## Impact of coronary artery calcification on percutaneous coronary intervention and postprocedural complications



Rami M. Abazid<sup>a,\*</sup>, M. Obadah Kattea<sup>b</sup>, Osama A. Smettei<sup>a</sup>, Yasir Beshir<sup>c</sup>, Haitham Sakr<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Cardiology, Cardiac Imaging Department, Prince Sultan Cardiac Center, Qassim PSCCQ, Buraydah

<sup>b</sup> Department of Cardiology, Prince Sultan Cardiac Center, Qassim PSCCQ, Buraydah

<sup>c</sup> Department of Cardiology, Invasive Department, Prince Sultan Cardiac Center, Qassim PSCCQ, Buraydah

<sup>a,b,c</sup> Saudi Arabia

*Background:* Excessive coronary calcification can lead to adverse outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). We therefore evaluated the impact of coronary calcium score (CCS) measured by multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) on immediate complications of PCI and rate of restenosis.

*Methods:* We performed a single-center retrospective analysis of 84 patients with coronary stenosis diagnosed by MDCT who underwent PCI. The Agatston method was used to measure total, target-vessel, and segmental (stent deployment site) CCS.

*Results:* In 108 PCI procedures, 32 lesions (29.5%) were American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association type A, 60 (55.5%) were type B, and 16 (15%) were type C. ANOVA showed significantly higher segmental CCS in type C than in type A lesions (29  $\pm$  51 vs. 214  $\pm$  162; p = 0.03). Six patients (7.1%) had periprocedural complications and seven (8.3%) had in-stent restenosis and angina. Mean total, target-vessel, and segmental CCS was significantly higher in complicated than in successful PCI (199  $\pm$  325 vs. 816  $\pm$  624, p = 0.001; 92  $\pm$  207 vs. 337  $\pm$  157, p = 0.001; and 79  $\pm$  158 vs. 256  $\pm$  142, p = 0.003, respectively), but there was no significant difference in CCS between successful PCI and PCI complicated by late restenosis.

*Conclusions:* CCS measured by MDCT has an important role in predicting early, but not late, complications from PCI.

© 2016 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: Coronary calcification, Percutaneous coronary intervention, Multidetector computed tomography, Periprocedural complications, In-stent restenosis

*Disclosure:* Authors have nothing to disclose with regard to commercial support.

Received 5 September 2015; revised 30 March 2016; accepted 9 May 2016. Available online 17 May 2016

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Cardiology, Prince Sultan Cardiac Center, Qassim PSCCQ, 2290, Buraydah, Al-Qassim Province, Saudi Arabia.

E-mail address: ramiabazid@yahoo.com (R.M. Abazid).



P.O. Box 2925 Riyadh – 11461KSA Tel: +966 1 2520088 ext 40151 Fax: +966 1 2520718 Email: sha@sha.org.sa URL: www.sha.org.sa



1016–7315 © 2016 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University. URL: www.ksu.edu.sa http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsha.2016.05.002



Production and hosting by Elsevier

### Introduction

Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is considered an effective treatment in selected patients with symptomatic coronary artery disease [1–5]. Many factors are associated with procedure outcome, such as lesion morphology, patient age, presence of multivessel disease, performance of an urgent or emergency procedure, and presence of congestive heart failure class III or IV [6-10]. Excess coronary calcium measured by electronbeam computed tomography is associated with a higher rate of unsuccessful percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty [11]. The presence of severe coronary calcification assessed by angiography in patients with acute coronary syndrome is associated with higher rates of cardiac death, ischemic target-lesion revascularization, and in-stent thrombosis at 1-year follow-up [12]. There are no clear data regarding the effect of coronary calcium score (CCS) measured by multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) on the outcome of PCI employing a drug-eluting stent. We hypothesized that increased CCS is associated with increased immediate and long-term PCIrelated complications.

#### Materials and methods

#### Study settings and participants

We conducted a retrospective review of 117 patients referred from an outpatient clinic with nonacute chest pain, who underwent MDCT

bbreviations	

A

BVS	bioresorbable vascular scaffold
CCA	conventional coronary angiography
CCS	coronary calcium score
MDCT	multidetector computed tomography
PCI	percutaneous coronary intervention
SYNTAX	(synergy between PCI with TAXUS and cardiac
	surgery

between January 2011 and April 2014. These patients were referred for conventional coronary angiography (CCA) because of either high CCS or evidence of significant coronary artery stenosis by computed tomography angiography. Thirtythree patients did not undergo PCI because they had nonsignificant stenosis or coronary artery bypass graft surgery and were thus excluded; therefore, the remaining 84 patients, who underwent PCI for one or more coronary arteries, were included in our analysis (Fig. 1). Our study took place at Prince Sultan Cardiac Center Al Qassim and was approved by the center's research ethics committee. Exclusion criteria were a history of PCI or coronary artery bypass grafting before MDCT.

#### CT protocol

MDCT was performed using a Siemens Definition Flash scanner (Siemens Healthcare, Forchheim, Germany) with 0.28-second gantry rotation, 75-ms temporal resolution, and 0.6-mm collimation. Acquisition started with a scout image



*Figure 1. Study design.* CABG = coronary artery bypasses graft; CCA = conventional coronary angiography; MDCT = multidetector computed tomography; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention.



Figure 2. Multidetector computed tomography noncontrast axial images show the measurement of calcium score of the coronary arteries. (A) Calcium at the LAD; (B) RCA calcium (red) and LCX (blue); (C) segmental calcification (middle LAD segment); (D) the calcium score of each coronary artery, total coronary and segmental calcium score. LAD = left anterior descending artery; LCX = left circumflex artery; RCA = right coronary artery.

of the chest to define the field of view. Calciumscore imaging employed prospective electrocardiographic triggering at the best diastolic phase with 3-mm slice thickness. The images were reconstructed and analyzed using a multimodality workplace dedicated CT workstation with VE40A calcium score software (Siemens Medical Solutions, Forchheim, Germany) to calculate CCS using the Agatston method [13]. Calcium was defined as a lesion with a CT density of  $\geq$  130 Hounsfield units and an area of  $\geq$ 1 mm<sup>2</sup> [13]. The calcium score of each coronary artery, total coronary calcification, and segmental calcium score at the sites of stent deployment were measured (Fig. 2).

# Coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention

Standard CCA techniques were used to assess the left and right coronary systems in multiple projections. All CCA procedures were performed using flat-detector technology (Philips Allura Xper FD10/10 biplane system; Philips, Eindhoven, the Netherlands). A drug-eluting stent was implanted in each patient during PCI. No anticoagulation was used prior to the procedure, although dual antiplatelet therapy was started immediately prior to the procedure and continued for >12 months. Patients post stent thrombosis were started on a double dose of clopidogrel (150 mg) for 1 week then a regular daily dose of clopidogrel (75 mg) in addition to aspirin (162 mg daily) for >1 year.

We analyzed percentage vessel stenosis, synergy between PCI with TAXUS and cardiac surgery (SYNTAX) score, American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) classification of lesions (A, B, or C) [14], and angiographic calcium score [ANCS: 0, no calcification; 1, mild calcification (barely visible on close examination); 2, moderate calcification (radiopacity noted only during the cardiac cycle before contrast injection); and 3, severe calcification (radiopacity noted with contrast injection and generally involving both sides of the arterial wall)] [15,16] (Fig. 3).

The interventionist reported the following parameters: procedure preparation categorized as easy (direct stenting, single balloon inflation) or difficult (multiple balloon inflations or use of Rotablator; Boston Scientific, Marlborough, MA, USA); durations of fluoroscopy and procedure; number and length of implanted stents, volume of contrast injected, final thrombolysis in myocardial infarction grade flow; and procedural complications such as no reflow, perforation, and dissection (defined as intimal tears in the arterial



Figure 3. Angiographic calcium score. (A1) Before and (A2) after contrast injection, showing mild calcification (barely visible on close examination); (B1 and B2) moderate calcification (radiopacity noted only during the cardiac cycle before contrast injection); (C1 and C2) severe calcification (radiopacity noted with contrast injection).

wall post stent deployment that required percutaneous management either by the deployment of further stents or prolonged balloon inflation for dissection sealing). Periprocedural myocardial infarction was defined as a more than five-fold increase above 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of upper normal limit in creatine kinase-myocardial band within 24 hours after PCI. Angiographic success was defined as a final thrombolysis in myocardial infarction grade flow of 3 and <10% stenosis with no procedural complications [17]. Late complications such as stent thrombosis, target-lesion revascularization, symptoms of angina, heart failure, and death were reported after 1-year follow-up.

#### Statistical analysis

Continuous data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation and categorical variables are expressed as number and percentage. Student *t* test was used to analyze the differences between continuous variables and the chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables. ANOVA was used to compare the three different ACC/ AHA lesion types with regard to segmental CCS and angiographic calcium score. All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows, Version 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A *p* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant for all tests.

#### Results

Table 1 shows patients' clinical characteristics. ANOVA comparison of the differences among the three lesion types showed a significantly higher calcium score in type C than in type A lesions ( $29 \pm 51$  vs.  $214 \pm 162$ ; p = 0.03), and a significantly higher ANCS with more advanced lesions (Table 2). Periprocedural complications were seen in six patients (7.1%): coronary dissection in four (4.8%; three dissections occurred after stent

Table 1. Patient characteristics.

Clinical characteristics	Patients ( $n = 84$ )
Age, mean ± SD (y)	55 ± 12
Male sex, <i>n</i> (%)	55 (65)
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	40 (48)
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%)	42 (50)
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	36 (43)
Family history of coronary artery disease, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (2.4)
Current smoking, n (%)	22 (26)
Body mass index, mean $\pm$ SD (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$29.2 \pm 4.7$

SD = standard deviation.

deployment and required an additional stent, and one coronary dissection was associated with stent delivery failure); and acute in-stent thrombosis in two (2.4%). Intravascular ultrasound was used during the procedure in three patients with coronary dissection to confirm the presence of a distal edge flap tear, while neither Rotablator nor optical coherence tomography were required.

Late complications occurred in eight patients (8.3%) during a follow-up of  $14 \pm 6$  months: three (2.4%) had in-stent restenosis and underwent target-lesion revascularization; and five (5.9%) had symptoms of angina that were treated medically (2 patients had evidence of mild ischemia by myocardial perfusion imaging). Student t test analysis was used to compare PCIs with and without complications and with and without late complications. Results showed that the total, target-vessel, and segmental CCS were significantly higher when perioperative complications occurred  $(816 \pm 624)$ vs.199 ± 325, p = 0.01; $337 \pm 157$  vs.  $92 \pm 207$ , p = 0.001; and  $256 \pm 142$  vs.  $79 \pm 158$ , p = 0.003, respectively). In addition, longer procedure time seen with complicated PCI  $(48 \pm 14 \text{ vs. } 37 \pm 14 \text{ minutes}, p = 0.03)$ . However,

Table 2. Multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) data with average total CCS and the percentage of vessel with detectable calcifications.

MDCT data (84 patients)						
CCS	Agatston score (mean ± SD)		Percentage of detected CCS, n (%)		n (%)	
Total LM LAD LCX RCA	$219 \pm 337$ $16 \pm 32$ $81 \pm 117$ $43 \pm 81$ $80 \pm 216$			80 (95.5) 36 (43) 72 (86) 62 (74) 68 (81)		
CCA data Lesion type	А	В	С	р		
n (%) Segmental CCS (mean ± SD) Angiographic calcification	32 (29.5) 29 ± 51 0.7 ± 0.5	60 (55.5) 94 ± 197 1.1 ± 0.6	16 (15) 214 ± 162 1.9 ± 0.6	A vs. B 0.4 0.047	A vs. C 0.03 0.0001	B vs. C 0.16 0.007
PCI data Failed PCI, n (%) Dissection In-stent thrombosis Late complications, n (%) Angina In-stent restenosis Procedure time (min) Fluoroscopy time (min) Contrast (mL) Stent number/vessel Stent length (mm)	6 (7.1)  4  2  7 (8.3)  5  2  38.5 ± 15  18 ± 7  97 ± 37  1.22 ± 0.46  25.8 ± 12.6					

CCA data show prevalence of different American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association type lesions and segmental CCS and angiographic score. PCI data shows the procedural success, times and contrast has been used.

CCA = conventional coronary angiography; CCS = coronary calcium score; LCX = left circumflex; LAD = left anterior descending; LM = left main; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; RCA = right coronary artery; SD = standard deviation.

Table 3. Comparisons between successful and periprocedure complicated percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

		•	
Variables	Successful PCI ( $n = 102$ )	Complicated PCI ( $n = 6$ )	р
No. of patients	78	6	
Age, mean $\pm$ SD (y)	$54 \pm 11$	$60 \pm 16$	0.2
Male sex, <i>n</i> (%)	50 (64)	5 (83)	0.
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	37 (47)	3 (37.5)	0.6
Hypertension, n (%)	40 (52)	2 (16.6)	0.3
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	32 (41)	4 (66)	0.2
Family history of coronary artery disease, n (%)	2 (2.5)	0 (0)	0.8
Current smoking, n (%)	19 (24)	3 (50)	0.18
Total CCS, mean ± SD	$199 \pm 325$	$816 \pm 624$	0.01
Target vessel CCS, mean ± SD	$92 \pm 207$	337 ± 157	0.001
Segmental CCS, mean ± SD	$79 \pm 158$	$256 \pm 142$	0.003
Stent length, mean ± SD (mm)	$24.6 \pm 10.4$	$27 \pm 15$	0.1
Number of stents used, mean ± SD	$1.2 \pm 0.4$	$1.5 \pm 0.85$	0.28
Procedure time, mean ± SD (min)	$37 \pm 14$	$48 \pm 14$	0.03
Fluoroscopy time, mean ± SD (min)	$17 \pm 6$	$20 \pm 7$	0.19
Contrast used, mean ± SD (mL)	$101 \pm 38$	93 ± 29	0.12

CCS = coronary calcium score; SD = standard deviation.

Table 4. Comparisons between PCI with and without long-term complications.

Variables	No restenosis ( $n = 101$ )	Late restenosis $(n = 7)$	р
No. of patients	77	7	
Age, mean $\pm$ SD (y)	$54 \pm 11$	$56 \pm 16$	0.6
Male sex, <i>n</i> (%)	50 (65)	5 (71)	0.54
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	36 (47)	4 (57)	0.44
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%)	40 (52)	2 (28.5)	0.2
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	32 (41.5)	4 (57)	0.34
Family history of coronary artery disease, n (%)	2 (2.5)	0 (0)	0.8
Current smoking, <i>n</i> (%)	20 (26)	2 (28.5)	0.59
Total CCS, mean ± SD	$200 \pm 335$	$193 \pm 190$	0.9
Target vessel CCS, mean ± SD	78 ± 163	89 ± 89	0.78
Segmental CCS, mean ± SD	$79 \pm 158$	$256 \pm 142$	0.8
Stent length, mean ± SD (mm)	$25 \pm 10$	$23 \pm 7$	0.23
Number of stents used, mean ± SD	$1.2 \pm 0.5$	$1.3 \pm 0.3$	0.17
Procedure time, mean ± SD (min)	$37 \pm 14$	$36 \pm 13$	0.8
Fluoroscopy time, mean ± SD (min)	17 ± 6	$15 \pm 3$	0.2
Contrast used, mean ± SD (mL)	98 ± 35	$106 \pm 37$	0.2

CCS = coronary calcium score; SD = standard deviation.

CCS did not differ significantly between patients with late complications and complication-free patients (Tables 3 and 4).

#### Discussion

PCI is widely used to treat patients with significant coronary artery stenosis. Despite improvements in PCI technology and pharmacotherapy, the incidence of periprocedural myocardial infarction is about 3.5% and is due mostly to procedure complications such as stent thrombosis and sidebranch occlusion [18]. The complexity of a lesion plays an important role in the prediction of PCI outcome; modified-ACC/AHA type C lesions are associated with lower procedure success rates

and worse clinical outcomes [19]. In fact, the presence of calcium in an atheroma defines it as an advanced atherosclerotic lesion [20]. Furthermore, a lesion's complexity by modified ACC/AHA classification and SYNTAX score, which is an anatomical scoring system that can predict major adverse cardiac events, is determined to a great degree by the presence of excessive calcification. In addition, a higher SYNTAX score is associated with a worse outcome in patients undergoing PCI [21,22]. Rotational atherectomy can overcome extremely complex lesions with severe calcification by debulking atherosclerotic plaque before stent deployment during PCI, but there is conflicting evidence concerning its benefits [23]. Coronary calcification can be assessed qualitatively by invasive CCA using ANCS classification. In contrast, intravascular ultrasound is more sensitive and allows quantitative assessment of arterial calcification [24], and MDCT is a well-established, noninvasive tool that permits accurate measurement of CCS using the Agatston method [13]. Additionally, MDCT-based coronary angiography can provide valuable information that can assist coronary intervention by determining the severity of stenosis, the extent of calcification, the presence of multiple stenosis, and reliably estimate lesion lengths, vessel tortuosity, and the diameter of distal segments [25].

In the present analysis, we found that the amount of coronary calcium measured by total, target vessel, or segmental CCS can significantly predict periprocedural success and complications but not restenosis rate. Our results are consistent with those of a previous report by Wang et al. [26], which showed that heavy coronary calcification assessed by MDCT can predict periprocedural myocardial infarction in patients undergoing elective PCI, but which did not include data on long-term follow up. A similar finding by Sinitsyn et al. [11] was that the complication rates of percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty and PCI were higher with a higher CCS measured by electron-beam computed tomography. In that study, however, bare-metal stents were used and the complication rate higher than in the present study, in which patients received drug-eluting stents. Mehran et al. [27] reported that a higher calcification and plaque burden by preintervention intravascular ultrasound was associated with creatine kinase-myocardial band elevation after coronary intervention. In addition, Genereux et al. [12] demonstrated that severe calcification of the coronary target lesion assessed by CCA can independently predict major bleeding events after PCI in patients presenting with acute coronary syndrome.

Despite the standard dose of dual antiplatelet therapy used before the procedure, we observed a fairly high incidence of acute stent thrombosis (2.4%), which may be explained by the small number of patients in the study.

The results of the present study have clinical implication in patients who have MDCT before the CCA, the CCS data may help in management plan when the decision and outcome regarding PCI versus coronary artery bypass graft is indistinct.

With increasing use of bioresorbable vascular scaffold (BVS) during PCI, which requires optimal (1:1 balloon/vessel) predilatation to improves scaffold expansion, CCS will probably play valuable role in predicting optimal predilatation achievement with BVS. Therefore, we advise further studies to assess the role of CCS measured by MDCT before BVS deployment.

Our study had several limitations. First, our analysis is retrospective. Second, the number of patients included in the study and the number of complications was small. Finally, we did not investigate the effect of noncalcified plaque burden on the procedure outcome; therefore, prospective studies with larger numbers of patients should be conducted and other computed tomographic angiography data, such as noncalcified plaque type and burden, should be collected.

#### Conclusion

Our study showed that increased coronary calcification measured by MDCT is associated with an increase in immediate PCI complications but did not predict late complications such as in-stent restenosis.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

All authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### References

- [1] Weintraub WS, Spertus JA, Kolm P, Maron DJ, Zhang Z, Jurkovitz C, et al.. Effect of PCI on quality of life in patients with stable coronary disease. N Engl J Med 2008;359:677–87.
- [2] Boden WE, O'Rourke RA, Teo KK, Hartigan PM, Maron DJ, Kostuk WJ, et al.. Optimal medical therapy with or without PCI for stable coronary disease. N Engl J Med 2007;356:1503–16.
- [3] Benzer W, Hofer S, Oldridge NB. Health-related quality of life in patients with coronary artery disease after different treatments for angina in routine clinical practice. Herz 2003;28:421–8.
- [4] Wijeysundera HC, Nallamothu BK, Krumholz HM, Tu JV, Ko DT. Metaanalysis: effects of percutaneous coronary intervention versus medical therapy on angina relief. Ann Intern Med 2010;152:370–9.
- [5] Abizaid A, Costa MA, Centemero M, Abizaid AS, Legrand VM, Limet RV, et al.. Clinical and economic impact of diabetes mellitus on percutaneous and surgical treatment of multivessel coronary disease patients: insights from the Arterial Revascularization Therapy Study (ARTS) trial. Circulation 2001;104:533–8.
- [6] Qureshi MA, Safian RD, Grines CL, Goldstein JA, Westveer DC, Glazier S, et al.. Simplified scoring system for predicting mortality after percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;42:1890–5.
- [7] Singh M, Lennon RJ, Holmes Jr DR, Bell MR, Rihal CS. Correlates of procedural complications and a simple integer risk score for percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:387–93.

- [8] Hannan EL, Arani DT, Johnson LW, Kemp Jr HG, Lukacik G. Percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty in New York State. Risk factors and outcomes. JAMA 1992;268:3092–7.
- [9] Shaw RE, Anderson HV, Brindis RG, Krone RJ, Klein LW, McKay CR, et al.. Development of a risk adjustment mortality model using the American College of Cardiology-National Cardiovascular Data Registry (ACC-NCDR) experience: 1998–2000. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;39:1104–12.
- [10] Singh M, Rihal CS, Lennon RJ, Spertus J, Rumsfeld JS, Holmes Jr DR. Bedside estimation of risk from percutaneous coronary intervention: the new Mayo Clinic risk scores. Mayo Clin Proc 2007;82:701–8.
- [11] Sinitsyn V, Belkind M, Matchin Y, Lyakishev A, Naumov V, Ternovoy S. Relationships between coronary calcification detected at electron beam computed tomography and percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty results in coronary artery disease patients. Eur Radiol 2003;13:62–7.
- [12] Genereux P, Maehara A, Kirtane A, Brener S, Palmerini T, Lasalle L, et al.. Impact of coronary calcification on oneyear outcomes after PCI in STEMI and NSTEMI: pooled analysis from horizons and ACUITY trials. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61(10S). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0735-1097</u> (13)61716-7.
- [13] Agatston AS, Janowitz WR, Hildner FJ, Zusmer NR, Viamonte Jr M, Detrano R. Quantification of coronary artery calcium using ultrafast computed tomography. J Am Coll Cardiol 1990;15:827–32.
- [14] Ryan TJ, Bauman WB, Kennedy JW, Kereiakes DJ, King 3rd SB, McCallister BD, et al.. Guidelines for percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. A report of the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology Task Force on Assessment of Diagnostic and Therapeutic Cardiovascular Procedures (Committee on Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty). Circulation 1993;88:2987–3007.
- [15] Mintz GS, Popma JJ, Pichard AD, Kent KM, Satler LF, Chuang YC, et al.. Patterns of calcification in coronary artery disease. Circulation 1995;91:1959–65.
- [16] Tuzcu EM, Berkalp B, De Franco AC, Ellis SG, Goormastic M, Whitlow PL, et al.. The dilemma of diagnosing coronary calcification: angiography versus intravascular ultrasound. J Am Coll Cardiol 1996;15(27):832–8.
- [17] Smith Jr SC, Feldman TE, Hirshfeld Jr JW, Jacobs AK, Kern MJ, King 3rd SB, et al.. ACC/AHA/SCAI 2005 guideline update for percutaneous coronary intervention: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart

Association Task Force on practice guidelines (ACC/ AHA/SCAI Writing Committee to Update the 2001 Guidelines for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention). Circulation 2006;113:e166–286.

- [18] Muschart X, Slimani A, Jamart J, Chenu P, Dangoisse V, Gabriel L, et al.. The different mechanisms of periprocedural myocardial infarction and their impact on in-hospital outcome. J Invasive Cardiol 2012;24:655–60.
- [19] Kastrati A, Schömig A, Elezi S, Dirschinger J, Mehilli J, Schühlen H, et al.. Prognostic value of the modified American college of Cardiology/American Heart Association stenosis morphology classification for longterm angiographic and clinical outcome after coronary stent placement. Circulation 1999;100:1285–90.
- [20] Stary HC, Chandler AB, Dinsmore RE, Fuster V, Glagov S, Insull Jr W, et al.. A definition of advanced types of atherosclerotic lesions and a histological classification of atherosclerosis: a report from the Committee on Vascular Lesions of the Council on Arteriosclerosis. American Heart Association. Circulation 1995;92:1355–74.
- [21] SYNTAX Score. <www.syntaxscore.com> [accessed 01.03.10].
- [22] Valgimigli M, Serruys PW, Tsuchida K, Vaina S, Morel MA, van den Brand MJ, et al.. Cyphering the complexity of coronary artery disease using the syntax score to predict clinical outcome in patients with three-vessel lumen obstruction undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Am J Cardiol 2007;99:1072–81.
- [23] Wasiak J, Law J, Watson P, Spinks A. Percutaneous transluminal rotational atherectomy for coronary artery disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012;12:CD003334.
- [24] Tobis JM, Mallery J, Mahon D, Lehmann K, Zalesky P, Griffith J, et al.. Intravascular ultrasound imaging of human coronary arteries *in vivo*. Analysis of tissue characterizations with comparison to *in vitro* histological specimens. Circulation 1991;83:913–26.
- [25] Opolski MP, Achenbach S. CT angiography for revascularization of CTO: crossing the borders of diagnosis and treatment. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;8:846–58.
- [26] Wang X, Liu X, Ge H, Yang Q, Liu X, Shi D, et al.. Positive association of coronary calcium detected by computed tomography coronary angiography with periprocedural myocardial infarction. PLoS One 2013;8:e82835.
- [27] Mehran R, Dangas G, Mintz GS, Lansky AJ, Pichard AD, Satler KJ, et al.. Atherosclerotic plaque burden and CK-MB enzyme elevation after coronary interventions: intravascular ultrasound study of 2256 patients. Circulation 2000;101:604–10.