

Neoadjuvant treatment and premastectomy radiotherapy: oncological and surgical outcomes

Marie Bannier¹[^], Monique Cohen¹[^], Agnès Tallet²[^], Alexandre de Nonneville³[^], Gilles Houvenaeghel⁴[^]

¹Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Department of Surgical Oncology, CRCM, Marseille, France; ²Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Department of Radiotherapy, CRCM, Marseille, France; ³Aix-Marseille Univ, CNRS, INSERM, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Department of Medical Oncology, CRCM, Marseille, France; ⁴Aix-Marseille Univ, CNRS, INSERM, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Department of Surgical Oncology, CRCM, Marseille, France Correspondence to: Marie Bannier, MD, Institut Paoli Calmettes, Department of Surgical Oncology, CRCM, Départment de chirurgie oncologique 2,

232 Boulevard Sainte Marguerite, 13009 Marseille, France. Email: bannierm@ipc.unicancer.fr.

Comment on: Schaverien MV, Singh P, Smith BD, et al. Premastectomy Radiotherapy and Immediate Breast Reconstruction: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open 2024;7:e245217.

Keywords: Premastectomy radiotherapy; pathologic complete response (pCR); neoadjuvant treatment

Submitted Nov 25, 2024. Accepted for publication Mar 13, 2025. Published online Mar 26, 2025. doi: 10.21037/gs-2024-514

View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gs-2024-514

This randomized trial on premastectomy radiotherapy comparing conventional versus hypofractionated radiotherapy to the chest wall and lymph node area in case of immediate breast reconstruction, represents a significant advancement (1). It allows for immediate autologous reconstruction without compromising flap integrity due to radiotherapy.

This study complements the findings of the PRADA trial (2) by including more patients receiving lymph node irradiation, thus paving the way for hypofractionated radiotherapy as a less demanding yet equally safe alternative to conventional methods.

However, certain aspects warrant further discussion.

Delay and complications

The incidence of mastectomy skin flap necrosis (MSFN) remains high (17%) compared to the multicenter, prospective M-RIC trial (3), which reported a 6% MSFN rate with a 6–8-week delay from the end of radiotherapy to surgery. This delay, adopted in several retrospective studies (4-6) helps maintain oncological safety by reducing radio-induced inflammation and dermatitis. Bollet *et al.* treated

locally advanced tumors by starting concurrent chemoradiotherapy and doing surgery at least 6 weeks after the last session of radiotherapy. The results showed acceptable pathologic complete response (pCR), overall and diseasefree survival (7). Therefore, this delay seems oncologically acceptable and safe, relative to skin flap necrosis, considering none of the aforementioned studies used free flaps for immediate breast reconstruction. The 3±1 weeks delay used by both Schaverien et al. and Thiruchelvam et al. (1,2) was based on the results of a retrospective study (8) investigating free flaps-based head-and-neck reconstructions and demonstrating that more than 6 weeks, elapsed between radiotherapy and surgery, increased the complication rate. However, in Tall et al.'s study (8), the radiation dose was up to 64 Gy, and the complications concerned free flaps, whereas in the prospective multicenter study from Zinzindohoué et al., the delay of 6 to 8 weeks showed a low rate of skin necrosis, and safe oncologic outcomes (3,6).

The PRADA trial (2) reported a 12% MSFN rate with a 2–6-week delay, though only 33% of patients received internal mammary irradiation. Even targeted irradiation can affect the skin envelope's peripheral areas, and this rate of MSFN could be underestimated.

[^] ORCID: Marie Bannier, 0000-0003-0600-8698; Monique Cohen, 0000-0003-1316-113X; Agnès Tallet, 0000-0002-7319-3670; Alexandre de Nonneville, 0000-0001-6710-8284; Gilles Houvenaeghel, 0000-0002-4384-6255.

Study Delav **MSFN** RNI **IBR** Zinzindohoué et al. (3) >6 weeks 6% Not known Pedicled flap Paillocher et al. (5) >6 weeks 5.3% Not known Pedicled flap Monrigal et al. (4) >6 weeks 7.6% Not known Pedicled flap PRADA trial (2) 36% Free flap <6 weeks 12% Schaverien et al. (1) <6 weeks 17% Yes Free flap

Table 1 MSFN, delay, and RNI including internal mammary node irradiation, and type of immediate breast reconstruction

MSFN, mastectomy skin flap necrosis; RNI, regional nodal irradiation including internal mammary area; IBR, immediate breast reconstruction.

Longer delays (≥6 weeks) reduce MSFN but may negatively impact free flap outcomes, while shorter delays (<6 weeks) increase MSFN but reduce free flap complications. However, in the Tall *et al.*'s study, the radiotherapy dose was greater than in breast cancer radiotherapy. Schaverien *et al.*'s study (1) confirms the feasibility and safety of immediate free flap reconstruction, even after internal lymph node irradiation, though MSFN rates remain slightly higher than in other studies (*Table 1*).

Skin-sparing or nipple-sparing mastectomies show a higher necrosis risk with short delays (3). Notably, none of the referenced studies assessed skin flap thickness—an essential, yet challenging to assess objectively, risk factor.

Oncologic outcomes

In a surgery post-radiotherapy approach, the pathologic response reflects both chemotherapy and radiotherapy effects, complicating adjuvant treatment decisions, which are known to improve overall and recurrence-free survival. For example, the KATHERINE trial showed that switching anti-HER2 therapy to TDM-1 in HER2-positive breast cancer patients, who did not achieve pCR after neoadjuvant chemotherapy, led to a 13.7% improvement in recurrencefree survival and a 4.7% increase in overall survival at 7 years (9). Similarly, the CREATE-X trial found that six months of adjuvant capecitabine for patients with residual disease resulted in a 9% increase in 5-year disease-free survival (10). To date, the value of pathologic response to both chemo- and radiotherapy has not been fully investigated, raising the risk that some patients might not benefit from adapted post neoadjuvant systemic treatments, leading to undertreatment. The value of pCR might not have the same meaning after systemic therapy, like chemotherapy, than after a locoregional treatment, like radiotherapy. It might therefore be the biological

characteristics, rather than the size of the tumor, that count when it comes to neoadjuvant treatment, since the pCR rate is not correlated with tumor size (11). Some authors proposed a means to circumvent this issue. In the TOPAz protocol (12), a standardized tumor core biopsy was done, in the breast or lymph nodes, at the end of neoadjuvant treatment to objectivate residual disease. However, the use of standardized biopsy in the tumor bed, instead of surgery, to assess the pathologic response, is promising but remains, to date, not standard practice for patients undergoing neoadjuvant systemic therapy (13).

Moreover, in triple-negative breast cancers, the KEYNOTE-522 study showed that the addition of pembrolizumab to neoadjuvant chemotherapy improved recurrence-free survival and overall survival (14). No patients with KEYNOTE-522 regimen were included in the Schaverien et al. trial since inclusions started in 2018 and ended in 2022 (1). Immunotherapy may trigger immune-related-adverse events among which small vessel vasculitis (15). Immune-related vasculitis is a rare event but may be underestimated if not proactively searched for, and might compromise any microsurgery anastomosis. In the KEYNOTE-522 trial, skin flap ischemia occurred in 3.6% of patients but only 40% had mastectomy and the rate and type of immediate breast reconstruction are unknown. In a retrospective study (16), the post-operative complications, after chemotherapy and pembrolizumab, was up to 28% for infections, 16% for scar disunion, and 12% for skin necrosis. Immune adverse events are sometimes treated with corticosteroid therapy, which is also a risk factor for post-operative complications. In Woodfin's study, 9% of patients still had corticosteroid therapy at the time of surgery (16). Thus, adding radiotherapy after chemotherapy, when combined with pembrolizumab, might increase complications specifically for microsurgery.

As stated above, both HER2-positive and triple-

negative breast cancers benefit from neoadjuvant systemic treatments, the assessment of pCR selecting patients for the type of adjuvant therapy. For luminal breast cancer, pCR rate is lower than triple negative and HER2 tumors. But HER2, score 1 or 2, tumors have increased pCR rate compared to HER2 score 0 tumors (17). Luminal breast cancers may be considered for neoadjuvant treatment in patients not deemed eligible for breast-conserving surgery. Patients without sufficient clinical response, and therefore still candidates for mastectomy may be a population of choice for neoadjuvant radiotherapy followed by mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction. The assessment of pathologic response in this group of patients is not as important as in triple negative or HER2-positive tumors since pCR mostly not influence adjuvant treatments and prognosis. However, new approaches involving neoadjuvant hormone therapy followed by response-tailored adjuvant treatment are currently being evaluated (i.e., the RIBOLARIS trial) (18), and could change practices in case of positive results.

Immediate breast reconstruction, radiotherapy and shared decision making

While radiotherapy impacts immediate breast reconstruction (19), it is not a contraindication. Silicone implants risk capsular contracture, affecting aesthetics and comfort, while autologous flaps may suffer volume loss and fibrosis. Depending on the grade, capsular contracture has consequences on body image and pain.

Two options for immediate breast reconstruction have been reported when radiotherapy is required: immediate delayed breast reconstruction or chemotherapy and radiotherapy prior to mastectomy and autologous immediate reconstruction.

The concept of immediate delayed breast reconstruction, developed in 2004 (20), consists of immediate reconstruction with a saline-filled tissue expander to serve as an adjustable scaffold. The expander is expanded, then deflated before radiotherapy to facilitate radiotherapy, and is inflated 2 weeks after completion of radiotherapy. After three months, the expander is replaced by autologous reconstruction. If the capsular contracture is well accepted by the patient, the expander can also be replaced by a silicone implant depending on the patient's choice and preferences.

When chemotherapy and radiotherapy are delivered before surgery, the immediate breast reconstruction is performed with autologous flap, rather than breast implant. Giacalone *et al.* found more complications with breast implant rather than flap (21). However, breast reconstruction with an autologous flap is not reversible, contrary to breast implants. Therefore, when inverted radiotherapy is chosen, the patient will not be able anymore to change her mind, and to decide whether she prefers an irradiated breast implant or autologous reconstruction. Shared decision making is crucial, especially for breast reconstruction, aiming patient's quality of life, and satisfaction (22).

Usually, radiotherapy follows the mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction. Depending on the contralateral breast morphology, the expectations, the preferences, the choice and the context, some patients could rather keep an irradiated breast implant than a flap. After breast implant immediate reconstruction, it is difficult to anticipate which patients will accept and tolerate the capsular contracture. Even if autologous reconstruction provide excellent outcomes after radiotherapy, the patient's choice remain the most important point.

Acknowledgments

None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, Gland Surgery. The article has undergone external peer review.

Peer Review File: Available at https://gs.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/gs-2024-514/prf

Funding: None.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://gs.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/gs-2024-514/coif). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons

Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the noncommercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Schaverien MV, Singh P, Smith BD, et al. Premastectomy Radiotherapy and Immediate Breast Reconstruction: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open 2024;7:e245217.
- Thiruchelvam PTR, Leff DR, Godden AR, et al. Primary radiotherapy and deep inferior epigastric perforator flap reconstruction for patients with breast cancer (PRADA): a multicentre, prospective, non-randomised, feasibility study. Lancet Oncol 2022;23:682-90.
- Zinzindohoué C, Bertrand P, Michel A, et al. A Prospective Study on Skin-Sparing Mastectomy for Immediate Breast Reconstruction with Latissimus Dorsi Flap After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy and Radiotherapy in Invasive Breast Carcinoma. Ann Surg Oncol 2016;23:2350-6.
- 4. Monrigal E, Dauplat J, Gimbergues P, et al. Mastectomy with immediate breast reconstruction after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radiation therapy. A new option for patients with operable invasive breast cancer. Results of a 20 years single institution study. Eur J Surg Oncol 2011;37:864-70.
- Paillocher N, Florczak AS, Richard M, et al. Evaluation of mastectomy with immediate autologous latissimus dorsi breast reconstruction following neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radiation therapy: A single institution study of 111 cases of invasive breast carcinoma. Eur J Surg Oncol 2016;42:949-55.
- Barrou J, Bannier M, Cohen M, et al. Pathological complete response in invasive breast cancer treated by skin sparing mastectomy and immediate reconstruction following neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radiation therapy: Comparison between immunohistochemical subtypes. Breast 2017;32:37-43.
- Bollet MA, Belin L, Reyal F, et al. Preoperative radiochemotherapy in early breast cancer patients: long-term results of a phase II trial. Radiother Oncol 2012;102:82-8.
- 8. Tall J, Björklund TC, Skogh AC, et al. Vascular Complications After Radiotherapy in Head and Neck Free Flap Reconstruction: Clinical Outcome Related to

- Vascular Biology. Ann Plast Surg 2015;75:309-15.
- von Minckwitz G, Huang CS, Mano MS, et al. Trastuzumab Emtansine for Residual Invasive HER2-Positive Breast Cancer. N Engl J Med 2019;380:617-28.
- Masuda N, Lee SJ, Ohtani S, et al. Adjuvant Capecitabine for Breast Cancer after Preoperative Chemotherapy. N Engl J Med 2017;376:2147-59.
- 11. de Nonneville A, Houvenaeghel G, Cohen M, et al. Pathological complete response rate and disease-free survival after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with HER2-low and HER2-0 breast cancers. Eur J Cancer 2022;176:181-8.
- 12. M.D. Anderson Cancer Center. Trial Of PreoperAtive Radiation (TOPAz): A Randomized Trial Comparing Hypofractionated Versus Conventionally Fractionated Preoperative Radiation Followed by Mastectomy With Immediate Autologous Breast Reconstruction With Integrated Nanomechanical Biomarker Evaluation. NCT05774678 (Enrolling).
- 13. Tasoulis MK, Lee HB, Yang W, et al. Accuracy of Post-Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Image-Guided Breast Biopsy to Predict Residual Cancer. JAMA Surg 2020;155:e204103.
- Schmid P, Cortes J, Dent R, et al. Event-free Survival with Pembrolizumab in Early Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. N Engl J Med 2022;386:556-67.
- 15. Brahmer JR, Abu-Sbeih H, Ascierto PA, et al. Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer (SITC) clinical practice guideline on immune checkpoint inhibitor-related adverse events. J Immunother Cancer 2021;9:e002435.
- Woodfin AA, Yam C, Teshome M, et al. Axillary Nodal Metastases Conversion and Perioperative Complications with Neoadjuvant Pembrolizumab Therapy in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2024;31:974-80.
- 17. Denkert C, Seither F, Schneeweiss A, et al. Clinical and molecular characteristics of HER2-low-positive breast cancer: pooled analysis of individual patient data from four prospective, neoadjuvant clinical trials. Lancet Oncol 2021;22:1151-61.
- RIBOLARIS Neoadjuvant and Adjuvant Ribociclib and ET for Clinically High-risk ER+ and HER2- Breast Cancer. NCT05296746 (Enrolling).
- Ward J, Ho K, Ike C, et al. Pre-operative chemoradiotherapy followed by mastectomy and breast reconstruction-A systematic review of clinical, oncological, reconstructive and aesthetic outcomes. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2024;96:242-53.
- 20. Kronowitz SJ, Hunt KK, Kuerer HM, et al. Delayedimmediate breast reconstruction. Plast Reconstr Surg

- 2004;113:1617-28.
- 21. Giacalone PL, Rathat G, Daures JP, et al. New concept for immediate breast reconstruction for invasive cancers: feasibility, oncological safety and esthetic outcome of postneoadjuvant therapy immediate breast reconstruction versus delayed breast reconstruction: a prospective pilot

Cite this article as: Bannier M, Cohen M, Tallet A, de Nonneville A, Houvenaeghel G. Neoadjuvant treatment and premastectomy radiotherapy: oncological and surgical outcomes. Gland Surg 2025;14(3):276-280. doi: 10.21037/gs-2024-514

- study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2010;122:439-51.
- 22. Durand MA, Bannier M, Aim MA, et al. Adaptation and Implementation of Pictorial Conversation Aids for Early-Stage Breast Cancer Surgery and Reconstruction: A Quality Improvement Study. Patient Prefer Adherence 2023;17:2463-74.