



Article Leaching of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons from the Coal Tar in Sewage Wastewater, Acidic and Alkaline Mine Drainage

Jean Bedel Batchamen Mougnol^{1,*}, Frans Waanders¹, Elvis Fosso-Kankeu² and Ali Rashed Al Alili³

- ¹ Water Pollution Monitoring and Remediation Initiatives Research Group, Centre of Excellence in Carbon-Based Fuels, North-West University, Potchefstroom 2520, South Africa; frans.waanders@nwu.ac.za
- ² Department of Mining Engineering, Florida Science Campus, College of Science Engineering and Technology, University of South Africa, Pretoria 0002, South Africa; elvisfosso.ef@gmail.com
- ³ DEWA R&D Center, Dubai Electricity and Water Authority (DEWA), Dubai P.O. Box 564, United Arab Emirates; alialalili@gmail.com
- * Correspondence: 37465597@student.g.nwu.ac.za; Tel.: +27-78-123-3917

Abstract: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) have been a problem in the environment for an extended period. They are mostly derived from petroleum, coal tar and oil spills that travel and are immobilized in wastewater/water sources. Their presence in the environment causes a hazard to humans due to their toxicity and carcinogenic properties. In the study, coal tar was analyzed using Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (GC–MS) and a concentration of 787.97 mg/L of naphthalene, followed by 632.15 mg/L of phenanthrene were found to be in the highest concentrations in the various water sources such as sewage, alkaline and acid mine drainage. A design column was used to investigate the leaching process and assessments were conducted on 300 mL of the various water sources mentioned, with 5 g of coal tar added and with monitoring for 4 weeks. The influence of the physiochemical properties of the receiving water sources, such as sewage, and acid and alkaline mine drainage, on the release of PAHs from the coal tar was assessed. The acidic media was proven to have the highest release of PAHs, with a total concentration of 7.1 mg/L of released PAHs, followed by 1.2 mg/L for the sewage, and lastly, 0.32 mg/L for the alkaline mine drainage at room temperature.

Keywords: PAH pollutants; acid mine drainage; alkaline mine drainage; sewage wastewater

1. Introduction

The world's largest energy consumption is produced by coal, making it the secondlargest energy source [1]. South Africa (SA) has been ranked the fifth producer and consumer of coal and 86% of the energy produced in SA is derived from it [1]. In SA, coal has been one of the reasons behind the country's improved financial and economic growth due to its availability and cost-effectiveness. The rise in energy demand has exposed the use of coal as a sustainable energy source which causes a potential health hazard to humans and the environment due to the release of a by-product known as coal tar [2]. Thousands of coking processes are responsible for the release of coal tar and gas fuel production, which are being derived from coal transformation [3].

Figure 1 represents the usage of coal in SA from the year 1980 to 2015 and it has the potential to release a by-product that consists of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs).

Coal tar is a by-product of coal that is released from various processes of the coal gas and coking process. It is semi-liquid in nature with a density of 1.15 to 1.4 g/cm³ and has a foul smell [4].

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are a group of organic compounds that are life-threatening because of their chemical structures, that consist of benzene rings bonded in a linear and angular position [5]. Though there are hundreds of PAH compounds, only 16 PAHs are commonly identified in various wastewater and water sources [6]. See also Table 1.



Citation: Batchamen Mougnol, J.B.; Waanders, F.; Fosso-Kankeu, E.; Al Alili, A.R. Leaching of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons from the Coal Tar in Sewage Wastewater, Acidic and Alkaline Mine Drainage. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, 19, 4791. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph19084791

Academic Editor: Yung-Tse Hung

Received: 23 February 2022 Accepted: 11 April 2022 Published: 15 April 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).



Coal Consumption

Figure 1. Coal Consumption in SA from 1980 to 2015.

lable	1.	Acrony	ms of	the	PAHs	inves	tigated	in	this stu	ıdy.
-------	----	--------	-------	-----	------	-------	---------	----	----------	------

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)	Acronyms
Naphthalene	NAP
Acenaphthylene	ACY
Acenaphthene	ACE
Fluorene	FL
Phenanthrene	PHE
Anthracene	ANT
Fluoranthene	FLU
Pyrene	PYR
Chrysene	СНҮ
Benzo(a)anthracene	BaA
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	BbF
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Blkf
Benzo(a)pyrene	BaP
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	DahA
Indeno(1,2,3,c-,d-)pyrene	IP
Benzo(g,h,i)pyrene	BghiP

PAHs are released from various industries, from petroleum, coal tar and oil spills that end up settling in the environment and water streams, making them hazardous due to their toxicity level [7]. According to Wlodarczyk-Makula [8], the leaching of the pollutants in the various water sources contain PAHs with different chemical properties and concentration ranges from 46 to 70 g/d. Świetlik et al. [9] investigated the photodegradation of PAHs in distilled water and river water. Before the experiment, solids were removed from the river water using a nylon membrane with an opening size of 0.45 μ m to prevent any reaction that may occur between the PAHs and the water. It was found that the PAHs were more concentrated in river water compared to distilled water. This was attributed to the physiochemistry of the river water, the temperature and the pH level [9].

Based on the major effluents that occur in various industries, PAHs have attracted a lot of attention due to their presence in wastewater and other water sources. Their deposition into the environment has led to major health concerns such as carcinogenic, mutagenic and teratogenic symptoms. The solubility of PAHs is dependent on various factors such as the temperature, pH, ionic strength and water matrix of the dissolved organic carbon [10]. It has been reported by Mojiri, Zhou, Ohashi, Ozaki and Kindaichi [5] that the concentration of pyrene in water in South Africa is about 1,118,000 \times 10⁻⁶ mg/L and 8,310,000 \times 10⁻⁶ mg/L for benzo(b)fluoranthene in sewage wastewater.

This study aims to assess the influence of the physiochemical properties of receiving water sources, such as sewage, and acid and alkaline mine drainage, on the release of PAHs from coal tar. Table 2 shows the molecular weight of the PAHs that are mostly found in water sources and recognized by the World Health Organization (WHO). A few PAHs have been identified to be life-threatening due to their extreme effects on humans, as established by their chemical properties depicted in Table 2.

Table 2. Chemical and structural information of a few common PAHs compounds.Reprinted/adapted with permission from [11]. Copyright 2020, copyright owner's Van-Huy Nguyen.

PAHs	Formula	No. of Rings	Molar Weight (g/mol)	Geometry
NAP	C ₁₀ H ₈	2	128	
ANT	C ₁₄ H ₁₀	3	178	
PHE	C ₁₄ H ₁₀	3	178	
СНҮ	C ₁₈ H ₁₂	4	228	050
СНҮ	C ₁₆ H ₁₀	4	202	
BaP	$C_{20}H_{12}$	5	252	
BghiP	C ₂₂ H ₁₂	6	276	
ACY	C ₁₂ H ₈	3	152	
FL	C ₁₃ H ₁₀	3	166	
FLU	C ₁₆ H ₁₀	4	202	
BaA	C ₁₈ H ₁₂	4	228	

PAHs	Formula	No. of Rings	Molar Weight (g/mol)	Geometry
BbF	$C_{20}H_{12}$	5	252	
Blkf	$C_{20}H_{12}$	5	252	
DahA	$C_{22}H_{14}$	5	278	500
BaP	C ₂₂ H ₁₂	6	276.33	
ACE	C ₁₂ H ₁₀	5	154.21	

Table 2. Cont.

It has been reported by Mojiri, Zhou, Ohashi, Ozaki and Kindaichi [5] that, in an aquatic environment, the PAHs concentrations were 0.03×10^{-6} mg/L to $83,100,000 \times 10^{-6}$ mg/L. Among these PAHs, the lower molecular weight species, such as naphthalene, which consist of two to three rings, are not as cancerous as other PAHs of four to ten ring structures, such as chrysene, pyrene and others [11,12].

Table 3 shows the PAHs content in various water sources. However, drinking water was pointed out to have a higher PAHs presence compared to groundwater.

Table 3. The PAHs content in various water sources. Reprinted/adapted with permission from [11]. Copyright 2020, copyright owner's Van-Huy Nguyen.

PAHs (×10 ⁻⁶) mg/L	ACE	A	CY	ANT	BaA	BaP	BbF	Blkf	BghiP	СНҮ	DahA	FL	FLU	IP	NAP	PHE	PYR
Drinking Water	3.8 to 478	1.8 to	1210	1.4 to 71	2.29 to 10	1.3 to 8	2.1 to 24	4.6 to 24	2.0 to 8	1.8 to 27	2.0 to 9	4.0 to 41,000	6.5 to 1,430,000	1.6 to 3	4.6 to 14,000	13.1 to 139,000	4.2 to 92,000
Rivers and Lakes	2.6 to 579,000	2.7 to 5	537,000	1.0 to 256,000	0.6 to 3200	0.5 to 1,239,000	1.2 to 7,800,000	0.8 to 3100	0 to 11,700	1.8 to 4300	4.0 to 11,400	5.6 to 2,480,000	4.2 to 2,498,000	1.0 to 7200.0	52.5 to 6900	13.3 to 126,000	2.9 to 1,138,000
Groundwater	0.4 to 149	0.8 t	to 13	0.1 to 196	0.1 to 6	3.0 to 123	1.9 to 39	5.1 to 30	0.4 to 9	0.1 to 71	0.1 to 4	0.4 to 168	2.0 to 51	3.6 to 12	2.1 to 281	2.0 to 179	0.3 to 42
Wastewater	28.8 to 100	16.6	to 66	42.0 to 295	46	71.6 to 1,447,000	82.0 to 8,310,000	100.0 to 204	92	20.7 to 112	0	20.0 to 234,000	14.0 to 2,340,000	21	40.0 to 47,000	33.0 to 6,495,000	19.1 to 1,186,600
Seawater	2.6 to 4200	4.5 to 4100	0.1 to 3350.0	0.0 to 17,490	0.0 to 17,490	0.2 to 28,490	0.0 to 3	2,050	0.2 to 14,790	0.1 to 42,710	0.0 to 32,340	0.2 to 1520	0.0 to 6610	0.0 to 46,600	75.9 to 7800	0.2 to 1080	0.0 to 987
Sediments	0.6 to 1821	1.7 to 13	2.0 to 658	0.2 to 152	0.0 to 739	<1 to 932	3.8 to 1	7,486	8.9 to 5153	0.9 to 193	1.8 to 999	0< to 52	<1 to 24,857	0.4 to 552	<1 to 69	5.7 to 410	2.8 to 27

During the leaching process, PAHs normally migrate into the soil surface and groundwater where most of the PAHs are adsorbed or biodegraded in the existing minerals or enzymes in the soil. The remaining PAHs then largely channel into the drinking water resulting in it seeming to be more polluted than the groundwater [13,14].

Phase Partition Equilibrium (Raoult's Law) and Dissolution of Kinetics

Raoult's law can be applied for the water samples and the non-miscible organic phase (PAHs), both having an ideal behaviour [15] that best fits the study aim. The ideal behaviour happens to be linear, whereby the more the coal is immersed in the water deposit, will result in more PAHs released in that water. Raoult's law [16] can best describe the influence

on the leaching process of various parameters; however, only pH and contact time were investigated in the study to determine their influence on the leaching process.

The distribution of the pollutant "coal tar", that contains PAHs, into water sources (sewage wastewater, acidic and alkaline mine drainage) is controlled by the molecular diffusion of the coal tar interphase [17]. Since the viscosity of the coal tar is also considered, the global mass transfer is controlled by the slowest rate [18]. This can be attributed to the release of the PAHs in the water source by ageing. The slower the diffusion of the (coal tar) water interface, the higher the concentration of PAHs in the water source [3,14].

2. Materials and Methods

In the present study, the acid mine drainage was collected at Witbank, South Africa, from a river near a large mine; the alkaline mine drainage was collected at a water treatment plant at Middleburg, South Africa; and the sewage wastewater was collected in Cape Town Municipality, South Africa. The coal tar was obtained at a coking oven plant in New Castle KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.

2.1. Research Methodology

During the leaching process, 5 g of coal tar/water sources were inserted in the columns, having dimensions of 300 cm by 8 cm internal diameter (Figure 1). The columns were filled with 300 mL of the lixiviant of each water source for a 4-week period. Samples were collected weekly using a 50 mL syringe with a syringe filter size of 0.45 μ m, to prepare the PAHs for analysis. The system was kept away from light, and it was covered with aluminium foil to prevent light from penetrating. The results of the aliquot were triplicated and analyzed using Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (GC–MS) with a Thermo Scientific (TSQ 8000) (Cape Town, South Africa) Triple Quadrupole MS.

Figure 2 shows the leaching columns for the three water sources. The acid mine drainage column was observed to have a strong visual colour change (yellowish) compared to the alkaline and sewage water source. The colour shows that a strong interaction between the coal tar and the acid mine drainage occurred, which strongly reveals the release of the PAHs.



Figure 2. Leaching process of PAHs in acid mine drainage, alkaline mine drainage and sewage wastewater.

Determination of PAHs from GC-MS

There are various techniques such as HPLC-UV, GC-FID and GC–MS–MS or GC–MS that can be used to analyze PAHs. Since GC–MS has been regarded internationally as the gold standard analytical technique, with the ability to analyze and detect tiny amounts of particles in a substance, as reported by Wise, et al. [19], it was used in the study.

An SPE cartridge (SDBL-100 μ m Styrene-divinylbenzene) was used to determine the PAHs content in the coal tar and their presence in a leachate. Half volumes of 12 mL of methanol and 10 mL of deionised water were used, and the remaining halves were added

with the sample (coal tar) for calibration. Pressure was applied for about 15 min until the solution with the sample was dried completely.

A standard solution was prepared:

Sodium sulphate was added to a 10 mL Pyrex tube and 2 mL of ethyl acetate was eluted and pressure was applied until the ethyl acetate was transferred into the Pyrex tube. The tube was closed, then vortexed, and 150 μ L was measured and then transferred into the GC vial, which was crimped and vortexed.

The prepared standard solution was then used for PAHs analysis using GC-MS.

3. Results and Discussion

In Table 4, the results are shown for the water sources analyzed in the study.

Table 4. Acid mine drainage, alkaline mine drainage and sewage wastewater analysis.

Water Samples	Physical/Aesthetic Parameters	Unit Result	Result		
	Acidity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	672		
	Alkalinity-Total as CaCO ₃	mg/L	<10.0		
Acid mine drainage	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	8.00		
Actu nime urainage	Electrical Conductivity @ 25 °C	Aesthetic ParametersUnit Resultidity as CaCO3mg/Lvity-Total as CaCO3mg/Lssolved Oxygenmg/LConductivity @ 25 °CmS/mpH @ 25 °CpH unitsOrganic Parametersved organic carbonmg/Lidity as CaCO3mg/Lvity-Total as CaCO3mg/Lssolved Oxygenmg/Lconductivity @ 25 °CmS/mpH @ 25 °CpH unitsOrganic Parametersmg/Lved organic carbonmg/Lssolved Oxygenmg/Lconductivity @ 25 °CpH unitsOrganic Parametersmg/Lved organic carbonmg/Lstolty as CaCO3mg/Lstolty as CaCO3mg/Lved organic carbonmg/Lconductivity @ 25 °CmS/mpH @ 25 °CmS/mpH @ 25 °CpH unitsconductivity @ 25 °CmS/mpH @ 25 °CpH unitsved organic carbonmg/Lved organic carbonmg/L	214		
	pH @ 25 °C	pH units	2.77		
	Orga				
	Dissolved organic carbon	mg/L	2.25		
	Acidity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	12.0		
	Alkalinity-Total as CaCO ₃	mg/L	402		
	Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	8.30		
Alkaline mine drainage	Electrical Conductivity @ 25 °C	mS/m	352		
	pH @ 25 °C	pH units	7.95		
	Organic Parameters				
	Dissolved organic carbon	Unit Resultmg/Lmg/Lmg/LmS/mpH unitsanic Parametersmg/L	4.35		
	Acidity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	216		
·	Alkalinity-Total as CaCO ₃	mg/L	438		
	Dissolved Oxygen	Intainity four us cucco3mg/L402Dissolved Oxygenmg/L8.30ctrical Conductivity @ 25 °CmS/m352pH @ 25 °CpH units7.95Organic ParametersDissolved organic carbonmg/L4.35Acidity as CaCO3mg/L216Alkalinity-Total as CaCO3mg/L438Dissolved Oxygenmg/L0.50octrical Conductivity @ 25 °CmS/m154	0.50		
Sewage wastewater	Organic ParametersDissolved organic carbonmg/LAcidity as CaCO3mg/LAlkalinity-Total as CaCO3mg/LDissolved Oxygenmg/LElectrical Conductivity @ 25 °CmS/m	154			
	pH @ 25 °C	Electrical Conductivity @ 25 °C mS/m pH @ 25 °C pH units			
	Orga	rganic Parameters			
	Dissolved organic carbon	mg/L	113		

Figure 2 represents the PAHs content in the coal tar before the leaching process was conducted. It was found that, from the coal mass of 116.3 mg with 2 mL of hexane added as a solvent, when the PAHs were observed using the GC–MS technique, a total of 16 PAHs were detected. Naphthalene, followed by phenanthrene, fluoranthene and acenaphthylene, were found to be in the highest concentrations, compared to the remaining PAHs. The overall PAHs concentration, identified from the coal tar used, was found to be 2916.47 mg/L.

Naphthalene and phenanthrene were the simplest and most water-soluble molecules of the PAH group observed, and this serves as a model for the dissolution of the light hydroxylated aromatic compounds. The highest dissolution occurred in the acid mine drainage sample. The transfer kinetics of pollutants from the coal tar in the water was the highest at the lower molecular weights of PAHs. The experimental results of this study also showed ageing between the coal tar and the various water samples, resulting in the progressive release of PAHs. The deposit of coal tar in the acidic mine drainage should be given more attention, as PAHs were proven to be released most effectively with the acid mine drainage, which prompted the release of PAHs hazardous to the environment and to humans. According to Sharma and Lee [20], naphthalene was found to be the most abundant PAH in the coal tar, which was also proven in the present study, as can be seen in Figure 3.



Figure 3. The concentration of PAHs in the coal tar sample, as found in the present study.

According to Makelane et al. [21], pyrene was found to be most concentrated in sewage wastewater, which was also proven in the present study.

All the results on the leaching process of the PAHs from the various water sources are tabulated in Tables 5–7. A total of 16 PAHs were detected in the three water sources; however, acenaphthene was not detected in any of the three water sources used in the present study, albeit a concentration of 18.46 mg/L was found when the coal tar was analyzed. It was noted that the concentration of the PAHs increased with the ageing process. Ageing (or time) was noted to be a factor to be considered in the physiochemical conditions between the water source and the PAHs. The presence of the PAHs in the water source increases as ageing increases. The lower molecular weight PAHs, with two to three rings, were identified to be highly soluble in the acidic media and slightly soluble in the sewage wastewater, and less soluble in the alkaline media (Table 6). Since PAHs are non-polar and have no charge, the electron pairs on the aromatic rings play a role in the physiochemical reaction of the PAHs and the water forming radicals' reaction that triggered the PAHs to be released. Tables 5–7 represent the experimental results obtained during the leaching process. It should also be noted that all experiments were conducted in triplicate.

Figure 4 represents the PAHs dissolution in various water sources and how effective a role Raoult's law plays in the leaching process. The immersion of coal tar in the various water sources used, with their different physiochemistry, resulted in obtaining different PAHs values, as shown in Figure 4. According to Boulangé, Lorgeoux, Biache, Michel, Michels and Faure [3], there are factors such as temperature, ionic strength, pH and dissolved organic carbon. However, in this study, the pH and the dissolved organic carbon were considered in the leaching. There is a need to understand the driving forces of these factors:

The dissolved organic carbon concentration can be influenced by pH [22]; the effect of pH does not influence the neutral organic compound. Neutral organic compounds contain functional groups such as hydroxyl, ether, ketone, lactone, aldehyde and ester because of the oxygen present in them, which can easily react with water to form hydrogen bonds. The presence of these functional groups increases the solubility in water [3].

This study does agree with Boulangé, Lorgeoux, Biache, Michel, Michels and Faure [3], as it labelled the nature of the dissolved PAHs as being acidic by having them dissolved or favored in an acidic mine drainage at a lower pH.

	PAHs Content	Week 1 (mg/L)	Week 2 (mg/L)	Week 3 (mg/L)	Week 4 (mg/L)	PAHs Concentration (mg/L)				
	NAP	0	0	0.332402	0.254163	0.5877				
	ACY	0.00232	0.006527	0.023753	0.03721	0.0707				
	ACE	0	0	0	0	0				
	FL	0	0	0.005651	0.009247	0.0155				
	PHE	0.0074534	0.585888	0.0095059	0.0173442	0.620				
	ANT	1.548783	0.753182	0.763863	2.669656	5.7355				
Acid Mine	FLU	0.0015533	0.001267	0.00161	0.00473	0.0092				
Drainage	PYR	0.001593	0.001553	0.001507	0.003643	0.0083				
	CHY	0.013611	0.013096	0	0.001075	0.0288				
	BaA	0.0012033	0.0011733	0.0012	0.0018733	0.0054				
	BbF	0.000393	0.000347	0.00037	0.002063	0.0032				
	Blkf	0.0001967	0.0001367	0.0001643	0.0019023	0.0024				
	BaP	0.00331	0.002507	0.000253	0.002853	0.0098				
	IP	0	0	0	0	0				
	DahA	0	0	0	0	0				
	BghiP	0	0	0	0.002315	0.0023				
	PAHs Total									

Table 5. The recovery of PAHs in an acidic mine drainage @ 25 $^\circ C.$

Table 6. The recovery of PAHs in an alkaline mine drainage @ 25 $^\circ C.$

	PAHs Content	Week 1 (mg/L)	Week 2 (mg/L)	Week 3 (mg/L)	Week 4 (mg/L)	PAHs Concentration (mg/L)
	NAP	0	0	0	0.000483	0.0005
	ACY	0.002493	0.00264	0.002393	0.009223	0.0167
	ACE	0	0	0	0	0
	FL	0	0.000506	0.000633	0.004562	0.0057
	PHE	0.0091672	0.0083211	0.00851	0.0233266	0.0493
	ANT	0.019723	0.008446	0.013635	0.052464	0.0943
Alkaline mine	FLU	0.004533	0.004873	0.004317	0.010687	0.0244
drainage	PYR	0.004137	0.00459	0.00377	0.008077	0.0206
	CHY	0.001077	0.00192	0.001702	0.004518	0.0092
	BaA	0.0019267	0.00252	0.0022933	0.0046833	0.0114
	BbF	0.001637	0.00322	0.002577	0.00884	0.0163
	Blkf	0.00163	0.003142	0.002957	0.0090683	0.0168
	BaP	0.001993	0.004723	0.004103	0.013353	0.0242
	IP	0.001769	0	0	0	0.0018
-	DahA	0	0	0	0.002039	0.0020
	BghiP	0.001521	0.003682	0.00409	0.012656	0.0219
		То	tal PAHs			0.3152

	PAHs Content	Week 1 (mg/L)	Week 2 (mg/L)	Week 3 (mg/L)	Week 4 (mg/L)	PAHs Concentration
						(mg/L)
	NAP	0	0.00096	0.02564	0.02579	0.0524
	ACY	0.001167	0.008353	0.014387	0.016147	0.0401
	ACE	0	0	0	0	0
	FL	0	0	0.004661	0.005021	0.0097
	PHE	0.005983	0.012669	0.015708	0.012209	0.0466
	ANT	0.013944	0.688642	0.033595	0.128699	0.8649
Sewage	FLU	0.001	0.00263	0.002653	0.002507	0.0088
Wastewater	PYR	0.001223	0.00338	0.001847	0.00194	0.0084
	CHY	0.001336	0.01732	0	0.000317	0.0190
	BaA	0.001	0.00318	0.001023	0.001303	0.0066
	BbF	0.000343	0.00235	0.00035	0.00064	0.0037
	Blkf	0.0001347	0.002133	0.0001453	0.0004623	0.0029
	BaP	0.00024	0.00511	0.000257	0.0006	0.0063
	IP	0	0	0	0	0
	DahA	0	0	0	0	0
	BghiP	0	0	0	0	0
		Total	PAHs			1.0690



Figure 4. The concentration of total PAHs in the three different water samples studied.

Influence of Ageing of Coal Tar/Various Water Sources Interphase

Figure 5 represents how ageing influenced the release of the different PAHs and the different water sources. The ageing phenomenon of the coal tar/water sources interphase was found to be an important aspect that has a significant consequence for the long-term fate of coal tar in various water sources, with an increase in the release of higher PAHs concentrations. During the leaching process, anthracene was highly dissolved in the acidic mine drainage and sewage wastewater at week 4. The ageing of PAHs in sewage wastewater agreed with Cai, Ding, Zhang, Wang, Wang, Ren and Dong [14], who mentioned that the longer PAHs are in water, the more they reach an unacceptable level over time. Since time is directly proportional to ageing, as time increases, the PAHs concentration in the coal tar availability reduces, resulting in a greater dissolution.



Figure 5. Ageing of PAHs in acidic medium (a), alkaline medium (b), and sewage medium (c).

Since coal is still currently being used as one of the most substantial sources of energy in SA, there is still a prediction of a large release of coal tar that also exhibits PAHs. An intensive consideration should be implemented to avoid coal tar being channeled into various waters. The processes involving the transformation of coal should be conducted far away from water sources, allowing ageing to occur between the by-product (coal tar) and the soil. In doing so, the exposure of PAHs on the surface will be no risk to humans, as it was reported by Patel et al. [23] that the concentrations of 1 ng/L and 11 μ g/L of PAHs in drinking water, as per the WHO regulations, are acceptable.

Since billions of rands have and still are being used on coal for energy consumption and production, millions of rands also play a part in remediating the PAHs from the environment and water.

4. Conclusions

In coal tar-contamination in various water sources, the main mechanism involved in the PAHs release is known to be dissolution; this is described by Raoult's law, which states that the equilibrium concentration of a compound in a water source is a function of the compound's water solubility and its molar fraction in the initial phase in contact with water. The acidic media was proven to have the highest release of PAHs, with a total concentration of 7.1 mg/L, followed by 1.2 mg/L for the sewage, and lastly, 0.32 mg/L for the alkaline mine drainage. Furthermore, it was found that the lower molecular weight PAHs were more soluble in the acidic water as it resulted in releasing a higher PAH concentration.

During the leaching on the acidic mine drainage, it was observed that a pH of 2.77 and dissolved organic carbon of 2.25 mg/L, resulted in the release of 7.1 mg/L of PAHs from the coal tar. The reaction of the π - π bonding also plays a vital role in the reaction of the aromatic compound of the PAHs with the acidic water molecules. PAHs happened to be more soluble in the acidic mine drainage compared to the alkaline, and slightly soluble in sewage depending on the pH and the dissolved organic carbon. Since PAHs are non-polar and only expected to be soluble in an aromatic's solvent however, the pH and dissolved organic carbon are factors to be considered when anticipating the solubility of PAHs in wastewater and water sources.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.B.B.M.; Data curation, J.B.B.M.; Formal analysis, J.B.B.M.; Investigation, J.B.B.M.; Methodology, J.B.B.M.; Project administration, J.B.B.M.; Software, J.B.B.M.; Supervision, F.W., E.F.-K. and A.R.A.A.; Validation, J.B.B.M.; Visualization, J.B.B.M.; Writing—original draft, J.B.B.M.; Writing—review & editing, F.W., E.F.-K. and A.R.A.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors are thankful to the sponsors: The Water Research Commission (WRC, Project 2974) and the North-West University in South Africa.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Norouzi, N.; Fani, M. Environmental sustainability and coal: The role of financial development and globalization in South Africa. *Iran. (Iran.) J. Energy Environ.* **2021**, *12*, 68–80.
- Adebayo, T.S.; Awosusi, A.A.; Bekun, F.V.; Altuntaş, M. Coal energy consumption beat renewable energy consumption in South Africa: Developing policy framework for sustainable development. *Renew. Energy* 2021, 175, 1012–1024. [CrossRef]
- Boulangé, M.; Lorgeoux, C.; Biache, C.; Michel, J.; Michels, R.; Faure, P. Aging as the main factor controlling PAH and polar-PAC (polycyclic aromatic compound) release mechanisms in historically coal-tar-contaminated soils. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2019, 26, 1693–1705. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Mamvura, T.; Govha, J.; Danha, G.; Muzenda, E.; Kamotoa, N. Production of modified bitumen from used engine oil, coal tar and waste tyre for construction applications. *S. Afr. J. Chem. Eng.* **2020**, *33*, 67–73.
- Mojiri, A.; Zhou, J.L.; Ohashi, A.; Ozaki, N.; Kindaichi, T. Comprehensive review of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in water sources, their effects and treatments. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2019, 696, 133971. [CrossRef]
- Abdel-Shafy, H.I.; Mansour, M.S. A review on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Source, environmental impact, effect on human health and remediation. *Egypt. J. Pet.* 2016, 25, 107–123. [CrossRef]
- 7. Munyengabe, A. Determination of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in the Water, Soils and Surface Sediments of the Msunduzi River, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. Master's Thesis, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa, 2016.

- 8. Wlodarczyk-Makula, M. The loads of PAHs in wastewater and sewage sludge of municipal treatment plant. *Polycycl. Aromat. Compd.* **2005**, *25*, 183–194. [CrossRef]
- 9. Świetlik, R.; Kowalczyk, D.; Dojlido, J. Influence of selected physicochemical factors on the degradation of PAHs in water. *Pol. J. Environ. Stud.* **2002**, *11*, 165–169.
- 10. Gutierrez-Urbano, I.; Villen-Guzman, M.; Perez-Recuerda, R.; Rodriguez-Maroto, J.M. Removal of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in conventional drinking water treatment processes. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* **2021**, 243, 103888. [CrossRef]
- 11. Nguyen, V.-H.; Thi, L.-A.P.; Van Le, Q.; Singh, P.; Raizada, P.; Kajitvichyanukul, P. Tailored photocatalysts and revealed reaction pathways for photodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in water, soil and other sources. *Chemosphere* **2020**, 260, 127529. [CrossRef]
- 12. Adeola, A.O.; Forbes, P.B. Advances in water treatment technologies for removal of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Existing concepts, emerging trends, and future prospects. *Water Environ. Res.* **2021**, *93*, 343–359. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. World Health Organization. *Atrazine in Drinking-Water: Background Document for Development of WHO Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality;* World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2003.
- 14. Cai, T.; Ding, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, X.; Wang, T.; Ren, Y.; Dong, Y. Effects of total organic carbon content and leaching water volume on migration behavior of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in soils by column leaching tests. *Environ. Pollut.* **2019**, 254, 112981. [CrossRef]
- 15. Lane, W.F.; Loehr, R.C. Estimating the equilibrium aqueous concentrations of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons in complex mixtures. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1992**, *26*, 983–990. [CrossRef]
- 16. Liu, L.; Endo, S.; Eberhardt, C.; Grathwohl, P.; Schmidt, T.C. Partition behavior of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons between aged coal tar and water. *Environ. Toxicol.Chem. Int. J.* **2009**, *28*, 1578–1584. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Miller, C.T.; Poirier-McNeil, M.M.; Mayer, A.S. Dissolution of trapped nonaqueous phase liquids: Mass transfer characteristics. *Water Resour. Res.* **1990**, *26*, 2783–2796. [CrossRef]
- 18. Luthy, R.G.; Dzombak, D.A.; Peters, C.A.; Roy, S.B.; Ramaswami, A.; Nakles, D.V.; Nott, B.R. Remediating tar-contaminated soils at manufactured gas plant sites. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *28*, 266A–276A. [CrossRef]
- Wise, S.A.; Poster, D.L.; Leigh, S.D.; Rimmer, C.A.; Mössner, S.; Schubert, P.; Sander, L.C.; Schantz, M.M. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in a coal tar standard reference material—SRM 1597a updated. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 2010, 398, 717–728. [CrossRef]
- 20. Sharma, A.; Lee, B.-K. Adsorptive/photo-catalytic process for naphthalene removal from aqueous media using in-situ nickel doped titanium nanocomposite. *J. Environ. Manag.* 2015, 155, 114–122. [CrossRef]
- 21. Makelane, H.; Waryo, T.; Feleni, U.; Iwuoha, E. Dendritic copolymer electrode for second harmonic alternating current voltammetric signalling of pyrene in oil-polluted wastewater. *Talanta* **2019**, *196*, 204–210. [CrossRef]
- 22. Curtin, D.; Peterson, M.E.; Anderson, C.R. pH-dependence of organic matter solubility: Base type effects on dissolved organic C, N, P, and S in soils with contrasting mineralogy. *Geoderma* **2016**, *271*, 161–172. [CrossRef]
- Patel, A.B.; Shaikh, S.; Jain, K.R.; Desai, C.; Madamwar, D. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Sources, toxicity and remediation approaches. *Front. Microbiol.* 2020, 11, 2675. [CrossRef] [PubMed]