

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

American Journal of Emergency Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ajem



The authors respond: the impact of COVID-19 on ED utilization, case mix and functions



We applaud Lai et al. in this *Letter to the Editor* for exploring changes in acute care utilization in Taiwan related to COVID-19. Their result, that ED visits fell by between 21.3% and 36.3% compared to prior years during the Lunar New Year Holiday in 2020, and that they fell by even larger margins for influenza-like-illnesses, is comparable to findings from the United States [1,2], the UK [3], and Japan [4]. In Taiwan, COVID-19 control measures likely contributed to a change in the baseline population incidence of pathologies with infectious etiology. They may have also contributed to changes in care-seeking behavior in Taiwan, despite the success of the island-nation in managing the COVID-19 pandemic.

Indeed, there has been a sea-change in the case mix of emergency department presentations throughout the world, despite tremendous variation in the implementation of COVID-19 nonpharmaceutical interventions, as well as COVID-19 case counts and fatality rates. ED demand is a function of the physical and social environment and the epidemiology of the underlying illnesses and injuries managed [5]. COVID-19 represents a 'natural experiment,' albeit a messy one, to tackle some of the most important open questions in emergency care and health services research:

- Which conditions that may have historically been managed with hospital-based resources can be managed by leveraging telemedicine and outpatient resources [6]?
- Which conditions are emergency care-sensitive; that is, for which conditions "does high-quality emergency care make a unique contribution to patient outcomes" [7]?
- Given reports of huge spikes in excess mortality [8], how do changes in care-seeking impact population-level morbidity and mortality?
- Which populations are most vulnerable to changes in access to or propensity to seek out emergency care [9]?

The true scope of the collateral damage wrought by COVID-19, especially in nations with comparatively less success with early nonpharmaceutical interventions, will remain the focus of research for years to come. The pandemic will surely result in a rethinking of many dimensions of emergency care, but we suspect that its value will be

validated in the work to come: as a backstop of pandemic preparedness for overwhelmed health systems, as the key weigh station for the complex management of emergency care-sensitive conditions, and as a safety net for vulnerable populations.

References

- [1] Venkatesh AK, Janke AJ, Shu-Xia L, Rothenberg C, Goyal P, Terry A, et al. Emergency department utilization for emergency conditions during COVID-19. Ann Emerg Med. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2021.01.011 In press.
- [2] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Excess deaths associated with COVID-19, by age and race and ethnicity – United States, January 26 – October 3, 2020. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2021;69(42):1522–7.
- [3] Hughes HE, Hughes TC, Morbey R, Challen K, Oliver I, Smith GE, et al. Emergency department use during COVID-19 as described by syndromic surveillance. BMJ Emerg Med J. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2020-209980 In press.
- [4] Sekine I, Uojima H, Koyama H, Kamio T, Sato M, Yamamoto T, et al. Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions for the COVID-19 pandemic on emergency department patient trends in Japan: a retrospective analysis. Acute Med Surg. 2020;7:e603. https://doi.org/10.1002/ams2.603.
- [5] Kocher K, Macy ML. Emergency department patients in the early months of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic-what have we learned? JAMA Health Forum. 2020;1(6):e200705.
- [6] Hollander JE, Carr BG. Virtually perfect? Telemedicine for Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020;382:1679–81. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2003539.
- [7] Carr BG, Conway PH, Meisel ZF, Steiner CA, Clancy C. Defining the emergency care sensitive condition: a health policy research agenda in emergency medicine. Ann Emerg Med. 2010;56(1):49–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2009.12. 013.
- [8] Woolf SH, Chapman DA, Sabo RT, Weinberger DM, Hill L, Taylor DDH. Excess deaths from COVID-19 and other causes, march-july 2020. JAMA. 2020;324(15):1562–4. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.19545.
- [9] Holland KM, Jones C, Vivolo-Kantor AM, Idaikkadar N, Zwald M, Hoots B, et al. Trends in US emergency department visits for mental health, overdose, and violence outcomes before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. JAMA Psychiat. 2021. https://doi. org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.4402 In Press.

Alexander T. Janke MD E-mail address: alexander.janke@yale.edu Arjun K. Venkatesh MD, MBA, MHS 1 March 2021