



Cross-Sectional Area Reference Values for Sonography of Peripheral Nerves in Taiwanese Adults

Pei-Chen Hsieh¹, Kuo-Hsuan Chang^{1,2}, Yih-Ru Wu^{1,2}, Long-Sun Ro^{1,2}, Chun-Che Chu^{1,2}, Rong-Kuo Lyu^{1,2}, Ming-Feng Liao^{1,2} and Hung-Chou Kuo^{1,2*}

¹ Department of Neurology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital at Linkou Medical Center, Taoyuan, Taiwan, ² Chang Gung University, College of Medicine, Taoyuan, Taiwan

Background: Neuromuscular ultrasound is a complementary technology that aids in the diagnosis of peripheral neuropathy. The interpretation of neuromuscular ultrasound results requires the use of accurate normative cross-sectional area (CSA) reference values. This study aims to provide CSA reference values specific to Taiwanese adults for Sonography of peripheral nerves in the upper and lower extremities.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Chiara Briani, University of Padua, Italy

Reviewed by:

Stephan Goedee, University Medical Center Utrecht, Netherlands Alon Abraham, Tel Aviv University, Israel

*Correspondence: Hung-Chou Kuo kuo0426@adm.cgmh.org.tw

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neuromuscular Disorders and Peripheral Neuropathies, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 08 June 2021 Accepted: 04 October 2021 Published: 03 November 2021

Citation:

Hsieh P-C, Chang K-H, Wu Y-R, Ro L-S, Chu C-C, Lyu R-K, Liao M-F and Kuo H-C (2021) Cross-Sectional Area Reference Values for Sonography of Peripheral Nerves in Taiwanese Adults. Front. Neurol. 12:722403. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.722403 **Methods:** The study cohort included 66 healthy subjects (36 women; 30 men). A linear probe was used to measure the CSA of the median, ulnar, radial, tibial, sural, and peroneal nerves at multiple sites. These data were analyzed to determine standard ranges for the CSA at each site (reference range = mean $\pm 2 \times$ SD) and identify correlations between the CSA and patient characteristics.

Results: Normative CSA ranges were determined for all the assessed nerve sites, revealing that the nerve sizes in this Taiwanese population were smaller than Caucasian populations but comparable to those reported for other Asian cohorts. Men tended to have larger nerves than women, even after adjusting for height and weight. The size of ulnar nerve in the cubital tunnel and the peroneal nerve in the popliteal fossa correlated negatively with increasing age. The nerve size correlated positively with increasing weight and BMI at several sites, correlation of median nerve in the forearm with weight and BMI was significant after multiple testing. Significant correlation was also found between size of ulnar nerve in cubital tunnel and decreasing height.

Conclusion: We provide reference ranges for neuromuscular ultrasound CSA values for the upper and lower extremities that are specific to the Taiwanese population. These reference values may be useful for evaluating peripheral neuropathy in Taiwanese subjects.

Keywords: cross-sectional area, ultrasound, peripheral neuropathy, UPSS, Taiwan, sonography

INTRODUCTION

Neuromuscular ultrasound (NMUS) is a point-of-care assessment that is increasingly used to acquire important morphological information for distinguishing peripheral nerve pathologies (1). NMUS provides complimentary information to electrodiagnostic studies and may assist clinicians in arriving at an accurate diagnosis

1

of neuropathy. Additionally, NMUS improves the diagnostic yield in mononeuropathies by facilitating localization and aiding in the determination of the nature of the neuropathy (e.g., compression neuropathy vs. ganglion/cyst, tumor, or trauma) and in polyneuropathies (2, 3) by aiding in the identification of inflammatory neuropathies and distinguishing between different hereditary neuropathies (4–6). NMUS is also used for the diagnosis and treatment of entrapment and traumatic neuropathies (7–9).

The nerve cross-sectional area (CSA) is one of the most studied parameters for peripheral nerve evaluation that appears to be robust. The ultrasonic assessment of nerve enlargement is based on comparison to normative CSA values. However, the CSA reference values reported for peripheral nerves vary between studies. Previous studies have established that normal CSA values for specific nerves vary according to ethnicity (10), age (11– 14), and sex (15). Further, the reported CSA reference values vary even within the Asian population (14, 16–18). Thus, the differences in reported normative CSA values may be due in part to differences in the study cohort characteristics. These findings suggest that accurate interpretation of nerve sonography data requires the use of CSA reference values that are specific to each ethnic group.

Normative CSA reference values for the Taiwanese population have not yet been established. This study aims to determine the CSA reference value ranges for sonography of peripheral nerves in the upper and lower extremities of Taiwanese adults.

In a cohort of healthy Taiwanese participants, we conducted NMUS at multiple nerve sites in the upper and lower extremities to determine the baseline CSAs.

METHODS

Patients and Their Clinical Data

The study included 66 healthy participants aged 20-75 years recruited at a single Medical Center from January 2020 to May 2021. The participants included healthy hospital volunteers, hospital staff, and outpatients without neurological, neuropathy, neuromuscular medical conditions or disorders (thereby excluding patients with previous diagnosis, clinical signs of neuropathy). All participants underwent neurological examination and/or electrophysiology studies, and their medical histories were recorded. Exclusion criteria were as follows: diagnosis of focal neuropathy or polyneuropathy disorders; systemic diseases including liver cirrhosis, chronic renal disease, malignancy, diabetes mellitus, thyroid disease, and autoimmune disease associated with vasculitis; recent history of pregnancy; exposure to a neurotoxic agent or heavy metals; family history of hereditary peripheral neuropathy; and abuse of illicit drugs or alcoholism within 1 year, as these conditions may lead to neuropathy disorders.

None of the subjects had abnormal sensory or motor signs, as indicated by a neurological examination assessing sensory function, muscle strength, and deep tendon reflexes. Conduction studies were administered to 45 individuals by three experienced technicians with more than 20 years of experience performing electrophysiology studies. Standard sensory and motor nerve conduction studies results were normal in the median, ulnar, tibial, peroneal, and sural nerves in all participants. The study was approved by the hospital Institutional Review Board (IRB202100356B0) and informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Peripheral Nerve Ultrasound

The electrodiagnostic testing protocol included assessment of the compound muscle action potential, sensory action potential, and conduction velocities, carried out as described previously (19, 20). Nerve sites measured included the median, ulnar, tibial, peroneal, and sural nerves. Nerve segments sampled were: (1) Median motor conduction study (NCS): stimulation of wrist and antecubital fossa, measurements recorded from the abductor pollicis brevis. (2) Ulnar motor study: stimulation of wrist, below groove, and at a distance of 10-12cm from the below-elbow site over middle humerus in flexed position, measurements recorded from abductor digiti minimi. (3) Median sensory conduction study: stimulation of mid-wrist between the tendons to the flexor carpi radialis and palmaris longus, measurements recorded from digit 2nd or 3rd. (4) Ulnar sensory response: stimulation of wrist, measurements recorded from digit 5th. (5) Peroneal motor study: stimulation of the ankle, below fibular head, and at a 10-12 cm from the below-fibular head site near external hamstring tendon, measurements recorded from the extensor digitorum brevis. (6) Tibial motor study: stimulation of medial ankle and popliteal fossa, measurements recorded from abductor hallucis brevis. (7) Sural sensory study: stimulation of segment 14 cm from lateral malleolus in calf, measurements recorded from the posterior ankle. The normal reference of all NCS parameters was according to our previous study (19). The stimulation was under distal limb temperature around 32-34°C.

All subjects were assessed using a multifrequency linear transducer 4-15Hz (UP200, BenQ Medical Technology, Corp., Taipei, Taiwan) in B mode. During the examination, the ultrasound device frequency automatically adjusted to the higher frequency, and the gain and dynamic scan were kept constant. The focus and depth were set depending on the distance from the skin to the target point. Zooming in was avoided to maintain consistency in measurements. The ultrasound examinations were unilateral, and were conducted by one neurologist with 2 years neuromuscular ultrasound experience.

The nerve CSA was determined by tracing the nerve area within the hyperechoic epineurium. Each selected nerve was measured two times (tibial and peroneal nerves were tested at least three times due to the relatively indistinct border) on separate days with a minimal of 2-day interval in between each measurement, and raters were blinded to previous results. The

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CIDP, chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculopathy; CSA, cross-sectional area; ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; NMUS, neuromuscular ultrasound; SD, standard deviation; UPSS, ultrasound pattern sum score.



profunuds; ME, medial epicondyle; SB, scaphoid bone; PT, pronator teres; TR, triceps.



FIGURE 2 | Sites of nerve ultrasonography to determine the cross-sectional area (CSA) in the tibial, peroneal, and sural nerves. The CSA of each nerve (arrow head) is measured within the hyperechoic rim (dotted line). The tibial and peroneal nerves in popliteal fossa are defined as the location of the split by the sciatic nerve; sural nerve, 10 cm proximal to the lateral malleolus of the calf next to the small saphenous vein. BF, biceps femoris muscle; T, tibial nerve; CP, common peroneal nerve; GM, gastrocnemius; TP, tibialis posterior; FDL, flexor digitorum longus; V, vein.

intra-rater reliability, and inter-rater reproducibility was >0.85 for all ultrasound measurements (**Supplementary Table 1**). The CSA was measured in the median nerve at the mid-upper arm, the cubital area next to brachial artery, the mid-forearm, and the wrist; the ulnar nerve was measured at the mid-upper arm and the mid-forearm (**Figure 1**). The common peroneal and tibial nerves were measured at the popliteal fossa, the tibial nerve was measured at the ankle, and the sural nerve was measured 10 cm proximal to the lateral malleolus next to the small saphenous vein (**Figure 2**).

We then used the CSA values to determine the ultrasound pattern sum score (UPSS), defined as the CSA measurement at the median nerve non-entrapment area (mid-forearm, elbow, mid-upper arm), ulnar nerve (mid-forearm and mid-upper arm), tibial nerve (popliteal fossa and ankle), peroneal nerve at the popliteal fossa, and sural nerve at the calf. Each value > 100% of twice the standard deviation (SD) was scored as one point; scores >150% received two points (2).

Statistical Analysis

Demographic data are reported as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD) and range. The Mann–Whitney *U*-test was used to evaluate differences in continuous epidemiological data (age, height, weight, and body mass index [BMI]). CSA measurements are reported as the mean \pm SD by group. The CSA reference range is reported as the mean \pm 2 SD for all subjects and in subgroups of males and females. Differences in CSA measurements between the sexes groups were evaluated using

TABLE 1 Cohort characteristics.							
	All	Male	Female	Р			
Number	66	30	36				
Age range, years	20–74	21–74	20–74				
Age, years [#]	42.1 ± 14.0	42.0 ± 14.3	42.1 ± 14.0	0.959			
Height, cm [#]	163.2 ± 8.1	168.8 ± 8.4	158.3 ± 5.9	<0.01**			
Weight, kg [#]	63.6 ± 11.3	69.9 ± 10.5	58.4 ± 9.2	<0.01**			
Body mass index, kg/m ^{2#}	23.9 ± 3.3	24.5 ± 3.1	23.4 ± 3.4	0.153			

**Statistically significant difference; P < 0.01.

 $^{\#}$ Mean \pm standard deviation.

TABLE 2 | Peripheral nerve cross-sectional areas with reference ranges according to sex.

	All			Male	Female		
	Mean \pm SD	Reference range ^a	Mean \pm SD	Reference range ^a	Mean \pm SD	Reference range ^a	Р
Median nerve							
Median nerve at wrist	6.8 ± 2.4	2.0-11.5	7.0 ± 2.4	2.0-11.5	6.7 ± 2.4	2.0-11.5	0.683
Median nerve in forearm	4.5 ± 1.2	2.0-7.0	4.6 ± 1.2	2.0-7.0	4.4 ± 1.2	2.0-7.0	0.064
Median nerve at antecubital	7.2 ± 1.6	4.0-10.5	7.7 ± 1.6	4.5-10.5	6.8 ± 1.6	3.5-10.5	0.605
Median nerve at upper mid-arm	7.0 ± 1.5	4.0-10.0	7.2 ± 1.6	4.0-10.5	6.7 ± 1.5	4.0-9.5	0.487
Ulnar nerve							
Ulnar nerve in forearm	3.9 ± 1.1	2.0-6.0	4.2 ± 1.1	2.0-6.0	3.6 ± 1.2	1.0-6.0	0.588
Ulnar nerve in cubital tunnel	6.2 ± 1.4	3.0-9.0	6.3 ± 1.3	3.5-9.0	6.2 ± 1.4	3.0-9.0	0.035*
Ulnar nerve at upper mid-arm	5.1 ± 1.5	2.0-8.0	5.2 ± 1.8	2.0-8.0	5.0 ± 1.2	2.5-7.0	0.303
Radial nerve							
Radial nerve at antecubital	4.0 ± 1.4	1.0-7.0	4.6 ± 1.8	2.0-7.5	3.6 ± 0.7	2.0-5.0	0.945
Radial nerve at groove	5.1 ± 1.6	2.0-8.0	4.8 ± 1.5	2.0-8.0	5.4 ± 1.6	2.0-8.0	0.756
Tibial nerve							
Tibial Nerve at popliteal fossa	20.8 ± 4.8	11–30	23.2 ± 4.5	14.5–32	18.9 ± 4.3	10-27.5	0.014*
Tibial Nerve in tarsal tunnel	8.5 ± 2.2	4.0–13	8.8 ± 2.4	4.0-13.5	8.3 ± 2.1	2-12.5	0.429
Peroneal nerve							
Peroneal nerve at popliteal fossa	12.1 ± 2.2	7.5–16	12.1 ± 2.5	7.0–17	12 ± 2.0	2.0–16	0.221
Sural nerve							
Sural nerve at calf	2.3 ± 0.7	0.8–3.5	2.4 ± 0.5	1.0–3.5	2.3 ± 0.8	0.5–3.5	0.450

*Statistically significant difference; P < 0.05.

SD, standard deviation.

^aReference range = mean \pm (2 \times SD).



the multivariate linear regression analysis adjusting for age, height, and weight. Multivariate linear regression analysis was also performed to test for linear correlations between nerve size and weight, height, and BMI with adjustments for sex and age. All results are presented as the coefficient of regression (β) with the corresponding *P* value. Multiple testing correction was performed using false discovery rate method with $q^* = 0.05$. Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Demographic Data

The mean age of the 66 enrolled subjects (30 men and 36 women) was 42.1 \pm 14.0 (range, 20–74). No difference was found in age, or BMI, between male and female sex. However, men were taller and heavier than the women (P < 0.01). The participant characteristics are summarized in **Table 1**.

Mean CSA and Its Correlation With Demographic Factors

The mean values, SD, and reference range of all measurements of all subjects are summarized in Table 2. The nerves in the

lower extremities were larger than those in the upper extremities. In most nerves, men tended to have a larger CSA than did the women. Common sites of entrapment had a larger CSA. Differences in the CSA were observed between the sexes in the ulnar nerve (cubital tunnel, P = 0.035) and tibial nerve (popliteal fossa, P = 0.014) (**Table 2; Figure 3**). Further analysis by age showed a larger CSA in the median nerve in the forearm (P = 0.016) with increasing age. By contrast, the decreasing CSA in ulnar nerve (cubital tunnel, P = 0.002) and peroneal nerves at the popliteal fossa was associated with increasing age (P = 0.005) (**Table 3**). The association of decreasing CSA in ulnar nerve (cubital tunnel) and peroneal nerves at the popliteal fossa with increasing age remain statistically significant after correction using FDR. The scatter plot of participants' age vs. CSA size at different sites is shown in **Figure 4**.

A positive correlation was observed between the CSA of several nerve sites with body weight: the median nerve in the forearm (P = 0.001) and upper arm (P = 0.047); the ulnar nerve in the cubital tunnel (P = 0.035); the radial nerve in the groove (P = 0.044) and the antecubital (P = 0.019); and the tibial nerve in the tarsal tunnel (P = 0.022). In contrast, only the ulnar nerve in the cubital (P < 0.001) correlated with height. The median nerve at the wrist (P = 0.043) and upper mid-arm (P = 0.043), radial nerve at the antecubital (P = 0.032), and the tibial nerve

TABLE 3 Peripheral nerve cre	oss-sectional area re	eference values	according to
age.			

	ß	P-value
Median nerve		
Median nerve at wrist	0.111	0.461
Median nerve in forearm	0.335	0.016*
Median nerve at antecubital	0.219	0.139
Median nerve at upper mid-arm	-0.140	0.361
Ulnar nerve		
Ulnar nerve in forearm	0.138	0.357
Ulnar nerve in cubital tunnel	-0.619	0.002* [†]
Ulnar nerve at upper mid-arm	0.300	0.052
Radial nerve		
Radial nerve at antecubital	-0.230	0.120
Radial nerve at groove	-0.232	0.302
Tibial nerve		
Tibial Nerve at popliteal fossa	0.071	0.620
Tibial Nerve in tarsal tunnel	0.145	0.321
Peroneal nerve		
Peroneal Nerve at popliteal fossa	-0.398	0.005* [†]
Sural nerve		
Sural Nerve at calf	0.036	0.818

*Statistically significant difference; P < 0.05.

[†]Statistically significant difference with false discovery rate controlling method.

in the tarsal tunnel (P = 0.017) correlated with BMI (**Table 4**). The correlation remained significant after correction using FDR between CSA of median nerve in the forearm with body weight and BMI, and CSA of ulnar nerve in the cubital tunnel and height (**Table 4**).

Adjusted Ultrasound Pattern Sum Score

Using our CSA reference values, we adjusted the UPSS score make it more suitable for use in Taiwanese subjects (**Supplementary Table 2**). Applying this score to our healthy controls revealed that a UPSS score < 3 was defined as normal, and 85% of our participants had a UPSS = 0. All participants had a UPSS < 3.

DISCUSSION

In a cohort of healthy Taiwanese adults, we measured the CSA of extremity nerves at multiple sites to determine normal CSA reference values for this population. The CSA values observed in our study cohort were smaller than those reported in studies of other Asian and Caucasian cohorts, supporting our proposal that the ethnic differences in nerve size necessitates the establishment of population-specific CSA reference values. In addition, after correction for multiple testing, we observed that age correlated negatively with the size of the ulnar nerve in the cubital tunnel and the peroneal nerve in the popliteal fossa. Weight, and body mass index were associated with nerve size at several sites. Men had larger CSAs in the upper limbs and proximal tibial nerve, after statistical adjustment for weight and height. These findings

should be useful for the analysis of nerve sonography data specific to the Taiwanese population.

The relative sizes of the nerves observed in this study were similar to those reported in other cohorts. In the upper extremities, the median nerve CSA tended to be larger than either the ulnar nerve or the radial nerve in most of the segments. In the forearm, the CSAs of the median and ulnar nerves were smaller than those of the other segments. The CSAs of nerves located in the lower extremities were larger than those of the upper extremities. Several studies report similar findings, with relatively large CSAs in the common entrapment sites (10, 21).

As observed in our cohort, several previous studies report correlation between CSA values and sex, with men having slightly larger nerves than women (2, 15, 16, 21). In our cohort, men had larger CSAs in the upper limbs and proximal tibial nerve than did women, even after statistical adjustment for weight and height; the other targeted nerve sites exhibited this tendency, but without statistical significance.

Many studies investigating the factors that influence the CSA report a generally positive correlation between nerve size and age (11–16, 21). However, Kerasnoudis et al., reported a paradoxical result of decreasing nerve size with increasing age in the median nerve in the axilla and the radial nerve in the spiral groove (22). Our results showed a significant increase with age in the CSA of the median nerve of the forearm. However, in the ulnar nerve in cubital the tunnel and the peroneal nerve in the popliteal fossa, we observed a decrease in CSA with age.

Studies on the correlation between CSA and weight, height, and BMI have reported varied results. Cartwright et al. and Soek et al., found that the CSA correlated with height, body weight, and BMI in the peroneal nerve and the tibial nerve (11, 18); In contrast, other studies found no correlations with the CSA (21, 22). Won et al., showed a correlation between these parameters in most segments of the median, ulnar, and radial nerves (16). This is similar to our finding, where correlations with CSA were found in the median nerve in the forearm (weight) and upper mid-arm (weight and BMI), the ulnar nerve in the cubital (weight and height), the radial nerve in the antecubital (weight and BMI) and groove (weight and BMI), and the tibial nerve in the tarsal tunnel (weight and BMI).

In addition to age, weight, height, and sex, evidence suggests that CSA values are influenced by ethnicity, which may contribute to the differences in reported CSA values between study cohorts (10). Comparison of the CSA values of our Taiwanese cohort to those of other studies (Table 5) revealed that our cohort CSAs are smaller than those reported in studies of Caucasian cohorts, a finding supported by numerous previous studies comparing Asian and Caucasian nerve sizes (10, 15, 21). In cohorts from Germany, the USA, and Canada, the targeted upper limb nerves had a larger CSA than in our cohort. The USA cohort had a larger CSA for the tibial nerve in the popliteal area and sural nerve than did other cohorts. Asians tend to be of lower weight and height than Caucasians, which may contribute to the difference in nerve size observed between these populations. As shown in Table 4, we observed a positive correlation between weight and nerve size in our cohort. This finding is supported by a recent study (25) in which weight was found to be the



and (D) Peroneal and sural nerves. *Statistically significant correlation in: median nerve in the forearm, ulnar nerve in cubital tunnel, and peroneal nerve in t fossa. [†]Statistically significant correlation under false discovery rate multiple testing method.

TABLE 4 | Multivariant analysis of CSA values with respect to height and weight.

	Weight		He	eight	BMI	
Site of CSA measurement	ß	Р	ß	Р	ß	Р
Median nerve						
Median nerve at wrist	0.332	0.053	0.044	0.840	0.271	0.043*
Median nerve in forearm	0.531	0.001*†	0.059	0.765	0.429	0.001*†
Median nerve at antecubital	0.128	0.548	0.186	0.262	0.146	0.263
Median nerve at upper mid-arm	0.344	0.047*	-0.213	0.337	0.270	0.043*
Ulnar nerve						
Ulnar nerve in forearm	0.164	0.331	0.118	0.586	0.116	0.380
Ulnar nerve in cubital tunnel	0.392	0.035*	-1.04	<0.001*†	0.365	0.051
Ulnar nerve at upper mid-arm	0.194	0.259	0.196	0.374	0.144	0.288
Radial nerve						
Radial nerve at antecubital	0.396	0.019*	-0.332	0.122	0.283	0.032*
Radial nerve at groove	0.484	0.044*	-0.493	0.145	0.360	0.050*
Tibial nerve						
Tibial nerve at popliteal fossa	0.257	0.107	-0.232	0.263	0.211	0.098
Tibial nerve in tarsal tunnel	0.392	0.022*	-0.228	0.310	0.317	0.017*
Peroneal nerve						
Peroneal nerve at popliteal fossa	-0.002	0.989	0.246	0.228	-0.010	0.936
Sural nerve						
Sural nerve at calf	0.275	0.127	0.035	0.886	0.214	0.128

BMI, body mass index.

*Statistically significant difference; P < 0.05.

[†]Statistically significant difference with false discovery rate controlling method

body habitus parameter that most influenced the nerve CSA; in contrast, height did not predict the CSA magnitude. The upper extremity nerve CSAs are larger in Koreans than in other Asians, more similar in size to those observed in Caucasians (16, 18). While the CSA of the sural nerve does not differ between Asians and Caucasians, differences have been observed between these populations in the CSAs of the tibial and peroneal nerves in the popliteal fossa. The abundant connective tissue around these nerves can render the nerve boundary difficult to distinguish, possibly contributing to these conflicting findings. The CSA values of our cohort were also smaller than those in other Asian cohorts (**Table 5**). These findings suggest that for accurate analysis of nerve sonography results, normal CSA reference values should be established for specific ethnic groups.

The CSA data for peripheral nerves at multiple sites can be evaluated collectively using a variety of methods, including the UPSS. As a starting point for testing the use of our new CSA standard values for Taiwanese, we used the UPSS scoring system because UPSS is able to quantify nerve enlargement at several nerve segments (**Supplementary Table 2**). Applying this score to our healthy cohort showed that a UPSS score < 3 is defined as normal. All of our participants had UPSS < 3, and 85% had UPSS = 0, and these values are similar to those reported in a German cohort (2, 15). These findings suggest that slight variations exist within the normal population. Since UPSS scores have not yet been validated using independent samples, further studies assessing several additional nerve sites is warranted to refine the total score. In addition, various ultrasound scoring tools are available and have been shown applicable with high accuracy (1, 26), tools in addition to UPSS should be considered in the future.

This preliminary study has several limitations. First, the study cohort was relatively small. However, other studies of similar cohort size using unilateral measurements have been published (15, 27-29). Second, the extremity nerve measurements were only unilateral. However, previous studies have shown that there were only minimum detectable differences or no statistically significant side-to-side differences in CSA (30, 31). Third, the cut-off values for the reference range and the UPSS are not adjusted in all epidemiological subgroups. We used double the SD as the normal reference range to exclude possible influencing factors. We measured the CSA in the extremities but not in the cervical root. Since the diagnosis of some peripheral neuropathies requires root values, future studies should be conducted to establish the normal reference values for the cervical root, in addition to other common entrapment sites including ulnar CSA at the wrist, and fibular nerve at the fibular head.

CONCLUSION

Different populations may have distinct CSA values according to racial or ethnic variations. This study establishes a CSA reference range specific to the Taiwanese population for use in nerve sonography. This work may be helpful for the evaluation of peripheral nerve disorders in specific populations.

	Taiwan	Germany	Germany	USA	Canada	China	Korea	Japan	India
	Our study	Grimm et al. (15)	Kerasanoudis et al. (22)	Cartwright et al. (11)	Qrimli et al. (23)	Niu et al. (21)	Won et al. (16)/ Soek et al. (18)	Sugimoto et al. (14)	Bathala et al. (24)
Median nerve									
Median nerve at wrist	6.8 ± 2.4	10.6 ± 2.9	8.4 ± 2.1	N/A	10.0 ± 2.4	6.3 ± 0.9	9.3 ± 1.6	8.5 ± 1.7	7.2 ± 1
Median nerve in mid-forearm	4.5 ± 1.2	7.2 ± 1.3	6.6 ± 1.6	N/A	7.3 ± 1.7	5.6 ± 0.9	6.3 ± 1.5	6.0 ± 1.3	4.8 ± 0.9
Median nerve at antecubital	7.2 ± 1.6	9.2 ± 1.7	N/A	N/A	10.3 ± 3.4	8.4 ± 1.3	9.0 ± 2.4	9.1 ± 2.2	N/A
Median nerve at mid-arm	7.0 ± 1.5	9.1 ± 1.5	N/A	N/A	9.4 ± 3.1	7.8 ± 1.2	9.3 ± 2.5	5.6 ± 1.0	6.1 ± 1
Ulnar nerve									
Ulnar nerve in mid-forearm	3.9 ± 1.1	5.9 ± 1.4	N/A	N/A	6.2 ± 1.5	4.6 ± 0.8	N/A	4.7 ± 1.0	N/A
Ulnar nerve in cubital tunnel	6.2 ± 1.4	8.7 ± 2.0	5.5 ± 1.3	N/A	6.9 ± 2.3	5.6 ± 1.1	7.3 ± 1.7	6.7 ± 1.9	N/A
Ulnar nerve at mid-arm	5.1 ± 1.5	7.0 ± 1.2	N/A	N/A	6.8 ± 2.3	4.4 ± 0.9	6.4 ± 1.6	4.8 ± 1.0	N/A
Radial nerve									
Radial nerve at antecubital	4.0 ± 1.4	N/A	N/A	9.3 ± 2.4	N/A	N/A	7.3 ± 1.7	N/A	N/A
Radial nerve at groove	5.1 ± 1.6	N/A	3.3 ± 1.5	N/A	6.5 ± 1.7	N/A	6.8 ± 1.8	N/A	N/A
Tibial nerve									
Tibial nerve at popliteal fossa	20.8 ± 4.8	23.2 ± 4.9	N/A	35.3 ± 10.3	N/A	N/A	24.4 ± 4.4	N/A	N/A
Tibial nerve in tarsal tunnel	8.5 ± 2.2	10.2 ± 2.0	6.4 ± 1.5	13.7 ± 7.3	12.7 ± 3.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Peroneal nerve									
Peroneal nerve at popliteal fossa	12.1 ± 2.2	8.4 ± 1.6	8.6 ± 1.8	11.7 ± 4.6	11.8 ± 3.8	N/A	10.4 ± 2.7	N/A	N/A
Sural nerve									
Sural nerve at calf	2.3 ± 0.7	2.2 ± 0.6	N/A	5.3 ± 1.8	2.1 ± 0.8	N/A	2.6 ± 0.6	N/A	N/A

Data are presented as the mean \pm SD.

N/A, not available.

Hsieh et al.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by institutional review board of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (IRB202100356B0 and IRB 202101058B0). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors provided healthy participants. H-CK conceived, designed the study, and performed the experiments. P-CH performed the ultrasound in all participants. P-CH and H-CK analyzed the data and then wrote the paper. P-CH, H-CK, K-HC,

REFERENCES

- Kramer M, Grimm A, Winter N, Dorner M, Grundmann-Hauser K, Stahl JH, et al. Nerve ultrasound as helpful tool in polyneuropathies. *Diagnostics*. (2021) 11:211. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics11020211
- Grimm A, Heiling B, Schumacher U, Witte OW, Axer H. Ultrasound differentiation of axonal and demyelinating neuropathies. *Muscle Nerve.* (2014) 50:976–83. doi: 10.1002/mus.24238
- Carroll AS, Simon NG. Current and future applications of ultrasound imaging in peripheral nerve disorders. World J Radiol. (2020) 12:101–29. doi: 10.4329/wjr.v12.i6.101
- Walker FO, Cartwright MS, Alter KE, Visser LH, Hobson-Webb LD, Padua L, et al. Indications for neuromuscular ultrasound: expert opinion and review of the literature. *Clin Neurophysiol.* (2018) 129:2658–79. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2018.09.013
- Wijntjes J, Borchert A, van Alfen N. Nerve ultrasound in traumatic and iatrogenic peripheral nerve injury. *Diagnostics*. (2020) 11:30. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics11010030
- Telleman JA, Herraets IJ, Goedee HS, van Asseldonk JT, Visser LH. Ultrasound scanning in the diagnosis of peripheral neuropathies. *Pract Neurol.* (2021) 21:186–95. doi: 10.1136/practneurol-2020-002645
- Choi S-J, Ahn JH, Ryu DS, Kang CH, Jung SM, Park MS, et al. Ultrasonography for nerve compression syndromes of the upper extremity. Ultrasonography. (2015) 34:275–91. doi: 10.14366/usg.14060
- Chang KV, Wu WT, Han DS, Özçakar L. Ulnar nerve cross-sectional area for the diagnosis of cubital tunnel syndrome: a meta-analysis of ultrasonographic measurements. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* (2018) 99:743–57. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2017.08.467
- Mezian K, Jačisko J, Kaiser R, Machač S, Steyerová P, Sobotová K, et al. Ulnar neuropathy at the elbow: from ultrasound scanning to treatment. *Front Neurol.* (2021) 12:661441. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.661441
- Burg EW, Bathala L, Visser LH. Difference in normal values of median nerve cross-sectional area between Dutch and Indian subjects. *Muscle Nerve*. (2014) 50:129–32. doi: 10.1002/mus.24124
- Cartwright MS, Mayans DR, Gillson NA, Griffin LP, Walker FO. Nerve cross-sectional area in extremes of age. *Muscle Nerve*. (2013) 47:890–3. doi: 10.1002/mus.23718
- Druzhinin D, Naumova E, Nikitin S. Nerve ultrasound normal values in children and young adults. *Muscle Nerve*. (2019) 60:757–61. doi: 10.1002/mus.26715

Y-RW, L-SR, C-CC, R-KL, and M-FL read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This research was supported in part by Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (CMRPG3L1121, CMRPG3H1761, and CMRPG3J1751).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank all participants and staff at the Department of Neurology at the Chang Gung Memorial Hospital Linkou Medical Center for their valuable contributions to this study.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur. 2021.722403/full#supplementary-material

- Schubert C, Grimm AS, Stahl JH, Kupper H, Kegele J, Wittlinger J, et al. Nerve ultrasound reference data in children from two to seven years. *Clin Neurophysiol.* (2020) 131:859–65. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2019.12.404
- Sugimoto T, Ochi K, Hosomi N, Mukai T, Ueno H, Takahashi T, et al. Ultrasonographic reference sizes of the median and ulnar nerves and the cervical nerve roots in healthy Japanese adults. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* (2013) 39:1560–70. doi: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2013.03.031
- Grimm A, Axer H, Heiling B, Winter N. Nerve ultrasound normal values readjustment of the ultrasound pattern sum score UPSS. *Clin Neurophysiol.* (2018) 129:1403–9. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2018.03.036
- Won SJ, Kim BJ, Park KS, Yoon JS, Choi H. Reference values for nerve ultrasonography in the upper extremity. *Muscle Nerve*. (2013) 47:864–71. doi: 10.1002/mus.23691
- Bedewi MA, Abodonya A, Kotb M, Kamal S, Mahmoud G, Aldossari K, et al. Estimation of ultrasound reference values for the lower limb peripheral nerves in adults: a cross-sectional study. *Medicine*. (2018) 97:e0179. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000010179
- Seok HY, Jang JH, Won SJ, Yoon JS, Park KS, Kim BJ. Cross-sectional area reference values of nerves in the lower extremities using ultrasonography. *Muscle Nerve*. (2014) 50:564–70. doi: 10.1002/mus.24209
- Kuo HC, Chen SR, Jung SM, Wu Chou YH, Huang CC, Chuang WL, et al. Neurofibromatosis 2 with peripheral neuropathies: electrophysiological, pathological and genetic studies of a Taiwanese family. *Neuropathology*. (2010) 30:515–23. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1789.2009.01099.x
- Kimura J. Nerve conduction studies. In: Kimura J, editor. *Electrodiagnosis of Disease of Nerve and Muscle: Principle and Practice.* 3rd ed. New York: Oxford University Press (2001). P. 63–236.
- Niu J, Li Y, Zhang L, Ding Q, Cui L, Liu M. Cross-sectional area reference values for sonography of nerves in the upper extremities. *Muscle Nerve.* (2020) 61:338–46. doi: 10.1002/mus.26781
- 22. Kerasnoudis A, Pitarokoili K, Behrendt V, Gold R, Yoon MS. Cross sectional area reference values for sonography of peripheral nerves and brachial plexus. *Clin Neurophysiol.* (2013) 124:1881–8. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2013.03.007
- Qrimli M, Ebadi H, Breiner A, Siddiqui H, Alabdali M, Abraham A, et al. Reference values for ultrasonograpy of peripheral nerves. *Muscle Nerve*. (2016) 53:538–44. doi: 10.1002/mus.24888
- Bathala L, Kumar P, Kumar K, Shaik A, Visser LH. Normal values of median nerve cross-sectional area obtained by ultrasound along its course in the arm with electrophysiological correlations, in 100 Asian subjects. *Muscle Nerve.* (2014) 49:284–6. doi: 10.1002/mus.23912

- Lothet EH, Bishop TJ, Walker FO, Cartwright MS. Ultrasound-derived nerve cross-sectional area in extremes of height and weight. *J Neuroimaging*. (2019) 29:406–9. doi: 10.1111/jon.12590
- Grimm A, Rattay TW, Winter N, Axer H. Peripheral nerve ultrasound scoring systems: benchmarking and comparative analysis. J Neurol. (2017) 264:243–53. doi: 10.1007/s00415-016-8305-y
- Zanette G, Tamburin S, Taioli F, Lauriola MF, Badari A, Ferrarini M, et al. Nerve size correlates with clinical severity in Charcot-Marietooth disease 1A. *Muscle Nerve*. (2019) 60:744–8. doi: 10.1002/mus. 26688
- Loewenbrück KF, Liesenberg J, Dittrich M, Schäfer J, Patzner B, Trausch B, et al. Nerve ultrasound in the differentiation of multifocal motor neuropathy (MMN) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with predominant lower motor neuron disease (ALS/LMND). J Neurol. (2016) 263:35–44. doi: 10.1007/s00415-015-7927-9
- Cartwright MS, Passmore LV, Yoon JS, Brown ME, Caress JB, Walker FO. Cross-sectional area reference values for nerve ultrasonography. *Muscle Nerve.* (2008) 37:566–71. doi: 10.1002/mus.21009
- Tagliafico A, Cadoni A, Fisci E, Bignotti B, Padua L, Martinoli C. Reliability of side-to-side ultrasound cross-sectional area measurements of lower extremity nerves in healthy subjects. *Muscle Nerve.* (2012) 46:717–22. doi: 10.1002/mus.23417

 Tagliafico A, Martinoli C. Reliability of side-to-side sonographic crosssectional area measurements of upper extremity nerves in healthy volunteers. *J Ultrasound Med.* (2013) 32:457–62. doi: 10.7863/jum.2013.32.3.457

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Hsieh, Chang, Wu, Ro, Chu, Lyu, Liao and Kuo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.