

Parasitic *Cuscuta* factor(s) and the detection by tomato initiates plant defense

Ursula Fürst, Volker Hegenauer, Bettina Kaiser, Max Körner, Max Welz, and Markus Albert

Center for Plant Molecular Biology (ZMBP), University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

ABSTRACT

Dodders (*Cuscuta* spp.) are holoparasitic plants that enwind stems of host plants and penetrate those by haustoria to connect to the vascular bundles. Having a broad host plant spectrum, *Cuscuta* spp infect nearly all dicot plants – only cultivated tomato as one exception is mounting an active defense specifically against *C. reflexa*. In a recent work we identified a pattern recognition receptor of tomato, “Cuscuta Receptor 1” (CuRe1), which is critical to detect a “Cuscuta factor” (CuF) and initiate defense responses such as the production of ethylene or the generation of reactive oxygen species. CuRe1 also contributes to the tomato resistance against *C. reflexa*. Here we point to the fact that CuRe1 is not the only relevant component for full tomato resistance but it requires additional defense mechanisms, or receptors, respectively, to totally fend off the parasite.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 23 September 2016
Accepted 30 September 2016

KEYWORDS

Cuscuta; Cuscuta factor; parasitic plant; pattern recognition receptor; plant immunity; plant-plant interaction

Metazoans and plants possess an innate immune system to mount active defense against pathogen attacks. Most plant pathogens are microbes or herbivorous arthropods that the immune systems of plants are able to detect by sensing microbe- or herbivore-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs/HAMPs).^{1,2} These molecular patterns, indicative for “non-self,” serve as molecular signals that trigger specific plant pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and initiate plant defense signaling to fend off the pathogen.^{3,4} Besides the pathogens mentioned, there exist ~4,500 plant species that live parasitic on other plants and genera such as *Striga*, *Orobanche* or *Cuscuta* are known to cause tremendous crop loss.

The plant genus *Cuscuta* (dodder) comprises about 200 species distributed in all moderate climate zones. All *Cuscuta* species live as stem holoparasites with a broad host spectrum, preferentially for dicotyledonous plants. The different *Cuscuta* species grow as yellowish, orange or slightly greenish vines that wind around the stems of their host plants.⁵ Most dodder species have no or only marginal amounts of chlorophyll and their photosynthesis is insufficient for surviving.^{6–9} All *Cuscuta* species possess neither roots nor expanded leaves and penetrate host plants with haustoria that directly connect to the vascular bundles. Right after germination, *Cuscuta* seedlings sense host plant volatiles which support the finding of an appropriate host.¹⁰ In the parasite, initial physical contact induces the formation of

haustoria,¹¹ specific organs which are generally important for parasitic plants to penetrate the host tissue.¹² The penetration phase is accompanied by the expression of cell-wall modifying enzymes leading to structural rearrangements within the cell-walls of the parasite¹³ and the loosening of the host tissues.^{14,15} After reaching the vascular bundles, the parasitic haustorium connects to the host xylem and phloem. This allows the parasite to withdraw water, nutrients, and carbohydrates to grow and complete its lifecycle.^{8,16,17} *Cuscuta* parasites also take up macromolecules such as proteins, viruses or RNAs.^{18–22} Recently, RNAs were shown to move between host plant and parasite in a bidirectional manner and to a much higher extent than previously expected.²³

Not much is known about how host plants can sense parasitic *Cuscuta* spp. and how they initiate cellular programs to fend off plant parasites. In our recent study,²⁴ we made use of the special case *Cuscuta reflexa* and its resistant host plant *Solanum lycopersicum* (cultivated tomato) to get insights in the early steps occurring in the plant-plant dialog. Tomato displays an active and clearly visible resistance reaction directly at the penetration sites of the parasitic haustoria a few days after the initial contact with the parasite and successfully fends off *C. reflexa*.^{25–27}

In this study we show that extracts of *C. reflexa* induce the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the

CONTACT Markus Albert  markus.albert@zmbp.uni-tuebingen.de  University of Tuebingen, Center for Plant Molecular Biology (ZMBP), Auf der Morgenstelle 32, 72076 Tübingen, Germany.

Addendum to: Hegenauer V, Fürst U, Kaiser B, Smoker M, Zipfel C, Felix G, Stahl M, Albert M. Detection of the plant parasite *Cuscuta reflexa* by a tomato cell surface receptor. *Science* 2016; 353(6298):478–481; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf3919>

© 2016 Ursula Fürst, Volker Hegenauer, Bettina Kaiser, Max Körner, Max Welz and Markus Albert. Published with license by Taylor & Francis.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The moral rights of the named author(s) have been asserted.

biosynthesis of the stress related phytohormone ethylene, plant defense responses usually known to occur during plant–microbe interaction and typically induced by pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs).^{2,28} We could isolate and characterize the trigger of these responses from *C. reflexa*, a 2 kDa peptide with an o-esterified modification, and we further screened an introgression library of *S. lycopersicum* x *S. pennellii*²⁹ to map responsiveness to this parasitic factor, since *S. pennellii* is insensitive to parasitic extracts and susceptible for a *C. reflexa* infestation.¹³ We identified a gene encoding a plasma membrane-bound receptor, the Leucine-rich repeat receptor like protein (LRR-RLP) “Cuscuta receptor 1’ (CuRe1) which senses the parasitic “Cuscuta factor” (CuF). CuF initiates defense responses in the formerly insensitive host plant *Nicotiana benthamiana* after transient expression of *CuRe1*. Stable transformation of a *CuRe1* construct into *N. benthamiana* lead to a drastically reduced *C. reflexa* growth and to an increased resistance.

Besides CuRe1, there are 3 genes encoding for CuRe1 homologs (CuRe1-likes; Solyc04g0014400; Solyc08g016210; Solyc08g016310) within the tomato genome, sharing 64 – 81 % amino acid sequence identity (Fig. 1A). Receptors with up to 80 % aa-sequence identity to CuRe1 seem exclusively present in Solanaceae plants. Only receptors with less than 45 % aa-sequence can be found outside the Solanaceae. We cloned all CuRe1-like genes from tomato²⁴ and expressed them heterologously in *N. benthamiana*. However, in contrast to CuRe1 none of these receptors was able to trigger defense-related responses like ethylene induction when treated with the CuF or crude *C. reflexa* extract (Fig. 1B).

The recognition of the parasitic cell wall associated CuF or related other *Cuscuta* factors by these receptors could be supposable. Nonetheless, the initiated cellular signaling program must be distinct from the defense related responses induced by CuRe1 as we could not measure the emission of ethylene (Fig. 1) after treatment with CuF.

During a susceptible interaction the parasite has to hook up the host plant’s developmental processes to establish a connection to the vascular system. Therefore, the parasite has to (ab-)use existing host mechanisms including the signals and perception systems to succeed in infecting other plants. If the CuRe1-like receptors are critical to recognize and process any molecular cues of *Cuscuta* spp is possible but remains to be demonstrated. The roles of CuRe1-likes for the harbouring host plant e.g. as receptors for endogenous signals involved in developmental processes or as receptors to detect MAMPs is still unclear and up to date no function could be assigned to any receptor of this clade.




Figure 1. Functionality of CuRe1-like receptors. (A) Tree shows relationship of CuRe1 and CuRe1-like genes; Eix2: receptor for fungal Xylanase³³ served as reference. (B) Ethylene response of *N. benthamiana* leaves expressing receptor CuRe1-like constructs and treated with *C. reflexa* extract or controls (mock = 0.01 mg/ml BSA in water; *Penicillium* extract = positive control); values represent means of n = 3 replicates plus stdv.

In fact, the specific recognition of the *Cuscuta* factor by tomato CuRe1²⁴ and the induction of the plant defense system seems unique and has probably evolved by incident exclusively in tomato. As far as tested, the *Cuscuta* factor seems present in other *Cuscuta* species as well but seems absent from plant species outside this genus.²⁴ The full resistance toward parasitic *C. reflexa*, however, seems not to depend on CuRe1 alone but requires additional mechanisms maybe related to those known for Effector triggered immunity (ETI) occurring during plant–microbe interaction (overview in Fig. 2).^{24,30,31} An nucleotide binding site leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) protein, as part of a second layer of immunity and as a potential element of ETI, has been found to be relevant for resistance during the plant–plant interaction of cowpea against witch-weed (*Striga* spp.).³² In case of the *C. reflexa* interaction with tomato




Figure 2. Model for defense and resistance of tomato to *Cuscuta* spp infestation. (Left): The *Cuscuta* factor is detected as a parasite-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) by the plasma membrane-bound PRR CuRe1 and initiates MTI-type responses in tomato, including the production of ethylene and ROS. MTI, apart from increasing resistance against various microbial pathogens, leads to increased resistance of tomato to *Cuscuta* attacks. (Right): Hypothesized ETS (effector triggered susceptibility), ETI (effector-triggered immunity) or alternative principles in tomato might, synergistically with or independently from MTI, confer full resistance of tomato to *Cuscuta* infestation.

additional components of resistance still have to be identified. If the CuRe1-like or other receptors are involved in such tomato-specific defense—maybe in a long term process—has to be further studied.

Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Funding

Work of the M.A. lab was funded by the DFG (AL 1426/1-1 and AL 1426/1-2).

References

- [1] Wu J, Baldwin IT. New insights into plant responses to the attack from insect herbivores. *Ann Rev Genet* 2010; 44:1-24; PMID:20649414; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-102209-163500>
- [2] Boller T, Felix G. A Renaissance of Elicitors: Perception of Microbe-Associated Molecular Patterns and Danger Signals by Pattern-Recognition Receptors. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 2009; 60:379-406; PMID:19400727; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105346>
- [3] Böhm H, Albert I, Fan L, Reinhard A, Nurnberger T. Immune receptor complexes at the plant cell surface. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 2014; 20C:47-54; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2014.04.007>
- [4] Macho AP, Zipfel C. Plant PRRs and the activation of innate immune signaling. *Mol Cell* 2014; 54:263-272; PMID:24766890; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.03.028>
- [5] Yuncker TG. The genus *Cuscuta*. *Memoirs of the Torrey Botanical Club* 1932; 18:109-331
- [6] Funk HT, Berg S, Krupinska K, Maier UG, Krause K. Complete DNA sequences of the plastid genomes of two parasitic flowering plant species, *Cuscuta reflexa* and *Cuscuta gronovii*. *BMC Plant Biol* 2007; 7:1-12; PMID:17714582; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-7-45>
- [7] Garcia MA, Costea M, Kuzmina M, Stefanovic S. Phylogeny, character evolution, and biogeography of *Cuscuta* (dodders; Convolvulaceae) inferred from coding plastid and nuclear sequences. *Am J Bot* 2014; 101:670-90; PMID:24688058; <http://dx.doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1300449>
- [8] Hibberd JM, et al. Localization of photosynthetic metabolism in the parasitic angiosperm *Cuscuta reflexa*. *Planta* 1998; 205:506-13; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s004250050349>
- [9] McNeal JR, Arumugunathan K, Kuehl JV, Boore JL, Depamphilis CW. Systematics and plastid genome evolution of the cryptically photosynthetic parasitic plant genus *Cuscuta* (Convolvulaceae). *BMC Biol* 2007; 5:55; PMID:18078516; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-5-55>
- [10] Runyon JB, Mescher MC, De Moraes CM. Volatile chemical cues guide host location and host selection by parasitic plants. *Science* 2006; 313:1964-7; PMID: 17008532; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1131371>
- [11] Dawson JHM, LJ, Wolswinkel JP, Dörr I. Biology and Control of *Cuscuta*. *Weed Sci* 1994; 6:265-317

- [12] Yoshida S, Cui S, Ichihashi Y, Shirasu K. The haustorium, a specialized invasive organ in parasitic plants. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 2016; 67:643-67; PMID:27128469; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-043015-111702>
- [13] Johnsen HR, Striberny B, Olsen S, Vidal-Melgosa S, Fangel JU, Willats WG, Rose JK, Krause K. Cell wall composition profiling of parasitic giant dodder (*Cuscuta reflexa*) and its hosts: a priori differences and induced changes. *New Phytologist* 2015; 207:805-16; PMID:25808919; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nph.13378>
- [14] Vaughn KC. Attachment of the parasitic weed dodder to the host. *Protoplasma* 2002; 219:227-37; PMID:12099223; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s007090200024>
- [15] Vaughn KC. Dodder hyphae invade the host: a structural and immunocytochemical characterization. *Protoplasma* 2003; 220:189-200; PMID:12664283; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00709-002-0038-3>
- [16] Hibberd JM, Quick WP, Press MC, Scholes JD, Jeschke WD. Solute fluxes from tobacco to the parasitic angiosperm *Orobancha cernua* and the influence of infection on host carbon and nitrogen relations. *Plant Cell Environ* 1999; 22:937-47; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.1999.00462.x>
- [17] Jeschke WD, Hilpert A. Sink-stimulated photosynthesis and sink-dependent increase in nitrate uptake: Nitrogen and carbon relations of the parasitic association *Cuscuta reflexa*-*Ricinus communis*. *Plant Cell Environ* 1997; 20:47-56; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.1997.d01-2.x>
- [18] Haupt S, Oparka KJ, Sauer N, Neumann S. Macromolecular trafficking between *Nicotiana tabacum* and the holoparasite *Cuscuta reflexa*. *J Exp Botany* 2001; 52:173-77; PMID:11181727; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jexbot/52.354.173>
- [19] Kim G, Westwood JH. Macromolecule exchange in *Cuscuta*-host plant interactions. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 2015; 26:20-25; PMID:26051214; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2015.05.012>
- [20] Alakonya A, Kumar R, Koenig D, Kimura S, Townsley B, Runo S, Garces HM, Kang J, Yanez A, David-Schwartz R, et al. Interspecific RNA interference of SHOOT MERISTEMLESS-like disrupts *Cuscuta pentagona* plant parasitism. *Plant Cell* 2012; 24:3153-66; PMID:22822208; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.099994>
- [21] David-Schwartz R, Runo S, Townsley B, Machuka J, Sinha N. Long-distance transport of mRNA via parenchyma cells and phloem across the host-parasite junction in *Cuscuta*. *New Phytologist* 2008; 179:1133-41; PMID:18631294; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02540.x>
- [22] Roney JK, Khatibi PA, Westwood JH. Cross-species translocation of mRNA from host plants into the parasitic plant dodder. *Plant Physiol* 2007; 143:1037-43; PMID:17189329; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.088369>
- [23] Kim G, LeBlanc ML, Wafula EK, dePamphilis CW, Westwood JH. Plant science. Genomic-scale exchange of mRNA between a parasitic plant and its hosts. *Science* 2014; 345:808-11; PMID:25124438; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1253122>
- [24] Hegenauer V, Fürst U, Kaiser B, Smoker M, Zipfel C, Felix G, Stahl M, Albert M. Detection of the plant parasite *Cuscuta reflexa* by a tomato cell surface receptor. *Science* 2016; 353:478-81; PMID:27471302; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf3919>
- [25] Albert M, Belastegui-Macadam X, Kaldenhoff R. An attack of the plant parasite *Cuscuta reflexa* induces the expression of attAGP, an attachment protein of the host tomato. *Plant J* 2006; 48:548-56; PMID:17076801; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02897.x>
- [26] Ihl B, Tutakhil N, Hagen A, Jacob F. Studies on *Cuscuta-Reflexa* Roxb.7. Defense-Mechanisms of *Lycopersicon-Esculentum* Mill. *Flora* 1988; 181:383-93
- [27] Kaiser B, Vogg G, Furst UB, Albert M. Parasitic plants of the genus *Cuscuta* and their interaction with susceptible and resistant host plants. *Front Plant Sci* 2015; 6:45; PMID:25699071; <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00045>
- [28] Felix G, Duran JD, Volko S, Boller T. Plants have a sensitive perception system for the most conserved domain of bacterial flagellin. *Plant J* 1999; 18:265-76; PMID:10377992; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.1999.00265.x>
- [29] Eshed Y, Zamir D. An introgression line population of *Lycopersicon pennellii* in the cultivated tomato enables the identification and fine mapping of yield-associated QTL. *Genetics* 1995; 141:1147-62; PMID:8582620
- [30] Ntoukakis V, Gimenez-Ibanez S. PLANT BIOLOGY. Parasitic plants—A CuRe for what ails thee. *Science* 2016; 353:442-3; PMID:27471291; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aag3111>
- [31] Jones JD, Dangl JL. The plant immune system. *Nature* 2006; 444:323-9; PMID:17108957; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature05286>
- [32] Li J, Timko MP. Gene-for-gene resistance in *Striga*-cowpea associations. *Science* 2009; 325:1094; PMID:19713520; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1174754>
- [33] Ron M, Avni A. The receptor for the fungal elicitor ethylene-inducing xylanase is a member of a resistance-like gene family in tomato. *Plant Cell* 2004; 16:1604-15; PMID:15155877; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.022475>