Wien Klin Wochenschr (2022) 134:683–692 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00508-022-02082-3 Wiener klinische Wochenschrift The Central European Journal of Medicine



Austrian treatment algorithms in HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer: a 2022 update

Gabriel Rinnerthaler · Christian Singer · Edgar Petru · Daniel Egle · Andreas Petzer · Ursula Pluschnig · Simon Peter Gampenrieder · Georg Pfeiler · Michael Gnant · Birgit Grünberger · Peter Krippl · Kathrin Strasser-Weippl · Christoph Suppan · Christine Brunner · Renate Pusch · Margit Sandholzer · Marija Balic D · Rupert Bartsch

Received: 12 July 2022 / Accepted: 10 August 2022 / Published online: 23 September 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Summary In the past 12 months a plethora of relevant novel data for the treatment of metastatic HER2 positive breast cancer were published. To bring this new evidence into a clinical perspective, a group of Austrian breast cancer specialists updated their previously published treatment algorithm for those patients. For this consensus paper a total of eight scenarios were developed in which treatment strategies

The authors PD Dr. Gabriel Rinnerthaler and Univ. Prof. Dr. Christian Singer contributed equally to this work.

PD Dr. G. Rinnerthaler · PD Dr. S. P. Gampenrieder Third Medical Department with Hematology and Medical Oncology, Hemostaseology, Rheumatology and Infectious Diseases, Oncologic Center, Paracelsus Medical University Salzburg, Müllner Hauptstr. 48, 5020 Salzburg, Austria

Univ. Prof. Dr. C. Singer · Assoc. Prof. PD Dr. G. Pfeiler Department of Gynecology and Gynecological Oncology, Medical University of Vienna, Währinger Gürtel 18–20, 1090 Vienna, Austria

Univ. Prof. Dr. E. Petru Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Division of Gynecology, Medical University of Graz, Auenbruggerplatz 14, 8036 Graz, Austria

OA Dr. D. Egle · OÄ Dr. C. Brunner Department of Gynecology, Breast Cancer Center Tirol, Medical University of Innsbruck, Anichstr. 35, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

Prim. Univ. Prof. Dr. A. Petzer · OÄ Dr. R. Pusch Barmherzige Schwestern, Elisabethinen, Department of Internal Medicine I for Hematology with Stem Cell Transplantation, Hemostaseology and Medical Oncology, Ordensklinikum Linz GmbH, Seilerstätte 4, 4010 Linz, Austria

OÄ Dr. U. Pluschnig Department of Internal Medicine and Hematology and Internal Oncology, Klagenfurt Hospital, Feschnigstr. 11, 9020 Klagenfurt am Wörthersee, Austria appropriate for specific patient profiles were evaluated. Consensus was established by detailed discussions of each scenario and by reaching full consensus.

Keywords Advanced breast cancer \cdot Erbb2 \cdot Systemic therapy \cdot Antibody-drug conjugates \cdot Tyrosin kinase inhibitors

Univ. Prof. Dr. M. Gnant

Comprehensive Cancer Center, Medical University of Vienna, Währinger Gürtel 18–20, 1090 Vienna, Austria

Prim. PD Dr. B. Grünberger Department of Internal Medicine and Hematology and Internal Oncology, Landesklinikum Wiener Neustadt, Corvinusring 3–5, 2700 Wiener Neustadt, Austria

Prim. Univ.-Doz. Dr. P. Krippl Department of Internal Medicine (location Fürstenfeld), Landeskrankenhaus Feldbach-Fürstenfeld, Krankenhausgasse 1, 8280 Fürstenfeld, Austria

PD Dr. K. Strasser-Weippl First Medical Department, Center for Oncology and Hematology, Klinik Ottakring, Montleartstr. 36, 1160 Vienna, Austria

OA PD DDr. C. Suppan · Assoc. Prof. PD Dr. M. Balic (⊠) Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Clinical Oncology, Medical University of Graz, Auenbruggerplatz 15, 8036 Graz, Austria marija.balic@medunigraz.at

OÄ Dr. M. Sandholzer Second Medical Department, Center for Oncology and Hematology, Landeskrankenhaus Feldkirch, Carinagasse 47, 6807 Feldkirch, Austria

Assoc. Prof. PD Dr. R. Bartsch Department of Medicine I, Division of Oncology, Medical University of Vienna, Währinger Gürtel 18–20, 1090 Vienna, Austria

Introduction

This consensus statement represents an update of previous work published as the *Updated Austrian treatment algorithm in HER2+ metastatic breast cancer* by Bartsch et al. [1]. The past 12 months have brought to light a plethora of relevant novel data [2–8] necessitating another update and an expansion of the recently established treatment algorithms for HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (mBC).

Of particular interest are the results from the DESTINY-Breast03 trial. This prospective randomized phase III study compared trastuzumab-deruxtecan (T-DXd) directly with the former second-line standard of trastuzumab-emtansine (T-DM1) [2, 3]. In addition, an interim analysis from the DEBBRAH and TUXEDO-1 phase II trials provided preliminary data on T-DXd in patients with stable and progressing cerebral metastases [4, 8].

Patients, material and methods

For this purpose, a group of leading Austrian breast cancer specialists have reconvened in January 2022 to detail eight scenarios for which treatment strategies appropriate for specific patient profiles were developed. These developments serve as an update to the four scenarios established in 2021 [1].

Data from the following sources serve as the basis for the clinical and scientific update of treatment recommendations: all studies included in the initial consensus statement [1], regulatory information on established and new compounds, scientific updates of the last 2 years from the following symposia/congresses: San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium, the American Society of Clinical Oncology Annual Meetings, the European Society for Medical Oncology Annual Meetings, safety profiles and efficacy data of the respective compounds, current treatment recommendations for patients with HER2-positive mBC from various guidelines, and comprehensive clinical practice experiences of the respective experts, their teams and institutions.

Eight distinct scenarios were developed to evaluate treatment strategies appropriate for specific patient profiles including aspects of cerebral metastatic disease. Consensus was established through advisory board meetings, detailed discussions, and reiterations of clinical scenarios. Treatment recommendations for each specific scenario were finalized after reaching full consensus.

Results

New evidence from recent presentations and publications

DESTINY-Breast03 compared T-DXd with T-DM1 in patients with unresectable or metastatic HER2-positive breast cancer previously treated with trastuzumab

and taxanes. This randomized, open-label, multicenter, phase III study [9] delivered the first randomized data set on T-DXd which was approved in January 2021 based on phase II data from the DESTINY-Breast01 trial [10]. The trial demonstrated a clinically meaningful and statistically significant improvement in progression-free survival (PFS) of T-DXd compared with T-DM1 in patients with HER2-positive mBC (hazard ratio, HR 0.28; $P = 7.8 \times 10^{22}$) and an encouraging overall survival (OS) trend at the time of the first interim analysis (12-month OS rate was 94.1% for T-DXd vs. 85.9% for T-DM1). The safety profile was comparable between the two arms, showing similar rates of all grades and grade \geq 3 drug-related treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) and no grade 4 or 5 interstitial lung disease (ILD) or pneumonitis events in either arm [2].

In addition, preliminary results from the TUXEDO-1 trial were presented at the ESMO 2021 meeting. This phase II trial evaluated the role of T-DXD in patients with active de novo untreated brain metastases (BM) or BM progressing upon prior local therapy. In the first stage of a Simon-optimal two-stage design, six patients were included and five intracranial responses by RANO-BM criteria were observed [8]. A poster presented at SABCS 2021 on cohorts 1 and 3 from the Spanish DEBBRAH trial reported data on T-DXd in patients with HER2-postitive mBC with stable BM after surgery, stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) and/or whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) (cohort 1) and in patients with HER2-positive mBC with progressing BM after surgery, SRS and/or WBRT (cohort 3) [4]. Preliminary data demonstrated efficacy with manageable toxicity in heavily pretreated patients with HER2-positive mBC with stable and progressing BM after local treatment. Given the small patient numbers in these trials, further investigation is required in larger cohorts to validate these findings and provide more complete evidence on the activity and safety of T-DXd in this population [4, 8]. Still, preliminary results from TUXEDO-1 and DEBBRAH provide the proof-of-principle of T-DXd activity in active BM.

In addition, an analysis of 468 patients treated with T-DXd from the French cohort temporary authorization for use program, which offered first real-world data was presented at ASCO 2021 [7]. Most of the patients had received trastuzumab, pertuzumab and T-DM1 in previous treatment lines. T-DXd showed a response rate of 56.7% which is comparable to the response rate reported in DESTINY-Breast01 of 62%. The safety profile of T-DXd appeared manageable and no additional safety signals were observed in the cohort program. Of particular interest was the lack of high grade and/or fatal cases of ILD [7].

In addition, updated results from the HER2CLIMB study and tucatinib use in patient subpopulations were presented at ASCO 2021. The median OS benefit for patients in the tucatinib arm was reported to lie 5.5 months (24.7 months vs. 19.2 months, HR 0.73) over those in the placebo arm. All subgroups benefited from the addition of tucatinib [5].

An evaluation of the impact of tucatinib on healthrelated quality of life (HR-QoL) in HER2CLIMB demonstrated that HR-QoL was preserved for patients with HER2-positive mBC who were treated with tucatinib when added to trastuzumab and capecitabine. Additionally, HR-QoL was maintained longer with tucatinib therapy than with placebo among those with BM [11].

Ongoing trials are evaluating the efficacy of tucatinib in combination with trastuzumab and pertuzumab for HER2-postitive mBC (HER2CLIMB-05) [12] and in combination with T-DM1 (HER2CLIMB-02) [13] and will provide insights into possible combination options for future therapeutic regimens.

A novel, not yet approved compound, trastuzumab duocarmazine (SYD985), demonstrated superiority over treatment of physician's choice (TPC) in pretreated HER2-positive locally advanced or mBC in the pivotal phase III TULIP trial and may soon provide an additional treatment option in the armamentarium for this patient population [6]. SYD985, currently under the U.S. Food and Drug Administration review, demonstrated a statistically significant benefit in centrally reviewed, median PFS (7 months for SYD985 vs. 4.9 months for TPC; HR 0.64) and in investigator assessed PFS (6.9 months vs. 4.6 months; HR 0.60). The first OS analysis revealed a HR of 0.83. Adverse events of special interest included eye toxicity, which was higher in the SYD985 group (78.1%) compared to the TPC group (29.2%) and led to discontinuation of treatment in 22.9% of patients in the trastuzumab duocarmazine group. Interstitial lung disease (ILD)/ pneumonitis was reported for 7.6% of patients (2.4% with grade 3 or higher) in the SYD985 arm [6]. More mature data are eagerly awaited and will provide clinicians with data on the potential future treatment positioning of SYD985.

Development of the updated therapeutic algorithms and consensus creation for treatment scenarios

Each scenario and its respective treatment algorithm depicted in the applicable figure was developed by reaching full consensus between experts. All available evidence reported in the past 12 months from scientific literature, appropriate guidelines and recent symposia and conferences regarding HER2-positive mBC provided guidance in the establishment of these updated treatment recommendations. The recently published Austrian treatment recommendations for HER2-positive mBC [1] served as the core platform from which the adapted algorithms were developed.

The new scenarios are closely aligned with the recently published ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the diagnosis, staging and treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer [14]. The major extensions in this Austrian update relate to new data in specific treatment lines and the treatment algorithm of patients with BM.

Scenario 1

In patients with a treatment-free interval of ≥ 12 months after the end of adjuvant therapy or in de novo metastatic patients without active intracranial metastases, all approved substances are available according to current guidelines [15, 16]. The treatment algorithm for this scenario is depicted in Fig. 1.

Data generated by the CLEOPATRA study defined trastuzumab plus pertuzumab plus taxane-based chemotherapy (e.g., docetaxel) as the first-line therapy based on the improvement of PFS and OS. Dual HER2 inhibition plus chemotherapy demonstrated a clinically relevant OS advantage over trastuzumab plus docetaxel by a median of 16.3 months (HR 0.69; 95% confidence interval, CI; 0.58–0.82) [17]. For HER2-positive and hormone receptor (HR)-positive (luminal B/HER2-positive; triple positive) tumors, the addition of endocrine therapy to antibody maintenance therapy after completion of induction chemotherapy is recommended [15, 17].

In the second line setting, T-DXd can be regarded as the new standard of care based on data generated from the DESTINY-Breast03 trial if no substantial contraindication for T-DXd (e.g., severe pulmonary comorbidity) exists. Compared with the former secondline standard T-DM1, T-DXd demonstrated significant improvement in PFS (12-month PFS of T-DXd 75.8 months; 95% CI; 69.8–80.7 months vs. T-DM1 of 34.1 months 95% CI; 27.7–40.5 months) and a confirmed overall response rate (ORR) for T-DXd of 79.7% vs. 34.2% for T-DM1 (complete response, 16.1% vs. 8.7%), with a comparable toxicity profile [2].

In the third line, tucatinib in combination with trastuzumab and capecitabine may be used based on results from the HER2CLIMB trials [5, 11, 13, 18, 19].

According to European Medicines Agency recommendations, dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD) deficiency testing should be performed prior to treatment with capecitabine, since patients with DPD deficiency are unable to metabolize capecitabine at a normal rate and may be at risk of life-threatening side effects [20]. The prevalence of DPD deficiency in Caucasians is 3–5% [20, 21].

Upon progression on tucatinib in combination with capecitabine and trastuzumab, no specific therapeutic recommendation according to the experts' assessments and the current guidelines [15, 16, 22] can be given as data are scant with respect to efficacy in the fourth line and beyond. The individual needs and disease-specific factors of each patient must be considered to achieve the best possible outcome. Results from numerous studies suggest that the continuation of HER2 targeted therapy is beneficial [23–26]. A detailed data review for the compounds referenced in the fourth treatment line and beyond can be found



Scenario 1: *De novo* metastasized breast cancer or therapy-free interval ≥12 months after Trastuzumab or Trastuzumab-Pertuzumab <u>without</u> active brain metastases

Fig. 1 Treatment scenario 1. *T* trastuzumab, *P* pertuzumab, *T-DXd* trastuzumab deruxtecan, *T-DM1* trastuzumab emtansine, *Cap* capecitabine, *Tuc* tucatinib, *DPD* dihydropy-rimidine dehydrogenase, *Chemo* chemotherapy, *L* lapatinib,

N neratinib, *Pembro* pembrolizumab, *AI* aromatase inhibitor, *Abema* abemaciclib, *FuI* fulvestrant. \star These treatment options do not have market authorization in the EU

Scenario 2: Progression during adjuvant therapy of mBC with Trastuzumab or Trastuzumab-Pertuzumab or therapy-free interval < 6 months



Fig. 2 Treatment scenario 2. *T* trastuzumab, *P* pertuzumab, *T-DXd* trastuzumab deruxtecan, *T-DM1* trastuzumab emtansine, *Cap* capecitabine, *Tuc* tucatinib, *DPD* dihydropy-rimidine dehydrogenase, *Chemo* chemotherapy, *L* lapatinib,

in the previous edition of the Austrian HER2-postive mBC treatment recommendations [1].

Scenario 2

In patients with progression under adjuvant therapy with trastuzumab or trastuzumab plus pertuzumab or relapse within 6 months after the end of adjuvant therapy without active intracranial metastases, a rechalN neratinib, *Pembro* pembrolizumab, *AI* aromatase inhibitor, *Abema* abemaciclib, *FuI* fulvestrant. \star These treatment options do not have market authorization in the EU

lenge with trastuzumab, pertuzumab and chemotherapy in the first-line setting does not seem reasonable. Therefore, the second line standard of T-DXd may be recommended as the first line therapy choice in this specific setting [14]. See Fig. 2 for therapeutic recommendation details. Consequently, all other therapeutic options described in scenario 1 above move up by one therapy line.



Scenario 3: Progression under adjuvant therapy with T-DM1 or therapy-free interval < 6 months

Fig. 3 Treatment scenario 3. *T* trastuzumab, *P* pertuzumab, *T-DXd* trastuzumab deruxtecan, *T-DM1* trastuzumab emtansine, *Cap* capecitabine, *Tuc* tucatinib, *DPD* dihydropy-rimidine dehydrogenase, *Chemo* chemotherapy, *L* lapatinib,

A rechallenge with trastuzumab plus pertuzumab in combination with chemotherapy in the 2nd, 3rd or 4th line may be considered. This seems particularly relevant for patients who have not yet received (neo)adjuvant pertuzumab and who have not favorably responded to neoadjuvant treatment.

The same considerations as in Scenario 1 apply to subsequent therapy lines.

Scenario 3

A special situation arises in case of systemic relapse during postneoadjuvant therapy with T-DM1 or relapse within a treatment-free interval of 6 months or less and without intracranial metastases (Fig. 3).

If these patients have already received trastuzumab and pertuzumab in the neoadjuvant setting, the benefit of a rechallenge with trastuzumab, pertuzumab and chemotherapy is believed to be minimal. This may be assessed differently if a patient with initially large disease burden had not achieved pathological complete remission despite having a good treatment response. In general, in this setting, T-DXd may also be recommended as first-line therapy. Subsequently, all other therapy options described in Scenario 1 (Fig. 1) above move up by one therapy line.

After second-line therapy (or third-line therapy if a rechallenge with trastuzumab plus pertuzumab plus chemotherapy was deemed appropriate), all therapeutic options described in the primary scenario subsequently move up by one treatment line.

N neratinib, *Pembro* pembrolizumab, *AI* aromatase inhibitor, *Abema* abemaciclib, *FuI* fulvestrant. *These treatment options do not have market authorization in the EU

Scenario 4

Patients with HR+, HER2-positive mBC, without active intracranial metastases, with or without contraindications against chemotherapy represent a specific subgroup. In this case, the currently valid therapeutic algorithm may be adapted accordingly as depicted in Fig. 4.

In unfit or old patients with HR-positive mBC, a combination of trastuzumab, pertuzumab and an aromatase inhibitor (AI) may be used as first-line therapy based on PERTAIN study data [27]. Further therapeutic lines for frail patients may represent single-agent endocrine therapy with a HER2-targeted treatment or best supportive care only. Of note, HER2-targeted therapy should only be omitted in cases of contraindications.

When the treatment regimen for older or frail HER2-positive mBC patients is expanded by adding metronomic oral cyclophosphamide to trastuzumab plus pertuzumab the median PFS was increased by 7 months compared with dual blockade therapy alone [28].

For less frail patients, data from the phase II VELVET trial have shown that first-line combination therapy with trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and vinorelbine is a safe alternative to standard (taxane-based) chemotherapy [29, 30]. Due to its acceptable toxicity profile T-DM1 may be considered in this patient population for first line or subsequent treatment lines [31].

In fitter patients with high pressure for systemic treatment, a treatment algorithm like the one used for



Scenario 4: Hormone receptor-<u>positive</u>, HER2-positive mBC, reduced performance status ± contraindications against chemotherapy

Fig. 4 Treatment scenario 4. *T* trastuzumab, *P* pertuzumab, *AI* aromatase inhibitor, *Vino* vinorelbine, *Endo* endoxan, *T-DXd* trastuzumab deruxtecan, *T-DM1* trastuzumab emtansine, *Cap* capecitabine, *Tuc* tucatinib, *DPD* dihydropyrimidine

dehydrogenase, *Chemo* chemotherapy, *L* lapatinib, *N* neratinib, *Pembro* pembrolizumab, *Abema* abemaciclib, *Ful* fulvestrant. \star These treatment options do not have market authorization in the EU

Scenario 5: Hormone receptor-<u>negative</u>, HER2-positive mBC, reduced performance status ± contraindications against chemotherapy



Fig. 5 Treatment scenario 5. *T* trastuzumab, *P* pertuzumab, *AI* aromatase inhibitor, *Vino* vinorelbine, *T-DXd* trastuzumab deruxtecan, *T-DM1* trastuzumab emtansine, *Cap* capecitabine, *Tuc* tucatinib, *DPD* dihydropy-

younger patients may be followed. This may include T-DXd in the first line for patients with progression under (or shortly after) postneoadjuvant T-DM1 therapy. Severe pulmonary comorbidities or related contraindications need to be considered prior to treatment initiation. In this population, the triple combination of tucatinib, trastuzumab and capecitabine rimidine dehydrogenase, *Chemo* chemotherapy, *L* lapatinib, *N* neratinib, *Pembro* pembrolizumab, *Abema* abemaciclib, *Ful* fulvestrant. \star These treatment options do not have market authorization in the EU

may be considered a treatment option in subsequent lines. DPD testing should be performed prior to treatment with capecitabine.

Additional options may include trastuzumab plus lapatinib with or without an AI [31–35], capecitabine plus neratinib [36], pembrolizumab plus trastuzumab [37], an AI plus either trastuzumab or lapatinib, and



Scenario 6: HER2-positive, extracerebral progression and active brain metastases

Fig. 6 Treatment scenario 6. *Mets* metastases, *T* trastuzumab, *AI* aromatase inhibitor, *Vino* vinorelbine, *T-DXd* trastuzumab deruxtecan, *Cap* capecitabine, *Tuc* tucatinib, *DPD* dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase,

abemaciclib plus fulvestrant and trastuzumab [38]; however, data supporting these treatment options in this population are very limited.

Scenario 5

For older patients with HR-negative, HER2-positive mBC, without active intracranial metastases, depending on frailty and therapeutic pressure, trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and vinorelbine or oral cyclophosphamide, T-DM1 or, depending on previous therapy, T-DXd may be considered as a first line treatment option in selected cases and based on the clinician's opinion (Fig. 5).

For very frail patients, trastuzumab in addition to best supportive care remains the best treatment option from the second line, while patients with a higher fitness level may benefit from treatments with T-DM1, the triple combination of tucatinib, trastuzumab and capecitabine or T-DXd.

In older or frail HER2-positive mBC patients metronomic oral cyclophosphamide added to trastuzumab plus pertuzumab increase the median PFS by 7 months compared with dual blockade therapy alone [28]. For less frail patients, data from the phase II VELVET trial have demonstrated a trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and vinorelbine combination therapy is a safe alternative to taxane-based chemotherapy [29, 30].

Alternatively, trastuzumab plus lapatinib [31–35], capecitabine plus neratinib [36], and pembrolizumab plus trastuzumab [37], may be explored as treatment options beyond the third line.

Chemo chemotherapy, *L* lapatinib, *N* neratinib, *Pembro* pembrolizumab, *Abema* abemaciclib, *Ful* fulvestrant. *These treatment options do not have market authorization in the EU

Scenario 6

For patients with extracerebral progressive HER2-positive mBC with active BM and without an immediate indication for local therapy, the triple combination of tucatinib, trastuzumab and capecitabine can be considered the treatment of choice based on data from HER2CLIMB study [5, 13, 18, 19, 39]. Subsequently, T-DXd may be given in the second line [2, 3].

In patients with a high extracranial tumor load and/or symptomatic or rapidly progressing extracranial disease, T-DXd can be used as a first line treatment. In this case, the triple combination of tucatinib, trastuzumab and capecitabine will move to the subsequent treatment line.

Subsequently, all other therapy options described in scenario 1 can be used, depending on previous treatments and the patient's condition. (Fig. 6).

Scenario 7

For patients with extracranial stable HER2-positive mBC with active BM in the absence of local treatment options other than WBRT, the treatment recommendation of Scenario 6 should be followed.

When local therapy other than WBRT is possible, the current systemic therapeutic regimen should be continued (Fig. 7).

Scenario 8

For patients with HER2-positive mBC and exclusive intracranial metastases, and if local therapy is possi-

consensus report

Fig. 7 Treatment

nario 7

sce

Scenario 7: HER2-positive, extracerebral stable mBC and active brain metastases (cerebral progression or newly diagnosed BMs)



Fig. 8 Treatment sce nario 8





ble, the risks and benefits for observation versus systemic therapy need to be weighed against each other and adjusted to the needs of the individual patient.

If local therapy is not indicated or possible, systemic therapy as described in scenario 6 should be followed to avoid or prolong the time to initiate WBRT. (Fig. 8).

Discussion and outlook

Recently published data on novel agents like T-DXd or tucatinib and the increasingly broad use of trastuzumab, pertuzumab, and T-DM1 [9] in the adjuvant and neoadjuvant setting have led to increasing diversity in clinical settings, making the establishment of appropriate treatment algorithms more complex.

Due to the rapid progress in clinical research, a growing number of substances targeting HER2positive disease are now available. Still, data partly remain insufficient to fully describe all scenarios and treatment lines needed in daily, routine clinical practice. In particular, the population of patients with HER2-positive mBC with BM warrant further research and require larger randomized trials to enable breast cancer specialists to make evidence-based decisions. In addition, the care for these patients requires a multidisciplinary approach with the inclusion of radiologists, radiation oncologists, neurosurgeons, medical oncologists, and gynecologists.

As numerous studies are currently ongoing and new evidence is eagerly anticipated for substances such as T-DXd, tucatinib and SDY985, repeated further updates of treatment algorithms will be required.

Acknowledgements This breast cancer treatment consensus paper was prepared with the support of Daiichi-Sankyo, the manufacturer of trastuzumab deruxtecan. The content of this manuscriptwasnotinfluenced or reviewed by Daiichi-Sankyo. The authors thank Martin Popovic and CATALYST SCIENTIFIC GmbH for their support in the preparation of the manuscript.

Funding Open access funding provided by Medical University of Graz.

Conflict of interest G. Rinnerthaler: Consulting or advisory role: Piere Fabre, Celgene, Roche, Novartis, Pfizer, Eli Lilly, Daiichi-Sankyo, MSD. Speakers' bureau: Amgen, AstraZeneca, Novartis, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Roche, Pfizer, Eli Lilly. Research funding: Roche. Travel, accommodations, expenses: Roche, Novartis, Amgen, Pfizer, Bristol-Myers Squibb. C. Singer: Advisory role: AstraZeneca, Daiichi-Sankyo, Eli-Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche. Lecture honoraria: AstraZeneca, Roche, Amgen, Eli-Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer. Research support: Daiichi, Amgen, Novartis, Roche. E. Petru: Honoraria for lectures: AGEA, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Angelini, Eisai, Eli Lilly, GlaxoSmithKline, MSD, Novartis, Pharma Mar, Pfizer, Roche, GILEAD, Seagen. Honoraria for advisory boards: Amgen, AstraZeneca, Angelini, Eisai, Eli Lilly, GlaxoSmithKline, MSD, Novartis, Pharma Mar, Pfizer, Roche, Clovis, Daiichi-Sankyo, Seagen, GILEAD. Honoraria (Institution): Amgen, AstraZeneca, Angelini, Eli Lilly, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Pharma Mar, Pfizer, Roche, TESARO. Travel expenses: AGEA, Amgen, AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Pharma Mar, Pfizer, Roche. D. Egle: Honoraria and Travel Grants: AstraZeneca, Amgen, Daiichi-Sankyo, Eli Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Seagen. A. Petzer: Honoraria, Advisory Role and/or Travel Support: Roche, Novartis, AstraZeneca, BMS-Celgene, Daiichi-Sankyo, Eisai, Eli-Lilly, Pfizer, Amgen, Seagen, Sanofi. U. Pluschnig: Advisory role: Roche, Eli-Lilly, Daiichi-Sankyo, AstraZeneca. Speaking: Roche. S.P. Gampenrieder: Speakers' honoraria: Novartis, Roche, BMS, AstraZeneca, MSD, Seagen, Lilly. Advisory boards: Roche, Novartis, Pfizer, Lilly, AstraZeneca, MSD, BMS, Astellas, Daiichi-Sankyo, Sanofi, Seagen. Travel grants: Roche, Amgen, Shire, Novartis, Pfizer, Bayer, Celgene, Daiichi-Sankyo. Research funding: Roche. G. Pfeiler: Honoraria and grants: Daiichi-Sankyo, Amgen, Pfizer, AstraZeneca, MSD, Novartis, Roche, UCB, Pierre Fabre, Seagen, Eli-Lilly, Gilead. M. Gnant: Personal fees/travel support: Amgen, Daiichi-Sankyo, AstraZeneca, EliLilly, LifeBrain, Nanostring, Novartis, Pierre Fabre, MSD. Other: an immediate family member is employed by Sandoz. B. Grünberger: Speaker's honoraria: MSD, BMS, Eli Lilly, Servier, Merck, Roche, Seagen, Gilead, AstraZeneca, Sanofi. Advisory boards: MSD, BMS, Merck, Roche, EliLilly, Seagen. Travel grants: MSD, BMS, Roche, Merck, Servier. P. Krippl: Honoraria: MSD, BMS, Eli Lilly, Servier, Merck, Roche, Gilead, AstraZeneca, Sanofi, Novartis, Pfizer, Celgene, Amgen, Pierre Fabre, Shire, Daiichi-Sankyo, Astellas. K. Strasser-Weippl: Travel support: Roche, Pfizer, MSD. Advisory Boards: Roche, Pfizer, Novartis, Lilly, Daiichi, Myriad, Seagen, MSD, Astra Zeneca. C. Suppan: Consulting or advisory role: Novartis, Pfizer, Eli Lilly, Daiichi-Sankyo. Speakers' bureau: AstraZeneca, Novartis, Roche, Pfizer, Eli Lilly, Gilead. Travel, accommodations, expenses: Roche, Novartis, Pfizer. C. Brunner: Honoraria: AstraZeneca, Daiichi-Sankyo, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Seagen. R. Pusch: Honoraria: Novartis, Roche, Pfizer, Daiichi-Sankyo. Advisory Boards: Roche, Myriad, Amgen, Astra Zeneca, Lilly, Pfizer, Novartis. Travel support: Roche, Pfizer. M. Sandholzer: Advisory boards: Myriad, Novartis, AstraZeneca, Amgen, Roche, Pfizer, Pierre Fabre, Eli Lilly. Honoraria and travel grants: Novartis, Amgen, Servier, Roche, Eli Lilly, Pfizer, Merck. M. Balic: Research funding: AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, Samsung, Seagen. Advisory role: Amgen, AstraZeneca, Celgene, Daiichi-Sankyo, Eli Lilly, Gilead, MSD, Novartis, Pierre Fabre, Pfizer, Roche, Samsung, Seagen. Speaker's bureau: Amgen, AstraZeneca, Celgene, Daiichi-Sankyo, Eli Lilly, Gilead, Novartis, Pierre Fabre, Pfizer, Roche, Seagen. R. Bartsch: Advisory Role: AstraZeneca, Daiichi, Eisai, Eli-Lilly, Gilead, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Pierre Fabre, Puma, Roche, Seagen. Lecture honoraria: AstraZeneca, Daiichi, Eli-Lilly, Gilead, Novartis, Pfizer, Pierre Fabre, Roche, Seagen. Research Support: Daiichi, MSD, Novartis, Roche.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and

your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. Bartsch R, Gampenrieder SP, Rinnerthaler G, et al. Updated Austrian treatment algorithm in HER2+ metastatic breast cancer. Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2022;134(1/2):63–72.
- 2. Cortés J, Kim S, Chung W, et al. LBA1 Trastuzumab deruxtecan (T-DXd) vs trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) in patients (pts) with HER2+ metastatic breast cancer (mBC): results of the randomized phase III DESTINY-Breast03 study. Ann Oncol. 2021;32:S1287–S8.
- 3. Hurvitz S, Kim S-B, Chung W-P, et al. Abstract GS3-01: trastuzumab deruxtecan (T-DXd; DS-8201a) vs. trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) in patients (pts) with HER2+ metastatic breast cancer (mBC): subgroup analyses from the randomized phase 3 study DESTINY-Breast03. Cancer Res. 2022;82(4_Supplement):GS3-01.
- 4. Batista MV, Cortez P, Ruiz M, et al. Abstract PD4-06: trastuzumab deruxtecan in patients with HER2 [+] or HER2low-expressing advanced breast cancer and central nervous system involvement: Preliminary results from the DEBBRAH phase 2 study. Cancer Res. 2022;82(4_Supplement):PD4-06.
- 5. Curigliano G, Mueller V, Borges V, et al. Tucatinib vs placebo added to trastuzumab and capecitabine for patients with pretreated HER2+ metastatic breast cancer with and without brain metastases (HER2CLIMB): final overall survival analysis. Ann Oncol. 2021; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. annonc.2021.12.005.
- 6. Manich CS, O'Shaughnessy J, Aftimos P, et al. LBA15 Primary outcome of the phase III SYD985. 002/TULIP trial comparing [vic-] trastuzumab duocarmazine to physician's choice treatment in patients with pre-treated HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer. Ann Oncol. 2021;32:S1288.
- 7. Petit T, Hajjaji N, Antoine E-C, et al. Abstract P2-13-26: Trastuzumab deruxtecan in previously treated HER2-positive metastatic or unresectable breast cancer (BC): First real-life data from the cohort temporary authorization for use (cATU) program in France. Cancer Res. 2022;82(4_Supplement):P2-13-26.
- 8. Bartsch R, Berghoff A, Furtner J, et al. 280P Intracranial activity of trastuzumab-deruxtecan (T-DXd) in HER2-positive breast cancer patients with active brain metastases: results from the first stage of the phase II TUXEDO-1 trial. Ann Oncol. 2021;32:S486.
- 9. DS-8201a versus T-DM1 for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive, unresectable and/or metastatic breast cancer previously treated with trastuzumab and taxane DESTINY-breast03. https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT03529110.
- 10. Europäische Arzneimittelagentur. Trastuzumab-Deruxtecan. 2021.
- 11. Mueller V, Wardley A, Paplomata E, et al. Preservation of quality of life in patients with human epidermal growth factorreceptor2—positive metastatic breast cancer treated with tucatinib or placebo when added to trastuzumab and capecitabine (HER2CLIMB trial). Eur J Cancer. 2021;153:223–33.
- 12. A study of tucatinib or placebo with trastuzumab and pertuzumab for metastatic HER2+ breast cancer. https:// ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT05132582.

- Hurvitz S, Harbeck N, Vahdat L, et al. 126TiP HER2CLIMB-02: Tucatinib or placebo with T-DM1 for unresectable locally-advanced or metastatic HER2+ breast cancer. Ann Oncol. 2021;32:S75.
- 14. Gennari A, André F, Barrios C, et al. ESMO Clinical Practice Guideline for the diagnosis, staging and treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer. Ann Oncol. 2021;32(12):1475–95.
- 15. Cardoso F, Paluch-Shimon S, Senkus E, et al. 5th ESO-ESMO international consensus guidelines for advanced breast cancer (ABC 5). Ann Oncol. 2020;31(12):1623–49.
- 16. Gradishar WJ, Anderson BO, Abraham J, et al. Breast cancer, version 3.2020, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2020;18(4):452–78.
- 17. Swain SM, Miles D, Kim S-B, et al. End-of-study analysis from the phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo (Pla)-controlled CLEOPATRA study of first-line (1L) pertuzumab (P), trastuzumab (H), and docetaxel (D) in patients (pts) with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (MBC). J Clin Oncol. 2019;37(15):1020.
- Lin NU, Borges V, Anders C, et al. Intracranial efficacy and survival with tucatinib plus trastuzumab and capecitabine for previously treated HER2-positive breast cancer with brain metastases in the HER2CLIMB trial. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38(23):2610.
- 19. Murthy RK, Loi S, Okines A, et al. Tucatinib, trastuzumab, and capecitabine for HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(7):597–609.
- 20. European Medicines Agency. EMA recommendations on DPD testing prior to treatment with fluorouracil, capecitabine, tegafur and flucytosine [press release. 2020.
- 21. Una Cidon E, Alonso P, Hickish T. Sensitivity and specificity of DPD deficiency screening test to predict capecitabine serious toxicities. Ann Oncol. 2017;28:iii119–iii20.
- 22. Arbeitsgemeinschaft Gynäkologische Onkologie (AGO). Diagnostik und Therapie früher und fortgeschrittener Mammakarzinome. 2020. https://www.ago-online.de/.
- 23. Extra JM, Antoine EC, Vincent-Salomon A, et al. Efficacy of trastuzumab in routine clinical practice and after progression formetastatic breast cancerpatients: the observational Hermine study. Oncologist. 2010;15(8):799–809.
- 24. Pinto AC, Ades F, de Azambuja E, Piccart-Gebhart M. Trastuzumab for patients with HER2 positive breast cancer: delivery, duration and combination therapies. Breast. 2013;22(Suppl2):S152–S5.
- 25. von Minckwitz G, du Bois A, Schmidt M, et al. Trastuzumab beyond progression in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive advanced breast cancer: a german breast group 26/breast international group 03–05 study. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(12):1999–2006.
- 26. von Minckwitz G, Schwedler K, Schmidt M, et al. Trastuzumab beyond progression: overall survival analysis of the GBG 26/BIG 3-05 phase III study in HER2-positive breast cancer. Eur J Cancer. 2011;47(15):2273–81.
- 27. Rimawi M, Ferrero JM, de la Haba-Rodriguez J, et al. Firstline trastuzumab plus an aromatase inhibitor, with or without pertuzumab, in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive and hormone receptor-positive metastatic or locally advanced breast cancer (PERTAIN): a randomized, open-label phase II trial. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(28):2826–35.
- 28. Wildiers H, Tryfonidis K, Dal Lago L, et al. Pertuzumab and trastuzumab with or without metronomic chemotherapy for older patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast

cancer (EORTC 75111–10114): an open-label, randomised, phase 2 trial from the elderly task force/breast cancer group. Lancet Oncol. 2018;19(3):323–36.

- 29. Andersson M, López-Vega JM, Petit T, et al. Efficacy and safety of pertuzumab and trastuzumab administered in a single infusion bag, followed by vinorelbine: VELVET cohort2 final results. Oncologist. 2017;22(10):1160–8.
- 30. Perez EA, López-Vega JM, Petit T, et al. Safety and efficacy of vinorelbine in combination with pertuzumab and trastuzumab for first-line treatment of patients with HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer: VELVET cohort 1 final results. Breast Cancer Res. 2016;18(1):126.
- 31. Diéras V, Miles D, Verma S, et al. Trastuzumab emtansine versus capecitabine plus lapatinib in patients with previously treated HER2-positive advanced breast cancer (EMILIA): a descriptive analysis of final overall survival results from a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2017;18(6):732–42.
- 32. Blackwell KL, Burstein HJ, Storniolo AM, et al. Randomized study of lapatinib alone or in combination with trastuzumab in women with ErbB2-positive, trastuzumabrefractory metastatic breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(7):1124–30.
- 33. Geyer CE, Forster J, Lindquist D, et al. Lapatinib plus capecitabine for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer. NEnglJMed. 2006;355(26):2733–43.
- 34. Johnston S, Pippen J Jr., Pivot X, et al. Lapatinib combined with letrozole versus letrozole and placebo as first-line therapy for postmenopausal hormone receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer. JClin Oncol. 2009;27(33):5538–46.
- 35. Johnston SRD, Hegg R, Im SA, et al. Phase III, randomized study of dual human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) blockade with lapatinib plus trastuzumab in combination with an aromatase inhibitor in postmenopausal women with HER2-positive, hormone receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer: updated results of ALTERNATIVE. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39(1):79–89.
- 36. Saura C, Oliveira M, Feng YH, et al. Neratinib plus capecitabine versus lapatinib plus capecitabine in HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer previously treated with \rangle /= 2 HER2-directed regimens: phase III NALA trial. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38(27):3138–49.
- 37. Loi S, Giobbie-Hurder A, Gombos A, et al. Pembrolizumab plus trastuzumab in trastuzumab-resistant, advanced, HER2-positive breast cancer (PANACEA): a singlearm, multicentre, phase 1b-2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2019;20(3):371–82.
- 38. Tolaney SM, Wardley AM, Zambelli S, et al. Abemaciclib plus trastuzumab with or without fulvestrant versus trastuzumab plus standard-of-care chemotherapy in women with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-positive advanced breast cancer (monarcHER): a randomised, open-label, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2020;21(6):763–75.
- 39. Murthy R, Borges VF, Conlin A, et al. Tucatinib with capecitabine and trastuzumab in advanced HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer with and without brain metastases: a non-randomised, open-label, phase 1b study. Lancet Oncol. 2018;19(7):880–8.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.