During the incubations, 10 mM MES [2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid], 10 mM HEPES (4-(2hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid), and 10 mM TAPS ([tris(hydroxymethyl)methylamino] propanesulfonic acid) were used as buffers to adjust the pH to 6.5, 7.5, and 8.5, respectively. The MES stock buffer was prepared by dissolving 9.76 g MES in 100 ml of 136 mM NaOH. The HEPES stock buffer was prepared by dissolving 23.83 g HEPES in 100 ml of 600 mM NaOH. The TAPS stock buffer was prepared by dissolving 24.3 g TAPS in 100 ml of 570 mM NaOH. Buffer stock solutions were diluted to 10 mM MES, HEPES, and TAPS, respectively, and sterilized. 1 M HCl and 1 M NaOH were used to adjust the pH to 6.5, 7.5, and 8.5. For each pH treatment, samples were taken on 0, 0.3, 1.3, 2.3, 3.3, 4.3, 5.3, 6.5, and 8.5 days after inoculation. The samples were centrifuged and stored as described above for experiment 1.

Chemical analyses. Inorganic N concentrations were measured by using established protocols (39). Combined NH_3 and NH_4^+ concentrations were determined by the indophenol blue method. NO_2^- concentrations were measured spectrophotometrically by the Griess reaction after reaction with sulfanilamide and *N*-1-naphthyl-ethylenediamine dihydrochloride. NO_3^- concentrations were measured by the Griess reaction after reduction to NO_2^- with vanadium chloride. Total protein concentrations were measured by the Bradford assay.

Nitrogen isotope analyses. The δ^{15} N values of NH₄⁺ were analyzed by microdiffusion coupled to elemental analyzer-isotope ratio mass spectrometry (EA-IRMS [40]). For isotopic calibration, the following NH₄⁺ standards were used: IAEA-N-2 (20.3‰ ± 0.2‰), IAEA-N-1 (0.4‰ ± 0.2‰), and USGS26 (53‰ ± 0.4‰). The δ^{15} N signatures of NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ were measured by purge-and-trap isotope ratio mass spectrometry (PT-IRMS) after chemical conversion of NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ to N₂O (40). In-house NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ standards, which ranged between -20.5 and 16.8‰, were used for isotopic calibration and were analyzed in parallel with the samples.

Natural ¹⁵N abundances are defined in the delta notation as follows: $\delta^{15}N$ (‰) = [(¹⁵N_{sample})/(¹⁴N_{sample})/(¹⁵N_{std}/¹⁴N_{std}) - 1] × 1,000 where std stands for standard. Isotope ratios are reported relative to AIR (atmospheric dinitrogen).

Kinetic isotope effects were defined as follows:

$$\varepsilon_k = \left(\frac{k_H}{k_L} - 1\right) \times 1000\tag{1}$$

where $k_{\rm L}$ is the first-order rate constant for the reaction of isotopically light molecules (e.g., ¹⁴N) and $\underline{k}_{\rm H}$ is the rate constant for the reaction of isotopically heavy molecules (e.g., ¹⁵N). The organism-level nitrogen isotope effect for ammonia oxidation (${}^{15}\varepsilon_{k} \sum {\rm NH}_{3}/{\rm NO}_{2}^{-}$) was calculated using the

2



Rayleigh residual substrate (RS) equation (equation 2) and the cumulative product (CP) equation (equation 3):

$$\delta_{RS} = \delta_{input} + \varepsilon_k \times \ln(1 - f) \tag{2}$$

$$\delta_{\rm CP} = \delta_{\rm input} - \varepsilon_k \ln(1-f) \frac{(1-f)}{f} \tag{3}$$

where δ_{RS} is the N isotope signature of the residual substrate, i.e., NH₃ (in the case of NH₃ oxidation) or of NO₂⁻ (in the case of NO₂⁻ oxidation); δ_{CP} is the N isotope signature of the cumulative product, i.e., NO₂⁻ (in the case of NH₃ oxidation) or NO₃⁻ (in the case of NO₂⁻ oxidation); δ_{input} is the substrate isotope signal initially present in the medium, and *f* is the oxidizing fraction of substrate. δ^{15} N at any time since the initial time point was calculated from the measured δ^{15} N using the following isotope mass balance equation:

$$\delta^{15} \mathbf{N} = \frac{\left(\delta^{15} \mathbf{N}_{\text{con.}}[\mathbf{N}_{\text{con.}}] - \delta^{15} \mathbf{N}_{\text{initial}}[\mathbf{N}_{\text{initial}}]\right)}{\left([\mathbf{N}_{\text{con.}}] - [\mathbf{N}_{\text{initial}}]\right)} \tag{4}$$

where N_{con.} is the measured concentration of a specific substrate or product, which includes the background from initial cultures plus the added substrates, and N_{initial} is the concentration of substrates transferred with the initial cultures, i.e., the background concentrations of substrates. Initial measurements of the δ^{15} N signatures of NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻, and NO₃⁻, deriving from transferring the cultures to new media, when starting new experiments, were done for time zero, and corrected for from the following culture samples using mass and isotope balance equations (41).

Solver model. An isotope fractionation model was constructed based on linearly connected, closed system isotope fractionation submodels depicting the coupled sequential processes of nitrification. We assumed that the processes in all incubations were operating under closed system conditions as shown elsewhere (6). Three processes were considered and incorporated in the model in sequential order: (i) NH₃ oxidation (AO), (ii) NO₂⁻ production (NiP), and (iii) NO₂⁻ oxidation to NO₃⁻ (NiO). AO can also include isotope effects of equilibrium isotope fractionation between NH₃ and NH₄⁺ and of NH₄⁺ uptake and is distinguished from NiP, because the kinetic isotope effect of RS and CP of NH₃ oxidation can differ (33). The reason is that multiple processes consume NH₃/NH₄⁺, which is used for biomass formation and NH₃ oxidation, and that the NH₃ oxidation pathway in nitrifiers comprises several intermediates and by-products (hydroxylamine, nitric oxide, and nitrous oxide), which can also change the isotope effect as determined by RS and CP. The model is therefore composed of a system of nine equations (equations 5 to 13). It uses the measured N compound concentrations (NH₄⁺ initial¹/N₁N₁₄⁺ residual¹/N₁NO₂⁻ residual¹ and NO₃⁻ cumulative) to simulate the kinetic isotopic effects (ε) and fractions (f) $O \le f \le 1$) of N sources converted to N sinks in the three listed processes. Therefore, for the three coupled processes, we derive:

$$\delta^{15} N_{\rm NH4 + residual} = \delta^{15} N_{\rm NH4 + initial} + \varepsilon_{\rm AO} \times \ln(1 - f_{\rm AO})$$
⁽⁵⁾

$$\delta^{15} N_{\text{NO2-cumulative}} = \delta^{15} N_{\text{NH4 + initial}} - \varepsilon_{\text{NiP}} (1 - f_{\text{AO}}) \frac{\ln(1 - f_{\text{AO}})}{f_{\text{AO}}}$$
(6)

$$NH_{4}^{+}_{residual} = NH_{4}^{+}_{initial}(1 - f_{AO})$$
(7)

$$NO_2^- \text{cumulative} = NH_4^+ \text{initial} f_{NiP}$$
 (8)

$$\delta^{15} N_{NO2-\text{ initial}} = \delta^{15} N_{NO2-\text{ product}}$$
(9)

$$\delta^{15} N_{\text{NO2-residual}} = \delta^{15} N_{\text{NO2-initial}} + \varepsilon_{\text{NiO}} \ln(1 - f_{\text{NiO}})$$
(10)

$$\delta^{15} N_{\text{NO3-cumulative}} = \delta^{15} N_{\text{NO2-initial}} - \varepsilon_{\text{NiO}} (1 - f_{\text{NiO}}) \frac{\ln(1 - f_{\text{NiO}})}{f_{\text{NiO}}}$$
(11)

$$NO_2^-_{residual} = NO_2^-_{initial}(1 - f_{NiO})$$
(12)

$$NO_3^- cumulative = NO_2^- initial f_{NiO}$$
 (13)

where ε_{ACV} , $\varepsilon_{NIP'}$ and ε_{NIO} represent the kinetic isotopic effects of NH₃ oxidation, NO₂⁻ production, and NO₂⁻ oxidation, respectively. The corresponding N fractions are denoted as f_{ACV} , $f_{NIP'}$ and f_{NIO} . This system of equations was set up in Microsoft Excel and solved by the SOLVER macro in Microsoft Office Excel. The following setting was used: set objective ($\delta^{15}N_{TDN}$ as measured). The variable cells (all ε and f values of all processes) are solved so that all modeled RS and CP values (concentrations and $\delta^{15}N$ signatures)



conform to the measured values. The ranges of variable cells (ε and f values) for each process were subject to constraints (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) with reference to published synthesis studies (7–10, 14, 33). The model was run for each incubation experiment and replicate individually, based on 1,000 iterations and using the GRG nonlinear engine as the solving method. Model accuracy across all incubation experiments was examined by regressing the simulated N contents and δ^{15} N values of individual N pools against the corresponding measured values. Model results with an adjusted R^2 of >0.95 were accepted. Otherwise, variable constraints were adapted and outliers were deleted eventually.

Statistical analyses. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test the effects of pH and concentration levels on the isotope effects of ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NH4+...\rm NO2-}$ and ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{\rm NO2-..\rm NO3-}$ using the R software package (version 3.4.3 [42]). Tukey's tests (P < 0.05) were used to examine significant differences between the means of kinetic isotope effects at different pH or concentration levels.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. TEXT S1, PDF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S1, TIF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S2, TIF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S3, TIF file, 0.03 MB. FIG S4, TIF file, 0.04 MB. TABLE S1, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Comammox Research Platform funded by the University of Vienna and by Austrian Science Fund (FWF) project P30570-B29 to H.D.

We are grateful to Margarete Watzka for help with EA-IRMS analyses, Ludwig Seidl and Sabrina Pober for ordering experimental materials, Christopher Sedlacek for providing the comammox pure culture, and Petra Pjevac for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- Houlton BZ, Sigman DM, Hedin LO. 2006. Isotopic evidence for large gaseous nitrogen losses from tropical rainforests. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 8745–8750. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0510185103.
- 2. Casciotti KL. 2016. Nitrite isotopes as tracers of marine N cycle processes. Philos Trans R Soc A 374:20150295. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2015 .0295.
- Denk TRA, Mohn J, Decock C, Lewicka-Szczebak D, Harris E, Butterbach-Bahl K, Kiese R, Wolf B. 2017. The nitrogen cycle: a review of isotope effects and isotope modeling approaches. Soil Biol Biochem 105:121–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.11.015.
- Bai E, Houlton BZ. 2009. Coupled isotopic and process-based modeling of gaseous nitrogen losses from tropical rain forests. Global Biogeochem Cycles 23:1–10.
- van Dam D, van Breemen N. 1995. NICCE: a model for cycling of nitrogen and carbon isotopes in coniferous forest ecosystems. Ecol Model 79: 255–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3800(94)00184-J.
- Mariotti A, Germon JC, Hubert P, Kaiser P, Letolle R, Tardieux A, Tardieux P. 1981. Experimental determination of nitrogen kinetic isotope fractionation: some principles; illustration for the denitrification and nitrification processes. Plant Soil 62:413–430. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02374138.
- Casciotti KL, Sigman DM, Ward BB. 2003. Linking diversity and stable isotope fractionation in ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. Geomicrobiol J 20: 335–353. https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450303895.
- Santoro AE, Casciotti KL. 2011. Enrichment and characterization of ammonia-oxidizing archaea from the open ocean: phylogeny, physiology and stable isotope fractionation. ISME J 5:1796–1808. https://doi.org/10.1038/ ismej.2011.58.
- Nishizawa M, Sakai S, Konno U, Nakahara N, Takaki Y, Saito Y, Imachi H, Tasumi E, Makabe A, Koba K, Takai K. 2016. Nitrogen and oxygen isotope effects of ammonia oxidation by thermophilic Thaumarchaeota from a geothermal water stream. Appl Environ Microbiol 82:4492–4504. https:// doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00250-16.
- Casciotti KL. 2009. Inverse kinetic isotope fractionation during bacterial nitrite oxidation. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 73:2061–2076. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.gca.2008.12.022.

- Buchwald C, Casciotti KL. 2010. Oxygen isotopic fractionation and exchange during bacterial nitrite oxidation. Limnol Oceanogr 55: 1064–1074. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.3.1064.
- Casciotti KL, McIlvin MR. 2007. Isotopic analyses of nitrate and nitrite from reference mixtures and application to Eastern Tropical North Pacific waters. Mar Chem 107:184–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2007 .06.021.
- Westaway KC. 2006. Using kinetic isotope effects to determine the structure of the transition states of SN2 reactions. Adv Phys Org Chem 41: 217–273.
- Jacob J, Nowka B, Merten V, Sanders T, Spieck E, Dähnke K. 2017. Oxidation kinetics and inverse isotope effect of marine nitrite-oxidizing isolates. Aquat Microb Ecol 80:289–300. https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01859.
- Kobayashi K, Makabe A, Yano M, Oshiki M, Kindaichi T, Casciotti KL, Okabe S. 2019. Dual nitrogen and oxygen isotope fractionation during anaerobic ammonium oxidation by anammox bacteria. ISME J 13:2426–2436. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0440-x.
- Daims H, Lebedeva EV, Pjevac P, Han P, Herbold C, Albertsen M, Jehmlich N, Palatinszky M, Vierheilig J, Bulaev A, Kirkegaard RH, Von Bergen M, Rattei T, Bendinger B, Nielsen PH, Wagner M. 2015. Complete nitrification by Nitrospira bacteria. Nature 528:504–509. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature16461.
- Van Kessel MAHJ, Speth DR, Albertsen M, Nielsen PH, Op Den Camp HJM, Kartal B, Jetten MSM, Lücker S. 2015. Complete nitrification by a single microorganism. Nature 528:555–559. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16459.
- Pjevac P, Schauberger C, Poghosyan L, Herbold CW, van Kessel MAHJ, Daebeler A, Steinberger M, Jetten MSM, Lücker S, Wagner M, Daims H. 2017. AmoA-targeted polymerase chain reaction primers for the specific detection and quantification of comammox Nitrospira in the environment. Front Microbiol 8:1508. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01508.
- Jung MY, Sedlacek CJ, Kits KD, Mueller AJ, Rhee SK, Hink L, Nicol GW, Bayer B, Lehtovirta-Morley L, Wright C, de la Torre JR, Herbold CW, Pjevac P, Daims H, Wagner M. 2021. Ammonia-oxidizing archaea possess a wide range of cellular ammonia affinities. ISME J https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41396-021-01064-z.



- Suzuki I, Dular U, Kwok SC. 1974. Ammonia or ammonium ion as substrate for oxidation by Nitrosomonas europaea cells and extracts. J Bacteriol 120:556–558. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.120.1.556-558.1974.
- Kits KD, Sedlacek CJ, Lebedeva EV, Han P, Bulaev A, Pjevac P, Daebeler A, Romano S, Albertsen M, Stein LY, Daims H, Wagner M. 2017. Kinetic analysis of a complete nitrifier reveals an oligotrophic lifestyle. Nature 549: 269–272. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23679.
- Nowka B, Daims H, Spieck E. 2015. Comparison of oxidation kinetics of nitrite-oxidizing bacteria: nitrite availability as a key factor in niche differentiation. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:745–753. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM .02734-14.
- Pester M, Rattei T, Flechl S, Gröngröft A, Richter A, Overmann J, Reinhold-Hurek B, Loy A, Wagner M. 2012. AmoA-based consensus phylogeny of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and deep sequencing of amoA genes from soils of four different geographic regions. Environ Microbiol 14:525–539. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02666.x.
- Martens-Habbena W, Berube PM, Urakawa H, De La Torre JR, Stahl DA. 2009. Ammonia oxidation kinetics determine niche separation of nitrifying Archaea and Bacteria. Nature 461:976–979. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature08465.
- Sakoula D, Koch H, Frank J, Jetten MS, van Kessel MA, Lücker S. 2021. Enrichment and physiological characterization of a novel comammox Nitrospira indicates ammonium inhibition of complete nitrification. ISME J 15:1010–1024. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-00827-4.
- 26. Lücker S, Wagner M, Maixner F, Pelletier E, Koch H, Vacherie B, Rattei T, Damsté JSS, Spieck E, Le Paslier D, Daims H. 2010. A Nitrospira metagenome illuminates the physiology and evolution of globally important nitrite-oxidizing bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:13479–13484. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1003860107.
- Mundinger AB, Lawson CE, Jetten MSM, Koch H, Lücker S. 2019. Cultivation and transcriptional analysis of a canonical Nitrospira under stable growth conditions. Front Microbiol 10:1325. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2019.01325.
- Daims H, Lücker S, Wagner M. 2016. A new perspective on microbes formerly known as nitrite-oxidizing bacteria. Trends Microbiol 24:699–712. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2016.05.004.
- Lücker S, Nowka B, Rattei T, Spieck E, Daims H. 2013. The genome of Nitrospina gracilis illuminates the metabolism and evolution of the major marine nitrite oxidizer. Front Microbiol 4:27. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb .2013.00027.
- 30. Yoshida N. 1988. ¹⁵N-depleted N₂O as a product of nitrification. Nature 335:528–529. https://doi.org/10.1038/335528a0.
- 31. Kritee K, Sigman DM, Granger J, Ward BB, Jayakumar A, Deutsch C. 2012. Reduced isotope fractionation by denitrification under conditions

relevant to the ocean. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 92:243–259. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.05.020.

- Hoch MP, Fogel ML, Kirchman DL. 1992. Isotope fractionation associated with ammonium uptake by a marine bacterium. Limnol Oceanogr 37: 1447–1459. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1992.37.7.1447.
- 33. Mooshammer M, Alves RJE, Bayer B, Melcher M, Stieglmeier M, Jochum L, Rittmann SKMR, Watzka M, Schleper C, Herndl GJ, Wanek W. 2020. Nitrogen isotope fractionation during archaeal ammonia oxidation: coupled estimates from measurements of residual ammonium and accumulated nitrite. Front Microbiol 11:1710. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01710.
- Liu S, Han P, Hink L, Prosser JI, Wagner M, Brüggemann N. 2017. Abiotic conversion of extracellular NH₂OH contributes to N₂O emission during ammonia oxidation. Environ Sci Technol 51:13122–13132. https://doi .org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02360.
- 35. Kits KD, Jung MY, Vierheilig J, Pjevac P, Sedlacek CJ, Liu S, Herbold C, Stein LY, Richter A, Wissel H, Brüggemann N, Wagner M, Daims H. 2019. Low yield and abiotic origin of N₂O formed by the complete nitrifier Nitrospira inopinata. Nat Commun 10:1836. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09790-x.
- 36. Daebeler A, Kitzinger K, Koch H, Herbold CW, Steinfeder M, Schwarz J, Zechmeister T, Karst SM, Albertsen M, Nielsen PH, Wagner M, Daims H. 2020. Exploring the upper pH limits of nitrite oxidation: diversity, ecophysiology, and adaptive traits of haloalkalitolerant Nitrospira. ISME J 14: 2967–2979. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-0724-1.
- Lebedeva EV, Off S, Zumbrägel S, Kruse M, Shagzhina A, Lücker S, Maixner F, Lipski A, Daims H, Spieck E. 2011. Isolation and characterization of a moderately thermophilic nitrite-oxidizing bacterium from a geothermal spring. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 75:195–204. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574 -6941.2010.01006.x.
- Widdel F. 1980. Anaerober Abbau von Fettsäuren und Benzoesäure durch neu isolierte Arten Sulfat-reduzierender Bakterien. Georg-August-Universität zu Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany.
- Hood-Nowotny R, Umana NH-N, Inselbacher E, Oswald-Lachouani P, Wanek W. 2010. Alternative methods for measuring inorganic, organic, and total dissolved nitrogen in soil. Soil Sci Soc Am J 74:1018–1027. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2009.0389.
- 40. Lachouani P, Frank AH, Wanek W. 2010. A suite of sensitive chemical methods to determine the δ 15N of ammonium, nitrate and total dissolved N in soil extracts. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 24:3615–3623. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.4798.
- 41. Fry B. 2006. Stable isotope ecology. Springer, New York, NY.
- R Core Team. 2008. An introduction to dplR. Ind Commer Train 10:11–18.
 Casciotti KL, McIlvin M, Buchwald C. 2010. Oxygen isotopic exchange and fractionation during bacterial ammonia oxidation. Limnol Oceanogr 55: 753–762. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.2.0753.